Low Vitamin D Levels are Associated with Vascular Endothelial Dysfunction in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Retrospective Study

Kenichi Tanaka

University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3538-3163>

Yosuke Okada

University of Occupational and Environmental Health Japan

Maiko Hajime

University of Occupational and Environmental Health Japan

Yoshiya Tanaka (✉ tanaka@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp)

Research

Keywords: 25-hydroxyvitamin D, reactive hyperemia index, type 2 diabetes, vascular endothelial function

Posted Date: June 18th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-35511/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to determine the association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels and vascular endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D).

Methods: This retrospective study included 113 T2D patients who were admitted for in-hospital diabetes educational program and underwent measurements of serum 25(OH)D levels and reactive hyperemia index (RHI). The primary endpoint was the association between serum 25(OH)D levels and RHI in T2D patients.

Results: Serum 25(OH)D levels correlated significantly with RHI in T2D patients ($r=0.285$, $p=0.002$). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis showed that serum 25(OH)D level of 16.5 ng/mL is the optimal cut-off level for predicting vascular endothelial dysfunction ($RHI<1.67$), with a sensitivity of 68.5%, specificity of 67.9%, and area under the ROC curve (AUC) of 0.668 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.566–0.770, $p=0.002$). The mean RHI was significantly lower (1.70 ± 0.54) in patients with low 25(OH)D levels ($n=56$, 25(OH)D levels <16.5 ng/mL) than that (1.99 ± 0.58 vs.; $p<0.001$) of patients with high 25(OH)D levels ($n=57$, 25(OH)D level >16.5 ng/mL). The proportion of patients with $RHI<1.67$ was also higher in the low 25(OH)D group than in the high 25(OH)D group (38% vs. 18%; $p<0.001$). Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified serum 25(OH)D levels <16.5 ng/mL was associated with increased odds of $RHI<1.67$ (odds ratio 4.598, 95% CI 1.961–10.783, $p<0.001$).

Conclusions: The results demonstrated the association of serum 25(OH)D levels with endothelial function in T2D patients and identified serum 25(OH)D level of <16.5 ng/mL as a predictor of vascular endothelial dysfunction. Serum 25(OH)D level is a potentially useful marker of vascular endothelial dysfunction in T2D patients.

Background

Patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) are at high risk of macroangiopathy compared to non-diabetics, with approximately 2–6-times higher risks of myocardial infarction and death related to ischemic heart disease and 2–3-times higher risk of cerebral infarction [1–3]. Such high cardiovascular risk cannot be fully explained by traditional risk factors only, such as age, sex, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and smoking, but it seems to be associated also with endothelial dysfunction, microalbuminuria, and inflammation [4]. Evidence shows that vascular endothelial dysfunction occurs at an early stage of arteriosclerosis [5] and that in T2D, vascular endothelial dysfunction can be observed during early glucose intolerance [6]. It is therefore important to assess endothelial function for the early detection of arteriosclerosis.

Vitamin D plays an important role in calcium homeostasis and bone metabolism through the regulation of calcium absorption from the intestine, and its deficiency has been linked to all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and heart failure [7, 8]. T2D patients have lower serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels than non-diabetics [9, 10]. T2D patients with vitamin D deficiency also have limited brachial flow-mediated dilation (FMD) [11]. FMD measurement has been used traditionally for the

assessment of vascular endothelial function. Recently, a new device (EndoPAT 2000, Itamar Medical, Caesarea, Israel) using peripheral arterial tonometry (PAT), with established objectivity and reproducibility, has been increasingly employed a noninvasive method for the assessment of vascular endothelial function [12]. Moreover, the usefulness of reactive hyperemia index (RHI) in predicting vascular diseases has been reported previously [13]. However, clinical studies using RHI in T2D patients are limited compared to those using FMD, and only a few studies have investigated the association between vitamin D status and RHI in T2D patients. The aim of this study was to determine the association between serum vitamin D levels and vascular endothelial function as assessed by RHI in T2D patients.

Methods

Patients

Of the patients with T2D aged over 20 years who were admitted to the Hospital of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, for in-hospital diabetes educational program between April 2014 and December 2018, the present study analyzed those who underwent measurements for serum 25(OH)D levels and reactive hyperemia index (RHI). The following patients were excluded: those with type 1 diabetes mellitus, severe infection or serious trauma, renal dysfunction (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] of $< 30 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$), being treated at that time for osteoporosis, using calcium and/or vitamin D supplements, and those found to have abnormal hormone profile (excluding those with hormone levels maintained within the normal range by treatment).

Diabetic complications were evaluated in the present study as follows: diabetic retinopathy was diagnosed based on the results of funduscopic examination performed by expert ophthalmologists and classified according to the Davis classification, into no diabetic retinopathy; simple retinopathy; pre-proliferative retinopathy; and proliferative retinopathy. Diabetic nephropathy was considered positive in patients with urinary albumin excretion rate (presented as urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio [UACR]) of $\geq 30 \text{ mg/g creatinine}$ and/or an eGFR of $< 30 \text{ mL/1.73 m}^2$, in accordance with the Classification of Diabetic Nephropathy 2014 in Japan [14]. Diabetic neuropathy was diagnosed by the presence of two or more clinical symptoms (bilateral spontaneous pain, hypoesthesia, or paresthesia of the legs), absence of Achilles tendon reflexes, and decreased vibration sensations in response to a C128 tuning fork. Patients who had already been diagnosed with coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, or arteriosclerosis obliterans were considered to have macrovascular complications at the time of enrollment.

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (Approval No. H27-186) and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Study design

This was a retrospective study. At admission, we collected patient data including age, sex, blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), duration of diabetes, presence of diabetic microangiopathy or macroangiopathy, presence of hypertension, presence of dyslipidemia, antidiabetic drug use, antihypertensive drug use, and antilipidemic drug use. The levels of fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c, fasting plasma insulin (FPI), serum C peptide (CPR), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and triglycerides were measured on the second or third hospital day. Areas of subcutaneous adipose tissue and visceral adipose tissue were measured on computed tomography (CT). In addition, vascular endothelial function was evaluated under fasting conditions within 4 days of hospitalization, using a PAT device (EndoPAT2000, Itamar Medical).

Biochemical and clinical measurements

HbA1c levels (%) were measured using a high-performance liquid chromatography method with a Tosoh HLC-723 G8 analyzer (Tosoh Co., Kyoto, Japan) and expressed in National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) equivalent values calculated from the following equation: HbA1c (NGSP) = HbA1c (Japan Diabetes Society [JDS]) (%) + 0.4% [15]. The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) values were calculated using the following formula: $\text{FPG (m/dL)} \times \text{FPI (}\mu\text{U/mL)} / 405$. The homeostasis model assessment of β -cell function (HOMA- β) values were calculated using the following formula: $\text{FPI (}\mu\text{U/mL)} \times 360 / (\text{FPG [mg/dL]} - 63)$. The CPR index was calculated using the following formula: $\text{CPR (ng/mL)} / \text{FPG (m/dL)} \times 100$. Total homocysteine levels were measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (SRL Co., Tokyo, Japan). Carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) was measured by a well-trained medical technologist from the Hospital of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan. The highest IMT value was defined as the maximum IMT. The mean values of the right and left maximum IMT were used for statistical analysis. If a plaque was present, it was included in the IMT measurement. Serum 25(OH)D levels were measured using the DIASource 25OH Vitamin D total-RIA-CT Kit (DIASource ImmunoAssay Co., Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium) by radioimmunoassay. A 25(OH)D level < 20 ng/mL indicated vitamin D deficiency [16].

Noninvasive vascular function test

We used the PAT-based method for digital assessment of vascular endothelial function as described in detail previously [17]. Briefly, after an acclimatization period of 30 min (before breakfast) in a temperature- and light-controlled room, the baseline pulse amplitude was recorded during a period of 5 min before the induction of ischemia. Ischemia was induced by placing the sphygmomanometer cuff on the upper arm, while the opposite arm served as the control. The PAT probes were placed on one finger of each hand. After 5 min, the blood pressure cuff was inflated to 60 mmHg above the systolic pressure or to 200 mmHg for 5 min and then deflated to induce reactive hyperemia. As a measure of reactive hyperemia, the RHI was calculated as the ratio of the average amplitude of the PAT signal over 1 min beginning 1.5 min after cuff deflation (control arm, A; occluded arm, C) divided by the average amplitude of the PAT signal over the 2.5-min period before cuff inflation (baseline) (control arm, B; occluded arm, D). Thus, the following formula was used: $\text{RHI} = (\text{C/D}) / (\text{A/B}) \times \text{baseline correction}$. In this study, an RHI < 1.67 was used to define vascular endothelial dysfunction [18, 19]

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Data distribution was determined using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Categorical values were tested by the χ^2 test. Comparison of the characteristics of T2D patients with low and high vitamin D levels was carried out using the paired t-test for normally distributed data and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for data with skewed distribution. Correlation analyses between serum 25(OH)D levels and the baseline characteristics of patients were performed using Pearson's correlation analysis for normally distributed variables and Spearman's correlation analysis for variables with skewed distribution. To investigate the predictive value of serum 25 (OH)D levels for vascular endothelial dysfunction in patients with T2D, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted to determine the optimal cut-off value of serum 25(OH)D level. In addition, we also performed univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, the independent variables were chosen from age, sex, other factors with p values of less than 5% in the univariate logistic regression analysis, after excluding factors showing multicollinearity on Spearman's correlation analysis. Data were expressed as odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). A p value less than 0.05 was considered to denote statistical significance.

Results

Patient demographics

The baseline characteristics of the study patients are summarized in Table 1. The mean disease duration was 7.3 years and the mean HbA1c level was 9.3%. The mean serum 25(OH)D level was 18.4 ± 8.1 ng/mL. The proportion of patients with vitamin D deficiency was 66.4% and that of patients with vascular endothelial dysfunction ($RHI < 1.67$) was 49.6%.

Table 1
Baseline characteristics of T2D patients.

n	113
Age (years)	59.8 ± 12.6
Sex (men/women)	64/49
Duration of diabetes (year)	7.3 ± 8.0
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.5 ± 6.1
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	132.4 ± 15.6
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	78.9 ± 11.6
FPG (mg/dL)	157.4 ± 43.0
HbA1c (%)	9.3 ± 1.9
FPI (μg/mL)	9.2 ± 6.1
HOMA-IR	3.5 ± 2.2
HOMA-β (%)	43.2 ± 39.9
S-CPR (ng/mL)	2.5 ± 1.3
CPR index	1.6 ± 0.9
EGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	79.2 ± 22.6
UACR (mg/g Cre)	131.5 ± 396.6
LDL-C (mg/dL)	109.1 ± 38.3
HDL-C (mg/dL)	47.3 ± 11.9
TG (mg/dL)	155.0 ± 87.6
Calcium (mg/dL)	9.5 ± 0.4
Phosphate (mg/dL)	3.6 ± 0.5
25(OH)D (ng/mL)	18.4 ± 8.1

Data are mean ± standard deviation, or n (%).

T2D, type 2 diabetes; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; FPI, fasting plasma insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of β cell function; S-CPR, serum C peptide, CPR index, Serum C-peptide index; EGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UACR; urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio, LDL-C, low-density cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; Carotid IMT, Carotid intima-media thickness; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; SGLT-2, Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.

n	113
Vitamin D deficiency (%)	75 (66.4)
Subcutaneous adipose tissue areas (cm ²)	218.4 ± 127.6
Visceral adipose tissue areas (cm ²)	186.4 ± 75.9
Carotid IMT (mm)	1.0 ± 0.4
Carotid plaque (%)	71 (63.4)
Total homocysteine (nmol/L)	10.7 ± 4.3
RHI	1.85 ± 0.58
RHI ≥ 1.67 (%)	56 (49.6)
Hypertension (%)	81 (71.7)
Dyslipidemia (%)	85 (75.2)
Antihypertensive drug (%)	51 (45.1)
Antilipidemic drug (%)	40 (35.4)
Diabetes therapy	
No medication (%)	46 (40.7)
DPP-4 inhibitor (%)	47 (39.8)
Sulfonylurea (%)	30 (26.5)
Glinide (%)	2 (1.8)
Biguanide (%)	28 (24.8)
Thiazolidine (%)	6 (5.3)
α-glucosidase inhibitor (%)	5 (4.4)
SGLT-2 inhibitor (%)	3 (2.7)
Insulin (%)	17 (15.0)
GLP-1 receptor agonist (%)	6 (0.5)
Data are mean ± standard deviation, or n (%).	
T2D, type 2 diabetes; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; FPI, fasting plasma insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of β cell function; S-CPR, serum C peptide, CPR index, Serum C-peptide index; EGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UACR; urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio, LDL-C, low-density cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; Carotid IMT, Carotid intima-media thickness; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; SGLT-2, Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.	

n	113
Diabetic microvascular complications	
Retinopathy (%)	41 (36.3)
Nephropathy (%)	35 (31.0)
Neuropathy (%)	43 (38.0)
Diabetic macrovascular complications	
Coronary heart disease (%)	11 (9.7)
Cerebrovascular disease (%)	6 (5.3)
Arteriosclerosis obliterans (%)	0 (0.0)
Data are mean ± standard deviation, or n (%).	
T2D, type 2 diabetes; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; FPI, fasting plasma insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of β cell function; S-CPR, serum C peptide, CPR index, Serum C-peptide index; EGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UACR; urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio, LDL-C, low-density cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; Carotid IMT, Carotid intima-media thickness; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; SGLT-2, Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.	

Relationship between serum 25(OH)D levels and baseline characteristics

Table 2 summarizes the correlation coefficients between 25(OH)D levels and the baseline characteristics of T2D patients. There was a significant correlation between serum 25(OH)D level and RHI ($r = 0.285$, $p = 0.002$). Serum 25(OH)D levels also correlated significantly with age and HDL-C levels and negatively with BMI, HbA1c, FPI, and CPR, UACR, subcutaneous adipose tissue areas, and visceral adipose tissue areas.

Table 2
Correlation coefficients between 25(OH)D levels and the baseline characteristics of T2D patients

	T2D patients	
	r	P-value
Age	0.245	0.009
Duration of diabetes	0.049	0.610
Body mass index	-0.248	0.008
Systolic blood pressure	0.046	0.625
Diastolic blood pressure	0.077	0.419
FPG	0.014	0.883
HbA1c	-0.193	0.041
FPI	-0.315	0.002
HOMA-IR	-0.313	0.002
HOMA-β	-0.243	0.017
S-CPR	-0.195	0.040
CPR index	-0.133	0.162
EGFR	-0.083	0.384
UACR	-0.219	0.020
LDL-C	-0.056	0.560
HDL-C	0.200	0.033
TG	-0.120	0.206
Calcium	0.072	0.450
Phosphate	-0.141	0.135
Subcutaneous adipose tissue areas	-0.318	0.001
Visceral adipose tissue areas	-0.194	0.040
Carotid IMT	0.028	0.769
Total homocysteine	-0.128	0.184
RHI	0.285	0.002

Data are results of Pearson correlation analysis for normally distributed variables and Spearman rank correlation for variables with skewed distribution. Abbreviations as in Table 1.

Characteristics of patients with low vitamin D levels

The ROC curve analysis identified 16.5 ng/mL as the optimal cut-off level of serum 25(OH)D for the prediction of RHI < 1.67 in T2D patients, with sensitivity and specificity of 68.5% and 67.9%, respectively, and an area under the ROC curve (AUC) of 0.668 (95% CI: 0.566–0.770). Based on this cut-off value, the patients were divided into those with low vitamin D levels (25(OH)D < 16.5 ng/mL) and those with high vitamin D levels (25(OH)D ≥ 16.5 ng/mL), and their characteristics were compared (Table 3). The mean serum 25(OH)D level of the low vitamin D group was almost 50% (12.2 ± 2.6 ng/mL) of that of the high vitamin D group (24.6 ± 6.9 ng/mL). Patients of the low vitamin D group were significantly younger, had lower HDL-C levels, and significantly higher values of BMI, FPI, CPR, subcutaneous adipose tissue areas, visceral adipose tissue areas, and total homocysteine than those of the high vitamin D group. The low vitamin D group also showed significantly lower RHI values than the high vitamin D group (1.70 ± 0.54 vs. 1.98 ± 0.58 ; $p < 0.001$, Fig. 1). The proportion of patients with RHI < 1.67 was also higher in the low vitamin D group than in the high vitamin D group (38% vs. 18%; $p < 0.001$).

Table 3
Results of comparison between T2D patients with low and high vitamin D levels.

	High 25(OH)D	Low 25(OH)D	P
N	57	56	
Age (years)	62.3 ± 11.5	57.4 ± 13.2	0.038
Sex (men/women)	36/21	28/28	0.158
Duration of diabetes (year)	7.8 ± 8.6	7.2 ± 7.3	0.936
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	26.2 ± 5.6	28.7 ± 6.4	0.018
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	132.9 ± 14.8	132.0 ± 16.6	0.425
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	79.6 ± 10.2	78.0 ± 12.9	0.337
FPG (mg/dL)	156.6 ± 44.2	157.4 ± 43.0	0.947
HbA1c (%)	9.0 ± 1.9	9.5 ± 1.8	0.114
FPI (µg/mL)	7.3 ± 4.5	11.1 ± 6.9	0.002
HOMA-IR	2.8 ± 1.7	4.2 ± 2.4	0.001
HOMA-β (%)	35.9 ± 34.8	50.5 ± 43.6	0.028
S-CPR (ng/mL)	2.2 ± 1.2	2.7 ± 1.3	0.016
CPR index	1.5 ± 0.9	1.8 ± 0.9	0.097
EGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	78.8 ± 23.6	73.8 ± 27.7	0.518
UACR (mg/g Cre)	50.7 ± 152.1	213.7 ± 532.1	0.080
LDL-C (mg/dL)	121.2 ± 39.9	119.3 ± 39.1	0.798
HDL-C (mg/dL)	50.5 ± 12.3	44.0 ± 9.8	0.008
TG (mg/dL)	145.8 ± 84.2	164.4 ± 90.8	0.129
Calcium (mg/dL)	9.7 ± 0.4	9.7 ± 0.3	0.159
Phosphate (mg/dL)	3.5 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 0.5	0.090
25(OH)D (ng/mL)	24.6 ± 6.9	12.2 ± 2.6	< 0.001
Subcutaneous adipose tissue areas (cm ²)	193.7 ± 120.5	243.5 ± 130.7	0.017

Data are mean ± standard deviation, or n (%).

P values by the paired t-test for normally distributed data and Wilcoxon signed-rank test for data with skewed distribution. Categorical values were tested by χ^2 test. P values are for differences between the two groups. Abbreviations as in Table 1.

	High 25(OH)D	Low 25(OH)D	P
Visceral adipose tissue areas (cm ²)	171.2.9 ± 72.8	201.8 ± 76.6	0.016
Carotid IMT (mm)	1.0 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.4	0.850
Carotid plaque (%)	31 (55.4)	40 (71.4)	0.078
Total homocysteine (nmol/L)	9.8 ± 3.3	11.5 ± 5.1	0.040
RHI	1.99 ± 0.58	1.70 ± 0.54	< 0.001
RHI < 1.67 (%)	18 (31.6)	38 (67.9)	< 0.001
Hypertension (%)	38 (66.7)	43 (76.8)	0.233
Dyslipidemia (%)	43 (75.4)	42 (75.0)	0.957
Antihypertensive drug (%)	19 (33.3)	32 (57.1)	0.011
Antilipidemic drug (%)	20 (35.7)	20 (35.1)	0.944
Diabetes therapy			
No medication (%)	27 (47.4)	19 (33.9)	0.146
DPP-4 inhibitor (%)	18 (31.6)	25 (44.6)	0.153
Sulfonylurea (%)	14 (24.6)	16 (28.6)	0.629
Glinide (%)	2 (3.5)	0 (0.0)	0.252
Biguanide (%)	14 (24.6)	14 (25.0)	0.957
Thiazolidine (%)	4 (7.0)	2 (3.6)	0.348
α-glucosidase inhibitor (%)	1 (1.8)	4 (7.1)	0.176
SGLT-2 inhibitor (%)	0 (0.0)	3 (4.9)	0.118
Insulin (%)	8 (14.0)	9 (16.1)	0.762
GLP-1 receptor agonist (%)	3 (5.3)	3 (5.4)	0.982
Diabetic microvascular complications			
Retinopathy (%)	18 (31.6)	23 (41.1)	0.294
Nephropathy (%)	15 (26.3)	20 (35.7)	0.280

Data are mean ± standard deviation, or n (%).

P values by the paired t-test for normally distributed data and Wilcoxon signed-rank test for data with skewed distribution. Categorical values were tested by χ^2 test. P values are for differences between the two groups. Abbreviations as in Table 1.

	High 25(OH)D	Low 25(OH)D	P
Neuropathy (%)	23 (40.4)	20 (35.7)	0.612
Diabetic macrovascular complications			
Coronary heart disease (%)	4 (7.0)	7 (12.5)	0.326
Cerebrovascular disease (%)	2 (3.5)	4 (7.1)	0.331
Arteriosclerosis obliterans (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-
Data are mean $\pm$ standard deviation, or n (%).			
P values by the paired t-test for normally distributed data and Wilcoxon signed-rank test for data with skewed distribution. Categorical values were tested by χ^2 test. P values are for differences between the two groups. Abbreviations as in Table 1.			

Association between serum 25(OH)D levels and vascular endothelial dysfunction

Finally, we investigated the association between vitamin D levels and vascular endothelial dysfunction. Univariate logistic regression analysis showed a close association between serum 25(OH)D levels and vascular endothelial dysfunction ($RHI < 1.67$), with an OR of 0.945 (95% CI: 0.899–0.993, $p = 0.025$). However, multivariate logistic regression analysis that included age, sex, and other significant factors selected by univariate analysis based on $p < 0.05$, identified carotid IMT and no use of diabetic medications, but not serum 25(OH)D levels were significantly associated with $RHI < 1.67$. When “serum 25(OH)D levels < 16.5 ng/mL” was used instead of general serum 25(OH)D levels, it was associated with increased odds of $RHI < 1.67$, even after adjustment for various confounding factors (OR 4.598, 95% CI 1.961–10.783, $p < 0.001$, Table 4).

Table 4
Binary logistic regression analyses of variables contributing to vascular dysfunction in type 2 diabetes patients

	Univariate logistic regression analysis			Multiple logistic regression analysis		
	Wald χ^2	<i>P</i>	OR (95% CI)	Wald χ^2	<i>P</i>	OR (95% CI)
Intercept						
Age	0.058	0.809	0.996 (0.967–1.026)			
Women	0.012	0.914	0.960 (0.456–2.021)			
25(OH)D	4.207	0.025	0.945 (0.899–0.993)			
Dyslipidemia	4.359	0.037	2.611 (1.001–6.429)			
Carotid IMT	4.564	0.033	2.747 (1.087–6.941)	4.074	0.044	2.712 (1.029–7.147)
No use of diabetic medication	8.629	0.003	0.307 (0.139–0.675)	0.298	2.712	0.133 (0.133–0.670)
25(OH)D < 16.5 [†]	14.176	< 0.001	4.574 (2.073–10.093)	12.307	< 0.001	4.598 (1.961–10.783)
Age, sex, and factors with <i>P</i> < 0.05 on univariate logistic regression were included in this multiple logistic regression.						
[†] Serum 25(OH)D levels < 16.5 (ng/mL) as a variable instead of 25(OH)D in another multiple logistic regression analysis model						

Discussion

The main finding of the present study is the presence of a significant correlation between serum 25(OH)D levels and RHI in T2D patients; in particular, low levels of serum 25(OH)D (less than 16.5 ng/mL) were associated with more than 4-fold increase in the risk of vascular endothelial dysfunction. Given the high incidence of cardiovascular events in T2D patients, we advocate evaluation of vascular endothelial function in this population. Compared to FMD measurement, which is conventionally used for the assessment of vascular endothelial function in clinical practice, RHI, which was used in this study, is advantageous in that it is a simple test that does not require high level of skills. Moreover, one of the major conclusions of the Framingham Heart Study was that the EndoPAT-based RHI measurement is a

useful measure of peripheral vascular function [20]. To this effect, both RHI and FMD are useful measures of vascular endothelial function and can predict cardiovascular events, but they do not correlate with each other and have been shown to be associated with different risk factors [21]. With the exception of one study that examined the beneficial effects of vitamin D supplementation on RHI [22], there is little or no information on the association between vitamin D levels and RHI in T2D patients. Although our study was retrospective in nature, it was the first to demonstrate the association of low 25(OH)D levels and RHI in T2D patients.

What are the cellular mechanisms behind the effects of vitamin D on vascular endothelial function? While our study did not directly examine these mechanisms, we postulate the following scenarios. First, calcitriol, an active form of vitamin D, enhances angiogenic responses, such as endothelial repair by promoting the differentiation of monocytes into myeloid angiogenic cells. Second, calcitriol augments endothelial function by increasing endothelial nitric oxide (NO) synthase expression [23]. Third, vitamin D can also improve vascular endothelial function through the regulation of vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and chronic inflammation [24].

In this study, vitamin D deficiency, defined by a 25(OH)D level < 20 ng/mL, was observed in 66% of T2D patients. Using the same definition, a previous 5-year observational study of T2D patients identified hypovitaminosis D to be associated with increased risks of microvasculopathies as well as macrovasculopathies [25]. Moreover, high hazard ratios of severe vitamin D deficiency were identified for all-cause mortality (2.03) and cardiovascular mortality (1.90) in T2D patients [26]. These findings highlight the clinical importance of hypovitaminosis D in T2D patients. It is noteworthy that our study showed that serum vitamin D level had no significant effect on the incidence of microvasculopathies or macrovasculopathies. The discrepancy in this finding between our study and the above previous studies is probably due to the differences in the sample size, racial composition, and study design. In contrast, a significant correlation was observed between RHI and serum 25(OH)D levels, suggesting that vascular endothelial dysfunction due to vitamin D deficiency can occur in relatively early stages of T2D. The ROC curve analysis used in the present study found that the cut-off level of 25(OH)D for predicting an RHI < 1.67 was 16.5 ng/mL (OR 4.598, 95% CI 1.961–10.783, $p < 0.001$; Table 4). Moreover, serum 25(OH)D level of < 20 ng/mL was also identified by the multivariate logistic regression analysis to be an independent predictor of RHI < 1.67 (OR 2.574, 95% CI 1.043–6.355, $P = 0.040$), suggesting that vitamin D deficiency defined as 25(OH)D levels < 20 ng/mL is associated with vascular endothelial dysfunction.

It is important to determine whether vitamin D supplementation can ameliorate vascular endothelial dysfunction in vitamin D deficient T2D patients. Among the limited number of studies using RHI, one study showed that treatment of vitamin D deficiency with 2,000 or 4,000 IU of cholecalciferol for 16 weeks resulted in only a borderline increase in RHI ($p = 0.07$) in T2D patients [22]. Inconsistent results have also been obtained using the FMD, with some studies demonstrating improvement [27] and others reported no changes [28, 29]. In a recent meta-analysis study investigating the effects of supplemental vitamin D on endothelial function, a sub-analysis involving T2D patients with vitamin D supplementation showed no significant improvement in FMD [30]. Meanwhile, in the D2d Study, which evaluated the

effects of vitamin D supplementation in individuals with prediabetes, although the risk of T2D was not reduced in the entire population, a 62% reduction in diabetes risk was observed in a subgroup of patients with a 25(OH)D level < 12 ng/mL [31]. While that study did not evaluate vascular endothelial function, the results do not rule out the possibility that vitamin D supplementation improves vascular endothelial function in vitamin D-deficient T2D patients, thus warranting further research on this topic.

This study has several limitations. First, it did not include a control group of non-diabetics. However, it has already been documented that serum 25(OH)D levels are lower in diabetics than in non-diabetics. Moreover, our retrospective study only included inpatients and could not include other non-diabetic inpatients. Other limitations include a small sample size due to the retrospective design and the lack of consideration of seasonal variations in 25(OH)D measurements. Finally, this study did not consider whether antidiabetic treatment could by itself improved both vascular endothelial function and serum vitamin D levels of diabetic patients, which should be addressed in future studies.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated in this study a significant correlation between 25(OH)D levels and RHI in T2D patients; in particular, low serum 25(OH)D levels (< 16.5 ng/mL) were associated with more than 4-fold increase in the risk of vascular endothelial dysfunction. Our data suggest that serum 25(OH)D is a potentially useful biomarker for vascular endothelial dysfunction in T2D patients.

Abbreviations

25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, AUC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, BMI: Body mass index, CI: Confidence intervals, s-CPR: Serum C peptide, CT: Computed tomography, eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, FMD: Flow-mediated dilation, FPI: Fasting plasma insulin, HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin, HOMA-IR: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HOMA-β: homeostasis model assessment of β cell function, IMT: Intima-media thickness, JDS: Japan Diabetes Society, NGSP: National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program, OR: Odds ratios, PAT: Peripheral arterial tonometry, RHI: Reactive hyperemia index, ROC: Receiver operating characteristic, T2D: type 2 diabetes, UACR: urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (Approval No. H27-186). Informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analyzed in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

None of the authors received specific financial support for this article.

Authors' contributions

All authors conceived and designed the study. KT performed the statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

References

1. Haffner SM, Lehto S, Rönnemaa T, Pyörälä K, Laakso M. Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 1998; 339: 229-34.
2. Stamler J, Vaccaro O, Neaton JD, Wentworth D. Diabetes, other risk factors, and 12-yr cardiovascular mortality for men screened in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Diabetes care*. 1993; 16: 434-44.
3. Kannel WB, McGee DL. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease. The Framingham study. *JAMA*. 1979; 241: 2035-8.
4. Fonseca V, Desouza C, Asnani S, Jialalet I. Nontraditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease in diabetes. *Endocr Rev*. 2004; 25: 153-75.
5. Ross R. Atherosclerosis—an inflammatory disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1999; 340: 115-26.

6. Xu J, Zou M-H. Molecular insights and therapeutic targets for diabetic endothelial dysfunction. *Circulation*. 2009; 120: 1266-86.
7. Dobnig H, Pilz S, Scharnagl H, Renner W, Seelhorst U, Wellnitz B, et al. Independent association of low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin d and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin d levels with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008; 168: 1340-9.
8. Pilz S, März W, Wellnitz B, Seelhorst U, Fahrleitner-Pammer A, Dimai HP, et al. Association of vitamin D deficiency with heart failure and sudden cardiac death in a large cross-sectional study of patients referred for coronary angiography. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008; 93: 3927-35.
9. Cigolini M, Iagulli MP, Miconi V, Galiotto M, Lombardi S, Targher G. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 concentrations and prevalence of cardiovascular disease among type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care*. 2006; 29: 722-4.
10. Hyppönen E, Power C. Vitamin D status and glucose homeostasis in the 1958 British birth cohort: the role of obesity. *Diabetes Care*. 2006; 29: 2244-6.
11. Yiu YF, Chan YH, Yiu KH, Siu CW, Li SW, Wong LY, et al. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with depletion of circulating endothelial progenitor cells and endothelial dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011; 96: E830-5.
12. Rubinshtein R, Kuvin JT, Soffler M, Lennon RJ, Lavi S, Nelson RE, et al. Assessment of endothelial function by non-invasive peripheral arterial tonometry predicts late cardiovascular adverse events. *Eur Heart J*. 2010; 31: 1142-8.
13. Matsue Y, Yoshida K, Nagahori W, Ohno M, Suzuki M, Matsumura A, et al. Peripheral microvascular dysfunction predicts residual risk in coronary artery disease patients on statin therapy. *Atherosclerosis*. 2014; 232: 186-90.
14. Haneda M, Utsunomiya K, Koya D, Babazono T, Moriya T, Makino H, et al. A new Classification of Diabetic Nephropathy 2014: A report from Joint Committee on Diabetic Nephropathy. *J Diabetes Investig*. 2015; 6: 242-6.
15. Seino Y, Nanjo K, Tajima N, Kadowaki T, Kashiwagi A, Araki E, et al. Report of the committee on the classification and diagnostic criteria of diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Investig*. 2010; 1: 212-28.
16. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *N Engl J Med*. 2007; 357: 266-81.
17. Bonetti PO, Pumper GM, Higano ST, Holmes DR Jr, Kuvin JT, Lerman A. Noninvasive identification of patients with early coronary atherosclerosis by assessment of digital reactive hyperemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004; 44: 2137-41.
18. Syvänen K, Korhonen P, Partanen A, Aarnio P. Endothelial function in a cardiovascular risk population with borderline ankle-brachial index. *Vasc Health Risk Manag*. 2011; 7: 97-101.
19. Igari K, Kudo T, Toyofuku T, Inoue Y. The Relationship between Endothelial Dysfunction and Endothelial Cell Markers in Peripheral Arterial Disease. *PLoS one*. 2016; 11: e0166840.
20. Hamburg NM, Keyes MJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, Schnabel R, Pryde MM, et al. Cross-sectional relations of digital vascular function to cardiovascular risk factors in the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2008; 117: 2467-74.

21. Hamburg NM, Palmisano J, Larson MG, Sullivan LM, Lehman BT, Vasan RS, et al. Relation of brachial and digital measures of vascular function in the community: the Framingham heart study. *Hypertension*. 2011; 57: 390-6.
22. Dalan R, Liew H, Assam PN, Chan ES, Siddiqui FJ, Tan AW, et al. A randomised controlled trial evaluating the impact of targeted vitamin D supplementation on endothelial function in type 2 diabetes mellitus: The DIMENSION trial. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2016; 13: 192-200.
23. Reynolds JA, Haque S, Williamson K, Ray DW, Alexander MY, Bruce IN. Vitamin D improves endothelial dysfunction and restores myeloid angiogenic cell function via reduced CXCL-10 expression in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Sci Rep*. 2016; 6: 22341.
24. Mangge H, Weghuber D, Prassl R, Haara A, Schnedl W, Postolache TT, et al. The role of vitamin D in atherosclerosis inflammation revisited: More a bystander than a player? *Curr Vasc Pharmacol*. 2015; 13: 392-8.
25. Herrmann M, Sullivan DR, Veillard AS, McCorquodale T, Straub IR, Scott R, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D: A predictor of macrovascular and microvascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes care*. 2015; 38: 521-8.
26. Joergensen C, Gall MA, Schmedes A, Tarnow L, Parving HH, Rossing P. Vitamin D levels and mortality in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes care*. 2010; 33: 2238-43.
27. Sugden JA, Davies JI, Witham MD, Morris AD, Struthers AD. Vitamin D improves endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and low vitamin D levels. *Diabet Med*. 2008; 25: 320-5.
28. Yiu YF, Yiu KH, Siu CW, Chan YH, Li SW, Wong LY, et al. Randomized controlled trial of vitamin D supplement on endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013; 227: 140-6.
29. Barchetta I, Del Ben M, Angelico F, Di Martino M, Fraioli A, La Torre G, et al. No effects of oral vitamin D supplementation on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *BMC Med*. 2016; 14: 92.
30. Pincombe NL, Pearson MJ, Smart NA, King N, Dieberg G. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on endothelial function - An updated systematic review with meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases: Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2019; 29: 1261-72.
31. LeBlanc ES, Pratley RE, Dawson-Hughes B, Staten MA, Sheehan PR, Lewis MR, et al. Baseline characteristics of the vitamin D and type 2 diabetes (D2d) study: A contemporary prediabetes cohort that will inform diabetes prevention efforts. *Diabetes Care*. 2018; 41: 1590-9.

Figures

Figure 1

Comparison of RHI between T2D patients with low and high vitamin D levels. Symbols represent individual data and circles with lines are group mean $\pm$ SD values. 25(OH)D; 25-hydroxyvitamin D, RHI; Reactive hyperemia index