

1 **Title page:**

2 **Title: Statistical analysis of ionospheric total electron content (TEC): Long-term**
3 **estimation of extreme TEC in Japan**

4 Author #1: Michi Nishioka, National Institute of Information and Communications
5 Technology (NICT), Tokyo, Japan, nishioka@nict.go.jp

6 Author #2: Susumu Saito, Electronic Navigation Research Institute (ENRI), National
7 Institute of Maritime, Port and Aviation Technology (MPAT), Tokyo, Japan

8 Author #3: Chihiro Tao, NICT, Tokyo

9 Author #4: Daikou Shiota, NICT, Tokyo, Japan

10 Author #5: Takuya Tsugawa, NICT, Tokyo, Japan

11 Author #6: Mamoru Ishii, NICT, Tokyo, Japan

12 **Indicate the corresponding author**

13 Michi NISHIOKA (nishioka@nict.go.jp)

14

15 **Abstract**

16 Ionospheric total electron content (TEC) is one of the key parameters for users of radio-
17 based systems, such as the Global Navigation Satellite System, high-frequency
18 communication systems, and space-based remote sensing systems, since total
19 ionospheric delay is proportional to TEC through the propagation path. It is important to
20 know extreme TEC values in readiness for hazardous ionospheric conditions. The
21 purpose of this study is to estimate extreme TEC values with occurrences of once per
22 year, ten years, and hundred years in Japan. In order to estimate the extreme values of
23 TEC, a cumulative distribution function of daily TEC is derived using 22 years of TEC
24 data from 1997 to 2018. The extreme values corresponding to once per year and ten
25 years are 90 and 110 TECU, respectively, in Tokyo, Japan. On the other hand, the 22-
26 year data set is not sufficient to estimate the once-per-hundred-year value. Thus, we use
27 the 62-year data set of manually scaled ionosonde data for the critical frequency of the
28 F-layer (foF2) at Kokubunji in Tokyo. First, we study the relationship between TEC and
29 foF2 for 22 years and investigate the slab thickness. Then the result is applied to the
30 statistical distribution of foF2 data for 62 years. In this study, two methods are applied

31 to estimate the extreme TEC value. In the first method, the distribution of slab thickness
32 is artificially inflated to estimate extreme TEC values. In the second method, extreme
33 slab thicknesses are applied to estimate extreme TEC values. The result shows that the
34 once-per-hundred-year TEC is about 150-190 TECU at Tokyo. The value is also
35 estimated to be 180-230 TECU in Kagoshima and 120-150 TECU in Hokkaido, in the
36 southern and northern parts of Japan, respectively.

37

38 **Keywords**

39 total electron content (TEC), extreme TEC, long-term ionosonde observation, manually
40 scaled foF2, slab thickness

41

42 **Main Text**

43 **1. Introduction**

44 The ionospheric condition is one of the most important space weather features for users
45 of radio-based systems, such as navigation systems based on the Global Navigation
46 Satellite System (GNSS), high frequency (HF) communication systems, and space-

47 based remote sensing systems. Radio waves propagating in the ionosphere experience a
48 delay in group velocity and advance in phase velocity due to the electrons in the
49 ionosphere. The ionospheric delay is proportional to the ionospheric total electron
50 content (TEC) along the propagation path. The easiest way to correct the ionospheric
51 delay is to utilize broadcast ionospheric delay models based on simple empirical TEC
52 models such as the Klobuchar (Klobuchar 1987) and NeQuick (Hochegger et al. 2000,
53 Radicella and Leitinger 2001) models. The TEC value is determined by many factors,
54 such as solar activity, the season, local time, and geomagnetic activity. There is also
55 latitudinal dependence in TEC variations. TEC variations caused by solar activity, the
56 season, and local time may be estimated using these simple models but those caused by
57 geomagnetic storms and other phenomena cannot be fully removed from these models.
58 Therefore, users of radio-based systems may be affected by positive and/or negative
59 ionospheric storms. During negative ionospheric storms, TEC is greater than or equal to
60 0 TECU even if the negative storm is extremely severe. On the other hand, extreme
61 TEC values during positive storms are not unknown and should be studied.

62

63 For the design and operation of systems that may be impacted by space weather
64 phenomena, it is important to know the possible extent of the impact and how often
65 such events are likely to occur. Thus, it is important to study extreme values related to
66 various space weather phenomena. For users of trans-ionosphere radio-based systems,
67 the extreme TEC value is a key value.

68

69 Extreme values of some space weather parameters have been studied. For example, that
70 of the Dst index was investigated using extreme value modeling (Tsubouchi and Omura
71 2007). Those of the solar flare X-ray flux, speed of coronal mass ejection, Dst index,
72 and proton energy in proton events were studied by Riley (2012) using complementary
73 cumulative distribution functions. More recently, that of short-wave fadeout by a solar
74 flare was examined on the basis of long-term ionosonde observation data (Tao et al., in
75 this issue).

76

77 However, extreme TEC values of once per long period of time have not yet been
78 quantitatively estimated. Several countries have prepared documents with space weather

79 benchmarks. The US White House published “Space Weather Phase 1 Benchmarks” in
80 June 2018 (US White House, 2018). Although it lists three factors that cause
81 ionospheric disturbances, such as geomagnetic storms, quantitative benchmarks were
82 not provided because the ionospheric effects of geomagnetic storms on the ionosphere
83 largely differ from event to event and even their mechanism is not completely
84 understood.

85

86 Another reason why extreme TEC values have not been fully studied is that only 20
87 years has passed since the start of fully fledged TEC observations. TEC observations
88 started with measurements of the Faraday rotation or Doppler effect many decades ago
89 (Bauer and Daniels 1959; Evans 1977). Since these observations were conducted by a
90 few transmitters and receivers, it is difficult to study TEC behavior statistically. With
91 the spread of GNSS and its ground-based receivers, the number of TEC observations
92 dramatically increased. Thanks to the GNSS-TEC observation systems, we have learned
93 a lot about TEC behavior during the last 20 years (for example Foster, 2007; Nishioka
94 et al. 2009; Maruyama et al. 2013). The purpose of this study is to estimate extreme

95 values of TEC with their occurrence rates. We investigate the occurrence rates of
96 extreme values of TEC in Japan in the short, mid-, and long term, which are once per
97 year, ten years, and hundred years, respectively.

98

99 To evaluate TEC corresponding to an occurrence rate of once per hundred years, 20
100 years of data is obviously insufficient. Furthermore, solar activity in the last 20 years
101 has on average been moderate, although several intense geomagnetic storms occurred
102 during solar cycle 24. Compared with GNSS-TEC observation, ionosonde observation
103 has a much longer history. This technique was developed in the late 1920s and began to
104 be implemented in the 1940s in order to monitor shortwave propagation (Gladden
105 1959). In Japan, ionosonde observation began in 1931. After going through various
106 changes, routine ionosonde observation was started by the predecessor of National
107 Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT) in 1951 using an
108 automatic system. Ionospheric parameters derived from the long-term ionosonde
109 observation are archived by World Data Center for the Ionosphere at NICT
110 (<http://wdc.nict.go.jp/IONO/wdc/>). Long-term ionosonde data have been used for

111 various studies such as a study of the long-term trends of the ionosphere (Xu et al.,
112 2004) and for the development of empirical models (Bilitza, 2018; Yue et al., 2006;
113 Maruyama, 2011). As the TEC and the maximum density of the F region derived from
114 ionosonde observation (NmF2) are known to be correlated, NmF2 can be a proxy of
115 TEC. In this study, about 60 years of data of ionospheric parameters derived from the
116 long-term ionosonde observation are used. Although the data period is still shorter than
117 one hundred years, we investigate statistical characteristics of extreme TEC values in
118 order to estimate the ionospheric once-per-hundred-year condition.

119

120 The TEC value over Japan depends on the latitude, normally with a larger value in
121 southern Japan. Japan is mainly located in the lower mid-latitude region with a
122 latitudinal range of about 20 degrees. The southern part of Japan is located at the
123 poleward slope of the equatorial ionospheric anomaly (EIA) crest. On the other hand,
124 the northern part is hardly affected by EIA variation and may rather be affected by
125 phenomena originating from the polar region (Cherniak et al., 2015). Therefore,
126 extreme TEC values should also differ among the center, southern, and northern parts of

127 Japan.

128

129 Details of the data set used in this study and the analysis method are described in
130 Sections 2 and 3, respectively. Analysis results are presented in Section 4. In Section 4,
131 the result obtained using about 20 years of TEC data collected in Tokyo, which is
132 almost in the center of Japan, is shown as the first step. Then long-term ionosonde data
133 are analyzed. On the basis of the result, extreme TEC values with probabilities of once
134 per year, ten years, and hundred years are estimated for Tokyo. In the last part of
135 Section 4, the extreme TEC values in southern and northern Japan are also estimated. In
136 Section 5, the results are discussed in comparison with those of case studies of
137 geomagnetic storms in previous papers. Section 6 provides the conclusions of this
138 study.

139

140 **2. Data Set**

141 In this study, we use TEC data derived from the nationwide GNSS network over Japan,
142 which is called the GNSS Earth Observation Network System (GEONET) and operated

143 by the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan, and ionosonde observation data
144 collected over Tokyo.

145

146 GNSS-TEC data derived from GEONET have been archived by NICT since 1997.

147 Using the network data, the slant TEC along the line of sight between the receiver and
148 the satellite was derived from pseudo-range and carrier-phase measurements by dual-
149 frequency GPS receivers (Saito et al., 1998). The instrumental bias of the TEC
150 associated with the inter-frequency bias of the satellite and receiver was obtained by a
151 technique proposed by Otsuka et al. (2002), in which the daily bias values are derived
152 by assuming that hourly averaged TEC values are uniform within the field of view of a
153 given GNSS receiver. The slant TEC is converted to the vertical TEC after removing
154 the instrumental bias. The TEC data from small satellite elevation angles, which is
155 smaller than 35° is neglected to reduce cycle slips and errors due to conversion from
156 slant to vertical TEC. The median value of the vertical TEC whose ionospheric pierce
157 point is located within 100 km from a given location over one hour is derived as an
158 hourly TEC. The largest hourly TEC in a given day is noted as the daily TEC in this

159 paper. The daily TECs of 22 years from 1997 to 2018 are used in this study and studied

160 in Section 4.1.

161

162 Ionospheric conditions have been monitored for about 70 years by NICT using

163 ionosondes in Kokubunji, Tokyo (36.7°N, 139.5°E, 26.8°N in Mag.Lat) and other

164 stations. Ionospheric parameters have been manually scaled from ionograms. In order to

165 ensure uniform quality of data, the scalars have discussed and established scaling rules,

166 although automatic scaling tools have been developed in recent years. Thanks to the

167 substantial efforts of the scalars, ionospheric parameters from the 1950's to the present

168 are now available. In this study, the manually scaled critical frequency of the F-layer

169 (foF2), which corresponds to the peak density of the F-layer, is used. In order to study

170 foF2 with the daily TEC, we refer to the maximum foF2 in a given day as the daily

171 foF2. In Section 4.2, a 22-year data set of daily foF2 values from 1997 to 2018 is used.

172 In the same section, a 62-year data set of daily foF2 values from 1957 to 2018 is also

173 used.

174

175 **3. Method**

176 In order to find extreme values of TEC corresponding to an occurrence frequency of once
177 every certain number of years, the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of daily TEC
178 occurrence is investigated (Riley, 2012; Kataoka, 2020). The CDF of the daily TEC
179 occurrence is a distribution function of daily TEC values that are greater than or equal to
180 a critical TEC. One of the advantages of investigating the CDF instead of a simple
181 occurrence probability is that it is easy to find TEC values with an occurrence frequency
182 of once per long period (Riley, 2012). In other words, the CDF of the daily TEC
183 occurrence provides an occurrence probability of a daily TEC that is greater than or equal
184 to a certain value, while a normal distribution provides the occurrence probability of a
185 daily TEC between two values.

186

187 Although a data set of TEC values over 22 years may be sufficient to investigate TEC
188 values with occurrence frequency of once per year and ten years, it would not be sufficient
189 to investigate the TEC value with an occurrence frequency of once per hundred years.

190 To compensate the insufficient number of TEC data, we utilized a 62-year data set of foF2

191 values in order to calculate NmF2 and study a property of the relationship between TEC
192 and NmF2. The relationship between TEC and foF2 is given by the following equation:

$$193 \quad TEC = S \times NmF2 \quad (1)$$

194 where S is the slab thickness. In this study, characteristics of slab thickness are studied
195 using the 22-year data set of TEC and foF2 values. By utilizing the characteristics of the
196 slab thickness and the 62 years of foF2 data, we deduce CDFs of TEC values over 62
197 years, from which we estimate the TEC value corresponding to occurrence frequency of
198 once per hundred years.

199

200 Even if the 62-year data is utilized to estimate the TEC values with occurrence frequency
201 of once per hundred years, the amount of the data is still not enough. The occurrence rate
202 of a single event in 62-year data set is $1/(365.25 \times 62) = 0.0044\%$. This occurrence rate
203 is larger than that of once-in-hundred-year event, $1/(365.25 \times 100) = 0.003\%$. In order to
204 compensate the insufficient number data set, the distribution was extrapolated in two
205 ways in order to deduce CDFs of TEC values over 62 years in this study. In the former
206 method, which we call Method I, the following four steps are taken to derive the CDF

207 using the 62-year data set of NmF2. For the first step, probability function of slab
208 thickness, P_s , is presumed with the 22-year slab thickness data set. The presumed P_s is
209 used to calculate a probability function of TEC for a given i -th day, P_T^i , with NmF2
210 observed on the day, $NmF2^i$. In the third step, P_T^i is converted to CDF^i , which is a
211 CDF of TEC for i -th day. Finally, CDF^i is derived with all NmF2 values in 62 years and
212 integrated to deduce CDF of TEC values over 62 years.

213

214 Here, in the step one, we assume that slab thickness follows a normal distribution, e.g.,
215 $S \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_S, \sigma_S^2)$ where μ_S and σ_S are mean and standard deviation of slab thickness
216 based on 22 years. The probability function of P_s for slab thickness of s [km] is described
217 as follows

$$218 \quad P_s(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_S} \exp\left(-\frac{(s-\mu_S)^2}{2\sigma_S^2}\right) \quad (2)$$

219 One of the problems in estimating extreme TEC value of once-in-hundred-years is that
220 the number of TEC data, or slab thickness data is insufficient compare to a hundred
221 years. Therefore, the normal distribution, $\mathcal{N}(\mu_S, \sigma_S^2)$, cannot reproduce extreme slab
222 thickness. In order to compensate the lack of extreme values with $\mathcal{N}(\mu_S, \sigma_S^2)$, we

223 introduce an inflated sigma, which is described as $\hat{\sigma}_s$, to model the slab thickness.

224 Inflation factor, $\frac{\hat{\sigma}_s}{\sigma_s}$, is determined by comparing TEC values of once-in-ten-years

225 deduced with various inflation factors with that based on 22-year TEC data set.

226

227 As the step 2, a probability function of TEC for i -th day, P_T^i is calculated on the

228 assumption that NmF2 and slab thickness are independent parameters. The TEC^i

229 follows a normal distribution with mean and standard deviation of $NmF2_i \times \mu_S$ and

230 $NmF2_i \times \sigma_S$, respectively. That is, $TEC^i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_T, \sigma_T^2)$ where $\mu_T = NmF2_i \times \mu_S$ and

231 $\sigma_T = NmF2_i \times \sigma_S$. The distribution of TEC^i for TEC of t [TECU] is expressed as the

232 following equation:

$$233 \quad P_T^i(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_T} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-\mu_t)}{2\sigma_T^2}\right) \quad (3)$$

234 Since TEC^i follows normal distribution, CDF of TEC^i , CDF^i , is given using error

235 function, erf,

$$236 \quad CDF_i = \int_{TEC}^{\infty} P_T^i(t) dt = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{TEC} P_T^i(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{TEC^i}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_T}\right) \quad (4).$$

237 In the final step, CDF^i is calculated for each day in the 62 years and added to obtain

238 CDF , that is,

239 $CDF = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i CDF^i$ (5)

240 where N is the total number of the day in 62 years.

241

242 In the latter method, which we call Method II, CDF_{TEC} of extreme case was deduced by

243 multiplying the extreme slab thickness, which could occur once in ten and hundred

244 years, by the 62-year data set of daily foF2. By assuming that the slab thickness has a

245 normal distribution with a mean μ and a standard deviation σ , the value corresponding

246 to occurrence of once per ten and hundred years, or 0.03% and 0.003%, are $\mu + 3\sigma$

247 and $\mu + 4.2\sigma$, respectively. CDF_{TEC} for the 62 years can be deduced by multiplying the

248 CDF of NmF2 for the 62 years by the extreme values of slab thickness.

249

250 Since the slab thickness is known to have seasonal dependence, a single value of the

251 slab thickness is not appropriate for estimating TEC from foF2. In order to estimate P_{ST}

252 in Method I, data set of slab thickness is divided into four seasons, that is, from

253 February to April, from May to July, from August to October, from November to

254 January. Four seasonal P_{ST} are used to estimated CDF_{TEC} in Equations (3), (4) and (5).

255 Three-month data is used to derive P_{ST} in Method I to obtain sufficient number of data
256 for the inflation. On the other hand, monthly data is used to calculate the mean μ and the
257 standard deviation σ in Method II.

258

259 **4. Results**

260 **4.1 Statistical analysis of TEC over 22 years**

261 Figure 1 shows the CDF of the daily TEC occurrence at Tokyo. The occurrence rate is
262 shown on the left axis. The occurrence rate on the left-hand axis of the ordinate is days
263 per hundred years, which is obtained by dividing the occurrence days by the total number
264 of days in 22 years and then multiplying those in 100 years. Therefore, an occurrence rate
265 of one day means an occurrence rate of once per hundred years. The occurrence rate is
266 converted to the occurrence percentage and shown on the right-hand axis of the ordinate.
267 An occurrence probability of 0.3%, which corresponds to a frequency of once per year,
268 is shown as a solid horizontal line. It is found that the daily TEC can reach about 90 TECU
269 with a frequency of once per year. The occurrence probabilities of once per ten years and
270 once per hundred years correspond to 0.03% and 0.003% and are shown with dotted and

271 dashed horizontal lines, respectively. It is found that a daily TEC of more than 100 TECU
272 occurs with a frequency of once per ten years. The TEC values with frequencies of once
273 per year and once per ten years are summarized in Table 1.

274 On the other hand, the daily once-per-hundred-year TEC value cannot be appropriately
275 estimated from Figure 1 because the distribution is based on only 22 years of data.

276

277 The colors in the histograms in Figure 1 represent the classifications based on solar and
278 geomagnetic activity: red, pink, blue, and light blue represent days of high solar activity
279 and high geomagnetic activity (HSHG), high solar activity and low geomagnetic activity
280 (HSLG), low solar activity and high geomagnetic activity (LSHG), and low solar activity
281 and low geomagnetic activity (LSLG), respectively. Solar and geomagnetic activities are
282 respectively defined on the basis of the solar sunspot number (SSN) and disturbance
283 storm-time (DST) index, which are provided as sunspot data from the World Data Center
284 SILSO, Royal Observatory of Belgium, Brussels (<http://sidc.be/silso/datafiles>) and WDC
285 for Geomagnetism, Kyoto (<http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/dst/dir/index.html>), respectively.
286 HS (LS) days are defined as days for which the average daily SSN for the previous 27

287 days is greater than or equal to (less than) 50. HG (LG) days are defined as days for which
288 the average daily DST of the current day and the previous day is less than or equal to
289 (greater than) -50 nT. It can be seen that a TEC of 60 TECU or larger is most likely to be
290 observed when either the solar activity or the geomagnetic activity is high, while those
291 exceeding 100 TECU are observed only when the solar activity is high.

292

293 **4.2 Statistical analysis of foF2 over 22 and 62 years**

294 Here, CDFs of the daily foF2 occurrence are studied in order to estimate once-per-
295 hundred-year values. First, a CDF of the daily foF2 occurrence over the same period as
296 in Figure 1, from 1997 to 2018, were examined in comparison with that of the 22 years
297 of TEC data in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows a CDF of the daily foF2 occurrence, that is, the
298 distribution of the daily foF2 that is greater than or equal to some critical foF2. As in
299 Figure 1, the occurrence rate per hundred years is shown on the left-hand axis of the
300 ordinate and the occurrence rate in percentage is shown on the right axis. The
301 occurrence frequencies of once per year, ten years, and hundred years of 0.3%, 0.03%,
302 and 0.003% are shown as solid, dotted, and dashed horizontal lines, respectively. The
303 colors in Figure 2 represent solar and geomagnetic activities similarly to in Figure 1;

304 red, pink, blue, and light blue represent days of HSHG, HSLG, LSHG, and LSLG,
305 respectively. The largest foF2 was about 17.5 MHz. It is found that foF2 was higher
306 than 15 MHz for only HSHG and HSLG days, which is similar to the result in Figure 1.

307

308 The same analysis is carried out for the 62-year foF2 data set from 1957 to 2018. The
309 result is shown in Figure 3 in the same format as Figure 2. The maximum observed
310 foF2 is about 18.7 MHz, which is slightly larger than that obtained from the 22-year
311 data set in Figure 2. The maximum foF2 18.7 MHz was observed during geomagnetic
312 storm in November 1960 when DST index reached -333 nT (Cliver and Svalgaard
313 2004). Moreover, the occurrence rate of daily foF2 values larger than 16.8 MHz in
314 Figure 3, which corresponds to the rightmost bar in the histogram, is about twice of that
315 in Figure 2.

316

317 **4.3 Estimation of extreme TEC from slab thickness using Method I**

318 As the characteristics of the CDFs of the daily foF2 occurrence are different for the 22-
319 and 62-year data sets, the once-per-hundred-year TEC value cannot be estimated by

320 extrapolating the CDF of the daily TEC occurrence obtained from the 22-year data set.

321 In this sub-section, we estimate the once-per-hundred-year TEC value by using the 62-
322 year foF2 data set with Method I.

323 The value of foF2 is proportional to the square root of the maximum ionospheric
324 density, NmF2. NmF2 is given by the following equation.

$$325 \quad NmF2[m^{-3}] = 1.24 \times 10^{10} \times foF2^2 [MHz] \quad (5)$$

326 Figure 4 shows the correlation between daily TEC and NmF2 derived from the daily
327 foF2. All data collected over 22 years are shown in this scatter plot. It can be seen that
328 TEC and NmF2 have a strong correlation. The red line is the least-squares linear
329 approximation of all data. As shown in Equation (1), the slope, which is about 250 km,
330 is equivalent to the thickness of the ionosphere that gives a TEC value with a density of
331 NmF2. This parameter, which is called the ionospheric slab thickness, is used to deduce
332 TEC from NmF2 because of the strong correlation between daily TEC and daily foF2.

333

334 In order to derive CDFs of TEC values over 62 years with Method I, distribution of slab
335 thickness is examined. Figure 5 shows distribution of slab thickness from 1997 to 2018.
336 Mean and standard deviation of the distribution is 215 km and 52 km, respectively. The
337 red curve represents the normal distribution with the mean and the standard deviation.
338 The distribution in three months from May to July is shown in Figure 6. The mean and
339 standard deviation of the distribution is 273 km and 45 km. The mean is larger than that
340 in Figure 5, which is one of the seasonal effects. The red curve represents a normal
341 distribution with the mean and the standard deviation. The curve roughly fits the slab
342 thicknesses but does not cover large values such as more than 400 km. Mean values and
343 standard deviations of other seasons, that is, from February to April, from August to
344 October, and November to January, are listed in Table 2. Normal distributions with the
345 mean and the standard deviations for each season listed in Table 2 are applied for P_{ST} in
346 Method I. The result of CDF_{TEC} is shown with black histograms in Figure 7. TEC of
347 once per ten and hundred years, that is, TEC of 0.03% and 0.003% was 35 TECU and
348 45 TECU, respectively, which are smaller than those can be read in Figure 1. This is
349 because of the assumption of the normal distribution, which cannot cover the large slab

350 thickness. In order to cover them, normal distributions are inflated using an inflation
351 factor. The blue curves in Figure 6 show the inflated normal distribution with inflation
352 factors. The dashed and solid lines are derived with inflation factors of 2.0 and 3.8,
353 respectively. The inflated normal distribution with an inflation factor of 3.8 overbounds
354 the large slab thickness around 480 km while that of 2.0 is too small to cover the large
355 slab thicknesses. In order to optimize the inflation factor, once-per-ten-year TEC value
356 is calculated using various inflation factors to obtain CDF_{TEC} . Figure 8 shows the once
357 per ten-year TEC value as a function of the inflation factor. It increases as the inflation
358 factor increases and exceeds 110 TECU, which is the once-per-ten-year TEC value
359 based on the 22-year TEC data set, when the inflation factor changes from 3.7 to 3.8. In
360 this paper, therefore, the inflation factor of 3.8 is adopted based on the 22-year TEC
361 data set. Using the inflated normal distribution with the inflation factor of 3.8, CDF of
362 TEC are derived as blue histogram in Figure 7. TEC of once per ten and hundred years,
363 that is, TEC of 0.03% and 0.003% was 110 TECU and 150 TECU, respectively.

364

365 **4.4 Estimation of extreme TEC from slab thickness using Method II**

366 In this sub-section, we estimate the once-per-hundred-year TEC value by using the 62-
367 year foF2 data set with Method II. Here, we calculated the mean and the standard
368 deviation of slab thickness for each month. Figure 9 shows the slab thickness against
369 the day of the year for 22 years from 1997 to 2018. Data are sparser from June to
370 August compared with other months, because foF2 values often cannot be obtained
371 owing to masking by the sporadic E-layer, which often appears in these months. The red
372 polyline is the monthly mean of the slab thickness. The monthly mean slab thickness is
373 about 180 km in winter and 280 km in summer. Blue and red vertical lines indicate the
374 ranges of $\pm 3\sigma$ and $\pm 4.2\sigma$. These ranges are equivalent to probabilities of once per ten
375 and hundred years, respectively, when the estimated slab thickness is assumed to have a
376 normal distribution, that is, occurrence probability of the values larger than average $+3\sigma$
377 and $+4.2\sigma$ are 0.13% and 0.001%

378

379 Here we estimate the daily TEC from the daily NmF2 data, assuming the slab thickness
380 has only seasonal dependence. Figure 10 shows the CDFs of the estimated daily TEC
381 occurrence obtained using the monthly mean slab thickness and observed NmF2 from

1957 to 2018. The black histograms are distributions of the daily TEC estimated with the monthly mean slab thickness, which is shown with a red polyline in Figure 9. The number of days per 100 years and the occurrence rate are shown on the left- and right-hand axes of the ordinate, respectively. The black solid, dotted, and dashed horizontal lines correspond to 0.3% (once a year), 0.03% (once every ten years), and 0.003% (once every hundred years), respectively. The blue histograms in Figure 10 are the distribution of TEC estimated with the average + 3σ slab thickness (upper value of the blue vertical line in Figure 9), which corresponds to a slab thickness with a frequency of once per ten years. According to this histogram, the TEC with a frequency of once per ten years is 130 TECU or more. Furthermore, the red histograms in Figure 10 are derived from the average + 4.2σ slab thickness (upper limit of the red vertical line in Figure 3). This result indicates that TEC values of more than 190 TECU can be observed with a frequency of once per hundred years. These TEC values are summarized in Table 1.

395

396 **4.4 Latitudinal dependence of extreme TEC**

397 Figures 1–9 are results based on data obtained in Tokyo. Here we estimate extreme TEC
398 values for southern and northern Japan because TEC behavior is expected to be different

399 at different magnetic latitudes. Figure 11 shows the correlations of daily TEC between
400 Tokyo and Kagoshima (31.2°N, 130.6°E, 21.7°N in Mag. Lat) and between Tokyo and
401 Hokkaido (45.2°N, 141.8°E 36.4°N in Mag. Lat) for 22 years from 1997 to 2018.
402 Basically, the TEC in Tokyo is smaller than that in Kagoshima and larger than that in
403 Hokkaido. The red line represents the linear approximation of these data and reveals that
404 the TECs in Kagoshima and Hokkaido are, on average, 1.2 and 0.8 times that in Tokyo,
405 respectively. From these results, the TEC values with probabilities of once per year, ten
406 years, and hundred years are estimated as 110, 130-155, and 180-230 TECU (70, 90-105,
407 and 120-150 TECU), respectively, in Kagoshima (Hokkaido) as round to the nearest
408 multiple of five. The numbers are summarized in the second and third rows in Table 1.

409

410

411 **5. Discussion**

412 It is important to estimate the occurrence rates of extreme values of TEC in Japan in the
413 short, mid-, and long term, which are once per year, ten years, and hundred years,
414 respectively, in readiness for hazardous ionospheric conditions. “Space Weather Phase 1

415 Benchmarks”, which was published by the USA White House in June 2018, lists three
416 factors that cause ionospheric disturbances: solar flares, proton events, and geomagnetic
417 storms. However, quantitative benchmarks are difficult to derive because the effects of
418 geomagnetic storms largely differ from event to event. Furthermore, the mechanism of
419 ionospheric storms is not yet completely understood. Although the results in this paper
420 are limited to the region around Japan, they are a starting point for evaluating benchmarks
421 in other regions.

422

423 One of the challenges is to estimate extreme TEC value such as once per a hundred year
424 with a limited data set. In this study, we have 22-year TEC data set and 62-year foF2
425 data set. Method I assumes the probability distribution of slab thickness as a normal
426 distribution. First, raw σ is used to model the slab thickness with the 22-year data set.
427 The resulting CDF which is shown with black histograms in Figure 7 underestimates the
428 observed CDFs in Figure 1. The TEC values of once-per-year, for example, was about
429 90 TECU in Figure 1 while that of black histograms in Figure 7 was less than 30 TECU.
430 One of the reasons that the values underestimate extreme TEC values is that comes that

431 the normal distribution cannot reproduce large value of slab thickness such as over 400
432 km. In order to cover the large slab thickness, the slab thickness distribution was
433 approximated by inflated normal distributions. The inflation factor is a key parameter
434 which affects the extreme TEC values. The solid and dashed lines in Figure 8 show
435 TEC values which would occur once per ten and hundred years, respectively as a
436 function of the inflation factor. If the inflation factor is chosen as 5, the once-per-ten-
437 year TEC value is more than 150 TECU, which is comparable to the once-per-hundred-
438 year TEC value for the inflation factor of 3.8. Inflation factor largely affects the extreme
439 TEC value in Method I while this study adopts the inflation factor of 3.8 based on 22-
440 year TEC data set.

441

442 In Figure 6, the inflated normal distribution with an inflation factor of 3.8 overbounds
443 the large slab thickness around 480 km while that of 2.0 does not. A discussion should
444 be done for the assumption of normal distribution for the slab thickness. As shown in
445 Figures 5 and 6, the distribution of slab thickness has long tail, the tail cannot be
446 reproduced by normal distributions even if the σ is inflated. Alternative approach

447 would be to model the distribution in a different way. The distribution in Figures 5 and
448 6 could be fitted by a sum of two normal functions which centers the core part and the
449 tail parts, so-called double Gaussian, instead of multiplying an inflation factor to the
450 standard deviation, which is left for future studies.

451

452 Comparing Method I and Method II, Method II is more conservative than Method I
453 because Method II takes out the extreme slab thickness multiplies it with TEC values.
454 Method I has an advantage in order to grasp the overall distribution while extreme large
455 values are not reproduced, which may depends on how to determine the inflation factor.
456 Method II has an advantage in estimating extreme values while overall distribution is
457 not very accurate.

458

459 In this study, we estimated extreme TEC values by assuming that the slab thickness has
460 only seasonal dependence. The seasonal dependence of the slab thickness shown in
461 Figure 9 is consistent with the results of previous studies (Jin et al., 2007; Huang et al.,
462 2016). Another factor determining the slab thickness is the dynamics and/or composition

463 change caused by geomagnetic disturbances. According to Stankov and Warnant (2009),
464 the slab thickness is systemically enhanced during geomagnetic disturbances for both
465 positive and negative ionospheric storms. Extreme values of TEC estimated by blue or
466 red histograms in Figure 10 would be recorded during geomagnetic storm conditions.

467

468

469 Extreme positive storms are thought to be caused by a geomagnetic disturbance that
470 induces prompt penetration of the electric field (Tsurutani et al., 2004). The largest
471 reported TEC is about 330 TECU to our knowledge, which was recorded by a GPS
472 receiver onboard the CHAMP satellite at an altitude of about 400 km during the October
473 2003 Halloween storm (Mannucci et al., 2005). Magnetic latitude where the 330 TECU
474 was observed was about 25°S. Although the observation was in the south hemisphere, the
475 magnetic latitude is similar to that of Tokyo (26.8°N). The TEC value of 330 TECU
476 reported in Mannucci et al. (2005) is much higher than our result of 190 TECU, which is
477 conservatively estimated in Method II.

478

479 Before discussing possible reasons for the discrepancy between our result and that
480 reported in Mannucci (2005) , we have to discuss estimation accuracy of the instrumental
481 bias to derive absolute value of TEC. In estimating instrumental bias, we assume that the
482 hourly average of vertical TEC is uniform within an area covered by a receiver; this area
483 approximately corresponds to a surrounding of 1000 km (Otsuka et al., 2002). It is
484 reported that the technique can derive absolute values of TEC with the accuracy of ~ 3
485 TECU in the daytime and ~ 1 TECU in the nighttime, respectively, during quiet and
486 moderated disturbed day. It is also reported the characteristics of temporal and spatial
487 distribution of absolute TEC are consistent with the previous studies during a
488 geomagnetic storm day. Nonetheless, during the geomagnetic disturbed condition, TEC
489 tends to have spatial gradient and large scale travelling ionospheric disturbances
490 (LSTIDs) could appear. The horizontal scale of LSTIDs is more than 2,000 km, which is
491 larger than the assumption of TEC uniformity. Therefore, there is a possibility that the
492 assumption of the TEC uniformity tends to be invalid during severe geomagnetic storm
493 days. Zhang et al. (2009) investigated influences of geomagnetic storms on the estimation
494 of GPS instrumental biases. The bias errors are in order of a few TECU while the errors

495 are different among geomagnetic storms and its duration. Since the order of the errors in
496 estimating instrumental bias is less than ten TEC, we speculate that the error would not
497 reverse the difference between our result (190 TECU) and that in Manucci et al. (330
498 TECU) while further quantitative investigation would be necessary in order to clarify the
499 estimation errors.

500

501 Here we discuss possible reasons for the difference between these values. One possibility
502 is differences in observation opportunities. The characteristics of ionospheric storms are
503 not always similar among geomagnetic storms, with their magnitude varying greatly from
504 event to event. Mannucci et al. (2008) analyzed four intense geomagnetic storms in 2003
505 including the event for which the extreme value of 330 TECU was observed by the
506 CHAMP satellite. A dramatic increase in TEC was observed in only one event. The
507 observed TEC on the other three storm days was around 100 TECU or less. If the event-
508 to-event difference is too large, 70 years of data might not be enough to estimate TEC
509 values for once-per-hundred-year or once-per-thousand-year events.

510

511 Another possibility accounting for the difference between the extreme value of

512 330 TECU in Mannucci et al. (2005) and our result is the longitude dependence of the
513 ionospheric influence on geomagnetic storms. Immel and Mannucci (2013) analyzed
514 global TEC maps during geomagnetic storms over seven years. Their analysis confirmed
515 that on average the American sector exhibits larger TEC enhancements regardless of the
516 onset UT. Greer et al. (2017) used the Global Ionosphere–Thermosphere Model to carry
517 out an experiment on a geomagnetic storm by modifying the storm arrival UT. The result
518 indicated that the strongest enhancements of TEC during storms are found in the
519 American and Pacific longitude sectors. They suggested that the longitudinal
520 dependences were due to Earth’s asymmetrical geomagnetic topology in the American
521 and Pacific sectors. The difference between our results and that of Mannucci et al. (2005)
522 may originate from the difference between the Japanese and American/Pacific sectors. In
523 order to clarify whether the longitudinal dependence results in the large difference
524 between the results of this study and that of Mannucci et al. (2008), long-term
525 observational data in addition to data over oceans are necessary.

526

527

528 This study focuses on positive ionospheric storms, which may significantly affect
529 GNSS users. On the other hand, the effect of negative storms on space weather users
530 may also be significant, particularly for HF communicators, who may experience
531 blackouts during negative ionospheric storms. In addition, parameters other than TEC,
532 such as maximum usable frequency (MUF) and scintillation indices, should be studied
533 for extreme cases.

534

535 **6. Summary**

536 In this study, extreme values of TEC with frequencies of once per year, ten years, and
537 hundred years were investigated. The results are summarized as follows:

538 • The CDF of daily TEC values was studied for a 22-year data set observed in Tokyo in
539 order to estimate TECs with frequencies of once per year and ten years. The obtained
540 once-per-year and once-per-ten-year TECs were 90 and 110 TECU, respectively.

541 • In order to estimate the once-per-hundred-year TEC value, 62 years of manually scaled
542 ionosonde data were used to augment the insufficient observation period of TEC. The
543 slab thickness was assumed to have only seasonal variation and was used to estimate TEC

544 from 62 years of foF2 data. In this study, two methods were tested in order to compensate
545 the insufficient number of data.

546 • In Method I, the slab thickness distribution is modeled with artificially inflated normal
547 distributions. The inflation factor was determined by calibrating the once-in-ten-year
548 TEC value deduced with various inflation factors with that based on 22-year TEC data
549 set. The once-per-ten-year TEC was result as 150 TECU.

550 • In Method II, extreme slab thickness is applied to deduce the extreme TEC values. Slab
551 thickness of the average + 3σ and + 4.2σ , which correspond to once-per-ten-years and
552 once-per-hundred-years, respectively, to deduce the extreme values of TEC. The result
553 was 190 TECU. In Method II, once-per-ten-year TEC is also derived and was 130 TECU.

554 • Extreme TEC values were also studied for Kagoshima and Hokkaido in southern and
555 northern Japan, respectively. In Kagoshima, those which occur once per one, ten, and a
556 hundred years are 110 TECU, 130-155 TECU, and 180-230 TECU, respectively. In
557 Hokkaido, they are 70 TECU, 90-105 TECU, and 120-150 TECU, respectively.

558

559 **Declarations**

560 **Ethical approval and consent to participate**

561 Not applicable

562 **Consent for publication**

563 Not applicable

564 **List of abbreviations**

565 EIA: equatorial ionospheric anomaly

566 EUV: solar extreme ultraviolet (EUV)

567 foF2: critical frequency of the F-layer

568 GEONET: GNSS Earth Observation Network System

569 GNSS: Global Navigation Satellite System

570 HF: high frequency

571 HSHG: high solar and high geomagnetic activity

572 HSLG: high solar and low geomagnetic activity

573 LSHG: low solar and high geomagnetic activity

574 LSLG: low solar and low geomagnetic activity

575 MUF: maximum usable frequency

576 NICT: National Institute of Information and Communications

577 Technology

578 NmF2: maximum density of the F2 layer

579 TEC: total electron content

580 **Availability of data and materials**

581 The TEC data used in this study are archived on NICT's homepage

582 (<https://aer-nc-web.nict.go.jp/GPS/GEONET/>). Manually scaled

583 ionosonde parameters are also archived on NICT's homepage

584 (http://wdc.nict.go.jp/IONO/HP2009/ISDJ/manual_txt.html).

585 **Competing interests**

586 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

587 **Funding**

588 This work was supported by MEXT/JSPS KAKENHI Grant 15H05813.

589 **Authors' contributions**

590 MN conducted the research and has responsibility for the results

591 presented in this paper. SS has supported this analysis and contributed to

592 the discussion. CT, DS, TT, and MI contributed to the discussion as
593 experts of ionosphere and space weather. All authors read and
594 approved the final manuscript.

595 **Acknowledgements**

596 GPS data of GEONET were provided by the Geospatial Information
597 Authority of Japan. MN thanks to ionograms scalers of NICT and
598 forerunner of NICT for valuable manually scaled data.

599 **References**

- 600 Bauer, Siegfried J., and Fred B. Daniels (1955) Measurements of Ionospheric Electron
601 Content by Lunar Radio Technique, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 64:10:1371-
602 1376.
- 603 Bilitza, D. (2018) IRI the International Standard for the Ionosphere. *Adv. Radio Sci.*,
604 16, 1–11, <https://doi.org/10.5194/ars-16-1-2018>.
- 605 Cherniak, I., I. Zakharenkova, and R. J. Redmon (2015) Dynamics of the high-latitude
606 ionospheric irregularities during the 17 March 2015 St. Patrick's Day storm: Ground-
607 based GPS measurements. *Space Weather*, 13, 585-597. doi:10.1002/2015SW001237.

608 Foster, J. C., W. Rideout, B. Sandel, W. T. Forrester, and F. J. Rich (2007) On the
609 relationship of SAPS to storm-enhanced density, *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 69:303-313.

610 Cliver, E. W. and L. Svalgaard (2004) The 1859 solar-terrestrial disturbances and the
611 current limits of extreme space weather activity, *Solar Physics.* 224, 407–422

612

613 Gladden, Sanford C. (1959) A history of vertical-incidence ionosphere sounding at the
614 national bureau of standards, United states department of commerce office of technical
615 services.

616 Greer, K. R., T. Immel, and A. Ridley (2017) On the variation in the ionospheric
617 response to geomagnetic storms with time of onset. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.*
618 122:4512-4525. doi:10.1002/2016JA02345.

619 Hochegger, G, B. Nava, S.M. Radicella, R. Leitinger (2000) A family of ionospheric
620 models for different uses, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part C: Solar, Terrestrial*
621 *& Planetary Science*, 25:4:307-310

622 Huang, H., L. Liu, Y. Chen, H. Le, and W. Wan (2016). A global picture of ionospheric
623 slab thickness derived from GIM TEC and COSMIC radio occultation observations. *J.*

624 Geophys. Res. Space Phys. 121:867-880. doi:10.1002/2015JA021964.

625 Immel, T. J., and A. J. Mannucci (2013) Ionospheric redistribution during geomagnetic
626 storms. J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys. 118:7928-7939. doi:10.1002/2013JA018919.

627 Jin, S., J.-H. Cho, and J.-U. Park (2007). Ionospheric slab thickness and its seasonal
628 variations observed by GPS, J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys. 69(15):1864-1870.
629 doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2007.07.008.

630 Kataoka Ryuho (2020), Extreme geomagnetic activities: a statistical study, Vol 72, 124,
631 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-020-01261-8>.

632

633 Klobuchar, J. A. (1987), Ionospheric Time-Delay Algorithm for Single-Frequency GPS
634 Users, IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, Vol AES-23:3 325-
635 331.

636 Mannucci, A. J., B. T. Tsurutani, B. A. Iijima, A. Komjathy, A. Saito, W. D.
637 Gonzalez, F. L. Guarnieri, J. U. Kozyra, and R. Skoug (2005) Dayside global
638 ionospheric response to the major interplanetary events of October 29 . 30, 2003
639 Halloween Storm. Geophys. Res. Lett. 32:L12S02. doi:10.1029/2004GL021467.

640 Mannucci, A. J., B. T. Tsurutani, M. A. Abdu, W. D. Gonzalez, A. Komjathy, E. Echer,
641 B. A. Iijima, G. Crowley, and D. Anderson (2008) Superposed epoch analysis of the
642 dayside ionospheric response to four intense geomagnetic storms. *J. Geophys. Res.*
643 113:A00A02, doi:10.1029/2007JA012732.

644 Maruyama, T (2011) Modified solar flux index for upper atmospheric applications. *J.*
645 *Geophys. Res.* 116:A08303. doi:10.1029/2010JA016322.

646 Maruyama, T., G. Ma, and T. Tsugawa (2013) Storm-induced plasma stream in the low-
647 latitude to midlatitude ionosphere. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* 118:5931-5941.
648 doi:10.1002/jgra.50541.

649 Nishioka, M., A. Saito, and T. Tsugawa (2009) Super-medium-scale traveling
650 ionospheric disturbance observed at midlatitude during the geomagnetic storm on 10
651 November 2004. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114:A07310. doi:10.1029/2008JA013581.

652 Otsuka, Y., T. Ogawa, A. Saito, T. Tsugawa, S. Fukao, and S. Miyazaki (2002) A new
653 technique for mapping of total electron content using GPS network in Japan. *Earth*
654 *Planets Space* 54:63-70.

655 Radicella, S. M., R. Leitinger (2001) The evolution of the DGR approach to model

656 electron density profiles, *Advances in Space Research*, 27:1:35-40

657 Riley, P. (2012) On the probability of occurrence of extreme space weather events.
658 *Space Weather* 10:S02012. doi:10.1029/2011SW000734.

659 Saito, A., S. Fukao, and S. Miyazaki (1998) High resolution mapping of TEC
660 perturbations with the GSI GPS network over Japan. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25:3079-3082.
661 doi:10.1029/98GL52361.

662 Stankov, S. M. and R. Warnant (2009) Ionospheric slab thickness. Analysis, modelling
663 and monitoring. *Adv. Space Res.* 44:1295-1303.

664 Tao, C., M. Nishioka, T. Tsugawa, S. Saito, D. Shiota, K. Watanabe, and M. Ishii
665 (2020) Statistical analysis of short-wave fadeout for extreme event estimation. *Earth
666 Planets Space*, submitted to this issue.

667 Tsubouchi, K. and Y. Omura (2007) Long-term occurrence probabilities of intense
668 geomagnetic storm events. *Space Weather* 5:S12003. doi: 10.1029/2007SW000329.

669 Tsurutani, B. T., et al. (2004) Global dayside ionospheric uplift and enhancement
670 associated with interplanetary electric fields. *J. Geophys. Res.* 109, A08302,
671 doi:10.1029/2003JA010342.

672 US White House (2018) Space Weather Phase 1 Benchmarks. Space Weather
673 Operations, Research, and Mitigation Subcommittee Committee on Homeland and
674 National Security, National Science & Technology Council.
675 <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Space-Weather-Phase-1->
676 [Benchmarks-Report.pdf](#).
677 Xu, Z.-W., J. Wu, K. Igarashi, H. Kato, and Z.-S. Wu (2004). Long-term ionospheric
678 trends based on ground-based ionosonde observations at Kokubunji, Japan. *J. Geophys.*
679 *Res.* 109:A09307. doi:10.1029/2004JA010572.
680 Yue, X., W. Wan, L. Liu, B. Ning, and B. Zhao (2006). Applying artificial neural
681 network to derive long-term foF2 trends in the Asia/Pacific sector from ionosonde
682 observations. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111:A10303. doi:10.1029/2005JA01157.
683 Zhang, W., D. H. Zhang, and Z. Xiao (2009), The influence of geomagnetic storms on
684 the estimation of GPS instrumental biases, *Ann. Geophys.*, 27, 1613–1623, [www.ann-](http://www.ann-geophys.net/27/1613/2009/)
685 [geophys.net/27/1613/2009/](http://www.ann-geophys.net/27/1613/2009/)

686 **Figure legends**

687 **Figure 1.**

688 Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of daily TEC occurrence at Tokyo from 1997 to
689 2018. The occurrence rate, which is the number of days per hundred years, and the
690 occurrence percentage are shown on the left and right axes, respectively. Red, pink, blue,
691 and light blue represent days of HSHG, HSLG, LSHG, and LSLG, respectively. The solid,
692 dotted, and dashed horizontal lines represent occurrence rates of 0.3%, 0.03%, and
693 0.003%, which correspond to occurrence frequencies of once per year, ten years, and
694 hundred years, respectively.

695 **Figure 2.**

696 CDF of the daily foF2 occurrence from 1997 to 2018 at Kokubunji station, Tokyo. The
697 occurrence rate, which is the number of days per hundred years, and the occurrence rate
698 in percentage are shown on the left- and right-hand axes of the ordinate, respectively.
699 Red, pink, blue, and light blue represent days of HSHG, HSLG, LSHG, and LSLG,
700 respectively. The solid, dotted, and dashed horizontal lines represent occurrence rates of
701 0.3%, 0.03%, and 0.003%, which correspond to frequencies of once per year, ten years,
702 and hundred years, respectively.

703 **Figure 3.**

704 CDF of the daily foF2 from 1957 to 2018. The plotting format is the same as that of

705 Figure 2.

706 **Figure 4.**

707 Scatter plot of daily TEC and corresponding daily NmF2 from 1997 to 2018. The red

708 line represents a linear fitting to the data points.

709 **Figure 5.**

710 Distribution of slab thickness from 1997 to 2018. The red curve represents normal

711 distribution with the mean and standard deviation.

712

713 **Figure 6.**

714 Distribution of slab thickness during May, June, and July from 1997 to 2018. The red

715 curve represents an original normal distribution as in Figure 5. The blue curves

716 represent inflated normal distributions with the mean and the inflated sigma. The

717 inflated sigma is derived by multiplying inflation factor to the original standard

718 deviation. Inflated normal distributions with inflation factors of 2.0 and 3.8 are shown

719 with the blue dashed and solid lines, respectively.

720

721 **Figure 7.**

722 CDFs of the daily TEC occurrence estimated with Method I. The occurrence rate, which

723 is the number of days per hundred years, and the occurrence rate in percentage are

724 shown on the left- and right-hand axes of the ordinate, respectively. The black

725 histograms are derived with the normal distribution of 22-year data set of slab thickness

726 and 62-year data set of daily foF2. The blue histograms are derived with the inflated

727 normal distribution of the slab thickness and daily foF2.

728

729 **Figure 8.**

730 Estimated TEC with Method I against inflation factors. The filled circle and solid line

731 represent the estimated TEC which occur once per ten years. The open circle and

732 dashed line represent those of once per hundred years. The horizontal dashed line at 110

733 TECU indicate the once-per-ten-year TEC based on 22-year TEC data set. The vertical

734 dashed line shows the inflation factor of 3.8, which is adopted in this work.

735

736 **Figure 9.**

737 Slab thickness against day of year: The red polyline is the monthly mean value of slab
738 thickness. Blue and red vertical bars represent $\pm 3\sigma$ and $\pm 4.2\sigma$, respectively.

739 **Figure 10.**

740 CDFs of the daily TEC occurrence estimated with Method II. The occurrence rate,
741 which is the number of days per hundred years, and the occurrence rate in percentage
742 are shown on the left- and right-hand axes of the ordinate, respectively. The black
743 histograms are derived from the average slab thickness shown in Figure 9. The blue and
744 red histograms are derived with slab thicknesses of average $+3\sigma$ and $+4.2\sigma$, which are
745 shown with blue and red vertical lines, respectively. The solid, dotted, and dashed
746 horizontal lines represent occurrence rates of 0.3%, 0.03%, and 0.003%, which
747 correspond to frequencies of once per year, ten years, and hundred years, respectively.

748

749 **Figure 11.**

750 Correlation of daily TEC between (a)Tokyo and Kagoshima and (b)Tokyo and
751 Hokkaido from 1997 to 2018. The red line represents the linear approximation of each

752 set of data.

753

754 **Table legends**

755 Table 1.

756 Estimated TEC of once per one, ten, and hundred years in Tokyo, Kagoshima, and

757 Hokkaido. The unit is in TECU.

758

759 Table 2.

760 Mean and standard deviation of slab thickness in km for four seasons.