Promote social distancing and prevent panic buying during the epidemic of COVID-19: The contributions of people's psychological and behavioural factors

Yaping Zhang

Beihang University

Ronggang Zhou (✉ zhrg@buaa.edu.cn)

Beihang University

Research Article

Keywords: Risk perception, Psychological stress, Safeguard, Concerns about COVID-19, Social distancing, Panic buying

Posted Date: July 10th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-40787/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Objectives COVID-19 disease has progressed to the level of a global pandemic. This study aimed to investigate people's psychological and behavioural responses to the COVID-19 epidemic and explore the predictors for social distancing and panic buying.

Methods A 17-item questionnaire was developed and distributed randomly to people using social media. Descriptive statistics and one-way ANOVA were used to assess people's psychological and behavioural responses. Hierarchical regression was conducted to investigate the predictive effects of psychological and behavioural variables on reports of social distancing and panic buying, respectively.

Results Respondents reported a low degree of risk perception and risk beliefs towards being outside and had moderate psychological stress and positive guarding behaviours for being outside. Respondents reported high concern regarding COVID-19 and tended to keep social distancing during the epidemic. They would panic-purchase food and supplies. In addition, psychological stress emerged as a very strong predictor for reporting COVID-19 concerns and panic buying. Furthermore, reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing had a significant predictive influence on panic buying of food. Guarding behaviours for being outside had a significant predictive effect on respondents reported social distancing of cancelling outings. Reported concerns of COVID-19 were stronger predictors for reported social distancing.

Conclusion It is important to relieve people's psychological stress and reduce information sharing to control panic buying. In addition, we should promote adoption of guarding behaviours for being outside and increase the concerns of COVID-19 to encourage people keep social distancing. Measures should be implemented according to the characteristics of the population.

Introduction

The genesis of COVID-19 disease has been tied to the Hubei Province of China and rapidly progressed to the level of a global pandemic, with multiple countries across the globe reporting exponentially increasing numbers of cases (WHO 2020). On March 11, 2020, COVID-19 disease officially became a global pandemic (WHO Director-General's opening remarks 2020). By May 18, 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic had spread to 216 countries (areas or territories), and more than 4.6 million people were infected, with 312,009 confirmed deaths (updated by May 18, 2020, 08:00 GMT+8) all over the world (WHO 2020). The first reports of the unusual pneumonia cases in China were reported in December 26, 2019; then, active cases were identified in Wuhan City from December 30, 2019 (Wu and McGoogan 2020), followed by government interventions in many ways, such as travel restrictions (e.g., Wuhan City shut down on January 23, 2020, and another 15 cities shut down the next day). The major focus of the interventions was to minimize transmission of the virus in order to flatten the epidemic peak and lessen the impact on healthcare services (Lai et al. 2020), enabling the most severe cases to be treated successfully and reduce overall mortality (Wise et al. 2020).

Under this circumstance, social distancing (SD) was proposed as an effective measure to minimize transmission of the virus. According to the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (2020), social distancing, also called "physical distancing," means keeping space between yourself and other people outside of your home. Limiting face-to-face contact with others is the best way to reduce the spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (CDC 2020). Chen et al. (2020) introduced COVID-19 control in China during the Chinese Lunar New Year holiday and emphasized the importance of social distancing measures to slow the rate of transmission. Prem et al. (2020) simulated the ongoing trajectory of an outbreak in Wuhan using an age-structured susceptible-exposed-infected-removed (SEIR) model for several physical distancing measures and supposed that the physical distancing measures were most effective if the staggered return to work was at the beginning of April in China. In addition, social distancing has been an essential component of the public health response in past disease epidemics, curbing human-to-human transmission and reducing morbidity and mortality (Gostin et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2020; Fong et al. 2020). However, these protective measures of social distancing rely largely on rapid changes in population behaviour (i.e., refusing visitors and cancelling outings), which are dependent on individuals' ability to perceive risks associated with the virus and adapt their behaviour accordingly (Xu and Peng 2015; Liao et al. 2019; Wise et al. 2020). Thus, this study assessed the effects of people's perceived risk of COVID-19, risk beliefs towards being outside and guarding behaviours for being outside on their reported social distancing behaviours in China.

In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic has triggered a wide variety of psychological problems, such as panic disorder, anxiety and depression (Qiu et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020a; Xiang et al. 2020). Previous research has revealed a profound and wide range of psychosocial impacts on people at the individual level during infection outbreaks (Wang et al. 2020a). Risk perception also has a significant correlation with an individual's behaviours (Taghrir et al. 2020). Thus, it is important to investigate the influences of people's psychological stress and perceived risk of COVID-19 on their specific behaviours under the shock of an epidemic. Since the epidemic of COVID-19 has attracted attention from people all over the world, a considerable number of people were passably aware of the basic elements of the disease. As the global threat of COVID-19 continues, it is critical to improve knowledge of the epidemic (Bhagavathula et al. 2020), which is associated with people's psychological parameters. Roy et al. (2020) supported that the dissemination of health information on COVID-19 via radio was associated with higher anxiety and depression scores among participants. Therefore, we explored the effects of people's perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress on reported concerning COVID-19. Furthermore, when anxiety affects a larger population, it may result in panic buying, leading to exhaustion of resources (Leung et al. 2020). Roy et al. (2020) found that approximately one-third of participants had the urge to buy and stock things at home during the survey week. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the predictors for people's panic buying behaviours.

Given the importance of human psychological and behavioural factors in managing pandemics (Bish and Michie 2010; Wise et al. 2020), this study investigated people's psychological and behavioural responses to the epidemic of COVID-19 and explored the predictors for reporting social distancing and panic buying. This study may contribute to the application of nonpharmaceutical measures and social management during the epidemic.

Method

Questionnaire measures

With a structured online questionnaire, a web survey was conducted with the specific aim of studying the psychological and behavioural variables of people under the shock of the COVID-19 epidemic. Overall, the online questionnaire consisted of seventeen quantitative questions. Three of the questions relating to severity, infectivity and diffusivity of the epidemic were used to gather people's perceived risk of COVID-19. Two items of the questionnaire related to feelings of panic and nervousness were designed to measure people's psychological stress under the shock of the epidemic. Then, four items were used to obtain people's risk beliefs towards being outside, and another two items were designed to measure people's guarding behaviours for being outside. Last, six items related to six behavioural variables were used to gather people's reported concerns of COVID-19, social distancing, and panic buying behaviours. For all the items, scores ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree).

Measures of people's perceived risk of COVID-19

To scale the degree of people's perceived risk of COVID-19, the items "Given the current situation of the epidemic, I think it will become more serious," "Given the current situation of the epidemic, I think it is highly infective" and "Given the current situation of the epidemic, I think it will spread further" were used to assess the respondents' perceived risk of COVID-19. Respondents scored these statements on a seven-point scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). We conducted an exploratory factor analysis to explore the factor structure of the scale. First, the 3 items were subjected to a principal component analysis. Only one factor with an eigenvalue greater than 1.0 was extracted, explaining 67.83% of the variance. Here, a cut-off point of 0.60 was used for item loading values. If an item met this criterion, then it could be included. The item of infectivity (i.e., Given the current situation of the epidemic, I think it is highly infective) was excluded, since its item loading value was 0.595 in the principal component analysis. Then, we repeated the above procedure of principal component analysis with the remaining two items. One component emerged again, explaining 90.8% of the total variance, with all items' factor loading greater than 0.80. The alpha showed an acceptable internal reliability ($\alpha = 0.899$) for the final scale.

Measures of psychological stress

To scale people's psychological stress under the shock of the epidemic, the items "I would feel panic if I saw or heard of a rise in the number of confirmed cases in the context of the current situation of the epidemic" and "I would feel nervous if I saw or heard of a rise in the number of confirmed cases in the context of the current situation of the epidemic" were used to measure respondents' psychological stress. Respondents scored these statements on a seven-point scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The principal component analysis was conducted, and one component was extracted, explaining 89.1% of the total variance, with all items' factor loading greater than 0.80. The alpha showed an acceptable internal reliability ($\alpha = 0.878$) for the final scale.

Risk beliefs towards being outside scale

To measure respondents' risk beliefs towards being outside during the epidemic, we adopted four items, i.e., "Given the current situation of the epidemic, I believe that public transportation can be used without any protection," "Given the current situation of the epidemic, I think it is possible to get together with friends I trust without any protection," "Given the current situation of the epidemic, I think I can go out and exercise in places with few people without any protection," and "Given the current situation of the epidemic, I think it is possible to go to crowded places without any protection." Respondents were required to score each item on a 7-point scale (1 strongly disagree to 7 strongly agree). The principal component analysis was conducted, and one component was extracted, explaining 83.7% of the total variance, with all items' factor loading greater than 0.80. The alpha showed an acceptable internal reliability ($\alpha = 0.933$) for the final scale.

Measures of guarding behaviours for being out

In addition to the items used to scale people's guarding behaviours for being outside during the epidemic, the items "Under the current situation of the epidemic, I will wear a mask when I go out" and "Under the current situation of the epidemic, I will wash my hands after going out" were used to assess the respondents' guarding behaviours for being outside. Respondents scored these statements on a seven-point scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The principal component analysis was conducted, and one component was extracted, explaining 95.2% of the total variance, with all items' factor loading greater than 0.80. The alpha showed an acceptable internal reliability ($\alpha = 0.950$) for the final scale.

Measures of behavioural variables

In this framework, six variables of people's specific behaviours under the shock of the epidemic were identified to understand people's reported concerns of COVID-19, social distancing, and panic buying: (1) reported concern of COVID-19 information acquisition (i.e., "Under the current situation of the epidemic, I will continue to follow the trend of the epidemic every day"); (2) reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing (i.e., "Under the current situation of the epidemic, I will discuss the development of the epidemic with people every day"); (3) reported SD of cancelling outings (i.e., "Under the current situation of the epidemic, I will cancel my plan to go out except to buy daily necessities"); (4) reported SD of refusing visitors (i.e., "Under the current situation of the epidemic, I will refuse visitors to my home"); (5) panic buying of masks (i.e., "I would buy masks, disinfectants and other protective equipment at a high price if I saw or heard of a rise in the number of confirmed cases in the context of the current situation of the epidemic"); (6) panic buying of food (i.e., "I would stock up or ask my family to stock up on food and supplies if I saw or heard of a rise in the number of confirmed cases in the context of the current situation of the epidemic"). Respondents were required to score each item on a 7-point scale (1 strongly disagree to 7 strongly agree).

The final scales and descriptive statistics for each item are shown in Table A1 in the appendix.

Demographic measures

In the next section of the questionnaire, demographic information, including gender, age and education, were collected. With the purpose of gathering age information, respondents were asked to fill in a blank with their specific age. In addition, with respect to education group, respondents were asked to select their education information within five categories (i.e., 1 = Junior high school or below; 2 = High School or associate; 3= Junior college; 4= Bachelor; 5= Master or above).

Respondents

This study was approved by the ethics review committee of Beihang University in China. Each respondent was informed of the data usage and was required to sign an informed consent form before the survey. Participants had the right to refuse and the obligation to maintain privacy. The researchers promised to keep each respondent's private information strictly confidential.

The survey was conducted on February 9 to 10, 2020 in China. In total, 192 people participated in the online survey. To ensure that the responses were reliable for testing the research aims of the current survey, the following empirical criteria were identified by pilot tests and the research team to select valid responses: (1) if all items were answered in less than 130 s or with the same score, the respondent was considered to not have read or answered the questions carefully, and all his or her responses were excluded; (2) if the participant refused the informed consent form, the participant no longer had to fill out the questionnaire, and the response was empty. In total, responses from 189 respondents were used in this study (Table 1); 42.9% of them were male, and 57.1% were female. With respect to age group, 54.5% were aged 18-29 years, and 45.5% were aged 30-60 years. Regarding the education background, 37% were junior college or below, and 63% were bachelor or above. All respondents were from fifteen provinces in China, but the distribution was not balanced for this variable. Therefore, we only considered the three main demographic measures of gender, age group and education in this study.

Results

Descriptive statistics and correlations between variables

The means and zero-order correlation coefficients for the variables are shown in Table 2. Overall, the means of the variables indicated that respondents had a low risk perception of COVID-19 ($M = 3.59$) and risk beliefs towards being outside ($M = 1.57$). Respondents had moderate psychological stress ($M = 4.67$) and positive guarding behaviours for being outside ($M = 6.63$). Respondents always continued to follow the trend of the epidemic and their reported concern of COVID-19 information acquisition was high ($M = 6.26$). In addition, the respondents usually discussed the development of the epidemic with people, and their reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing were relatively high ($M = 5.41$). Respondents tended to cancel outings ($M = 6.41$) and refuse visitors ($M = 5.87$) during the epidemic, which means that respondents tended to maintain social distance from others. With respect to respondents' panic buying, when they saw or heard of a rise in the number of confirmed cases, they would not panic-purchase medical protective goods ($M = 3.95$) but would panic-purchase food and supplies ($M = 4.58$).

In terms of the correlations between variables, we found that (see Table 2) the perceived risk of COVID-19 was significantly correlated with psychological stress ($r = 0.282, p < 0.01$) and panic buying (i.e., masks and food) (r varied from 0.208 to 0.301, $p < 0.01$). Respondents' psychological stress was significantly correlated with reported concerns of COVID-19 (i.e., information acquisition and information sharing) (r varied from 0.254 to 0.262, $p < 0.01$), reported SD of cancelled outings ($r = 0.164, p < 0.05$) and panic buying (i.e., masks and food) (r varied from 0.417 to 0.507, $p < 0.01$). Respondents' risk beliefs towards being outside had significantly negative correlations with guarding behaviours for being outside ($r = -0.379, p < 0.01$), reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquiring ($r = -0.230, p < 0.01$), reported SD of cancelled outings ($r = -0.287, p < 0.01$) and reported SD of refusing visitors ($r = -0.185, p < 0.05$). Respondents' guarding behaviours for being outside were significantly correlated with reported concerns of COVID-19 (i.e., information acquisition and information sharing) (r varied from 0.313 to 0.595, $p < 0.01$) and reported SD (i.e., cancelled outings and refusing visitors) (r varied from 0.456 to 0.693, $p < 0.01$). Regarding the reported concerns of COVID-19, information acquisition was significantly correlated with information sharing ($r = 0.456, p < 0.01$). Reported concerns of COVID-19 (i.e., information acquisition and information sharing) were significantly correlated with reported SD (i.e., cancelled outings and refusing visitors) (r varied from 0.245 to 0.652, $p < 0.01$). Reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing had significant correlations with panic buying of food ($r = 0.176, p < 0.05$). Reported SD of cancelled outings was significantly correlated with reported SD of refusing visitors ($r = 0.484, p < 0.01$) and panic buying of food ($r = 0.149, p < 0.05$). Reported SD of refusing visitors was significantly correlated with panic buying of food ($r = 0.190, p < 0.01$). Panic buying of masks was significantly correlated with panic buying of food ($r = 0.446, p < 0.01$). Furthermore, respondents' gender was significantly correlated with reported SD of cancelling outings ($r = 0.179, p < 0.05$). Respondents' age group had a significantly negative correlation with perceived risk of COVID-19 ($r = -0.265, p < 0.01$), reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing ($r = -0.189, p < 0.01$) and panic buying of food ($r = -0.187, p < 0.01$). Respondents' education group was significantly correlated with perceived risk of COVID-19 ($r = 0.221, p < 0.01$), risk beliefs towards being outside ($r = -0.182, p < 0.05$), guarding behaviours for being outside ($r = 0.184, p < 0.05$), reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing ($r = 0.311, p < 0.01$), reported SD of cancelled outings ($r = 0.190, p < 0.01$) and panic buying of food ($r = 0.154, p < 0.05$).

Difference tests of the study variables

To test whether there were differences in the study variables between different gender groups, a one-way ANOVA was conducted. The results showed that significant differences existed in the reported SD of cancelling outings ($p = 0.014$) between different gender groups. Females were more likely to cancel outings during the epidemic than males. No significant effects of gender were found for other variables in this study.

Differences between the two different age groups in the study variables were subjected to one-way ANOVA. The results showed that there were significant differences in the perceived risk of COVID-19 ($p = 0.000$), reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing ($p = 0.009$) and panic buying of food ($p = 0.010$) between the two different age groups. Fig. 1 indicates that younger respondents had a higher risk perception of COVID-19 ($p = 0.000$) than older respondents. Younger respondents were more likely to discuss the development of the epidemic with others ($p = 0.009$) and stock up on food and supplies under the shock of the epidemic ($p = 0.010$). With respect to the variables of psychological stress and panic buying of masks, younger respondents' scores were higher than the older group, but the differences were not significant. With regard to the variables of risk beliefs towards being outside and reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition, the older respondents had a higher score than younger respondents, but the differences were not significant. In addition, the different age groups of the respondents had relatively similar scores in guarding behaviours for being outside, reported SD of cancelling outings and reported SD of refusing visitors.

Differences between the two different education groups in the study variables were subjected to a one-way ANOVA. We found that (see Fig. 2) respondents with a higher education level had a higher risk perception of COVID-19 ($p = 0.002$) and a lower risk belief towards being outside ($p = 0.012$). The differences between the two education groups in guarding behaviours for being outside ($p = 0.011$), reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing ($p = 0.000$), reported SD of cancelled outings ($p = 0.009$) and panic buying of food ($p = 0.035$) were significantly different, and the scores of the respondents with higher education level were higher. With respect to psychological stress, reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition, reported SD of refusing visitors and panic buying of masks, the scores of the respondents with higher education level were higher, but there were no significant differences between the two different education groups in the four variables.

With regard to differences between the two correlated behaviours in reported concerns COVID-19, a repeated measure ANOVA test with a method of main effect comparison showed the order of scores of "information acquisition" ($M = 6.26$) > "information sharing" ($M = 5.41$), $F(1, 188) = 6137.437$, $p < 0.001$. The correlated behaviours in reported SD and panic buying were also tested by this kind of analysis and showed the order of reported SD of "cancelled outings" ($M = 6.41$) > "refusing visitors" ($M = 5.87$), $F(1, 188) = 8696.983$, $p < 0.001$, and panic buying of "food" ($M = 4.58$) > "masks" ($M = 3.95$), $F(1, 188) = 1574.963$, $p < 0.001$.

Predictors of respondents' six behavioural variables: regression analyses

Zero-order correlations and a series of hierarchical multiple regression analyses were used to analyse the relationships between respondents' behavioural variables and psychological variables. Regarding respondents reported social distancing of cancelled outings, the variables of psychological stress, risk beliefs towards being outside and guarding behaviours for being outside were well correlated with respondents' self-reported engagements. To test the predictive effects, a three-step hierarchical regression analysis was performed using demographic measures, perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress, risk beliefs towards being outside and guarding behaviours for being outside. The demographics (i.e., gender, age group, and education) were entered in Step 1, respondents' perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress were added in Step 2, and the respondents' risk beliefs towards being outside and guarding behaviours for being outside were added in Step 3. The results showed that (see Table 3), in Step 1, demographics explained 7.5% of the variance in respondents' reported SD of cancelled outings, and the regression model was significant ($F(3, 185) = 5.013$, $p < 0.01$). Gender and education emerged as stronger predictors for respondents' reported SD of cancelled outings. In Step 2, the variables of perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress had no significant predictive effects on respondents' reported SD of cancelling outings. In Step 3, the addition of respondents' risk beliefs towards being outside and guarding behaviours for being outside to the regression model resulted in a substantial increase to 41.7% of the variance in respondents' reported SD of cancelled outings ($R^2 = 0.514$, $F_{\text{change}}(2, 181) = 77.670$, $p < 0.001$), with respondents' guarding behaviours for being outside emerging as a significant predictor.

As displayed in Table 2, respondents' perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress were partly correlated with reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition, reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing, reported SD of refusing visitors, panic buying of masks and panic buying of food. To test the predictive effects on respondents' reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition, reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing, panic buying of masks, panic buying of food and reported SD of refusing visitors, a two-step hierarchical regression analysis was performed using demographic measures, perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress. For each kind of behavioural variable, the demographics (i.e., gender, age group, and education) were entered in Step 1, and the respondents' perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress were added in Step 2. By controlling for the influence of other variables, this approach allowed us to assess the predictive utility of each type of predictor. The results are summarized in Table 4. In Step 1, demographics explained less than 9.9% of the variance in reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition, reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing and panic buying of food, and the regression models were significant ($F(3, 185) \leq 6.812$, $p < 0.05$). Age group and education emerged as stronger predictors for respondents' reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquiring, and education emerged as a stronger predictor for respondents' reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing. Demographics only explained less than 2.3% of the variance in panic buying of masks and reported SD of refusing visitors, and the regression models were not significant ($F(3, 185) \leq 1.446$, $p > 0.05$). In Step 2, the variables of perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress, when added to the regression equations, explained statistically significant increments of 5.3% -26.7% of the variance in reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquiring, concerns of COVID-19 information sharing, panic buying of masks and panic buying of food ($F_{\text{change}}(2, 183) \geq 5.759$, $p < 0.01$). Psychological stress emerged as a stronger predictor, especially for panic buying behaviours. Furthermore, perceived risk of COVID-19 had a significant predictive effect on panic buying of masks. Meanwhile, the variables of perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress had no significant predictive effects on respondents' reported SD of refusing visitors.

As shown in Table 2, the variables of concern of COVID-19 information sharing and information acquisition were well correlated with reported social distancing of refusing visitors and cancelling outings, respectively. Reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing were significantly correlated with panic buying of food. To investigate the predictive influence of reported concerns of COVID-19 on panic buying and reported social distancing, a two-step

hierarchical regression analysis was conducted. The variable of reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing was entered in Step 1, and reported concern of COVID-19 information acquisition was added in Step 2. The results showed that (see Table 5), in Step 1, reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing was a stronger predictor for panic buying of food ($R^2 = 0.031$, $F_{\text{change}}(1, 187) = 5.971$, $p < 0.05$). Reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing emerged as a stronger predictor for reported social distancing of refusing visitors ($R^2 = 0.060$, $F_{\text{change}}(1, 187) = 11.964$, $p < 0.01$) and cancelled outings ($R^2 = 0.105$, $F_{\text{change}}(1, 187) = 21.974$, $p < 0.001$), but the predictive effects were not significant when the variable of reported concern of COVID-19 information acquisition was added to the regression equations. Reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing had no significant predictive effect on panic buying of masks. In Step 2, the variable of reported concern of COVID-19 information acquiring, when added to the regression equations, explained statistically significant increments of 17.6% - 32.1% of the variance in reported social distancing of refusing visitors and cancelling outings ($F_{\text{change}}(1, 186) \geq 42.818$, $p < 0.001$). Meanwhile, reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition had no significant predictive effects on panic buying of masks or food.

In summary, the contribution of psychological stress was apparent, and it emerged as a very strong predictor of predicting reported concerns of COVID-19 and panic buying. Guarding behaviours for being outside also had a significant predictive effect on respondents' reported SD of cancelling outings. In addition, the perceived risk of COVID-19 had a significant predictive effect on panic buying of masks. Demographic parameters had partially predictive influences on reported concerns of COVID-19 and reported SD of cancelling outings. Reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing was a stronger predictor for panic buying of food, reported social distancing of refusing visitors and cancelling outings. Meanwhile, reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition had significant predictive effects on reported social distancing of refusing visitors and cancelling outings.

Discussion

In the current study, the main aims were to investigate people's perceived risk of COVID-19 and their psychological stress, risk beliefs towards being outside and guarding behaviours for being outside under the shock of epidemic and to explore the predictors for reporting concerns of COVID-19, social distancing and panic buying. First, we attempted to develop questionnaires to measure people's perceived risks of COVID-19, psychological stress, risk beliefs towards being outside, guarding behaviours for being outside, reported concerns of COVID-19, reported social distancing and panic buying during the epidemic. Then, we investigated the relationships among these variables.

Assessments in people's psychological and behavioural variables

One of the objectives in the current study was to investigate people's perceived risk of COVID-19 and their psychological stress, risk beliefs towards being outside and guarding behaviours for being outside under the shock of epidemic, as well as their reported concern of COVID-19, panic buying and reported social distancing during the epidemic. We designed simplified scales or questionnaires to measure these variables.

In general, respondents reported low degrees of risk perception of COVID-19 ($M = 3.59$), which is consistent with previous studies (Taghrir et al., 2020; Wise et al., 2020), indicating that individuals are often poor at perceiving risk. In addition, we found that respondents reported moderate psychological stress ($M = 4.67$). This is in accordance with results from previous research, which concluded that approximately one-third of respondents reported moderate-to-severe anxiety during the initial phase of the COVID-19 outbreak in China (Wang et al. 2020a). Respondents had a low degree of risk beliefs towards being outside ($M = 1.57$) and positive guarding behaviours for being outside ($M = 6.63$), which means that respondents are always willing to protect themselves outside during the outbreak (Roy et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020a). With respect to the behavioural variables during the epidemic, respondents always continue to follow the trend of the epidemic, and their reported concern of COVID-19 information acquisition was high ($M = 6.26$). This finding corresponds to a previous study that found that approximately one-third (31.4%) of respondents spent more than 2 h per day viewing information about COVID-19 on media (Wang et al. 2020b). Furthermore, respondents usually discussed the development of the epidemic with people, and their reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing was relatively high ($M = 5.41$). This is in accordance with results from previous research, which reported that 80% of participants repeatedly discussed the pandemic with their friends during this period (Roy et al. 2020). Respondents tended to cancel outings ($M = 6.41$) and refuse visitors ($M = 5.87$) during the epidemic, which means that respondents tended to maintain social distancing from others. Regarding respondents' panic buying, when they saw or heard of a rise in the number of confirmed cases, they would not panic-purchase medical protective goods ($M = 3.95$) but would panic-purchase food and supplies ($M = 4.58$), which is consistent with previous studies (Ho et al. 2020; Roy et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020b).

Considering differences in variables between different demographic groups, females were more likely to cancel outings during the epidemic than males. Younger respondents (aged between 18 and 29 years) had a higher risk perception of COVID-19 than older respondents (age between 30 and 60 years), which showed the opposite trend with previous research (Leung et al. 2003), and they were more likely to discuss the development of the epidemic with others. They also preferred to stock up on food and supplies under the shock of the epidemic than older respondents. Respondents with higher education level had a higher risk perception of COVID-19 and a lower risk belief towards being outside. They were more likely to take self-guarding behaviours for being outside and maintained social distancing measures of cancelling outings. These findings correspond to a previous study that found that people who were more highly educated had a higher risk perception and were more likely to take precautionary measures against the infection (Leung et al. 2003). Respondents with higher education level reported more concern of COVID-19 information sharing and panic buying of food.

The contributions of psychological variables in predicting people's specific behaviours

The other main objective in this study was to explore the effects of perceived risk of COVID-19, psychological stress and demographic parameters on concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition, concerns of COVID-19 information sharing, panic buying of masks, panic buying of food and reported social distancing of refusing visitors.

Some previous studies (Bish and Michie 2010; Wise et al. 2020) supported that higher perceived personal risk could predict engagement in protective behaviours, such as social distancing. However, this study reported that perceived risk of COVID-19 had no significant predictive effects on respondents reported social distancing of refusing visitors, which may be due to differences between perceived personal risk and perceived risk of COVID-19. In addition, perceived risk of COVID-19 had no significant predictive effects on respondents' reported concerns COVID-19 or panic buying of food but had a significant influence on panic buying of masks, disinfectants and other protective equipment. With respect to the influence of psychological stress, it emerged as a significant predictor for explaining respondents' reported concerns of COVID-19 and panic buying, but it had no significant predictive effects on reported social distancing of refusing visitors, which is consistent with a previous study indicating that there were no associations between the degree of social distancing engagement and any indicator of mental health (Oosterhoff et al. 2020). Regarding demographic parameters, the variable of education was a key determinant in predicting reported concerns of COVID-19, and age group had a significant predictive effect on reported concerns of COVID-19 of information acquisition. Gender was not a significant predictor of reported concerns of COVID-19, panic buying or reported social distancing of refusing visitors.

The contribution of guarding behaviours for being outside in predicting reported SD of cancelling outings

To test one of the main aims of the current study and examine the predictive effects of risk beliefs towards being outside, guarding behaviours for being outside, perceived risk of COVID-19, psychological stress and demographic parameters on reported social distancing of cancelled outings, a hierarchical regression was conducted. This analysis attempted to explain what type of groups tended to cancel outings during the epidemic, which found that guarding behaviours for being outside had a significant predictive effect on reported social distancing of cancelling outings, meaning those who want to adopt protective measures for being outside (such as wearing a mask when going out or washing hands after going out) are more likely to cancel outings. Thus, during the outbreak, we should promote mask wearing and hand washing among people who are currently not willing to take such preventive measures. With respect to the influences of risk beliefs towards being outside, perceived risk of COVID-19 and psychological stress, each variable emerged as a nonsignificant predictor for explaining reported social distancing of cancelling outings, which means that respondents' risk beliefs, risk perceptions and psychological stress have no effect on social distancing measures of cancelling outings. Consistent with a previous study indicating demographic differences in behaviour (Bish and Michie 2010), the variables of gender and education were key determinants in predicting reported social distancing of cancelling outings in this study.

The contributions of reported concerns of COVID-19 in predicting reported social distancing and panic buying

Reported concerns of COVID-19 information sharing emerged as a stronger predictor for reported social distancing of refusing visitors and cancelling outings, but the predictive effects were not significant when the variable of reported concern of COVID-19 information acquisition was added to the regression equations. The variable of reported concern of COVID-19 information acquisition had significant predictive effects on reported social distancing of refusing visitors and cancelling outings. These results mean that increasing the concern of COVID-19 could promote cancelling of outings and refusals of visitors. In addition, reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing was a strong predictor for panic buying of food but had no significant predictive effect on panic buying of masks. Reported concerns of COVID-19 information acquisition had no significant predictive effects on panic buying of masks or food. Thus, we should moderate the degree of information sharing about panic buying during the epidemic.

Conclusions and limitations

In this study, we showed people's psychological and behavioural states during the epidemic and the differences in these variables between different demographic groups. In addition, we showed that risk perceptions, psychological stress and demographics have implications for respondents' reported concerns of COVID-19 and their panic buying behaviours during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, guarding behaviours for being outside and demographics have implications for social distancing behaviour – such as cancelling outings— during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, reported concerns of COVID-19 had significant influences on social distancing and partial effects on panic buying.

There are limitations to our work that should be considered. First, given the limited resources available and time-sensitivity of the COVID-19 outbreak, the sample size of the study was relatively small. As a result, the conclusion was less generalizable to the entire population. It will be important to invite more people to verify the results of this study. Second, our data only reflect the views of those in China and may not be applicable to other cultures. It would be ideal to characterize psychological and behavioural responses across the globe during pandemics in order to implement positive nonpharmaceutical measures and control panic buying, which often are culturally specific. Notwithstanding the above limitations, our study provides invaluable information on people's psychological and behavioural responses during the first stage in the development of the COVID-19 epidemic in China, which could be used as a historical reference. Most importantly, our findings contribute to the prediction of reported social distancing and panic buying behaviours, which were directly related to the application of nonpharmaceutical measures and social management during the epidemic.

References

1. Bhagavathula AS, Aldhaleei WA, Rahmani J, Mahabadi MA, Bandari DK (2020) Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Knowledge and Perceptions: A Survey of Healthcare Workers. Published Online. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381>
2. Bish A, Michie S (2010) Demographic and attitudinal determinants of protective behaviours during a pandemic: A review. *British Journal of Health Psychology* 15(4): 797–824. doi: 10.1348/135910710X485826
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), 2020-5-19. Accessed from <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/social-distancing.html>

4. Chen SM, Yang JT, Yang WZ, Wang C, Bärnighausen T (2020) COVID-19 control in China during mass population movements at New Year. *The Lancet* 395(10226): 764-766. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30421-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30421-9)
5. Fong MW, Gao HZ, Wong JY, Xiao JY, Shiu EYC, Ryu S, Cowling BJ (2020) Nonpharmaceutical Measures for Pandemic Influenza in Nonhealthcare Settings – Social Distancing Measures. *Emerg Infect Dis* 26(5): 976-984. <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/87664>
6. Gostin LO, Lucey D, Phelan A (2014) The Ebola Epidemic: A Global Health Emergency. *JAMA* 312(11): 1095–1096. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.11176
7. Ho CS, Chee CY, Ho RC (2020) Mental health strategies to combat the psychological impact of COVID-19 beyond paranoia and panic. *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore* 49: 1–3
8. Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, Tang HJ, Hsueh PR (2020) Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): The epidemic and the challenges. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 55(3): 105924
9. Leung CC, Lam TH, Cheng KK (2020) Mass masking in the COVID-19 epidemic: people need guidance. *Correspondence* 395. Published Online. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30520-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30520-1)
10. Leung GM, Lam T, Ho L, Ho SY, Chan BHY, Wong IOL, Hedley AJ (2003) The impact of community psychological responses on outbreak control for severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health* 57: 857-863
11. Liao Q, Wu P, Lam WWT, Cowling BJ, Fielding R (2019) Trajectories of public psycho-behavioural responses relating to influenza A(H7N9) over the winter of 2014-15 in Hong Kong. *Psychology & Health* 34(2): 162–80
12. Oosterhoff B, Palmer CA, Wilson J, Shook N (2020) Adolescents' Motivations to Engage in Social Distancing during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Associations with Mental and Social Health. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.05.004>
13. Prem K, Liu Y, Russell TW, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, Davies N, Flasche S, Clifford S, Pearson CAB, Munday JD, Abbott S, Gibbs H, Rosello A, Quilty BJ, Jombart T, Sun F, Diamond C, Gimma A, Zandvoort K, Funk S, Jarvis CI, Edmunds WJ, Bosse NI, Hellewell J, Jit M, Klepac P (2020) The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *The Lancet Public Health* 5(5): e261-e270
14. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y (2020) A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. *General psychiatry* 33(2): e100213. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gpsych-2020-100213>
15. Roy D, Tripathy S, Kar SK, Sharma N, Verma SK, Kaushal V (2020) Study of knowledge, attitude, anxiety & perceived mental healthcare need in Indian population during COVID-19 pandemic. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry* 51: 102083
16. Taghrir MH, Borazjani R, Shiraly R (2020) COVID-19 and Iranian Medical Students; A Survey on Their Related-Knowledge, Preventive Behaviors and Risk Perception. *Arch Iran Med* March 23(4): 249-254. doi: 10.34172/aim.2020.06
17. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS., Ho RC (2020a) Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17: 1729
18. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, McIntyre RS, Choo FN, Tran B, Ho R, Sharma VK, Ho C (2020b) A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.028>
19. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020, 2020-5-19. Accessed from <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19--11-march-2020>
20. World Health Organization: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, 2020-5-19. Accessed from <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019>.
21. Wise T, Zbozinek TD, Michelini G, Hagan CC, mobbs d (2020) Changes in risk perception and protective behavior during the first week of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. *PsyArXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/dz428>
22. Wu Z, McGoogan JM (2020) Characteristics of and Important Lessons from the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 323(13): 1239–1242. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2648
23. Xiang YT, Yang Y, Li W, Zhang L, Zhang Q, Cheung T, Ng CH (2020) Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 7(3): 228-229
24. Xu J, Peng Z (2015) People at Risk of Influenza Pandemics: The Evolution of Perception and Behavior. *PLoS ONE* 10(12): e0144868. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144868>

Tables

Table 1 Respondents' demographic profiles (N=189)

Measures	Frequency	Percentage
Age groups by gender		
18-29 years		
Male	43	41.7
Female	60	58.3
30-60 years		
Male	38	44.2
Female	48	55.8
Education		
Junior college or below	70	37.0
Bachelor or above	119	63.0

Table 2 Zero-order correlations between the study variables (N=189)

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Mean (SD)
1. Perceived Risk of COVID-19	—												3.59 (1.49)
2. Psychological Stress	0.282**	—											4.67 (1.57)
3. Risk Beliefs towards Being Outside	0.007	0.022	—										1.57 (1.13)
4. Guarding Behaviours for Being Outside	0.013	0.106	-0.379**	—									6.63 (0.71)
5. Reported Concerns of COVID-19 - information acquisition	0.037	0.254**	-0.230**	0.595**	—								6.26 (0.91)
6. Reported Concerns of COVID-19 - information sharing	0.137	0.262**	-0.057	0.313**	0.456**	—							5.41 (1.47)
7. Reported SD - cancelled outings	0.086	0.164*	-0.287**	0.693**	0.652**	0.324**	—						6.41 (0.86)
8. Reported SD - refusing visitors	-0.031	0.104	-0.185*	0.456**	0.485**	0.245**	0.484**	—					5.87 (1.23)
9. Panic Buying - masks	0.301**	0.507**	0.082	-0.029	0.005	0.117	0.008	0.057	—				3.95 (1.73)
10. Panic Buying - food	0.208**	0.417**	-0.012	0.106	0.094	0.176*	0.149*	0.190**	0.446**	—			4.58 (1.74)
11. Gender	-0.101	0.083	-0.028	0.065	0.024	-0.022	0.179*	0.064	0.048	0.091	—		—
12. Age group	-0.265**	-0.125	0.105	-0.006	0.102	-0.189**	-0.018	-0.005	-0.089	-0.187**	-0.025	—	—
13. Education group	0.221**	0.099	-0.182*	0.184*	0.123	0.311**	0.190**	0.096	0.141	0.154*	0.000	-0.465**	—

Note. ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$.

Table 3 Hierarchical regression analysis: predicting respondents' reported SD of cancelling outings

Steps and predictors	Reported SD of cancelling outings		
	Step 1 β	Step 2 β	Step 3 β
1			
Gender	0.182*	0.177*	0.140**
Age group	0.095	0.118	0.050
Education	0.234**	0.221**	0.064
2			
Perceived risk of COVID-19		0.050	0.073
Psychological stress		0.128	0.063
3			
Risk beliefs towards being outside			-0.031
Guarding behaviours for being outside			0.653***
R^2	0.075	0.097	0.514
ΔR^2	0.075	0.022	0.417
F_{change}	5.013**	2.189	77.670***

Note. *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$.

Table 4 Hierarchical regression analysis: predicting respondents' reported concerns of COVID-19, panic buying and reported SD of refusing visitors

Steps and predictors	Reported concern of COVID-19 information acquisition		Reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing		Panic buying of masks		Panic buying of food		Reported SD of refusing visitors	
	Step 1 β	Step 2 β	Step 1 β	Step 2 β	Step 1 β	Step 2 β	Step 1 β	Step 2 β	Step 1 β	Step 2 β
1										
Gender	0.029	0.005	-0.023	-0.042	0.047	0.029	0.087	0.065	0.065	0.048
Age group	0.205*	0.226**	-0.057	-0.035	-0.028	0.053	-0.145	-0.093	0.052	0.048
Education	0.218**	0.207*	0.284***	0.272**	0.128	0.083	0.086	0.057	0.120	0.123
2										
Perceived risk of COVID-19		-0.024		-0.002		0.172*		0.072		-0.073
Psychological stress		0.268***		0.234**		0.454***		0.374***		0.115
R^2	0.048	0.116	0.099	0.153	0.023	0.290	0.048	0.203	0.015	0.029
ΔR^2	0.048	0.067	0.099	0.053	0.023	0.267	0.048	0.154	0.015	0.013
F_{change}	3.142*	6.963**	6.812***	5.759**	1.446	34.472***	3.128*	17.729***	0.964	1.268

Note. *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$.

Table 5 Hierarchical regression analysis: the predictive effects of reported concerns of COVID-19

Steps and predictors	Panic buying of masks		Panic buying of food		Reported SD of refusing visitors		Reported SD of cancelling outings	
	Step 1 β	Step 2 β	Step 1 β	Step 2 β	Step 1 β	Step 2 β	Step 1 β	Step 2 β
1								
Reported concern of COVID-19 information sharing	0.117	0.145	0.176*	0.168*	0.245**	0.030	0.324***	0.034
2								
Reported concerning of COVID-19 information acquisition		-0.061		0.017		0.471***		0.637***
R^2	0.014	0.017	0.031	0.031	0.060	0.236	0.105	0.426
ΔR^2	0.014	0.003	0.031	0.000	0.060	0.176	0.105	0.321
F_{change}	2.608	0.552	5.971*	0.043	11.964**	42.818***	21.974***	104.051***

Note. *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$.

Declarations

Authors' contribution Both authors contributed to the study conception and design. Both authors performed material preparation, data collection and analysis and both equally contributed to writing the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Availability of data and material All data are fully available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval This study was approved by the ethics review committee of Beihang University in China.

Informed consent Each respondent was informed of the data use, and was required to sign an informed consent form before the survey.

Human and animal rights Not applicable.

Figures

Figure 1

Difference tests of the study variables between the two age groups (N=189), *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$.

Figure 2

Difference tests of the study variables between the two education groups (N=189), *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Appendix.docx](#)