

Water Loss During the Handwashing During COVID-19 Pandemic in Bangladesh

Abu Sayeed

Patuakhali Science and Technology University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0745-1755>

Md Hafizur Rahman (✉ hafiz.rahman@icddr.org)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2742-049X>

Jochen Bundschuh

University of Southern Queensland

Indika Herath

University of Southern Queensland

M. Tasdik Hasan

University of Liverpool

Fahad Ahmed

University of Queensland

Mohammad Raihan Tariq

Patuakhali Science and Technology University

Faujhia Rahman

Patuakhali Science and Technology University

Md. Tarikul Islam Joy

Patuakhali Science and Technology University

Mohammad Tazrian Abid

Patuakhali Science and Technology University

Nondo Saha

Patuakhali Science and Technology University

Short report

Keywords: Water loss, handwashing, COVID-19, Bangladesh

Posted Date: September 28th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-36956/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

1 **Water loss during the handwashing: A concern amid COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh**

2
3 **Abu Sayeed¹, Md Hafizur Rahman^{2,3*}, Jochen Bundschuh⁴, Indika Herath⁵, M. Tasdik**
4 **Hasan⁶, Fahad Ahmed⁷, Mohammad Raihan⁸, Faujhia Rahman⁹, Md. Tarikul Islam**
5 **Joy¹⁰, Mohammad Tazrian Abid⁸, Nondo saha³**

6
7 ¹Department of Post-Harvest Technology and Marketing, Patuakhali Science and Technology
8 University, Patuakhali- 8602, Bangladesh.

9
10 ²International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Mohakhali, Dhaka
11 1212, Bangladesh.

12
13 ³Department of Environmental Sanitation, Patuakhali Science and Technology University,
14 Patuakhali- 8602, Bangladesh.

15
16 ⁴UNESCO Chair on Groundwater Arsenic within the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development,
17 University of Southern Queensland, West Street, Toowoomba, 4350 Queensland, Australia

18
19 ⁵School of Civil Engineering and Surveying, Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences,
20 University of Southern Queensland, West Street, Toowoomba, Queensland 4350, Australia

21
22 ⁶Department of Primary Care & Mental Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

23
24 ⁷Queensland Alliance for Environmental Health Sciences (QAEHS), The University of
25 Queensland, Woolloongabba, Brisbane, QLD, 4102, Australia

26
27 ⁸Faculty of Nutrition and Food Science, Patuakhali Science and Technology University,
28 Patuakhali- 8602, Bangladesh.

29
30 ⁹Faculty of Environmental Science and Disaster Management, Patuakhali Science and Technology
31 University, Patuakhali- 8602, Bangladesh.

32
33 ¹⁰Faculty of Land Management and Administration, Patuakhali Science and Technology
34 University, Patuakhali- 8602, Bangladesh.

35
36
37
38 *** Corresponding author: Md Hafizur Rahman**

39 International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Mohakhali, Dhaka
40 1212, Bangladesh.

41 Mail: hafiz.rahman@icddrb.org

44 **Abstract**

45 Handwashing is one of the vital public health measures to prevent COVID-19 pandemic from
46 spread. However, water loss from excessive handwashing may put enormous pressure on the
47 already overstretched groundwater resources and households' economic well-being. Therefore,
48 this study aimed to determine the water loss during handwashing amid the COVID-19 pandemic
49 with an emphasis on the waste of groundwater from essential hygiene activities at domestic scale.
50 Sociodemographic data were collected using a web-based survey tool among 1980 participants
51 and an experiment was conducted among 126 voluntarily interested participants to estimate the
52 amount of water wasted during handwashing. A total of 80% of the participants washed their hands
53 regularly after returning home from outside. About 57.27% participants generally did not turn off
54 their tap when washing their hands. A single participant, who keeps his tap on throughout the
55 handwashing process, wasted approximately 1.7 L of water per handwash and 14.9 L of water per
56 day. Handwashing when a tap is on, raised the water loss at 13-fold during this pandemic compared
57 to the non-pandemic situation which cost extra 224.95 BDT (2.65\$) per day for 1980 participants.
58 A large number (57.27%) of people keep their faucet on during lathering hands with soap and
59 scrubbing, thus wasting a considerable amount of water (1179% more compared to pre COVID-
60 19 situation). The loss of water during handwashing is an alarming but less attentional issue.
61 Behavioral change interventions are needed to aware people to shut off the faucet at periods when
62 water is not being used.

63 **Kew words:** Water loss, handwashing, COVID-19, Bangladesh

64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74

75 **1. Introduction**

76 Hand hygiene is often considered as the synonymous of handwashing which is the most important
77 factor in preventing nosocomial infections by preventing contact and fecal-oral transmission of
78 pathogens (Boyce and Pittet, 2002; Widmer, 2000). Hand hygiene is an important public health
79 measure (Burton et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2013) and it has long been recognized to be a convenient,
80 effective, and also cost-effective mean of preventing infectious diseases (Tao et al., 2013). During
81 the COVID-19 pandemic, frequent handwashing with soap and water was considered as one of the
82 most effective measure to reduce the spread to infection (CDC, 2020; UNICEF, 2020; WHO,
83 2020a). In addition to that, WHO and UNICEF also recommended switching the faucet/tap off
84 while lathering hand with soap and scrubbing for at least 20 seconds to prevent the water loss
85 (WHO, 2020b).

86 Many countries around the world, including Bangladesh utilize groundwater as the main source of
87 drinking water and daily domestic activities. Evidence suggests that the frequency of handwashing
88 increased during a pandemic situation (Park et al., 2010). The outbreak of Ebola in West Africa in
89 2014-16 has also raised the demand for clean water for prevention and treatment (Conversation,
90 2020). Therefore, the frequency of handwashing has also reported being increased during the co-
91 current COVID-19 pandemic. The water demand raised by 20 to 25% in India during this COVID-
92 19 pandemic due to the tap open during handwashing (Rohilla, 2020). Besides, a water sector
93 official in Jordan, recently claimed that water demand has increased by 40% after the government
94 ordered people to stay home as part of a nationwide curfew (Conversation, 2020).

95 This increase in demand will bring tremendous pressure on overstretched water resources to fill
96 existing shortages in the water supply in a limited resource country like Bangladesh. This situation
97 will be further worsened in the country in summer when sources of water supply run dry. Basically,
98 all developments such as agricultural production, energy usage and economic activity involve
99 water and/or affect water. But the water resource is not growing (Lundqvist, 2009). So, the loss of
100 water during handwashing is a serious issue, but there is no previous study to evaluate water loss
101 during handwashing globally. Therefore, the study aimed to determine the water loss during
102 handwashing during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh.

103
104
105

106 **2. Methods**

107 ***2.1 Setting and participants***

108 A prospective cross-sectional web-based survey was conducted among the general population of
109 Bangladesh to assess handwashing practices from May 21st to June 3rd, 2020. As a community-
110 based face to face survey was not feasible during this COVID-19 pandemic situation, data was
111 collected through online from all divisions (states) of Bangladesh. The authors distributed the
112 survey link in all divisions of Bangladesh via social media among rural and urban people who use
113 tap water or dug wells water. Snowball sampling method was used to recruit the participants. To
114 improve the participation rate, reminder requests were sent thorough social media at 2-week
115 intervals for a total of three times. The survey questionnaire was sent to more than 2500
116 participants and 1980 of them from all the divisions of the country aged between 18 to 67 years
117 completed the questionnaire. Eligibility criteria included the ability to read Bangla, residence in
118 Bangladesh during the pandemic, and having access to the internet.

119 ***2.2 Data collection***

120 A survey tool was developed considering the regular pattern of handwashing practice and facility
121 during the COVID-19 pandemic. The survey consisted of 14-close ended queries, which took
122 about 3-4 minutes to finish. Sociodemographic data were collected on age, gender, educational
123 status, location of residence. Besides that, the survey included questions on the frequency of
124 handwashing, duration of lathering hand with soap and, scrubbing, whether they keep their faucet
125 on or off during the lathering and scrubbing time.

126 The survey tool was piloted with a small online user group to test its clarity & consistency. The
127 survey included a short overview of the study context, purpose, procedures, confidentiality
128 agreement, and consent. This study complied with the most recent revision of the Helsinki
129 Declaration (Williams, 2008) and followed the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-
130 Surveys (CHERRIES) guidelines (Eysenbach, 2004). Descriptive statistics were performed to
131 define the fundamental characteristics of the data in the participants.

132 ***2.3 Procedure***

133 The average duration of lathering hand with soap and scrubbing was 17.95 seconds among the
134 participants who usually keep their tap on throughout the handwashing process. An experiment
135 was designed to estimate the amount of water wasted during that time. We invited 1134
136 participants (57.27% of total participants) who did not shut off tap during handwashing to attend

137 the experiment voluntarily. A total of 126 participants (6.36% of total participants) among them
138 agreed and participated in the experiment session from their house and each used 1 domicile
139 handwashing faucet. The participants' inclusion criteria for the experiment of determining flow
140 rate was to have the experimental tools such as stopwatch, milliliter jug etc. All the participant
141 took part in this experiment were trained through online video conference. We divided them into
142 14 groups, with nine participants in each group during the training session. Each participant used
143 one faucet from his/her home and took part in 3 experiments at three different speeds of the faucet.
144 For the better measurement of flow rate a total of 378 experiments were conducted setting the
145 faucet at minimum (average = 39.41 mL/s; 126 experiments), medium (average= 84.16mL/s; 126
146 experiments), and maximum speed (average = 161.33 mL/s; 126 experiments) arbitrarily. The flow
147 rate is the volume of water (mL) per unit time (s) which flows through area of tap. Then water was
148 collected in a water bottle marked with milliliter measurement. Participants counted and noted the
149 time in seconds required to fill 1 liter of water for each experiment. Then amount of loosed water
150 was calculated for 17.95 seconds (Table-2). The test procedure was based on Green Venture
151 website: how to conduct a flow rate test, 2007 (Venture, 2007). This test procedure was also used
152 in a previous study in China for determining the flow rate of water (Lu and Smout, 2008).

153 ***2.4 Data analysis***

154 Descriptive statistics were calculated for sociodemographic characteristics. Experimental data
155 were calculated using Excel 2013. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24
156 (IBM SPSS Statistics, New York, United States).

157 ***2.5 Ethics***

158 The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethical Committee (REC) of
159 the Department of Food Microbiology, Patuakhali Science and Technology University,
160 Bangladesh (Approval No: FMB:29/05/2020:06).

161 **3. Results and discussion**

162 ***3.1 Demographic information***

163 Table 1 represents the characteristics of the participants. Of the 1980 participants, 55% were male,
164 29% were employers and 35% were graduates. More than 27% participants only completed their
165 10th class or less. Although most of the respondents were from the Dhaka division (19.09 %), also
166 respondents from all 8 divisions of the country participated in the study.

167

168 **3.2 Handwashing practice during COVID-19 pandemic**

169 Regular handwashing practice after returning home from outside was 77.9% during the pandemic.
170 This finding is lower than the study in Bangladesh by Hauque et al. (2020) (95.45%) and Wadood
171 et al. (2020) (89.5%). In contrast, regular handwashing practice after sneezing was poor
172 (37.1%). During the COVID-19 pandemic, 88.4% participants washed their hands more compared
173 to the non-pandemic situation as mentioned/stated by the participants. The average number of
174 handwashing practices per day among the participants was 8.93(\pm 5.86 SD). 82.52% of the
175 participants use manual tap regularly for handwashing. The average duration of lathering hand
176 with soap and scrubbing was 17.73 seconds per time among all the participants (Table 1).

177 **3.3 Water waste due to leaving the faucet on while lathering and scrubbing the hand with soap**

178 Of all the respondents, 57.27% reported that they keep their faucet on during lathering hand with
179 soap and scrubbing. Among those who keep their tap on throughout the handwashing process, the
180 average duration of lathering hand with soap and scrubbing was 17.95 and 4.39 seconds and the
181 average frequency of handwashing was 8.73 and 2.79 times in a day during and before the COVID-
182 19 pandemic. To put Table 2 into perspective, a single handwash wasted 1704.744 mL of water
183 and 14882.415 mL of water in a day by a single participant during the COVID-19 pandemic
184 whereas only 416.92 mL and 1163.20 mL of water was lost by a single handwash and a day
185 respectively before the pandemic situation. Then if we calculate this water loss for 1134
186 participants who keep their faucet on during handwashing, it will be 1179% or 12.79 times of
187 (16876 L vs 1319 L) of water loss per day when we had only 1980 participants during this
188 pandemic than the non-pandemic situation. 1134 participant's loss extra 15557 L of water during
189 this pandemic for hand washing purpose which cost extra 224.95 BDT per day (14.46 BDT/per
190 unit) (bdnews24.com, 2020). Muhit stated that enormous economic losses have occurred in
191 Bangladesh every year due to poor sanitation and water supply, and this economic loss could
192 increase due to the loss of water during hand washing in COVID-19.

193 **3.4 Limitation**

194 The speed of the tap was set by the judgment of the experimenter. The number of participants for
195 the experiment was not large enough but from all divisions. Furthermore, we were unable to reach
196 those participants who do not have an internet connection and throughout the experiment and we
197 were unable to observe the participants, so the study is not without some errors. We had no better
198 solution during the country wide lock-down situation. However, it still gives a reasonable

199 representation and we suggest a large-scale study with economic evaluation regarding the water
200 loss.

201 **4. Conclusion and recommendation**

202 While lathering hands with soap and scrubbing, a large number of people keep their faucet on,
203 thereby wasting 1179% of water during this pandemic compared to the non-pandemic situation.
204 The water loss during handwashing is too much not to worry about. The water and economic loss
205 can be mitigated by good practice. One of the first things that we need to address is to minimize
206 the speed of faucet during handwashing. That would save 140% of water by them who keep their
207 faucet on during handwashing (57.27%) at least without relying on individual behaviors. It is also
208 recommended to use push taps that automatically switch off after a period or sensor taps that are
209 programmed to automatically turn on when hands are under the spout and immediately stop when
210 the hands are taken away from the tap. Furthermore, behavioral change interventions are needed
211 to make people aware in Bangladesh and similar low income countries to shut off the faucet at
212 periods when water is not being used considering the limited water resources and respective
213 potential stress on economics relevance to the loss. However, from a policy point of view, it is
214 important to know how much the losses affect both the water supplies and the economic status in
215 order to find an effective intervention. So further studies are required not only in Bangladesh but
216 also worldwide. New findings of this study will benefit for future research to comprehensively
217 assess the economic impact associated with the water loss on developed and developing countries
218 due to new hygiene rules and regulations imposed during the COVID-19 outbreak.

219

220 **ORCID:**

221 **Abu Sayeed:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0745-1755>

222 **Md. Hafizur Rahman:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2742-049X>

223 **Jochen Bundschuh:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4900-5633>

224

225 *Declaration of competing interest*

226 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

227 *Funding*

228 None

229 ***Acknowledgment***

230 The authors thank all participants especially those who attended the experiment.

231 **References**

232 bdnews24.com, 2020. Dhaka WASA raises water price by 24.97% for households. Available at:
233 [https://bdnews24.com/bangladesh/2020/02/28/dhaka-wasa-raises-water-price-by-24.97-for-](https://bdnews24.com/bangladesh/2020/02/28/dhaka-wasa-raises-water-price-by-24.97-for-households)
234 [households.](https://bdnews24.com/bangladesh/2020/02/28/dhaka-wasa-raises-water-price-by-24.97-for-households)

235 Boyce, J.M., Pittet, D., 2002. Guideline for hand hygiene in health-care settings:
236 recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and
237 the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *Infect. Control Hosp.*
238 *Epidemiol.* 23, S3–S40.

239 Burton, M., Cobb, E., Donachie, P., Judah, G., Curtis, V., Schmidt, W.-P., 2011. The effect of
240 handwashing with water or soap on bacterial contamination of hands. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*
241 *Public Health* 8, 97–104.

242 CDC, 2020. Prevent getting sick. Available at. [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html)
243 [ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html.](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html)

244 Conversation, 2020. Coronavirus: what might more hand washing mean in countries with water
245 shortages?. Available at: [https://theconversation.com/coronavirus-what-might-more-hand-](https://theconversation.com/coronavirus-what-might-more-hand-washing-mean-in-countries-with-water-shortages-134625)
246 [washing-mean-in-countries-with-water-shortages-134625.](https://theconversation.com/coronavirus-what-might-more-hand-washing-mean-in-countries-with-water-shortages-134625)

247 Eysenbach, G., 2004. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results
248 of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *J. Med. Internet Res.* 6, e34.

249 Haque, T., Hossain, K.M., Bhuiyan, M.M.R., Ananna, S.A., Chowdhury, S.H., Ahmed, A.,
250 Rahman, M.M., 2020. Knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) towards COVID-19 and
251 assessment of risks of infection by SARS-CoV-2 among the Bangladeshi population: An
252 online cross sectional survey.

253 Lu, T., Smout, I.K., 2008. Domestic water consumption: A field study in Harbin, China.

254 Lundqvist, J., 2009. Losses and waste in the global crisis. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio/Technology* 8,
255 121–123.

256 MUHIT, I.B., 2012. WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION'S ECONOMIC IMPACTS AND
257 FINANCING: ASIA AND BANGLADESH PERSPECTIVE, in: 1st International
258 Conference on Advances in Civil Engineering 2012 (ICACE 2012).

259 Park, J.-H., Cheong, H.-K., Son, D.-Y., Kim, S.-U., Ha, C.-M., 2010. Perceptions and behaviors
260 related to hand hygiene for the prevention of H1N1 influenza transmission among Korean
261 university students during the peak pandemic period. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 10, 222.

262 Rohilla, S.K., 2020. COVID-19 outbreak: More hand washing can increase India's water woes.
263 Available at : [https://www.downtoearth.org.in/blog/water/covid-19-outbreak-more-hand-](https://www.downtoearth.org.in/blog/water/covid-19-outbreak-more-hand-washing-can-increase-india-s-water-woes-69900)
264 [washing-can-increase-india-s-water-woes-69900](https://www.downtoearth.org.in/blog/water/covid-19-outbreak-more-hand-washing-can-increase-india-s-water-woes-69900).

265 Tao, S.Y., Cheng, Y.L., Lu, Y., Hu, Y.H., Chen, D.F., 2013. Handwashing behaviour among
266 Chinese adults: a cross-sectional study in five provinces. *Public Health* 127, 620–628.

267 UNICEF, 2020. Everything you need to know about washing your hands to protect against
268 coronavirus (COVID-19). Available at: [https://www.unicef.org/bangladesh/en/everything-](https://www.unicef.org/bangladesh/en/everything-you-need-know-about-washing-your-hands-protect-against-coronavirus-covid-19)
269 [you-need-know-about-washing-your-hands-protect-against-coronavirus-covid-19](https://www.unicef.org/bangladesh/en/everything-you-need-know-about-washing-your-hands-protect-against-coronavirus-covid-19).

270 Venture, G., 2007. How to conduct a flow rate test, Available at
271 <http://www.greenventure.ca/ecohouse/tours/wisewateruse/003/printer.html>>. (Accessed on
272 22/07/20).

273 Wadood, M.A., Mamun, A., Rafi, M.A., kamrul Islam, M., Mohd, S., Lee, L.L., Hossain, M.G.,
274 2020. Knowledge, attitude, practice and perception regarding COVID-19 among students in
275 Bangladesh: Survey in Rajshahi University. medRxiv.

276 WHO, 2020a. Guideline of Handwash during COVID-19. Available at.
277 [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331846/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_WASH-](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331846/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_WASH-2020.3-eng.pdf?ua=1)
278 [2020.3-eng.pdf?ua=1](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331846/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_WASH-2020.3-eng.pdf?ua=1).

279 WHO, 2020b. Water, sanitation, hygiene, and waste management for the COVID-19 virus.
280 Available at : [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331846/WHO-2019-nCoV-](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331846/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_WASH-2020.3-eng.pdf?ua=1)
281 [IPC_WASH-2020.3-eng.pdf?ua=1](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331846/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_WASH-2020.3-eng.pdf?ua=1).

282 Widmer, A.F., 2000. Replace hand washing with use of a waterless alcohol hand rub? *Clin.*
283 *Infect. Dis.* 31, 136–143.

284 Williams, J.R., 2008. The Declaration of Helsinki and public health. *Bull. World Health Organ.*
285 86, 650–652.

286
287
288
289

290
291

Table 1: Demographic characteristic of the participants.

Variables	N	%	95% CI
Gender			
Female	882	44.54	32.1-38.1
Male	1098	55.45	62.1-67.6
Education			
No Schooling	112	5.65	4.5-6.3
Primary	178	8.98	7.0-9.77
SSC	286	14.44	12.3-16.1
HSC	516	26.06	24.6-27.4
Graduate	692	34.94	32.4-36.8
Post-graduate or Higher	196	9.89	8.1-10.4
Occupation			
Business	206	10.40	8.7-12.1
Employer	572	28.88	26.9-29.7
Health professional	104	5.25	4.9-6.2
Housewife	134	6.76	5.6-7.2
students	506	25.55	24.2-26.3
Un-employed	372	18.78	17.5-19.3
Others	86	4.34	3.1-5.2
Division			
Barisal	314	15.85	14.4-16.6
Chattogram	258	13.03	11.0-15.3
Dhaka	378	19.09	16.7-22.2
Khulna	328	16.56	14.3-18.4
Mymensingh	136	6.86	5.5-8.1
Rajshahi	194	9.79	7.3-10.5
Rangpur	194	9.79	7.3-10.5
Sylhet	178	8.98	7.1-10.2
Hand washing after returning home from outside			
No	32	1.6	1.0-2.3
Regularly	1542	77.9	75.5-80.3
Sometimes	208	10.5	8.7-12.3
Use hand sanitizer	198	10.0	8.4-11.7
Hand washing after sneezing			
No	294	14.8	12.7-17.0
Regularly	734	37.1	34.0-40.1
Sometimes	618	31.2	28.5-34.1
Use hand sanitizer	334	16.9	14.8-19.1
Effect of COVID-19 on hand washing			
Wash hand same as before pandemic	32	1.6	1.0-2.3
Wash hand more than before pandemic	1750	88.4	85.6-90.2
Wash hand less than before pandemic	0	0	0
Not applicable	198	10.0	8.4-11.7
Hand washing facility			
Tube well water	164	8.3	6.8-10.0
Tap water	1646	83.1	80.7-85.4
Stored water-Balti/Mug	86	4.3	3.1-5.7
Pond water	36	1.8	1.1-2.5
others	48	2.4	1.7-3.2
Types of Tap			
Automatic	12	0.6	0.36-0.78
Do not use Tap	334	17.07	15.1-19.3
Manual	1634	82.52	80.2-85.5
Shut off Tap			
Yes	512	25.85	23.4-28.6
No	1134	57.27	54.4-60.2
Not Applicable	334	17.07	15.1-19.3

Variables	N	Mean	SD
Age	1980	37.29	3.94
Number of hand wash per day during COVID-19	1980	8.93	5.86
Number of hand wash per day before COVID-19	1980	2.89	0.23
Duration of hand scrubbing with soap during COVID-19	1980	17.73	8.84
Duration of hand scrubbing with soap before COVID-19	1980	4.23	1.87

292

293

294 **Table-2: Average water waste due to keeping the faucet on.**

295

Speed of the Faucet	Total No. of Faucet	Average Water Waste ^a per Second (mL±SD)	Average Water Waste ^a in 17.95 Second ^b during COVID-19 (mL±SD)	Average Water Waste ^a in 4.39 Second ^c before COVID-19 (mL±SD)
Minimum Speed	126	39.410±17.178	707.417±308.322	173.00±13.56
Medium Speed	126	84.166±34.738	1510.785±623.556	369.46±37.36
Maximum Speed	126	161.339±51.591	2896.031±926.063	708.27±37.36
Average	378	94.972±34.502	1704.744±619.313	416.92±37.36

^aKeeping the faucet on during lathering hand with soap and scrubbing
^bAverage duration of lathering hand with soap and scrubbing among the participants who usually keep their tap on throughout the handwashing process during COVID-19
^cAverage duration of lathering hand with soap and scrubbing among the participants who usually keep their tap on throughout the handwashing process before COVID-19

296

297

298