

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

Transport and inhibition of the sphingosine-1phosphate exporter SPNS2

David Sauer

david.sauer@cmd.ox.ac.uk

University of Oxford https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9291-4640 Huanyu Li University of Oxford **Ashley Pike** University of Oxford https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9661-2607 Yung-Ning Chang Nuvisan Dheeraj Prakaash University of Oxford Zuzana Gelova CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences Josefina Stanka Bayer **Christophe Moreau** University of Oxford Hannah Scott University of Oxford Frank Wunder Bayer **Gernot Wolf** CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences Andreea Scacioc University of Oxford Gavin McKinley University of Oxford **Helena Batoulis** Bayer Shubhashish Mukhopadhyay University of Oxford

Andrea Garofoli

CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences

Adan Pinto-Fernandez

TDI Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, Target Discovery Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford OX3 7FZ, UK

Benedikt Kessler

University of Oxford https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8160-2446

Nicola Burgess-Brown

University of Oxford

Saša Štefanic

University of Zurich https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7367-1831

Tabea Wiedmer

CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences

Katharina Dürr

University of Oxford

Vera Puetter

Bayer AG

Alexander Ehrmann

Bayer

Syma Khalid

University of Southampton https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3694-5044

Alvaro Ingles-Prieto

CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences

Giulio Superti-Furga

CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0570-1768

Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: November 21st, 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3616536/v1

License: © ① This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Additional Declarations: There is NO Competing Interest.

1 Transport and inhibition of the sphingosine-1-phosphate exporter SPNS2 2 3 Huanyu Z. Li¹, Ashley C.W. Pike^{1*}, Yung-Ning Chang^{2*}, Dheeraj Prakaash^{3*}, Zuzana Gelova ^{4*}, Josefina Stanka ^{5*}, Christophe Moreau ¹, Hannah C. Scott ^{1,6}, Frank 4 Wunder ⁵, Gernot Wolf ⁴, Andreea Scacioc ¹, Gavin McKinley ¹, Helena Batoulis ⁵, 5 6 Shubhashish Mukhopadhyay¹, Andrea Garofoli⁴, Adán Pinto-Fernández^{1,6}, Benedikt M. Kessler ^{1,6}, Nicola A. Burgess-Brown ¹, Saša Štefanić ⁷, Tabea Wiedmer ⁴, 7 8 Katharina L. Dürr^{1†}, Vera Puetter^{2†}, Alexander Ehrmann^{5†}, Syma Khalid^{3†}, Alvaro 9 Ingles-Prieto ^{4†}, Giulio Superti-Furga ^{4,8†}, David B. Sauer ^{1†} 10 11 1 Centre for Medicines Discovery, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of 12 Oxford, Oxford, UK 2 Nuvisan ICB GmbH, Berlin, Germany 13 14 3 Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK 15 4 CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of 16 Sciences, Vienna, Austria 17 5 Bayer AG 6 Chinese Academy for Medical Sciences Oxford Institute, Nuffield Department of 18 19 Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK 20 7 Nanobody Service Facility, University of Zurich, AgroVet-Strickhof, Eschikon, 21 Switzerland 22 8 Center for Physiology and Pharmacology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, 23 Austria 24 25 26 * Authors contributed equally: A.C.W.P., Y.N.C., D.P., Z.G., J.S. 27 28 [†]Correspondence to: K.D. (katharina.duerr@omass.com), V.P. (Vera.Puetter@nuvisan.com), A.E. (alexander.ehrmann@bayer.com), S.K. 29 30 (syma.khalid@bioch.ox.ac.uk), A.I.P. (Alnglesprieto@cemm.oeaw.ac.at), G.S.F. 31 (GSuperti@cemm.oeaw.ac.at), D.B.S. (david.sauer@cmd.ox.ac.uk)

32 Abstract

33 Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) is a signaling lysolipid critical to heart development, 34 immunity, and hearing. Accordingly, mutations in the S1P transporter SPNS2 are 35 associated with reduced white cell count and hearing defects. SPNS2 also exports the 36 S1P-mimicking FTY720-P (Fingolimod) and thereby is central to the pharmacokinetics 37 of this drug when treating multiple sclerosis. Here, we use a combination of cryo-38 electron microscopy, immunofluorescence, in vitro binding and in vivo S1P export 39 assays, and molecular dynamic simulations to probe SPNS2's substrate binding and 40 transport. These results reveal the transporter's binding mode to its native substrate 41 S1P, the therapeutic FTY720-P, and the SPNS2-targeting inhibitor 33p. Further 42 capturing an inward-facing apo state, our structures illuminate the protein's 43 mechanism for exchange between inward-facing and outward-facing conformations. Finally, using these structural, localization, and S1P transport results, we identify how 44 45 pathogenic mutations ablate the protein's export activity and thereby lead to hearing 46 loss.

47

48 Introduction

49 Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) is a bioactive lipid central to cell growth, embryonic 50 development, and the physiology and pathophysiology in multiple tissues. S1P is 51 essential to vascular and cardiac development ^{1,2}, and maintenance of the blood-brain barrier³. The S1P concentration gradient in peripheral lymphoid organs is critical for 52 53 the migration of lymphocytes ⁴. Accordingly, the S1P signaling pathway is targeted by 54 several clinically approved or evaluated therapeutics for auto-immune diseases including multiple sclerosis and ulcerative colitis ^{5,6}. Finally, while its role is complex, 55 56 the lipid is central to cancer by regulating vascularization, inflammation, and cell 57 growth ⁷.

58

59 Sphingosine-1-phosphate is generated from sphingosine in the cytoplasm by the 60 sphingosine kinases ⁸. The signaling lipid is subsequently exported to the extracellular 61 space by several plasma membrane export proteins, including Spinster Homolog 2 62 (SPNS2), MFSD2B, ABCA1, ABCA7, ABCC1, and ABCG2 ⁹. Of these, SPNS2 is the 63 primary exporter of S1P into lymph ¹⁰. Accordingly, knock-out of SPNS2 in mice 64 prevents immune cell egress into lymph and blood ¹⁰, and a single nucleotide variant 65 is associated with white cell count in humans ¹¹. Additionally, loss of SPNS2 function 66 leads to hearing defects in humans and mice due to disorganization of the stria 67 vascularis and loss of the endocochlear potential ^{12,13}.

68

69 Notably, SPNS2 also plays a central role in the therapeutic targeting of sphingosine-70 1-phosphate signaling. The S1P receptor (S1PR) antagonist fingolimod is 71 administered as the prodrug FTY720 and phosphorylated intracellularly into the bioactive FTY720 phosphate (FTY720-P) ¹⁴. Exported by SPNS2, FTY720-P 72 subsequently induces internalization and downregulation of S1P receptors ¹⁵, 73 74 ultimately leading to lymphopenia ¹⁶. However, the S1PR antagonists have cardiovascular side effects due to receptor expression in cardiac cells ¹⁷. Therefore, 75 alternative methods of targeting S1P signaling are of significant interest ¹⁸, and SPNS2 76 77 itself has been the target for small-molecule inhibitor development ^{19,20}.

78

79 Historically, understanding of SPNS2's binding to substrates and inhibitors has been 80 limited by a lack of structural data for the transporter, challenging structure-based drug 81 design. Several distantly related transporters from the larger major facilitator superfamily serve as prototypes for MFS lipid transport ^{21,22}, including the 82 lysophospholipid importer MFSD2A ^{23–26} and the orphan transporter HnSPNS from 83 Hyphomonas neptunium²⁷. Recently, apo structures of human SPNS2 in an outward-84 facing conformation (Co-apo), and inward-facing structures in complex with S1P (Ci-85 86 S1P) and the inhibitor 16d (Ci-16d), have shed light on the protein's transport and inhibition ²⁸. However, the mechanism of substrate entry to the binding site, and the 87 88 outward-to-inward conformational change, remain ambiguous without an inward-89 facing apo state. Further, positioning of the S1P head group in the substrate-bound 90 SPNS2 structure would preclude a classic MFS rocker-switch mechanism ²⁹, 91 necessitating further investigation of substrate binding and transport. Finally, the transporter's interactions with FTY720-P and the high-affinity SPNS2 inhibitor 92 93 SLB1122168 (33p) remain undescribed.

95 To address these gaps in our knowledge of SPNS2 transport and enable therapeutic 96 targeting of the transporter, we determined cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) of 97 inward-facing SPNS2 in an apo state and bound to a substrate surrogate. In 98 conjunction with these structural results, we used molecular dynamics simulations and 99 a biochemical thermostability assay to characterize the transporter's interactions with 100 S1P, FTY720-P, and a SPNS2-targeting inhibitor. Finally, we report a S1PR3-coupled 101 S1P transport assay amenable for high-throughput screening of SPNS2 activity. 102 Together, these provide valuable insights into SPNS2's transport activity and enable 103 its therapeutic targeting.

104

105 **Results**

106 Anti-SPNS2 nanobody generation and subcellular localization

107 To enable nanobody generation, biophysical, and structural studies of SPNS2, we first 108 over-expressed the protein in HEK293 cells using the BacMam system and purified 109 the protein to homogeneity in n-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside (DDM) or lauryl maltose 110 neopentyl glycol (LMNG) (Supplementary Fig. 1a-c). The solubilized SPNS2 was 111 thermostabilized by FTY720-P in a dose-dependent manner up to 2 °C (Fig. 1a, 112 Supplementary Fig. 1d). However, FTY720-P did not stabilize another major facilitator 113 transporter, the voltage-gated purine nucleotide uniporter (VNUP) (Supplementary 114 Fig. 1e). This indicates that solubilized and purified SPNS2 is properly folded and 115 capable of binding substrate through specific interactions with the transporter.

116

117 Immunizing alpacas with SPNS2, we identified nanobodies D12 (NbD12) and F09 118 (NbF09) which bind SPNS2 with affinities of 7.75 nM and 368 nM, respectively (Fig. 119 1b, Supplementary Fig. 1f). To further validate the nanobodies in cells, and test their 120 applicability for *in vivo* studies, we took advantage of a HEK293 cell line with inducible 121 overexpression of SPNS2 fused to an HA tag. With negative and positive controls of 122 cells without induction or induced cells, we found that SPNS2 localizes to the plasma 123 membrane and both NbD12 and NbF09 co-localize with the transporter (Fig. 1c, 124 Supplementary Fig. 1g). Confirming their ability to bind solubilized SPNS2, co-125 immunoprecipitation with the nanobodies from cells pulled down the transporter from 126 expressing cells (Supplementary Fig. 1h). These results confirm that NbD12 and

- 127 NbF09 are highly specific for SPNS2 and bind the transporter in detergent and its
- 128 native membrane.



Figure 1. Structure of detergent-solubilized SPNS2. a. Measurement of LMNG-130 131 purified SPNS2 by FTY720-P using nano-Differential Scanning Fluorescence (N = 3). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. b. Binding affinity and kinetics of NbF09 as 132 133 determined by Bio-layer Interferometry. Injections at various concentrations and best 134 fits shown as orange and black lines. respectively. **c**. are Anti-FLAG 135 immunofluorescence of SPNS2 overexpressing cells stained with FLAG-tagged 136 NbF09 and Hoechst dye. d. Cryo-EM map of the SPNS2-NbD12 complex determined 137 in DDM. Transporter and nanobody are colored in purple and grey, respectively. The 138 detergent micelle and extent of the entire molecule are shown by a thin black outline 139 (blurred version of the same cryo-EM map). e. Structure of the SPNS2-NbD12 140 complex determined in DDM viewed from the plane of the membrane. f. Residues 141 sealing the extracellular gate of SPNS2. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dotted lines.

142

129

143 Inward-facing structure of SPNS2

We next set out to determine an experimental structure of full-length SPNS2 to examine its binding and transport of S1P. However, the small size of SPNS2 presented a challenge for single-particle cryo-EM. As NbD12 bound SPNS2 with higher affinity than NbF09, we prepared and purified the SPNS2-NbD12 complex (Supplementary Fig. 3a, b) and determined its structure by cryo-EM (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Fig. 3c, Supplementary Table 1). This 3.7 Å resolution map of the SPNS2-NbD12 complex in DDM (SPNS2-DDM) was sufficient to build and refine the

- 151 entire transporter except for the highly mobile N-terminus, extramembrane loops L6-
- 152 7, and L7-8 (Fig. 1e).



153

154 Figure 2. DDM binding pocket of SPNS2. a. Bound n-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside 155 within the SPNS2 structure determined in DDM. Positive difference density of the 156 weighted F_0 - F_c difference map at 16 σ and the DDM model are shown as blue surface 157 and DDM cyan sticks, respectively. b. Cross section of the SPNS2 structure, viewed 158 from the plane of the membrane. c. SPNS2 coordinates DDM through van der Waals 159 contacts in the pocket and hydrogen bonds in the central cavity. SPNS2-DDM 160 hydrogen bonds are shown as dotted lines. d. SPNS2 N-domain contains a patch of 161 polar residues adjacent to the DDM head group. e. Schematic for GPCR-based transport assay. Media from cells expressing SPNS2 and SphK1 is collected and 162 applied to reporter cells expressing S1PR3 and the Ca²⁺ biosensor obelin. f. 163 Measurement of S1P export activity by SPNS2 mutants (N = 24). Data are presented 164 165 as mean ± SEM.

166

As expected for an MFS transporter, SPNS2 is composed of 12 transmembrane 167 helices organized into pseudo symmetric N- (ND) and C-domains (CD) of TM1-6 and 168 169 TM7-12 (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). Notably, TM11 is broken by a well-resolved pi 170 helix (Supplementary Fig. 4c), with a similar feature seen only in structures of the orphan HnSPNS and SLCO6C1 proteins ^{27,30}. An intracellular helix (ICH1) is found N-171 172 terminal of TM7, and a second intracellular helix (ICH2) is located immediately after 173 TM12. Nanobody D12 engages with the cytoplasmic face of the C-domain of SPNS2, 174 with most contacts involving ICH2 and additional interactions with loops L8-9 and L10175 11. Notably, the single-particle cryo-EM analysis did not show evidence of structural
176 heterogeneity, suggesting NbD12 stabilizes the inward-facing conformation.

177

178 The transporter adopts a classic inward-facing MFS conformation, with the central 179 binding site open to the cytoplasm (Fig. 1e), similar to the substrate and inhibitorbound SPNS2 structures (RMSD = 0.51-0.58 Å). The extracellular gate is sealed by 180 181 opposing pairs of hydrophobic residues on TM1 and TM7, with Tyr246 making a 182 hydrogen bond with the carbonyl of Gly333 (Fig. 1f). This tyrosine-carbonyl hydrogen 183 bond appears conserved among Spinster family transporters, with the equivalent of 184 Tyr246 and Gly333 conserved as tyrosine and alanine or glycine respectively 185 (Supplementary Fig. 2). Beyond this hydrophobic layer, a network of polar residues 186 from TM1b, TM2, TM7, and TM11b form hydrogen bonds and salt bridges which 187 further stabilize the closed extracellular gate.

188

189 Structure of inward-facing DDM-bound SPNS2

190 Within the SPNS2 Coulombic potential map, there is an unexpected density within the 191 transporter that is unexplained by the protein model (Fig. 2a). This molecule appears 192 amphipathic, extending from the central cavity into a hydrophobic pocket within the C-193 domain of SPNS2. While S1P co-purified with SPNS2 after digitonin extraction ²⁸, 194 native mass spectrometry of the DDM-purified SPNS2 did not identify co-purifying S1P ³¹. As DDM and sphingosine-1-phosphate are amphipathic molecules with a single 195 196 acyl chain, we hypothesize the detergent acts as a substrate surrogate and occupies 197 the native substrate's binding site. Supporting this hypothesis, the unknown density 198 fits the acyl chain and first glucose of n-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside. Therefore, we 199 modeled this density as a dodecyl glucoside molecule (Fig. 2b), though we cannot 200 exclude a mixture of DDM and S1P.

201

Examining this inward-facing DDM-bound (C_i-DDM) structure of SPNS2, we noted the n-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside primarily contacts the C-domain, with its acyl tail partially inserted into a pocket between TM7, TM8, and TM10 (Fig. 2b, c). This pocket is lined by Thr329, Leu332, Gly333, Ile336, Thr370, Ile411, Ile429, Glu433, Leu436, and Phe437, which are generally conserved in homologs (Supplementary Fig. 4d), supporting this structural motif's importance to binding the hydrophobic tail of S1P.
Outside the pocket, the DDM's acyl chain makes further van der Waals contacts with
TM1, TM5b, and TM10. Finally, the resolvable glucose moiety of the detergent makes
a hydrogen bond with the conserved Trp440 of TM10 (Fig. 2c).

211

212 Critical motifs to S1P export

213 Examining n-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside's engagement with SPNS2, we noted that 214 the detergent's head group interacts with the conventional MFS central cavity and is 215 near a patch of conserved, polar residues on the N-domain (Fig. 2d, Supplementary 216 Fig. 4e-q). Hypothesizing the DDM is acting as a sphingosine-1-phosphate surrogate, 217 the conserved Arg119 of TM1b and His468 of TM11a adjacent to the detergent 218 headgroup immediately suggested these residues coordinate the S1P's anionic head 219 group. Supporting this notion, equivalent residues in SPNS1 and GIpT are essential 220 to their transport of phosphate-containing substrates ^{32–34}. In contrast, the published 221 state 1 and state 1* structures of SPNS2 in complex with S1P model the substrate 222 head group interacting with TMs 5, 8, and 10²⁸. While both molecules' tails similarly 223 engage the transporter's pocket, the location of S1P's head group between TM5 and 224 TM8 would interfere with a conventional MFS rocker-switch transport mechanism ²⁹. 225 Therefore, we set out to experimentally probe the importance of several S1P 226 interacting residues within SPNS2 to substrate export.

227

228 To probe each residue's role in the sphingosine-1-phosphate export activity of SPNS2, 229 we first established an *in vitro* transport assay to report the export of substrate by 230 taking advantage of the signaling cascade of the high-affinity S1P receptor (Fig. 2e). 231 Media was collected from CHO cells overexpressing sphingosine kinase 1 (SphK1) 232 and SPNS2, or its mutants, after incubation with 1 μ M sphingosine. Exported 233 sphingosine-1-phosphate in the media was then guantified by the luminescence of 234 reporter CHO cells expressing S1PR3 and mitochondrially-targeted obelin. Validating 235 this assay, the SPNS2 mutation R200S shows no S1P transport activity (Fig. 2f), 236 recapitulating the loss-of-function phenotype for the equivalent mutation in the Danio 237 rerio ortholog². Notably, this R200S mutant exhibits an increase in intracellular 238 localization relative to the plasma membrane (Supplementary Fig. 5a). As Arg200 is on TM4 and makes intra-domain hydrogen bonds with the backbone of TM1's Asp118
and Arg119 (Supplementary Fig. 5b), this suggests misfolding of the N-domain likely
impedes trafficking to the plasma membrane and thereby blocks the protein's S1P
export activity.

243

244 As Gly333 has a dual role in sealing the extracellular gate of the inward-facing state 245 and the acyl-chain binding pocket, we hypothesized that mutating this highly 246 conserved side chain would dramatically affect protein localization and activity. 247 Supporting this hypothesis, the glycine-to-leucine mutation G333L reduces plasma 248 membrane localization and sphingosine-1-phosphate export (Fig. 2f, Supplementary 249 Fig. 5a). Curiously, changing the same position to phenylalanine in the mutant G333F 250 further reduces plasma membrane localization while retaining partial transport activity. 251 Nevertheless, the effect of mutating Gly333 on S1P export confirms the importance of 252 this residue to SPNS2's transport cycle.

253

254 Next, we tested the importance of hydrophilic side chains within SPNS2's central 255 cavity and likely near the substrate's head group. Both Tyr116 and Thr322 are highly 256 conserved (Supplementary Fig. 2), and we expected these may hydrogen bond to 257 S1P's phosphate, amine, or hydroxyl moieties. However, mutations to either position 258 did not affect substrate export (Fig. 2f). This indicates both positions are individually 259 dispensable for sphingosine-1-phosphate export, potentially by compensatory 260 interactions of other residues within the central cavity of SPNS2. However, Y116F 261 decreased plasma membrane localization while T322V increased protein on this 262 membrane (Supplementary Fig. 5a), indicating these mutations may affect protein 263 localization or folding.

264

265 Substrate interactions with SPNS2

As our mutagenesis experiments revealed that several highly conserved residues were dispensable for SPNS2's transport activity, we next applied atomistic molecular dynamics simulations to unambiguously resolve the transporter's interactions with sphingosine-1-phosphate and FTY720-P. Using the SPNS2-DDM structure's detergent as a guide for placing substrate, we performed five 250 ns simulationsstarting with the substrate in the binding site.

272

273 In most simulations of SPNS2 with sphingosine-1-phosphate, the substrate is stably 274 bound with its head group in the central cavity and the acyl chain within the C-domain's 275 binding pocket (Supplementary Fig. 6a). Normalizing the frequency of contacts 276 between substrate and transporter, we noted the acyl chain of S1P primarily interacts 277 with the pocket lining helices of TM7, TM9, and TM10 (Supplementary Fig. 6b). 278 Further, by clustering all snapshots of the substrate during the simulations, we 279 identified there were several major modes of substrate engagement by the transporter 280 (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 6c, d). The most populous cluster has the head group 281 engaging exclusively with the C-domain's Trp440 and Asp472 via polar interactions 282 (Fig. 3a). In contrast, in the second and third most populous clusters the substrate 283 makes bridging interactions between domains, connecting Arg119 and sometimes 284 Tyr116 on the ND with His468 and Asp472 on the CD (Supplementary Fig. 6d). This 285 supports the importance of the conserved polar patch of the N-domain to the 286 transporter's substrate-triggered conformational change. Further, the mobility of the 287 head group within the central cavity demonstrates multiple modes of SPNS2-head 288 group interaction. This may explain the lack of an effect for the Y116F mutant on 289 SPNS2 activity, as the nearby Arg119 can compensate in coordinating the S1P's 290 phosphate moiety.

291

292 We next carried out the same simulation strategy with FTY720-P to probe how SPNS2 293 binds this unnatural substrate. As expected, interactions of SPNS2 with the acyl chains 294 S1P and FTY720-P were similar for both substrates (Supplementary Fig. 7b). 295 However, the amine and hydroxyl moieties of FTY270-P interact less frequently with 296 the C-domain relative to sphingosine-1-phosphate. Instead, these groups interact 297 more often with the N-domain's polar patch residues Tyr116, Arg119, and Ile238. 298 Further, we noted in the most populous clusters of simulations that polar moieties of 299 the FTY720-P head group extensively interacted with the N-domain (Fig. 3b, 300 Supplementary Fig. 7b, d), with fewer polar interactions to the C-domain relative to 301 S1P. This appears to be a consequence of the bulky aromatic ring in FTY720-P

302 sterically preventing these hydrogen bonds, though the unnatural substrate has 303 several additional van der Waals contacts between its aromatic ring and the CD. 304 Therefore, we propose hydrophobic interactions of FTY720-P with the C-domain 305 substitute for the polar interactions by S1P to achieve similar substrate-transporter 306 interaction energetics.



Figure 3. Substrate and inhibitor binding by SPNS2. a. Sphingosine-1-phosphate
head group interactions with SPNS2 in simulation cluster 1. Carbons of SPNS2 side
chains and FTY720-P are shown in purple and cyan, respectively. Interaction types
are annotated by PLIP ³⁵. b. FTY720-P interactions with SPNS2 in simulation cluster
1. c. Inhibition of S1P export by 33p measured by S1PR3-coupled export assay (N =
8). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. d. 33p interactions within SPNS2 in simulation

315

307

From these results of S1P and FTY720-P binding to SPNS2, we hypothesize the
domain-bridging interactions of the substrates' phosphates pull together the ND and
CD and thereby trigger the inward-facing to outward-facing conformational switch.
This is analogous to the proposed transport of phosphate and glycerol-3-phosphate
by GlpT ³⁶.

321

322 SPNS2 interactions with inhibitors

323 Building from these simulations of SPNS2 with substrates, we next sought to describe

how the transporter is inhibited by the high-affinity inhibitor 33p ²⁰. Applying it to the

media of the SPNS2-expressing cells, we found the inhibitor had an IC₅₀ of 1.9 ± 0.32 μ M in our S1PR3-coupled S1P export assay (Fig. 3c). As expected, the control compound, SphK1 inhibitor PF543 ³⁷, also inhibited S1P export with an IC₅₀ of 232 ± 55 nM (Supplementary Fig. 5c), while neither molecule significantly affected S1PR3 activity (Supplementary Fig. 5d). This inhibition of S1P export by 33p is 10-fold less potent than previously measured in HeLa cells by LC-MS/MS ²⁰, and may reflect differences in the cell lines or experimental conditions used.

332

333 Examining the transporters' binding to the inhibitor 33p using atomistic molecular 334 dynamics simulations, we observed the inhibitor bound stably with its acyl chain 335 inserted deeply into the C-domain pocket (Supplementary Fig. 8a). This is in contrast 336 to the mobility of the low-affinity inhibitor 16d in a previous molecular dynamics run 337 with SPNS2 ²⁸, and we hypothesize the shallow modeling of this inhibitor into the 338 transporter's CD pocket may affect its stability through the simulation. Clustering the 339 33p simulation snapshots revealed a skewed distribution (Supplementary Fig. 8c), 340 indicating that SPNS2 bound to the molecule is biased to fewer conformations than 341 when bound to substrates. In the two most populous clusters, the secondary amines 342 of 33p's head group make a hydrogen bond with the backbone carbonyl of Tyr116 343 (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Fig. 8d). Supporting the importance of this hydrogen bond to 344 Tyr116, moving or removing the terminal hydrogen bond donors in analogs of 16d and 345 33p dramatically weakened their ability to inhibit SPNS2 ^{19,20}.

346

347 Looking across all simulation snapshots, though also present in cluster 1, we noted 348 33p significantly more frequently makes polar contacts to TM10 in the CD 349 (Supplementary Fig. 8b) while making relatively fewer contacts with TM1. This 350 immediately suggests a competitive inhibition mechanism for 33p, where the molecule 351 binds with high affinity but cannot trigger a complete transport cycle. The numerous 352 interactions of 33p with the CD suggest the molecule binds SPNS2 with high affinity. 353 However, 33p's relatively few and rare interactions with TM1 of the N-domain are 354 insufficient to trigger the transporter's inward-facing to outward-facing conformational 355 change. Consequently, binding to 33p likely arrests SPNS2 in an inward-facing 356 inhibitor-bound state.

357

358 Apo structure of the transporter

359 To complement our substrate-bound structure of SPNS2, we next sought to determine 360 the unseen inward-facing apo structure of SPNS2 and thereby describe the protein's 361 conformational change. Examining the SPNS2-DDM structure, we hypothesize the C-362 domain's narrow acyl-chain binding pocket would sterically exclude larger detergents 363 and therefore purified the protein in the larger diacyl detergent LMNG. NbD12-bound 364 SPNS2 was monodisperse in LMNG (Supplementary Fig. 9a, b), and we determined 365 the complex's structure in this detergent to a resolution of 3.7 Å by cryo-EM 366 (Supplementary Fig. 9c, d).

367



Figure 4. Inward-facing apo structure of SPNS2. a. Structure of the SPNS2-NbD12 complex determined in LMNG, viewed from the plane of the membrane. Difference weighted F_{o} - F_{c} density maps near the DDM binding site for the SPNS2-NbD12 complex cryo-EM maps determined in (b) LMNG and (c) DDM. Maps are contoured at equivalent levels using difference density from omitted sidechains to scale the difference maps. SPNS2 in (d) inward-facing and (e) outward-facing apo states (PDB: 8EX5).

376

377 Building and refining the SPNS2-NbD12 structure in LMNG (Fig. 4a), the proteins are generally unchanged from the DDM condition (all-atom RMSD = 0.47 Å). Notably, 378 379 however, there is no apparent non-protein density in the central cavity or pocket of the 380 SPNS2 map determined in LMNG. Further, difference maps calculated using the 381 protein-only SPNS2-NbD12 model gave a large positive density for the structure 382 determined in DDM but not LMNG (Fig. 4b, c). This supports our assignment of the 383 ambiguous density in the SPNS2-DDM map as n-dodecyl-β-D-maltopyranoside and 384 indicates our LMNG map has captured the transporter's inward-facing apo (Ci-apo) 385 state.

386

387 The most pronounced structural change in SPNS2 between apo and DDM-bound 388 states is a ~90° rotation in Trp440, with its side chain rotating roughly parallel to the 389 plane of the membrane in the absence of a head group for hydrogen bonding 390 (Supplementary Fig. 10a). Further, in the presence of DDM, the side chain of Glu433 391 rotates to accommodate the acyl chain and thereby forms a hydrogen bond with 392 Thr373 (Supplementary Fig. 10b). The remaining residues of the substrate binding 393 site do not significantly move, suggesting the substrate binding site is largely pre-394 formed for efficient binding of amphipathic substrates (Supplementary Fig. 10c).

395

396 **Conformational change of apo SPNS2**

397 Comparing our inward-facing apo SPNS2 and the published outward-facing apo 398 structures, the transporter has undergone a rigid body conformational change 399 consistent with the classic MFS rocker-switch mechanism (Fig. 4d, e). In keeping with 400 this mechanism, the individual N-terminal and C-domains are relatively unchanged 401 between conformations, with all-atom RMSDs of 0.74 Å and 0.62 Å, respectively. 402 However, the relative orientation of the domains has significantly changed due to an 403 inter-domain rotation of 32°. Notably, no significant changes are observed at the 404 NbD12 binding sites or at the termini where MBP and DARPin were fused in the previously published SPNS2_{cryo} construct ²⁸. This structural consistency suggests the 405 406 distinct methods of adding fiducial markers for particle alignment during cryo-EM reconstruction did not disturb the transporter's structure. 407

409 While SPNS2's rigid-body inter-domain movement accounts for most of the change 410 between outward- and inward-facing conformations, we noted modest structural 411 differences within the individual domains. The movement from C₀-apo to C_i-apo brings 412 TM2 into greater contact with TM11, inducing an additional half-turn of alpha-helical 413 structure in the previous pi-helix (Supplementary Fig. 10d). This movement of TM2 414 also makes room for the movement of ICH1 toward the transport domain, with the 415 angle between ICH1 and TM7 decreasing by 12° during the C₀-apo to C_i-apo transition 416 (Supplementary Fig 10e). Relative to an idealized MFS rigid body movement, these 417 conformation-specific structural changes likely bias the energetics of SPNS2's 418 outward-facing to inward-facing states.

419

420 Mechanism of pathogenic SPNS2 mutation in deafness

421 With our structures of SPNS2 and a model for its S1P transport mechanism, we next 422 sought to understand the pathogenic mechanism for four clinical mutations in SPNS2 that cause hearing loss (Fig. 5a) ^{12,13}. Of these, the nonsense W302* mutation 423 424 introduces a premature termination at the start of ICH1. Similarly, the frameshift 425 mutation P356fs, located in the loop between TM7 and TM8, leads to mistranslation 426 and subsequent premature stop codon. Ultimately, these incomplete proteins lack 427 significant portions of the C-domain and, therefore, are likely incapable of transport, 428 and accordingly both mutations had insignificant or dramatically reduced S1P export 429 (Fig. 5d).

430

431 In contrast to the pathogenic effects of premature truncations, the pathogenic SPNS2 432 mutations Δ S319 and D163N are associated with hearing loss yet produce full-length 433 or near-full-length proteins. Examining its location within the transporter's structure, 434 we noted Ser319 lies on TM7 and within a conserved set of hydrophilic residues 435 packed between that helix and TM11a (Fig. 5b). We hypothesize that deletion of this 436 residue causes a register shift of that helix, which would result in aberrant packing of 437 TM7 within the C-domain. Supporting this hypothesis, the Δ S319 failed to traffic to the 438 plasma membrane when transiently transfected into HEK cells (Fig. 5g) and resulted 439 in the loss of activity for the mutant (Fig. 5d).



441 Scale bar: 10 μm

442 Figure 5. Pathogenic mutations at essential locations in the SPNS2 structures. a. Locations of pathogenic SPNS2 mutations within the structure. Loop 7-8 is not 443 444 resolved in the structure, and the approximate location of Pro356 is indicated by a 445 magenta sphere with a dotted edge. **b**. The pathogenic mutation Δ S319 within the C-446 domain. c. Asp163 in SPNS2 is within the conserved MFS motif A and forms hydrogen 447 bonds specific to the outward-facing conformation. Hydrogen bonds are shown as 448 dotted lines. d. S1P export activity by pathogenic SPNS2 mutants (N = 24). Data are 449 presented as mean ± SEM. Anti-HA immunofluorescence for HEK293-JI cells 450 transiently transfected with HA-tagged SPNS2 (e) wild-type or mutants (f) ΔS319 and 451 (g) D163N.

452

453 A third mechanism appears to cause the loss in activity of the pathogenic SPNS2 454 mutant D163N, as it is on the surface of the N-domain and, therefore, unlikely to affect protein translation or folding (Fig. 5a). Notably, Asp163 is within the conserved motif 455 A of MFS transporters implicated in transporter gating ³⁸. Accordingly, we noted that 456 457 while the side chain points toward the cytoplasm in our inward-facing state structures, 458 it interacts with a bend in TM11 of SPNS2's outward-open and outward-occluded 459 states (Fig. 5c). Here, it hydrogen bonds with the side chain of Thr458 and the backbone carbonyl of Thr454. Therefore, we expect the D163N mutation to weaken 460 461 these interactions, disturbing the energetics of SPNS2's transport cycle without 462 significantly affecting protein folding. Supporting this hypothesis, the D163N mutant of 463 SPNS2 correctly traffics to the plasma membrane (Fig. 5g) and has partial S1P export 464 activity (Fig. 5d). This modest activity of the D163N mutant suggests there is a minimal 465 S1P export activity needed from SPNS2 for proper development of the stria vascularis, 466 below which it becomes disorganized and thereby leads to hearing loss.

467

468 Discussion

469 In this study, we identify the biophysical mechanisms of SPNS2's interactions with 470 sphingosine-1-phosphate, the immunomodulator FTY720-P, and the targeted inhibitor 471 33p through a combination of structural studies, *in vitro* binding, *in vivo* transport, and 472 molecular dynamics simulations. These results provide a framework for understanding 473 the transporter's mechanism for substrate export, and inhibition of this process by 474 small molecules. Further, by capturing an inward-facing apo state, we identify modest 475 changes in the transporter's secondary structure which affect the outward-to-inward 476 conformational change. Finally, coupling our structural results and protein localization, 477 our results explain the pathogenic effects of SPNS2 mutations implicated in hearing 478 loss.

479

480 Notably, our analysis of SPNS2 binding to substrates S1P and FTY720-P provides 481 new insights into the transporter's action on those molecules. In our simulations, we 482 did not observe S1P or FTY720-P binding between TM5 and TM8, as was modeled 483 previously for S1P²⁸. It remains unclear if the previously modeled S1P location is an 484 intermediate step of the reaction cycle, an artifact of the substrate interacting with the 485 saposin nanodisc, or a modeling error due to poor local resolution. Nevertheless, our 486 updated location for substrate binding supports SPNS2 using a classic MFS rocker-487 switch transport mechanism. Further, our structural and MD analysis identified 488 conserved residues within the N-domain's polar patch that are likely critical to 489 triggering the conformational change, supported by the failure of the inhibitor 33p to 490 engage these side chains. This makes clear that engaging both domains is central to 491 triggering the transport cycle, and is highly analogous to the phosphate bridging seen 492 in GlpT ³⁶.

493

These structures also hint at a role for SPNS2's Glu433, which is unusual for being a charged residue conserved within the acyl-chain binding pocket and the hydrophobic core of the C-domain. Examining all available structures, we noted this side chain is highly mobile within the pocket in the absence of S1P or analogs and potentially interacts with solvent or Thr370 (Supplementary Fig. 10b). However, upon loading of

substrates, it consistently makes a hydrogen bond with Thr373. This same Thr373-499 500 Glu433 hydrogen bond is also found in the outward-occluded apo state structures of 501 SPNS2²⁸. Therefore, it is tempting to hypothesize the orientation and hydrogen 502 bonding of Glu433 is acting as a switch to enable the transporter's conformational 503 change which triggered by the substrate's acyl chain, or stochastically in the apo state. 504 How the state of this glutamate is propagated to affect more significant structural 505 changes in the protein is unclear. However, we suspect in an extended conformation 506 it could hydrogen bond more frequently or strongly with Thr370 and nearby waters, 507 thereby altering the outward-facing state's energetic stability.

508

Notably, in our molecular dynamics study, one simulation each for sphingosine-1phosphate and FTY270-P showed the substrate leaving the binding site and moving closer to the cytoplasmic surface of the protein (Supplementary Fig. 6a, 7a). These transits of substrate away from the binding site are too rare for statistical analysis. Nevertheless, the S1P and FTY720-P travel we observed align with the orientation of these molecules in the inner membrane after synthesis inside the cell, and hint at a path for substrate movement to and from the transporter's binding site.

516

517 Finally, while SPNS2's essential role in the pharmacokinetics of fingolimod by 518 exporting FTY720-P is well known, our structural and biochemical results suggest the 519 transporter may play a role in the metabolism and movement of other therapeutic small 520 molecules. Our DDM-bound structure indicates SPNS2 is relatively promiscuous for 521 amphiphilic molecules with a single acyl chain. Therefore, SPNS2 may play a role in 522 the pharmacokinetics of other analogs of sphingosine-1-phosphate which target the 523 S1P receptors, and other pharmacophores with a single acyl chain.

525 Methods

526 Sequence alignment

527 SPNS2 orthologs, MFS-fold lipid transporters, and prototype MFS transporters were 528 aligned in Promals3D ³⁹ and rendered with ESPript ⁴⁰.

529

530 Cloning, expression, and purification of SPNS2

531 The full-length human SPNS2 gene was cloned into the pHTBV1.1 plasmid containing 532 a C-terminal tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease cleavage site followed by EGFP, twin-533 Strep, and 10×His affinity tags. Baculovirus was then generated according to the 534 previously described protocols ^{31,41,42}.

535

536 The resulting baculovirus was used to infect Expi293F cells in Freestyle 293 537 expression medium (GIBCO) in the presence of 5 mM sodium butyrate. Infected cells 538 were grown in an orbital shaker for 72 h at 37 °C, 8% CO₂ and 75% humidity, 539 harvested by centrifugation, washed with phosphate-buffered saline, flash-frozen, and 540 stored at -80 °C until further use.

541

542 The cell pellets were resuspended in extraction buffer (300 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES 543 pH 7.5, 1% DDM or 1% LMNG) in the presence of cOmplete Protease Inhibitor 544 Cocktail tablets (Roche) and solubilized at 4 °C for 1 h with gentle rotation. The 545 insoluble materials were pelleted at $50,000 \times g$ for 40 min. The supernatants were 546 incubated with pre-equilibrated TALON resin (Takara) and allowed to bind for 1 h at 4 547 °C. The resin was poured onto a gravity-flow column and washed with column buffer (300 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, and 0.03% DDM (Anatrace) or 0.01% LMNG 548 549 (Anatrace)) supplemented with 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ATP, and 10 mM imidazole. 550 Protein was eluted with elution buffer (300 mM NaCl. 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM 551 imidazole and 0.03% DDM). The eluate was incubated with pre-equilibrated Strep-552 Tactin XT Superflow resin (IBA-Lifesciences) for 1 h at 4 °C. The resin was poured 553 onto a gravity-flow column and washed with column buffer. Protein was eluted with 554 column buffer supplemented with 50 mM D-biotin, followed by tag-cleavage with TEV 555 protease overnight and reverse IMAC purification using TALON resin.

557 The tag-cleaved SPNS2 proteins were concentrated using a centrifugal concentrator 558 with 100 kDa cut off (Sartorius) and subjected to size exclusion chromatography using 559 a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with gel filtration 560 buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.025% DDM or 0.002% LMNG). Peak 561 fractions were pooled and concentrated for subsequent experiments.

562

563 **Cloning, expression, and purification of biotinylated SPNS2**

564 Full-length codon-optimized SPNS2 with a C-terminal AVI and Flag tag and BirA were 565 cloned into in-house Baculovirus expression vector pD-INS3, and baculovirus 566 produced using standard methods ^{41,42}. For protein expression, Sf9 cells at 4x10⁶ 567 cells/mL were co-infected with SPNS2 and BirA virus with MOI 1 and 0.3, respectively, 568 and cultured at 27 °C with biotin supplemented in the medium. Cells were harvested 569 by centrifugation after 72 h, and cell pellets solubilized in 50mM HEPES pH7.5, 570 300mM NaCl,10% glycerol supplemented with protease inhibitor, benzonase, 2 mM 571 biotin and 1% DDM or 1% LMNG. After solubilization at 4°C for 1.5 h, insoluble 572 material was removed by ultracentrifugation at $35,000 \times q$ for 1 h. Supernatant was 573 incubated with Anti-Flag M2 resin (Sigma) for 1.5 h at 4 °C. The resin was washed 574 with 30 column volumes of 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol with 575 0.026% DDM or 0.01% LMNG. The protein was eluted with 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 200µg/ml Flag-peptide with 0.026% DDM or 0.01% LMNG. 576 577 Eluted protein fractions were concentrated using a 100 kDa Amicon Ultra centrifugal 578 filter (Millipore) and applied to a Superose 6 increase 10/30 GL (GE) gel filtration 579 chromatography column pre-equilibrated in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl with 580 0.026% DDM or 0.003% LMNG. SEC fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and 581 pooled accordingly. Purified SPNS2 was supplemented with 10% glycerol, aliquoted, 582 flash frozen and stored at -80°C until use.

583

584 Cloning, expression, and purification of VNUP

Full-length VNUP was cloned into in-house mammalian expression vector pD-MAM8.1
with a C-terminal AVI and Flag tag. For protein expression, Expi293F cells at 1x10⁶
cells/mL were transiently transfected with 1mg/L DNA/PEI complex with DNA:PEI ratio
of 1:3. Cells were incubated at 37 °C, 8% CO₂ for 72 h post-transfection and harvested

589 by centrifugation. Cell pellets were solubilized in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 mM 590 NaCl, 20 mM KCl, 30% glycerol supplement with protease inhibitor, benzoase and 1% 591 LMNG (Anatrace). After solubilization at 4 °C for 1.5 h, insoluble material was removed 592 by ultracentrifugation at 35,000 × g for 1 h. Supernatant was incubated with Anti-Flag M2 resin (Sigma) for 1.5 h at 4 °C. The resin was washed with 20 column volumes of 593 594 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, 20% 595 glycerol, 0.01% LMNG, followed by a second wash step with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 596 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 20 % glycerol, 0.01 % LMNG. The protein was eluted with 597 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 20 % Glycerol, 0.01 % LMNG, and 598 200 µg/ml Flag-Peptide. Eluted protein fractions were concentrated using a 50-kDa 599 molecular-weight (MW) cut-off Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (Millipore), and further 600 purified using size exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6 Increase 10/30 GL in 601 50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 10% Glycerol, 0.01% LMNG. Peak 602 fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and pooled accordingly. Purified VNUP was 603 aliquoted, flash frozen, and stored at -80 °C until use.

604

605 Thermal stability assay of SPNS2 and VNUP

606 The thermal stability assay was performed with nanoDSF assay. SPNS2 or VNUP at 607 1 μM concentration were incubated with 0-160 μM of FTY720-P (stock concentration 608 1.6mM in DMSO) in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10% DMSO with 0.026% 609 DDM or 0.003 % LMNG. All samples were incubated for 30 min at room temperature 610 prior to analysis. After incubation, samples were loaded into high sensitivity grade 611 nanoDSF capillaries (Nanotemper), measured in Prometheus NT.48 device 612 (Nanotemper) with excitation power 100% and temperature gradient from 20 °C to 90 613 °C with a slope of 2 °C/min. Data were analyzed using PR ThermControl software 614 (Nanotemper).

615

616 Nanobody generation

To induce the development of heavy chain-only antibodies (IgG2 and IgG3) in alpacas,
animals were immunized four times at 2-week intervals, each time with 150-200 μg of
purified protein. All the procedures concerning alpaca immunization were approved by
the Cantonal Veterinary Office of Zurich, Switzerland (License No. ZH 198/17). SPNS2

621 was delivered in proteoliposomes consisting of soy asolectin, porcine brain polar lipid 622 extract, cholesterol, and monophosphoryl hexa-acyl lipid A (Avanti Polar Lipids) at a 623 ratio of 24:8:7:0.5 by weight in PBS. Before injections, antigens were mixed in a 1:1 624 (vol/vol) ratio with GERBU Fama adjuvant (GERBU Biotechnik GmbH, Heidelberg, 625 Germany) and injected subcutaneously in 100 μ L aliquots into the shoulder and neck 626 region. Two weeks after the last boost, 80 mL of blood was collected from the jugular 627 vein for isolation of lymphocytes (Ficoll-Paque PLUS, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, 628 and Leucosep tubes, Greiner). Approximately 50 million cells were used to isolate 629 mRNA (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen) that was then reverse transcribed into cDNA 630 (AffinityScript, Agilent, USA) using the VH gene-specific primer. The VHH (nanobody) 631 repertoire was amplified by two PCRs and phage library was generated using 632 established methods ⁴³, fragment exchange cloning into a SapI-linearized pDX 633 phagemid vector using 336 ng of the V_HH repertoire and 1 μ g of the plasmid DNA.

634

635 The resulting nanobody library (size 2x10⁸) was screened by biopanning against 636 indirectly immobilized targets. For this purpose, biotinylated SPNS2 in 20 mM HEPES 637 pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl with 0.015% DDM and 0.0015% CHS was immobilized (1 µg 638 SPNS2 per well) on Streptavidin or Neutravidin-coated microplates (alternating 639 between selection rounds) at 5 µg/mL, 100 µL per well in 96 well Maxisorp plate (Nunc, 640 Denmark) and two rounds of selections were performed until ~1000-fold positive 641 enrichment of phages was obtained. Single clones for 190 nanobodies were 642 expressed as polyhistidine-tagged soluble nanobodies in the bacterial periplasm and 643 analyzed by ELISA for binding to SPNS2.

644

Ninety-six ELISA-positive clones were Sanger sequenced and a phylogenetic analysis with the resulting binder sequences for each target was performed using MMseqs2⁴⁴. Sequences were clustered based on a minimum sequence identity of 85% and representative sequences were chosen from 9 clusters. Selected binder sequences were subcloned into a pBXNP plasmid (Addgene #110098) that was modified to contain 1x FLAG tag upstream of the 10x His tag. Binders were finally purified from the periplasm of MC1061 bacteria according to the published protocol ⁴⁵.

653 Surface Plasmon Resonance assay of SPNS2

654 The binding affinities of Nanobody D12 and F09 were determined using Biacore 8K 655 machine (Cytiva). Biotinylated SPNS2 protein was immobilized on the SA sensor chip 656 to a target level of 600 to 800 RU. Purified nanobodies were serial diluted with running 657 buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.026% DDM, 0.01% fatty acid free 658 BSA) to a concentration gradient between 0 to 1 or 10 μ M. The diluted nanobody 659 series were injected over the immobilized sensor in running buffer at 25 °C while 660 binding traces monitored simultaneously. Data fitting was analyzed with 1:1 interaction 661 model using the Biacore Insight Evaluation software (Cytiva).

- 662
- 663

664 **Cell lines and culture conditions**

665 The Jump In T-REx human embryonic kidney 293 cell line (HEK293-JI) expressing 666 doxycycline-inducible human SPNS2 were generated by RESOLUTE as described 667 previously ⁴⁶. Briefly, codon-optimized sequences of wild type and mutant SPNS2 in 668 pDONR221 (Addgene #132307) were subcloned into a modified pJTI R4 DEST CMV 669 TO pA plasmid (Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing Twin-Strep-Tag (IBA 670 Lifesciences) and HA epitopes (SH tag) at the N or the C terminus of SPNS2 as 671 indicated. All constructs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. HEK293-JI cells 672 stably expressing each construct were selected in DMEM medium (Sigma-Aldrich, 673 D5796) supplemented with 10% FBS and 5% Pen/Strep (Sigma-Aldrich, P4333), 674 0,0005% Blasticidin (Invivogen, ant-bl-05) and 4% Geneticin (Sigma-Aldrich, A1720) 675 for one week. After selection, cells were kept in DMEM medium supplemented with 676 10% FBS and 5% Pen/Strep and protein expression was induced for 24 h with 10 677 µg/mL doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich, D9891).

678

679 Immunofluorescence

To detect localization of SPNS2 in HEK293-JI-SPNS2-SH cells, the cells were seeded at a density of 1.2×10^5 on glass microscopic cover slips coated with Poly-L-lysine (Sigma P2658) already placed into 24-well plates (Corning). 16 h after protein induction with 1 µg/mL doxycycline, cells were fixed and permeabilized in 4% PFA for 684 15 min at RT. Cells were subsequently incubated in blocking buffer containing 10% 685 FCS and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 1x PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Next, samples 686 were incubated with anti-HA from rat (Roche, 60789700, 1:1000) antibody for 2 h at 687 room temperature. After three washing steps, cells were incubated with secondary 688 antibodies anti-rat coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-11006, 689 1:500) and DAPI (Sigma, D9542, 10mM) diluted 1:1000 for 1 h at room temperature. 690 After three washing steps (two times blocking buffer, one-time 1x PBS), the slides we 691 mounted with ProLong medium diamond (Invitrogen) and stored at 4 °C. Imaging was 692 performed using confocal microscope LSM980 (Zeiss) with 63x objective and obtained 693 images were processed in Zen blue 3.3 software (Zeiss).

694

695 To detect co-localization of NbD12 and NbF09 with SPNS2 and localization of SPNS2 696 transport mutants (using NbF09) the protocol above was used with following the 697 adaptations: after fixation and blocking, the cells were firstly incubated with NbD12 or 698 NbF09 for 2 h at room temperature in presence of 0.3% TRITON-X 100. After three 699 washing steps, samples were incubated simultaneously with anti-HA from rabbit (Cell 700 Signaling Technology, C29F4, 1:400) and anti-FLAG-M2 from mouse (Sigma, F3165 701 ,1:1000) antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. After three washing steps, cells were 702 simultaneously incubated with secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit coupled to Alexa 703 Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-11012, 1:500), goat anti-mouse coupled to 704 Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-11001, 1:500) and DAPI (Sigma D9542 705 10mM) diluted 1:1000 for 1 h at room temperature.

706

707 To detect localization of SPNS2 hearing loss mutants, the HEK293-JI cells were 708 transiently transfected with respective plasmids using L-PEI and SPNS2 expression 709 was induced with 1 µg/mL doxycycline 24 h after transfection. The protocol above was 710 performed with the following adaptations: after fixation and blocking, the cells were 711 incubated with anti-HA from rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology, C29F4, 1:400) and 712 simultaneously incubated with secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit coupled to Alexa 713 Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-11012, 1:500) and DAPI (Sigma D9542 10mM) 714 diluted 1:1000 for 1 h at room temperature.

716 Cell lysis, co-immunoprecipitation, and western blotting

717 Approximately 10 x 10⁶ cells were lysed in 250 µL of lysis buffer composed of 50 mM 718 HEPES pH 7.4, 250 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, and 1% NP-40, supplemented with Roche 719 EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (1 tablet per 50 mL) and incubated for 30 min on 720 ice. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm, 15 min, 4 °C and total 721 protein concentration was guantified using a Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad). 722 Samples were diluted to 0.5 mg of total protein per sample. Subsequently, 200 µL 723 clarified and diluted lysate was mixed with 1 µg nanobody and incubated at 4 °C 724 overnight. Immunoprecipitation was carried out using equilibrated anti-FLAG M2 725 affinity gel (Sigma, #A2220) for 2 h at 4 °C, with beads collected by centrifugation at 726 13,000 rpm, 15 min, 4 °C and subsequently washed three times with 1x TBS buffer. 727 The bound protein fraction was eluted with 0.1 M glycine-HCl. For western blot 728 analysis. 1 µL of input and 3 µL of each eluted sample were run on 10% SDS-729 polyacrylamide gel in Tris-Glycine running buffer and transferred to nitrocellulose 730 membranes Amersham Protran 0.45 mm (GE Healthcare). The membranes were 731 blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in TBST and probed with primary antibodies anti-HA 732 from rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology, C29F4, 1:2000) and anti-FLAG-M2 from 733 mouse (Sigma, F3165, 1:2000) at 4 °C overnight followed by secondary antibodies 734 goat anti-mouse HRP (115-035-003, Jackson ImmunoResearch) and goat anti-rabbit 735 HRP (111-035-003, Jackson ImmunoResearch). Binding was detected with 736 horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies using the ECL western 737 blotting system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

738

739 Cryo-EM sample preparation and data collection

For purification of the SPNS2-NbD12 complex, SPNS2 after reverse IMAC purification
and SEC purified NbD12 were mixed at 1:1.5 molar ratio, incubated at 4 °C for 1 h,
and the complex purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200
10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with gel filtration buffer (150 mM
NaCl, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.025% DDM or 0.002% LMNG).

745

Cryo-EM grids of SPNS2-NbD12 were prepared on freshly glow discharged QuantiFoil
Au R1.2/1.3 300-mesh grids (Quantifoil) using a Mark IV Vitrobot (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) at 100% humidity and 4 °C, and then plunged into liquid ethane. Peak fractions of SPNS2-NbD12 in DDM were pooled, concentrated to 14 mg/mL, and plunge-frozen on the QuantiFoil grid after blotting for 5.0 s. Peak fractions of SPNS2-NbD12 in LMNG were similarly pooled and concentrated to 6 mg/mL, then plungefrozen on the QuantiFoil grid after blotting for 8.0s.

753

The SPNS2-NbD12 in DDM dataset was collected on a Titan Krios electron microscope, using a GIF-Quantum energy filter with a 20 eV slit width (Gatan) and a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan) at a dose rate of 17.5 e⁻/px/sec. EPU (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to automatically record three movie stacks per hole in super-resolution mode with 2x binning with the defocus ranging from -1.0 to $-2.4 \,\mu$ m. Each micrograph was dose-fractioned into 40 frames, with an accumulated dose of 40.74 e⁻/Å².

761

The SPNS2-NbD12 in LMNG dataset was collected on a Titan Krios electron microscope, using a GIF-Quantum energy filter with a 20eV slit width (Gatan) and a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan). EPU (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to automatically record two movie stacks per hole in super-resolution mode with 2x binning, at a dose rate of 14.3 e⁻/px/sec with the defocus ranging from -1.0 to -2.4 µm. Each micrograph was dose-fractioned into 40 frames, with an accumulated dose of 41.42 e⁻/Å².

769

770 Reconstruction of SPNS2-NbD12

cryoSPARC was used for both data processing workflows ⁴⁷. Movies were patch
motion corrected and CTF-corrected and manually curated based on ice thickness
and CTF fit resolution.

774

For the DDM dataset, particles were blob-picked, followed by two cycles of 2D classification. The particles from well-resolved 2D classes were used for templatebased picking and the resultant particles were then subjected to 2D classification, *ab initio* models generation and preliminary heterogeneous classifications. Particles from a good class of heterogeneous refinement were used for Topaz ⁴⁸ training and picking. Topaz and template-picked particles were then combined, duplicates removed, and polished by two cycles of 2D classification. Iterative *ab initio* models generation and heterogeneous classifications further polished particles at 3D stage. Non-uniform refinement yielded a reconstruction for the SPNS2-NbD12 complex at 3.68 Å. To improve the map, local refinement was performed with the mask applied to exclude the DDM micelle and yielded a final map at a nominal resolution of 3.68 Å.

786

787 For the LMNG dataset, particles were blob picked, followed by three cycles of 2D 788 classification. The particles from well-resolved 2D classes were used for template-789 based picking followed by further 2D and 3D classification. Particles from the best 790 resolved class of heterogeneous refinement were then used for Topaz training and 791 picking. Topaz and template-picked particles were then combined, and duplicates 792 removed. Iterative ab initio models generation and heterogeneous classifications 793 further polished particles at 3D stage. Non-uniform refinement yielded reconstructions for the SPNS2-NbD12 complex at 3.98 Å. Local refinement was performed with the 794 795 protein-only mask applied and yielded a map at 3.69 Å.

796

797 Model building and refinement

Models were initially built using the DDM dataset. The human SPNS2 protein model 798 799 from AlphaFold ⁴⁹ and the nanobody protein model from published structures of PCFT 800 ⁵⁰ were roughly fitted into the experimental map and used as templates for model 801 building in Coot ⁵¹. Following manual adjustments, models were refined with 802 phenix.real space refine using default geometric restraints ⁵². For the LMNG dataset, 803 the SPNS2-NbD12 model in DDM was used as template in Coot. Only the acyl chain 804 and first glucose unit of the DDM headgroup were well resolved in the SPNS2-DDM 805 cryo-EM map and have been included in the model. Residual density for the second 806 glucose unit is present at lower contour level but is somewhat disconnected from the 807 rest of the molecule and was not included in the final model. Secondary structure within the models was identified by DSSP ⁵³. Model-to-map FSCs and validation 808 809 statistics are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

- Weighted F_o-F_c difference maps were calculated following refinement of the protein
 model alone using ServalCat in the CCPEM software package ⁵⁴. Difference maps for
 the DDM and LMNG datasets were scaled by calculating reference maps for models
 in which tyrosine sidechains near the bound detergent were omitted.
- 815

816 S1PR3-coupled transport assay

817 Generation and cloning of Spns2 wild type and mutant sequences into the piggyBac 818 vector was performed by DNA-Cloning-Service e.K. (Hamburg, Germany). SphK1 and 819 SPNS2 wild type or mutant overexpressing cells were generated by transfection of 820 CHO-K1 cells using GeneJET according to manufacturer's specification (Roche 821 Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Stably transfected clone pools were 822 obtained by selection with 0.25 mg/mL Zeocin (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, USA). CHO 823 cells stably overexpressing S1PR3 and mitochondrially-targeted obelin were 824 generated in-house (Bayer AG, Wuppertal, Germany). All cell lines were cultured in 825 PAN medium (PAN Biotech, Aldersbach, Germany) containing 10% dialyzed FCS and 826 kept under sterile conditions at 37 °C and 5% CO₂.

827

828 All luminescent measurements of the activation assay were performed on 384-well 829 microtiter plates (MTPs) using a FLIPR Penta High-Throughput Cellular Screening 830 System (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA). Therefore, 5000 cells/well of 831 SPNS2 wild type and mutant transfected cells were seeded in 25 µL Optimem 832 containing 1% dialyzed FCS. For S1PR3 transfected cells, 5000 cells/well were 833 seeded in 20 µL Optimem containing 1% dialyzed FCS with 5 µg/mL coelenterazine. 834 Cells were incubated for 24 h at 30 °C and 5% CO₂. Sphingosine (Sigma-Aldrich, 835 Munich, Germany) dilution was prepared in Tyrode (130 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 20 mM 836 HEPES, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 4.8 mM NaHCO₃ at pH 7.4) containing 0.3% BSA 837 and added to the SPNS2 wild type and mutant cells with a final concentration of 1 μ M. 838 Cells were incubated for 1, 5, 15 or 30 min at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. In the following step, 839 20 µL supernatant from SPNS2 wild type and mutant cells was transferred to the 840 S1PR3 cells within the FLIPR device and luminescence signals, expressed as relative 841 light units (RLUs), were measured for 60 sec.

843 To evaluate the effects of the SPNS2 inhibitor 33p (SLB1122168) and the PF543 844 SPNS2 wild type and S1PR3 overexpressing cells were seeded as described. A 845 dilution series of 33p (Probechem Biochemicals Co Ltd, Shanghai, China) and PF543 (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) was performed in DMSO and Tyrode containing 846 1:500 SmartBlock (Candor Bioscience GmbH, Wangen, Germany) was added. The 847 848 dilution series, starting at 10 µM, was then transferred to the SPNS2 cells and 849 incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Subsequently, sphingosine with a final 850 concentration of 1 µM was added to the SPNS2 cells and incubated for a further 15 851 min at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Supernatant of the SPNS2 cells was then transferred to the 852 S1PR3 cells within the FLIPR device and luminescence signals were measured for 60 853 sec. The effect of 33p and PF543 on the S1PR3 was quantified by adding the compounds' dilution series, starting at 10 µM, onto the S1PR3 overexpressing cells 854 855 and incubating for 10 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the luminescence 856 signals were measured for 60 sec in the FLIPR device.

857

858 Molecular dynamics simulations

859

860 The coordinates of the inward-facing conformation of SPNS2 were obtained from its 861 DDM-bound cryo-EM structure. All missing residues of SPNS2 and its residues with unresolved sidechains were modelled using Modeller 9⁵⁵. The topologies for all 862 863 substrates i.e. S1P, FTY720-P, and 33P, were parametrised using the CHARMM-GUI ligand reader and modeler ⁵⁶ and the CHARMM36 general forcefield ⁵⁷. The phosphate 864 865 headgroups of S1P and FTY720-P substrates were modelled based on their protonation states in solution ⁵⁸ since they are highly likely to interact with solvent when 866 867 bound to SPNS2 in its inward-facing conformation. All substrates were then docked into the SPNS2 central cavity using Autodock Vina ⁵⁹ and the pose best mimicking the 868 869 DDM-bound pose were considered for simulations.

870

The CHARMM-GUI web-server ⁶⁰ was used to set up all atomistic molecular dynamics simulations. During the setup, SPNS2 was inserted into a model membrane containing a total of 251 POPC lipids and 13 $PI(4,5)P_2$ lipids. The outer leaflet of the membrane contained only POPC lipids whereas the inner leaflet contained a 90:10 ratio of POPC:PI(4,5)P_2 lipids. The solvent in all simulations comprised of the TIP3P water

model ⁶¹ along with 0.15 M of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions, and an addition number of Na⁺ ions to 876 877 neutralize the net charge of the entire system. All simulations used the CHARMM36m force field ⁶² and were performed using the GROMACS 2020.3 simulation suite ⁶³. 878 879 Following the standard CHARMM-GUI protocol for membrane protein systems, our 880 simulation systems were first relaxed by performing energy minimization using the 881 steepest descent algorithm followed by several steps of equilibration during which 882 positional restraints on the protein were gradually released. The equilibration stage 883 was not considered for analyses. During equilibration, the Berendsen barostat ⁶⁴ was 884 used whereas other parameter settings were maintained during production 885 simulations and explained below. At the end of the equilibration phase for each of the 886 three substrate-containing systems, the model membranes containing the protein and 887 substrate measured 10 nm² along the membrane plane and 11.71 \pm 0.19 nm along 888 the perpendicular axis. These final snapshots of the equilibration phase were used to 889 generate initial snapshots with five different initial velocities for production simulations 890 for each substrate. Each of these five production simulations were conducted for 250 891 ns each with 2 fs timestep. This was performed for each substrate bound to SPNS2. The V-rescale thermostat ⁶⁵ maintained the temperature at 310 K and the Parrinello-892 Rahman semi-isotropic barostat ⁶⁶ maintained the pressure at 1 bar throughout all 893 894 production simulations with a compressibility of 4.5x10⁻⁵/bar. The LINCS algorithm ⁶⁷ 895 was used to apply constraints on all bond lengths. The cutoffs for Coulombic and van 896 der Waals interaction radii were set to 1.2 nm. Van der Waals interactions were treated 897 with a cutoff algorithm alongside a Force-switch modifier while long-range electrostatic interactions were treated by the Particle Mesh Ewald algorithm ⁶⁸. Every frame in each 898 899 production simulation was generated at 100 ps intervals.

900

901 The clustering analyses of the conformations of each substrate within the SPNS2 902 cavity was performed using the in-built 'gmx cluster' tool of GROMACS. All five 903 simulations were concatenated and frames at regular intervals of 1 ns were used. 904 During clustering, the Gromos method was used along with a RMSD cutoff of 0.1 nm. 905 The coordinates of the protein-substrate complex obtained from each of the top three 906 populous clusters were uploaded to the Protein-Ligand Interaction Profiler (PLIP) web-907 server ⁶⁹, which then provided annotated data on different forms of protein-substrate 908 interactions with PyMOL-compatible visualization PvMOL along states. 909 (https://pymol.org/2/) and Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD) ⁷⁰ were used for

- 910 visualization. Xmgrace (<u>https://plasma-gate.weizmann.ac.il/Grace/</u>) was used for
- 911 plotting.
- 912

913 References

- 1. Liu, Y. et al. Edg-1, the G protein-coupled receptor for sphingosine-1-phosphate,
- 915 is essential for vascular maturation. J. Clin. Invest. **106**, 951–961 (2000).
- 916 2. Kawahara, A. et al. The Sphingolipid Transporter Spns2 Functions in Migration of
- 917 Zebrafish Myocardial Precursors. *Science* **323**, 524–527 (2009).
- 918 3. Wang, Z. *et al.* Mfsd2a and Spns2 are essential for sphingosine-1-phosphate
- 919 transport in the formation and maintenance of the blood-brain barrier. *Sci. Adv.* 6,
 920 eaay8627 (2020).
- 921 4. Schwab, S. R. et al. Lymphocyte Sequestration Through S1P Lyase Inhibition
- 922 and Disruption of S1P Gradients. *Science* **309**, 1735–1739 (2005).
- 923 5. Pérez-Jeldres, T., Alvarez-Lobos, M. & Rivera-Nieves, J. Targeting Sphingosine-
- 924 1-Phosphate Signaling in Immune-Mediated Diseases: Beyond Multiple
- 925 Sclerosis. *Drugs* **81**, 985–1002 (2021).
- 926 6. McGinley, M. P. & Cohen, J. A. Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulators in
- 927 multiple sclerosis and other conditions. *The Lancet* **398**, 1184–1194 (2021).
- 928 7. Pyne, N. J. & Pyne, S. Sphingosine 1-phosphate and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*929 10, 489–503 (2010).
- 8. Spiegel, S. & Milstien, S. Functions of the multifaceted family of sphingosine
 kinases and some close relatives. *J Biol Chem* 282, 2125–2129 (2007).
- 932 9. Nishi, T., Kobayashi, N., Hisano, Y., Kawahara, A. & Yamaguchi, A. Molecular
- and physiological functions of sphingosine 1-phosphate transporters. *Biochimica*
- 934 et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids **1841**, 759–765
- 935 (2014).

- 10. Mendoza, A. *et al.* The Transporter Spns2 Is Required for Secretion of Lymph
- 937 but Not Plasma Sphingosine-1-Phosphate. *Cell Reports* **2**, 1104–1110 (2012).
- 938 11. Kichaev, G. et al. Leveraging Polygenic Functional Enrichment to Improve
- 939 GWAS Power. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **104**, 65–75 (2019).
- 940 12. Mardani, S., Almadani, N. & Garshasbi, M. Compound heterozygous variants in
- 941 SPNS2 cause sensorineural hearing loss. *European Journal of Medical Genetics*942 66, 104658 (2023).
- 943 13. Ingham, N. J. *et al.* Mouse screen reveals multiple new genes underlying mouse
 944 and human hearing loss. *PLoS Biol* 17, e3000194 (2019).
- 945 14. Hisano, Y., Kobayashi, N., Kawahara, A., Yamaguchi, A. & Nishi, T. The
- 946 Sphingosine 1-Phosphate Transporter, SPNS2, Functions as a Transporter of
- 947 the Phosphorylated Form of the Immunomodulating Agent FTY720. *Journal of*
- 948 *Biological Chemistry* **286**, 1758–1766 (2011).
- 949 15. Matloubian, M. et al. Lymphocyte egress from thymus and peripheral lymphoid
- 950 organs is dependent on S1P receptor 1. *Nature* **427**, 355–360 (2004).
- 951 16. Brinkmann, V. et al. The Immune Modulator FTY720 Targets Sphingosine 1-
- 952 Phosphate Receptors. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277**, 21453–21457 (2002).
- 953 17. Camm, J., Hla, T., Bakshi, R. & Brinkmann, V. Cardiac and vascular effects of
- 954 fingolimod: Mechanistic basis and clinical implications. *American Heart Journal*
- **168**, 632–644 (2014).
- 18. Cartier, A. & Hla, T. Sphingosine 1-phosphate: Lipid signaling in pathology and
 therapy. *Science* 366, eaar5551 (2019).
- 958 19. Fritzemeier, R. et al. Discovery of In Vivo Active Sphingosine-1-phosphate
- 959 Transporter (Spns2) Inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 65, 7656–7681 (2022).

- 960 20. Burgio, A. L. et al. 2-Aminobenzoxazole Derivatives as Potent Inhibitors of the
- 961 Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Transporter Spinster Homolog 2 (Spns2). *J. Med.*

962 *Chem.* **66**, 5873–5891 (2023).

- 963 21. Lambert, E., Mehdipour, A. R., Schmidt, A., Hummer, G. & Perez, C. Evidence
- 964 for a trap-and-flip mechanism in a proton-dependent lipid transporter. *Nat*
- 965 *Commun* **13**, 1022 (2022).
- 966 22. Harvat, E. M. et al. Lysophospholipid Flipping across the Escherichia coli Inner
- 967 Membrane Catalyzed by a Transporter (LpIT) Belonging to the Major Facilitator
- 968 Superfamily. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **280**, 12028–12034 (2005).
- 969 23. Nguyen, C. et al. Lipid flipping in the omega-3 fatty-acid transporter. Nat
- 970 *Commun* **14**, 2571 (2023).
- 971 24. Wood, C. A. P. *et al.* Structure and mechanism of blood–brain-barrier lipid
 972 transporter MFSD2A. *Nature* **596**, 444–448 (2021).
- 973 25. Cater, R. J. et al. Structural basis of omega-3 fatty acid transport across the
- 974 blood–brain barrier. *Nature* **595**, 315–319 (2021).
- 975 26. Martinez-Molledo, M., Nji, E. & Reyes, N. Structural insights into the
- 976 Iysophospholipid brain uptake mechanism and its inhibition by syncytin-2. *Nat*
- 977 Struct Mol Biol **29**, 604–612 (2022).
- 978 27. Zhou, F. et al. Crystal structure of a bacterial homolog to human lysosomal
- 979 transporter, spinster. *Science Bulletin* **64**, 1310–1317 (2019).
- 980 28. Chen, H. et al. Structural and functional insights into Spns2-mediated transport of
- 981 sphingosine-1-phosphate. *Cell* S0092867423004579 (2023)
- 982 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2023.04.028.

- 983 29. Huang, Y., Lemieux, M. J., Song, J., Auer, M. & Wang, D.-N. Structure and
- 984 Mechanism of the Glycerol-3-Phosphate Transporter from *Escherichia coli*.
 985 *Science* **301**, 616–620 (2003).
- 30. Lin, S., Ke, M., Zhang, Y., Yan, Z. & Wu, J. Structure of a mammalian sperm
- 987 cation channel complex. *Nature* **595**, 746–750 (2021).
- 31. Tang, H. *et al.* The solute carrier SPNS2 recruits PI(4,5)P2 to synergistically
- 989 regulate transport of sphingosine-1-phosphate. *Molecular Cell*
- 990 S1097276523005099 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2023.06.033.
- 32. He, M. *et al.* Spns1 is a lysophospholipid transporter mediating lysosomal
- 992 phospholipid salvage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **119**, e2210353119 (2022).
- 33. Law, C. J., Enkavi, G., Wang, D.-N. & Tajkhorshid, E. Structural Basis of
- Substrate Selectivity in the Glycerol-3-Phosphate: Phosphate Antiporter GlpT. *Biophysical Journal* 97, 1346–1353 (2009).
- 996 34. Law, C. J. *et al.* Salt-bridge Dynamics Control Substrate-induced Conformational
- 997 Change in the Membrane Transporter GlpT. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **378**,
- 998 828–839 (2008).
- 35. Adasme, M. F. et al. PLIP 2021: expanding the scope of the protein-ligand
- 1000 interaction profiler to DNA and RNA. *Nucleic Acids Research* 49, W530–W5341001 (2021).
- 1002 36. Lemieux, M. J., Huang, Y. & Wang, D.-N. The structural basis of substrate
- 1003 translocation by the Escherichia coli glycerol-3-phosphate transporter: a member
- 1004 of the major facilitator superfamily. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **14**, 405–
- 1005 412 (2004).

- 1006 37. Schnute, M. E. et al. Modulation of cellular S1P levels with a novel, potent and
- 1007 specific inhibitor of sphingosine kinase-1. *Biochemical Journal* 444, 79–881008 (2012).
- 1009 38. Jiang, D. *et al.* Structure of the YajR transporter suggests a transport mechanism
- 1010 based on the conserved motif A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 14664–14669
 1011 (2013).
- 1012 39. Pei, J., Kim, B.-H. & Grishin, N. V. PROMALS3D: a tool for multiple protein
- 1013 sequence and structure alignments. *Nucleic Acids Research* **36**, 2295–2300
- 1014 (2008).
- 1015 40. Robert, X. & Gouet, P. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the
- 1016 new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Research* **42**, W320–W324 (2014).
- 1017 41. Raturi, S. et al. High-Throughput Expression and Purification of Human Solute
- 1018 Carriers for Structural and Biochemical Studies. *JoVE* 65878 (2023)
- 1019 doi:10.3791/65878.
- 1020 42. Mahajan, P. et al. Expression Screening of Human Integral Membrane Proteins
- 1021 Using BacMam. in *Structural Genomics* (eds. Chen, Y. W. & Yiu, C.-P. B.) vol.
- 1022 2199 95–115 (Springer US, 2021).
- 43. Geertsma, E. R. & Dutzler, R. A Versatile and Efficient High-Throughput Cloning
 Tool for Structural Biology. *Biochemistry* 50, 3272–3278 (2011).
- 1025 44. Steinegger, M. & Söding, J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence
- 1026 searching for the analysis of massive data sets. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**, 1026–10281027 (2017).
- 1028 45. Zimmermann, I. et al. Generation of synthetic nanobodies against delicate
- 1029 proteins. *Nat Protoc* **15**, 1707–1741 (2020).

- 1030 46. Polesel, M. et al. Functional characterization of SLC39 family members ZIP5 and
- 1031 ZIP10 in overexpressing HEK293 cells reveals selective copper transport activity.

1032 *Biometals* **36**, 227–237 (2023).

- 1033 47. Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC:
- algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods*
- **1035 14**, 290–296 (2017).
- 1036 48. Bepler, T. et al. Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle
- 1037 picking in cryo-electron micrographs. *Nat Methods* **16**, 1153–1160 (2019).
- 1038 49. Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold.
- 1039 *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).
- 1040 50. Parker, J. L. et al. Structural basis of antifolate recognition and transport by
- 1041 PCFT. *Nature* **595**, 130–134 (2021).
- 1042 51. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. *Coot*: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta*
- 1043 *Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **60**, 2126–2132 (2004).
- 1044 52. Afonine, P. V. et al. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and
- 1045 crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **74**, 531–544 (2018).
- 1046 53. Kabsch, W. & Sander, C. Dictionary of protein secondary structure: Pattern
- 1047 recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. *Biopolymers* 22,
- 1048 2577–2637 (1983).
- 1049 54. Yamashita, K., Palmer, C. M., Burnley, T. & Murshudov, G. N. Cryo-EM single-
- 1050 particle structure refinement and map calculation using Servalcat. Acta
- 1051 *Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **77**, 1282–1291 (2021).
- 1052 55. Webb, B. & Sali, A. Protein Structure Modeling with MODELLER. in *Protein*
- 1053 *Structure Prediction* (ed. Kihara, D.) vol. 1137 1–15 (Springer New York, 2014).

- 1054 56. Kim, S. et al. CHARMM-GUI ligand reader and modeler for CHARMM force field
- 1055 generation of small molecules: CHARMM-GUI Ligand Reader and Modeler for
- 1056 CHARMM Force Field Generation of Small Molecules. J. Comput. Chem. 38,
- 1057 1879–1886 (2017).
- 1058 57. Vanommeslaeghe, K. et al. CHARMM general force field: A force field for drug-
- 1059 like molecules compatible with the CHARMM all-atom additive biological force
 1060 fields. *J Comput Chem* **31**, 671–690 (2010).
- 1061 58. Naor, M. M., Walker, M. D., Van Brocklyn, J. R., Tigyi, G. & Parrill, A. L.
- 1062 Sphingosine 1-phosphate pKa and binding constants: Intramolecular and
- 1063 intermolecular influences. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling* 26, 519–
 1064 528 (2007).
- 1065 59. Trott, O. & Olson, A. J. AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and accuracy of
- 1066 docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J
- 1067 *Comput Chem* **31**, 455–461 (2010).
- 1068 60. Jo, S., Kim, T., Iyer, V. G. & Im, W. CHARMM-GUI: A web-based graphical user
- 1069 interface for CHARMM. *J Comput Chem* **29**, 1859–1865 (2008).
- 1070 61. Mark, P. & Nilsson, L. Structure and Dynamics of the TIP3P, SPC, and SPC/E
- 1071 Water Models at 298 K. J. Phys. Chem. A **105**, 9954–9960 (2001).
- 1072 62. Huang, J. et al. CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically
- 1073 disordered proteins. *Nat Methods* **14**, 71–73 (2017).
- 1074 63. Abraham, M. J. et al. GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations
- 1075 through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* **1–2**,
- 1076 19–25 (2015).

- 1077 64. Berendsen, H. J. C., Postma, J. P. M., Van Gunsteren, W. F., DiNola, A. & Haak,
- 1078 J. R. Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *The Journal of* 1079 *Chemical Physics* **81**, 3684–3690 (1984).
- 1080 65. Bussi, G., Donadio, D. & Parrinello, M. Canonical sampling through velocity
- 1081 rescaling. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **126**, 014101 (2007).
- 1082 66. Parrinello, M. & Rahman, A. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new
- 1083 molecular dynamics method. *Journal of Applied Physics* **52**, 7182–7190 (1981).
- 1084 67. Hess, B., Bekker, H., Berendsen, H. J. C. & Fraaije, J. G. E. M. LINCS: A linear
- 1085 constraint solver for molecular simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* **18**, 1463–1472
- 1086 (1997).
- 1087 68. Darden, T., York, D. & Pedersen, L. Particle mesh Ewald: An *N* ·log(*N*) method
 1088 for Ewald sums in large systems. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 98, 10089–
 10092 (1993).
- 1090 69. Salentin, S., Schreiber, S., Haupt, V. J., Adasme, M. F. & Schroeder, M. PLIP:
- 1091 fully automated protein–ligand interaction profiler. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, W443–
- 1092 W447 (2015).
- 1093 70. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. & Schulten, K. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics.
- 1094 *Journal of Molecular Graphics* **14**, 33–38 (1996).
- 1095
- 1096
- 1097
- 1098
- 1099
- 1100

1101 Acknowledgments

1102 This work was performed by the RESOLUTE (<u>https://re-solute.eu/</u>) and RESOLUTION 1103 https://re-solute.eu/resolution) consortia. Plasmids are available through Addgene 1104 (https://www.addgene.org/depositor-collections/re-solute/). RESOLUTE and 1105 **RESOLUTION** have received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint 1106 Undertaking under grant agreement Numbers 777372 and 101034439, respectively. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 1107 1108 research and innovation programme and EFPIA. This article reflects only the authors' 1109 views and neither IMI nor the European Union and EFPIA are responsible for any use 1110 that may be made of the information contained therein. D.B.S. and A.C.W.P. were 1111 supported by the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant 1112 agreement No 875510. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon 1113 2020 research and innovation program and EFPIA and Ontario Institute for Cancer 1114 Research, Royal Institution for the Advancement of Learning McGill University, 1115 Kungliga Tekniska Hoegskolan, Diamond Light Source Limited. We thank Beth 1116 MacLean for assistance with EM sample preparation and screening, Loic Carrique and 1117 Helen Duyvesteyn for EM support at OPIC, Brian Marsden for assistance with cryo-1118 EM data processing resources, and Wyatt Yue for assistance with project 1119 management. Electron microscopy was provided through the Oxford Particle Imaging Centre (OPIC), an Instruct-ERIC centre (funded by Wellcome Trust JIF award 1120 1121 [060208/Z/00/Z] and equipment grant [093305/Z/10/Z]) and the Electron Bio-Imaging 1122 Centre, Diamond Light Source Ltd (eBIC; BAG proposal bi28713). The pHTBV plasmid was kindly provided by Prof. Frederick Boyce (Harvard). We would like to 1123 1124 thank the imaging core facility of the Medical University of Vienna for assistance with 1125 high-resolution imaging. All simulations were performed on Archer2, the national 1126 supercomputing facility via allocations provided by HECBioSim through EPSRC grant 1127 EP/X035603/1.

1128

1129 Ethic statement

The immunizations of alpaca were conducted strictly according to the guidelines of the
Swiss Animals Protection Law and were approved by the Cantonal Veterinary Office
of Zurich, Switzerland (Licenses No. ZH 198/17 and ZH028/2021).

1133

1134 Author contributions

H.Z.L., Y.N.C., C.M., A.S., G.M., S.M. cloned, expressed, and purified SPNS2. S.S. 1135 immunized the alpaca, generated the nanobody library, and identified positive binders. 1136 H.Z.L., Y.N.C., and A.S. expressed, purified, and characterized the nanobodies. Z.G. 1137 1138 and G.W. generated knock-out, stably expressing, and transiently transfected cell 1139 lines and performed immunofluorescence experiments. H.Z.L. and A.C.W.P. collected 1140 and processed the cryo-EM images and built atomic models. H.Z.L., A.C.W.P., and D.B.S. analyzed the structures. J.S., H.C.S., F.W., H.B., and A.P.F. designed and 1141 1142 performed the S1P transport assay. D.P. and S.K. designed and carried out the 1143 molecular dynamics simulations. A.G. and D.B.S. designed, completed, and evaluated the bioinformatics analysis. H.Z.L., A.C.W.P., and D.B.S. wrote the manuscript. All 1144 authors discussed and edited the manuscript. B.M.K., N.A.B.B., T.W., K.L.D., V.P., 1145 1146 A.E., S.K., A.I.P., G.S.F., and D.B.S. supervised the research.

1147

1148 Competing interests

1149 The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

• Supplementarydata15nov2023c300.pdf