

# Exploring the effectiveness of dextroamphetamine for the treatment of stimulant use disorder: a qualitative study with injectable opioid agonist treatment patients

Heather Palis (✉ [hpalis@cheos.ubc.ca](mailto:hpalis@cheos.ubc.ca))

The University of British Columbia <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8570-5717>

**Kirsten Marchand**

University of British Columbia

**Gerald Spike Peachey**

Providence Health Care

**Jordan Westfall**

Canadian Association for Safe Supply

**Kurt Lock**

Centre for Health Evaluation and Outcome Sciences

**Scott MacDonald**

Providence Health Care

**Jennifer Jun**

Providence Health Care

**Anna Bojanczyk-Shibata**

Providence Health Care

**Scott Harrison**

Providence Health Care

**David C Marsh**

Northern Ontario School of Medicine - West Campus: Northern Ontario School of Medicine

**Martin T Schecther**

UBC: The University of British Columbia

**Eugenia Oviedo-Joekes**

UBC: The University of British Columbia

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** stimulant use disorder, cocaine use, methamphetamine use, dextroamphetamine, injectable opioid agonist treatment

**Posted Date:** April 12th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-404459/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

**Background:** A high proportion of people receiving both oral and injectable opioid agonist treatment report concurrent use of stimulants (i.e. cocaine and or amphetamines), which has been associated with higher rates of continued illicit opioid use and treatment dropout. A recent randomized controlled trial demonstrated the effectiveness of dextroamphetamine (a prescribed stimulant) at reducing craving for and use of cocaine among patients receiving injectable opioid agonist treatment. Following this evidence, dextroamphetamine has been prescribed to patients with stimulant use disorder at a clinic in Vancouver. This study investigates perceptions of the effectiveness of dextroamphetamine from the perspective of these patients.

**Methods:** Data were collected using small focus groups and one-on-one interviews with patients who were currently or formerly receiving dextroamphetamine (n=20). Thematic analysis was conducted using an iterative approach, moving between data collection and analysis to search for patterns in the data across transcripts. This process led to the defining and naming of three central themes responding to the research question.

**Results:** Participants reported a range of stimulant use types, including cocaine (n=8), methamphetamine (n=8), or both (n=4). Three central themes were identified as relating to participants' perceptions of the effectiveness of the medication: 1) achieving a substitution effect (i.e. extent to which dextroamphetamine provided a substitution for the effect they received from use of illicit stimulants); 2) Reaching a preferred dose (i.e. speed of titration and effect of the dose received); and 3) Ease of medication access (i.e. preference for take home doses (i.e. carries) vs. medication integrated into care at the clinic).

**Conclusion:** In the context of continued investigation of pharmacological treatments for stimulant use disorder, the present study has highlighted how the study of clinical outcomes could be extended to account for factors that contribute to perceptions of effectiveness from the perspective of patients. In practice, elements of treatment delivery (e.g. dosing and dispensation protocols) can be adjusted to allow for various scenarios (e.g. on site vs. take home dosing) by which dextroamphetamine and other pharmacological stimulants could be implemented to provide "effective" treatment for people with a wide range of treatment goals and needs.

## 1.0 Background

Injectable opioid agonist treatment (iOAT) with either hydromorphone (an opioid analgesic) or diacetylmorphine (pharmaceutical-grade heroin) is an effective treatment for opioid use disorder among people not benefitting from oral opioid agonist treatments (OAT)[1, 2]. A high proportion of people receiving both oral and injectable OAT have been found to report concurrent use of stimulants (i.e. cocaine and or amphetamines)[3–5]. While OAT has not been associated with increases in illicit

stimulant use, reductions overall remain modest and the ongoing use of illicit stimulants in OAT has been associated with higher rates of continued illicit opioid use and treatment dropout[6, 7].

While a number of clinical trials have investigated various pharmacological treatments for stimulant use disorder, many of these trials have had small sample sizes and high treatment discontinuation rates, and thus have not concluded overall effectiveness[8, 9]. Nevertheless, the Cochrane Collaboration's review of psychostimulant drugs for the treatment of cocaine use disorder concluded that promising although modest results existed for dextroamphetamine (a pharmacological stimulant)[8]. As such, in 2016, a double-blind randomized controlled trial was conducted in the Netherlands to investigate the effectiveness of dextroamphetamine for the treatment of cocaine use disorder among iOAT patients[10]. Based on the primary outcome of abstinence (i.e. no use of illicit stimulants), the authors concluded effectiveness of the medication compared to placebo. The authors also considered secondary outcomes, including cravings, days of cocaine use (to measure reductions in use where abstinence was not achieved), and health and psychosocial outcomes[11].

The transition toward incorporating a diverse range of outcome measures in the study of treatments for stimulant use disorder has been acknowledged in recent literature in the field. For example, a systematic review concluded that abstinence as a primary study endpoint may not reflect patient treatment goals for patients with stimulant use disorder and therefore does not reflect a sensitive marker of clinically meaningful change in substance use[12]. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis of prescription psychostimulants for the treatment of stimulant use disorder found these medications to be efficacious for the treatment of cocaine use disorder when provided in higher doses[13].

Following the evidence from the Netherlands, dextroamphetamine prescribing was integrated into standard of care for patients with stimulant use disorder in an iOAT clinic in Vancouver (Canada) in 2018. This is the first time to our knowledge that dextroamphetamine has been offered to iOAT patients outside of clinical trial, and represents the first clinical setting in North America to routinely offer dextroamphetamine as a treatment for stimulant use disorder alongside treatment for opioid use disorder. Given the modest effects of prescribed stimulants to date, and continued study of pharmacological stimulants, it is important to consider the nuances of how the medication works for each patient. Since there is little empirical and clinical literature on dextroamphetamine in the context of opioid use disorder, there is still much to know about how the medication works and an important first step is to discuss treatment experiences with patients.

Dextroamphetamine prescribing in this iOAT clinic offers a unique opportunity to capture patient perceptions of the medication. The study inquired about patients' experiences receiving dextroamphetamine for the treatment of stimulant use disorder, accounting for their views of both the medication itself and the way it was delivered (process of delivery) with the intention of understanding how these experiences impacted on their perceptions of its effectiveness. The central research question investigated was: "What experiences influence patients' perceptions of dextroamphetamine as an effective medication for the treatment of stimulant use disorder?"

## 2.0 Methods

### 2.1 Sample and setting

Participants of the present study had formerly taken part in the Research on the Utilization of Therapeutic Hydromorphone (RUTH) (2016–2019) study which investigated the treatment experiences and outcomes of patients receiving iOAT at a community clinic in Vancouver [14]. Participants visited this clinic daily to receive iOAT for the treatment of opioid use disorder, and beginning in 2018 patients who reported cocaine and/or methamphetamine use were offered and prescribed (if desired) dextroamphetamine for the treatment of stimulant use disorder. RUTH participants who consented to being contacted for future studies and who reported illicit stimulant use (i.e. crack cocaine, cocaine powder or methamphetamine) were eligible to take part in the study. Participants were recruited by their preferred method of contact as reported in the RUTH study (either by telephone or in person).

### 2.2 Data collection

Focus groups and one-on-one interviews were conducted between December 2019 - December 2020. Given little existing data on the subject matter, data collection began with small focus groups (n=3 groups with 8 participants total) to explore participants' experiences receiving the medication. Discussions were semi-structured, and focused on two subject areas: a) the medication itself; and b) the process of medication delivery. Focus group participants were sampled to represent a range of illicit stimulant use profiles (i.e. cocaine vs. crystal methamphetamine, injection vs. smoked) and engagement with dextroamphetamine (current vs. former) to capture perceptions from people with a diversity of experiences receiving dextroamphetamine[15]. Following the focus groups, one-on-one interviews were conducted with participants who had not taken part in the focus groups, to further explore perceptions of the medication and process of delivery. Interviews continued (n=12) until all eligible participants who could be reached were included in the final sample (n=20).

Data collection began in-person at a confidential research office that was familiar to participants and in close proximity to the iOAT clinic, including all 3 focus groups, and the first 4 interviews. Due to COVID-19 physical distancing protocols, after March 2020, all remaining data collection (n=8 interviews) was conducted by telephone. Given the interviewer's pre-existing rapport with participants, the transition to telephone interviews did not seem to impact the quality of the information collected (i.e. flow of conversation, coverage of questions). Focus groups lasted on average 45 minutes, and interviews had an average duration of 25 minutes. Both focus groups and interviews were audio recorded. Recordings were transcribed verbatim and identifying details were removed. All participants were compensated \$30. Ethical approval was provided by the University of British Columbia/Providence Health Care Behavioural Research Ethics Board (H19-02514) and participants provided written informed consent prior to beginning data collection.

### 2.3 Data analysis

Thematic analysis [16] was selected given the goal of identifying, analysing and reporting on patterns (themes) in the data across participants who had received dextroamphetamine. Prior to conducting analyses, transcripts were reviewed to gain familiarity with the content. Analysis began with an inductive, data-driven approach, whereby codes were attached to the data to directly reflect participants' words, rather than applying a pre-existing coding framework. Coding was conducted by author HP who has extensive experience collecting and analyzing qualitative research data with patients in the iOAT setting[17-19]. Data collection and analysis were iterative, where initial codes generated from analysis of the focus group data offered insights into important areas of further inquiry for subsequent interviews. Team members met throughout the stages of analysis to discuss the coded content and to inform next steps in data collection. For example, in the focus group participants discussed their motivations for street stimulant use as related to their experiences receiving dextroamphetamine. After consultation between team members (HP, EOJ, GP, DSM), the decision was made to incorporate questioning about participants' motivations for street stimulant use into following interviews. The approach of constant comparison was applied throughout the stages of coding, comparing new data to existing data, and creating new codes where data did not fit existing codes. Initial codes were raised to themes by searching for patterns in the data across all transcripts and by reviewing analytic memos where reflections on these patterns were documented. This process led to the defining and naming of three central themes responding to the research question. All data analysis were conducted in NVivo 12[20].

## **3.0 Results**

### **3.1 Participants' stimulant use profiles:**

Participants were sampled from the RUTH study, which represented a cohort of people who had reported on average over 15 years of illicit stimulant use (i.e. cocaine and/or crystal methamphetamine) at baseline prior to initiating iOAT [5]. Table 1 displays the demographic and stimulant use profiles of participants. Following the sampling strategy, a diversity of stimulant use profiles are represented. The sample also had a broad range of self-reported exposure to the medication (reported to range from approximately 1 week to approximately 3 years total), and included people currently (n=7) and formerly (n=13) receiving dextroamphetamine. Of those who had discontinued dextroamphetamine, some had an interest in receiving it again in the future, while others did not. Five of these 13 participants had transitioned to receiving another prescribed stimulant (methylphenidate (Ritalin)) which was offered for patients not responding to dextroamphetamine.

#### **Table 1: Participants' demographic and stimulant use profiles**

	N=20
	N (%)
<b>Gender</b>	
Man	17 (85.0)
Woman	3 (15.0)
Average age (years)	53.65 ±7.84
<b>Illicit stimulant use profiles at time of dextroamphetamine initiation</b>	
<b>Any Cocaine use</b>	12 (60.0)
Crack cocaine smoked	5 (41.6)
Cocaine powder injected	7 (58.3)
<b>Crystal methamphetamine use</b>	12 (60.0)
Crystal methamphetamine smoked	1 (8.3)
Crystal methamphetamine injected	11 (91.7)

**Footnote:** Note, 4 participants reported injection of both cocaine and methamphetamine

**3.2 Findings of thematic analysis:** There were three central themes identified as related to participants' experiences receiving dextroamphetamine which influenced their perceptions of this medication as an effective treatment for stimulant use disorder. These were: (1) achieving a substitution effect; (2) reaching an adequate dose; and (3) ease of medication access.

**3.2.1 Achieving a substitution effect:** Participants sought a range of effects from the use of illicit stimulants. Perceptions of dextroamphetamine as an effective treatment for stimulant use disorder were impacted by the extent to which it was seen to provide a substitution for the effect they received from the illicit stimulant they were using. For example, one participant who had previously attempted dextroamphetamine but was no longer receiving it said:

*"If it [Dextroamphetamine] is going to be a maintenance drug it would have to have the ability to take the place of the drug you are trying to get people to stop using."- Jason, 40 year old man*

The most commonly sought effects from dextroamphetamine was a boost of energy, alertness, or focus. Among many participants for whom the intended effect was a boost of energy, dextroamphetamine was reported to offer this effect. For example, one participant who reported injecting methamphetamine daily to help with wakefulness described that he gained this effect from dextroamphetamine:

*"I wanted to get off of jib [crystal methamphetamine], or at least slow down. I have sleep apnea really bad and I need to stay awake and alert, that's why I was taking jib, just to stay awake. I used*

*Dextroamphetamine instead. It did what I wanted it to do, it kept me awake when I wanted to be awake, for me it is like a win win situation.” – William, 62-year-old man*

For some participants, the desired energy was sought to support them in completing tasks and gaining focus that they otherwise struggled to find in the absence of the medication. This was discussed in the context of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) by a few participants, who described feeling that dextroamphetamine helped to “*slow things down*” or to provide “*focus*” (Jennifer- 43-year-old woman). One participant who had been regularly using cocaine and methamphetamine for many years described:

*“It helps me to keep my thoughts focused and not scrambled, I am able to retain information, clean the house, just doing chores, you are a little more focused and you feel like you know what has got to be done and you just do it. [Before] I would not have had any interest in doing that, the difference now is it [dextroamphetamine] gives me what I need to speed up, to wake up and get with the program. The dexy [dextroamphetamine] seems to help me pull it all together. It kind of picks you up to a normal pace I don't feel like I am going to fall asleep I don't feel like I am gonna be wide awake I just feel like I am ok...I am not like lost in the fog anymore, I pulled out of the fog. I am awake.”- Dean, 59-year-old man*

For some participants, dextroamphetamine was sought to support them in managing cravings for illicit stimulants. This was particularly true for people who were looking to fully stop or quit their illicit stimulant use. For some participants, cravings were managed to the extent that they no longer had an interest in the feeling provided by street stimulant use. For example, one participant who had been smoking crack cocaine daily described that the “*taste*” or craving for use was stopped by dextroamphetamine:

*“It [dextroamphetamine] curbed the thriving for it [crack cocaine], you know. I was using it just to cut down on craving. Doing crack [cocaine] while you are on it doesn't feel right it just turns me right off it. Dextroamphetamine kills the taste for it [crack cocaine]...I just want to get off it [crack cocaine]. All my money went to it- everything that I made went into it, I worked for it, I gave everything to it, and now I just want off it.” – Elijah, 52-year-old man*

For participants for whom the intended effect of their stimulants was to *get a “rush” or feeling of “euphoria”*, dextroamphetamine did not provide a substitution effect. This was often discussed by referencing the preference for a short acting stimulant, where dextroamphetamine, because of its long-acting nature, was not seen as a “*viable substitute*” (Jason- 40-year-old man). For example, one participant who injected cocaine daily reported that the effect he gained from dextroamphetamine was not comparable to that of cocaine:

*“It [cocaine] is like almost a rush of energy running through my body right. It is very euphoric and very addictive. My biggest problem with getting amphetamines for replacement is that they have too much of an afterlife right. I like cocaine because it's short lived. I am high as a kite and I am down and normal in an hour, you wouldn't even know I did it an hour later, but speed [dextroamphetamine] and all the other*

*substitutes have a long half-life and it takes a couple hours to hit you and then it lasts another half evening and then you still feel the effects the next day.” -Leonard, 51-year-old man*

**3.2.2. Reaching a preferred dose:** The extent to which dextroamphetamine was seen as an effective treatment for stimulant use disorder was tied closely to patients’ dose preferences. The speed with which the dose was increased (titration) and the maximum dose received were both important contributors to dose preferences. For some participants, these two things were closely connected, where they did not reach a dose that was high enough from their perspective, because the starting dose was not enough to attract them to the medication or to lead them to believe that it could possibly have any effect for them. Upon reflection (particularly in focus groups where people heard from their peers) some participants reconsidered and reported wanting to try dextroamphetamine again at a higher dose or give it a chance for a longer period of time.

The dosing protocol at Crosstown Clinic involved prescribed daily doses up to 120mg, 60 mg dispensed twice daily. Many participants made comparisons between the potential effect of this dose as compared to the quantity of methamphetamine or cocaine they currently used. Some participants viewed the maximum dose of 120mg as inadequate. In this context, sometimes the desire for a higher dose was discussed, while for others the preference for an instant release formulation medication was discussed. For example, one participant who reached the 120mg daily dose reported that he still did not achieve the effect he was seeking, and did not see dextroamphetamine as a suitable substitute:

*“I want like a powerful stimulant that I guess keeps me awake and energized and makes me do my weird repetitive behaviors. I couldn’t picture going and asking the doctor to give me from like 10 pills to 35 dextroamphetamine pills or something. That is what the dose would need to be to maybe be [what I need]. It would obviously come to a point where it would have an effect but I don’t want to be like having to take a ridiculous amount of pills. I wish Adderall had been covered so I could have been given a chance to try that just to see, I have a feeling that might have been a lot more effective” – Jason, 40-year-old man*

It is important to note that communication with the prescriber when beginning the prescription was important to reaching an effective dose. This was challenging for patients who reported not regularly engaging in iOAT, or for people who were managing other concerns related to their health. For example, one participant who injected crystal methamphetamine multiple times daily described not having regular check-ins with his prescriber and stopping the medication prior to reaching an effective dose:

*“I should have told them [prescriber] that it wasn’t enough but I went long periods without seeing them...I never actually approached them and said I want you to up the dose...I think it could be a positive thing if you get enough, for other people I have heard lots of good things. I would try it again because I would like to get off speed [crystal methamphetamine].” -Jeremy, 51-year-old man*

Participants also discussed the speed of the titration protocol and suggested regular check-ins during the first few days on the medication to help ensure there would not be a preference to return to street stimulant use. Participants recommended starting at a higher dose (e.g. 30mg twice per day rather than

15 mg twice per day), one that was “*enough to make a difference- to prevent them [the patient] from running out of the place and going to buy some dope*” (Michael- 66-year-old man) and titrating up faster to ensure the patient did not become “*disinterested*”. One participant who was currently receiving dextroamphetamine reported that he only gained benefit from the medication when he reached the maximum dose of 60mg twice per day:

*“For a while there I was thinking I might as well just quit it [Dextroamphetamine]. I started at 15mgs twice a day... that was too low of a dose, I didn’t know it was gonna really work you know like I knew I was cutting back a little bit but I couldn’t see it to a means to an end. I went and started off with 2, and then went 2 more. When I got on 3 two times a day that started to help quite a bit, I didn’t need the jib [crystal methamphetamine], and it didn’t interfere with my sleep. Now I get 4 twice a day and it is enough to keep me going and it is not interfering with my sleep at all so it is working for me, but I think people should start off on a better dose.”*

*-Aaron, 71-year-old man*

**3.2.3 Ease of medication access:** Overall, experiences relating to the ease with which the medication could be accessed were described to influence perceptions of the medication. Participants had diverse goals and different preferences for the level of engagement they had with their OAT and stimulant treatment. Some participants were content with the integrated model of delivery, where the medication was offered within the iOAT clinic. Participants saw this as a convenient form of medication delivery and appreciated the medication reminders and dispensation from the on site pharmacy. One participant who had been visiting the clinic daily for many years outlined the ease of access from her prescriber, and the convenience of having access to dextroamphetamine at the same location and at the same time as her other medication:

*“I liked the convenience of it. The fact that you could get it when you get your other meds. Like I didn’t have to go somewhere separate for it. It wasn’t very difficult for me to get it from my doctor.” – Elizabeth, 49-year-old woman*

Other participants reported the reliability and consistency of access to the medication, for example having the option to take the medication on days when they wanted and to leave it when they did not. Participants reported comfort in knowing that taking a break from the medication for a period of time would not hurt the possibility of receiving it in the future. For example, one participant who had been smoking crack cocaine for many years reported changing events in his life, deciding to return to using crack cocaine stating that the cocaine was the “*only thing in my life keeping me sane*”. He reported that he would like to try dextroamphetamine again in the future:

*“I stopped taking it a while ago, I was taking it but at this point in my life I am not trying to curb my crack intake...I probably will try it again... I have an open script with it so anytime I want to start it up again I just go and talk to the doctor and he will start writing it up again so that has been nice, so anytime I want it it’s there, and knowing that it’s there is a big plus.”*

- Matthew, 55-year-old man

Many participants described wanting to have access to take home dose (i.e. carries) of dextroamphetamine. This was seen to impact on the potential effectiveness of the medication, given for many people the timing of their craving for illicit stimulants did not line up with the timing with which they were able to receive the medication at the clinic, for example one participant shared *“my crack ridges [cravings] don't follow any schedule”* (Matthew, 55 year-old man). Furthermore, participants engaged in different activities outside of the clinic each day, and for many, further flexibility in the delivery of this medication would be required in order to allow dextroamphetamine to fit into those routines. For example, one participant outlined that he would only see benefit from the medication when he had control over when he took it, and taking it at the same time as his iOAT dose was not always preferred:

*“If they give them [dextroamphetamine pills] to me [to take home] I would take them when I need them instead of taking them for the sake of taking them. They have certain times they hand them out and I don't need them right then. Sometimes when I need them, I don't have anything to get me to where I want to be and I have to wait until the next time, whereas if I was given them I could take them when I need them... Sometimes at night I could use more, not always, but if I am doing something, I might want to have some at night.*

- Dean, 59-year-old man

Furthermore, one participant described that each day was a little different for him, where he might want to change the timing of dose administration depending on his work and sleep schedule. He also spoke that medication diversion was not something he would consider and should not serve as a barrier to carries:

*“It [dextroamphetamine] works better if you can take it when you need it. Sometimes I am tired and can't stay up it is nice to have it so I can keep it on me for when I need it. It keeps me awake for work right, and I work 3 days on and 3 off. And that's what the carry does too, on my days off maybe I want to take it a little bit later if I want to have a nap and take it when I wake up. You know there is no reason why I could not take it for a month and quite easily dose myself. It's not something I would sell; I work to make money and it's just for my personal use. My body knows when I need it without having to walk down to the same place [iOAT clinic] every day just to get it.” - William, 62-year-old man*

## 4.0 Discussion

In this study, three central themes were identified as influencing participants' perceptions of dextroamphetamine as an effective treatment for stimulant use disorder. These themes extend beyond traditional operationalizations of effectiveness in the study of pharmacological treatment for stimulant use disorder, which have often been focused on the pharmacological action of the medication and its impact on achieving abstinence[8, 9], and in some cases extend to consideration of secondary outcomes including reduced craving, and improved health and psychosocial outcomes[11]. In the present study, motivations for illicit stimulant use were diverse, and perceptions of effectiveness of dextroamphetamine

were influenced not by the achievement of abstinence, but by a number of other factors, including the extent to which this medication could provide a substitute for the effects that the illicit stimulant offered.

Participants who reported using illicit stimulants to gain a boost of energy in many cases reported that dextroamphetamine provided a substitute for these effects. This was true in the context of concurrent diagnosis of ADHD, where a few participants reported achieving improved alertness, and focus from dextroamphetamine. This is consistent with prior clinical trials, where dextroamphetamine has been shown to reduce cravings and use of cocaine, and to improve ADHD symptoms when prescribed to people with concurrent ADHD and cocaine use disorder[21]. Given the high rates of ADHD among people with stimulant use disorder[22, 23], and the indication of dextroamphetamine for the treatment of ADHD, ADHD screening could be incorporated into assessments for patients seeking treatment for stimulant use disorder with potential for dual benefits.

Beyond the desire for a boost of energy, many participants reported presenting to treatment with the goal of managing their cravings for illicit stimulant use. For some participants, dextroamphetamine was seen to be effective at reducing craving. This finding has been reflected in prior clinical trials, where subjective craving scores have been reduced among people receiving dextroamphetamine (compared to placebo) for both cocaine [24, 25] and amphetamine use disorder[26]. Lastly, some patients reported the euphoric effect or “rush” as their motivation for illicit stimulant use. In the present study, patients received extended-release dextroamphetamine. Extended-release stimulants are less likely to produce subjective effects compared to short-acting or instant release prescription stimulants, and can also decrease the euphoriant and reinforcing effects of (illicit) stimulant use due to cross-tolerance[27]. As such, for patients for whom the goal of illicit stimulant use was to achieve a euphoric effect, dextroamphetamine did not provide an effective “substitute”. As with treatment of other substance use disorders, not everyone will be engaged by currently available (while limited) treatments, and some will continue to use illicit stimulants. Nevertheless, in the absence of availability of alternative medications, the potential benefit of dextroamphetamine remains among people who continue to use illicit substances to achieve euphoric effects. For example, dextroamphetamine could support patients to reduce the frequency of illicit stimulant use [10, 11].

Another important contributor to perceptions of the effectiveness of dextroamphetamine in the present study was the dose received. Participants all received dextroamphetamine following a standard dosing protocol, beginning at 15mg twice per day, and titrating up as tolerated. For many patients there was no perceived effect until a dose of up 90 or 120mg was reached. Communication with the prescriber in this process was found to be important to remaining engaged with the treatment. This finding is consistent with what is known from prior studies on the delivery of other treatments for substance use disorder such as opioid agonist treatment, where regular check-ins with the prescriber during titration are important to foster discussions about expectations of the medication (e.g. potential effects, side-effects) [28–30]. Patients in the present study received a maximum daily dose of 120mg (60mg twice per day).

This follows from recent advances and conclusions drawn from the literature, for example, where a recent meta-analysis has revealed positive outcomes for patients receiving robust doses (defined as at least 60mg/day)[13]. In studies where dextroamphetamine has been provided at lower fixed doses (e.g. maximum of either 30 or 60mg/day) higher dropout rates and poorer outcomes have been reported [31] compared to in studies where higher doses have been offered (e.g. up to 110mg/day)[32]. As known from the delivery of other pharmacological treatments such as oral methadone, while there are standardized thresholds for optimal dosing, positive outcomes are promoted when prescribers work with each patient to meet their personalized dosing needs[33].

Further to the medication dose, the ease with which patients could access dextroamphetamine also impacted on perceptions of the effectiveness of this medication. For example, some participants outlined the convenience of the on-site medication at the iOAT clinic. In prior studies, oral and injectable OAT clinics have been highlighted as suitable environments for the delivery of dextroamphetamine, whereby allied health professionals, including pharmacists, have a role to play in providing patients with medication reminders to promote adherence [10]. In this study however, the daily observed dispensation was seen to limit the potential effectiveness of the medication for many participants, by regimenting when the medication could be taken. For example, Dean reported wanting to take dextroamphetamine in line with his cravings, which did not always align with the time he visited the clinic for his iOAT dose. Similarly, William reported wanting to take the medication at different times each day depending on his work and sleep schedule. These findings suggest that where dextroamphetamine is delivered, flexibility around the timing of dose administration will be important to better engaging patients, particularly given the wide heterogeneity in substance use patterns, including different types of stimulant use, routes of administration, and frequencies of use [34].

This heterogeneity in stimulant use profiles provides further justification for considering diverse approaches to delivery of dextroamphetamine in order to best respond to patients' preferences. In this study, there was a preference among many participants for access to take-home (i.e. carries) doses. The provision of take-home doses of pharmacological treatments for substance use disorder have been greatly limited as a means of reducing possible medication diversion and promoting safety [35]. These restrictions directly affect the approach that can be taken with patients receiving dextroamphetamine in order to increase its uptake and effectiveness. In the context of COVID-19, there are increasing examples of the implementation of take-home doses being provided in the context of substance use disorder treatment to mitigate risks. If these measures are feasible and effective during COVID-19, implementation can continue post-COVID-19. For example, Switzerland offers up to seven days of take-home doses of iOAT[36], and take-home doses have also been provided in this study's iOAT clinic to patients who are self-isolating[37]. Furthermore, in response to patient preferences, clinical protocols have been recently adjusted in the present study setting, allowing patients to take home their second dextroamphetamine dose of the day. Providing effective, patient-centered approaches to care means patient preferences must be acknowledged and incorporated into care[29, 38]. In the present study, and in the present moment of overlapping dual public health crises of overdose and COVID-19, there is an overwhelming patient

preference for, and opportunity to offer and implement take-home dosing to meet patient needs and improve medication uptake and effectiveness.

There are a number of important limitations of the present study that must be considered in interpreting findings. First, this analysis was conducted with a very unique and specific sample of people with concurrent opioid and stimulant use disorder diagnoses, who were receiving treatment with dextroamphetamine and who had been regularly engaged in treatment at an iOAT clinic. Findings may not be generalizable to people with different demographic profiles (e.g. younger age) receiving treatment in other settings. Second, the sample includes only a small number of women, which reflects the underrepresentation of women in the iOAT study setting[18]. As this medication expands to other settings, further work can be done to identify potential gender-differences in preferences for the delivery of dextroamphetamine. Third, participants were eligible for inclusion in the study based on ever having attempted dextroamphetamine. As such, participants included in the study represent people who have had a wide range of exposure to the medication which could impact on the diversity of overall experiences and perceptions of effectiveness. Fourth, while many clinical trials have investigated the effectiveness of this medication separately among people with cocaine or methamphetamine use disorder, participants in the present study reported cocaine and/or methamphetamine use. Nevertheless, a prior qualitative study investigating patients' illicit stimulant use in this study setting (iOAT clinic) found no differences across themes by reported illicit stimulant use type. Lastly, the present study includes only participants who remained engaged with the iOAT clinic and thus the perspective of people who received dextroamphetamine but were not well engaged in iOAT are not represented.

## 5.0 Conclusion

In the context of continued investigation of pharmacological treatments for stimulant use disorder, the present study has highlighted how the study of clinical outcomes could be extended to account for factors that contribute to perceptions of effectiveness from the perspective of patients. This could include a movement beyond a focus on the pharmacological medication itself (e.g. which medications are the "most" effective) to considering how the delivery of each of these medications could be adapted to better meet patients' needs and preferences. Patients in both clinical trial and community treatment settings could benefit when researchers and clinicians consider broadening the operationalization of what makes an "effective" treatment. In practice, elements of treatment delivery (e.g. dosing and dispensation protocols) can be adjusted to allow for various scenarios (e.g. on site vs. take home dosing) by which dextroamphetamine and other pharmacological stimulants could be implemented to provide "effective" treatment for people with a wide range of treatment goals and needs.

## Abbreviations

ADHD: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder

OAT: opioid agonist treatment

iOAT: injectable opioid agonist treatment

RUTH: Research on the Utilization of Therapeutic Hydromorphone

## Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate:** Ethical approval was provided by the University of British Columbia/Providence Health Care Behavioural Research Ethics Board (H19-02514). Participants provided written informed consent prior to beginning data collection.

**Consent for publication:** no individual details or data are presented.

**Availability of data and material:** All data is in the form of transcripts from interviews and focus groups. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

**Competing interests:** None

**Funding:** Funding support was provided by the Providence Health Care Knowledge Translation Challenge.

**Authors' contributions:** HP, KM, KL carried out recruitment and data collection. EOJ, HP, KM, GP Contributed to the design of the work. GP, JW, SM, JJ, AB, SH, DCM, MTS, Contributed to interpretation of the data. HP drafted the work and all authors have substantially revised it.

**Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank the participants for their time. We acknowledge and Ms. Aggie Black, Ms. Wilma Chang, at Providence Health Care (PHC) for their contributions to our team's work as part of the PHC Knowledge Translation Challenge. We also acknowledge Mr. Sean Sinden (Manager, Communications and Knowledge Translation- Centre for Health Evaluation and Outcome Sciences), for his commitment to supporting our team's knowledge dissemination efforts.

## References

1. Oviedo-Joekes E, Guh D, Brissette S, Marchand K, MacDonald S, Lock K, Harrison S, Janmohamed A, Anis AH, Krausz M *et al*: **Hydromorphone Compared With Diacetylmorphine for Long-term Opioid Dependence: A Randomized Clinical Trial**. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2016, **73**(5):447-455.
2. Ferri M, Davoli M, Perucci CA: **Heroin maintenance for chronic heroin dependents**. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005(2):CD003410.
3. Cone EJ: **Oral fluid results compared to self reports of recent cocaine and heroin use by methadone maintenance patients**. *Forensic Sci Int* 2012, **215**(1-3):88-91.
4. Raffa JD, Grebely J, Tossonian H, Wong T, Viljoen M, Khara M, Mead A, McLean M, Duncan F, Petkau AJ *et al*: **The impact of ongoing illicit drug use on methadone adherence in illicit drug users receiving**

- treatment for HIV in a directly observed therapy program.** *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2007, **89**(2-3):306-309.
5. Oviedo-Joekes E, Palis H, Guh D, Marchand K, Brissette S, Lock K, MacDonald S, Harrison S, Anis AH, Krausz M *et al*: **Characteristics and response to treatment among Indigenous people receiving injectable diacetylmorphine or hydromorphone in a randomised controlled trial for the treatment of long-term opioid dependence.** *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2017.
  6. Marsden J, Eastwood B, Bradbury C, Dale-Perera A, Farrell M, Hammond P, Knight J, Randhawa K, Wright C: **Effectiveness of community treatments for heroin and crack cocaine addiction in England: a prospective, in-treatment cohort study.** *Lancet* 2009.
  7. Blanken P, van den Brink W, Hendriks VM, Huijsman IA, Klous MG, Rook EJ, Wakelin JS, Barendrecht C, Beijnen JH, van Ree JM: **Heroin-assisted treatment in the Netherlands: History, findings, and international context.** *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 2010, **20 Suppl 2**:S105-158.
  8. Castells X, Cunill R, Perez-Mana C, Vidal X, Capella D: **Psychostimulant drugs for cocaine dependence.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016, **9**:CD007380.
  9. Perez-Mana C, Castells X, Torrens M, Capella D, Farre M: **Efficacy of psychostimulant drugs for amphetamine abuse or dependence.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013(9):CD009695.
  10. Nuijten M, Blanken P, van de Wetering B, Nuijen B, van den Brink W, Hendriks VM: **Sustained-release dexamfetamine in the treatment of chronic cocaine-dependent patients on heroin-assisted treatment: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.** *Lancet* 2016.
  11. Blanken P, Nuijten M, van den Brink W, Hendriks VM: **Clinical effects beyond cocaine use of sustained-release dexamfetamine for the treatment of cocaine dependent patients with comorbid opioid dependence: secondary analysis of a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial.** *Addiction* 2019.
  12. Siefried KJ, Acheson LS, Lintzeris N, Ezard N: **Pharmacological Treatment of Methamphetamine/Amphetamine Dependence: A Systematic Review.** *CNS Drugs* 2020, **34**(4):337-365.
  13. Tardelli VS, Bisaga A, Arcadepani FB, Gerra G, Levin FR, Fidalgo TM: **Prescription psychostimulants for the treatment of stimulant use disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** In: *Psychopharmacology (Berl). Volume 237*, edn. Germany; 2020: 2233-2255.
  14. Oviedo-Joekes EP, H.Guh, D.Marchand, K., Brissette SH, S.MacDonald, S.Lock, K.Anis, A., Marsh DC, Schechter M: **Treatment with injectable hydromorphone: Comparing retention in double blind and open label treatment periods.** *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 2019:50-54.
  15. Creswell JW: **Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches**; 2012.
  16. Braun V, Clarke V: **Using thematic analysis in psychology.** *Qualitative research in psychology* 2006, **3**(2):77-101.
  17. Palis H, Harrison S, MacDonald S, Marsh DC, Schechter MT, Oviedo-Joekes E: **Self-managing illicit stimulant use: A qualitative study with patients receiving injectable opioid agonist treatment.** *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2020.

18. Palis H, Marchand K, Guh D, Brissette S, Lock K, MacDonald S, Harrison S, Anis AH, Krausz M, Marsh DC *et al*: **Men's and women's response to treatment and perceptions of outcomes in a randomized controlled trial of injectable opioid assisted treatment for severe opioid use disorder.** *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy* 2017, **12**(1):25.
19. Oviedo-Joekes E, Marchand K, Palis H, Guh D, Brissette S, Lock K, MacDonald S, Harrison S, Anis A, Krausz M *et al*: **Predictors of treatment allocation guesses in a randomized controlled trial testing double-blind injectable hydromorphone and diacetylmorphine for severe opioid use disorder.** In. *Addiction Research & Theory*; 2016: 1-10.
20. N'Vivo. In., Version 12 edn; 2018.
21. Levin FR, Mariani JJ, Specker S, Mooney M, Mahony A, Brooks DJ, Babb D, Bai Y, Eberly LE, Nunes EV *et al*: **Extended-Release Mixed Amphetamine Salts vs Placebo for Comorbid Adult Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Cocaine Use Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial.** *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015, **72**(6):593-602.
22. Wei YJ, Zhu Y, Liu W, Bussing R, Winterstein AG: **Prevalence of and Factors Associated With Long-term Concurrent Use of Stimulants and Opioids Among Adults With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder.** *JAMA Netw Open* 2018, **1**(4):e181152.
23. van de Glind G, Konstenius M, Koeter MWJ, van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen K, Carpentier PJ, Kaye S, Degenhardt L, Skutle A, Franck J, Bu ET *et al*: **Variability in the prevalence of adult ADHD in treatment seeking substance use disorder patients: results from an international multi-center study exploring DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria.** *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014, **134**:158-166.
24. Nuijten M, Blanken P, van de Wetering B, Nuijen B, van den Brink W, Hendriks VM: **Sustained-release dexamfetamine in the treatment of chronic cocaine-dependent patients on heroin-assisted treatment: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.** *Lancet* 2016, **387**(10034):2226-2234.
25. Shearer J, Wodak A, van Beek I, Mattick RP, Lewis J: **Pilot randomized double blind placebo-controlled study of dexamphetamine for cocaine dependence.** *Addiction* 2003, **98**(8):1137-1141.
26. Shearer J, Wodak A, Mattick RP, Van Beek I, Lewis J, Hall W, Dolan K: **Pilot randomized controlled study of dexamphetamine substitution for amphetamine dependence.** *Addiction* 2001, **96**(9):1289-1296.
27. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime: **World Drug Report: Stimulants.** In.; 2019.
28. Palis H, Marchand K, Beaumont S, Guh D, Harrison S, MacDonald S, Brissette S, Marsh DC, Schechter MT, Oviedo-Joekes E: **Physician Communication in Injectable Opioid Agonist Treatment: Collecting Patient Ratings With the Communication Assessment Tool.** *J Addict Med* 2020.
29. Marchand K, Foreman J, MacDonald S, Harrison S, Schechter MT, Oviedo-Joekes E: **Building healthcare provider relationships for patient-centered care: A qualitative study of the experiences of people receiving injectable opioid agonist treatment.** *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy* 2020, **15**(1):7.
30. Hemmons P, Bach P, Colizza K, Nolan S: **Initiation and Rapid Titration of Methadone in an Acute Care Setting for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: A Case Report.** *J Addict Med* 2019, **13**(5):408-411.

31. Schmitz JM, Rathnayaka N, Green CE, Moeller FG, Dougherty AE, Grabowski J: **Combination of Modafinil and d-amphetamine for the Treatment of Cocaine Dependence: A Preliminary Investigation.** *Front Psychiatry* 2012, **3**:77.
32. Longo M, Wickes W, Smout M, Harrison S, Cahill S, White JM: **Randomized controlled trial of dexamphetamine maintenance for the treatment of methamphetamine dependence.** In: *Addiction. Volume 105*, edn. England; 2010: 146-154.
33. Trujols J, Gonzalez-Saiz F, Manresa MJ, Alcaraz S, Batlle F, Duran-Sindreu S, Perez de Los Cobos J: **Patient perception of methadone dose adequacy in methadone maintenance treatment: The role of perceived participation in dosage decisions.** *Patient Educ Couns* 2017, **100**(5):981-986.
34. Palis H, Guh D, MacDonald S, Harrison S, Brissette S, Marsh DC, Schechter MT, Oviedo-Joekes E: **Longitudinal patterns of cocaine use among patients receiving injectable hydromorphone or diacetylmorphine for the treatment of opioid use disorder: A growth curve modeling approach.** In: *Drug Alcohol Depend. Volume 218*, edn. Ireland: © 2020 Elsevier B.V; 2021: 108333.
35. European Monitoring Centre on Drugs and Drug Addiction: **Strategies to prevent diversion of opioid substitution treatment medications** In.; 2016.
36. Council SF: **The Federal Council adapts the criteria for the delivery of medical heroin** In.; 2020.
37. Oviedo-Joekes E, MacDonald S, Boissonneault C, K. H: **Take Home Injectable Opioids for Opioid Use Disorder During and After the COVID-19 Pandemic Is in Urgent Need: A Case Study.** *Research Square (Pre-print)* 2021.
38. Marchand K, Beaumont S, Westfall J, MacDonald S, Harrison S, Marsh DC, Schechter MT, Oviedo-Joekes E: **Conceptualizing patient-centered care for substance use disorder treatment: findings from a systematic scoping review.** *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy* 2019, **14**(1):37.