

Epidemiological situation of leprosy in a province in China: a long time to diagnosis and a high rate of deformity.

Qing-Ping Zhang

Shaanxi Provincial Institute for Endemic Disease Control

Ge Li

Shaanxi Provincial Institute for Endemic Disease Control

Chao Li (✉ 397564825@qq.com)

Fourth Military Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6175-5701>

Zhao-Xing Lin

Shaanxi Provincial Institute for Endemic Disease Control

Ping Chen

Shaanxi Provincial Institute for Endemic Disease Control

Research article

Keywords: Leprosy, Mycobacterium leprae, China, Epidemiology

Posted Date: November 20th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-34383/v5>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on November 25th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09933-6>.

Abstract

Background: This epidemiological study aimed to analyse both the distribution and characteristics of leprosy in an endemic province in Northwest China.

Methods: The medical records of leprosy patients in the province of Shaanxi, China, from 1998-2018 were collected from the Chinese Leprosy Management Information System (LEPMIS). Epidemiological variables were analysed in this study.

Results: A total of 477 new cases were diagnosed between 1998 and 2018 in this region. The average annual detection rate was 0.070/100,000 population, and the average annual prevalence was 0.305/100,000 population. The mean age of the newly diagnosed patients was 46.7 years, and the ratio of males to females was 2.5:1. There were 399 cases (83.6%) of multibacillary (MB) leprosy. One hundred forty-eight patients (31.0%) had grade 2 disability. The mean diagnosis time for new cases was 62.0 months.

Conclusion: This epidemiological study showed that the characteristics of newly diagnosed leprosy cases in our province were a long time to diagnosis and a high rate of deformity, indicating the need for actions focusing on early diagnosis and treatment and strengthening the detection of leprosy in low-prevalence areas.

Background

Leprosy, which is caused by *Mycobacterium leprae*, is a chronic infectious disease[1] that mainly results in injuries to the skin and peripheral nerves. It is prevalent worldwide, and has been prevalent in China for more than 2,000 years. Although there is no vaccine, leprosy treatment is effective, especially when leprosy is diagnosed early. However, the global leprosy prevention and treatment strategy has not undergone major revisions[2], and the focus is still on the early detection of cases and timely regular combined chemotherapy[3]. The long disease course, high deformity rate[4], and the cooccurrence other medical problems may result in social issues, such as low quality of life and low happiness index due to discrimination[5]. Since the founding of the People's Republic of China in 1949, our government has carried out a great deal of public health work[6] and implemented a large number of effective leprosy prevention and control measures [7]. Measures include the detecting of socially active leprosy patients early, administering multidrug therapy (MDT) in a timely manner, terminating transmission, and controlling \ the epidemic to the maximum extent possible[8].

In 2018, the incidence rate of leprosy in Shaanxi Province, China, fell below 1/100,000 population, which is the cut-off value for the definition of leprosy elimination in China[9]. However, there are still sporadic new cases. As an important part of the Silk Road Economic Belt and the 21st-Century Maritime Silk Road (B&R), our province covers a total area of 205,800 square kilometres and has a resident population of 38.64 million (December 2018). Due to different regional and population distributions, to explore the epidemic trend and characteristics of leprosy in this province, we retrospectively reviewed leprosy cases

between 1998 and 2018 and investigated the characteristics of diagnostic time and rate of grade 2 disability due to leprosy, and we provide a basis for the effective prevention and control of leprosy in areas with low leprosy rates.

Methods

2.1 Diagnosis standard

The diagnosis of new or recurrent leprosy was based on the Leprosy Prevention Manual for Primary Care Physicians (PCPs) and Leprosy Diagnosis Standard WS291-2018[10]. A recurrent case was defined as a patient who completed a prescribed course of treatment and had no lasting symptoms but had clinical, bacterial, or histopathological evidence of disease recurrence after achieving clinical cure (clinical inactivity)[10]. Cases were classified as having MB or PB (paucibacillary) leprosy according to the degree of skin-smear positivity[11]. The time to diagnosis was defined as the time interval between disease onset and the diagnosis of leprosy. Disability classification was based on the Disability Classification Standard for Leprosy, WHO, 1998[12]. In 1988, the WHO Expert Committee on Leprosy substantially simplified the disability grading system into a three-grade (0,1 and 2) classification system[13]. Patients with grade 0 disability have no loss of sensation, no visible deformity and no eye problems due to leprosy. Patients with grade 1 disability have loss of sensation or eye problems due to leprosy present but no visible deformity. Patients with grade 2 disability have visible deformity or severe visual impairment. In 1998, the Committee endorsed this grading system with the amendment that lagophthalmos, iridocyclitis and corneal opacities be included in the grade 2 criteria[12].

2.2 Data sources

All leprosy cases (including new, recurrent and prevalent cases) were collected from the Leprosy Prevention and Control Management Information System in China (LEPMIS). LEPMIS was designed by the Chinese government in 2010 and reports the data of not only newly diagnosed patients and those undergoing treatment but also those who have been cured and achieved lifelong management, thus comprising a detailed and comprehensive database. LEPMIS not only includes related information about disease discovery and diagnosis but also information about all aspects of leprosy management. In terms of medical record management, it not only helps with case management but also effectively monitors the management of patients and their close contacts. The data of leprosy patients from 1998-2009 were collected from paper files and were uploaded to LEPMIS in 2010. Administrative regions in China are divided into four levels: province, region, county, and village. In Shaanxi Province, there were 11 regions in 2018 (i.e., the Xi'an region). Seventy-two counties and 30 districts belonged to these regions. County and district administrative levels are equivalent. Population data was obtained from the Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook[14].

2.3 Statistical analysis

A new and recurrent leprosy case database was established in EXCEL 2010. Data were analysed using the χ^2 test for trend. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 19.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

3.1 Overall situation and change trend

Between 1998 and 2018, 542 leprosy cases were reported in Shaanxi Province, with an annual detection rate of 0.070/100,000 population, as shown in Table 1. Among them, 477 were new cases, with a new case detection rate of 0.061/100,000 population. Sixty-five leprosy cases were recurrent cases, with a recurrence rate of 0.008/100,000 population. The number of current leprosy cases in this area is 2372, with an annual prevalence rate of 0.305/100,000 population. The annual new case detection rate dropped from 0.078/100,000 population in 1998 to 0.029/100,000 population in 2018, with a significant decreasing trend ($\chi^2=86.85$, $P<0.01$). The annual recurrence rate dropped from 0.014/100,000 population in 1998 to 0.000/100,000 population in 2018, with a significant decreasing trend ($\chi^2=16.14$, $p < 0.01$). The annual detection rate dropped from 0.092/100,000 population in 1998 to 0.029/100,000 population in 2018, with a significant decreasing trend ($\chi^2=112.99$, $p < 0.01$). The annual prevalence rate dropped from 0.309/100,000 population in 1998 to 0.134/100,000 population in 2018, with a significant decreasing trend ($\chi^2=671.15$, $p < 0.01$), as shown in Table 1.

3.2 Population distribution

3.2.1 Sex distribution

Among 477 newly diagnosed leprosy patients, 339 were males and 138 were females, with a male-to-female ratio of 2.46:1; there was a significant difference between the sexes ($\chi^2=304.17$, $p < 0.01$), as shown in Table 1.

3.2.2 Age distribution

Between 1998 and 2018, the average age of the newly diagnosed leprosy patients in Shaanxi was 46.3 years old, and the average age of newly diagnosed patients increased from 42.7 years old in 1998 to 49.2 years old in 2018, as shown in Table 1. During this period, 2 cases in 14-year-old paediatric patients were detected (1: Ankang region, 2004; 1: Hanzhong region, 2007).

3.3 Regional distribution

A total of 542 new and recurrent cases occurred in local residents, and in 2018, 10 counties or districts in Shaanxi reported newly diagnosed cases. In 2018, 28 counties or districts reported existing cases, which were mainly distributed in southern Shaanxi, including Hanzhong, Ankang, and Shangluo. Leprosy cases were scattered in Guanzhong, and no cases were reported in northern Shaanxi for many years. By the end

of 2018, only one county (Yangxian County) in Shaanxi had not reached the basic leprosy elimination index (1/100,000) stipulated by the Ministry of Health[9], China; the prevalence rate in Yangxian County was 1.29/100,000 population.

3.4 Types of cases and disability

3.4.1 Case types

Among 477 newly discovered cases, 399 cases (83.6%) were MB, and 78 cases (16.4%) were PB leprosy, as shown in Table 2. The ratio of MB:PB leprosy was 5.12:1. No significant difference was found in the MB:PB leprosy ratio of between 1998 and 2018 ($\chi^2=0.002$, $P>0.05$). A significant difference was found in the MB:PB leprosy ratio between newly detected and recurrent cases ($\chi^2=192.92$, $p < 0.01$).

3.4.2 Disability

Of 477 newly diagnosed cases, 148 (31.03%) were associated with grade 2 disabilities. The rate of disability increased from 10.71% in 1998 to 27.27% in 2018.

3.5 Time to diagnosis in new cases

The average time to diagnosis in 477 newly detected cases was 62.0 (43.8-112.4) months according to the LEPMIS. However, the average time to diagnosis was shorter in 2018 than in 1998 (47.6 vs 64.0 months), as shown in Table 2.

Discussion

Between 1925 and 2018, 11,789 leprosy patients were diagnosed with leprosy in Shaanxi Province; 10,270 patients were cured, and 502 patients experienced relapse (data from LEPMIS). The prevalence rates of leprosy in over 95% of the regions, counties, and districts in Shaanxi achieved control, with rates below 1/100,000 population, reaching our national target of eliminating leprosy. According to the above data, 477 newly diagnosed leprosy cases and 65 recurrent cases were reported in Shaanxi between 1998 and 2018, with 542 cases in total. The annual detection rate dropped from 0.092/100,000 population in 1998 to 0.029/100,000 population in 2018. The detection rate and prevalence rate of leprosy showed impressively significant downward trends over the past 20 years, indicating that we have achieved good results in the prevention and control of leprosy.

In 477 newly diagnosed patients, the male-female ratio was 2.46:1, which was basically consistent with those in previous reports from other areas in China[15, 16]. This result was consistent with previous results obtained from other regions of the country[17]. Male patients still accounted for a relatively high proportion of the newly diagnosed cases each year, which may be related to different genetic susceptibilities between the sexes[18]. However, this ratio in China was different from those in some other countries around the world[19]. This may be because females undergo more skin consultations than males, and males may be more frequently exposed to leprosy bacilli than females due to behavioural and

cultural factors[20]. Cultural, lifestyle, and genetic differences between races may be related to a difference in incidence rates, but to date, no studies have been published on this topic. It would be interesting to explore this aspect.

The average age of the newly diagnosed patients was 45.2 years old, which was relatively stable over the study period. In terms of newly diagnosed cases, the age distribution in different years was similar. However, the average age of prevalent cases increased over time[21]. The Leprosy Control Handbook[22] notes that the average age of patients tends to rise as the incidence of leprosy declines (the prevalence of leprosy is controlled). This supports the relatively low rates of leprosy in Shaanxi. However, this may also be related to the long time to diagnosis[23], suggesting that the detection of new leprosy patients in Shaanxi still needs improvement. In terms of current leprosy cases, the average age of 21 years was relatively high, which may be related to the large number of MB leprosy cases in our province and the long MDT course. Disability degree is an indicator of the ability to diagnose and monitor leprosy in health service departments, and patients classified with grade 1 or grade 2 disability had a long time to diagnosis or monitoring failure[24]. This suggests that we should pay attention to the treatment and rehabilitation of current leprosy cases. From 1998 to 2018, only two children under 15 years old were diagnosed with leprosy, which may be related to the long incubation period and/or the low transmission rate and the relatively low prevalence in Shaanxi. In terms of regional distribution, leprosy epidemics mainly occurred in southern Shaanxi and the Central Shaanxi Plain[25]. These areas are also areas with historically high leprosy incidence rates. The source of infection has not been completely and effectively controlled, suggesting that we should continue to improve prevention and control work in these areas.

A total of 83.6% of the new cases were MB leprosy, and 16.4% were PB leprosy, with a ratio of 5.1:1, which was higher than that in related reports from other regions in China[26]. This indicated that the number of MB cases was too high and that there were potential risks. MB leprosy patients have more severe symptoms, have more complications and require a longer course of MDT than PB leprosy patients. In Shaanxi, the proportion of MB patients was relatively higher than that in other provinces. This high proportion resulted in leprosy patients in Shaanxi having more severe symptoms, having more complications and being cured at an older age on average than PB leprosy patients. However, previous studies have shown that the type ratio increases in areas with a low leprosy prevalence[27], which may indicate that leprosy in Shaanxi was in a low epidemic state and under effective control. The type ratio of newly detected cases between 1998 and 2018 was relatively high (83.7%). The grade 2 disability rate in new cases in Shaanxi between 1998 and 2018 was approximately 31.0%, which may be related to the prolonged time to diagnosis and few newly detected cases. The average time to diagnosis in newly diagnosed patients was 46 months, which was much higher than those reported in relevant literature in some other areas in China, such as Guangdong Province[21], indicating that leprosy cases in Shaanxi were associated with a longer time from onset to diagnosis. This situation may be related to latent symptoms and atypical clinical manifestations in the early stage, little attention to patients, lack of primary prevention and treatment experience, etc.[28], suggesting that our province should further carry out leprosy monitoring and strengthen early detection and diagnosis.

To improve the diagnostic time and reduce the deformity rate in Shaanxi Province, we should take advantage of China's health system reform, comprehensively improve the LEPMIS, strengthen the training of dermatologists, vigorously promote community health consultations for leprosy, and actively carry out early leprosy diagnosis technology research.

Conclusion

Although leprosy prevention and control in Shaanxi has achieved remarkable results and the leprosy epidemic has been maintained at a relatively low level for a long time, the prevalence of leprosy was still relatively high in some areas, and the incidence of disability in new leprosy patients was still high in some counties. The time to diagnosis still needs improvement. To achieve the goal of eliminating morbidity caused by leprosy, we should further strengthen the detection, treatment, and management of leprosy cases; focus on how to shorten the period between symptom onset and diagnosis; and reduce the rate of leprosy-associated disability.

Declarations

6.1 Ethics approval and consent to participate: This retrospective study was reviewed and approved by the institutional ethics committee of Shaanxi Provincial Institute for Endemic Disease Control (DF2019102001).

6.2 Consent for publication: Not Applicable.

6.3 Availability of data and materials: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the Health Commission of Shaanxi Province; however, restrictions apply regarding the availability of these data, which were used under a license for the current study, and the data are not publicly available. The data may available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission from the Health Commission of Shaanxi Province. Please contact the corresponding author: C Li: 397564825@qq.com.

6.4 Competing interests: No competing interests exist in this study.

6.5 Funding: None.

6.6 Authors' contributions: QP Zhang and C Li led the study; and P Chen, ZX Lin and G Li led their respective provincial teams and collected the data. G Li and C Li analysed the data, contributed to the conceptualization of the study, as well as the interpretation of results, and reviewed drafts of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

6.7 Acknowledgements: We would like to acknowledge the Health Commission of Shaanxi Province, Shaanxi Provincial Institute for Endemic Disease Control, and Department of Xijing Hospital of the Fourth Military Medical University for their support in this research program.

Abbreviations

LEPMIS: Leprosy Management Information System in China

MB: Multibacillary

PB: Paucibacillary

B&R: The Silk Road Economic Belt and the 21st-Century Maritime Silk Road

PCPs: Primary Care Physicians

MDT: Multidrug Therapy

References

1. White C, Franco-Paredes C: **Leprosy in the 21st Century.** *CLIN MICROBIOL REV* 2015, **1**(28):80-94.
2. Naaz F, Mohanty P, Bansal A, Kumar D: **Challenges beyond elimination in leprosy.** *International Journal of Mycobacteriology* 2017, **3**(6):222.
3. Kundakci N, Erdem C: **Leprosy: A great imitator.** *CLIN DERMATOL* 2019, **3**(37):200-212.
4. de Paula H, de Souza C, Silva S: **Risk Factors for Physical Disability in Patients with Leprosy.** *JAMA DERMATOL* 2019, **10**(155):1120.
5. Xiong M, Wang X, Su T: **Relationship between psychological health and quality of life of people affected by leprosy in the community in Guangdong province, China: a cross-sectional study.** *BMC PUBLIC HEALTH* 2019, **1**(19):424.
6. Wang S: **Scientism and Political Ceremony of leprosy control in China.** *Cultural Aspect* 2014(02):15.
7. Noordeen S: **History of chemotherapy of leprosy.** *CLIN DERMATOL* 2016, **1**(34):32-36.
8. Wang J, Hou Q, Pan C: **The present situation of leprosy case discovery in China and its future strategy discussion.** In *Annual Meeting of China Leprosy Prevention Association, 2011.* Chengdu, Sichuan, China: China Leprosy Prevention Association; 2011.
9. Long S, Yu M, Yan L: **Epidemiological profile of leprosy in China, 2011-2015.** *Chin J Derm* 2017, **06**(50):400-403.
10. NHC C: **Diagnosis for leprosy: WS291-2018.** China; 2018.
11. WHO: **Chemotherapy of leprosy for control programs. Report of a WHO Study Group.** Geneva: WHO Technical Report Series; 1982.
12. WHO: **World Health Organization Expert Committee on Leprosy: Seventh Report.** Geneva; 1998.
13. WHO: **World Health Organization Expert Committee on Leprosy. Sixth report.** Geneva; 1988.
14. Shaanxi: *Shaanxi Statistical Yearbook 2019:* China Statistics Press; 2019.
15. Tao L, Long Q, Wang F: **Epidemiological characteristics of 188 new cases of leprosy in Qiandongnan prefecture from 2003 to 2012.** *J Applied Prev Med* 2015(2):86-87.

16. Liu Y, Yu M, Ning Y: A study on gender differences in newly detected leprosy cases in Sichuan, China, 2000-2015. *INT J DERMATOL* 2018, **12**(57):1492-1499.
17. Li Y, Wu T: Analysis of new cases of leprosy in Zunyi city in 2013. *China Journal of Leprosy and Skin Diseases* 2016, **08**(32):496.
18. Zhang DF, Wang D, Li YY, Yao YG: Integrative analyses of leprosy susceptibility genes indicate a common autoimmune profile. *J DERMATOL SCI* 2016, **82**(1):18-27.
19. Global leprosy update, 2015: time for action, accountability and inclusion. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* 2015, **91**(35):405-420.
20. Silva ME, de Souza CD, Costa ESS, Costa FM, Carmo RF: Epidemiological aspects of leprosy in Juazeiro-BA, from 2002 to 2012. *AN BRAS DERMATOL* 2015, **90**(6):799-805.
21. Mei C, Cao M, Liang Y: Epidemiological analysis of new cases with leprosy during 1996 to 2015 in Shaoguan city of Guangdong province. *J Diagn Ther Dermato-Venereol* 2017, **2**(24):129-131.
22. Chen X, Li W, Chen J: *Leprosy Control Handbook*. China Science Press; 2002.
23. Matos TS, Carmo R, Santos F, Souza C: Leprosy in the elderly population and the occurrence of physical disabilities: Is there cause for concern? *AN BRAS DERMATOL* 2019, **94**(2):243-245.
24. Global leprosy update, 2016: accelerating reduction of disease burden. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* 2017, **92**(35):501-519.
25. Yang G, He S: The epidemiological analysis of leprosy in Xi'an city from 1986 to 2015. *Chin J Derm Venereol* 2016, **9**(30):943-944.
26. He G, He C, Peng W: Epidemiological survey on leprosy in Chuxiong City of Yunnan Province from 1986 to 2015. *Chin J Derm Venereol* 2017, **2**(31):206-208.
27. Yi G: Analysis and development countermeasures of leprosy prevention and control. *Int Med Health Guid* 2003, **24**(9):90-92.
28. Feng Y, Guo Y, Tian L, Wei Z, Zhang L, Yang Y, Zhang G: Dental health and treatment needs in people with leprosy in China. *Lepr Rev* 2014, **85**(4):311-321.

Tables

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of incident and prevalent cases in Shaanxi province from 1988 to 2018

| Year | Total population of Shaanxi, ×100,000 | Prevalent cases | | | | Detected cases | | New cases | | | | Relapse case | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | | N | Prevalence rate, per 100,000 | Sex ratio, M/F | Average age, M±S, years | N | Annual detection rate, per 100,000 | New cases | New case rate, per 100,000 | Average age of new cases, M±S, years | Relapse cases | Relapse rate, per 100,000 | |
| 1998 | 359.6 | 111 | 0.309 | 5.8 | 38.4±12.2 | 33 | 0.092 | 28 | 0.078 | 2.11 | 42.7±10.5 | 5 | 0.014 |
| 1999 | 361.8 | 96 | 0.265 | 13.0 | 46.6±13.9 | 15 | 0.042 | 11 | 0.030 | 2.67 | 43.6±11.9 | 4 | 0.011 |
| 2000 | 360.5 | 115 | 0.319 | 3.4 | 40.4±12.2 | 35 | 0.097 | 34 | 0.094 | 1.83 | 42.9±11.8 | 1 | 0.003 |
| 2001 | 359.4 | 143 | 0.398 | 2.3 | 45.3±14.8 | 46 | 0.128 | 42 | 0.117 | 1.63 | 43.8±12.9 | 4 | 0.011 |
| 2002 | 359.3 | 152 | 0.423 | 5.0 | 46.6±14.4 | 33 | 0.092 | 31 | 0.086 | 5.20 | 45.2±15.0 | 2 | 0.006 |
| 2003 | 359.7 | 150 | 0.417 | 7.0 | 43.8±11.2 | 23 | 0.064 | 21 | 0.058 | 6.00 | 43.0±10.7 | 2 | 0.006 |
| 2004 | 360.2 | 161 | 0.447 | 3.4 | 45.7±12.1 | 31 | 0.086 | 24 | 0.067 | 2.43 | 42.0±9.3 | 7 | 0.019 |
| 2005 | 367.2 | 170 | 0.463 | 2.7 | 47.8±13.5 | 41 | 0.112 | 35 | 0.095 | 3.38 | 46.2±13.5 | 6 | 0.016 |
| 2006 | 374.1 | 162 | 0.433 | 2.0 | 47.8±14.3 | 47 | 0.126 | 40 | 0.107 | 1.86 | 47.0±13.6 | 7 | 0.019 |
| 2007 | 375.3 | 170 | 0.453 | 2.8 | 50.2±14.7 | 46 | 0.123 | 39 | 0.104 | 3.33 | 48.9±14.4 | 7 | 0.019 |
| 2008 | 375.6 | 157 | 0.418 | 2.6 | 45.9±16.4 | 20 | 0.053 | 16 | 0.043 | 3.00 | 44.5±16.4 | 4 | 0.011 |
| 2009 | 347.7 | 129 | 0.341 | 3.7 | 48.0±16.2 | 26 | 0.069 | 20 | 0.053 | 3.00 | 43.0±14.2 | 6 | 0.016 |
| 2010 | 377.8 | 102 | 0.270 | 1.9 | 47.7±17.2 | 23 | 0.061 | 21 | 0.056 | 2.00 | 46.9±17.0 | 2 | 0.005 |
| 2011 | 373.2 | 106 | 0.284 | 1.9 | 44.8±16.2 | 26 | 0.070 | 24 | 0.064 | 1.67 | 43.7±16.2 | 2 | 0.005 |
| 2012 | 389.1 | 86 | 0.221 | 1.8 | 47.8±12.0 | 16 | 0.041 | 16 | 0.041 | 1.67 | 47.2±12.4 | 0 | 0.000 |
| 2013 | 375.0 | 69 | 0.184 | 2.7 | 51.0±13.5 | 11 | 0.029 | 10 | 0.027 | 2.33 | 51.0±14.1 | 1 | 0.003 |
| 2014 | 374.3 | 64 | 0.171 | 3.7 | 45.4±14.7 | 14 | 0.037 | 13 | 0.035 | 3.33 | 45.3±13.1 | 1 | 0.003 |
| 2015 | 388.2 | 59 | 0.152 | 2.5 | 46.6±15.0 | 14 | 0.037 | 12 | 0.032 | 3.00 | 36.7±11.9 | 2 | 0.005 |
| 2016 | 365.3 | 61 | 0.167 | 3.0 | 48.9±10.1 | 15 | 0.041 | 14 | 0.038 | 3.67 | 49.2±10.7 | 1 | 0.003 |
| 2017 | 365.4 | 57 | 0.156 | 2.2 | 48.3±11.4 | 16 | 0.044 | 15 | 0.041 | 2.00 | 49.5±10.8 | 1 | 0.003 |
| 2018 | 388.1 | 52 | 0.134 | 1.8 | 49.3±12.5 | 11 | 0.029 | 11 | 0.029 | 1.75 | 49.2±12.5 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | 542 | 1.397 | 477 | 1.229 | 2.46 | 46.3±13.3 | 65 | 0.167 |

Abbreviations: M/F: Males/Females; M \pm S: Mean \pm Standard deviation.

Table 2 Diagnostic and clinical characteristics of new leprosy cases in Shaanxi from 1998 to 2018

| Year | Cases MB PB | | | | MB proportion (%) | Average diagnostic delay, m | Cases with grade 2 disability | The proportion with grade 2 disability (n%) |
|-------|-------------|-----|----|-------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | 28 | 25 | 3 | 89.29 | | | | |
| 1998 | 28 | 25 | 3 | 89.29 | 64.17 | 3 | | 10.71 |
| 1999 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 90.91 | 62.11 | 2 | | 18.18 |
| 2000 | 34 | 30 | 4 | 88.24 | 64.12 | 7 | | 20.59 |
| 2001 | 42 | 38 | 4 | 90.48 | 62.14 | 22 | | 52.38 |
| 2002 | 31 | 25 | 6 | 80.65 | 58.26 | 6 | | 19.35 |
| 2003 | 21 | 17 | 4 | 80.95 | 43.80 | 4 | | 19.05 |
| 2004 | 24 | 23 | 1 | 95.83 | 80.83 | 6 | | 25.00 |
| 2005 | 35 | 26 | 9 | 74.29 | 43.94 | 11 | | 31.43 |
| 2006 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 75.00 | 112.38 | 15 | | 37.50 |
| 2007 | 39 | 31 | 8 | 79.49 | 97.97 | 14 | | 35.90 |
| 2008 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 75.00 | 33.44 | 3 | | 18.75 |
| 2009 | 20 | 19 | 1 | 95.00 | 58.65 | 11 | | 55.00 |
| 2010 | 21 | 16 | 5 | 76.19 | 47.85 | 4 | | 19.05 |
| 2011 | 24 | 20 | 4 | 83.33 | 47.21 | 7 | | 29.17 |
| 2012 | 16 | 14 | 2 | 87.50 | 64.25 | 5 | | 31.25 |
| 2013 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 80.00 | 52.45 | 4 | | 40.00 |
| 2014 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 84.62 | 54.62 | 6 | | 46.15 |
| 2015 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 91.67 | 71.42 | 4 | | 33.33 |
| 2016 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 64.29 | 88.50 | 8 | | 57.14 |
| 2017 | 15 | 14 | 1 | 93.33 | 46.50 | 3 | | 20.00 |
| 2018 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 90.91 | 47.60 | 3 | | 27.27 |
| Total | 477 | 399 | 78 | 83.65 | | 148 | | 31.03 |

Abbreviations: MB, multibacillary; PB, Paucibacillary.

Figures




Figure 1

Prevalence of leprosy in Shaanxi province, China, 2018. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.