Preparation, Characterization, and in-vitro Cytotoxicity of Nanoliposomes Loaded With Anti-tuberculous Drugs and TGF- β 1 Sirna for Improving Spinal Tuberculosis Therapy

Ning-Kui Niu

General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University

Zhi-Yun Shi

General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University

Zong-Qiang Yang

General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University

Yong-Ai Li

Ningxia Medical University

Jian-Dang Shi

General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University

Zi-Li Wang

General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University

Hai-Tao Liu

General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University

Xiao-Min Ma

General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University

huiqiang ding (✉ ningxia750004@163.com)

Gernal Hospital of Ningxia Medical University

Research article

Keywords: anti-tuberculosis drugs, TGF- β 1 siRNA, nanoliposome, drug delivery, spinal tuberculosis

Posted Date: June 19th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-36126/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Tuberculosis (TB) represents a bacterial infection affecting many individuals each year and potentially leading to death. Overexpression of transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1 has a major immunomodulatory function in human tuberculosis. This work aimed to develop nanoliposomes to facilitate the delivery of antituberculous products to THP-1-derived human macrophages as *Mycobacterium* host cells, and to evaluate drug efficiencies as well as the effects of a TGF- β 1-specific short interfering RNA (siRNA) delivery system employing nanoliposomes.

Methods: siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes loaded with the anti-TB drugs HRZ (isoniazid, rifampicin and pyrazinamide) were prepared, and characterized *in vitro*, determining the size, zeta potential, morphology, drug encapsulation efficiency (EE), cytotoxicity, and gene silencing efficiency of TGF- β 1 siRNA.

Results: HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes appeared as smooth spheres showing size and positive zeta potential of 168.135 ± 0.5444 nm and $+4.03 \pm 1.32$ mV, respectively. Drug EEs were 90%, 88%, and 37% for INH, RIF, and PZA, respectively. Meanwhile, the nanoliposomes were weakly cytotoxic towards human macrophages as assessed by the MTT assay. Nanoliposomal siTGF- β 1 could significantly downregulate TGF- β 1 in THP-1-derived human macrophages *in vitro*.

Conclusion: These findings suggested that HRZ-loaded nanoliposomes with siTGF- β 1 have the potential for improving spinal tuberculosis chemotherapy via nano-encapsulation of anti-TB drugs.

Background

Tuberculosis (TB), due to infection by the bacterial pathogen *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*), represents one of the 10 most powerful killers and the deadliest disease due to a single pathogen, more than HIV/AIDS, totaling 10.4 million newly diagnosed cases and approximating 1.7 million deaths in 2017[1]. Isoniazid (INH) is employed to treat TB, in combination with other antituberculous drugs such as rifampicin (RIF) and pyrazinamide (PZA)[2]. TB treatment is intricate because of noncompliant patients complaining of adverse effects of current drugs, regular doses, and prolonged treatment duration[3]. Meanwhile, anti-TB drugs with low quality and limited bioavailability promote the occurrence of drug-resistant (DR), multidrug-resistant (MDR), and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) TB[4].

Spinal tuberculosis (STB) comprises 50% of all bone and joint TB cases, as the commonest extrapulmonary TB, frequently and irreversibly causing neurological damage, which results in severe socioeconomic problems [5]. STB was treated with first-line anti-TB therapeutics such as INH (H), RIF (R), and PZA (Z) in 1998. Furthermore, the histopathology of TB, the pharmacokinetics of anti-TB drugs, and the drug resistance mechanism of *Mtb* have been studied in depth [6-16]. A significant difference was observed in the distribution of anti-TB drugs in STB, and these drugs were at extremely low or undetectable levels in the vertebral sclerosis area and enclosed TB lesions. The conventional dosage forms of drugs hardly persist in the lesion area for a long period of time, making it difficult to maintain the effective drug concentration, which is the main cause of prolonged recurrence observed in STB.

In the medical field, nanotechnology has led to significant improvements in cancer therapy[17], diagnostic imaging of diseases[18], tissue engineering[19], and most importantly drug and gene delivery systems[20]. Although developing new TB molecules remains critical in curbing the TB epidemic, altering novel therapeutics in nanoparticle-based delivery systems represents an achievable, cost-effective, and readily available option [21]. Hitherto, multiple nanodelivery systems for administering anti-TB products to the lung have been widely assessed, and suggested as alternatives to conventional TB therapy. Nanoparticles can selectively deliver into macrophages, which primarily host TB, greatly increasing the therapeutic index by enabling high drug levels right where *Mtb* replicates while reducing systemic toxicity. An additional advantage is that nanoparticles for TB drugs shield them from liver catabolism and renal clearance; consequently, these products are safer and more effective in comparison with free drugs, decreasing treatment time and drug resistance occurrence[2, 4, 22-24]. Therefore, the development of new dosage forms of high-efficiency anti-TB drugs, improving their biodistribution in diseased vertebrae and effectively killing *Mtb* in the target tissue, represents one of the most critical measures for applying current anti-TB therapeutics in the treatment of STB.

In humans, transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1 plays an essential immunomodulatory role in TB [25]. TGF- β 1 with excessively high activity is found in both lung lavages and macrophages from individuals suffering from pulmonary TB[26, 27]. In addition, TGF- β 1 potently deactivates macrophages, reducing their effectiveness in containing *Mtb* [28]. Furthermore, TGF- β 1 and other cytokines (e.g., TNF- α) may be involved in tissue damage described in TB patients [29, 30]. Thus, silencing the *TGF- β 1* gene by the RNA interference (RNAi) technology [31], reducing the secretion of the TGF- β 1 protein in macrophages, and combining first-line anti-TB drugs to facilitate *Mtb* clearance are tools that could increase the efficacy of anti-TB drugs.

Here, an anti-TB nanodelivery system was engineered employing nanoliposomes as the carrier, for biocompatibility and biodegradability, impressive drug loading rate, organ targeting potential and slow release, elevated oral bioavailability, and prolonged half-life in circulation [32, 33]. Then, H, R, and Z were selected as first-line oral drugs for the treatment of TB. The positively-charged nanoliposomes loaded with HRZ (isoniazid/rifampicin/pyrazinamide) for the treatment of TB were successfully developed by reverse-phase evaporation and further bound to the negatively-charged siTGF- β 1 in order to reduce the TB granuloma wrapped in *Mtb* and increase the efficacy of the drugs. Finally, the particle size, zeta potential, particle shape, and encapsulation efficiency (EE) of nanoliposomes loaded with HRZ/siTGF- β 1 were characterized, evaluating their *in vitro* cytotoxicity as a potential alternative for the treatment of STB.

Methods

Materials

2,3-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane(DOTAP) and 1, 2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-hosphoethanolamine-n-[methoxy (polyethylene glycol) 2000] (DSPE-PEG 2000) were provided by Sigma-Aldrich (USA).Cholesterol, INH, RIF, and PZA (>98% purity) were manufactured by Tokyo Chemical Industry

(Japan). RPMI 1640 medium, trypsin, and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were provided by Hyclone (USA). Anti-TGF-1 (Cat No. ab 92486) was from Abcam (UK). SYBR® Premix Ex Taq, PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser, and RNAiso Plus were manufactured by TaKaRa Biotechnology (Japan). 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-3,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide salt (MTT) was provided by Biosharp (China). Annexin V-FITC/PI Apoptosis Detection kit and propidium iodide (PI) staining solution were provided BD Biosciences (USA). **Preparation of HRZ/siTGF-β1 nanoliposomes**

Preparation of HRZ-loaded nanoliposomes

HRZ-loaded nanoliposomes were prepared by the reverse-phase evaporation method. Briefly, DOTAP (36 mg), DSPE-PEG2000 (50 mg), cholesterol (1 mg), INH (7.2 mg), RFP (10.9 mg), and PZA (1.8 mg) at the molar ratio 20:10:1:21:5.3:5.9 were solubilized in chloroform/methanol (4:1, v/v). After solvent evaporation (rotary evaporator, 37°C), further drying was performed under vacuum for 1 h. The resulting inclusion complex was dissolved in 5 mL of deionized water, and a clear orange-red solution was obtained post-filtration.

The resulting nanoliposome solution was transferred into a 10 kDa ultrafiltration tube, and subjected to ultrafiltration at 5000 ×g for 10 min and repeated 5 times until a colorless filtrate was obtained. The upper layer of the preserved orange-red liquid encompassed cationic liposomes containing the anti-TB drugs. Then, 10% mannitol was added to the liquid and lyophilized to obtain 67 mg of an orange-red oily HRZ-loaded nanoliposome product.

Conjugation of HRZ-loaded nanoliposomes with siTGF-β1

The siRNA oligonucleotides targeting TGF-β1 (siTGF-β1) were manufactured by Biomix Biotechnologies, and their sequences were as follows: siTGF-β1: sense 5'-GGA GUC AGA UCC UCA GCA AGC-3' and antisense 5'-UUG CUG AGG AUC UGA CUC CUG-3'; non-coding control siRNA (siNC), sense 5'-GAA GGC CCA TAG CCA GTG ACT-3' and antisense 5'-AGU CAC UGG CUA UGG GCC UUC-3'. Cationic HRZ nanoliposomes were mixed with siTGF-β1 in weight ratios of 2:1, 5:1, 10:1 and 20:1, respectively, and further underwent incubation at ambient for 30 min. The binding efficiency of the HRZ nanoliposomes with siTGF-β1 was determined by the gel retardation assay using 1.5% agarose gel (Ultrapure™ agarose, Life Technologies).

Characterization of HRZ/siTGF-β1 nanoliposomes

Particle size and zeta potential

The size and zeta potential of HRZ/siTGF-β1 nanoliposomes were assessed by dynamic light scattering (DLS) on a Malvern ZetasizerNano ZS (Malvern Instruments, UK) in triplicate at ambient, after dilution with double-distilled water.

Surface morphology

The surface morphology of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes was assessed by transmission electron microscope (TEM) (TEM Jeol JEM-1400; JEOL, Japan). To prepare TEM samples, cationic nanoliposomes and siRNA at a mass ratio of 5:1 were spread over a copper grid and air-dried for 30 min before detection.

Encapsulation efficiency

INH, RIF and PZA loading in HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes was assessed as reported previously[34], with slight modifications. Briefly, the mobile phase was formulated to an optimal concentration to detect the EE of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes on a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (Agilent Technologies, USA). After the loading procedure, the suspensions were submitted to centrifugation at 16873 g for 20 min (Centrifuge 5418; Eppendorf AG, Germany) and unencapsulated drugs that remained in the supernatant were quantitated by UV detection at 334 nm[35].

Entrapment efficiency (%) was derived as [(weight of drug loaded initially – weight of unencapsulated drug)/weight of drug loaded initially] $\times$ 100%

Cell culture

Human monocytes THP-1 cells provided by American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) underwent culture at 2×10^5 cells/mL in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/mL) and streptomycin (100 g/mL). The media were replaced twice or thrice weekly, and the cells were sub-cultured until 80–90% confluency.

THP-1 cell differentiation into adherent macrophages was performed with 100 nM phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) for 48 h in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS[36]. Then, the PMA media were removed, followed by three PBS rinses and incubation in fresh medium for 3 h.

In-vitro cytotoxicity assays

MTT assay

To assess the developed nanoliposomes for cytotoxic effects on human macrophages, the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was carried out as directed by the manufacturer. In brief, 5×10^3 THP-1 cells were added into each well of a 96-well plate, and allowed to differentiate into macrophages by PMA induction at 100 ng/mL for 48 h. Then, they were incubated with HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, and 50 mg/mL at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 24 h. Subsequently, the medium was replaced by MTT containing culture medium. Incubation was carried out for an additional 4 h, and the reaction was stopped with an equivalent volume of DMSO for formazan crystal solubilization. Optical density was obtained at 570 nm. Cell viability was quantitated as described in a previous report, determining the percentages of viable cells and inhibitory potency (IC₅₀) values[37]. Triplicate assays were carried out.

Assessment of cell cycle distribution and apoptosis

Flow cytometry (FCM) was carried out to assess cell cycle distribution and apoptosis upon treatment with nanoliposomes. PMA-induced macrophages were added at 5×10^3 cells per well of a 96-well plate. Upon overnight incubation, the siNC group was treated with HRZ/siNC nanoliposomes, while the siTGF- β 1 groups were administered various amounts of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes (35 and 40 mg/mL); the HRZ group was treated with HRZ nanoliposomes. On the other hand, control cells were administered an identical volume of cell culture medium. Upon treatment, the cells underwent trypsinization, centrifugation (1000 rpm, 5 min), and staining with Annexin V-FITC/PI double-labeling kit (eBioscience, USA) before analysis for cell apoptosis. Next, cell resuspension was performed in PBS with 40 μ g/mL PI followed by a 30-min incubation at 37°C away from light for assessing cell cycle distribution. After filtering through 35 μ m nylon meshes, FCM on FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) was performed for analysis. Then, the rates of apoptosis in various cell cycle phases were determined.

Gene knockdown efficiency of TGF- β 1 siRNA

Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

THP1-derived macrophages were administered different nanoliposomes containing HRZ, HRZ/siNC, and HRZ/siTGF- β 1 (35 and 40 mg/mL) for 6 h. Total RNA from human macrophages was obtained using TRIzol, and reverse transcription was performed with PrimeScript Reverse Transcriptase Kit (TaKaRa), as directed by the manufacturer. RNA quality and amounts were assessed spectrophotometrically on a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Then, qRT-PCR was carried out on an ABI PRISM Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) with the QuantiTect SYBR Green Master Mix kit (Qiagen). PCR was performed at 95°C (10 min), followed by 40 cycles of 95°C (5 s) and 60°C (1 min), with the melting curve obtained at 95°. Fluorescence was collected at 60°C every 0.3°C until 95°C. The primers employed were: TGF- β 1, Forward 5'-GTC CTG GTG GAA TGG GTT ATA C-3' and reverse 5'-GTT GAG TGT TCT TTG GCT TGA C-3'; GAPDH, Forward 5'-GGT GTG AAC CAT GAG AAG TAT GA-3' and reverse 5'-GAG TCC TTC CAC GAT ACC AAA G-3'. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_q}$ method[38] was employed for the analysis of triplicate assays, normalizing the data to GAPDH expression.

Immunoblot

TGF- β 1 protein amounts were determined by Western blot assays. After the treatment of THP1-derived macrophages with nanoliposomes containing HRZ, HRZ/siNC, and HRZ/siTGF- β 1 (35 and 40 mg/mL), respectively, total protein was obtained with Total Protein Extraction Kit (Bestbio, China) and quantitated by the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, USA) as described by the manufacturer. Equal amounts of total protein were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE. Rabbit polyclonal anti-TGF- β 1 (Abcam) and anti-GAPDH (Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, China) primary antibodies were reacted overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies linked to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Wuhan Boster Biological Technology) at ambient for 2 h. Immunoreactive bands were detected with an enhanced chemiluminescence system

(Sino-American Biotechnology, China), and quantitated with Image J version 1.441 (National Institutes of Health, USA).

Statistical analysis

Data are mean±standard deviation (SD). Descriptive statistics and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed for analysis. Independent sample Student's t-test was carried out for group pair comparisons. $P < 0.05$ indicated statistical significance.

Results

Particle size

The particle size was measured by DLS according to the principle that particles move in a random fashion under Brownian motion.

Particle size is highly important in determining the cell's absorption rate. Liposomes of about 200 nm in size could induce membrane fusion with target cells, delivering the encapsulated products into cells with high efficiency[39]. Here, the particle sizes of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes were determined by DLS (Table 1, Fig. 1). The product composed of HRZ nanoliposomes and siTGF- β 1 with a weight ratio around 5:1 displayed an average diameter of 168.135 ± 0.5444 nm, which was adequate for alveolar epithelium deposition and macrophage internalization[40]. We also found that with increasing weight ratio, the particle size of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes decreased from 237.885 to 91.46 nm.

Zeta potential

Zeta potential provides information regarding the electrostatic potential of the particle in solution [40]. The zeta potential of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes with different weight ratios was measured immediately after preparation (Table 2, Fig. 2A). The HRZ nanoliposomes carried a positive charge, and absorbed the negatively-charged oligonucleotides by easily mixing the siTGF- β 1 to produce the final HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes. Zeta potential analysis revealed that the surface charge of HRZ nanoliposomes was 28.13 ± 2.4 mV in aqueous solution. Upon conjugation of siTGF- β 1 (weight ratio of HRZ nanoliposomes/siTGF- β 1=5:1), zeta potential was reduced to 4.03 ± 1.32 mV, thereby implying successful conjugation of the components that consumed the surface amino groups.

For evaluating the siRNA loading capacity of nanoliposomes, the gel retardation assay was carried out (Fig. 2B). HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes were generated at various weight ratios of HRZ nanoliposomes to siTGF- β 1 between 0:1 and 20:1. Gel electrophoresis showed a small amount of bands at weight ratios >5:1, indicating that most of the siRNA was absorbed by HRZ nanoliposomes. These findings corroborated surface charge data obtained by DLS. By adjusting HRZ nanoliposome-to-siTGF- β 1, surface charges varied between 15.33 ± 0.55 mV and $+28.13 \pm 2.4$ mV. In addition, a gradual increasing trend of zeta potential was observed with increasing amounts of HRZ nanoliposomes, in agreement with findings obtained by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Cells internalize nanoparticles with positive charges faster than neutral or negatively charged counterparts[41]. Moreover, excessive surface charge causes cell cytotoxicity[42]. This phenomenon can be prevented by ensuring an efficient loading of siRNA while maintaining a slight positive charge on the surface. Thus, a weight ratio of 5:1 for HRZ nanoliposomes to siTGF- β 1 was applied in subsequent assays.

Morphology

The appearance and morphological properties of the particles were examined by imaging air-dried HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes under a transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Fig. 3; scale bar 0.5 μ m). TEM images exhibited a spherical shape for the nanoliposomes with a homogenous surface morphology (in the range of 200–300 nm), which was consistent with DLS data.

EE

EE is a valuable index with respect to nanodrug delivery. Adequate drug amounts are required in a given polymer for sustained release to the target site[43]. EE is calculated as the percentage amount of drug that is entrapped in the form of nanoliposomes. INH, RIF, and PZA loading of HRZ nanoliposomes showed EE values of 90%, 88%, and 37%, respectively (Figs. 4A and 4B).

In-vitro cytotoxicity

In order to achieve macrophage targeting and effective concentrations of anti-TB products at the infection site, interaction of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes with macrophages was established using a human macrophage model. Appropriate amounts of nanoliposomes for subsequent research were selected cytotoxicity data according to the MTT assay. This test was carried out to assess increasing concentrations (from 0 to 50 mg/mL) of samples, comparatively to untreated nanoliposomes. As shown in Fig. 5, the human macrophage cell line exhibited a gradual proliferation reduction with increasing levels of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes compared with untreated cells, and the IC₅₀ value for nanoliposomes in macrophages was 37.47 mg/mL. Therefore, 35 and 40 mg/mL nanoliposomes were selected for subsequent experiments. Since nanoliposome components are considered safe or lowly cytotoxic at the levels assessed, the concentration-dependent cytotoxic effects of loaded HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes were likely due to significant and heterogeneous particle aggregation decreasing cellular activity and promoting cell death [44]. This phenomenon could be ascribed to the toxicity imparted by the positive surface charge of nanoliposomes[45].

Effects of nanoliposomes on cell cycle distribution and apoptosis

Macrophages were exposed to different groups of nanoliposomes containing HRZ, HRZ/siNC, and HRZ/siTGF- β 1 (35 and 40 mg/mL) for 24 h. The percentages of cells in G₁, S, and G₂ are shown in Table 3; live, apoptotic (early and late) and necrotic cells were also quantitated (Table 4). The results exhibited that the percentage of G₂ cells significantly increased from 21.26% to 38.54% after HRZ/siTGF- β 1 (40 mg/mL) treatment compared to 17.83% and 17.90% for cells treated with HRZ and HRZ/siNC

nanoliposomes, respectively (Table 3, Fig. 6A), suggesting that HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes (40 mg/mL) induced cell accumulation in the G2 phase of the cell cycle in human macrophages. However, no significant differences were detected upon treatment with HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes (35 mg/mL) in other cell cycle phases.

Moreover, cells treated with HRZ/siTGF- β 1 had a slightly higher apoptotic rate in comparison with untreated cells (Table 4, Fig. 6B), while no obvious cytotoxicity was observed for HRZ and HRZ/siNC nanoliposomes, which might be due to the high uptake of siTGF- β 1. Taken together, these findings suggested that HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes possessed adequate biocompatibility, although TGF- β 1 siRNA conjugation slightly increased cytotoxicity.

TGF- β 1 silencing analysis

To confirm the knockdown efficiency of siTGF- β 1, total mRNA and protein were obtained 24 h after transfection from human macrophages treated with or without HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes. Negative and blank control cells were treated with non-coding siRNA (siNC) and PBS, respectively. As shown in Fig. 7, TGF- β 1 mRNA and protein amounts were markedly reduced in the HRZ/siTGF- β 1 group compared with the negative and blank control groups. These data indicated that siTGF- β 1 successfully repressed TGF- β 1 expression at the gene and protein levels.

Discussion

STB, also termed Pott's disease, encompasses 50% of all musculoskeletal TB cases[46]. Left untreated, it causes paraspinal abscesses, spinal cord compression, spine deformities, and neurological defects[46, 47]. Severe bone TB can be effectively treated by combining surgery with anti-TB drugs administered for an optimal duration[48-50]. According to WHO guidelines, long-term administration of multiple anti-TB drugs is essential for treating bone TB [51]. However, high dosages of antituberculous products are necessary to achieve effective concentrations at target sites due to limited permeability and metabolism[52, 53]. Innovative anti-TB drug delivery biomaterials have tremendous potential for the treatment of STB, and could achieve high drug concentrations at the target site with reduced drug amounts throughout the body, markedly reducing toxicity[54, 55]. Therefore, we developed nanoliposomes that encapsulated first-line anti-TB medicines, i.e. INH, RIF, and PZA, and conjugated them to TGF- β 1 siRNA. Successful production of nanoliposomes was verified by multiple properties, and the end-products were evaluated for drug encapsulation efficiency, cytotoxicity, and TGF- β 1 siRNA silencing effects in THP-1-derived human macrophages.

Particle shape exerts great effects on cellular uptake, distribution within the cell, and cytotoxicity. Nanoparticles are taken up according to the following order based on shape: sphere > cube > rod > disk; this is likely because the cell membrane is flexible around low-aspect ratio particles[40, 56]. Microscopy revealed a spherical shape of the engineered nanoparticles, with the particle size ranging from 100 to 200 nm, which allows a wide distribution in most of organs[57]. Another important parameter is zeta potential, which depicts the charge and stability of the prepared nanoparticles[58]. Reportedly, high surface charge

reduces the aggregation of particles[59]. The degree and rate of macrophage uptake show direct associations with particles' net charge; the physiological compatibility of a negatively charged surface is greater than that of the positively charged counterpart[40]. Moreover, localization in lysosomes, in which *Mtb* does survive, is more pronounced in negatively charged particles than positively charged ones[60]. In the present study, the zeta-potential values of HRZ nanoliposomes and TGF- β 1 siRNA were 28.13 and -18 mV, respectively, whereas those of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes with different weight ratios ranged from -11.07 to 15.33 mV.

EE in liposomes is impacted by various parameters, including the preparative method as well as the features of liposomes and loaded molecules[61]. Hydrophobic and hydrophilic substances have high (reaching 100%) and low EE values, respectively[62]. Substances with intermediate hydrophilicity and lipophilicity generally distribute between the water and lipid phases, and any solubility alteration affects their partitioning, thereby modifying the EE[61]. In this study, the 100–200 nm HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes showed high drug encapsulation efficiencies of 90%, 88%, and 37% for INH, RIF, and PZA, respectively.

Nanoparticles have potential toxic to the liver, kidney, neurons, and cardiovascular system, which would limit their application in clinic. Therefore, using reduced nanoparticle quantities is preferable, and low-toxicity or concentration particles should be utilized[63]. Herein, the MTT assay and FCM indicated that HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes had low cytotoxicity and acted in a concentration-dependent manner in human macrophages. Interestingly, cell cycle distribution in THP-1-derived macrophages was unaltered upon drug administration at 35 mg/mL, while the cells treated with HRZ/siTGF- β 1 at a concentration of 40 mg/mL showed a higher apoptotic rate than untreated cells.

Macrophages are key cells in the immune response against *mycobacteria*, and also provide a niche for *Mtb* replication[64, 65]. Coordinated events among immune factors, especially macrophages and T cells, play essential roles in inhibiting TB infection[26]. In addition, macrophage and T cell functions are mostly regulated by local cytokines, which are essential for developing immune reactions against *Mtb*. Several reports revealed that elevated TGF- β 1 amounts suppress immune responses targeting *Mtb* by modulating proliferation, differentiation, and functions in particular immune cells [25]. In addition, TGF- β 1 is expressed in non-necrotizing granulomas of sarcoidosis as well as TB granulomas[27, 66, 67]. Thanks to TGF- β 1's essential function in TB pathogenesis, this infection could be controlled by TGF- β 1 suppression while administering anti-TB drugs. Currently, siRNA-mediated gene knockdown is considered a robust approach for reducing aberrantly elevated amounts of target genes, rendering it putative for clinical therapy[68]. The wide application of siRNAs for treatment is based on well-designed systems delivering siRNAs into target cells with high efficiency[69]. Nanoliposomes efficiently carry and deliver siRNAs *in vivo*[70]. In this study, TGF- β 1 siRNA-mediated gene knockdown downregulated TGF- β 1, decreasing the formation of tuberculous granulomas. The above data also showed that the developed HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes significantly reduced TGF- β 1 mRNA and protein expression levels in THP-1-derived macrophages.

Collectively, HRZ (anti-TB drugs INH, RIF, and PZA)-loaded nanoliposomes with siTGF- β 1 were successfully developed with high encapsulation efficacy, and were characterized by a spherical shape within nanometer size. These formulations had low cytotoxicity and potent *TGF- β 1* gene silencing, thereby laying the foundation for *in vivo* studies.

Conclusion

The urgency of effective treatment of TB, which is among the nine leading causes of death around the world, was tackled by developing nanoliposomes for the delivery of anti-TB drugs directly to the infection site. In the present work, we successfully developed nanoliposomes loaded with HRZ, followed by TGF- β 1 siRNA encapsulation. These nanoliposomes were in the nanometer range, with a diameter averaging 168 nm as determined by DLS. Additionally, they had elevated zeta potential, suggesting high physical stability. Morphologically, they were spherical and uniform, with a smooth surface. INH and RIF had elevated encapsulation percentages, with >80% drug encapsulation efficiencies. Finally, the developed nanoliposomes had low cytotoxicity and affected the viability of THP-1-derived human macrophages in a concentration-dependent manner. Overall, the novel nanoliposomes exhibited a potential as great vehicles for delivering drugs, e.g., antituberculous medicines. This system would significantly impact the design of therapeutic regimens, improving patient compliance. Nevertheless, these nanoliposomes should be further investigated in animal models to obtain supportive *in vivo* data for potential clinical applications in the future.

Abbreviations

TB: Tuberculosis

TGF: transforming growth factor

SiRNA: short interfering RNA

EE: encapsulation efficiency

Mtb: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

INH: Isoniazid

RIF: rifampicin

PZA: pyrazinamide

DR: drug-resistant

MDR: multidrug-resistant

XDR: extensively drug-resistant

RNAi: RNA interference

DOTAP: 2,3-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane

FBS: fetal bovine serum

DLS: dynamic light scattering

TEM: transmission electron microscope

HPLC: high-performance liquid chromatography

RT-qPCR: Real-time quantitative PCR

HRP: horseradish peroxidase

SD: standard deviation

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no any conflict of interest.

Funding

This project was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 81860395 and 81501903), the First-Class Discipline Construction Founded Project of Ningxia Medical University and the School of Clinical Medicine (NXYLXK2017A05), the Ningxia Medical University Youth

Key Talents Cultivation Program in 2017 (30230103), and Youth Top Talent Training Project National Academic Technology Leader Reserve candidate of Ningxia Province (2018).

Author information

Ning-KuiNiu and Zhi-YunShi contributed equally to this work and are considered co-first authors.
Correspondence to Hui-Qiang Ding.

Acknowledgement

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

NKNZY S and HQ D carried out the studies, participated in collecting data, and drafted the manuscript. ZQ Y and YIL performed the statistical analysis and participated in its design. ZLW and JD S participated in acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data and draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Reference

1. Zumla A, George A, Sharma V, Herbert RH, Oxley A, Oliver M. The WHO 2014 global tuberculosis report–further to go. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2015;3(1):e10-12.
2. Costa A, Pinheiro M, Magalhaes J, Ribeiro R, Seabra V, Reis S, et al. The formulation of nanomedicines for treating tuberculosis. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*. 2016;102:102-115.
3. Saifullah B, El Zowalaty ME, Arulselvan P, Fakurazi S, Webster TJ, Geilich BM, et al. Synthesis, characterization, and efficacy of antituberculosis isoniazid zinc aluminum-layered double hydroxide based nanocomposites. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2016;11:3225-3237.
4. Ivancic A, Macaev F, Aksakal F, Boldescu V, Pogrebnoi S, Duca G. Preparation of alginate-chitosan-cyclodextrin micro- and nanoparticles loaded with anti-tuberculosis compounds. *Beilstein J Nanotechnol*. 2016;7:1208-1218.
5. Broderick C, Hopkins S, Mack DJF, Aston W, Pollock R, Skinner JA, et al. Delays in the diagnosis and treatment of bone and joint tuberculosis in the United Kingdom. *Bone Joint J*. 2018;100-b(1):119-124.
6. Niu N, Wang Q, Shi J, Zhang X, Geng G, Zhou S, et al. Clinical and genomic responses to ultra-short course chemotherapy in spinal tuberculosis. *Exp Ther Med*. 2017;13(5):1681-1688.
7. Jin W, Wang Q, Wang Z, Geng G. Complete debridement for treatment of thoracolumbar spinal tuberculosis: a clinical curative effect observation. *Spine J*. 2014;14(6):964-970.

8. Si J, Geng G, Wang Z. Detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in the sclerotic spinal wall. *Orthopedics*. 2012;35(3):e409-413.
9. Shi J, Wang Z, Li H, Yuan H. Diagnostic performance of the urinary deoxypyridinoline in spinal tuberculosis. *Orthopedics*. 2012;35(6):e922-926.
10. Geng G, Wang Q, Shi J, Yan J, Niu N, Wang Z. Establishment of a New Zealand rabbit model of spinal tuberculosis. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2015;28(3):E140-145.
11. Shi JD, Wang ZL, Geng GQ, Niu NK. Intervertebral focal surgery for the treatment of non-contiguous multifocal spinal tuberculosis. *Int Orthop*. 2012;36(7):1423-1427.
12. Ge Z, Wang Z, Wei M. Measurement of the concentration of three antituberculosis drugs in the focus of spinal tuberculosis. *Eur Spine J*. 2008;17(11):1482-1487.
13. Shi JD, Wang Q, Wang ZL. Primary issues in the selection of surgical procedures for thoracic and lumbar spinal tuberculosis. *Orthop Surg*. 2014;6(4):259-268.
14. Si J, Wang Z, Wang Z, Li H. Sequencing-based detection of drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in patients with spinal tuberculosis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2012;132(7):941-945.
15. Wang Z, Ge Z, Jin W, Qiao Y, Ding H, Zhao H, et al. Treatment of spinal tuberculosis with ultrashort-course chemotherapy in conjunction with partial excision of pathologic vertebrae. *Spine J*. 2007;7(6):671-681.
16. Wang Z, Shi J, Geng G, Qiu H. Ultra-short-course chemotherapy for spinal tuberculosis: five years of observation. *Eur Spine J*. 2013;22(2):274-281.
17. Farooq MA, Aquib M, Farooq A, Haleem Khan D, Joelle Maviah MB, Sied Filli M, et al. Recent progress in nanotechnology-based novel drug delivery systems in designing of cisplatin for cancer therapy: an overview. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol*. 2019;47(1):1674-1692.
18. Karimi M, Zare H, Bakhshian Nik A, Yazdani N, Hamrang M, Mohamed E, et al. Nanotechnology in diagnosis and treatment of coronary artery disease. *Nanomedicine (Lond)*. 2016;11(5):513-530.
19. Parpura V. Tissue engineering: Nanoelectronics for the heart. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2016;11(9):738-739.
20. Karimi M, Ghasemi A, Sahandi Zangabad P, Rahighi R, Moosavi Basri SM, Mirshekari H, et al. Smart micro/nanoparticles in stimulus-responsive drug/gene delivery systems. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2016;45(5):1457-1501.
21. Saifullah B, Chrzastek A, Maitra A, Naeemullah B, Fakurazi S, Bhakta S, et al. Novel Anti-Tuberculosis Nanodelivery Formulation of Ethambutol with Graphene Oxide. *Molecules*. 2017;22(10).
22. Gao Y, Sarfraz MK, Clas SD, Roa W, Lobenberg R. Hyaluronic Acid-Tocopherol Succinate-Based Self-Assembling Micelles for Targeted Delivery of Rifampicin to Alveolar Macrophages. *J Biomed Nanotechnol*. 2015;11(8):1312-1329.
23. Hwang AA, Lee BY, Clemens DL, Dillon BJ, Zink JI, Horwitz MA. pH-Responsive Isoniazid-Loaded Nanoparticles Markedly Improve Tuberculosis Treatment in Mice. *Small*. 2015;11(38):5066-5078.
24. Mohseni M, Gilani K, Mortazavi SA. Preparation and characterization of rifampin loaded mesoporous silica nanoparticles as a potential system for pulmonary drug delivery. *Iran J Pharm Res*.

2015;14(1):27-34.

25. Toossi Z, Ellner JJ. The role of TGF beta in the pathogenesis of human tuberculosis. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol.* 1998;87(2):107-114.
26. Bonecini-Almeida MG, Ho JL, Boechat N, Huard RC, Chitale S, Doo H, et al. Down-modulation of lung immune responses by interleukin-10 and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta) and analysis of TGF-beta receptors I and II in active tuberculosis. *Infect Immun.* 2004;72(5):2628-2634.
27. Aung H, Toossi Z, McKenna SM, Gogate P, Sierra J, Sada E, et al. Expression of transforming growth factor-beta but not tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interferon-gamma, and interleukin-4 in granulomatous lung lesions in tuberculosis. *Tuber Lung Dis.* 2000;80(2):61-67.
28. Hirsch CS, Yoneda T, Averill L, Ellner JJ, Toossi Z. Enhancement of intracellular growth of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in human monocytes by transforming growth factor-beta 1. *J Infect Dis.* 1994;170(5):1229-1237.
29. Kumar NP, Moideen K, George PJ, Dolla C, Kumaran P, Babu S. Coincident diabetes mellitus modulates Th1-, Th2-, and Th17-cell responses in latent tuberculosis in an IL-10- and TGF-beta-dependent manner. *Eur J Immunol.* 2016;46(2):390-399.
30. Kalita J, Misra UK, Bhoi SK, Chauhan PS, Sagar B. Possible role of transforming growth factor beta in tuberculous meningitis. *Cytokine.* 2017;90:124-129.
31. Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC. Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature.* 1998;391(6669):806-811.
32. Mirahadi M, Ghanbarzadeh S, Ghorbani M, Gholizadeh A, Hamishehkar H. A review on the role of lipid-based nanoparticles in medical diagnosis and imaging. *Ther Deliv.* 2018;9(8):557-569.
33. Kong X, Liu Y, Huang X, Huang S, Gao F, Rong P, et al. Cancer Therapy Based on Smart Drug Delivery with Advanced Nanoparticles. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem.* 2019;19(6):720-730.
34. Ivashchenko O, Coy E, Peplinska B, Jarek M, Lewandowski M, Zaleski K, et al. Influence of silver content on rifampicin adsorptivity for magnetite/Ag/rifampicin nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology.* 2017;28(5):055603.
35. Kumar A, Sharma R, Nair A, Saini G. Development and validation of RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of nimesulide, phenylephrine hydrochloride, chlorpheniramine maleate and caffeine anhydrous in pharmaceutical dosage form. *Acta Pol Pharm.* 2012;69(6):1017-1022.
36. Clemens DL, Lee BY, Xue M, Thomas CR, Meng H, Ferris D, et al. Targeted intracellular delivery of antituberculosis drugs to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-infected macrophages via functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2012;56(5):2535-2545.
37. Shaikh MV, Kala M, Nivsarkar M. Formulation and optimization of doxorubicin loaded polymeric nanoparticles using Box-Behnken design: ex-vivo stability and in-vitro activity. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2017;100:262-272.
38. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2⁻(Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods.* 2001;25(4):402-408.

39. Chay SY, Tan WK, Saari N. Preparation and characterisation of nanoliposomes containing winged bean seeds bioactive peptides. *J Microencapsul*. 2015;32(5):488-495.
40. Patel B, Gupta N, Ahsan F. Particle engineering to enhance or lessen particle uptake by alveolar macrophages and to influence the therapeutic outcome. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2015;89:163-174.
41. Hirsch V, Kinnear C, Moniatte M, Rothen-Rutishauser B, Clift MJ, Fink A. Surface charge of polymer coated SPIONs influences the serum protein adsorption, colloidal stability and subsequent cell interaction in vitro. *Nanoscale*. 2013;5(9):3723-3732.
42. Schaeublin NM, Braydich-Stolle LK, Schrand AM, Miller JM, Hutchison J, Schlager JJ, et al. Surface charge of gold nanoparticles mediates mechanism of toxicity. *Nanoscale*. 2011;3(2):410-420.
43. Kurmi BD, Kayat J, Gajbhiye V, Tekade RK, Jain NK. Micro- and nanocarrier-mediated lung targeting. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv*. 2010;7(7):781-794.
44. Chen R, Han Z, Huang Z, Karki J, Wang C, Zhu B, et al. Antibacterial activity, cytotoxicity and mechanical behavior of nano-enhanced denture base resin with different kinds of inorganic antibacterial agents. *Dent Mater J*. 2017;36(6):693-699.
45. Kedmi R, Ben-Arie N, Peer D. The systemic toxicity of positively charged lipid nanoparticles and the role of Toll-like receptor 4 in immune activation. *Biomaterials*. 2010;31(26):6867-6875.
46. Jain AK. Tuberculosis of the spine: a fresh look at an old disease. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2010;92(7):905-913.
47. Gehlot PS, Chaturvedi S, Kashyap R, Singh V. Pott's Spine: Retrospective Analysis of MRI Scans of 70 Cases. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2012;6(9):1534-1538.
48. Li L, Xu J, Ma Y, Tang D, Chen Y, Luo F, et al. Surgical strategy and management outcomes for adjacent multisegmental spinal tuberculosis: a retrospective study of forty-eight patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39(1):E40-48.
49. Sequeira W, Co H, Block JA. Osteoarticular tuberculosis: current diagnosis and treatment. *Am J Ther*. 2000;7(6):393-398.
50. Dunn RN, Ben Husien M. Spinal tuberculosis: review of current management. *Bone Joint J*. 2018;100-b(4):425-431.
51. Falzon D, Jaramillo E, Schunemann HJ, Arentz M, Bauer M, Bayona J, et al. WHO guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis: 2011 update. *Eur Respir J*. 2011;38(3):516-528.
52. Yew WW, Leung CC. Antituberculosis drugs and hepatotoxicity. *Respirology*. 2006;11(6):699-707.
53. Schaberg T. The dark side of antituberculosis therapy: adverse events involving liver function. *Eur Respir J*. 1995;8(8):1247-1249.
54. Huang D, Li D, Wang T, Shen H, Zhao P, Liu B, et al. Isoniazid conjugated poly(lactide-co-glycolide): long-term controlled drug release and tissue regeneration for bone tuberculosis therapy. *Biomaterials*. 2015;52:417-425.

55. Li D, Li L, Ma Y, Zhuang Y, Li D, Shen H, et al. Dopamine-assisted fixation of drug-loaded polymeric multilayers to osteoarticular implants for tuberculosis therapy. *Biomater Sci.* 2017;5(4):730-740.
56. Xie X, Liao J, Shao X, Li Q, Lin Y. The Effect of shape on Cellular Uptake of Gold Nanoparticles in the forms of Stars, Rods, and Triangles. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):3827.
57. Hiroi K, Kura H, Ogawa T, Takahashi M, Sato T. Magnetic ordered states induced by interparticle magnetostatic interaction in alpha-Fe/Au mixed nanoparticle assembly. *J Phys Condens Matter.* 2014;26(17):176001.
58. Nigam K, Kaur A, Tyagi A, Manda K, Gabrani R, Dang S. Baclofen-Loaded Poly (D,L-Lactide-Co-Glycolic Acid) Nanoparticles for Neuropathic Pain Management: In Vitro and In Vivo Evaluation. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2019;22(3):235-245.
59. Kumar S, Ali J, Baboota S. Design Expert((R)) supported optimization and predictive analysis of selegiline nanoemulsion via the olfactory region with enhanced behavioural performance in Parkinson's disease. *Nanotechnology.* 2016;27(43):435101.
60. Bhattacharjee S, de Haan LH, Evers NM, Jiang X, Marcelis AT, Zuilhof H, et al. Role of surface charge and oxidative stress in cytotoxicity of organic monolayer-coated silicon nanoparticles towards macrophage NR8383 cells. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2010;7:25.
61. Kulkarni SB, Betageri GV, Singh M. Factors affecting microencapsulation of drugs in liposomes. *J Microencapsul.* 1995;12(3):229-246.
62. Barenholz Y. Relevancy of drug loading to liposomal formulation therapeutic efficacy. *J Liposome Res.* 2003;13(1):1-8.
63. Gorgizadeh M, Azarpira N, Dehdari Veis R, Sattarahmady N. Repression of melanoma tumor in vitro and in vivo by photothermal effect of carbon xerogel nanoparticles. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces.* 2019;176:449-455.
64. Amaral EP, Lasunskaja EB, D'Imperio-Lima MR. Innate immunity in tuberculosis: how the sensing of mycobacteria and tissue damage modulates macrophage death. *Microbes Infect.* 2016;18(1):11-20.
65. Sia JK, Georgieva M, Rengarajan J. Innate Immune Defenses in Human Tuberculosis: An Overview of the Interactions between Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Innate Immune Cells. *J Immunol Res.* 2015;2015:747543.
66. Niimi T, Sato S, Sugiura Y, Yoshinouchi T, Akita K, Maeda H, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta gene polymorphism in sarcoidosis and tuberculosis patients. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2002;6(6):510-515.
67. Limper AH, Colby TV, Sanders MS, Asakura S, Roche PC, DeRemee RA. Immunohistochemical localization of transforming growth factor-beta 1 in the nonnecrotizing granulomas of pulmonary sarcoidosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1994;149(1):197-204.
68. Burnett JC, Rossi JJ. RNA-based therapeutics: current progress and future prospects. *Chem Biol.* 2012;19(1):60-71.
69. Ozpolat B, Sood AK, Lopez-Berestein G. Liposomal siRNA nanocarriers for cancer therapy. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2014;66:110-116.

70. Zhou J, Shum KT, Burnett JC, Rossi JJ. Nanoparticle-Based Delivery of RNAi Therapeutics: Progress and Challenges. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2013;6(1):85-107.

Tables

Table 1
Mean particle size (nm) of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes with different weight ratios

Particle size (nm)	HRZ nanoliposomes:siTGF- β 1			
	2:1	5:1	10:1	20:1
	237.885 $\pm$ 7.1912	168.135 $\pm$ 0.5444	115.265 $\pm$ 6.0033	91.46 $\pm$ 1.2445

Table 2
Zeta potential (mV) of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes with different weight ratios

Zeta potential (mV)	HRZ nanoliposomes	TGF- β 1 siRNA	HRZ nanoliposomes:TGF- β 1 siRNA			
			2:1	5:1	10:1	20:1
	28.13 $\pm$ 2.4	-18 $\pm$ 1.77	-11.07 $\pm$ 1.32	4.03 $\pm$ 1.32	12.5 $\pm$ 1.4	15.33 $\pm$ 0.55

Table 3

Percentage of cell populations in different stages of the cell cycle following exposure to different groups of nanoliposomes for 24 h

Treatment group	G1 phase	S phase	G2 phase
Untreated cells	51.73 $\pm$ 1.17	27.00 $\pm$ 3.44	21.26 $\pm$ 3.41
HRZ	55.13 $\pm$ 1.59	27.10 $\pm$ 5.93	17.83 $\pm$ 3.01
HRZ/siNC	54.06 $\pm$ 2.93	26.90 $\pm$ 4.38	17.90 $\pm$ 2.43
HRZ/siTGF- β 1 (35 mg/mL)	46.95 $\pm$ 1.15	25.20 $\pm$ 3.22	26.84 $\pm$ 1.58
HRZ/siTGF- β 1 (40 mg/mL)	41.07 $\pm$ 1.22	20.37 $\pm$ 2.34	38.54 $\pm$ 2.02**
The results are presented as the average percentage of the cell population (%) $\pm$ standard deviation; P<0.05*, *P<0.01 vs. untreated cells.			

Table 4

Percentage of populations of viable and non-viable cells exhibiting structural properties of different cell death types following exposure to different groups of nanoliposomes for 24 h

Treatment group	Viable cells	Non-viable cells		
		Necrosis	Late apoptosis	Early apoptosis
Untreated cells	91.56±0.87	2.65±0.16	4.52±0.72	1.26±0.12
HRZ	90.83±1.65	1.04±0.20	4.48±1.31	3.64±0.55
HRZ/siNC	91.36±1.27	1.45±0.84	3.83±0.60	3.36±0.32
HRZ/siTGF-β1 (35 mg/mL)	84.16±1.53**	1.77±0.29	9.56±0.51	4.48±1.28
HRZ/siTGF-β1 (40 mg/mL)	78.53±1.13**	2.74±0.39	12.50±0.75	6.20±1.16
The results are presented as the average percentage of the cell population (%)±standard deviation; *P<0.05 *, *P<0.01 vs. untreated cells.				

Figures

Figure 1

Particle size measured by DLS.

Figure 2

(A) Zeta potential values for different weight ratios of HRZ nanoliposomes to loaded siTGF- β 1. (B) Gel retardation data for different weight ratios of HRZ nanoliposomes to loaded siTGF- β 1.

Figure 3

TEM images of HRZ/siTGF-β1 nanoliposomes

Figure 4

HPLC data for (A) pre-preparation mixture of liposomes; (B) filtrate after liposome preparation.

Figure 5

Cell viability of THP-1-derived macrophages after treatment with HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes as assessed by the MTT assay. Notes: Survival of THP-1 derived macrophages treated with 0–50 mg/mL HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposomes for 24 h. Data are mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments.

Figure 6

Mechanisms of HRZ/siTGF- β 1 nanoliposome-mediated inhibition of macrophage growth. (A) Cell cycle distribution and (B) apoptosis in macrophages after treatment with different nanoliposomes. * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$.

A **B**

Figure 7

Effects of TGF-β1 knockdown measured by (A) qRT-PCR and (B) Western blot. siTGF-β1, siRNA targeting TGF-β1 mRNA; HRZ, control group of HRZ nanoliposomes; siNC, non-coding siRNA control group; Control, PBS group. *P<0.05; **P<0.01.