

# Early intervention and follow-up programs among children with cerebral palsy in Moldova: Do they make a difference?

Ecaterina Gincota Bufteac (✉ [kbufteac@gmail.com](mailto:kbufteac@gmail.com))

OsloMet University, Faculty Health Science, Oslo, Norway <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0638-8862>

Guro L. Andersen

Universitetet i Sorost-Norge Fakultet for helse- og sosialvitenskap

Larisa Spinei

Universitatea de Stat de Medicina si Farmacie Nicolae Testemitanu

Reidun Jahnsen

Oslo universitetssykehus Ulleval

---

## Research article

**Keywords:** Cerebral palsy, Moldova, subtype, severity, associated impairments, contractures, early intervention services, follow-up program

**Posted Date:** August 21st, 2019

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.13158/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published on January 22nd, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-020-1931-7>.

# Abstract

**Aim** To study whether early intervention services (EI) and a follow-up program (FU) influence outcomes of children with cerebral palsy (CP) in Moldova. **Methods** Records from 351 children with CP in Moldova born during 2009 and 2010 were retrieved from hospital and orphanage archives between 1 July 2016 and 30 September 2017. We investigated the proportion enrolled in EI and FU at the Early Intervention Centre Voinicel and at the Institute of Mother and Child. Logistic regression analyses were applied to calculate crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for outcomes in children enrolled and not enrolled. **Results** Among all children with CP, 166 (47%) were enrolled in EI and FU. Of the 51 children born extremely preterm (gestational age  $\leq 31$  weeks), 46 (90%) were enrolled, compared to 97 (39%) of the 250 children born at term. Among 110 non-walking children with CP, 82 (74%) were enrolled into EI and FU, compared to 84 (35%) of 241 able to walk. There was no difference in outcomes of associated impairments between those enrolled or not enrolled in EI and FU. However, the subgroup analyses showed that among all children with CP the risk of contractures was five times higher among those not enrolled, regardless of GMFCS level (OR = 5.474, 95% CI 3.306–9.063,  $p < 0.000$ ). **Conclusion** In Moldova, EI and FU seem to be offered mostly to extremely preterm and non-walking children with CP. The results indicate a decreased risk for contractures in these children.

## Background

Cerebral palsy (CP) is an umbrella term covering disorders of movement and posture, leading to activity limitations, caused by non-progressive lesions or malformations in the immature brain [1]. Accompanying disturbances of sensation, perception, cognition, communication and behaviour are frequent, as are secondary musculoskeletal problems and epilepsy [1]. The brain lesion is non-progressive, but its consequences do change over time, and can be barriers to activity and participation in different life arenas [2].

In all children the most rapid maturation and development of both the musculoskeletal and central nervous systems occurs during preschool age, and this development forms the basis for future function [3]. Among clinicians in paediatric rehabilitation, a general consensus is that children with lesions of the central nervous system should receive rehabilitation interventions as soon as possible, due to the rapid brain development during early life [4].

Clinicians and researchers therefore share a common goal to enhance development of function and prevent known secondary complications in as many developmental areas as possible in children at risk of CP, or already diagnosed with CP. These services are called early intervention (EI). EI is differently defined across countries, and there is no consensus regarding age. The Early Intervention Handbook [5] defines it as “multidisciplinary services provided to children from birth to five years of age to promote child health and well-being, enhance emerging competencies, minimise developmental delays, remediate existing or emerging disabilities, prevent functional deterioration and promote adaptive parenting and overall family function”.

EI includes several rehabilitation services targeting five developmental areas (cognitive, physical, communication, adaptive and social–emotional). Early life is the period of the highest developmental potential due to the high plasticity of the immature brain [6]. A review by De Graaf-Peters and Hadders-Algra [6] suggested that intervention prior to 40–44 weeks post menstrual age (PMA) should be restricted to interventions aiming to mimic the intrauterine environment, such as the Newborn Individualized Developmental Care and Assessment Program (NIDCAP). However, after 40-44 weeks, the intervention should include active stimulation of the child’s development. Continuous neurobiological development occurs during both pre- and postnatal life, which should guide our clinical work.

De Graaf-Peters and Hadders-Algra [6] highlighted five important clinical consequences. First, the child’s age-specific nervous system calls for age-specific neurological assessments. Second, visible neural dysfunction is affected by age-dependent characteristics. Third, prediction of developmental disorders at an early age is dependent on specific neurodevelopmental changes of the brain, which can cause either disappearance of earlier dysfunctions or the opposite. Fourth, there are periods in the child’s early life that are specifically vulnerable to adverse events, due to specific neurodevelopment events in these periods. Fifth, age-specific neurodevelopment might be important for the timing of EI [6]. Recent research has documented that the period of dendritic outgrowth and active synapse formation is the best period for the repair of brain damage. This indicates that the period between 28 weeks PMA and 15 months postnatally would be best for EI [6].

However, a recent systematic review by Morgan et al. concluded that the evidence for early motor intervention is limited by the lack of high-quality trials [7]. Due to small sample sizes and the heterogeneity of the interventions, both in content and duration, as well as ages of evaluations and outcome measures, clinical recommendations are weak and inconclusive. The most promising intervention included child-initiated movement, task specificity and environmental modification [7]. This systematic review showed the importance of family-centred activities as well as specific environmental changes. EI programs are directed toward family and caregivers to cope with the stress of having a child with a complex disability such as CP. Further, the effective interventions may enhance the mothers’ attitudes toward their children, and thereby contribute to empowerment of caregivers.

Guralnic [8] also described the positive effect on mother–child interaction of an EI program for both preterm and term-born children. Another study showed a positive effect of a Mother–Infant Transaction Program (MITP) on important qualities of social interaction between mothers of moderately and late preterm infants at 12 months. Being a first-time mother seems to be a mediator that enhances the effects of the intervention [9].

A recent Cochrane review by Spittle et al. [10] concluded that EI in infants born preterm (<37 gestational weeks) is associated with improved cognitive development during infancy and preschool age, and a minor positive effect on infant motor development.

Interestingly, the general positive effects of EI occur in the presence of a large variety of theoretical concepts and actual program content. Parent–infant relationships have a greater impact on cognitive

outcomes at infancy and preschool age than intervention programs that focus on either infant development or parent support [10].

Fewer studies examine the effect of EI on children born at term with CP. The available results among children with CP born at term showed a large variation in intervention approaches, similar to EI in infants born preterm [10]. Studies conducted after term age indicated that intervention programs using the principles of neurodevelopmental treatment or Vojta, namely programs in which passive handling techniques have a prominent role, did not have a clear beneficial effect on motor development, and are not recommended [4]. According to Novak, the preferable interventions are child-active approaches that induce maximal neuroplasticity [4]. Moreover, specific motor training programs, such as training locomotor movements on a treadmill and general developmental programs, targeting the child's exploration of active motor behaviour, showed a positive effect on motor development [11].

Early detection of children with CP or at high risk of developing CP is crucial to including them as soon as possible in EI programs. Predictive tools for CP are now available, such as the Hammersmith Infant Neurological Evaluation (HINE), the General Movement Assessment (PRECHTL GMA) tool, and cerebral MRI classifications [4].

High-quality evidence to support EI in improving neurodevelopmental outcomes is sparse. The existing evidence for infants with CP or at high risk of CP recommends interventions based on motor learning principles, active involvement of the parents and enrichment of the environment [4, 7, 10]. Best practice is considered to be diagnosis-specific intervention as early as possible, during periods of high brain plasticity and before compensatory maladaptation of the musculoskeletal and central nervous system [4, 7, 10].

## **Setting – the situation in Moldova**

In 2003, the first Centre of Early Intervention Services (CEI) Voinicel was founded in Chisinau, Moldova, with the help of the Norwegian non-governmental organisation Ahead-Moldova. The need for family-based intervention was identified in order to address the high rate of abandoned children resulting from a lack of services for families with children at risk of developmental disorders, as well as those with identified disabilities.

The National Agency of Public Health has reported that neurological disability is the second most frequent paediatric disability in Moldova, and that 60% of those with a neurological disability are children with CP [12]. Therefore, some early-stage interventions had to be implemented as soon as possible. Moldova has four orphanages for children 0–18 years old, housing a total of 1300 children with disabilities born before 2003.

In 2008, a study of the model of EI services for children with disabilities in Moldova was performed [13]. Based on the results of this study, an approach was developed that focused on providing

multidisciplinary services to families with children at risk of developing or already diagnosed with a disability. The eligible age for inclusion is 0–3 years.

Around the same time that CEI Voinicel began providing EI services, neonatal mortality and morbidity prevention strategies in Moldova were established in three national programs: ‘Strengthening perinatal healthcare in the Republic of Moldova’, consolidated by Government Decision no. 1171 of 18 October 1997 and Ministry of Health no. 58 of 25 February 1998 (1998–2002); ‘Promotion of quality perinatal services’ (2003–2007) approved by Ministry of Health no. 185 of 18 June 2003; and ‘Modernization of the perinatal system of the Republic of Moldova’ (2006–2014) [14].

The Concept of Neonatal Diagnosis and Surveillance Service [14] was elaborated and implemented during 2008 for the care of very low birthweight children (<1500 g), based on the same decision of Ministry of Health no. 118 of 20 February 2009 [14].

In 2008, the Institute of Mother and Child (the main Republican hospital for children in Moldova), with support from the Swiss Cooperation Office, implemented the follow-up (FU) program for children with special needs from the entire country. CEI Voinicel provides EI for children and their families in the area of the capital, Chisinau.

The primary aim of this study was to explore whether EI and FU programs influence function and associated impairment outcomes in children with CP, by comparing those enrolled and those not enrolled in EI and FU programs.

## Methods

### Design and study population

Eligible for inclusion in this comparative cross-sectional study were children with CP enrolled in FU from 2009–2012 at the National Hospital Institute of Mother and Child, children enrolled in EI at CEI Voinicel, and children with CP not enrolled in rehabilitation programs, born between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2010. The National Hospital Institute of Mother and Child is the reference hospital for children with disabilities living outside the capital area, covering approximately 2.7 million (75%) of Moldova's 3.5 million inhabitants, while CEI Voinicel covers the population of 670,000 within the Chisinau municipality.

The list of children diagnosed with CP was retrieved from the follow-up department of Institute of Mother and Child and the CEI Voinicel databases. Antenatal and perinatal information was collected from medical records at the maternity ward, the Departments of Neonatal Care and Premature Birth, and the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU).

### Clinical characteristics – outcome measures

CP was diagnosed and classified consistent with the recommendations proposed by the Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe (SCPE) [2] into spastic, dyskinetic, ataxic and not classified subtypes. The spastic subtype was sub-classified into unilateral and bilateral subtypes, spastic unilateral CP into right and left hemiplegia, and spastic bilateral CP into quadriplegia and diplegia, in accordance with the International Classification of Diseases 10<sup>th</sup> revision (ICD 10) [15].

Gross motor function was classified according to the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) [16] using the available information on walking and sitting abilities. Fine motor function was classified according to the Bimanual Fine Motor Function (BFMF) scale using the available information on hand function [17]. As the data were collected from descriptions in medical records of variable quality, the children's gross motor function was categorised into those who were able to walk with or without assistive devices (corresponding to GMFCS levels I, II and III), and those who were unable to walk, even with such devices (corresponding to GMFCS levels IV and V), as proposed by Andersen et al. [18] and used in a previous study by Gincota et al. [19].

Fine motor function was described by speech therapists as free text in medical records. Based on these descriptions, fine motor function was classified according to BFMF, as proposed by Andersen et al. [18] and used in a previous study by Gincota et al. [19]. In relation to GMFCS, the BFMF classification was grouped into two categories: BFMF level I–III, and BFMF levels IV and V [18, 19].

Contractures and deformities were described as present or absent by the neurologists or physical therapists, and represent a unique muscle adaptation where increased passive muscle stiffness causes reduced range of motion without active force production of the muscle [20].

## **Associated impairments**

Speech therapists described speech and feeding abilities in free text in the medical records. Speech was classified on a five-level scale from zero to four, where zero indicated normal speech, level I indicated indistinct speech, level II obviously indistinct speech, level III severely indistinct speech (difficult to understand) and level IV indicated children with no speech. Cognitive function was assessed using the Development Assessment of Young Children Evaluation tool (DAYC) and classified into intellectual disability (IQ score <70) and normal intellectual ability (IQ score ≥70) [21].

## **Statistical methods**

Descriptive statistics were used to generate frequencies and central tendencies. Comparisons between children with CP who were and were not enrolled in EI and/or FU services were performed using chi square tests.

Odds ratios (OR) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated by means of logistic regression, with children enrolled in EI or FU serving as reference group. To control for any confounding effect by gestational age (GA), children who were and were not enrolled in rehabilitation programs were initially assessed separately to identify whether the gestational age had a potential effect on the association between program enrolment and gross or fine motor function.

Data analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A significance level of 0.05 was chosen.

## **Ethics**

The study was approved by the National Committee for Ethical Expertise in Clinical Trials (no. 266) and by the CEI Voinicel Ethical Committee (no. 01/17). A statement of Agreement of Collaboration between the State Medical and Pharmacy University and the Institute of Mother and Child was signed, and finally, permission to conduct the study was obtained from the Ministry of Health (12 July, no. 08/88), from the Municipality Council of Social Protection, (13 July 2016, no. 070117/957) and from the Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family of the Republic of Moldova.

Permission to access the children's data was obtained from the Republican Ethics Committee, The State Medical and Pharmacy Ethics Committee, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Labour and Social Protection, and the directors of the Institute of Mother and Child and CEI Voinicel.

Parents or primary caregivers of patients treated at this centre signed an informed consent form stating that medical data collected as part of the admission may be used for research purposes. The use of this regularly collected medical information in the present study was approved as described above and did not require a new individual consent form to be completed.

## **Results**

Among all 351 children born in 2009–2010 diagnosed with CP, 166 (47%) had been enrolled in EI and/or FU programs. Of those 166 children, 112 (67%) were included in a FU program at the Institute of Mother and Child, 95 (85%) from rural areas. The remaining 54 children (33%) were included in EI at CEI Voinicel (Table 1).

Only 21 children (23%) diagnosed with spastic unilateral CP, compared to 117 children (60%) with spastic bilateral CP, were enrolled in EI and/or FU programs ( $p = 0.023$ ). Among the 21 children with ataxic CP, six (29%) were enrolled in EI and/or FU programs ( $p = 0.002$ ). No significant difference was found between children with dyskinetic CP who were and were not enrolled in EI and/or FU programs, 20 (53%) and 18

(47%), respectively ( $p = 0.0263$ ). Only two (40%) children with unclassified CP were enrolled in EI and/or FU programs ( $p = 0.071$ ) (Table 1).

Among the 166 children enrolled in EI and/or FU programs, 84 (35%) children were classified at GMFCS levels I–III, compared to 157 (65%) of the children who had no intervention. However, among those enrolled in EI and/or FU programs, 82 children (74%) were classified at GMFCS level IV–V, compared to 28 children (26%) who were not enrolled (Table 2).

A significantly larger proportion of children with severely impaired hand function (BFMF IV and V) were enrolled in EI and/or FU programs: 68 (72%) of 94 children, compared to 98 children (38%) of 257 with BFMF level I–III ( $p = 0.001$ ) (Table 2).

Nearly all the extremely preterm born children, 46 (90%) of 51 with  $GA \leq 31$  weeks, were enrolled in EI and/or FU programs, whereas just 97 (39%) of 250 children with  $GA \geq 37$  weeks were enrolled ( $p = 0.042$ ). When the analyses were performed according to birthweight, we found the same difference: 34 (88%) of 39 children with extremely or very low birthweight were enrolled in EI and/or FU programs ( $p = 0.038$ ), whereas the proportion of children with birthweight 1500–2499 g was almost equal between those enrolled and not enrolled in EI and FU programs, 32 (45%) and 39 (55%), respectively (Table 2).

No difference was found between 78 (50%) of 155 children born in 2009 and 88 (45%) of 196 children born in 2010 ( $p = 0.053$ ) regarding enrolment in EI and/or FU programs (Table 2). Both socially disadvantaged families and those who belonged to middle- and high-income families had equal access to the EI and/or FU programs, 49% versus 51%, respectively. Among all enrolled children, 118 (71%) were enrolled in a FU program and 48 (29%) in EI and/or FU programs ( $p = 0.002$ ) (Table 2).

The results of the second step of the study did not show statistically significant differences between outcomes in any of the developmental areas (intellectual development, speech, feeding abilities, and gross or fine motor function) between the groups enrolled or not enrolled in the EI and/or FU programs (Table 2 and 3).

The logistic regression analyses showed that EI and/or FU program enrolment was a highly protective factor for development of contractures. Of all the children with CP, the risk of contractures was more than five times higher among those not enrolled in EI and/or FU programs, regardless of GMFCS level (OR = 5.474 (95% CI 3.306–9.063),  $p < 0.000$ ) (Table 4).

When subgroup analyses of contractures in upper and lower extremities were performed among children who were enrolled in EI and/or FU programs, we found that there was an increased risk (OR = 1.677, 95% CI 1.426–1.972,  $p < 0.000$ ) for contractures among those not enrolled in EI and/or FU programs among walking children (GMFCS I–III) (Table 4) compared to those enrolled.

Moreover, the present study showed a significant risk of developing contractures among children regardless of BFMF level who were not enrolled in an EI and/or FU program (OR = 4.570, 95% CI 2.728–7.658,  $p = 0.001$ ). When we restricted the analyses to children with 'good' hand function (BFMF I–III), we

found that those not enrolled in EI and FU programs had a significant risk of contracture development (OR = 1.502, 95% CI 1.301–1.734,  $p = 0.02$ ) (Table 4).

Having these results, we decided to examine the ORs of developing contractures among children born at different GA (preterm and term-born children), enrolled or not in EI and/or FU programs, and we found that those born preterm had a more than 40% higher risk of developing contractures compared to children born at term (OR = 1.415, 95% CI 1.225–1.635,  $p = 0.001$ ) (Table 4).

The adjusted analyses with all three covariates showed that the only statistically significant covariate in development of contractures among children enrolled in EI and/or FU programs was the GMFCS level (OR = 28.550, 95% CI 5.801–140.510,  $p = 0.002$ ) (Table 4).

## Discussion

In this study of EI and FU programs for children with CP in Moldova, we found that less than half of eligible children were enrolled in rehabilitation programs. This was regardless of social class (high or low income) and place of residence (rural or urban). The largest proportion of enrolled children was in those born extremely preterm (with GA  $\leq 31$ ). We found no difference in associated impairment outcomes between the groups enrolled or not enrolled in EI and/or FU programs. However, we found that among all children with CP, the risk of contractures and deformities was increased more than five times among those not enrolled, regardless of GMFCS level. When we stratified by GA categories, the results showed that among severely preterm babies, early rehabilitation was a strong protective factor regarding contractures and deformities.

The FU program at the Institute of Mother and Child was established in 2008, and the reference system was not established yet. This may contribute to explain the results of the first step of this study, showing low enrolment in the programs. Moreover, the specialists (physical therapists and paediatric neurologists) employed at the hospital department did not have the necessary evaluation tools and updated information. Revising the curricula of continuous medical education provided by the State Medical and Pharmacy University, 'N. Testimianu', would be of high impact.

Given that Institute of Mother and Child is a Republican hospital, most of the children across the country with severe disabilities are referred to it, and we assume that the biggest group of children with CP will receive services at this hospital, while the CEI Voinicel and two other centres (Tony Hawks Rehabilitation Centre and Republican Centre for Child Rehabilitation) cover Chisinau municipality.

The proportion of children with unilateral, ataxic and non-classified CP referred to EI and FU programs was significantly lower than those with more severe impairments. This might be explained by the mild clinical symptoms in the early life stages, as well as an insufficient level of competence in diagnosing unilateral CP among health care professionals. The use of the SCPE-recommended classification tree and inclusion and exclusion criteria could improve the number of children who would benefit from the EI or FU programs.

Of the children with spastic bilateral CP, more than half were enrolled in EI and/or FU programs, confirming the hypothesis that the obvious severe clinical manifestations at the early stage are the only reference points.

Approximately two thirds of children with severely impaired gross and fine motor function were referred to EI or FU programs, compared to ambulatory children with fairly good hand function (GMFCS and BFMF levels I–III), where only one third were enrolled. This could be explained again by the clinical manifestations, as well as the difficulties in diagnosing the milder forms (GMFCS and BFMF levels I–III).

The significant difference between the GA groups referred and not referred to EI and/or FU programs (preterm and term birth) could be explained by the fact that the FU program is based in the main hospital for children. Almost 90% of all children born preterm were referred directly from the maternity ward to the FU program, because it was a new entity at the hospital, established in 2008.

This may also be explained by the fact that children born after complicated deliveries (including severe prematurity) are referred from all over the country to the Institute of Mother and Child for diagnosis and treatment, so they were included in the FU program to prevent possible complications. However, only a very small proportion of children born at term, with neurological symptoms, were referred to the FU program.

One positive finding of the present study is that accessibility of both the EI and FU services was equal to families regardless of social status, as well as place of residence (rural or urban). The analyses showed that children with rural residence benefited from FU programs three times more often compared to EI. This is explained by the fact that the Institute of Mother and Child is a Republican hospital, but EI was only offered to families from Chisinau municipality, because CEI Voinicel was the only EI centre in Moldova in 2009–2012.

Two of six existing EI centres are run at a Republican level (III level), and four entities are based in Chisinau. We conclude that the number of centres that provide EI should be increased across the country, to improve the geographical accessibility for families of children with CP.

The results of the second step of the present study are in line with the results of international research, showing no statistically significant positive impact of EI services on the associated impairments of CP [9–11].

No statistically significant difference was found in cognitive functioning, gross and fine motor function, or speech between the children enrolled or not enrolled in EI and/or FU programs. However, a statistically significant difference was found in the prevalence of contractures and deformities among walking children and those with fairly good hand function (GMFCS and BFMF levels I–III) enrolled or not enrolled in EI or FU programs. This may suggest that the interventions both in FU and in EI programs contribute to preventing development of contractures. Contractures are significantly associated with negative development of gross motor function [22]. Therefore, prevention of contractures is important to enhance

gross motor function, which improves children's possibilities for participation in everyday activities and play, as well as exploration and interaction with the physical and social environment [23].

To be able to provide the right child the right intervention at the right time, correct sub-diagnosis and classification of functional level is crucial. It is therefore important for the authorities to revise the National Clinical Protocol of CP. The process of identification, diagnosis and evaluation of children with CP is likely to improve referral to EI or FU programs during the first three years of their lives, which is crucial for the whole family of children with special needs.

This also involves the best evidence-based knowledge of CP for the health care professionals involved in diagnosis and treatment of children with CP in Moldova. Revising the curricula of continuous medical education provided by the State Medical and Pharmacy University, 'N, Testimitanu', would have a high impact.

The results of the present study should be treated with caution because of the inclusion bias, where only the most severe cases were referred to the EI and FU programs.

## Conclusions

In Moldova, EI and FU programs seem to be offered mostly to extremely preterm children with CP, as well as to more severely impaired children, likely due to competence and capacity issues. Six centres are now providing early rehabilitation services in the central and northern parts of the country regions. However, since only half of eligible children were enrolled in EI or FU programs, there is still a need for more centres in all 36 counties of Moldova, in order to improve geographical access to these services.

Based on the results of the present study, we would also recommend paying more attention to enrolling all preterm and term-born children with neurological signs in EI programs, as those enrolled showed a significantly lower prevalence of contractures.

Revision of the National Clinical Protocol of CP with standardised and evidence-based instruments is necessary to secure early and accurate identification, diagnosis and evaluation of children with CP. To attain this, revision of the curriculum of continuous medical education provided by the State Medical and Pharmacy University, 'N, Testimitanu', would also have a strong impact.

## Abbreviations

BFMF: bimanual fine motor function

BW: birth weight

CP: cerebral palsy

EI: Early Intervention

FU: Follow-Up program

GA: gestational age

GMFCS: gross motor function classification system

IQ: intellectual quotient

SCPE: Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe.

## **Declarations**

### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to express our thanks to the Ministry of Health and administrative staff of the 'Institute of Mother and Child' Chisinau, Moldova, for providing access to the medical records saved in the hospital archives

We also would like to express our gratitude to Ala Jitarciuc, MD, PhD, Department of Follow-Up, Institute of Mother and Child, Chisinau, Moldova for providing data of children born in 2009-2010 included into Follow Up program.

### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The study was approved by the National Committee for Ethical Expertise in Clinical Trials (Nr. 266) and by the Centre of Early Intervention 'Voinicel' Ethical Committee (nr. 01/17). A statement of Agreement of Collaboration between the State Medical and Pharmacy University and the Institute of Mother and Child was signed, and finally, permission to conduct the study was obtained from the Ministry of Health (12th of July, nr. 08/88), from the Municipality Council of Social Protection, (13.07.2016, nr. 070117/957) and from the Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family of the Republic of Moldova.

Permission to access the children's data was obtained from the Republican Ethics Committee, The State Medical and Pharmacy Ethics Committee, Ministry of Health and Ministry of Labour and Social Protection and the Directors of the Main Hospital for Children in RM- Institute of Mother and Child.

Consent for publication – not applicable.

### **Availability of data and materials section**

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to the restrictions to share the data to the third parties, based on the Management Committee of the Institute of

Mother and Child decision -the hospital which provided the data (written permit from the Director of the hospital, where its specified that its forbidden to share the data to the third parties), but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### **Funding**

This study was supported by a student grant from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Norway and the NGO, AHEAD-Moldova, Norway, within the PhD program at Oslo Metropolitan University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Oslo, Norway.

### **Authors' contributions**

EGB designed the study, extracted and analysed the data, performed the statistical analyses, participated in drafting and improving the manuscript. RJ, GLA and LS contributed to the design and conceptualization of the study, provided critical input and oversight of the analyses of the data, and reviewed the manuscript draft. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

### **Authors' information**

Ecaterina Gincota Bufteac is a medical doctor at Voinicel Early Intervention Centre, Chisinau, Moldova and PhD candidate at Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway,

Guro L. Andersen is a paediatrician PhD, leader of the Cerebral Palsy Registry of Norway (CPRN) at Vestfold Hospital trust and associate professor at Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

Larisa Spinei is a MD PhD, professor, head of the Management and Psychology Department, "Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy of the Republic of Moldova, Chisinau, Moldova

Reidun Jahnsen is a physical therapist PhD, leader of the national CP surveillance program (CPOP) in Norway at Oslo University Hospital, and professor at University of Oslo, Institute of health and Society, Research Centre for Habilitation and Rehabilitation Models and Services (CHARM), Oslo, Norway.

## **References**

1. Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A et al. A report: the definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2007;49 Suppl 109:8-14. (Erratum in: *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2007;49:480)

2. Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe. Surveillance of cerebral palsy in Europe: a collaboration of cerebral palsy surveys and registers. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2000;42(12):816–824.
3. Shepherd R, editor. *Cerebral palsy in infancy.* Sydney, Australia: Churchill Livingstone; 2014.
4. Novak I. Evidence-based diagnosis, health care, and rehabilitation for children with cerebral palsy. *J Child Neurol.* 2014;29:1141–1156.
5. Shonkoff JP, Meidels SJ. *Handbook of early childhood intervention.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000
6. De Graaf-Peters VB, Hadders-Algra M. Ontogeny of the human central nervous system: what is happening when? *Early Hum Dev* 2006; 82: 257–66.
7. Morgan C, Darrach J, Gordon AM, et al. Effectiveness of motor interventions in infants with cerebral palsy: a systematic review. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2016;58:900–9
8. Guralnick MJ. Preventive interventions for preterm children: effectiveness and developmental mechanisms. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* 2012; 33: 352–64.
9. Ravn IH, Smith L, Lindemann R, et al. Effect of early intervention on social interaction between mothers and preterm infants at 12 months of age: a randomized controlled trial. *Infant Behav Dev* 2011; 34: 215–25.
10. Spittle A, Orton J, Anderson PJ, Boyd R, Doyle LW. Early developmental intervention programmes provided post hospital discharge to prevent motor and cognitive impairment in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015; 11: CD00549
11. Blauw-Hospers CH, Hadders-Algra M. A systematic review on the effects of early intervention on motor development. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2005; 47: 421–3
12. <http://statbank.statistica.md/pxweb/pxweb/en/30%20Statistica%20sociala/?rxid=b2ff27d7-0b96-43c9-934b-42e1a2a9a774> , Last accessed April 2019
13. Puiu I, Iatco C. "Elaboration of the model of early intervention services for children with disabilities in Republic of Moldova" , <http://www.cnaa.md/en/thesis/8655/>
14. National Program "Strengthening perinatal healthcare in the Republic of Moldova" consolidated by Government Decision no. 1171 of October 18, 1997 and Ministry of Health no. 58 of 25.02.1998 (1998-2002), "Promotion of quality perinatal services" (2003-2007) approved by Ministry of Health no. 185 of 18.06.2003 and "Modernization of the perinatal system of the Republic of Moldova" (2006-2014).
15. World Health Organisation. *International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision (ICD-10)* Geneva, World Health Organisation; 1992.
16. Palisano, R., Rosenbaum, P., Walter, S., Russell, D., Wood, E., & Galuppi, B. (1997). Development and reliability of a system to classify gross motor function in children with cerebral palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 39(4), 214-223. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.1997.tb07414.x
17. Beckung, E., & Hagberg, G. (2002). Neuroimpairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions in children with cerebral palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 44(5), 309-

316. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.2002.tb00816.x

18. Andersen, G. L., Irgens, L. M., Haagaas, I., Skranes, J. S., Meberg, A. E., & Vik, T. (2008). Cerebral palsy in Norway: Prevalence, subtypes and severity. *European Journal of Paediatric Neurology*, 12(1), 4-13. doi:10.1016/j.ejpn.2007.05.001
19. Gincota EB, Andersen GL, Vik T, Jahnsen R. Cerebral palsy in Moldova: subtypes, severity and associated impairments. *BMC Pediatrics* 2018 18:332 org/10.1186/s12887-018-1305-6.
20. Smith, L. R., Lee, K. S., Ward, S. R., Chambers, H. G., & Lieber, R. L. (2011). Hamstring contractures in children with spastic cerebral palsy result from a stiffer extracellular matrix and increased in vivo sarcomere length. *The Journal of physiology*, 589(Pt 10), 2625–2639. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2010.203364
21. Simeonsson R.J, Rosenthal S.L., Psychological & Developmental Assessment. *Development Assesment of Young Children (DAYC Evaluation)*. New York: Guilford Press, 2001, 386. ISBN 1-57230-645-9.
22. Størvold GV, Jahnsen RB, Evensen KAI, Romild UK, Bratberg GH. Factors Associated with Enhanced Gross Motor Progress in Children with Cerebral Palsy: A Register-Based Study. *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics*, 2018. doi.org/10.1080/01942638.2018.1462288
23. Storvold G.V., Jahnsen R.B., Evensen K.A.I., Bratberg G.H. Is increased physical therapy frequency associated with increased gross motor improvement in children with cerebral palsy? A national prospective cohort study. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2018 doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2018.1528635

## Tables

**Table 1. Enrolment into early intervention (EI) or follow-up program (FU) related to subtype of cerebral palsy (CP) according to Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe (SCPE)**

<b>Subtype of CP according to SCPE</b>	<b>No intervention n (%)</b>	<b>EI and/or FU program n (%)</b>	<b>Total n (%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Spastic unilateral	70 (77)	21 (23)	91 (100)	0.023
Spastic bilateral	79 (40)	117 (60)	196 (100)	
Dyskinetic	18 (47)	20 (53)	38 (100)	0.263
Ataxic	15 (71)	6 (29)	21 (100)	0.002
Not classified	3 (60)	2 (40)	5 (100)	0.071
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	351 (100)	

**Table 2. Enrolment into early intervention (EI) or follow-up program (FU) related to gross and fine motor function, gestational age and birth year**

<b>Gross and fine motor function and perinatal data categories</b>	No intervention n (%)	EI and/or FU program n (%)	Total n (%)	p-value
<b>GMFCS* categories I-III, IV-V</b>				
Level I,II,III	157 (65)	84 (35)	241	0.001
Level IV,V	28 (26)	82 (74)	(100)	
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	110	
<b>BFMF** categories I-II, III, IV-V</b>			(100)	
I-II	122 (68)	56 (32)	351	0.001
III	37 (47)	42 (53)	(100)	
IV-V	26 (28)	68 (72)		
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	178	
<b>GA*** in categories</b>			(100)	
<28 GW	1 (6)	16 (94)	79	0.042
28-31 weeks of gestation	4 (12)	30 (88)	(100)	
32-36 weeks of gestation	27 (54)	23 (46)	94	
>37 weeks of gestation	153 (61)	97 (39)	(100)	
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	351	
<b>Birth weight</b>			(100)	
<1000 g	1 (8)	11 (92)		0.038
1000-1499 g	4 (15)	23 (85)	17	
1500-2499 g	32 (45)	39 (55)	(100)	
>2500 g	148 (61)	93 (39)	34	
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	(100)	
<b>Year of birth</b>			50	
2009	77 (50)	78 (50)	(100)	0.053
2010	108 (55)	88 (45)	250	
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	(100)	
			351	
			(100)	
			12	
			(100)	
			27	
			(100)	
			71	
			(100)	
			241	
			(100)	

			351 (100)
			155 (100)
			196 (100)
			351 (100)

\*GMFCS = Gross Motor Function Classification System

\*\* BFMF = Bimanual Fine Motor Function

\*\*\* GA = Gestational age

**Table 3. Comparison of associated and secondary impairment outcomes between children enrolled or not enrolled in early intervention (EI) and/or follow-up (FU) programs**

<b>Associated impairments</b>	<b>No intervention n (%)</b>	<b>EI and/or FU program n (%)</b>	<b>Total n (%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b>Intellectual impairments</b>				
No	108 (63)	64 (37)	172 (100)	0.54
Yes	77 (43)	102 (57)	179 (100)	
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	351 (100)	
<b>Speech impairments</b>				
No	54 (67)	27 (33)	81 (100)	0.059
Mild impairment	80 (61)	52 (39)	132 (100)	
Severe impairment	51 (37)	87 (63)	138 (100)	
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	351 (100)	
<b>Visual impairments</b>				
No	156 (58)	113 (42)	269 (100)	0.078
Yes	29 (35)	53 (65)	82 (100)	
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	351 (100)	
<b>Contractures/deformities</b>				
No	121(46)	144 (54)	265 (100)	0.001
Yes	64 (74)	22 (26)	86 (100)	
Total	185 (53)	166 (47)	351 (100)	

**Table 4. Risk of developing contractures in children enrolled or not enrolled into EI and/or FU programs related to gestational age (GA), gross motor function (GMFCS) and hand function (BFMF)**

Logistic regression		Bivariate regression		Multivariate regression		
Contractures and deformities	OR	95% CI lower bound	95% CI upper bound p-value	OR	95% CI lower bound	95% CI upper bound p-value
EI and FU services	3.573	2.493	5.120 p=0.000	0.020	0.006	0.066 p=0.000
GMFCS* 2 categories	5.474	3.306	9.063 p=0.000	28.550	5.80	140.51 p=0.000
GMFCS I-III	1.677	1.426	1.972			
GMFCS IV-V	0.306	0.211	0.446			
BFMF** 2 categories	4.570	2.728	7.658 p=0.001	1.876	0.488	7.218 p=0.360
BFMF I-III	1.502	1.301	1.734			
BFMF IV-V	0.329	0.221	0.489			
GA***2 categories	0.294	0.180	0.480 p=0.001	0.328	0.174	0.617 p=0.001
GA <37 weeks	0.416	0.289	0.598			
GA ≥ 37 weeks	1.415	1.225	1.635			

\* Gross Motor Function Classification System

\*\* Bimanual Fine Motor Function

\*\*\* Gestational age