Protective Effect of Glechoma Hederacea Extract Against Gallstone Formation in Rodent Models

Min Xiao

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Mengbi Yang

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Xiaoyu Ji

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Dan Li

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Yuning Xie

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Yuanfeng Lyu

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Zhong Zuo (✉ joanzuo@cuhk.edu.hk)

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Research Article

Keywords: Glechoma hederacea extract, Hitrechol®, herbal medicine, gallstone formation, lithogenic diet, bile composition.

Posted Date: April 27th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-381945/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Protective Effect of Glechoma Hederacea Extract Against Gallstone Formation in Rodent Models

Min Xiao, Mengbi Yang, Xiaoyu Ji, Dan Li, Yuning Xie, Yuanfeng Lyu, Zhong Zuo*

School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin,
New Territories, Hong Kong SAR

*Corresponding author and address for print

Dr. Zhong Zuo

Professor

School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Shatin, N.T.

Hong Kong SAR

Email: joanzuo@cuhk.edu.hk

Telephone (852-39436832); Fax (852-26035295)

Abstract

Background:

Our current study aimed to evaluate the effect of an *Glechoma Hederacea* extract (Hitrechol[®]) in normal rats and gallstone diseased mice to explore its underlying mechanisms. Normal rats and C57BL/6 mice with/without cholesterol gallstone were used in this study.

Methods:

To monitor the effect of Hitrechol[®] on bile secretion, bile flow rates at 15 min interval until 2 hours post-dosing in normal rats treated with vehicle and Hitrechol[®] were compared using multiple t-test with a $p < 0.05$ considered as statistically significant different. To further evaluate the effect of Hitrechol[®] against the development of gallstone, mice were treated with vehicle or Hitrechol (QD-once daily or TID-three times daily) for 3 weeks followed by comparing the levels of bile composition among the treatment groups. In addition, the anti-oxidative biomarkers in liver and anti-inflammatory biomarker in serum were detected and compared among all the treatment groups to evaluate the hepato-protective effect of Hitrechol[®]. The obtained levels of biomarkers and bile composition were compared among different treatment groups using one-way ANOVA tests followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons with $p < 0.05$ considered as statistically significant.

Results:

Despite no significant impact on the bile flow rate, Hitrechol TID treatment dramatically decreased size and amount of gallstone crystals and total cholesterol level ($p < 0.05$), as well as total bile acid ($p < 0.05$) and several types of bile acid ($p < 0.05$) levels in gallstone diseased model mice. Hitrechol[®] TID treatment could significantly decrease the frequencies of hepatocyte necrosis and lipid aggregation notably as well as increase the antioxidant enzymes level ($p < 0.05$) in liver.

Conclusions:

Our findings for the first time demonstrated the beneficial effect of Hitrechol[®] against gallstone via its litholytic, liver-protective and antioxidant activities.

Keywords:

Glechoma hederacea extract, Hitrechol[®], herbal medicine, gallstone formation, lithogenic diet, bile composition.

Background

Gallstone disease is one of the most common digestive disease, affecting 10-20% of the global adult population [1]. More than ninety percent of gallstones are cholesterol solid crystals formed in the gallbladder. The factors for causing cholesterol gallstone disease include improved standards of living, chronic high cholesterol diet, and overnutrition. In the normal physiological state, bile is produced in the liver and is secreted into the duodenum to digest food [2]. Bile is containing bile salts, phospholipids, cholesterol, proteins, and bilirubin, all in stable equilibrium states [2]. However, under certain pathophysiological conditions, especially the supersaturated bile of cholesterol, the relative excess cholesterol will precipitate as solid crystals, then aggregate, fuse, and eventually form gallstones within a gallbladder-secreted mucin gel that cause disease and complaints [2-3]. Although laparoscopic cholecystectomy is currently considered the gold standard in treating patients with symptomatic gallstones, new perspectives regarding medical therapy of cholesterol gallstone disease are under discussion. The natural history of the disease and the analysis of the overall costs of therapy are also taking into considerations [4]. The classical oral litholysis like ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) has been used for treatment of cholesterol gallstone disease [4]. Recent studies have raised the possibility that cholesterol-lowering agents that inhibit hepatic cholesterol synthesis or intestinal cholesterol absorption, or drugs acting on specific nuclear receptors involved in cholesterol and bile acid homeostasis for treatment of cholesterol gallstone disease. Other natural plants extraction medicines or components are also reported to have effect of dissolving gallstone and prevent the occurrence of this disease [5].

Hitrechol[®] is an herbal medicine used for gallstone disease since 1970s and was indicated for the treatment of early-stage cholesterol gallstones as well as solitary and multiple cholesterol gallstones. Hitrechol[®] contains the purified extract of *Glechoma hederacea*, in which saponins, bitters, essential oil, flavonoids and tannins served as the major components. The chemical structure of the saponin ursolic acid is similar to that of UDCA, one of the endogenous bile acids reported to be effective in dissolving gallstones by lysis the cholesterol crystals [6-7]. The bitters may alter the production of gastric juice [8], while the saponins and the essential oil could significantly decrease total cholesterol level [9-10]. Some studies have also implied that the active components of *Glechoma hederacea* have other possible pharmacological activities including anti-inflammatory [11], antispasmodic [12], liver-protective [13],

choleretic [14], litholytic [15], antioxidant [16], antimicrobial [17] and antitumor [18]. It can reduce the inflammatory process which caused by mechanical irritation attributable to gallstones in the gallbladder wall and help to relax the muscle cells in the bile ducts, facilitating the flow of bile. The plants extract can also exhibit antioxidative effect on damaged liver cells. Despite several clinical studies have shown that extracts of *Glechoma hederacea* can decrease gallbladder complaints associated with gallstone [19] and reduce the average stone area, the underlying action mechanisms of Hitrechol[®] remains unknown.

The current study was proposed aiming to investigate the effect of Hitrechol[®] against the gallstone formation in developed lithogenic diseased model mice and to evaluate its effect on bile flow in rats. In addition to total cholesterol and total phospholipid levels in bile, the morphological changes of gallstone crystals and pathological changes in liver after Hitrechol[®] treatment were monitored. To further evaluate the liver protective effect of Hitrechol[®], the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory biomarkers of catalase activities, and superoxide dismutase, reduced glutathione levels and TNF-alpha were also determined and compared among different treatment groups.

Materials and Methods

Quality control and HPLC fingerprint of Hitrechol[®]

Hitrechol Aihuo Dantong (Hitrechol[®]) Capsule were sponsored by Pharmazeutische Fabrik Evers GmbH & Co. KG (Batch No. 25003). The major component of Hitrechol[®] is the extract of *Glechoma hederacea*, which is cultivated in Central Europe, growing in shady places. It is a perennial hairy herb with creeping stem and commonly known as “ground ivy” [17]. Authentication of *Glechoma hederacea* was conducted by expert in botany from Albrecht-von-Haller-Institute for Plant Science, the Georg-August-University Göttingen. Manufacturing of Hitrechol[®] by Pharmazeutische Fabrik Evers GmbH & Co. KG company, complied with all relevant regulations. Briefly, to prepare the extract, the dry herb was cut into a defined size followed by extraction with alcohol (90% v/v) at room temperature and subsequent concentration under vacuum. The concentrated extract was then mixed with sunflower oil to form into a homogenized mixture to be encapsulated into a soft gelatin capsule.

Besides using macroscopic and microscopic identity tests, the HPLC fingerprint indicated the existence of three marker components namely ursolic acid, oleanolic acid and linolenic acid in Hitrechol[®] (**Figure S1**). Moreover, content of ursolic acid, one of the major active marker

components, was determined by HPLC/UV and listed in the specification of Hitrechol[®]. Characteristics, identity, purity and content assay were listed in Hitrechol[®] specification of the batch (No. 25003) (**Table S1**), in which includes appearance, filling variation, disintegration time, TLC fingerprint of active ingredient, purity, microbial limit, and the contents of three active marker components. Test results of the batch comply with all the specifications with sum of ursolic acid and oleanolic acid of 40 – 150 µg per capsule and linolenic acid of 70 – 350 µg per capsule.

Chemicals and reagents

Hitrechol Aihuo Dantong (Hitrechol[®]) Capsule were sponsored by Pharmazeutische Fabrik Evers GmbH & Co. KG (Batch No. 25003). Lithogenic diet was purchased from Teklad Diets EVIGO USA (#TD.88051, Cocoa Butter Diet and Purina Mouse Chow). PEG 400 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Assay kit for Cholesterol/Cholesteryl Ester Quantitation Assay kit (ab65359), Phospholipid Assay Kit (ab234050), Catalase Activity Assay Kit (ab83464), Superoxide Dimutase Activity Assay kit (ab65354), GSH/GSSG Ratio Detection Assay kit (ab138881) and Mouse TNF alpha ELISA Kit (ab208348) were purchased from Abcam, UK. All other reagents were at least of analytical grades and were used without further purification.

Evaluation of the effect of Hitrechol[®] on bile secretion flow rate in rats

The experiments were carried out after approval by the Animal Ethics Committee of The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Ref No. 20-007-MIS-4-B) and complied with the guidelines of the Animal Care and Utilization Committee in Hong Kong SAR. Sprague-Dawley rats were supplied and fasted overnight before experiment. Before surgery, the rats (n=6/group) were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a mixed solution containing 37.5 mg/mL ketamine and 5 mg/mL xylazine at 0.2 mL per 100 g body weight. During the surgery, the body temperature of the rats was maintained at around 37 °C by a heating pad and heating lamp. The abdomen was opened by midline incision, followed by identifying the liver and common bile duct. The transition zone between the proximal bile duct and pancreas were ligated (6-0 silk). Above the distal ligature, the common bile duct was cannulated with a 6-cm polyethylene tubes (0.4 mm i.d. × 0.8 mm o.d) for bile collection. The duodenum was identified, and a flexible PVC tubing (3 mm i.d. × 5 mm o.d.) was cannulated into the beginning of duodenum. Bile flow was recorded for 30 min before treatment. Hitrechol[®] (suspension in saline at 0.06 g/mL, 0.12 g/kg/day, equivalent to 1.2 g/60 kg/day in human) and same volume

of saline were given to rats from treatment (n=6) and control groups (n=6) via the PVC tubing to duodenum. Bile was collected with 15 min interval until 2-hour post-dosing. The volume of bile collected during each time interval (15 min) was measured followed by calculating the bile flow and relative bile flow as follows:

$$\text{Bile Flow (mL/min)} = \text{bile volume (mL)} / \text{time interval (min)}$$

$$\text{Relative Bile flow (\%)} = \frac{\text{bile flow after dose (mL/min)}}{\text{Mean of bile flow before dose (mL/min)}} \times 100 (\%)$$

Comparisons of the Relative Bile Flow between control and Hitrechol[®] treatment groups were conducted using multiple t-test with a $p < 0.05$ considered as statistically significant different.

Evaluation of the effect of Hitrechol[®] against the development of gallstone in normal and cholesterol gallstone model mice

Oral administration preparations of Hitrechol[®]

Hitrechol[®] capsule content (oily form) was dispersed in aqueous solution consisting of 50% PEG400 (1:10 v/v) to serve as the preparation for oral administration.

Animal treatment

The animal care and experimental procedures complied with the guidelines of the Animal Care and Utilization Committee in Hong Kong. The experiments were carried out after approval by the Animal Ethics Committee of The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Ref No. 20-007-MIS-4-B). 27 healthy male C57BL/6 mice of body weight about 22g were kept in a controlled environment with 12/12 h light/dark cycles with free access to food and water. The mice were randomly divided into five groups (n=4-6/group). Group 1 mice orally received the same volume of 50% PEG400 in H₂O as vehicle control for 3 weeks. Group 3 mice received once daily Hitrechol[®] at 2.4 mL/kg (assuming the density of Hitrechol[®] is 1 mg/ml: equal to 2.4 g/kg in mice and 1.2 g/60 kg/day in human). Mice from Groups 2, 4 & 5 were freely access to a lithogenic diet containing approximately 15.8% fat, 1.25% cholesterol, 0.5% sodium cholate, and 7.5% casein for 3 weeks. During the feeding period of the lithogenic diet [23], mice were orally administrated with Hitrechol[®] preparation at once daily (QD, Group 4) or three times daily (TID, Group 5) and 50% PEG400 in H₂O as vehicle control (Group 2) for 3 weeks. The dosing regimen for Group 1 to Group 5 mice was summarized in **Figure 1**.

Figure 1. Dosing regimen and schedules of sampling and treatment for mice.

Sampling and sample treatment

At the end of 3-week treatment, mice from Groups 1 to 5 were sacrificed after overnight fasting followed by collecting their liver, gallbladder and bile. The sampling schedule for Group 1 to Group 5 mice was demonstrated in **Figure 1**. Briefly, both body weight and liver weight of each mouse was recorded for calculation of Organ Index (Liver weight /Body weight). About 1 g of the liver from each mouse was minced and then homogenized using ultrasonic probe for 30 seconds on ice in 2 mL/g phosphate buffer saline for analyses of bile acid composition and antioxidative biomarkers including catalase, superoxide dismutase and reduced glutathione. The collected whole gallbladders were used to detect the bile acid composition, while the collected bile was used to determine the total cholesterol and phospholipid levels. For mice from Groups 1-4, blood was also collected via cardiac puncture followed by obtaining the serum after centrifugation at 8,000 g for 3 min for preliminary analysis of antioxidative biomarkers including catalase, superoxide dismutase and reduced glutathione.

In addition, bile from Group 2 and Group 5 were immediately examined under a polarized microscope for cholesterol crystals morphology observation. Also, about 0.2 g of the liver from each mouse in Group 2 and Group 5 was immediately fixed in 4% pre-cooled paraformaldehyde for further histology treatment and observation. The median lobe of each mice livers from the above treatment groups were isolated and divided into two parts for Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining and Oil Red O staining, respectively. The first part of the median lobe was fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde at 4 °C until with further dehydration and embedding in paraffin wax. After being sectioned at 5 µm thickness and deparaffinized with xylene and graded alcohols, the mounted tissue sections were then stained with H&E for visualizing with 200 times magnification by a microscope (Eclipse Ti-E, Nikon, Japan) equipped with a digital video camera (DS-Qi2, Nikon, Japan) controlled by the NIS-Elements Imaging software program. Inflammation, necrosis, steatosis, cholestasis, and hemorrhage were major aspects during pathological observation. The second part of the median lobe was stored at -80 °C until the frozen section (6 µm) was obtained. Red Oil O staining was conducted to dye the lipids in liver section to red color. Two hundred times magnification was utilized for observation using the same microscope and imaging system mentioned for Red Oil O staining observation.

Evaluation of anti-lithogenic effect of Hitrechol[®]

Analyses of bile composition

In order to evaluate the effect of Hitrechol[®] on the composition of bile, levels of the total cholesterol, total phospholipids (0.2-0.5 μ L) collected from Groups 1-5 were measured using Cholesterol/Cholesteryl Ester Quantitation Assay kit (ab65359) and Phospholipid Assay Kit (ab234050) according to the protocols of manufacturer (Abcam, USA) as described before [20-21].

Analyses of bile acid composition in gallbladder and liver

To evaluate the effect of Hitrechol[®] on altering the bile acid composition, levels of the 12 bile acids in gallbladder and liver of mice from Groups 1-5 were measured and compared. Briefly, about 1 ml of 70% acetonitrile was added to the collected whole gallbladder organ followed by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 10 min before LC/MS/MS analysis of the bile acid levels. In addition, two volume of acetonitrile were added to the prepared liver homogenate of mice from Groups 1-5 followed by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 10 min and vacuum drying of the supernatant. The obtained residue was then reconstituted in 70% acetonitrile followed by LC/MS/MS analyses of the bile acid levels in liver.

A previously reported LC/MS/MS method was adopted with modifications for simultaneous determination of 12 bile acids for the mentioned samples [22]. Briefly, the LC/MS/MS system consisted of an Agilent 6430 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer with an electrospray ionization source (ESI), Agilent 1290 pump and auto-sampler (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA). Chromatographic separation of the four analytes were achieved on a Welch materials Ultimate HPLC XB-C18 (2.1 $\times$ 100 mm, 3 μ m) analytical column. The mobile phase of water containing 7.5 mM ammonium formate adjusted with NaOH to pH=7.0 (A) and methanol (B) were used with a gradient elution from 40% solvent B to 90% solvent B within 22 minutes. The flow rate was 0.3 ml/min. Quantitation of bile acids were performed using multiple reaction monitoring with the parameter listed in **Table 1**.

Table 1. MS/MS parameters for the detection of bile acids

Bile acids	Precursor Ion	Product Ion	Frag (V)	CE (V)	Polarity
Glycin-conjugated CA	464	74	100	70	Negative
Glycin-conjugated CDCA/UDCA/DCA	448	74	220	70	Negative
Taurin-conjugated CA	514	80	320	90	Negative
Taurin-conjugated CDCA/UDCA/DCA	498	80	125	80	Negative
Unconjugated CA	407	407	300	5	Negative
Unconjugated CDCA/UDCA/DCA	391	391	280	5	Negative

CA: Cholic acid; CDCA: Chenodeoxycholic acid; UDCA: Ursodeoxycholic acid; DCA: Deoxycholic acid.

Evaluation of anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory effects of Hitrechol[®]

To evaluate the anti-oxidative effect of Hitrechol[®], catalase (CAT) activities, and superoxide dismutase (SOD) and reduced glutathione (GSH) levels were detected in collect serum and prepared liver homogenate from Groups 1 to 5 using Catalase Activity Assay Kit (ab83464), Superoxide Dimutase Activity Assay kit (ab65354) and GSH/GSSG Ratio Detection Assay kit (ab138881) according to the instructions of the manufacturer (Abcam, USA) as described before [23]. Furthermore, to evaluate the anti-inflammatory effect of Hitrechol[®], the concentration of TNF-alpha in serum were detected using ELISA kit (Abcam, USA).

Data Analyses

The obtained levels of biomarkers and bile composition were compared among different treatment groups using one-way ANOVA tests followed by Tukey's mutiple comparisons with $p < 0.05$ considered as statistically significant.

Results

Effect of Hitrechol[®] on bile secretion flow rate in rats

As shown in **Figure 2**, compared with control group, slight elevation of bile flow in Hitrechol[®] treated group was observed at 105 min and 120 min postdosing. However, there is no statistically significant difference between the two groups after a multiple t-test conducted on each time point.

Figure 2. Comparison of Relative Bile Flow at different time intervals after oral administrations of Hitrechol[®] and saline control to normal rats.

Effect of Hitrechol[®] against the development of gallstone in normal and cholesterol gallstone model mice

Comparison of Liver Organ Index from different treatment groups

As demonstrated in **Figure 3 (a)**, liver index of mice in all lithogenic diet groups were significantly increased compared to that of mice in normal diet groups. Among the three lithogenic groups (Group 2, 4 & 5), it was found that Hitrechol[®] TID treated group (Group 5) showed a trend of decrease in liver index compare to the vehicle treatment group (Group 2).

Effect of Hitrechol[®] on Total Cholesterol and Phospholipid Levels in bile

As shown in **Figure 3 (b)**, the total cholesterol levels in vehicle (Group 2) and Hitrechol[®] (QD) treated lithogenic diet groups (Group 4) were significantly elevated in comparison to that from normal diet groups (Groups 1 and 3). Although there was no significant difference in total cholesterol level between vehicle treated lithogenic diet group (Group 2) and Hitrechol[®] (QD) treated lithogenic diet group (Group 4), Hitrechol[®] TID treatment (Group 5) significantly decreased total cholesterol level in comparison to vehicle treated lithogenic group (Group 2) and Hitrechol[®] (QD) treated lithogenic diet group (Group 4).

Figure 3 (c) demonstrated the comparison of total phospholipid among different treatment group. It was noticed that the total phospholipid levels in vehicle and Hitrechol[®] (QD) treated lithogenic diet group (Group 4) were significantly elevated in comparison to normal diet groups (Groups 1 and 3). A trend of decrease in total phospholipid level in Hitrechol[®] TID (Group 5) in comparison to vehicle treated lithogenic diet group (Group 2) and Hitrechol[®] (QD) treated Lithogenic diet group (Group 4).

Figure 3. Effect of Hitrechol® on Oran Index (a), Total Cholesterol Levels (b) and Total Phospholipid Levels (c) of different treatment groups. n=4 to 6 for each treatment group. Data presented in Mean $\pm$ SEM, * $p < 0.05$ compare to VEH + ND group, ^ $p < 0.05$ compare to H (QD) + ND group, # $p < 0.05$ compare to VEH + LGD group, + $p < 0.05$ compare to H(QD) + LGD group analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's mutple comparisons test. VEH: Vehicle; ND: Normal diet; LGD: Lithogenic diet; H (QD): Hitrechol® once daily; H (TID): Hitrechol® three times daily.

Gallstone morphological and liver pathological observations after TID Hitrechol[®] treatment

Since the Hitrechol[®] TID treatment demonstrated significant improved in antioxidant biomarkers and bile composition, we further observed their morphological and pathological changes. As shown **Figure 4 (a)**, more amount of gallstone crystals were noticed in vehicle treated lithogenic diet mice than that of Hitrechol[®] TID treatment under 4 times magnification microscopy. Also, the morphology of crystals in vehicle treated lithogenic diet mice was found more rigid and larger under 40 times magnification microscopy. In addition, in **Figure 4 (b)**, despite observed severe hepatocyte necrosis in vehicle treated lithogenic diet group, minimal necrosis was found in TID Hitrechol[®] treated lithogenic diet group. Moreover, as demonstrated in **Figure 4 (c)**, oil droplets are in dark red color, prominent and widespread in vehicle treated lithogenic diet liver tissue. However, oil droplets in Hitrechol[®] TID treated lithogenic diet liver tissue were observed in lighter red color, smaller size compared with vehicle treated lithogenic diet one which indicated that Hitrechol[®] TID treatment could notably decrease cell necrosis chances and lipid aggregation in liver.

Figure 4. Polarizing light microscopy of gallstone (Cholesterol) crystals (a), Representative images of H&E-stained sections of livers (b) and Oil Red O staining of liver sections (c) from vehicle and Hitrechol[®] (TID) treated lithogenic diet groups. **Yellow Arrows** designates oil droplet. **Circles** indicate hepatocyte cell necrosis (scale bar indicates 100 μ m)

Effect of Hitrechol[®] on bile acid compositions in gallbladder of lithogenic treated groups

As shown in **Figure 5 (a)**, among the three lithogenic diet groups, significant decrease in amount of GCA, TCA and GCDCA/GDCA/GUDCA in whole gallbladder were found after TID but not QD Hitrechol[®] treatment. For the total bile acid level in the three lithogenic diet groups (Group 2, 4 & 5), as demonstrated in **Figure 5 (b)**, significant reduction of total bile acid level was found in Hitrechol[®] (TID) group in comparison to vehicle treated group. Although Hitrechol[®] QD treatment group shown a trend of decrease compared to vehicle treated group, no statistical difference was found.

Figure 5. Comparison of detectable bile acid levels (a) and total bile acid levels (b) in the gallbladder in the three lithogenic diet treated groups. $n=4$ to 6 for each treatment group. Data presented in Mean $\pm$ SEM, * $p < 0.05$ compare to VEH + LGD group analyzed by multiple t-test. VEH: Vehicle; LGD: Lithogenic diet; H (QD): Hitrechol[®] once daily; H (TID): Hitrechol[®] three times daily.

Anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory effects of Hitrechol[®]

Since our preliminary evaluation found no significant different in serum level of CAT, SOD and GSH among the treatment groups of Groups 1, 2, 3 & 5, our subsequent comparison focused on their levels in liver. As indicated in **Figure 6 (a)**, all the lithogenic diet groups (Groups 2, 4 & 5) were significantly decreased in Catalase (CAT) activities level when compared with normal diet groups (Groups 1 and 3). In addition, it was also noticed that both QD and TID Hitrechol[®] (Group 4 and 5) treatment significantly elevated the liver CAT activities level. As indicated in **Figure 6 (b)**, all the lithogenic diet groups (Group 2, 4 & 5) were significantly decreased in Superoxide dismutase (SOD) inhibition rates when compared with normal diet groups (Group 1 and 3). It was also noticed that significant increase in SOD level in TID but not QD Hitrechol[®] treated group in comparison to vehicle treated lithogenic diet group. In **Figure 6 (c)**, both vehicle-treated lithogenic diet group (Group 2) and QD Hitrechol[®] treated normal diet group (Group 4) were found decrease in Reduced glutathione (GSH) levels compared to vehicle treated normal diet group (Group 1). In comparison to vehicle treated lithogenic group (Group 2), significant increase in Reduced GSH after Hitrechol[®] (QD) and Hitrechol[®] (TID) treatment, but no difference was found between QD and TID Hitrechol[®] treatment.

Figure 6. Comparisons of Catalase (CAT) activities **(a)**, Superoxide dismutase (SOD) inhibition rates **(b)** and Reduced glutathione (GSH) levels **(c)** in liver from different treatment groups for evaluating the anti-oxidative effect of Hitrechol[®]. n=4 to 6 for each treatment group. Data presented in Mean $\pm$ SD, * $p < 0.05$ compare to VEH + ND group, ^ $p < 0.05$ compare to H (QD) + ND group, # $p < 0.05$ compare to VEH + LGD group analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's mutple comparisons test. VEH: Vehicle; ND: Normal diet; LGD: Lithogenic diet; H (QD): Hitrechol[®] once daily; H (TID): Hitrechol[®] three times daily.

Discussions

Gallstone formation is caused by the precipitation of bile components formed within the gallbladder with cholesterol precipitations as the most common one. Although Hitrechol[®] is used for treatment of cholesterol gallstone disease since 1970s, the underlying mechanisms of actions of Hitrechol[®] for cholesterol gallstone treatment are still not clear. In this study, we investigated the effect of Hitrechol[®] on the bile flow in normal rats and compositions of bile alteration after Hitrechol[®] treatment in gallbladder in normal and cholesterol gallstone diseased mice. The morphology changes of gallstone and pathology changes in liver tissues cholesterol gallstone diseased mice provided more insights for the actions of Hitrechol[®] and specific antioxidative and anti-inflammatory biomarkers were measured to understand the hepatoprotective effect of Hitrechol[®].

Based on the previous report on bile secretion increase by ursolic acid in normal rats [14], it was expected that Hitrechol[®], which contains ursolic acid as major component, might also increase the bile secretion in liver, leading to increase of the bile flow. However, in the current experiment, despite a trend of slight elevation of bile flow for each time interval in Hitrechol[®] treated group observed at 105 min and 120 min postdosing, no statistical significance was found in comparison to that from the control group in normal rats for each time interval. Such discrepancy may be due to the different dose of ursolic acid adopted in different studies. In the above mentioned study [14], the lowest dose of ursolic acid was 7.5 mg/kg. whereas in our study, a much lower dose of ursolic acid (120 mg/kg Hitrechol[®] containing about 0.096 mg ursolic acid) was given to the rats.

Due to the indication of Hitrechol[®], gallstone mice model was adopted for the current study for the evaluation of its impact on the gallstone formation. The successful development of the gallstone diseased mice model was verified by the gallstone formation shown in **Figure 4 (a)** after mice were sacrificed in lithogenic diet treated groups. Obvious differences between mice treated with normal diet and treated with lithogenic diet were noticed in the biomarkers such as total cholesterol level and total phospholipid level [22]. From the results between vehicle and Hitrechol[®] in normal diet groups, Hitrechol[®] treatment had minimal impact on the bile compositions such as the total cholesterol level, total phospholipid level and the total bile acid level in normal mice groups. Also, the biomarkers in liver including CAT, SOD and Reduced

GSH levels were also not significantly changed in Hitrechol[®] treated normal diet mice group, indicating the safe use of Hitrechol[®] with no side effects.

The comparisons of the bile composition changes and biomarker changes in liver among the three lithogenic diseased groups were focused subsequently. It was expected that the organ index for liver was all significantly increased in the three lithogenic groups indicated that liver injury was existed in those groups. Moreover, in comparisons to the vehicle treated lithogenic group, the anti-lithogenic and liver protection effects of Hitrechol[®] for both Hitrechol[®] QD and Hitrechol[®] TID treated groups could be significantly noticed in bile compositions and relevant biomarkers. For Hitrechol[®] QD treatment, it could potentially exhibit liver protection effect by increasing the CAT activity and reduced-GSH content in liver. Despite no statistically significant decrease in total cholesterol and phospholipid levels after Hitrechol[®] QD treatment, the gallstones were in general noticed to be smaller in size comparing to the gallstone in vehicle treated group. For Hitrechol[®] TID treatment, it could lead to more obvious protective effect against the gallstone formation. In addition, as demonstrated in **Figure 4 (b)** and **Figure 4 (c)** of liver histology samples, Hitrechol[®] TID treatment could notably decrease cell necrosis chances and lipid aggregation in liver which caused by high fat and high cholesterol diet of lithogenic diet. This might be due to one of the active ingredients in Hitrechol[®], oleanolic acid (70-350 µg/0.2 g capsule, 0.035-0.175% in Hitrechol[®]) which has been used as a hepatic drug for over 20 years in China because of its hepatoprotective effect [23-24]. Besides the liver histology observations, we also noticed that the anti-oxidative effect in liver indicated by changes in CAT, SOD and Reduced GSH levels were further improved in Hitrechol[®] TID treatment group compared to Hitrechol[®] QD and vehicle treatment groups due to the liver protection effects of Hitrechol[®]. The anti-lithogenic effect of Hitrechol[®] could be reflected by the significant reduction of total cholesterol levels and slightly decreased phospholipid levels in liver in Hitrechol[®] TID treatment group. As we mentioned previously [2], in normal physiological condition, bile salts, phospholipids, cholesterol, proteins, and bilirubin were all in stable equilibrium states. The decreased cholesterol level together with the increased phospholipid-to-bile acid ratio after Hitrechol[®] treatment is considered to lower the chances of cholesterol precipitation to form gallstone. A previous study reported that higher ratio between phospholipid and bile acid could increase the solubility of cholesterol [25]. The mean value of phospholipid-to-bile acid ratio in vehicle treated groups was 4.35, which was increased to 4.91 after Hitrechol[®] QD treatment and significantly increased to 6.32 after Hitrechol[®] TID

treatment. Also, the decrease of cholesterol level might be due to the function of linolenic acid (40-150 ug/0.2g capsule, 0.02-0.075% in Hitrechol[®]), which was an essential fatty acid belonging to the omega-3 fatty acids group. It was reported that linoleic acid could lower the total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels significantly [26-27]. Normally, bile secretion was promoted to dissolve or lysis the gallstone when taking high cholesterol diet. As expected, the total bile acid level in all the three lithogenic diet treated groups was noticed significantly increased compared to that of normal diet treated groups. In this study, after Hitrechol[®] TID treatment, the occurrence and morphology of gallstone was significantly lowered and changed. Also, the levels of GCA, TCA and GCDCA/GDCA/GUDCA and total bile acid in gallbladder showed significantly decreased when compared to vehicle treated group. It was worth noting that the UDCA (ursodeoxycholic acid) amount in bile acid composition showed a trend of increase after Hitrechol[®] TID treatment. It might be due to the ursolic acid in Hitrechol[®] could lysis the gallstone crystals. The chemical structure of ursolic acid (40-150 ug/0.2g capsule, 0.02-0.075% in Hitrechol[®]) was similar to that of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), one of the endogenous bile acids which had been reported to be effective in dissolving gallstones by lysis the cholesterol crystals [7].

Conclusions

It could be concluded that Hitrechol[®] TID decreased the occurrence of gallstone formation and enhance the liver protection effect by altering the bile composition and elevating the antioxidant relevant biomarkers. In this study, we also detected the anti-oxidative biomarkers and anti-inflammatory biomarker in serum. The results showed that there were no significant changes for all treatment groups in CAT, SOD and Reduced GSH levels and anti-inflammatory biomarker the TNF-Alpha level was below the detection limit. It was reported that extract of *Glechoma hederacea* could inhibit inflammatory mediator release like IFN-gamma [28]. The anti-inflammatory effect of Hitrechol[®] should be confirmed in future studies. In summary, Hitrechol[®] dose-dependently demonstrated the anti-lithogenic effect in cholesterol gallstone diseased mice. Also, Hitrechol[®] exhibited obvious liver protection effect through elevating the antioxidant biomarkers in cholesterol gallstone diseased mice.

List of abbreviation:

CA	Cholic acid
CDCA	Chenodeoxycholic acid
DCA	Deoxycholic acid
GSH	Reduced glutathione
H	Hitrechol [®]
LGD	Lithogenic diet
LC/MS/MS	Liquid Chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry
N	Normal diet
QD	Once daily
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
TID	Three times daily
UDCA	Ursodeoxycholic acid

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The experiments were carried out after approval by the Animal Ethics Committee of The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Ref No. 20-007-MIS-4-B) which in compliance with both the guidelines of the Animal Care and Utilization Committee in Hong Kong SAR and the guidelines 2.0 of the ARRIVE [29]. Manufacturing of the Hitrechol[®] complied with all relevant regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

There are no conflicts of interest among the authors.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated during this study are included in this article. The fingerprint profile of the major components in Hitrechol[®] and its certificate of analyses are provided as supplementary information Figure S1 and Table S1, respectively.

Funding

This work is supported by the service agreement with Bright Future Pharmaceutical Laboratories Limited, Hong Kong SAR. The funding body plays no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis as well as interpretation of data.

Authors' Contribution

MX conducted mice experiments, data collection, analysis and interpretation of the data and drafting the manuscript; MBY conception and design of the work and conducted rat experiments. XYJ helped to analysis the histology samples. YNX, DL and YFL did the animal dosing and sample treatment. ZZ designed the experiment and provided critical revision of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

References:

- [1]. F. Lammert, K. Gurusamy, C. W. Ko et al. Gallstones. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 2016; 2: 16024.
- [2]. K. J. Maurer, M. C. Carey, and J. G. Fox. Roles of infection, inflammation, and the immune system in cholesterol gallstone formation. *Gastroenterology* 2009; 136: 425–440.
- [3]. P. Portincasa, A. Moschetta, and G. Palasciano. Cholesterol gallstone disease. *Lancet* 2006; 368: 230–239.
- [4]. P. Portincasa, A. D. Ciaula, L. Bonfrate, and D. Q. Wang. Therapy of gallstone disease: what it was, what it is, what it will be. *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther* 2012; 3: 7–20.
- [5]. Geng Chen and Shuodong Wu. Role of Baicalin and Liver X Receptor Alpha in the Formation of Cholesterol Gallstones in Mice. *Gastroenterol Res Pract.* 2020; Article ID 1343969.
- [6]. Kitani K, Kanai S, Ivy GO, Carrillo MC. Pharmacological modifications of endogenous antioxidant enzymes with special reference to the effects of deprenyl: a possible antioxidant strategy. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 1999;111(2-3):211-21.
- [7]. Podda M, Zuin M, Battezzati PM, Ghezzi C, de Fazio C, Dioguardi ML. Efficacy and safety of a combination of chenodeoxycholic acid and ursodeoxycholic acid for gallstone dissolution: a comparison with ursodeoxycholic acid alone. *Gastroenterology.* 1989;96(1):222-9.
- [8]. Michael K, Julie M. Whitehouse, Athony Towell. Bitters: Time for a new paradigm. *Evid.-Based Complementary Altern. Med.* 2015; 670504.
- [9]. Chen P, Li J, Fan X, Zeng H, Deng R, Li D, Huang M, Bi H. Oleanolic acid attenuates obstructive cholestasis in bile duct-ligated mice, possibly via activation of NRF2-MRPs and FXR antagonism. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2015; 765:131-9.
- [10]. Hu G, Yuan X, Zhang S, Wang R, Yang M, Wu C, Wu Z, Ke X. Research on choleric effect of menthol, menthone, pluegone, isomenthone, and limonene in DanShu capsule. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2015;24(2):191-197.

- [11].An HJ, Jeong HJ, Um JY, et al. *Glechoma hederacea* inhibits inflammatory mediator release in IFN-gamma and LPS-stimulated mouse peritoneal macrophages. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2006; 106(3):418-24.
- [12].O. Bergendorff, C. Franzén, A.-B. Jeppsson, O. Sterner & B. Waldeck Screening of Some European Medicinal Plants for Spasmolytic Activity on Isolated Guinea-Pig Trachea. *Int. J. Pharmacol* 1995; 33(4): 356-358.
- [13].Wang YY, Lin SY, Chen WY, Liao SL, Wu CC, Pan PH, Chou ST, Chen CJ. *Glechoma hederacea* extracts attenuate cholestatic liver injury in a bile duct-ligated rat model. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2017; 204:58-66.
- [14].Xiong X, Chen W, Cui J, Yi S, Zhang Z, Li K. Effects of ursolic acid on liver-protection and bile secretion. *Zhong Yao Cai.* 2003; 26(8):578-81.
- [15].Casey HJ. Efficacy study on gallstones remedy, vegetable extract II, Final Report of the Study 1976; Ref. No.11/7606, Pharmazeutische Fabrik Evers & Co. GmbH.
- [16].Milovanovic M, Zivkovic D, Vucelic-Radovic B. Antioxidant effects of *Glechoma hederacea* as a food additive. *Nat Prod Communication* 2010;5(1):61-3.
- [17].Y Kumarasamy; P.J Cox; M Jaspars; L Nahar; S.D Sarker. Biological activity of *Glechoma hederacea*. *Fitoterapia* 2002; 73(7-8), 721–723.
- [18].Ohigashi, Hajime; Takamura, Hitoshi; Koshimizu, Koichi; Tokuda, Harukuni; Ito, Yohei. Search for possible antitumor promoters by inhibition of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate-induced Epstein-Barr virus activation; Ursolic acid and oleanolic acid from an anti-inflammatory Chinese medicinal plant, *Glechoma hederacea* L. *Cancer lett.*1986; 30(2) –151.
- [19].Fuchs-Algrim J, Lorenz H. Efficacy and tolerability of Hitrechol® in the dissolution of cholesterol gallstones and the treatment of accompanying complaints. 2003 Integrated final report of study IBP 312001/93.
- [20].Cheng, Shihai, et al. Activation of constitutive androstane receptor prevents cholesterol gallstone formation. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2017; 808-818.
- [21].Carey, Martin C. Critical tables for calculating the cholesterol saturation of native bile. *J. Lipid Res.* 1978; 945-955.

- [22].Jiangeng Huang, Sai Praneeth R. Bathena, Iván L. Csanaky, Yazan Alnouti. Simultaneous characterization of bile acids and their sulfate metabolites in mouse liver, plasma, bile, and urine using LC–MS/MS. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 2011; 1111-1119.
- [23].Chou, Su-Tze, et al. Phytochemical profile of hot water extract of *Glechoma hederacea* and its antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory activities. *Life Sci.* 2019.
- [24].G Rassias, M Kestin, P J Nestel. Linoleic acid lowers LDL cholesterol without a proportionate displacement of saturated fatty acid. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1991;45(6):315-20.
- [25].Antonio Moschetta, Gerard P. vanBerge-Henegouwen, Piero Portincasa, Giuseppe Palasciano, Karel J. van Erpecum. Cholesterol crystallization in model biles: effects of bile salt and phospholipid species composition. *J. Lipid Res.* 2001; 8:1273-1281
- [26].Zhao H., Zhou M., Duan L., Wang W., Zhang J., Wang D., Liang X. Efficient synthesis and anti-fungal activity of oleanolic acid oxime esters. *Molecules* 2013; 18:3615–3629.
- [27].Taiwo Betty Ayeleso, Mashudu Given Matumba, and Emmanuel Mukwevho. Oleanolic Acid and Its Derivatives: Biological Activities and Therapeutic Potential in Chronic Diseases. *Molecules.* 2017; 22(11): 1915.
- [28].An HJ, Jeong HJ, Um JY, Kim HM, Hong SH. *Glechoma hederacea* inhibits inflammatory mediator release in IFN-gamma and LPS-stimulated mouse peritoneal macrophages. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2006;106(3):418-24.
- [29].Percie du Sert N, Hurst V, Ahluwalia A, Alam S, Avey MT, Baker M, et al. The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: updated guidelines for reporting animal research. *PLoS Biol.* 2020; 18(7): e3000410

Figures

Figure 1

Dosing regimen and schedules of sampling and treatment for mice.

Figure 2

Comparison of Relative Bile Flow at different time intervals after oral administrations of Hitrechol® and saline control to normal rats.

Figure 3

Effect of Hitrechol® on Oran Index (a), Total Cholesterol Levels (b) and Total Phospholipid Levels (c) of different treatment groups. n=4 to 6 for each treatment group. Data presented in Mean $\pm$ SEM, *p < 0.05 compare to VEH + ND group, ^p < 0.05 compare to H (QD) + ND group, #p < 0.05 compare to VEH + LGD group, +p < 0.05 compare to H(QD) + LGD group analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's

multiple comparisons test. VEH: Vehicle; ND: Normal diet; LGD: Lithogenic diet; H (QD): Hitrechol® once daily; H (TID): Hitrechol® three times daily.

Figure 4

Polarizing light microscopy of gallstone (Cholesterol) crystals (a), Representative images of H&E-stained sections of livers (b) and Oil Red O staining of liver sections (c) from vehicle and Hitrechol® (TID) treated

lithogenic diet groups. Yellow Arrows designates oil droplet. Circles indicate hepatocyte cell necrosis (scale bar indicates 100 μm)

Figure 5

Comparison of detectable bile acid levels (a) and total bile acid levels (b) in the gallbladder in the three lithogenic diet treated groups. $n=4$ to 6 for each treatment group. Data presented in Mean $\pm$ SEM, * $p < 0.05$ compare to VEH + LGD group analyzed by multiple t-test. VEH: Vehicle; LGD: Lithogenic diet; H (QD): Hitrechol® once daily; H (TID): Hitrechol® three times daily.

Figure 6

Comparisons of Catalase (CAT) activities (a), Superoxide dismutase (SOD) inhibition rates (b) and Reduced glutathione (GSH) levels (c) in liver from different treatment groups for evaluating the anti-oxidative effect of Hitrechol®. n=4 to 6 for each treatment group. Data presented in Mean ± SD, *p < 0.05 compare to VEH + ND group, ^p < 0.05 compare to H (QD) + ND group, #p < 0.05 compare to VEH + LGD group analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test. VEH: Vehicle; ND: Normal diet; LGD: Lithogenic diet; H (QD): Hitrechol® once daily; H (TID): Hitrechol® three times daily.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplemetaryFigureS1andTableS1.docx](#)