Dietary Flaxseed Meal and Chromium: a Nutritional Intervention in Broiler Chicken to Study the Prospective Growth Performance, Tissue Lipid Composition and Metabolism, Health Indices, and Serum Lipid Chemistry

Nasir Akbar Mir (✉ nasirakbar129@gmail.com)

ICAR- Central Avian Research Institute <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0929-0713>

Praveen K. Tyagi

Central Avian Research Institute

Jubeda Begum

Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture & Technology College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences

Kapil Dev

Central Avian Research Institute

Avishek Biswas

Central Avian Research Institute

Pramod K. Tyagi

Central Avian Research Institute

Divya Sharma

Central Avian Research Institute

Chandra Deo

Central Avian Research Institute

A.B. Mandal

Central Avian Research Institute

Research

Keywords: ω -3 fatty acids, Antioxidant enzymes, Flaxseed, Growth performance, Health indices, Lipid metabolism

Posted Date: June 15th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-35345/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
[Read Full License](#)

Title page

Dietary flaxseed meal and chromium: A nutritional intervention in broiler chicken to study the prospective growth performance, tissue lipid composition and metabolism, health indices, and serum lipid chemistry

Running title: Broiler chicken nutrition and lipid deposition

Nasir Akbar Mir^{*a}, Praveen K. Tyagi^a, Jubeda Begum^b, Kapil Dev^a, Avishek Biswas^a, Pramod K. Tyagi^a, Divya Sharma^a, Chandra Deo^a, A. B. Mandal^a

^a ICAR- Central Avian Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India

^b Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, Pantnagar, India

*Corresponding Author: Nasir Akbar Mir. E-mail: nasirakbar129@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Flaxseed is a richest terrestrial source of ω -3 fatty acid – alpha-linolenic acid (ALA) which can be incorporated in chicken meat when it is included in chicken ration. ALA can further be acted up on by desaturating enzymes to generate PUFA such as EPA and DHA which increase the health value of chicken meat. However, dietary flaxseed results in concurrent increase in lipid oxidation due higher unsaturation and negative impact on chicken growth performance. These negative effects of flaxseed feeding can be reversed by chromium supplementation in broiler chicken. Thus, this study investigated growth performance and efficiency, lipid composition, lipid metabolism, health indices, and serum lipid chemistry of broiler chicken fed flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr).

Results: Feeding of 100 g FSM exerted negative effects on the growth performance during starter phase only (0-3 weeks) and overall growth efficiency parameters in broiler chicken, whereas, Cr supplementation reversed these negative effects. 100 g FSM reduced abdominal fat in chicken and Cr supplementation linearly decreased it with minimum at 1.5 mg Cr/kg diet. Feeding of 100 g FSM favourably improved the activities of lipid metabolism enzymes which resulted in improved fatty acid profile and health indices of chicken meat. No significant effect of Cr supplementation was observed on lipid metabolism, fatty acid profile, and health indices of chicken meat. 100 g FSM decreased serum total cholesterol, triglyceride, cardiac risk ratio, atherogenic coefficient, and atherogenic index of plasma, whereas, Cr supplementation decreased these parameters linearly with increasing levels. Antioxidant enzyme activities and lipid peroxidation were increased by FSM, whereas, Cr supplementation linearly decreased them with increasing levels; and inverse trend was observed in serum HDL cholesterol levels.

Conclusions: Feeding of 100 g FSM exert negative effects on growth performance of young chicken (0-3 weeks), favourably alter lipid metabolism which results in improved fatty acid

profile and health indices of chicken meat. It improves the serum lipid profile and atherogenic indices in broiler chicken, but negatively affects the oxidative stability of lipids. However, Cr supplementation at the rate of 1.5 mg/kg diet successfully overcomes these negative effects of FSM feeding on growth performance and lipid oxidative stability.

Keywords: ω -3 fatty acids; Antioxidant enzymes; Flaxseed; Growth performance; Health indices; Lipid metabolism

Background

Chromium (Cr), an essential trace element required for normal carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in humans and animals [1], has generated considerable interest in its role in animal nutrition. In the field of animal nutrition, there are emerging evidences which suggest that dietary Cr requirement of poultry may be higher than the amount found in a typical corn soybean meal diet [2]. Poultry feeds of plant origin are poor in Cr and poultry birds may exhibit Cr deficiency [3] which may reflect badly on their growth performance. The Cr deficiency disrupts the normal carbohydrate, protein, and lipid metabolism and reduces the insulin sensitivity in peripheral tissues which results in impairment of growth rate in broiler chicken [4]. Cr supplementation is supposed to improve the growth performance of broiler chicken by apparent involvement in gene expression, whereby it binds nuclear chromatin which results in increase in number of initiation sites and in turn enhances RNA synthesis [5]. Improved growth performance [6], carcass yield, and reduced abdominal fat [3, 4, 6] was reported in broiler chicken supplemented with dietary Cr. Cr supplementation in broiler chicken is an interesting aspect of animal nutrition from physiology and muscle growth point of view because Cr potentiates the effects of insulin which is an anabolic hormone involved in regulation of growth [7]. Compared to ruminants very scanty literature pertaining to Cr supplementation in broiler chicken is available [5]. Thus, because of this insufficient and inconclusive literature on Cr supplementation and its bioavailability there are no dietary Cr recommendations for poultry.

On the other hand, increase in incidences of cardiovascular diseases in past few decades have been observed, because of newly evolved food and eating habits of people, which reflects badly on their health and involves high treatment costs [8]. Health professionals worldwide recommend the intake of ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) such as alpha-linolenic acid (ALA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) to help contain or prevent cardio and cerebro vascular diseases in humans [9, 10]. In the long run, use of marine sources for enrichment of human diets with EPA and DHA is an unsustainable solution which creates a negative impact on global fish stocks and aquaculture [11]. Under such circumstances flaxseed feeding in chicken, a richest terrestrial source of ω -3 fatty acids, is the most valuable nutritional strategy for developing the value-added poultry meat with improved health indices [12]. In monogastrics, such as chicken, a strong relationship exists between the dietary fatty acids and those deposited in tissues because no biohydrogenation of dietary unsaturated fatty acids (UFA) occur in monogastric animals [13]. This makes chicken meat most suitable and an easy target for ω -3 fatty acid fortification [8, 11, 12, 14]. The health indices of food items are measured in terms of relative abundance of SFA, UFA, PUFA, and ω -6: ω -3 PUFA ratio. The ω -3 fatty acid such as alpha-linolenic acid (ALA) abundantly present in flaxseed can be converted into long chain PUFA such as EPA, DPA, DHA by the desaturating enzymes [12].

Though, flaxseed feeding in chicken increases the omega-3 fatty acid content of meat [8, 11, 14] and reduces abdominal fat [15] it exerts negative effects on the growth performance of broiler chicken [16, 17]. Also, an increased UFA content in broiler chicken meat makes it more prone to lipid peroxidation. However, Cr has shown antioxidant properties which alleviate the negative effects of oxidative stress and confer protection against lipid peroxidation [18, 19]. Also, Cr potentiates the insulin sensitivity which reduces the fat and enhances the protein content in broiler chicken [3]. The body antioxidant status is measured by the activities of different

antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) and glutathione reductase (GR). Furthermore, Cr also influences the metabolism of cholesterol and triglycerides [20] by which it exerts hypocholesterolemic and hypolipidemic effects. Thus, dietary Cr supplementation offers a new approach to increase meat quality of animals [21]. Thus, this study was designed to analyse the effects of flaxseed meal (FSM) feeding and Cr supplementation on the growth performance, carcass characteristics, lipid composition, lipid metabolism, sensory quality and health indices of meat, serum antioxidant and lipid chemistry in broiler chicken with a hypothesis that in chicken the lipid profile and health indices are improved by flaxseed feeding, and the Cr supplementation alleviates the consequent lipid peroxidation problem arising out of flaxseed meal feeding.

Methods

The experimental procedures carried out in the study were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) following the guidelines of “Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA) 2012” established under the ‘Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1960’ of Indian Penal Code (Approval number 604/09/bc/CPCSEA).

Experimental design, birds, husbandry conditions, and diets

The experimental trial was conducted on 300 day-old commercial broiler chicken, procured from the institutional hatchery, to investigate the effects of dietary FSM and Cr supplementation on their performance, lipid deposition, meat quality, and serum biochemistry. The birds were randomly assigned to five dietary treatments, having six replicates (cages) per treatment with 10 birds in each replicate. The birds were housed in specially designed battery brooder cages for six weeks with *ad libitum* provision of mash feed and fresh water on daily basis. The birds were provided 23 h light first 3 days followed by a decrease of 1 h per day until it reached a 18 h light period which was continued until 42nd day. The initial cage temperature of 95 °F was reduced by

5 °F every week to provide thermo-comfort environment to the birds. The FSM and Cr were employed to formulate five iso-caloric and iso-nitrogenous dietary treatments viz. T1 (0 g FSM & 0 mg Cr/kg diet), T2 (100 g FSM & 0 mg Cr/kg diet), T3 (100 g FSM & 0.5 mg Cr/kg diet), T4 (100 g FSM & 1.0 mg Cr/kg diet), and T5 (100 g FSM & 1.5 mg Cr/kg diet). The inclusion level of 100 g FSM was standardized by preliminary trials. The ingredients and nutrient composition of each dietary treatment is shown in Table 1. The organic Cr in the form of chromium picolinate was used for Cr supplementation.

Growth performance, mortality, and cost economics

The body weight of individual birds were recorded on weekly basis and feed intake was calculated daily on replicate basis by taking the difference of feed offered and residual feed. The body weight gain (BWG), feed intake, and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were calculated for 0-3 week, 4-6 week, and 0-6 week durations. The mortality of the birds was recorded as and when it occurred. The growth efficiency parameters viz. production efficiency factor (PEF), protein efficiency ratio (PER), and energy efficiency ratio (EER) were calculated as follows [22]:

$$\text{PEF} = \{\text{Final body weight (kg)} \times \text{Livability (\%)} \times 100\} / \text{age in days} \times \text{FCR}$$

$$\text{PER} = \text{Weight gain} / \text{Protein Intake}$$

$$\text{EER} = \{\text{Weight gain (g)} / \text{Total energy intake (ME Kcal)}\} / \times 100$$

Cost of feed involved in the production of broiler chicken was calculated in terms of per kg live weight gain and per kg meat yield based on prevailing market price of the feed ingredients and expressed as percentage change in cost in each treatment group with respect to the control diet (T1).

Carcass characteristics and sensory evaluation

At the end of six weeks feeding trial 12 birds from each dietary treatment (1 male and 1 female per replicate) were selected randomly and sacrificed after 12 hours of fasting with *ad libitum* drinking water for evaluation of carcass characteristics and sensory evaluation of meat. The

subjective colour and odour measurements were recorded on fresh meat as well as after 30 days of refrigerated storage. Since poultry furnish white meat, for better visual scores 5- point descriptive colour scale was used (5 = white, 4 = pale red, 3 = reddish pale, 2 = reddish grey, 1 = pale grey), while, 5- point scale for odour was; 5 = very pleasant, 4 = pleasant, 3 = moderately pleasant, 2 = moderately unpleasant, 1 = very unpleasant. In both cases 5 = extremely desirable and 1 = extremely undesirable. Cooked meat samples were also subjected to sensory evaluation in which uniform size samples from each treatment group were steam cooked at 15 lbs pressure for 15 minutes and served to semi trained judges of test panel (n = 12). Judges were requested to make their opinion based on 8 point descriptive scale where 8 = extremely desirable and 1 = extremely undesirable [23].

Sample collection

The birds sacrificed for carcass trait study were used for collection of breast and thigh muscle samples without skin for the study of fatty acid profile, fatty acid metabolism indices, and health indices. Also, prior to sacrificing of birds blood samples were collected in non-heparinised tubes, serum was harvested, and immediately stored at -20 °C for the study of serum antioxidant enzyme activities and other biochemical parameters detailed ahead in this section.

Gas chromatography and fatty acid profile analysis

For fatty acid profile analysis of meat and feed samples a standardized protocol is followed at our research institute. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) were prepared directly from the feed, breast, and thigh meat samples by using C13:0 ME internal standard (0.5 mg C13:0/ml methanol) [24]. The FAMES, prepared in hexane, were stored in Gas chromatograph (GC) vials and placed at -20 °C until analysis. The fatty acid composition of the FAME was determined by capillary Gas liquid chromatography on a CP-6173, 60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.20 mm capillary column (Varian) installed on a Thermo Scientific Ceres 800 plus gas chromatograph fitted with an Automatic sampler AI3000, integrator and flame ionization detector. The initial oven temperature was 120

°C, which was held for 5 min. Then, subsequently the temperature was increased to 240 °C at a rate of 2 °C/min, and held for 60 min. Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The injector and the detector of GC were set at 260 °C. The split ratio was 30:1. Fatty acid standard purchased from Supelcon, Bellefonte- PA contained 37 different FAMES and 0.5 µl was injected into GC to get the standard peaks. The fatty acids were identified by comparing retention time of their peaks with the respective fatty acid methyl ester standards and were expressed as percentage of total fatty acids in feed, breast, and thigh samples.

Fatty acid metabolism indices

The fatty acids present in body are acted upon by various enzymes of lipid metabolism to produce higher SFAs, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), and PUFAs. This fatty acid conversion occurs predominantly in liver and the products get deposited in muscle tissues. This conversion is measured in terms of certain indices by relating the percentage of products to the percentage of their corresponding precursors in chicken meat. The enzyme activities in the production of MUFAs was calculated as follows [25]:

$$\Delta 9 - \text{DI (18): } \Delta 9 - \text{desaturase (18) index} = 100 [\text{C18: 1}/(\text{C18: 1} + \text{C18: 0})]$$

$$\Delta 9 - \text{DI (16): } \Delta 9 - \text{desaturase (16) index} = 100 [\text{C16: 1}/(\text{C16: 1} + \text{C16: 0})]$$

$$\text{Total DI } \Delta 9 - \text{desaturase index} = 100 [(\text{C16: 1} + \text{C18: 1})/(\text{C16: 1} + \text{C16: 0} + \text{C18: 1} + \text{C18: 0})]$$

The enzyme activities in the conversion of myristic acid (C14:0) to palmitic acid (C16:0) and further to steric acid (C18:0) was measured in terms of thioesterase and elongase indices as follows [26]:

$$\text{Elongase index (EI)} = \text{C18: 0}/\text{C16: 0}$$

$$\text{Thioesterase index (TI)} = \text{C16: 0}/\text{C14: 0}$$

Similarly, the enzyme activities in the conversion of essential fatty acids (EFA), linoleic acid (LA) and ALA, into long chain PUFA was measured in terms of $\Delta^5 + \Delta^6$ desaturase index as follows [27]:

$$\Delta^5 + \Delta^6\text{-desaturase index} = 100 [(C20:2 \omega-6 + C20:4 \omega-6 + EPA + C22:5 \omega-3 + DHA) / (C18:2 \omega-6 (LA) + ALA + C20:2 \omega-6 + C20:4 \omega-6 + EPA + C22:5 \omega-3 + DHA)]$$

Health indices of meat

The modification in the fatty acid profile of chicken meat alters its health profile. Different indicators or determinants of health profile are used to measure the health value of chicken meat.

The ratios of ω -3 PUFA to ω -6 PUFA, PUFA to SFA, MUFA to SFA, and UFA to SFA along with saturation index (S/P), atherogenic index (AI), and thrombogenic index (TI) are considered as health indices of chicken meat and were calculated as follows [11, 12]:

$$S/P = (C14:0 + C16:0 + C18:0) / (MUFA + PUFA)$$

$$AI = (C12:0 + 4 \times C14:0 + C16:0) / (MUFA + PUFA)$$

$$TI = (C14:0 + C16:0 + C18:0) / [(MUFA + \omega-6 \text{ PUFA})/2 + 3 \times \omega-3 \text{ PUFA} + \omega-3: \omega-6]$$

The other health indices of chicken meat *viz.* Desirable fatty acids (DFA), Hypercholesterolemic fatty acids (HFA), and ratio of hypocholesterolemic fatty acids to hypercholesterolemic fatty acids (h/H) were calculated as follows [28]:

$$DFA = UFA + C18:0$$

$$HFA = C12:0 + C14:0 + C16:0$$

$$h/H = (C18:1 + PUFA) / (C14:0 + C16:0)$$

Serum lipid chemistry and health indices

The serum total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglyceride, and glucose estimation was done by using the Cogent, SPAN diagnostics kits following the instructions of the manufacturer. The serum thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) value measures the extent of lipid oxidation in the body and it was expressed in terms of μ M malondialdehyde (MDA) [29]. The antioxidant defence system of the body contains various antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and glutathione reductase

(GR). These enzymes were assayed by using the Cayman diagnostic kits [30]. All the samples and standards were measured in duplicate.

The atherogenic indices of serum were calculated by the method described by Frolich et al. [31] as follows:

Cardiac Risk Ratio (CRR) = Total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol

Atherogenic Coefficient (AC) = (Total cholesterol – HDL cholesterol)/HDL cholesterol

Atherogenic Index of Plasma (AIP) = (Total cholesterol – HDL cholesterol)/HDL cholesterol

Statistical analysis

For the analysis of data pertaining to feed intake, FCR, PEF, PER, and EER, each replicate was taken as an experimental unit, whereas, for all other parameters the sampled bird was taken as an experimental unit. All data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variances with the Shapiro-Wilk test and Levene's test respectively prior to analyses. The data were analysed by one way ANOVA using the General Linear Model procedure (IBM SPSS software-20). The significant mean differences were separated by Tukey post-hoc analysis with significance level defined at $P < 0.05$. Further, to validate the effects of Cr supplementation the data were also subjected to polynomial orthogonal contrast.

Results

Growth performance

The BWG of birds during 0-3 weeks of age was lower ($P = 0.009$) in T2 group followed by statistically different T3 and T4 groups, whereas higher BWG was observed in T5 and T1 which did not differ significantly from each other (Table 2). Similarly, the FCR during 0-3 weeks of age was higher ($P = 0.006$) in T2 group compared to other treatments groups which did not differ significantly from each other. The feed intake and 4-6 week and 0-6 week BWG and FCR of birds were not affected by dietary treatments. The mortality pattern of birds also showed no

significant dietary effects (data not shown, only 2 birds died from T3 and 1 bird each from T1, T2, & T4 treatment groups during whole experimental period).

Growth efficiency parameters

In line with the results of growth performance of birds given above, during 0-3 weeks of age lower PEF ($P = 0.008$), PER ($P = 0.010$), and EER ($P = 0.007$) of birds were observed in group T2 compared to other treatment groups which did not differ significantly from each other (Table 3). However, PEF, PER, and EER during 4-6 weeks and 0-6 weeks of age were not affected by dietary treatments.

Carcass characteristics and cost economics

The carcass characteristics revealed no significant dietary treatment effects except the abdominal fat (Table 4). Lower ($P = 0.019$) abdominal fat of birds was observed in T5 group followed by statistically similar T4 group. Higher abdominal fat was observed in T1 group followed by statistically different T2 and T3 groups which were not significantly different from T4 group. With respect to control diet (T1) all other treatment groups revealed an increase in cost per kg live weight ($P = 0.021$) and per kg meat yield ($P = 0.018$) with higher increase in group T2 and less increase in group T5. However, group T3, and T4 were statistically similar to both T2 and T5.

Sensory quality of chicken meat

None of the sensory quality attributes of either cooked or raw chicken meat revealed significant dietary effects (Table 5).

Fatty acid profile of chicken meat

The fatty acid profiles of broiler chicken thigh and breast meat are given in Table 6 & 7, respectively. Higher percentages of C16:0, C18:0, SFA; and lower percentages of C18:1, C18:2 (LA), C18:3 (ALA), C20:1, C20:3, C20:5 (EPA), C22:5 (DPA), C22:6 (DHA), MUFA, PUFA,

ω -3 PUFA, and ω -6 PUFA were observed in group T1 compared to other treatment groups which did not differ significantly from each other.

Fatty acid metabolism of chicken meat

The fatty acid metabolism indices of thigh and breast meat revealed that DI (18), DI (16), total DI, and $\Delta^5 + \Delta^6$ - desaturase index were lower ($P < 0.05$) and elongase and thioesterase indices were higher ($P < 0.05$) in control group T1 compared to other treatments groups which did not differ significantly from each other (Table 8).

Health indices of broiler chicken meat

The health indices of chicken meat under the influence of FSM and Cr feeding revealed lower ($P < 0.01$) PUFA:SFA ratio, MUFA:SFA ratio, UFA:SFA ratio, the DFA content, and h/H ratio in control group T1 compared to other treatment groups which did not differ significantly from each other (Table 9). However, higher ω -6: ω -3 fatty acid ratio, saturation index, atherogenic index (AI), thrombogenic index (TI), and hypercholesterolemic fatty acids (HFA) were observed in control group T1 compared to other treatment groups which were statistically similar to each other.

Serum lipid chemistry and health indices

The serum lipid chemistry, antioxidant enzyme activities, and associated health indices are given in Table 10. No significant dietary effects were observed on the serum glucose concentration. However, higher serum triglyceride ($P = 0.011$) and total cholesterol ($P = 0.021$) concentrations were observed in control group T1 compared to group T2 and linear decrease ($P = 0.021$ & 0.016 , respectively) was observed in groups supplemented with increasing Cr levels. Serum HDL cholesterol was lower ($P = 0.011$) in control group T1 compared to group T2 and it increased linearly ($P = 0.014$) in treatment groups supplemented with increasing Cr levels. Serum antioxidant enzyme activities and MDA concentrations have shown a unique trend. Higher activities of SOD ($P = 0.007$), CAT ($P = 0.001$), GSH-Px ($P = 0.002$), GR ($P < 0.001$), and

TBARS value ($P = 0.009$) were observed in group T2 compared to control group T1 and these serum parameters decreased linearly ($P = 0.004$, < 0.001 , 0.001 , < 0.001 , & 0.006 , respectively) with increasing Cr levels in the diets, such that group T5 depicted lower values compared to control group T1. Higher values of health indices such as CRR ($P = 0.033$), AC ($P = 0.022$), and AIP ($P = 0.007$) were observed in control group T1 compared group T2 and linear reduction of CRR ($P < 0.001$), AC ($P = 0.003$), and AIP ($P = 0.012$) was observed with increasing Cr levels in the chicken diets.

Discussion

A number of researchers consistently reported poor growth performance of birds with increasing levels of flaxseed in the broiler chicken diets [32, 33, 34] due to poor energy availability, presence of anti-nutritional factors, low digestibility of flaxseed and high viscosity of jejunal digesta [35]. However, results of the present were peculiar in that negative effects of 100 g FSM on BWG and FCR of birds were observed only up to 3 weeks of age, indicating the age dependence of negative effects of FSM feeding in broiler chicken. Similar age dependence of negative effects of FSM feeding was observed on the PEF, PER, and EEF of birds only up to 3 weeks of age. Poor growth performance and efficiency of birds fed FSM indicates their poor efficiency of feed, protein, and energy utilization [36]. Even some old studies maintain that feeding ground flaxseed beyond 7.5% level in broiler chicken reduced the growth and conversion efficiency, explaining the reduction in PER and net protein ratio, which could be due to lower nitrogen and amino acid retention because of the presence of mucilage [16, 17]. However, in present study the negative effects of FSM feeding during starter phase seem to be overcome by Cr supplementation. But Cr levels did not differ significantly from each other except that the BWG of birds supplemented with only 1.5 mg Cr/kg diet could match the BWG of control diet fed birds. In earlier studies Cr supplementation in broiler chicken improved BWG and efficiency

of feed utilization [1, 37]. Contrary to this no significant effects of Cr supplementation were observed in other studies [38, 39]. The mortality of birds, well within permissible limits, was found to have no impact of different dietary treatments in the present study. On the similar lines in earlier studies flaxseed feeding [32] and Cr supplementation [38] were found to have no significant effect on broiler chicken mortality.

The decline of abdominal fat in broiler chicken fed FSM can be attributed to enhanced UFA content in meat at the cost of SFA and former undergo rapid oxidation compared to their saturated counter parts. The FSM also exerts its effect by increasing gut viscosity which hinders the micelle formation and thus diminish lipid uptake, thereby reducing its deposition in the body [8]. Further, the increased leptin protein levels were observed in rabbits fed diet supplemented with 100 g flaxseed [40] which can also be responsible for reduction of abdominal fat deposition in animals. On the other hand linear reduction in abdominal fat was observed with increasing Cr levels in the present study. This can be attributed to the fact that Cr supplementation improves insulin sensitivity of tissues which causes enhanced deposition of dietary protein and carbohydrate in muscle cells compared to fat [41]. In line with the results of present study carcass characteristics in broiler chicken were not affected by 100 g flaxseed feeding [32, 33, 42] or Cr supplementation [39, 43]. The feed cost per kg live weight and per kg meat yield increased by 10.50% and 9.65%, respectively, due to the inclusion of 100 g FSM in chicken diets. However, Cr supplementation could linearly decrease this rise of feed cost by just 2.86% and 2.97%, respectively, by improving the feed efficiency of birds. This increase of feed cost can be justified based on the fact that FSM results in ω -3 PUFA enrichment of meat whose consumption has well documented health benefits in humans. Previous studies have also reported increase in feed cost by inclusion of flaxseed in broiler chicken ration [16, 44]. However, no such studies are available which show the effect of Cr supplementation on feed cost of broiler chicken.

The results of sensory evaluation of broiler chicken meat are corroborated by the studies of Mridula et al. [33, 36] who observed that organoleptic properties of meat did not show any significant effect of FSM inclusion in the diet of broiler chicken. Further, sensory quality of breast or thigh meat [42] and sensory values (aroma, flavour, aftertaste, overall acceptability) of breast and thigh meat patties made for chicken fed did not exhibit any significant effect of flaxseed feeding. On the other hand, thermally treated breast and leg muscles did not show any differences in organoleptic characteristics as a result of chromium supplementation in broiler diet [1]. Further, not enough literature is available pertaining to the impact of chromium on the sensory evaluation of broiler meat.

The dietary inclusion of FSM as source of PUFA, especially ω -3 fatty acids, in broiler chicken diets has been carried out to alter the fatty acid composition of chicken meat in earlier studies [8, 11, 12, 14, 41]. Significant improvement in fatty acid profile of chicken meat was observed in present study by inclusion of 100g FSM/kg diet of broiler chicken. Flaxseed is the richest terrestrial source of ω -3 fatty acids (particularly ALA), which undergo faster absorption in the gut of birds without any significant bio-hydrogenation and can be the reason for higher UFA content in chicken meat at the expense of SFA content [8, 14, 41]. Increase in long chain PUFA such as EPA, DPA, and DHA content of chicken meat could be because of enhancement of de novo synthesis of long chain PUFA from LA and ALA supplied by dietary FSM [45]. On similar lines increased ω -3 fatty acids, particularly ALA, EPA, DPA, and DHA content in broiler chicken meat was observed by inclusion of flaxseed in broiler chicken ration [8, 11, 12, 14, 34, 41]. Broiler chicken do have the ability to convert some dietary ALA to EPA, DPA, and DHA [11, 12] which indicates that EPA and DHA can be made available from chicken meat conveniently other than the conventional marine sources. There are no such reports available on chicken showing the effects of Cr on fatty acid profile of chicken meat. However, similar to the

results of present study no significant changes in tissue fatty acid profiles of swine [46], and cattle [47] were observed due to dietary Cr supplementation.

The changes in the lipid composition of broiler chicken meat observed in this study due to FSM feeding could be the results of alteration in lipid metabolism and the consequent lipid deposition in chicken tissues [48]. Feeding of 100 g FSM increased Δ^9 -DI (16), Δ^9 -DI (18), total Δ^9 -DI, and $\Delta^5 + \Delta^6$ desaturase activities in broiler chicken meat and decreased elongase and thioesterase indices. Age and diet of broiler chicken are two important determinants of Δ^9 desaturase activity [49]. In the present study it can be FSM induced increase in Δ^9 desaturase activity because the birds used were of same age group and the same has been reported by Kumar et al. [11]. The Δ^9 -desaturase enzymes catalyze the conversion of endogenous and dietary specific medium- and long-chain SFA (palmitic, C16:0 and stearic acid, C18:0) to their corresponding MUFA (palmitoleic, C16:1 and oleic acid, C18:1) [12, 50]. The decreased elongase and thioesterase indices indicate lower conversion of myristic acid (C14:0) to palmitic acid (C16:0) and further to stearic acid (C18:0) which reflects the trend of steric acid in the fatty acid profile of chicken meat. On the other hand, animals do not have the ability to synthesize essential fatty acids (EFA) such as LA and ALA from acetyl-CoA, but they can convert them to more unsaturated long chain FA in liver when supplied in diet. This process is catalysed by Δ^5 and Δ^6 desaturases which are rate limiting enzymes in this process of conversion. In present study 100 g FSM feeding induced higher $\Delta^5 + \Delta^6$ desaturase index which reflects the trend of EPA, DPA, and DHA content of the chicken meat and this relationship between long chain PUFA and $\Delta^5 + \Delta^6$ desaturase activities in chicken tissues has also been established in earlier studies [11, 27]. However, Cr supplementation did not show any significant effect on the fatty acid metabolism indices which corroborate the trend of fatty acid profile of chicken meat observed in this study. Notwithstanding the knowledge of authors, there is no literature available pertaining to the effects of Cr supplementation on fatty acid metabolism in broiler chicken meat.

The degree of saturation of fatty acids is considered an important parameter for evaluation of food quality [51]. The greater the degree of UFA content, particularly ω -3 fatty acids, greater are the health benefits of food items. The ratio of ω -6 to ω -3 is an important index of meat quality of animals/birds. The metabolism of EFA, LA (ω -6 fatty acid) and ALA (ω -3 fatty acid), to their corresponding long chain PUFAs share common pathways and pool of metabolic enzymes [52]. Because of the competition between these two distinct families of EFAs for the same set of enzymes an excess of substrate of one family hinders the metabolism of other, reduces its incorporation into the tissues, and hence, the consequent biological effects [53]. In this regard, flaxseed has been reported containing 32 - 45% oil, of which 51 - 55% is ALA and 15 - 18% is LA [54] and presenting an ω -6: ω -3 ratio of 0.3:1 which makes it most suitable for ω -3 enrichment of chicken meat. Thus, the present study provides intuitions that feeding of FSM in broiler chicken offers a unique strategy of increasing the long chain ω -3 PUFAs such as EPA, DPA, and DHA in chicken meat at the expense of ω -6 PUFAs. As a result of enhanced unsaturation of chicken meat due to dietary FSM PUFA:SFA ratio, MUFA:SFA ratio, UFA:SFA ratio, the DFA content, and h/H ratio of chicken meat increased, whereas, ω -6: ω -3 fatty acid ratio, saturation index, atherogenic index (AI), thrombogenic index (TI), and hypercholesterolemic fatty acids (HFA) decreased. The ω -6: ω -3 fatty acid ratio improved from 5.4:1 to 3.4:1 in thigh meat and from 7.7:1 to 3.3:1 in breast meat which indicates differential deposition rate of different fatty acids in breast and thigh meat. Similarly, in recent studies on broiler chicken dietary flaxseed improved tissue ω -6: ω -3 fatty acid ratio and PUFA:SFA ratio [11, 12, 55]. Lower AI and TI, observed in present study, have been reported to exert protective action against coronary heart diseases [55]. Furthermore, 100 g flaxseed feeding elevated the leptin protein levels in rabbits, which has a strong positive correlation with adipose ALA levels [40] and hence inversely correlated with the risk of cardiovascular diseases [56]. The diets rich

in saturated fatty acids, such as lauric acid (C12:0), myristic acid (C14:0), and palmitic acid (C16:0), have shown higher correlation with increased risk of atherosclerosis, obesity, and coronary heart diseases [11, 57]. But the higher Δ^9 desaturation activity observed in the current study is supposed to counter these unwanted outcomes to a certain extent by converting palmitic acid to palmitoleic acid. However, Cr supplementation in present did not affect the health indices of broiler chicken meat because of nonsignificant effect on the metabolism and composition of fatty acids of chicken tissues. Notwithstanding the knowledge of authors, no literature deciphering the influence of Cr supplementation on the health indices of broiler chicken/animal/humans is available.

In present study feeding of 100 g FSM resulted in lower serum cholesterol, triglyceride, CRR, AC, and AIP; and linear decrease was observed in all these parameters due to Cr supplementation. Flaxseed interferes in bile acid metabolism by inhibiting the re-uptake of bile acids, which diverts cholesterol towards the hepatic synthesis of bile acids and thus reduces its serum concentration in broiler chicken/humans [14, 58]. The decrease in serum triglyceride levels may be because of increased tissue ω -3 fatty acid content due to FSM feeding. In various animal models like rats, mice, and rabbit flaxseed feeding exert hypocholesterolemic effects, generally due to the greater fecal excretion of lipids [59]. In line with the results of present study decline of serum cholesterol and triglyceride levels occurred as a result of flaxseed feeding in broiler chicken [22, 35] and humans [58]. The effect of flaxseed feeding on serum HDL cholesterol have resulted in various conflicting reports. Similar to the present results, flaxseed feeding increased serum HDL cholesterol [58], whereas, no significant changes in serum HDL cholesterol levels have also been reported [60]. On the other hand, Cr seems to exerts hypocholesterolemic and hypolipidemic effects by activating 5-AMP-activated kinase which negatively regulates sterol regulatory element binding protein-1 (SREBP-1). This SREBP-1 is required for synthesis and uptake of cholesterol and triglycerides [20]. The Cr supplementation

reduced the muscle cholesterol by 40% and serum cholesterol by 30% in broiler chicken supplemented by organic Cr [3]. The lower plasma concentration of HDL-C is considered as a major risk factor for the development of cardiovascular diseases [60]. Researchers have shown that an increase in plasma HDL-C concentration reduces cardiovascular risk [61] which supports the decline of CRR, AC, and AIP in the present study. The serum lipid profile has a direct relationship with the risk factors of cardiovascular diseases [56] and improved lipid profile results in lower atherogenic indices which provide protection against coronary heart diseases [55]. PUFA decreases cholesterol content more strongly than MUFA; and oleic acid and linolenic acid exert antiatherogenic properties [57]. Similar to the present study, a significant improvement in atherogenic indices of humans [58] and chicken [11] were observed due to dietary flaxseed. The increase in serum antioxidant enzyme activities and TBARS value of broiler chicken in present study has been reported in recent studies [22, 35]. This can be attributed to increased lipid unsaturation in chicken meat, supported by increased TBARS values, which induces the enhanced antioxidant enzyme activities [34, 61]. Linear decrease in antioxidant enzyme activities and TBARS value were observed with increasing Cr levels in diet of chicken. Cr serves as an antioxidant which provides protection against lipid peroxidation [18] and hence reverses the antioxidant enzyme induction property of FSM. Cr supplementation in cows significantly lower plasma SOD with no effect on GPx or GR [62] and reduced lipid peroxidation due to Cr supplementation with no significant effect on serum antioxidant enzymes was observed in birds [39].

Conclusion

The study concludes that 100 g FSM feeding exerts negative effects on the growth performance during starter phase only (0-3 weeks) and overall growth efficiency in broiler chicken, whereas, Cr supplementation reverses these negative effects. The 100 g FSM feeding reduces abdominal

fat in broiler chicken and Cr supplementation linearly decreases it with minimum value at 1.5 mg Cr/kg diet. The 100 g FSM feeding favourably alters the lipid metabolism to improve the meat lipid profile and health indices and no such effects are exerted by Cr supplementation. The 100 g FSM feeding improves serum lipid profile and atherogenic indices and linear improvement occurs in these parameters with increasing Cr levels up to 1.5 mg/kg chicken diet. And, 100 g FSM feeding decrease the serum antioxidant status which is improved by 1.5 mg Cr /kg diet in broiler chicken.

Abbreviations

Cr: Chromium; PUFA: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; ALA: Alpha-linolenic acid; LA: Linoleic acid; EPA: Eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA: Docosahexaenoic acid; UFA: Unsaturated fatty acids; SFA: Saturated fatty acids; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; GSH-Px: Glutathione peroxidase; GR: Glutathione reductase; FSM: Flaxseed meal; BWG: Body weight gain; FCR: Feed conversion ratio; PEF: Production efficiency factor; PER: Protein efficiency ratio; EER: Energy efficiency ratio; FAME: Fatty acid methyl esters; GC: Gas chromatograph; DI: Desaturase index; DFA: Desirable fatty acids; HFA: Hypercholesterolemic fatty acids; TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; CRR: Cardiac risk ratio; AC: Atherogenic coefficient; AIP: Atherogenic index of plasma

Acknowledgements

There is none to acknowledge

Authors' contributions

NAM, AB, DS, CD: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data collection, Writing

PKT, JB, KD: Methodology, Writing-reviewing and Editing

JB, KD, NAM: Data collection, Writing

AB, PKT, DS, CD: Supervision, Editing

476 PKT, ABM, NAM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data analysis

477 **Funding**

478 This research did not receive any funding from any agency

479 **Availability of data and materials**

480 Data will be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request

481 **Ethical approval and consent to participate**

482 The experimental procedures carried out in the study were approved by the Institutional Animal
483 Ethics Committee (IAEC) following the guidelines of “Committee for the Purpose of Control
484 and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA) 2012” established under the ‘Prevention
485 of Cruelty to Animals Act 1960’ of Indian Penal Code (Approval number 604/09/bc/CPCSEA).

486 **Consent for publication**

487 Not applicable

488 **Competing of interest**

489 The authors declare that they have no competing interest arising out of this manuscript

490 **References**

- 491 1. Kroliczewska B, Zawadzki W, Skiba T, Mista D. Effects of chromium supplementation on
492 chicken growth and carcass characteristics. *Acta Vet Brno*. 2005;74:543-549.
- 493 2. Amata A. Chromium in livestock nutrition: A review. *Glob Adv Res J Agri Sci*.
494 2013;2(12):289-306.
- 495 3. Debski B, Zalewski W, Gralak MA, Kosla T. Chromium-yeast supplementation of chicken
496 broilers in an industrial farming system. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2004;18:47-51.
- 497 4. Sahin K, Sahin N, Kucuk O. Effects of chromium and ascorbic acid supplementation on
498 growth, carcass traits, serum metabolites, and antioxidant status of broiler chickens
499 reared at a high ambient temperature (32 °C). *Nutr Res*. 2003;23:225-238.

- 500 5. Hossain SM. Organic Chromium in Poultry: Metabolic Responses, Effects on Broiler Carcass
501 Composition, Nutrient Composition of Eggs. 2007. [https://en.engormix.com/poultry-](https://en.engormix.com/poultry-industry/articles/organic-chromium-in-poultry-t33943.htm)
502 [industry/articles/organic-chromium-in-poultry-t33943.htm](https://en.engormix.com/poultry-industry/articles/organic-chromium-in-poultry-t33943.htm) Accessed online 19/05/20,
503 3:35 PM.
- 504 6. Toghyani M, Shivazad M, Gheisari AA, Zarkesh SH. Performance, carcass traits and
505 hematological parameters of heat stressed broiler chicks in response to dietary levels of
506 chromium picolinate. Int J Poultry Sci. 2006;5(1):65-69.
- 507 7. Matis G, Lengyel P, Kulcsar A, Kulcsarne Petrilla J, Neogrady ZS. Special characteristics of
508 carbohydrate metabolism and insulin homeostasis in chicken. Literature review. Magy
509 Allatorvosok 2014;136:(6)342–349.
- 510 8. Mir NA, Tyagi PK, Biswas AK, Tyagi PK, Mandal AB, Kumar F, et al. Inclusion of flaxseed,
511 broken rice, and distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) in broiler chicken ration
512 alters the fatty acid profile, oxidative stability, and other functional properties of meat.
513 Euro J Lipid Sci Technol. 2018;120(6). DOI: 10.1002/ejlt.201700470.
- 514 9. Siegel G, Ermilov E. Omega-3 fatty acids: Benefits for cardio-cerebro-vascular diseases.
515 Atherosclerosis 2012;225:291-295.
- 516 10. del Gobbo LC. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid biomarkers and coronary heart disease:
517 pooling project of 19 cohort studies. JAMA Int Med. 2016;176:1155-1166.
- 518 11. Kumar F, Tyagi PK, Mir NA, Tyagi PK, Dev K, Bera I, et al. Role of flaxseed meal feeding
519 for different durations on the lipid deposition and meat quality in broiler chickens. J Am
520 Oil Chemists' Soc. 2019;96:261-271.

- 521 12. Kumar F, Tyagi PK, Mir NA, Dev K, Begum J, Biswas A, et al. Dietary flaxseed and turmeric
522 is a novel strategy to enrich chicken meat with long chain ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty
523 acids with better oxidative stability and functional properties. Food Chem. 2020;305.
524 doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125458.
- 525 13. Kouba M, Mourot J. A review of nutritional effects on fat composition of animal products
526 with special emphasis on n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. Biochimie 2011;93:13-17.
- 527 14. Mir NA, Tyagi PK, Biswas AK, Tyagi PK, Mandal AB, Wani MA, et al. Performance and
528 meat quality of broiler chicken fed a ration containing flaxseed meal and higher dietary
529 lysine levels. J Agric Sci. 2018;156(2):291-299.
- 530 15. Shen Y, Feng D, Fan MZ, Chavez ER. Performance, carcass cut-up and fatty acids deposition
531 in broilers fed different levels of pellet-processed flaxseed. J Sci Food Agric.
532 2005;85:2005-2014.
- 533 16. Zuidhof MJ, Betti M, Korver R, Hernandez FIL, Schneider BL, Carney FL, et al. Omega-3-
534 enriched broiler meat: 1. Optimization of a production system. Poult Sci. 2009;88:1108-
535 1120.
- 536 17. Azcona JO, Schang MJ, Garcia PT, Gallinger C, Ayerza Jr R, Coates W. Omega-3 enriched
537 broiler meat: The influence of dietary α -linolenic- ω -3 fatty acid sources on growth,
538 performance and meat fatty acid composition. Can J Anim Sci. 2008;88:257-269.
- 539 18. Anderson RA. Exercise effects on trace element metabolism. In: Roussel AM, Anderson
540 RA, Favier AE, editors. Trace element in man and animal. New York USA: Kluwer
541 Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2000. pp. 393-397.

- 542 19. Hoeck VV, Sonawane M, Sanchez ALG, Dosselaer IV, Buyens C, Morisset D. Chromium
543 propionate improves performance and carcass traits in broilers Anim Nutr. 2020.
544 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2020.03.005>
- 545 20. Rajalekshmi M, Gnanasekar R, Chirakkal H. Role of chromium in livestock nutrition: A
546 review. 2008. [https://en.engormix.com/dairy-cattle/articles/chromium-in-livestock-](https://en.engormix.com/dairy-cattle/articles/chromium-in-livestock-nutrition-t34328.htm)
547 [nutrition-t34328.htm](https://en.engormix.com/dairy-cattle/articles/chromium-in-livestock-nutrition-t34328.htm) Accessed online 19/05/202, 12:46 PM.
- 548 21. Ohh SJ, Lee JY. Dietary chromium-methionine chelate supplementation and animal
549 performance. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci. 2005;18:898-907.
- 550 22. Mir NA, Tyagi PK, Biswas AK, Tyagi PK, Mandal AB, Hazarika R, et al. Response of broiler
551 chicken in terms of growth and efficiency, carcass characteristics, sensory quality of meat
552 and serum biochemical profile to different lysine levels in flaxseed based diet. Anim Nutr
553 Feed Technol. 2018;18:141-152.
- 554 23. Keeton JT. Effect of fat or sodium chloride or phosphate level on the chemical sensory
555 properties of pork patties. J Food Sci. 1983;48:878-881.
- 556 24. O'Fallon JV, Busboom JR, Nelson ML, Gaskins CT. A direct method for fatty acid methyl
557 ester (FAME) synthesis: Application to wet meat tissues, oils and feedstuffs. J Anim Sci.
558 2007;85:1511-1521.
- 559 25. Okada T, Furuhashi N, Kuromori Y, Miyashita M, Iwata F, Harada K. Plasma palmitoleic
560 acid content and obesity in children. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;82:747-750.
- 561 26. Zhang S, Knight TJ, Stalder KJ, Goodwin RN, Lonergan SM, Beitz DC. Effects of breed,
562 sex, and halothane genotype on fatty acid composition of pork longissimus muscle. J
563 Anim Sci. 2007;85:583-591.

- 564 27. Sirri F, Castellini C, Roncarati A, Meluzzi A. Effect of feeding and genotype on lipid profile
565 of organic chicken meat. *Euro J Lipid Sci Technol*. 2010;112:994-1002.
- 566 28. Pilarczyk R, Woojcik J, Sablik P, Czerniak P. Fatty acid profile and health lipid indices in
567 the raw milk of Simmental and Holstein-Friesian cows from an organic farm. *S Afr J*
568 *Anim Sci*. 2015;45(1):30-38.
- 569 29. Yagi K. Simple assay for the levels of total lipid peroxides in serum or plasma. *Meth Mol*
570 *Biol*. 1998;108:101-106.
- 571 30. Wheeler CR, Salzman JA, Elsayed NM, Omaye ST, Korte DW Jr. Automated assays for
572 superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione peroxide and glutathione reductase activity.
573 *Anal Biochem*. 1990;184:193-199.
- 574 31. Frohlich J, Dobiasova M. Fractional esterification rate of cholesterol and ratio of triglycerides
575 to HDL-Cholesterol are powerful predictors of positive findings on coronary
576 angiography. *Clin Chem*. 2003;49:1873-1880.
- 577 32. Pekel AY, Patterson PH, Hulet RM, Acar N, Cravener TL, Dowler DB, et al. Dietary
578 camelina meal versus flaxseed with and without supplemental copper for broiler
579 chickens: live performance and processing yield. *Poult Sci*. 2009;88:2392-2398.
- 580 33. Mridula D, Kaur D, Nagra SS, Barnwal P, Gurumayum S, Singh KK. Growth performance,
581 carcass traits and meat quality in broilers, fed flaxseed meal. *Asian Austral J Anim Sci*.
582 2011;24:1729-1735.
- 583 34. Anjum FM, Haider MF, Khan MI, Sohaib M, Arshad MS. Impact of extruded flaxseed meal
584 supplemented diet on growth performance, oxidative stability and quality of broiler meat
585 and meat products. *Lipids Health Dis*. 2013;12:13-24.

- 586 35. Mir NA, Tyagi PK, Biswas AK, Tyagi PK, Mandal AB, Kumar F, et al. Effect of feeding
587 broken rice and distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) in flaxseed based diet
588 on growth performance & efficiency, carcass characteristics, sensory evaluation of
589 meat and serum biochemistry of broiler chicken. Turk J Vet Anim Sci. 2017;41:583-
590 589.
- 591 36. Mridula D, Kaur D, Nagra SS, Barnwal P, Gurumayum S, Singh KK. Growth performance
592 and quality characteristics of flaxseed-fed broiler chicks. J Appl Anim Res. 2015;43:345-
593 351.
- 594 37. Kheiri F, Toghyani M. Effect of different levels of inorganic chromium on performance and
595 immunity of broiler chicks. J Anim Vet Adv. 2009;9:1819-1823.
- 596 38. Jackson AR, Powell S, Johnston S, Shelton JL, Bidner TD, Valdez FR, et al. The effect of
597 chromium propionate on growth performance and carcass traits in broilers. J Appl Poult
598 Res. 2008;17:476-4814.
- 599 39. Ramarao SV, Panda MV, Poonam LN, Krishna Murthy G, Shyam Sunder O. Effect of dietary
600 supplementation of organic chromium on performance carcass traits, oxidative
601 parameters and immune response in commercial broiler chickens. Biol Trace Elem Res.
602 2012;147:135-141.
- 603 40. McCullough RS, Edel AL, Bassett CMC, LaValle RK, Dibrov E, Blackwood DP, et al. The
604 alpha linolenic acid content of flaxseed is associated with an induction of adipose leptin
605 expression. Lipids 2011;46:1043-1052.
- 606 41. Mir NA, Tyagi PK, Biswas AK, Tyagi PK, Mandal AB, Sheikh SA, et al. Impact of feeding
607 chromium supplemented flaxseed based diet on fatty acid profile, oxidative stability and

608 other functional properties of broiler chicken meat. J Food Sci Technol. 2017;54:3899-
609 3907.

610 42. Gonzalez-esquerro R, Leeson S. Effects of menhaden oil and flaxseed in broiler diets on
611 sensory quality and lipid composition of poultry meat. Br Poult Sci. 2000;41:481-488.

612 43. Anandhi M, Mathivanan R, Viswanathan K, Mohan B. Dietary inclusion of organic
613 chromium on production and carcass characteristics of Broilers. Int J Poult Sci.
614 2006;5(9):880-884.

615 44. Cerina S, Krastina V, Vitina I. Production and expenses of enriched composition broiler
616 chicken meat in Latvia. Agro Res. 2011;9:383-388.

617 45. Smink W, Gerrits WJ, Hovenier R, Geelen MJ. Effect of dietary fat sources on fatty acid
618 deposition and lipid metabolism in broiler chickens. Poult Sci. 2010;89:2432-2440.

619 46. Jackson AR, Powell S, Johnston S, Shelton JL, Bidner TD, Valdez FR, et al. The effect of
620 chromium as chromium propionate on growth performance, carcass traits, meat quality,
621 and the fatty acid profile of fat from pigs fed no supplemented dietary fat, choice white
622 grease, or tallow. J Anim Sci. 2009;87:4032-4041.

623 47. Nejad JG, Lee BH, Kim BW, Ohh SJ, Sung K Il. Effects of chromium methionine
624 supplementation on blood metabolites and fatty acid profile of beef during late fattening
625 period in holstein steers. Asian Austral J Anim Sci. 2016;29:378-383.

626 48. Dal Bosco A, Mugnai C, Ruggeri S, Mattioli S, Castellini C. Fatty acid composition of meat
627 and estimated indices of lipid metabolism in different poultry genotypes reared under
628 organic system. Poult Sci. 2012;91:2039-2045.

- 629 49. Poureslami R, Turchini GM, Raes K, Huyghebaert G, De Smet S. Effect of diet, sex and age
630 on fatty acid metabolism in broiler chickens: SFA and MUFA. *Br J Nutr.* 2010;104:204-
631 213.
- 632 50. Reh WA, Maga EA, Collette NMB, Moyer A, Conrad-Brink JS, Taylor SJ, et al. Using a
633 stearoyl- CoA desaturase transgene to alter milk fatty acid composition. *J Dairy Sci.*
634 2004;87:3510-3514.
- 635 51. Legrand P, Hermier D. Hepatic delta 9 desaturation and plasma VLDL level in genetically
636 lean and fat chickens. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 1992;16:289-294.
- 637 52. Poudyal H, Panchal SK, Diwan V, Brown L. Omega-3 fatty acids and metabolic syndrome:
638 effects and emerging mechanisms of action. *Prog Lipid Res.* 2011;50:372-387.
- 639 53. Burdge GC, Calder PC. Conversion of α -linolenic acid to longer-chain polyunsaturated fatty
640 acids in human adults. *Reprod Nutr Dev.* 2005;45:581-597.
- 641 54. Prasad K. Flaxseed and cardiovascular health. *J Cardiovas Pharmacol.* 2009;54:369-377.
- 642 55. Usoro CA, Adikwuru CC, Usoro IN, Nsonwu AC. Lipid profile of postmenopausal women
643 in Calabar, Nigeria. *Pak J Nutr.* 2006;5:79-82.
- 644 56. Goyal A, Sharma V, Upadhyay N, Gill S, Sihag M. Flax and flaxseed oil: an ancient medicine
645 & modern functional food. *J Food Sci Technol.* 2014;51:1633-1653.
- 646 57. Haug A, Hostmark AT, Harstad OM. Bovine milk in human nutrition: a review. *Lipids Health*
647 *Dis.* 2007;6:25-41.
- 648 58. Saxena S, Katare C. Evaluation of flaxseed formulation as a potential therapeutic agent in
649 mitigation of dyslipidemia. *Biomed J.* 2014;37:386-390.

- 650 59. Kristensen M, Jensen MG, Aarestrup J, Petersen KEN, Sondergaard L, Mikkelsen MS, et al.
651 Flaxseed dietary fibers lower cholesterol and increase fecal fat excretion, but magnitude
652 of effect depend on food type. *Nutr Met.* 2012;9:1-8.
- 653 60. Martirosyan DM, Miroshnichenko LA, Kulokawa SN, Pogojeva AV, Zolodov VI.
654 Amaranth oil application for heart disease and hypertension. *Lipids Health Dis.* 2007;6:1-
655 4.
- 656 61. Ramaprasad TR, Baskaran V, Krishnakantha TP, Lokesh BR. Modulation of antioxidant
657 enzyme activities, platelet aggregation and serum prostaglandins in rats fed spray-dried
658 milk containing n-3 fatty acid. *Mol Cell Biochem.* 2005;280:9-16.
- 659 62. Kumar S, Singh S, Singh AK, Maibam U, Beenam, Upadhyay RC. Growth rate, feed intake
660 and antioxidant enzyme activity in Sahiwal calves supplemented with chromium
661 propionate during winter season. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2015;68(3):252-258.

Table 1 Ingredients and nutrient composition of broiler starter and finisher diets

Ingredients (g/kg)	Broiler Starter					Broiler Finisher				
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Maize	537	535	535	535	535	625	622	622	622	622
Flaxseed meal	0.0	100	100	100	100	0.0	100	100	100	100
Soybean	390	290	290	290	290	300	200	200	200	200
Fish meal	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
vegetable oil	14	16	16	16	16	14	16	16	16	16
Limestone	9	9	9	9	9	11	11	11	11	11
DCP ¹	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.5	15.5	15.5	15.5
Salt	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
DL-Methionine	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lysine	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Constant*	0.765	0.765	0.765	0.765	0.765	0.765	0.765	0.765	0.765	0.765
Chromium (mg/kg)	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5
Nutrient composition (Calculated based on the analyzed values of ingredients)										
Crude Protein	213	213	213	213	213	185	184	184	184	184
M Energy (MJ/kg)	12.23	12.22	12.22	12.22	12.22	12.55	12.54	12.54	12.54	12.54
Calcium	10	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	11
Available P	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4
Lysine	13	13	13	13	13	10	10	10	10	10
Fatty acid profile of diets (%)										
C14:0	1.70	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	1.81	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
C16:0	31.4	8.59	8.59	8.59	8.59	33.1	9.32	9.32	9.32	9.32
C16:1	9.80	3.59	3.59	3.59	3.59	11.0	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.23
C18:0	0.0	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
C18:1 ω-9	38.4	21.1	21.1	21.1	21.1	39.9	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4
C18:2 ω-6	15.5	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.4	11.4	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8
C18:3 ω-3	3.20	43.1	43.1	43.1	43.1	2.6	39.9	39.9	39.9	39.9
SFA	33.1	8.78	8.78	8.78	8.78	35.12	9.63	9.63	9.63	9.63
MUFA ²	48.2	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	50.9	27.6	27.6	27.6	27.6
PUFA ³	18.7	66.5	66.5	66.5	66.5	14.0	62.7	62.7	62.7	62.7

*Constant: (0.3% salt, 0.1% DL-Methionine, 0.1% Trace mineral premix, 0.15% Vitamin premix, 0.015% Vitamin B complex, 0.05% Choline chloride, 0.05% Toxin binder)

Trace mineral mixture (100 g): FeSO₄.7H₂O-8 g, ZnSO₄.7H₂O-10 g, MnSO₄. H₂O- 10 g, CU₂SO₄.5H₂O-1 g, KI- 30 g

Vitamin premix (1 g): Vitamin A-82.5 IU, Vitamin B2-50 mg, Vitamin D3-12000 unit, Vitamin K-10 mg

Vitamin B complex (1 g): Vitamin B1- 8 mg, Vitamin B6-16 mg, Vitamin B12-80 mcg, Niacin -120 mg, Calcium panthotheonate-80 mg , Vitamin E 50% -160 mg, L-lysine-10 mg and DL- Methionine- 10 mg

¹ DCP- Di-calcium Phosphate

² Monounsaturated fatty acids

³ Polyunsaturated fatty acids

Table 2 Effect of dietary flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr) supplementation on growth performance of broiler chicken

Indices		T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P value	LC
Body weight gain (BWG, g)	0-3 weeks	664 ^c	587 ^a	630 ^b	631 ^b	653 ^c	6.7	0.009	0.014
	4-6 weeks	1206	1179	1187	1206	1213	11.1	0.095	0.105
	0-6 weeks	1870	1766	1817	1837	1866	15.1	0.067	0.086
Feed intake (g)	0-3 weeks	861	827	815	809	847	7.5	0.058	0.079
	4-6 weeks	2540	2386	2449	2442	2504	22.7	0.076	0.096
	0-6 weeks	3401	3213	3264	3251	3351	26.8	0.091	0.112
Feed conversion ratio (FCR)	0-3 weeks	1.30 ^a	1.41 ^b	1.29 ^a	1.28 ^a	1.30 ^a	0.012	0.006	0.011
	4-6 weeks	2.11	2.02	2.06	2.06	2.06	0.015	0.078	0.095
	0-6 weeks	1.82	1.82	1.79	1.77	1.80	0.009	0.093	0.119

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

Values bearing different superscripts within the row differ significantly; SEM: Standard error of mean; LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels

Table 3 Effect of dietary flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr) on the efficiency parameters of broiler chicken

Indices		T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P value	LC
Production Efficiency	3 rd week	243 ^b	198 ^a	233 ^b	231 ^b	239 ^b	4.1	0.008	0.146
Factor (PEF)	6 th week	245	231	242	243	247	3.6	0.068	0.331
Protein Efficiency Ratio	0-3 weeks	3.62 ^b	3.33 ^a	3.63 ^b	3.66 ^b	3.62 ^b	0.03	0.010	0.163
(PER)	4-6 weeks	2.57	2.67	2.62	2.67	2.62	0.02	0.105	0.253
	0-6 weeks	2.76	2.76	2.80	2.84	2.80	0.02	0.096	0.278
Energy Efficiency Ratio	0-3 weeks	26.4 ^b	24.3 ^a	26.5 ^b	26.7 ^b	26.4 ^b	0.20	0.007	0.098
(EER)	4-6 weeks	15.8	16.5	16.2	16.5	16.2	0.11	0.071	0.268
	0-6 weeks	18.6	18.6	18.8	19.1	18.8	0.09	0.088	0.296

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

Values bearing different superscripts within the row differ significantly; SEM: Standard error of mean; LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels

Table 4 Effect of dietary flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr) supplementation on the carcass characteristics* and cost economics of broiler chicken

Indices	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P value	LC
Live weight (g)	1774	1692	1736	1754	1775	15.2	0.071	0.285
Eviscerated weight	66.7	66.0	66.0	66.4	66.4	0.18	0.103	0.354
Dressed yield	71.8	71.4	71.5	71.8	71.6	0.16	0.125	0.412
Abdominal fat	1.90 ^c	1.51 ^b	1.46 ^b	1.33 ^{ab}	1.11 ^a	0.051	0.019	0.021
Drumstick	9.59	9.71	9.35	9.64	9.68	0.074	0.095	0.314
Breast	16.5	16.5	16.8	16.6	16.9	0.13	0.125	0.407
Thigh	9.24	9.06	9.28	8.86	9.03	0.067	0.085	0.335
Cost change (live weight basis) ¹	0.0 ^a	+10.58 ^c	+8.51 ^{bc}	+8.76 ^{bc}	+7.72 ^b	0.82	0.025	0.021
Cost change (meat yield basis) ²	0.0 ^a	+9.65 ^c	+7.47 ^{bc}	+7.67 ^{bc}	+6.68 ^b	0.96	0.027	0.018

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

Values bearing different superscripts within the row differ significantly; SEM: Standard error of mean; LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels

* Based on percentage of live weight

¹ Percentage change in feed cost on live weight basis relative to control diet (T1)

² Percentage change in feed cost on meat yield basis relative to control diet (T1)

Table 5 Effect of dietary flaxseed meal and chromium on the sensory quality of broiler chicken meat

Indices		T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P value	LC
Cooked meat	Colour & Appearance	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.5	0.06	0.105	0.326
	Flavour	6.2	6.0	6.4	6.1	6.5	0.08	0.112	0.385
	Texture	6.5	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.1	0.08	0.107	0.357
	Juiciness	6.0	6.3	6.1	5.9	6.2	0.09	0.124	0.437
	Overall acceptability	6.0	6.1	6.2	5.8	6.4	0.07	0.143	0.498
Raw meat	Colour Score	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	0.11	0.199	0.546
	Odour Score	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	0.11	0.162	0.502

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

SEM: Standard error of mean; LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels

Table 6 Effect of flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr) supplementation on fatty acid profile of broiler chicken thigh

Fatty Acids (% of total fatty acids)	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P value	LC
C14:0	0.41	0.37	0.38	0.33	0.36	0.035	0.109	0.314
C16:0	25.1 ^b	19.8 ^a	20.0 ^a	18.9 ^a	18.9 ^a	0.97	0.031	0.262
C16:1	3.73	4.23	3.96	4.17	3.82	0.26	0.090	0.071
C18:0	13.2 ^b	5.0 ^a	6.5 ^a	4.7 ^a	5.9 ^a	1.56	0.008	0.141
C18:1 ω-9	34.9 ^a	38.8 ^b	38.4 ^b	38.5 ^b	37.8 ^b	0.71	0.024	0.263
C18:2 ω-6	17.3 ^a	21.0 ^b	20.3 ^b	22.3 ^b	22.0 ^b	0.96	0.019	0.098
C18:3 ω-3	1.99 ^a	3.53 ^b	3.19 ^b	3.55 ^b	3.46 ^b	0.296	0.013	0.476
C20:1 ω-9	1.43 ^a	3.49 ^b	3.46 ^b	3.78 ^b	4.07 ^b	0.468	0.008	0.224
C20:3 ω-3	0.58 ^a	1.42 ^b	1.35 ^b	1.33 ^b	1.28 ^b	0.154	0.025	0.096
C20:4 ω-6	1.40	1.41	1.39	1.43	1.40	0.017	0.089	0.368
C20:5 ω-3	0.18 ^a	0.56 ^b	0.57 ^b	0.62 ^b	0.55 ^b	0.036	0.007	0.263
C22:5 ω-3	0.26 ^a	0.65 ^b	0.66 ^b	0.64 ^b	0.67 ^b	0.041	0.012	0.367
C22:6 ω-3	0.19 ^a	0.41 ^b	0.43 ^b	0.40 ^b	0.44 ^b	0.029	0.016	0.174
SFA	38.7 ^b	25.1 ^a	27.0 ^a	23.9 ^a	25.2 ^a	2.52	0.004	0.086
MUFA	40.1 ^a	46.5 ^b	45.9 ^b	46.5 ^b	45.7 ^b	1.22	0.040	0.117
PUFA	21.9 ^a	29.0 ^b	27.8 ^b	30.3 ^b	29.8 ^b	1.07	0.017	0.158
ω-3 PUFA	3.20 ^a	6.57 ^b	6.20 ^b	6.54 ^b	6.40 ^b	0.447	0.011	0.273
ω-6 PUFA	18.7 ^a	22.4 ^b	21.6 ^b	23.7 ^b	23.4 ^b	0.98	0.019	0.088

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 g Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

SFA: Saturated fatty acids, MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids

SEM: Standard error of mean, LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels

Table 7 Effect of flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr) supplementation on fatty acid profile of broiler chicken breast

Fatty Acids (% of total fatty acids)	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P value	LC
C14:0	0.46	0.40	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.021	0.091	0.167
C16:0	26.9 ^b	21.3 ^a	21.3 ^a	20.8 ^a	20.9 ^a	1.17	0.018	0.281
C16:1	3.70	3.48	3.47	3.58	3.59	0.121	0.087	0.376
C18:0	17.7 ^b	10.9 ^a	10.7 ^a	10.2 ^a	11.0 ^a	1.41	0.005	0.273
C18:1 ω-9	30.9 ^a	36.4 ^b	36.8 ^b	36.4 ^b	35.9 ^b	1.09	0.027	0.138
C18:2 ω-6	15.3 ^a	18.5 ^b	18.5 ^b	19.1 ^b	19.3 ^b	0.73	0.018	0.097
C18:3 ω-3	0.86 ^a	1.82 ^b	1.82 ^b	1.87 ^b	1.94 ^b	0.133	0.010	0.127
C20:1 ω-9	1.35 ^a	3.93 ^b	3.93 ^b	4.61 ^b	3.66 ^b	0.451	0.007	0.371
C20:3 ω-3	0.37 ^a	1.14 ^b	1.14 ^b	1.02 ^b	1.20 ^b	0.154	0.037	0.185
C20:4 ω-6	0.83	0.81	0.79	0.83	0.80	0.008	0.078	0.247
C20:5 ω-3	0.33 ^a	0.99 ^b	1.00 ^b	0.98 ^b	1.01 ^b	0.042	0.006	0.069
C22:0	0.48	0.42	0.43	0.36	0.41	0.039	0.073	0.161
C22:5 ω-3	0.29 ^a	0.71 ^b	0.78 ^b	0.89 ^b	1.07 ^b	0.057	0.011	0.105
C22:6 ω-3	0.26 ^a	0.59 ^b	0.61 ^b	0.69 ^b	0.86 ^b	0.042	0.018	0.162
C24:0	1.05	0.92	0.71	0.82	0.97	0.159	0.088	0.156
SFA	46.6 ^b	31.9 ^a	31.6 ^a	30.5 ^a	31.7 ^a	2.65	0.002	0.274
MUFA	36.0 ^a	43.8 ^b	44.2 ^b	44.6 ^b	43.2 ^b	1.10	0.006	0.095
PUFA	17.4 ^a	23.4 ^b	23.8 ^b	24.6 ^b	25.4 ^b	1.08	0.017	0.137
ω-3 PUFA	2.11 ^a	5.25 ^b	5.35 ^b	5.46 ^b	6.08 ^b	0.156	0.017	0.367
ω-6 PUFA	16.1 ^a	19.3 ^b	19.3 ^b	20.0 ^b	20.1 ^b	0.73	0.009	0.268

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 g Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

SFA: Saturated fatty acids, MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids,

LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels

SEM: Standard error of mean

Table 8 Effect of flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr) supplementation on fatty acid metabolism of broiler chicken meat

Indices	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P Value	LC
Thigh								
DI (18)	72.6 ^a	88.6 ^b	85.5 ^b	89.2 ^b	86.4 ^b	2.31	0.015	0.089
DI (16)	12.9 ^a	17.6 ^b	16.5 ^b	18.1 ^b	16.8 ^b	0.77	0.024	0.071
Total DI	50.3 ^a	63.4 ^b	61.5 ^b	64.4 ^b	62.6 ^b	2.21	0.017	0.084
Elongase index (EI)	0.53 ^b	0.25 ^a	0.33 ^a	0.25 ^a	0.31 ^a	0.053	0.037	0.275
Thioesterase index (TI)	61.6 ^b	54.7 ^a	53.4 ^a	55.2 ^a	52.6 ^a	2.80	0.073	0.078
$\Delta^5 + \Delta^6$ -desaturase	8.54 ^a	10.99 ^b	11.51 ^b	10.68 ^b	10.72 ^b	0.590 ^b	0.079	0.096
Breast								
DI (18)	63.6 ^a	76.9 ^b	77.4 ^b	78.2 ^b	76.6 ^b	2.05	0.015	0.278
DI (16)	12.1 ^a	15.3 ^b	15.2 ^b	16.0 ^b	16.0 ^b	0.27	0.024	0.386
Total DI	43.7 ^a	56.9 ^b	57.3 ^b	58.0 ^b	57.0 ^b	1.40	0.019	0.178
Elongase index (EI)	0.66 ^b	0.57 ^a	0.56 ^a	0.54 ^a	0.58 ^a	0.021	0.035	0.067
Thioesterase index (TI)	58.9 ^b	47.8 ^a	45.6 ^a	45.5 ^a	44.5 ^a	2.746	0.064	0.095
$\Delta^5 + \Delta^6$ -desaturase	9.57 ^a	13.25 ^b	13.55 ^b	13.89 ^b	14.99 ^b	0.628	0.087	0.094

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 g Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

DI (18): Δ^9 -desaturase (18) index, DI (16): Δ^9 -desaturase (16) index

SEM: Standard error of mean, LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels

Table 9 Effect of flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr) supplementation on health indices of broiler chicken meat

Indices	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P Value	LC
Thigh								
ω-6: ω-3 Fatty acid ratio	5.38 ^b	3.41 ^a	3.49 ^a	3.63 ^a	3.66 ^a	0.302	0.001	0.253
PUFA:SFA Ratio	0.57 ^a	1.15 ^b	1.03 ^b	1.26 ^b	1.18 ^b	0.098	0.010	0.078
MUFA:SFA Ratio	1.04 ^a	1.85 ^b	1.70 ^b	1.94 ^b	1.81 ^b	0.108	0.009	0.067
UFA:SFA Ratio	1.60 ^a	3.00 ^b	2.73 ^b	3.21 ^b	3.00 ^b	0.144	0.002	0.089
Saturation index (S/P)	0.62 ^b	0.33 ^a	0.37 ^a	0.31 ^a	0.33 ^a	0.054	0.021	0.276
Atherogenic Index (AI)	0.43 ^b	0.28 ^a	0.29 ^a	0.26 ^a	0.27 ^a	0.017	0.017	0.351
Thrombogenic Index (TI)	0.86 ^b	0.44 ^a	0.48 ^a	0.41 ^a	0.44 ^a	0.016	0.001	0.098
DFA (%)	75.1 ^a	80.5 ^b	80.2 ^b	81.4 ^b	81.4 ^b	0.60	0.018	0.157
HFA (%)	25.5 ^b	20.1 ^a	20.4 ^a	19.2 ^a	19.2 ^a	0.58	0.007	0.201
h/H ratio	2.23 ^a	3.37 ^b	3.25 ^b	3.58 ^b	3.51 ^b	0.107	0.006	0.064
Breast								
ω-6: ω-3 Fatty acid ratio	7.66 ^b	3.67 ^a	3.60 ^a	3.66 ^a	3.30 ^a	0.74	<0.001	0.441
PUFA:SFA Ratio	0.37 ^a	0.74 ^b	0.75 ^b	0.81 ^b	0.80 ^b	0.060	0.010	0.162
MUFA:SFA Ratio	0.77 ^a	1.37 ^b	1.40 ^b	1.46 ^b	1.36 ^b	0.101	0.010	0.085
UFA:SFA Ratio	1.15 ^a	2.21 ^b	2.15 ^b	2.27 ^b	2.16 ^b	0.079	0.010	0.096
Saturation index (S/P)	0.84 ^b	0.45 ^a	0.45 ^a	0.42 ^a	0.44 ^a	0.044	0.029	0.264
Atherogenic Index (AI)	0.54 ^b	0.31 ^a	0.31 ^a	0.30 ^a	0.30 ^a	0.034	0.031	0.451
Thrombogenic Index (TI)	1.13 ^a	0.60 ^b	0.59 ^b	0.56 ^b	0.57 ^b	0.038	0.001	0.275
DFA (%)	71.2 ^a	78.5 ^b	78.7 ^b	79.3 ^b	79.5 ^b	1.02	0.013	0.313
HFA (%)	27.4 ^b	19.7 ^a	19.7 ^a	19.2 ^a	19.3 ^a	0.40	0.009	0.467
h/H ratio	1.77 ^a	3.06 ^b	3.07 ^b	3.18 ^b	3.17 ^b	0.174	0.015	0.166

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

SFA: Saturated fatty acids, UFA: Unsaturated fatty acids, MUFA: Mono-unsaturated fatty acids, PUFA: Poly-unsaturated fatty acids,

DFA: Desirable fatty acids, HFA: Hypercholesterolaemic fatty acids,

h/H: hypocholesterolemic/hypercholesterolemic ratio

SEM: Standard error of mean, LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels

Table 10 The combined effect of flaxseed meal (FSM) and chromium (Cr) supplementation on serum chemistry and health indices of broiler chicken

Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEM	P value	LC
Glucose (g/L)	2.14	2.04	1.99	1.93	1.94	0.039	0.811	0.367
Triglyceride (g/L)	1.11 ^c	1.03 ^b	0.99 ^b	0.97 ^{ab}	0.94 ^a	0.030	0.011	0.021
Total cholesterol (g/L)	1.35 ^c	1.21 ^b	1.16 ^{ab}	1.13 ^{ab}	1.07 ^a	0.047	0.021	0.016
HDL cholesterol (g/L)	0.73 ^a	0.82 ^b	0.84 ^b	0.89 ^{bc}	0.93 ^c	0.033	0.011	0.014
SOD (U/mL)	112.1 ^b	141.4 ^e	136.6 ^d	123.9 ^c	101.2 ^a	2.82	0.007	0.004
Catalase (nmol/min/mL)	51.2 ^b	68.1 ^d	70.6 ^d	60.1 ^c	41.9 ^a	2.02	0.001	<0.001
GPx (nmol/min/mL)	10.7 ^b	14.4 ^e	14.1 ^d	12.4 ^c	9.1 ^a	0.38	0.002	0.001
GR (nmol/min/mL)	13.1 ^b	15.9 ^d	15.8 ^d	14.3 ^c	11.4 ^a	0.32	<0.001	<0.001
TBARS Value (MDA μ M)	4.28 ^b	6.94 ^e	6.78 ^d	5.55 ^c	3.18 ^a	0.270	0.009	0.006
Cardiac Risk Ratio (CRR)	1.84 ^d	1.48 ^c	1.41 ^c	1.27 ^b	1.16 ^a	0.053	0.003	<0.001
Atherogenic Coefficient (AC)	0.84 ^d	0.48 ^c	0.41 ^c	0.27 ^b	0.16 ^a	0.033	0.022	0.003
Atherogenic Index of Plasma (AIP)	0.18 ^c	0.10 ^b	0.07 ^b	0.02 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.011	0.007	0.012

T1 = 0 g FSM + 0 mg Cr, T2 = 100 g FSM + 0 g Cr, T3 = 100 g FSM + 0.5 mg Cr, T4 = 100 g FSM + 1.0 mg Cr, and T5 = 100 g FSM + 1.5 mg Cr per kg diet

SOD: Super Oxide Dismutase; GPx: Glutathione Peroxidase; GR: Glutathione Reductase; TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances;

MDA: Malondialdehyde

SEM: Standard error of mean, LC: Linear contrast of Cr levels