Percutaneous Thrombectomy in the Management of Early Rethrombosis in Venous Thoracic Outlet Syndrome: Two Case Reports

Ján Sýkora

Comenius University in Bratislava Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave Jesseniova Lekárska Fakulta v Martine

Kamil Zeleňák (✉ kamil.zelenak@uniba.sk)

Comenius University in Bratislava Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave Jesseniova Lekárska Fakulta v Martine <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0416-2985>

Martin Vorčák

Comenius University in Bratislava Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave Jesseniova Lekárska Fakulta v Martine

Adam Krkoška

Comenius University in Bratislava Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave Jesseniova Lekárska Fakulta v Martine

Štefánia Vetešková

Comenius University in Bratislava Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave Jesseniova Lekárska Fakulta v Martine

Martina Sýkorová

Vaša ambulancia

Jozef Sivák

Comenius University in Bratislava Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave Jesseniova Lekárska Fakulta v Martine

Ľuboš Hlinka

Comenius University in Bratislava Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave Jesseniova Lekárska Fakulta v Martine

Igor Šinák

Comenius University in Bratislava Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave Jesseniova Lekárska Fakulta v Martine

Research Article

Keywords: Venous thoracic outlet syndrome, Paget-Schroetter syndrome, Upper extremity deep venous thrombosis, Percutaneous mechanical thrombectomy, Aspiration thrombectomy

Posted Date: April 26th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-404520/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at CVIR Endovascular on August 6th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42155-021-00250-6>.

Abstract

Background

Venous thoracic outlet syndrome resulting in the upper limb deep venous thrombosis is known as Paget–Schroetter syndrome or effort thrombosis. A general treatment algorithm includes catheter-directed thrombolysis followed by surgical thoracic outlet decompression.

There are limited data regarding endovascular treatment of rethrombosis presenting early after the surgery.

Case presentation

Two cases of early rethrombosis successfully treated with percutaneous mechanical thrombectomy by two different techniques are described. In both cases, rethrombosis was diagnosed soon after thrombolysis and first rib resection with scalenectomy. After 6 months, both patients remain symptom-free, with patent subclavian veins confirmed by duplex ultrasonography.

Conclusion

Percutaneous mechanical thrombectomy devices may offer a safe treatment option for patients with recurrent thrombosis after thoracic outlet surgery, even when thrombolytic therapy is contraindicated.

Background

Venous thoracic outlet syndrome with acute axillo-subclavian vein thrombosis, also known as Paget–Schroetter syndrome or effort thrombosis is a rare condition, which accounts for approximately 1–4% of all deep venous thromboses [1].

Repeated compression of the subclavian vein within the costoclavicular space may cause venous injury with resultant stenosis and thrombosis. It predominantly affects young individuals. Typical symptoms on presentation include an arm swelling with associated pain, cyanosis, and engorged chest wall veins. Postthrombotic syndrome, as a late complication of upper-limb deep venous thrombosis, can significantly impact patients' quality of life, especially if it occurs in the dominant arm. It comprises chronic pain, paraesthesia, heaviness, and functional limitation [2].

Treatment with anticoagulation alone may lead to residual chronic disability in up to 70% of patients [3]. A common strategy is to perform the catheter directed thrombolysis to clear most of the fresh clot. Non-surgical management with anticoagulation alone after initial endovascular treatment carries a risk of recurrent thrombosis ranging between 20 and 30 % [4], what justifies more aggressive operative thoracic outlet decompression.

We describe the use of percutaneous aspiration and mechanical thrombectomy in the successful management of two patients with recurrent thrombosis of the subclavian vein soon after thoracic outlet surgery.

Case Presentation

Case 1

A 21-year-old male, with no known previous medical illness, presented with a 9-days history of the left arm swelling. Duplex ultrasonography examination revealed thrombosis of the left basilic vein from the upper arm level extending proximally to the left axillary and subclavian veins.

Catheter-directed thrombolysis was performed with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) in a dose of 1 mg/h. Recanalization of left basilic, axillary and subclavian veins was achieved after 48 hours of thrombolysis with short residual stenosis located in the proximal subclavian vein. Balloon angioplasty was not performed prior the first rib resection.

Significant swelling reduction of the arm was achieved after the thrombolysis. The patient was anticoagulated with continuous infusion of unfractionated heparin during the thrombolysis. The anticoagulation was switched to low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) afterwards.

Thoracic outlet decompression surgery from transaxillary approach was performed the next day. However, routine angiography control one day after the surgery revealed early rethrombosis of left basilic, axillary and subclavian veins (Fig. 1A, B).

The right basilic vein was accessed, and percutaneous mechanical thrombectomy was performed. Aspirex 6F and 8F thrombectomy devices (Straub Medical, Wangs, Switzerland) were used to fragment the thrombus and to aspirate it from the vessel. Completion angiography showed restoration of venous patency and near complete thrombus removal, with only minor residual thrombosis of the subclavian vein (Fig. 1C, D). Haematological examinations did not reveal any hypercoagulable state. The therapeutic dose of LMWH was recommended to the patient, and the patient was discharged.

During follow-up, patient's arm had returned to normal, and he has remained asymptomatic.

The next duplex ultrasound studies 4 weeks and 6 months after the procedure confirmed patent venous system.

Case 2

The second patient was a 17-year-old female with a six-days history of swelling, and discolouration of the right arm. Duplex ultrasound confirmed thrombosis of the right axillary and subclavian veins. Venography control after 48 hours treatments with catheter-directed thrombolysis (rtPA, 1 mg/h) confirmed

recanalization of the veins. Percutaneous angioplasty was then performed on the tight stenosis of the subclavian vein as it crossed the first rib using a 12-mm balloon catheter. The patient received anticoagulation treatment with unfractionated heparin during the thrombolysis and with LMWH afterwards.

First rib resection and the scalenectomy was performed 3 days after the thrombolysis from transaxillary approach. Repeating venography the following day demonstrated recurrent thrombosis of the right subclavian vein (Fig. 2A). The right cephalic vein was accessed, and Indigo Aspiration Catheters (Penumbra, Inc, Alameda, California, USA) and vacuum pump were used to aspirate the thrombus from the vessel. The Indigo Separator was used in tandem with the aspiration catheter to break up clot in the lumen of the catheter. Residual stenosis of the proximal subclavian vein was dilated with 10 mm angioplasty balloon (Fig. 2C). Angiographic images post procedure showed good flow of contrast into the right subclavian and brachiocephalic veins (Fig. 2D).

Haematological examinations confirmed hypercoagulable state, sticky platelet syndrome type I. The patient was discharged on the therapeutic dose of LMWH. Patent right axillary and subclavian veins were confirmed by duplex ultrasonography 6 months later, and the patient remained symptom free

Discussion

Historically, effort thrombosis was treated with anticoagulation alone and the results have been disappointing. Catheter directed thrombolysis has shown nearly 100% successes in fresh clots if treatment was initiated within a few days of onset of symptoms, otherwise it has been successful in 62 to 84% of the cases [5].

Different pharmacomechanical thrombectomy devices have also been successfully used for the treatment of upper extremity deep venous thrombosis [4, 6–8]. When there is a need to avoid or minimize exposure to rtPA, aspiration and mechanical thrombectomy systems can aspirate thrombus without the use of rtPA, resulting in decreased bleeding risk [9]. Kosai described a case of Paget-Schroetter Syndrome treated with Aspirex thrombectomy device [10].

The feasibility and efficacy of aspiration thrombectomy prior the thoracic outlet surgery in Paget-Schroetter syndrome was recently demonstrated in Teter's study. One-third of patients, primary treated with the Indigo continuous aspiration mechanical thrombectomy system, avoided any additional catheter-directed thrombolysis exposure. Only three out of sixteen patients required additional overnight thrombolytic therapy [9].

There are limited data regarding endovascular treatment of early rethrombosis after surgical decompression in Paget-Schroetter Syndrome, when thrombolysis is contraindicated.

Shneider described a case of successful recanalization of rethrombosed subclavian vein 3 days after operative thoracic outlet decompression with AngioJet Rheolytic Thrombectomy System (Possis Medical

Conclusions

Two cases of early recurrent thrombosis successfully treated with percutaneous aspiration and mechanical thrombectomy soon after thoracic outlet surgery were described in our report. Percutaneous thrombectomy can avoid the hazards of open thrombectomy, when rethrombosis of the subclavian vein occurred in the postoperative period after thoracic outlet decompression procedures. These patients may be safely treated with percutaneous aspiration and mechanical thrombectomy techniques, even when thrombolytic therapy is contraindicated.

Abbreviations

rtPA

recombinant tissue plasminogen activator

LMWH

low-molecular-weight heparin

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

For this type of study (retrospective study) formal consent is not required.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and any accompanying images.

Availability of data and materials

The primary data underlying this manuscript are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This study was not supported by any funding.

Authors' contributions

JS collected patient data, obtained consent for publication and wrote the first draft of the article. KZ and MV provided consultation and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1. Illig KA and Doyle AJ. A comprehensive review of Paget–Schroetter syndrome. *J Vasc Surg.* 2010;51:1538–1547.
2. Elman EE, Kahn SR. The post-thrombotic syndrome after upper extremity deep venous thrombosis in adults: A systematic review. *Thromb Res.* 2006;117:609–14.
3. Schneider DB, Curry TK, Eichler CM, Messina LM, Gordon RL, Kerlan RK. Percutaneous Mechanical Thrombectomy for the Management of Venous Thoracic Outlet Syndrome. *J Endovasc Ther.* 2003;10:336–40.
4. Kärkkäinen JM, Nuutinen H, Riekkinen T, Sihvo E, Turtiainen J, Saari P, Mäkinen K, Manninen H. Pharmacomechanical Thrombectomy in Paget–Schroetter Syndrome. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2016;39:1272–9.
5. Doyle A, Wolford HY, Davies MG, Adams JT, Singh MJ, Saad WE, Waldman DL, Deweese JA, Illig KA. Management of effort thrombosis of the subclavian vein: today's treatment. *Ann VascSurg.* 2007;21(6):723-9.
6. Mahmoud O, Vikatmaa P, Räsänen J, Peltola E, Sihvo E, Vikatmaa L, Lappalainen K, Venermo M, Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis vs. Pharmacomechanical Thrombectomy for Upper Extremity Deep Venous Thrombosis: Cost-Effectiveness Analysis, *Ann VascSurg.* 2018; doi: 10.1016/j.avsg.2018.01.104.
7. Kim HS, Patra A, Paxton PE, Khan J, Streiff MB. Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis with Percutaneous Rheolytic Thrombectomy Versus Thrombolysis Alone in Upper and Lower Extremity Deep Vein Thrombosis. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2006;29:1003–1007.
8. Papantoniou E, Morgan-Rowe L, Johnston E, Brennand D, Raja J, Hague J. Pharmacomechanical Thrombolysis in the Management of Paget-Schroetter Syndrome. *Case reports in radiology.* 2013; doi: 10.1155/2013/214804.
9. Teter K, Arko F, Muck P, Lamparello PJ, Khaja MS, Huasen B, Sadek M, Maldonado TS. Aspiration thrombectomy for the management of acute deep venous thrombosis in the setting of venous

10. Kosai N, Haniffa A, Jarmin R, Das S, Rajan R, Harunarashid H. Paget-Schroetter Syndrome in 52-Year-Old Male: An Interesting Case Report. Journal of Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences University. 2017;6:104–6.

Figures

Figure 1

A, B Angiograms of a 21-year-old male with early rethrombosis of left basilic, axillary, and subclavian veins. C, D The final angiograms after percutaneous mechanical thrombectomy with Aspirex thrombectomy device confirmed recanalization of venous system.

Figure 2

A Angiogram of a 17-years-old female with early recurrence of right subclavian vein thrombosis. B Recanalization of distal part of subclavian vein was achieved with Indigo Aspiration Catheter. C Angioplasty of the proximal subclavian vein. D The final angiogram after further aspiration confirmed recanalization of venous system.