

A pragmatic effectiveness randomized controlled trial of duration of psychiatric hospitalization in a trans-diagnostic sample of patients with acute mental illness admitted to a ward with either blue depleted evening lighting or normal lighting conditions

Jan Scott

Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet

Knut Langsrud

St. Olavs University Hospital

Daniel Vethe

Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet

Kaia Kjørstad

Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet

Cecilie Lund Vestergaard

Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet

Patrick Faaland

Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet

Stian Lydersen

Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet

Arne Vaaler

St. Olavs University Hospital

Gunnar Morken

Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet

Terje Torgersen

St. Olavs University Hospital

Håvard Kallestad (✉ havard.kallestad@ntnu.no)

St. Olavs University Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9173-942X>

Study protocol

Keywords: Mental disorders, acute treatment, inpatient, chronotherapy, light, blue-depleted light, admission, sleep, circadian rhythms

Posted Date: February 21st, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.379/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on August 1st, 2019. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3582-2>.

Abstract

Introduction There is increasing recognition of the need to stabilize sleep-wake cycles in individuals with major mental disorders. As such, clinicians and researchers advocate for the use of interventions targeted at sleep and circadian dysrhythmias as an adjunct to the standard treatments offered for acute illness episodes of a broad range of diagnoses. To determine the trans-diagnostic generalizability of chronotherapy, we explore the benefits of admitting individuals with an acute illness episode to a psychiatric inpatient unit where changes in light exposure are integrated into the therapeutic environment. **Methods** A two-arm pragmatic effectiveness randomized controlled treatment trial, where individuals admitted for acute inpatient psychiatric care will be allocated to a ward with blue depleted evening light or to a ward with the same layout and facilities but lacking the new lighting technology. The trial will test whether the experimental lighting conditions offer any additional benefits beyond those associated with usual treatment in an acute psychiatric inpatient unit. The main objectives are to examine any differences between groups in the mean duration of hospitalization in days. Additional analyses will compare group differences in symptoms, functioning, medication usage, and side-effects and whether length of stay is associated with stability of sleep-wake cycles and circadian rhythms. Ancillary investigations will determine any benefits according to diagnostic subgroups and potential drawbacks such as any adverse effects on the well-being of professionals working across both wards. **Discussion** This unit offers a unique opportunity to explore how exposure to different lighting conditions may modify sleep-wake cycles and how any changes in sleep-wake cycle may impact on the clinical and functional outcomes of individuals experiencing an acute episode of a severe mental disorder that requires inpatient care. The findings could influence the future design of hospital units offering care to patients with mental or physical disorders. Trial registration [Clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov) NCT03788993, retrospectively registered Dec 28th 2018. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03788993>.

Background

The above represent interesting treatment advances. However, given the prevalence of sleep-wake cycle disturbances in individuals with mental disorders, it is logical to extend trials of the use of such interventions to broader trans-diagnostic populations. Further to enhance generalizability, it would help to avoid giving personal responsibility to individuals who are acutely unwell regarding the timing of their exposure to different intensities or spectra of light. A pragmatic alternative is to create a therapeutic environment where changes in light exposure are regulated automatically and where programmable lighting conditions form an integral part of a hospital unit. This is an intriguing option as, to date; little consideration has been given to how contemporary technology might be employed to augment any benefits of acute treatment in an inpatient facility. Historically, acute psychiatric admission units have offered asylum and a place of safety, whilst ward routines and structured activities help to reduce arousal, regularize sleep-wake cycle patterns and improve self-esteem, etc. However, the focus is primarily on physical and pharmacological treatments that reduce symptoms and suicidality enhance social

functioning and sufficiently improve the individual's mental state to allow a timely return to outpatient or community care. Less attention has been given to the creation of a state-of-the-art inpatient milieu (21).

Our clinical and research staff has been involved in the planning and design of a newly-built psychiatric unit and this process has allowed us to consider how the inpatient environment might be modified to try to enhance recovery from acute illness. The unit comprises of two wards: one ward incorporates state-of-the-art lighting technology while the other ward has an identical layout and facilities but has normal lighting conditions. This unit offers a unique opportunity to explore how exposure to different lighting conditions may modify sleep-wake cycles and how any changes in sleep-wake cycle may impact on the clinical and functional outcomes of individuals experiencing an acute episode of a severe mental disorder that requires inpatient care. The findings could influence the future design of hospital units offering care to patients with mental or physical disorders.

Aims

We aim to recruit 400 individuals who give written informed consent to participate in a two-arm pragmatic effectiveness randomized controlled clinical treatment trial (RCT). Based on projected admission rates, we believe that this sample size is at the lower limit of the estimated study population that will be available for inclusion (as we are permitted to continue recruitment for at least six consecutive months).

Eligible individuals will be allocated to a ward with a lighting system that produces an environment with blue depleted evening light or to a ward with the same layout and facilities but lacking the new lighting technology. The trial will test whether the environment with programmable lighting conditions offers any additional benefits beyond those associated with standard treatment in an acute psychiatric inpatient unit. The main objective is to examine if there is any difference between groups in the mean duration of hospitalization. Also, we will explore whether level of symptoms, functioning, episodes of suicidality or aggressive behavior, medication usage, and self-reported side-effects differ between groups and whether shorter duration of admission is associated with greater stability of sleep-wake cycles and circadian rhythms.

Given that this trial takes place in a unique setting, we will undertake several ancillary investigations to determine the range of benefits or adverse effects for subpopulations of patients (e.g. different diagnostic subgroups, etc.) and examine any potential benefits or drawbacks to the use of this new

lighting system, including actigraphic recordings of sleep-wake patterns of nurses and any self-reported effects on well-being that are recorded by professionals who experience working in both wards.

Methods

The protocol for the RCT follows the SPIRIT statement guidelines (Standard Protocol Items for Randomized Trials) (22) and is registered with the Clinical Trials website (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03788993). The SPIRIT checklist and other appropriate details are included as an online supplement (Appendices 1 and 2).

Trial design, setting and interventions

This is a single-centre, unblinded, two-arm, parallel-group, pragmatic effectiveness RCT of differences in the mean duration of acute psychiatric hospitalization in days for individuals exposed to experimental lighting compared with normal lighting conditions.

The study is located at a newly built acute psychiatric unit at St. Olavs Hospital, Østmarka (Trondheim, Norway) which serves a catchment area of 300,000 people. The unit has 40 patient rooms divided equally between two wards that are built around two atriums. Each hospital ward has the same layout and facilities with five rooms (25% of the total) designated as 'psychiatric intensive care beds' (targeted at the most severely ill patients and offering a higher staff-to-patient ratio than the rest of the ward). The light intensity (photopic lux) is similar in both wards, but individuals are exposed to a different spectrum of light in each ward (e.g. melanopic lux is kept below 25 in the blue-depleted unit).

During the recruitment phase, patients admitted to the unit are randomized 1:1 to the experimental or control (normal) lighting conditions.

1. Experimental condition: a 20-bedded ward with tunable light emitting diode (LED) lamps. At 18:00 hours (h) the lighting undergoes a 30-minute transition during which the green and blue LEDs are dimmed to produce blue-depleted amber coloured lighting. At 06:50h a 10-minute transition programme changes the light colour to normal indoor lighting (3000 Kelvins of colour temperature) which then continues until 18:00h. The light intensity is dimmed to 20% (of the maximum) from 23:00h to 6:50h.

As well as the LED system, blue-blocking window filters are deployed in the evening. All television sets have permanent blue-blocking filters and the outdoor area has external lights that block blue light. Use of electronic media is not restricted (unless access is limited in accordance with an agreed treatment plan), but patients are provided with blue-blocking screens that can be attached to the front of all electronic devices. If a patient leaves the blue-depleted unit after 18:30 they are offered blue-blocking glasses to wear. The amount of blue light in the ward was assessed prior to commencing the RCT. This demonstrated that the light exposure is well-matched to the amount shown in laboratory settings to minimally suppress melatonin (23, 24) and this was tested in an onsite pilot study with healthy adult volunteers (ISRCTN12419665).

1. Control condition: a 20-bedded ward with normal indoor lighting installed. The light intensity is dimmed to 20% (of the maximum) during the night (from 23:00h to 06:50h).

Participants and procedure

The flowchart for the RCT is shown in Figure 1.

Recruitment

Admissions occurring at any time during each 24-hour period and on any day of the week throughout the 6-month recruitment period are considered eligible for study inclusion.

No specific recruitment strategy is employed, but should recruitment fail to reach the required sample size (for any reason) within an allocated 6-month time period, we will recommence recruitment in late 2019 i.e. all recruitment will be undertaken during months with similar daytime light exposure (contact HK for further details).

Eligibility criteria

A frequent barrier to research into acute psychiatric admissions is that, at the time of hospitalization, many individuals lack mental capacity to give informed consent (they may be severely ill, suicidal, lack insight or be hospitalized involuntarily) and/or clinicians and researchers may regard a discussion about research participation inappropriate or unethical. However, as noted in acute medicine research, excluding a large proportion of patients from an RCT purporting to investigate acute admissions can bias the

included sample so that it is unrepresentative of the inpatient population and undermines the generalizability and real world impact of any study findings (25). For this reason, we did not employ any patient exclusion criteria but, with ethical approval, used a post-randomization, deferred (also known as delayed) consent procedure (25-27). The RCT eligibility criteria are as follows:

Inclusion criteria: All individuals aged > 18 who are admitted to the acute inpatient unit during the study period are eligible for randomization. Any patients who are re-admitted during the study period are eligible for re-randomization.

Exclusion criteria: There are no pre-randomization exclusion criteria, but individuals may be withdrawn from the study immediately post-randomization (see Withdrawal criteria).

Randomization

Randomization is based on an allocation concealment procedure.

As soon as the decision to admit the patient is confirmed, the individual is randomly allocated to one of the two arms of the RCT using a web-based randomization program with a variable block design. This occurs without any consultation with wards (regarding bed availability, case-mix or staffing levels, etc).

The procedure was developed and is managed by the Unit for Clinical Research (at the Department of Medicine and Health, NTNU) and can be implemented at any time of the day or night. Randomization is undertaken by the nurse coordinating the intake process (who participated in pre-trial instruction and training), but they cannot influence the process in any way. When the intake nurse logs in to a web-based programme, the randomization program issues an authentication code that is sent to the unit (a telephone back-up system is available should there be any problems with the web-based programme).

Withdrawal criteria

As randomization occurs at the point of admission, all exclusions are de facto post-randomization. Immediately post-randomization, there are two potential reasons for withdrawal from the RCT:

1) Lack of availability of rooms (as allocated at randomization): acute wards operate at high levels of bed occupancy, so sometimes there will be no rooms available in the ward to which the individual is allocated (i.e. the randomization process cannot be completed).

2) Clinical imperative: sometimes a senior clinician may decide that it is inappropriate to admit an individual to the room to which they are randomized. The most frequent reasons for this decision are clinical concerns about (a) how this admission would affect the case mix within the ward (e.g. it may be inappropriate to co-locate several patients with acute mania) and/or (b) following through with the randomization process may compromise the safety, care or treatment of existing inpatients or of the individual being admitted (e.g. it may not be possible to provide the appropriate staff-to-patient ratio required if all individuals with higher levels of need are co-located).

During the admission withdrawal may occur because:

1) The individual is unwilling to give written informed consent at any time during their admission (when approached according to the deferred consent procedure) or is unable to give informed consent for the duration of the study (i.e. they remain persistently and severely ill and/or lack mental capacity).

2) The consent procedure was incomplete: an individual may be discharged early or have an unplanned discharge (so they may not be approached about study participation or have only given verbal, but not written consent).

3) A patient will be withdrawn from the study if they are absent for >24 hours from the ward to which they randomized (e.g. they may be transferred to a medical ward for several days; a patient may request or clinicians may instigate transfer to another ward; medical or nursing staff may decide a patient should be transferred to the other ward participating in the RCT because of clinical, case mix or staffing issues, etc.).

4) An individual can decline to participate at any stage of the study and/or a mental health professional can recommend withdrawal of an inpatient from the RCT if they have any clinical concerns regarding an individuals' participation (e.g. if they believe a patient has experienced an adverse event associated with exposure to the blue-depleted light).

A record will be kept of all reasons for withdrawal.

Assessments

Descriptions of all measures are provided below, and the timing of study assessments is summarized in Table 1.

A key consideration in the selection of assessment tools was that they were already used routinely or could easily be incorporated into ward procedures, and that clinical staff were familiar with or had received training in the use of the instruments. The design and setting of the study mean it is unfeasible to blind patients, clinicians or investigators. However, we have used electronic hospital records and advanced technology to collect objective data on sleep-wake cycles.

Baseline demographic and clinical information

The intake assessment records detailed information on the following:

1. age, sex, ethnicity, marital status, living situation, years of education, employment status,
2. current diagnosis or diagnoses (according to the International Classification of Diseases 10) (28). As in our previous research, consensus expert opinion is employed to review all diagnoses at discharge. If the intake and discharge diagnoses differ, the latter is employed in analyses (as acute intake diagnoses may be less reliable).
3. type of admission (voluntary or involuntary), number of psychiatric admissions and total number of inpatient bed-days in the two years prior to the index admission and current prescribed medication.
4. details of current presentation including evidence of sleep problems (during the preceding month), level of functioning, alcohol and substance misuse, risk of or actual harm to self or others, current physical health.
5. past psychiatric and forensic history, and history of comorbid medical illnesses.

-

Primary outcome

The primary outcome measure is mean duration of admission in days per individual. Admission is defined as the date and time of commencement of the intake assessment (recorded electronically when the randomization code is generated); discharge is defined as the date and time the patient left the lighting condition to which they were randomized for >24h.

-

Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes are focused on clinical changes over time and treatments or other interventions reported during admission.

1. Clinical Assessments

2. Objective assessments:

Sleep-wake cycle: Individual sleep and activity patterns will be assessed using de-identified data collected via radar (Xethru sensors) installed in each room. The sensor is a low-powered ultra-wideband radar that allows contact-free assessment of sleep patterns and wakefulness with high accuracy compared to polysomnographic (PSG) recordings (29).

Employing best available scoring algorithms, raw data from daily recordings will be used to estimate total sleep time (TST), sleep onset latency (SOL), number of nocturnal awakenings, wake after sleep onset (WASO), and final wake time for each participant, along with day-to-day variability in sleep onset, sleep offset and TST.

1. Observer assessments

- Clinical Global Impression scale (CGI) is rated on a 1-7 scale (high scores indicate worse clinical or functional status) and is a well-established outcome measure in trans-diagnostic studies (30). In this RCT, psychiatrists, psychologists and nursing staff trained in the use of the CGI, will record a consensus score for each study participant during the daily ward meetings. During the weekends, the psychiatrist on duty and nursing staff will provide the CGI consensus ratings.

The CGI ratings will be based on all available information (nurse observations, clinical assessments, hospital medical records, etc.) and will be used in two ways in this RCT: (a) to monitor day-to-day changes in mental state and functioning, and (b) to record overall change from admission to discharge.

- Clinical Global Impression, Severity subscale (CGI-S) is a likert scale ranging from 1 to 7 (from 'Normal, not at all ill' to 'Among the most extremely ill patients') (30). The CGI-S ratings are benchmarked relative to the total inpatient population and are scored on two occasions only: the morning after admission to the unit and at discharge (based on the preceding 24 hours).

- Clinical Global Impression, Improvement subscale (improved version: iCGI-I) captures change over time with ratings ranging from -6 (maximum deterioration) to +6 (ideal improvement) (31). The iCGI-I is used (a) to monitor day-to-day changes in mental state and functioning, and (b) to record overall change from admission to discharge.
- Risk of Harm to Self or Others: Suicide risk is assessed daily (rated according to level of risk and/or need of continuous observation) and risk of aggressive behaviour is assessed three times per 24 hours using the Brøset Violence Checklist (BVC) (32, 33). The BVC is a 6-item scale with good psychometric properties and the sum score indicates risk of violence (low=0). Incidents of aggressive behaviour will be systematically recorded using the Staff Observation Aggression Scale-Revised (SOAS-R) (34) and interventions employed will be recorded.

1. Treatments and Interventions

2. Medications: Daily doses and classes of medications or other treatments or interventions prescribed per individual during admission will be recorded.
3. Change in admission status: if a patient is admitted involuntarily, we will record the time until their status is reclassified as voluntary (as a marker of improved insight and mental capacity). Similarly, for some individuals time to change from voluntary to involuntary status will need to be recorded.

Patient-related experiences and other outcomes:

For everyone involved with the new unit, a key aspiration is to try to capture information regarding patient experiences of the experimental condition and to evaluate benefits and harms. In this RCT, we will assess acceptability (adherence, perceived satisfaction and benefits) or harms (side-effects and adverse effects) using observer and self-rated assessments.

1. Adherence

For individuals allocated to the blue-depleted conditions, adherence with the intervention is assessed using an item checklist to record any exposure to normal lighting (duration and reasons), whether blue-blocking glasses were worn as appropriate (e.g. when exiting the unit) and whether blue-blocking filters were employed on media devices.

1. Satisfaction and Benefits

Mean levels of patient satisfaction with an admission are routinely assessed using the standard patient satisfaction questionnaire completed at discharge. The questionnaire was developed by Norwegian Institute of Public Health, is used throughout the Norwegian Health Care system and consists of 10 items scored on a 5-point Likert scale (1=low satisfaction). Some items are relevant to examining experiences of the different lighting conditions, side effects (see below) and perceived benefit of the admission (1 = no benefit).

1. Side effects and adverse events

The frequency of any side effects or adverse events experienced by individuals admitted to each lighting condition will be recorded using the 8-item Headache and Eye Strain Scale (HES), which has been shown to be sensitive to exposure to different lighting conditions (35). This is supplemented by eight items that may reflect side effects of acute psychiatric treatments (e.g. dizziness, gastro-intestinal disturbances, daytime sleepiness, poor sleep quality, and restlessness, etc.). Each symptom is rated on a 4-point scale (ranging from absent to severe).

To capture any putative adverse events experienced during the admission we will record the occurrence of any serious or untoward incidents in each ward (such as non-accidental and accidental deaths, near fatal events, severe violence, etc.). Also, we will note if any patients are transferred out of the blue-depleted light environment because of clinical opinion suggests that it is having detrimental effect on the individual.

Other outcomes

Several ancillary studies are planned. For example, ethical approval has been granted to undertake an additional study (running concurrently with the RCT) to examine the experiences of clinical staff who rotate their work schedules between the two wards (ISRCTN21603406), including sub-studies of subjective effects on sleep, cognition, and well-being, and objective actigraphic recordings undertaken during exposure to each lighting condition. Data regarding any side effects may be compared across staff and patients, but other studies will be reported separately.

Sample size

The power calculation and sample size were estimated for the primary outcome measure, namely mean number of days hospitalized per individual exposed to the experimental or control lighting conditions. This outcome was chosen as hospitalization represents a major life event for patients, is the largest

contributor to cost of care across psychiatric diagnoses, and it offers a proxy measure of any adjunctive benefits associated with the experimental lighting conditions over and above any gains associated with usual inpatient treatment.

The hospital database showed that there were 1639 acute psychiatric admissions between May 2016 and April 2017, with a mean length of stay of 6.3 days (range: 1-158 days; total occupied patient bed-days per annum >10,000). Assuming the experimental lighting conditions lead to a reduction in the mean length of stay from about 6 to 5 days (with a standard deviation of about 3.5 days), then 194 participants in each condition will give an 80% chance at an $\alpha = 0.05$ to detect a difference in the length of stay of one day using an intent to treat (ITT) analysis (and >85% power to detect a reduction of 1000 patient bed-days occupied over the course of the year). We have assumed that there will be about 800 admissions to the unit over six months, and that post-randomization exclusions (lack of consent, etc.) and sample attrition (e.g. due to early discharge) will amount to about 30% ($n=240$). Whilst the sample size required is 400 individuals (who give written informed consent), recruitment will continue for the entire six-month period, and we will continue to randomize all admissions. As such, it is possible that we will be able to include up to 500 individuals.

Data Analysis Plan

Data management

Data retrieved from electronic hospital records and from assessments will be de-identified and the files will be stored on secure data storage servers.

Statistical analyses

The primary statistical analyses will be performed by an independent statistician who is masked to the lighting conditions experienced by each group.

We will use a linear mixed model analysis to examine the difference in mean duration of admission in days per individual according to group. As this primary outcome is heavily skewed and not normally distributed, we will use bootstrapping. The main analyses will be based on the ITT population (i.e. all individuals who gave consent and were randomized). Per protocol (PP) analyses will be limited to participants who are hospitalized for at least two consecutive evenings in the inpatient unit. There will also be some individuals who were not randomized but who give informed consent for use of some data in additional analyses.

We used a block randomization with random block length (which avoids adding to the burden of the intake process or delaying the admission procedure). As such, we will adjust for a pre-specified subset of demographic and clinical covariates (selected from those listed in the baseline assessments) which are known predictors of duration of a psychiatric admission: these are age, sex, diagnosis, presence of comorbidities, status at admission (involuntary or voluntary), and number of bed days in the previous two years (36, 37). It should be noted that some patients will be re-admitted and re-randomized during the study period, so we will use a two-level model (with admissions within patient as level one and patient as level two).

For the analysis of the primary outcome, we expect complete data on duration of admission. For analyses of secondary outcomes, we will use multiple imputations to handle missing data as appropriate. We plan to use a mixed model with random intercept and random slope for secondary analyses which have one or more values per admission. Additional analyses will include subgroup (e.g. length of stay according to diagnostic groups; use of medications according to group, etc.) and exploratory analyses (e.g. examining whether improvement in sleep variables mediates duration of admission and risk of harm to self or others; prevalence of self-reported side effects in patients compared to nurses).

Patient and public involvement

Patient and public involvement has been a feature of the development of the inpatient service and the proposed research. For example, the leader of the User Group for Mental Health, St. Olavs Hospital, has reviewed the project and offered public support. Also, representatives of the advocacy group have been involved in all the processes related to research at the new unit from the start and have had several meetings with the research group that discussed the study design. Ongoing advice and support have been provided by medical and nursing colleagues working at the unit and others working at St. Olavs Hospital. This included dialogue about what assessments could be incorporated into ward routines and the training needs of staff involved in the projects. International experts were consulted regarding the procedure for deferred consent and others offered advice regarding the programme for and delivery of the chronotherapeutic interventions.

Dissemination

There is considerable international interest in the lighting technology and the use of this programme as an adjunct to standard treatment in an inpatient environment. As well as publication of findings regarding

primary and secondary outcomes, we will publish descriptive articles, present information about the unit and the RCT findings at national and international conferences and will allow site visits by clinicians, researchers and patient advocates who wish to view the unit.

Discussion

There are several significant challenges to undertaking a pragmatic effectiveness RCT in an emergency or acute care setting. The broad range of reasons for acute admission and heterogeneity of psychiatric diagnoses included in the RCT necessitated the selection of a clinically meaningful primary outcome measure and of assessment procedures that minimized any research-related burden placed on patients or clinicians. Whilst an ITT approach is essential to any RCT, there is likely to be considerable 'noise' in this primary analysis (e.g. patients may discharge themselves against medical advice; others are admitted in a crisis and then discharged within 24 hours). As such, we anticipate that the PP analyses will be important in enhancing understanding of specific patient subgroups that may benefit from exposure to the novel lighting condition, and whether anyone experiences adverse effects. Additional planned analyses will offer important insights into putative benefits or issues that may influence whether other units introduce similar lighting systems in the future. Lastly, we are mindful that the lighting parameters are based on data obtained from healthy controls, and that we may need to further modify the programme for exposure to blue depleted light to optimize benefits for individuals with acute mental disorders.

Trial Status

The trial is ongoing and started patient recruitment October 23rd 2018. The study is expected to continue until the end of December 2019. Protocol versions: The first version of the protocol was approved by the ethics committee on June 6th, 2018; with two minor amendments submitted on Oct 17th 2018 and Dec 19th 2018. This is the second and final version approved on Jan 4th 2019.

Abbreviations

BVC = Brøset Violence Checklist

CGI = Clinical Global Impression Scale

CGI-S = Clinical Global Impression Scale, Severity subscale

HES = Headache and Eye Strain scale

iCGI-I = improved Clinical Global Impression Scale, Improvement subscale

ITT = Intention To Treat

LED = Light Emitting Diode

NTNU = Norwegian University of Science and Technology

PP = Per Protocol

PSG = polysomnography

RCT = Randomized Controlled Trial

REK = Regional Etisk Komité (Regional Ethical Committee).

SPIRIT = Standard Protocol Items for Randomized Trials

SOAS-R = The Staff Observation Aggression Scale-Revised

SOL = Sleep Onset Latency

TST = Total Sleep Time

WASO = Wake After Sleep Onset

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of Central Norway on June 6th, 2018 (REK 2018/946). The ethics committee support the rationale for and use of a deferred consent and agreed that this procedure allowed randomization at the time of acute admission at the discretion of the investigators (and according to recognized guidelines and criteria that were made explicit during the ethical review of the protocol). It was emphasized that informed consent must be sought at the earliest possible time once each individual patient was deemed to have mental capacity (25-27, 38, 39) and that this should be prior to discharge. Following the ethics approval, the review by the ethics committee and a trial description was made public on the Ethics Committee's webpages, the trial was listed on The Current Research Information System In Norway, Cristin-ID 602154, and patient information about the trial and participation was listed on the public hospital system for clinical trials.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable. The manuscript does not contain any data.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The project protocol has been reviewed by the Research Council of Norway (project number 275987). St. Olavs Hospital has provided funding for the trial (grant numbers 17/10533-134 and 18/10647-110). Funding has been obtained for two investigators to undertake PhD studies at NTNU (grant numbers 81850077 and 81850104).

Author contributions

HK and KL conceived the study. Study design was undertaken by the research team: JS, KL, DV, KK, CLV, PF, SL, AV, GM, TT, and HK. JS produced the first draft of the protocol paper with additional input from SL and HK. HK tuned the light system. All authors contributed to the drafting of the submitted version of the study protocol and all authors approved the final version of manuscript.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the patient user group, Regionalt brukertvalg Helse Midt-Norge, for their contributions when designing the trial.

References

1. Grandner MA. Sleep, Health, and Society. *Sleep Med Clin*. 2017;12(1):1-22.
2. Foster RG, Wulff K. The rhythm of rest and excess. *Nature reviews Neuroscience*. 2005;6(5):407-14.
3. Brouwer A, van Raalte DH, Diamant M, Rutters F, van Someren EJ, Snoek FJ, et al. Light therapy for better mood and insulin sensitivity in patients with major depression and type 2 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind, parallel-arm trial. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2015;15:169.
4. Baron KG, Reid KJ. Circadian misalignment and health. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2014;26(2):139-54.

5. Rumble ME, Dickson D, McCall WV, Krystal AD, Case D, Rosenquist PB, et al. The relationship of person-specific eveningness chronotype, greater seasonality, and less rhythmicity to suicidal behavior: A literature review. *J Affect Disord.* 2018;227:721-30.
6. Langsrud K, Kallestad H, Vaaler A, Almvik R, Palmstierna T, Morken G. Sleep at night and association to aggressive behaviour; Patients in a Psychiatric Intensive Care Unit. *Psychiatry Res.* 2018;263:275-9.
7. Langsrud K, Vaaler AE, Kallestad H, Morken G. Sleep patterns as a predictor for length of stay in a psychiatric intensive care unit. *Psychiatry Res.* 2016;237:252-6.
8. Wulff K, Gatti S, Wettstein JG, Foster RG. Sleep and circadian rhythm disruption in psychiatric and neurodegenerative disease. *Nature reviews Neuroscience.* 2010;11(8):589-99.
9. Kallestad H, Hansen B, Langsrud K, Ruud T, Morken G, Stiles TC, et al. Impact of sleep disturbance on patients in treatment for mental disorders. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2012;12:179.
10. Goldstein AN, Walker MP. The role of sleep in emotional brain function. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2014;10:679-708.
11. Manber R, Edinger JD, Gress JL, San Pedro-Salcedo MG, Kuo TF, Kalista T. Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia enhances depression outcome in patients with comorbid major depressive disorder and insomnia. *Sleep.* 2008;31(4):489-95.
12. Harvey AG, Soehner AM, Kaplan KA, Hein K, Lee J, Kanady J, et al. Treating insomnia improves mood state, sleep, and functioning in bipolar disorder: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2015;83(3):564-77.
13. Perlis ML, Grandner MA, Brown GK, Basner M, Chakravorty S, Morales KH, et al. Nocturnal Wakefulness as a Previously Unrecognized Risk Factor for Suicide. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2016;77(6):e726-33.
14. Sheaves B, Freeman D, Isham L, McInerney J, Nickless A, Yu LM, et al. Stabilising sleep for patients admitted at acute crisis to a psychiatric hospital (OWLS): an assessor-blind pilot randomised controlled trial. *Psychol Med.* 2018;48(10):1694-704.
15. Creado S, Plante DT. An Update on the Use of Sedative-Hypnotic Medications in Psychiatric Disorders. *Current psychiatry reports.* 2016;18(9):78.
16. Jansson-Frojmark M, Norell-Clarke A. Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for Insomnia in Psychiatric Disorders. *Curr Sleep Med Rep.* 2016;2(4):233-40.
17. Roehrs T, Verster JC, Koshorek G, Withrow D, Roth T. How representative are insomnia clinical trials? *Sleep Med.* 2018;51:118-23.
18. Dallaspezia S, Suzuki M, Benedetti F. Chronobiological Therapy for Mood Disorders. *Current psychiatry reports.* 2015;17(12):95.
19. Barbini B, Benedetti F, Colombo C, Dotoli D, Bernasconi A, Cigala-Fulgosi M, et al. Dark therapy for mania: a pilot study. *Bipolar Disord.* 2005;7(1):98-101.

20. Henriksen TE, Skrede S, Fasmer OB, Schoeyen H, Leskauskaite I, BJORKE-Bertheussen J, et al. Blue-blocking glasses as additive treatment for mania: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Bipolar Disord.* 2016;18(3):221-32.
21. Feifel D. Transforming the psychiatric inpatient unit from short-term pseudo-asylum care to state-of-the-art treatment setting. *Psychiatry (Edgmont).* 2008;5(9):47-50.
22. Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gotzsche PC, Krleza-Jeric K, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;158(3):200-7.
23. Nowozin C, Wahnschaffe A, Rodenbeck A, de Zeeuw J, Hadel S, Kozakov R, et al. Applying melanopic lux to measure biological light effects on melatonin suppression and subjective sleepiness. *Curr Alzheimer Res.* 2017.
24. Rahman SA, St Hilaire MA, Lockley SW. The effects of spectral tuning of evening ambient light on melatonin suppression, alertness and sleep. *Physiol Behav.* 2017;177:221-9.
25. Levine RJ. Deferred consent. *Control Clin Trials.* 1991;12(4):546-50; discussion 51-2.
26. Abramson NS, Meisel A, Safar P. Deferred consent. A new approach for resuscitation research on comatose patients. *JAMA.* 1986;255(18):2466-71.
27. Foex BA. The problem of informed consent in emergency medicine research. *Emerg Med J.* 2001;18(3):198-204.
28. World Health Organization. *The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Diagnostic criteria for research.* Geneva, 1993.
29. Pallesen S, Gronli J, Myhre K, Moen F, Bjorvatn B, Hanssen I, et al. A Pilot Study of Impulse Radio Ultra Wideband Radar Technology as a New Tool for Sleep Assessment. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2018;14(7):1249-54.
30. Busner J, Targum SD. The clinical global impressions scale: applying a research tool in clinical practice. *Psychiatry (Edgmont).* 2007;4(7):28-37.
31. Kadouri A, Corruble E, Falissard B. The improved Clinical Global Impression Scale (iCGI): development and validation in depression. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2007;7:7.
32. Linaker OM, Busch-Iversen H. Predictors of imminent violence in psychiatric inpatients. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 1995;92(4):250-4.
33. Woods P, Almvik R. The Broset violence checklist (BVC). *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl.* 2002(412):103-5.
34. Palmstierna T, Wistedt B. Staff observation aggression scale, SOAS: presentation and evaluation. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 1987;76(6):657-63.
35. Viola AU, James LM, Schlangen LJ, Dijk DJ. Blue-enriched white light in the workplace improves self-reported alertness, performance and sleep quality. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2008;34(4):297-306.
36. Kahan BC, Rushton H, Morris TP, Daniel RM. A comparison of methods to adjust for continuous covariates in the analysis of randomised trials. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2016;16:42.

37. Vittinghoff E. GDV, Shiboski S.C., & McCulloch C.E. Regression methods in biostatistics linear, logistic, survival, and repeated measures models. 2nd ed. New York: Springer; 2012.
38. Hill AB. Medical ethics and controlled trials. Br Med J. 1963;1(5337):1043-9.
39. Truog RD, Robinson W, Randolph A, Morris A. Is informed consent always necessary for randomized, controlled trials? N Engl J Med. 1999;340(10):804-7.

Tables

Table 1: Targets and timing of assessments

| Assessment | Collected via | Time | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|-------|-----------|------------------|
| | | Intake / first 24h | Daily | Discharge | Hospital records |
| Duration of admission in days | Data extracted from electronic records | | | | X |
| Admission status (voluntary or involuntary) | Data extracted from electronic records | X | | | |
| Clinical diagnosis (including comorbid diagnoses) | Mental Health Professionals* | X | | X | |
| Demography & clinical history (including current, past & forensic) | Mental Health Professionals* | X | | | X |
| Physical health (including anthropometrics) | Nurse | X | | | |
| Clinical Global Impression (CGI) | Mental Health Professionals* | X | X | X | |
| Clinical Global Impression-Severity (CGI- S) | Mental Health Professionals* | X | | X | |
| Clinical Global Impression-Improvement (iCGI-I) | Mental Health Professionals* | X | X | X | |
| Risk of suicide | Mental Health Professionals* | | X | | |
| Risk of or actual aggressive behavior & associated interventions | Nurse | | X | | |
| Monitoring of sleep-wake cycle & daytime activity | Xethru radar sensor | | X | | |
| Prescribed medications | Data extracted from electronic records | X | | | X |
| Adherence to lighting condition | Nurse | | X | | |
| Satisfaction & perceived benefits | Study Participant | | | X | |
| Side effects | Study Participant | | | X | |
| Adverse events | Mental Health Professionals* | | X | | |

See text for additional details of rating scales and assessments employed

*Primarily psychiatrists or clinical psychologists, but may include trained nurses and/or duty psychiatrist

Figures




Figure 1

Study flowchart (*the study employs deferred consent, so allocation precedes enrollment).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplement1.docx](#)
- [supplement2.docx](#)