

# Quality of life during the epidemic of COVID-19 and its associated factors among enterprise workers in East China

**Xiaoxiao Chen**

Taizhou City Center of Disease Prevention and Control, Zhejiang Province

**Qian Xu**

Fudan University School of Public Health

**Haijiang Lin**

Taizhou City Center of Disease Prevention and Control, Zhejiang Province

**Jianfu Zhu**

Deqing County Center of Disease Prevention and Control, Zhejiang Province

**Yue Chen**

School of Epidemiology and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa

**QI Zhao**

School of Public Health, Key Laboratory of Public Health Safety, NHC Key Laboratory of Health Technology Assessment, Fudan University

**Chaowei Fu**

School of Public Health, Key Laboratory of Public Health Safety, NHC Key Laboratory of Health Technology Assessment, Fudan University

**Na Wang** (✉ [na.wang@fudan.edu.cn](mailto:na.wang@fudan.edu.cn))

---

## Research article

**Keywords:** public health, epidemiology

**Posted Date:** December 17th, 2020

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-42190/v3>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at BMC Public Health on July 10th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11414-3>.

# Abstract

**Background:** The COVID-19 related lockdown and home confinement might have an important impact on the quality of life in enterprise workers. We investigated the quality of life during the epidemic in enterprise workers who just returned to work, and assessed its potential influencing factors to have a better understanding of the impact of COVID-19 epidemic lockdown and home confinement.

**Methods:** This was a cross-sectional study of enterprise workers conducted in Deqing and Taizhou, Zhejiang Province, China. The Chinese version of EQ5D was used to assess life quality, and information about general characteristics and COVID-19 related factors was collected by a structured questionnaire, which was distributed through the social application “WeChat”.

**Results:** A total of 2,435 participants were enrolled, 59.5% of which worked in Deqing. About 50% of the participants reported worries about the COVID-2019 epidemic and 40.1% had a centralized or home quarantine during the epidemic. The mean EQ-5D score and VAS were 0.990 and 93.5. Multiple logistic regression showed that the quality of life measures was related to physical activities ( $OR_{ad}=0.46$ ) and keeping home ventilation ( $OR_{ad}=0.04$ ) in Deqing, and were related to wearing a mask when going out ( $OR_{ad}=0.35$ ), keeping home ventilation ( $OR_{ad}=0.16$ ), unmarried status ( $OR_{ad}=2.38$ ) and having a centralized or home quarantine ( $OR_{ad}=1.64$ ) in Taizhou,

**Conclusions:** The quality of life for returning enterprise workers in areas with different risks of COVID-19 was affected by different factors. Associated factors identified from this study would help develop proper intervention measures for enterprise workers to reduce the impact of large-scale public health events like the COVID-19 on their quality of life.

## Background

The outbreak of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) occurred in Wuhan, Hubei province, China(1, 2). Since then, the infection has been spreading rapidly and has affected millions of people worldwide(3-5), especially the elderly and those with comorbidities(1, 6). To prevent and control the spread of COVID-19, the Chinese central government took a series of unprecedented measures in late January 2020, including a lockdown for Wuhan, the epicenter of the epidemic, and implementing quarantine measures countrywide(7).

During the nationwide lockdown, people were socially isolated (8), and experienced negative emotions such as fear and stress(9-11) and lifestyle changes including reduced physical activity and increased sedentary time (12-14). The lockdown due to the epidemic of COVID-19 had a negative effect on mental health for both patients with COVID-19(15-17) and general populations(17-21), also reflected by the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) (22, 23).

To evaluate the quality of life during the long-term social isolation and lockdown caused by COVID-19 epidemic, recent researches have assessed and evaluated the quality of life using psychometric

instruments (e.g. SF-18)(24) and utility instruments (e.g. EQ-5D-3L)(25) in Chinese adult populations. However, The impact of COVID-19 lockdown on the quality of life has not been well studied for working people, especially for those who stop working during the lockdown from epidemic. A recent study prompted more attention to the health of people who were not infected by virus but stopped working during the outbreak(26).

Moreover, mask use was proved to be an effective preventive strategy for health care workers to reduce the risk of infection(27, 28), which might reduce the fear and stress from COVID-19, and strategies such as keeping social contacts and healthy lifestyle, fostering self-efficacy, and information on where to get medical treatment seemed be helpful for general population(29). However, the influencing factors or protective measures for quality of life of people who temporarily stopped working under the burden of the COVID-19 were unclear. Hence, we hypothesized that the quality of life for working people who brought the main household income, might be influenced by associated factors during the 1-2-month lockdown period and evaluated the quality of like for enterprise workers and explored its influencing factors for a better understanding of the impact of lockdown due to the COVID-19 epidemic.

To understand the impact of measures taken to prevent epidemic or infection of COVID-19 on health effects as well as the related influence factors is helpful for better-informed decisions. As the risk of new round outbreak increases, we may benefit from past experience during the epidemic, especially before the large-scale use of vaccines.

## Methods

### Study population and design

We carried out a cross-sectional study by purposive sampling among the employees who had returned to work in Deqing and Taizhou, Zhejiang Province, China, from 5 March 2020 to 14 March 2020. At the beginning of our investigation, there were 3 confirmed cases in Deqing and 146 cases in Taizhou, and Deqing was classified as a low risk area and Taizhou as a high risk area for COVID-19 epidemic (30). Enterprises in each study site were contacted and voluntarily participated in the study until the targeted number of 900 subjects was reached in each area. The included enterprises were those that reopened in mid-February with the annual business turnover of 3 million U.S. dollars or above (converted from RMB). Finally, 123 of 738 enterprises in Deqing and 43 of 996 enterprises in Taizhou were included in this study, which covered the main kinds of enterprises in each area. The full-time employees of the participated enterprises who had returned to work since mid-February were eligible. An anonymous self-reported online inquiry including the EQ-5D was distributed through the application “WeChat”, which was widely used in China. We collected a total of 2461 questionnaires, and among them, 2435 were completed satisfactorily and 26 that were completed in less than 2 min or more than 60 min) were excluded from the analysis.

### Measures of quality of life and influencing factors

The quality of life (QoL) was assessed by using the Chinese version EuroQol (EQ-5D-3L)(31), which has been previously validated (32-34). The questionnaire of EQ-5D-3L consists of two parts. The first part comprises questions in five different health dimensions: 1) mobility, 2) self-care, 3) usual activities, 4) pain/discomfort, and 5) anxiety/depression. Each question has three levels of response, scored from 1 to 3 (no problem, some/moderate problems and extreme problems). The combination of three different levels for each of five dimensions has 243 possible conditions to describe the gravity of health status for participants(35). The EQ-5D has been applied to a Chinese general population and reveals the utility values of each health condition(36). The second part contains a visual analogue scale (VAS) ranged from 0 (the worst health condition) to 100 (the best health condition)(35). In the current analysis, the quality of life was divided into two groups based on EQ-5D by using a cut-off value of 0.951, and those with a lower score were considered to have compromised life quality(37).

Mental health was assessed by using the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale(GAD-7)(38) for anxiety and the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire(PHQ-9)(39) for depression. These two questionnaires were widely used to evaluate the mental health in non-patient population(40-42). Those two questionnaires were rated by a 4-point scale ranged from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). Items were summed to derive a total score of GAD-7 (Ranged from 0 to 21) or PHQ-9 (Ranged from 0 to 27). The scores ranged 0 to 4, 5 to 9, 10 to 14, and 15 to 21 were often used to describe different categories of minimal, mild, moderate, and severe symptom of anxiety in GAD-7(38), and 0 to 4, 5 to 9, 10 to 14, 15 to 19, and 20 to 27 were used to differentiate between none-minimal, mild, moderate, moderately severe, and severe depression in PHQ-9(39).

Information about demographic characteristics, COVID-19 and lifestyle was collected by the self-structured questionnaire. Questions were asked on lifestyle factors, including regular alcohol drinking (>3 times/week for  $\geq 6$  months)(43), regular cigarette smoking (>3 times/week for  $\geq 6$  months)(44), regular tea drinking (>3 times/week for  $\geq 6$  months)(45) and regular physical activity (>10 min/day for  $\geq 6$  months)(46). COVID-19 related information included quarantine status, awareness of COVID-19, self-protection measures and history of vaccination. Common chronic diseases (e.g. hypertension and diabetes) were self-reported and there was an open question for participants to report additional health conditions.

## **Statistical analysis**

The distributions of basic characteristics and quality of life based on EQ-5D were compared between Deqing and Taizhou by using the independent-samples t tests and the  $\chi^2$  tests, or Wilcoxon and Kruskal-Wallis test when data distribution was skewed. The correlation between VAS and EQ-5D values was evaluated with the Pearson correlation coefficient. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the associations of influencing factors with compromised quality of life using the forward approach to include important factors. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. All statistical analyses were performed in SPSS version 22.0, and an alpha level  $p \leq 0.05$  was considered to be statistically significant.

# Results

## Participant Characteristics and COVID-19 related information

Among 2435 participants, 1239 (50.9%) were male and 1448 (59.5%) worked in Deqing (Table 1). One participant had COVID-19 infection and 3 had a close contact with COVID-19 patients. No participant reported having non-communicable disease (e.g. hypertension and diabetes). The participants were aged 35.9 years on average. Two thirds of participants (62.7%) lived in families with 4 to 6 members. The majority of participants were married (76.6%) and had an education of over 9 years (66.2%). Half of the participants (52.0%) reported an annual family income between 7500 to 30,000 USD (converted from RMB). Table 1 shows the distributions of the lifestyle factors and negative emotions by study site. There were no differences in the distributions of cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, physical activity and depression between participants from Deqing and Taizhou, except for tea drinking ( $\chi^2=5.50$ ,  $p=0.019$ ) and anxiety ( $\chi^2=6.60$ ,  $P=0.037$ ).

There were about 50% reported worries about the COVID-2019 epidemic. Most participants knew about COVID-19 (85.4%) and about 40.1% of participants had a centralized or home quarantine during the epidemic. Almost everyone always wore a mask (97.2%) when going outside and kept home ventilation daily (99.4%). The majority of participants believed that the virus would be quickly under control (70.5%). Table 2 shows the results of a comparison between two study sites. Compared with participants in Taizhou, those workers in Deqing were more likely to have the knowledge about COVID-19 ( $\chi^2=13.24$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) and to wear a mask when going out ( $\chi^2=21.34$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), and were less likely to worry about COVID-19 ( $\chi^2=16.87$ ,  $p<0.001$ ).

**Table 1.** Characteristics of all participants from Deqing and Taizhou, 2020

Variables	Total (N=2435)	Deqing (n=1448)	Taizhou (n=987)	P value
Gender, n(%)				
Male	1239(50.9)	719(49.7)	520(52.7)	0.142
Female	1196(49.1)	729(50.3)	467(47.3)	
Age (years) <sup>1</sup> , n(%)				<b>0.015</b>
15-25	234(9.7)	130(9.1)	104(10.6)	
25-35	996(41.1)	622(43.2)	374(38.0)	
35-45	703(29.0)	389(27.0)	314(31.9)	
45-	490(20.2)	298(20.7)	192(19.5)	
Marital status, n(%)				0.121
Married	1864(76.6)	1106(76.4)	758(76.8)	
Single	425(17.5)	265(18.3)	160(16.2)	
Others	146(6.0)	77(5.3)	69(7.0)	
Family members <sup>2</sup> , n(%)				<b>0.001</b>
≤3 persons	743(30.6)	469(32.4)	274(27.8)	
4~6 persons	1525(62.7)	900(62.2)	625(63.5)	
≥7 persons	164(6.7)	78(5.4)	86(8.7)	
Annual family income(approximately converted from RMB), n(%)				<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<7,500 USD	398(16.3)	188(13.0)	210(21.3)	
7,500-30,000 USD	1265(52.0)	753(51.9)	512(51.9)	
≥30,000 USD	295(12.1)	202(14.0)	93(9.4)	
Unknown	477(19.6)	305(21.1)	172(17.4)	
Level of education, n(%)				<b>&lt;0.001</b>
≤9 years	821(33.8)	357(24.6)	464(47.3)	
≥9 years	1614(66.2)	1091(75.4)	523(52.7)	
Smoking, n(%)				0.73
Yes	607(24.7)	361(24.9)	240(24.3)	
No	1854(75.3)	1087(75.1)	747(75.7)	
Alcohol drinking, n(%)				0.358
Yes	195(8.0)	122(8.4)	73(7.4)	
No	2240(92.0)	1326(91.6)	914(92.6)	
Tea drinking, n(%)				<b>0.019</b>
Yes	705(29.0)	445(30.7)	260(26.3)	
No	1730(71.0)	1003(69.3)	727(73.7)	
Physical activity, n(%)				0.413
Yes	2042(83.9)	1207(83.4)	835(84.6)	
No	393(16.1)	241(16.6)	152(15.4)	
Anxiety				
Minimal(ranged 0 to4)	2135(87.7)	1290(89.1)	845(85.6)	<b>0.037</b>
Mild(ranged 5 to 9)	261(10.7)	138(9.5)	123(12.5)	
Moderate/severe(ranged 10 or above)	39(1.6)	20(1.4)	19(1.9)	
Depression				
None-minimal(ranged 0 to 4)	1961(80.5)	1181(81.6)	780(79.0)	0.551
Mild(ranged 5 to 9)	402(16.5)	228(15.7)	174(17.6)	
Moderate/severe(ranged 10 or above)	72(3.0)	39(2.7)	33(3.3)	

<sup>1</sup> 12 cases missing.

<sup>2</sup> 3 cases missing

**Table 2.** Distribution of COVID -19 related questions for participants from Deqing and Taizhou, 2020

Variables		Total (n=2435)	Deqing(n=1448)	Taizhou (n=987)	P values
Centralized or home quarantine, n(%)	Yes	977(40.1)	581(40.1)	396(40.1)	0.999
	No	1458(59.9)	867(59.9)	591(59.9)	
Known about COVID-19, n(%)	Yes	2080(85.4)	1268(87.6)	812(82.3)	<0.001
	No	355(14.6)	180(12.4)	175(17.7)	
Worried about COVID-19, n(%)	Yes	1306(53.6)	727(50.2)	579(58.7)	<0.001
	No	1129(46.4)	721(49.8)	408(41.3)	
Believe the epidemic will be under control quickly, n(%)	Yes	1717(70.5)	1029(71.1)	688(69.7)	0.471
	No	718(29.5)	419(28.9)	299(30.3)	
Wear a mask when going out, n(%)	Yes	2367(97.2)	1426(98.5)	941(95.3)	<0.001
	No	68(2.8)	22(1.5)	46(4.7)	
Wash hands frequently, n(%)	Yes	2422(99.5)	1443(99.7)	979(99.2)	0.122
	No	13(0.5)	5(0.3)	8(0.8)	
Keep home ventilation frequently, n(%)	Yes	2421(99.4)	1443(99.7)	978(99.1)	0.069
	No	14(0.6)	5(0.3)	9(0.9)	
Having influenza/pneumonia vaccination, n(%)	Yes	805(33.1)	467(32.3)	338(34.2)	0.353
	No	1630(66.9)	981(67.7)	649(65.8)	

### Quality of life quality based on EQ-5D

The majority of participants (93.8%) had a perfect score for EQ-5D. Few participants reported moderate anxiety/depression (3.9%) and moderate pain/discomfort (2.3%). Only 7 participants had problems on self-care. The utility of EQ-5D (Chinese version) showed a moderate correlation (0.325,  $p < 0.001$ ) with the VAS. The mean EQ-5D score and VAS were 0.990 and 93.5, respectively. No gender or age difference was observed in total EQ-5D score and five dimensions. Nonparametric analysis suggested that participants in Deqing had an overall higher average EQ-5D score ( $Z = -2.127$ ,  $p = 0.033$ ) and VAS ( $Z = -3.185$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) compared with Taizhou.

### Influencing factors for quality of life

The results of multiple logistic regression for explanatory factors associated with compromised quality of life were presented in Table 3. In general, physical activity ( $OR_{ad} = 0.61$ ), wearing a mask when going out ( $OR_{ad} = 0.44$ ), keeping home ventilation ( $OR_{ad} = 0.09$ ) were significantly associated with a lower risk of

compromised quality of life, while single status ( $OR_{ad}=1.64$ ) and worrying about COVID-19 ( $OR_{ad}=1.74$ ) were associated with an increased risk of compromised quality of life

In Deqing, physical activity ( $OR_{ad}=0.46$ ) and keeping home ventilation ( $OR_{ad}=0.04$ ) were significantly associated with a reduced risk of compromised quality of life. In Taizhou, wearing a mask when going out ( $OR_{ad}=0.35$ ), keeping home ventilation ( $OR_{ad}=0.16$ ) and being married were associated with a low risk of compromised quality of life, while those who worried about the epidemic ( $OR_{ad}=2.38$ ) and experienced centralized or home quarantine ( $OR_{ad}=1.64$ ) had an increased risk of compromised quality of life.

**Table 3.** Logistic regression analysis for factors associated with compromised quality of life in Deqing and Taizhou, 2020

Variables	$OR_{ad}$ (95% CI)
<b>All</b>	
Marital status	
Single vs. married	1.64(1.10-2.47)
Other vs. married	1.74(0.94-3.21)
Physical activity (yes vs. no)	0.61(0.41-0.91)
Worried about COVID-19 (yes vs. no)	1.74(1.23-2.47)
Wear a mask when going out (yes vs. no)	0.44(0.21-0.93)
Keep home ventilation usually (yes vs. no)	0.09(0.03-0.28)
<b>Deqing</b>	
Physical activity (yes vs. no)	0.46(0.27-0.77)
Keep home ventilation usually (yes vs. no)	0.04(0.01-0.26)
<b>Taizhou</b>	
Centralized or home quarantine (yes vs. no)	1.64(1.00-2.70)
Worried about COVID-19 (yes vs. no)	2.38(1.35-4.17)
Wear a mask when going out (yes vs. no)	0.35(0.15-0.81)
Keep home ventilation usually (yes vs. no)	0.16(0.04-0.63)
Marital status	
Single vs. married	2.18(1.21-3.91)
Other vs. married	2.23(0.98-5.09)

## Discussion

This study investigated the quality of life among 2435 employees in Deqing County and Taizhou City during the lockdown of COVID-19 epidemic in March 2020, shortly after their return to work. Our study found that employees in Taizhou where epidemic was more severe, were more likely to worry about the epidemic and had relatively low quality of life compared with Deqing, a low risk area. The awareness of the COVID-19 was common and most people implemented some measures to protect themselves accordingly, which were also associated with a higher quality of life. Being married and having no isolation were associated with better quality of life, while worrying about the epidemic led to a reduced quality of life.

Our study showed an average EQ-5D utility value of 0.990(0.033) and VAS score of 93.5(9.5) among enterprise workers in Deqing and Taizhou during the epidemic lockdown, respectively. Both the average EQ-5D utility value and VAS score were higher than those from studies conducted before the epidemic among Chinese adult population (0.951 and 88.0) (37, 47) and workers (0.959 and 81.1)(37, 47). Compared with the recent researches during the epidemic, they were also higher than scores measured in patients with Alzheimer's disease (utility: 0.62, VAS:52.9)(48), cancer patients (VAS:66.1)(10) and other general Chinese population (utility:0.949, VAS: 85.5)(25) as well as Moroccans with home confinement (utility: 0.86, VAS: 80.3) (49).

Moderate pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression were the common problems affecting quality of life in our study population, which was consistent with results from recent studies(25, 49). However, our study showed a low proportion of pain (2.3%) and anxiety/depression (3.9%), which was similar to results before the epidemic among Chinese population, especially in anxiety/depression(anxiety/depression:5.3%)(50), but lower than those in the findings of Ping Weiwei(pain:19.0%, anxiety/depression: 17.6%) and Asmaa Azizi(pain:30%, anxiety/depression:56%)(25, 49).

There are several possible reasons for the higher utility of EQ-ED and lower proportion of health problems (pain and anxiety/depression) observed in enterprise workers in Deqing and Taizhou during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown. A study in Spain reported that people with chronic diseases had higher levels of depression and anxiety compared to those without(51). Whereas, most participants aged 18 to 45 years old and no participants reported common non-communicable diseases (e.g. hypertension, diabetes). Besides, a relatively low risk of infection compared with Wuhan could reduce the negative psychological impact of quarantine and home confinement(52). In addition, 72.2% participants lived with more than 4 family members. Most people received increased support and spiritual solace from their friends and family members, what would keep the participants away from the influence of social isolation and eased their anxiety or depression(18).

Our results indicated that marital status, physical activities, wearing a mask, keep home ventilation and worry about COVID-19 were related to HRQoL. Adequate physical activity and being married were related to the increased quality of life in our study, which was consistent with the findings from some other Chinese studies (14, 53, 54). However, worrying about COVID-19 showed a negative impact on the quality of life for enterprise workers, which was similar to the findings from recent studies(25). Face mask use was an evidenced strategy to reduce the risk of infection from COVID-19, which perhaps explained the protective effect on the quality of life in our study. Home ventilation perhaps had played a role in alleviating the boredom from long-term home confinement, and thereafter improved the quality of life during the lockdown.

There were different influencing factors for workers in Deqing and Taizhou. Regular physical activity was related to a better quality of life in Deqing, while centralized or home quarantine, worrying about the epidemic, wearing a mask, and marital status were associated with quality of life in Taizhou. Deqing was

a low-risk epidemic area with only 3 confirmed cases of COVID-19 while Taizhou was a high-risk epidemic area with 146 confirmed cases of COVID-19(30). People in Deqing were less affected by COVID-19 and the lockdown mainly changed their lifestyles, which partly explained why only physical activity and keep home ventilation were associated with their quality of life. On the contrast, people in Taizhou were much stressful and were more likely worried about COVID-19 (58.7% in Taizhou vs. 50.2% in Deqing).

The main strength of our study was the relatively large sample size of 2435 participants and we assessed quality of life during the epidemic just after those participants returned to work. The present study also has limitations. First, as cross-sectional study, we did not have information on the quality of life before the epidemic and were unable to evaluate the overall effect of COVID-19 epidemic on the quality of life for enterprise workers. Second, as it was still in the period of COVID-19 epidemic control and prevention in early March in China, it was hard to conduct a survey in a random fashion. Purposive sampling was performed in this study, with a pre-set sample of 1800 participants (900 from Deqing, 900 from Taizhou), which might bring some selection bias and might not generalize the results to broader populations. In addition, due to the lack of details on job related information, we were not able to assess the association of quality of life with potential conditions that existed in the workplace.

## Conclusion

Returning enterprise workers in two areas with a different risk of COVID-19 experienced different life quality during the epidemic lockdown. Associated factors identified from this study might help develop proper intervention measures for enterprise workers in areas with different risks to improve their quality of life during such a large-scale public health event.

## Abbreviations

**COVID-19:** novel coronavirus disease 2019

**SARS-CoV-2:** severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

**Qol:** Quality of life

**EQ-5D** EuroQol five-dimension

**EQ-5D-3L:** EuroQol five-dimension three-level

**VAS** visual analogue scale

**OR:** odds ratio

**CI** confidence interval

## Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate:** This research was in accordance with the ethical standards of institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards and approved by Fudan University School of Public Health Institutional Review Board (IRB#2020-TYSQ-03-9, IRB#2020-04-0817).

**Consent to publish:** All participants have given a brief online informed consent before they began to answer the questionnaires by scanning the distributed two-dimensional code in “WeChat”. They were informed that all information collected in this study have been completely anonymized so that their identity cannot be identified via the paper.

**Availability of data and materials:** The datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Funding:** Not applicable.

**Authors’ contributions:** XC and QX are joint first authors. NW, CF and QZ contributed to the planning, conducting of the study. JZ and HL contributed to the collation and collection of raw data. XC and QX wrote the first draft of the manuscript and conducted the primary statistical analysis. NW, CF and YC revised the drafts of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Acknowledgements:** Not applicable.

## References

1. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497-506.
2. Zhu N, Zhang DY, Wang WL, Li XW, Yang B, Song JD, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(8):727-33.
3. Bernard Stoecklin S, Rolland P, Silue Y, Mailles A, Campese C, Simondon A, et al. First cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in France: surveillance, investigations and control measures, January 2020. *Eurosurveillance*. 2020;25(6).
4. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, Lofy KH, Wiesman J, Bruce H, et al. First Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(10):929-36.
5. Ki M, 2019-nCoV TF. Epidemiologic characteristics of early cases with 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) disease in Korea. *Epidemiology and Health*. 2020;42:e2020007.
6. Wang DW, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu FF, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2020;323(11):1061-9.

7. Shi Y, Wang G, Cai XP, Deng JW, Zheng L, Zhu HH, et al. An overview of COVID-19. *Journal of Zhejiang University-Science B*. 2020;21(5):343-60.
8. Horton R. Offline: 2019-nCoV-"A desperate plea". *Lancet*. 2020;395(10222):400-.
9. Tsamakidis K, Triantafyllis AS, Tsiptsios D, Spartalis E, Mueller C, Tsamakidis C, et al. COVID-19 related stress exacerbates common physical and mental pathologies and affects treatment (Review). *Exp Ther Med*. 2020;20(1):159-62.
10. Musche V, Bauerle A, Steinbach J, Schweda A, Hetkamp M, Weismuller B, et al. COVID-19-Related Fear and Health-Related Safety Behavior in Oncological Patients. *Front Psychol*. 2020;11:1984.
11. Bäuerle A, Steinbach J, Schweda A, Beckord J, Hetkamp M, Weismüller B, et al. Mental Health Burden of the COVID-19 Outbreak in Germany: Predictors of Mental Health Impairment. *J Prim Care Community Health*. 2020;11:2150132720953682.
12. Wang XQ, Lei SM, Le SL, Yang YX, Zhang BY, Yao W, et al. Bidirectional Influence of the COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdowns on Health Behaviors and Quality of Life among Chinese Adults. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(15).
13. Lesser IA, Nienhuis CP. The Impact of COVID-19 on Physical Activity Behavior and Well-Being of Canadians. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(11).
14. Qi ML, Li P, Moyle W, Weeks B, Jones C. Physical Activity, Health-Related Quality of Life, and Stress among the Chinese Adult Population during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(18).
15. Nguyen HC, Nguyen MH, Do BN, Tran CQ, Nguyen TTP, Pham KM, et al. People with Suspected COVID-19 Symptoms Were More Likely Depressed and Had Lower Health-Related Quality of Life: The Potential Benefit of Health Literacy. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2020;9(4).
16. Ma YF, Li W, Deng HB, Wang L, Wang Y, Wang PH, et al. Prevalence of depression and its association with quality of life in clinically stable patients with COVID-19. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;275:145-8.
17. Liu CH, Stevens C, Conrad RC, Hahm HC. Evidence for elevated psychiatric distress, poor sleep, and quality of life concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic among U.S. young adults with suspected and reported psychiatric diagnoses. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020;292:113345.
18. Zhang YF, Ma ZF. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Mental Health and Quality of Life among Local Residents in Liaoning Province, China: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(7).
19. Amerio A, Bianchi D, Santi F, Costantini L, Odone A, Signorelli C, et al. Covid-19 pandemic impact on mental health: a web-based cross-sectional survey on a sample of Italian general practitioners. *Acta Biomed*. 2020;91(2):83-8.
20. Vafaei H, Roozmeh S, Hessami K, Kasraeian M, Asadi N, Faraji A, et al. Obstetrics Healthcare Providers' Mental Health and Quality of Life During COVID-19 Pandemic: Multicenter Study from Eight Cities in Iran. *Psychol Res Behav Manag*. 2020;13:563-71.
21. Munk AJL, Schmidt NM, Alexander N, Henkel K, Hennig J. Covid-19-Beyond virology: Potentials for maintaining mental health during lockdown. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(8):e0236688.

22. Payne ME, Starr KNP, Orenduff M, Mulder HS, McDonald SR, Spira AP, et al. Quality of Life and Mental Health in Older Adults with Obesity and Frailty: Associations with a Weight Loss Intervention. *Journal of Nutrition Health & Aging*. 2018;22(10):1259-65.
23. Nayak P, Kumaran SD, Babu AS, Maiya AG, Solomon JM. Levels of physical activity and quality of life among community-dwelling adults with stroke in a developing country. *European Journal of Physiotherapy*. 2019:1-6.
24. Qi ML, Li P, Moyle W, Weeks B, Jones C. Physical Activity, Health-Related Quality of Life, and Stress among the Chinese Adult Population during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(18):6494.
25. Ping WW, Zheng JZ, Niu XH, Guo CZ, Zhang JF, Yang H, et al. Evaluation of health-related quality of life using EQ-5D in China during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(6):e0234850.
26. Zhang SX, Wang Y, Rauch A, Wei F. Unprecedented disruption of lives and work: Health, distress and life satisfaction of working adults in China one month into the COVID-19 outbreak. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020;288:112958.
27. Crimi C, Carlucci A. Challenges for the female health-care workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: the need for protection beyond the mask. *Pulmonology*. 2020.
28. Esposito S, Principi N, Leung CC, Migliori GB. Universal use of face masks for success against COVID-19: evidence and implications for prevention policies. *Eur Respir J*. 2020;55(6).
29. Petzold MB, Bendau A, Plag J, Pyrkosch L, Mascarell Maricic L, Betzler F, et al. Risk, resilience, psychological distress, and anxiety at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. *Brain Behav*. 2020;10(9):e01745.
30. No new confirmed cases in Deqing, the latest epidemic report in Zhejiang Province [Available from: <http://www.deqing.gov.cn/zfxxgk/hygq/zdhy/>].
31. Foundation. ER. EQ-5D-3L User Guide, Version 6.0, 2018 [updated December 2018. Available from: <https://euroqol.org/publications/user-guides>].
32. Fang H, Farooq U, Wang DM, Yu FF, Younus MI, Guo X. Reliability and validity of the EQ-5D-3L for Kashin-Beck disease in China. *Springerplus*. 2016;5(1):1924.
33. Yu HJ, Zhang H, Yang JJ, Liu CJ, Lu CF, Yang HB, et al. Health utility scores of family caregivers for leukemia patients measured by EQ-5D-3L: a cross-sectional survey in China. *BMC Cancer*. 2018;18(1):950.
34. Zhang YC, Wu J, Chen YY, Shi LZ. EQ-5D-3L Decrements by Diabetes Complications and Comorbidities in China. *Diabetes Ther*. 2020;11(4):939-50.
35. EuroQol Research Foundation. EQ-5D-3L User Guide, 2018. (version 6.0) [updated December 2018. Available from: <https://euroqol.org/publications/user-guides>].
36. Liu GG, Wu HY, Li MH, Gao C, Luo N. Chinese Time Trade-Off Values for EQ-5D Health States. *Value Health*. 2014;17(5):597-604.

37. Hai-jing G, Guo-en L. Comparison Analysis on Health Related Quality of Life among Urban and Rural Residents in 4 Cities of China. *Chinese Health Economics*. 2015;34(2):5-12.
38. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Lowe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder - The GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166(10):1092-7.
39. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9 - Validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2001;16(9):606-13.
40. Hinz A, Klein AM, Brahler E, Glaesmer H, Luck T, Riedel-Heller SG, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener GAD-7, based on a large German general population sample. *J Affect Disord*. 2017;210:338-44.
41. Garabiles MR, Lao CK, Yip P, Chan EWW, Mordeno I, Hall BJ. Psychometric Validation of PHQ-9 and GAD-7 in Filipino Migrant Domestic Workers in Macao (SAR), China. *J Pers Assess*. 2020;102(6):833-44.
42. Arrieta J, Aguerrebere M, Raviola G, Flores H, Elliott P, Espinosa A, et al. Validity and Utility of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)-2 and PHQ-9 for Screening and Diagnosis of Depression in Rural Chiapas, Mexico: A Cross-Sectional Study. *J Clin Psychol*. 2017;73(9):1076-90.
43. Bellos S, Skapinakis P, Rai D, Zitko P, Araya R, Lewis G, et al. Longitudinal association between different levels of alcohol consumption and a new onset of depression and generalized anxiety disorder: Results from an international study in primary care. *Psychiatry Res*. 2016;243:30-4.
44. Lasser K, Boyd JW, Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU, McCormick D, Bor DH. Smoking and Mental Illness. *JAMA*. 2000;284(20):2606-10.
45. Dong XL, Wang YZ, Chen Y, Wang XC, Zhu JF, Wang N, et al. Poor sleep quality and influencing factors among rural adults in Deqing, China. *Sleep and Breathing*. 2018;22(4):1213-20.
46. Nelson ME, Rejeski WJ, Blair SN, Duncan PW, Judge JO, King AC, et al. Physical Activity and Public Health in Older Adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2007;39(8):1435-45.
47. Liu X, Gu S, Duan S, Wu Y, Ye C, Wang J, et al. Comparative Study on Health-Related Quality of Life of Farmers and Workers. *Value in Health Regional Issues*. 2017;12:123-9.
48. Lara B, Carnes A, Dakterzada F, Benitez I, Pinol-Ripoll G. Neuropsychiatric symptoms and quality of life in Spanish patients with Alzheimer's disease during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Eur J Neurol*. 2020;27(9):1744-7.
49. Azizi A, Achak D, Aboudi K, Saad E, Nejjari C, Nouira Y, et al. Health-related quality of life and behavior-related lifestyle changes due to the COVID-19 home confinement: Dataset from a Moroccan sample. *Data in Brief*. 2020;32:106239.
50. Yao Q, Liu C, Zhang Y, Xu L. Changes in health-related quality of life of Chinese populations measured by the EQ-5D-3 L: a comparison of the 2008 and 2013 National Health Services Surveys. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*. 2019;17(1):43.
51. Ozamiz-Etxebarria N, Dosil-Santamaria M, Picaza-Gorrochategui M, Idoiaga-Mondragon N. Stress, anxiety, and depression levels in the initial stage of the COVID-19 outbreak in a population sample in the northern Spain. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2020;36(4):e00054020.

52. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10227):912-20.
53. Huang WD, Yu HJ, Liu CJ, Liu GX, Wu QH, Zhou J, et al. Assessing Health-Related Quality of Life of Chinese Adults in Heilongjiang Using EQ-5D-3L. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14(3).
54. Zhu YX, Liu J, Qu B, Yi Z. Quality of life, loneliness and health-related characteristics among older people in Liaoning province, China: a cross-sectional study. *Bmj Open*. 2018;8(11):e021822.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [questionnaireselfstructuredpart.docx](#)