The Correlation between ATP Measurement and Microbial Contamination of Inanimate Surfaces

Andreas van Arkel (✉ a.vanarkel@etz.nl)

Elisabeth-TweeSteden Ziekenhuis <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3391-9200>

Ina Willemsen

Amphia hospital, Breda

Jan Kluytmans

Amphia Hospital site Molengracht: Amphia Ziekenhuis Locatie Molengracht

Research

Keywords: ATP measurement, surface contamination, microbial contamination, cleaning

Posted Date: June 25th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-428499/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control on August 6th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-021-00981-0>.

Abstract

Background:

The objective of this study was to determine the correlation between adenosine triphosphate (ATP) measurements and microbial contamination using a standardized method. Secondly, analyzing reproducibility of ATP measurements and aerobic colony counts (ACC's) on the same surface.

Methods:

ATP measurements and ACC's were conducted on 10 pre-defined fomites in a hospital and nursing home setting. Per fomite two ATP measurements and two agar plate measurements were conducted, each measurement was conducted on a 25 cm² surface. Both measurements were compared and analyzed for correlation.

Results:

In total 200 paired measurements were conducted, 200 ATP measurements and 200 ACC's. The mean of all ATP measurements tested on the same surface was calculated, as was for all 200 ACC's. There was a strong correlation between the mean of two ATP measurements on two different sites on the same fomite ($R=0.800$, $p<0.001$) as well as between two ACC measurements on the same fomite ($R=0.667$, $p<0.001$). A much weaker correlation was found between RLU values and ACC's ($R=0.244$, $p<0.001$).

Conclusions:

Reproducibility of ATP measurements and ACC's on the same fomite was good. However, the correlation between RLU values and ACC's on hospital surfaces was much lower. This may be explained by the wide variety of biological material that is measured with ATP, of which the bacterial load is only one of many components. ATP measurement can be used to give a quantifiable outcome for the rating of cleanliness in health care facilities, however the results cannot be translated into the level of microbial contamination.

Background

Reducing the spread of microorganisms within the healthcare setting is of great importance to limit the amount of nosocomial infections and control antimicrobial resistance. A number of nosocomial pathogens can survive on hospital surfaces for weeks up to months and can easily spread when cleaning is inadequate.^{1,2,3,4} Measurement of the quality of cleaning can be performed in different ways. Traditionally, environmental swabbing techniques were used to quantify the level of bacterial contamination of surfaces. However, this technique requires several days before results are available and is relatively costly. A novel method for measuring hospital cleanliness is by measuring the amount of adenosine triphosphate (ATP). Originating in the food and beverage industry, measurement of ATP is nowadays frequently used to measure cleanliness of surfaces in hospitals. By measuring ATP, the

presence of all kinds of organic material is measured; e.g. microbial contamination and organic contamination (skin flakes, bodily fluids, food scraps, etc). As the amount of ATP is quantified, ATP measurements give insight into the level of environmental contamination within the healthcare setting. The ATP results are available within seconds which enable immediate feedback.

By enzymatic conversion of ATP into light, the amount of ATP measured is expressed in Relative Light Units (RLU). Therefore, a high RLU readout is indicative for environmental contamination and consequently facilitates the growth and spread of microorganisms.

However, the correlation between the amount of ATP measured and microbial contamination within the healthcare setting is not well documented and various studies report different correlations.^{5,6,7,8,9} These studies used different methods to evaluate the correlation between RLU and bacterial growth. The goal of this study was to examine the correlation between RLU readings and aerobic colony count (ACC's) with a standardized method for measuring, by exactly measuring the same surface area for both measurements (25 cm²). Secondly, we analyzed reproducibility of ATP measurements and ACC's on the same surface.

Methods

Setting

ATP measurements and ACC's were performed in one hospital and one nursing home. Measurements were conducted on 10 different pre-defined fomites (Table 1). Per fomite two ATP measurements and two ACC measurements were performed. All four measurements were conducted next to each other on the same fomite, without overlap of the measurements. Visual contamination was taken into account and visually contaminated spots were skipped, so that all four measurements were as standardized as possible. The surface area measured with both the ATP-swab and RODAC (Replicate Organism Detection and Counting) agar plate was 25 cm², a plastic template was used to precisely measure 25 cm² and disinfected between measurements. Fomites were sampled at a random point during the day, independent from cleaning rounds. The correlation between ATP measurements on two different sites on the same fomite and the correlation between ACC's on the same fomite was calculated, assessing reproducibility of both measurements. Furthermore, the correlation between RLU and ACC's was assessed.

Table 1

Fomite
Table - patient room
Nightstand - patient room
Windowsill team post - outside
Windowsill team post - inside
Computer on wheels - trolley
Bed sheet trolley
Medication trolley
Table - team post
Working table team post x2

Measurements

The Clean-Trace NG Luminometer (3M, Zoeterwoude, the Netherlands) was used for the ATP measurements, results were reported in RLU. One trained researcher conducted all ATP measurements. ATP measurements were performed on a flat surface, an area of 25 cm² (5x5 cm) was thoroughly swabbed in three directions with an ATP-swab. The manufacturer's guidelines on conducting ATP measurements were followed.

Measurement of microbial contamination was performed by using tryptone soya agar (TSA) Tween Lecithin 55mm vp10 agar plates (Biotrading, Mijdrecht, The Netherlands), with a 25 cm² surface. The agar plates were pressed onto the surface for 10 seconds and afterwards incubated at 35°C for 48h, to optimize bacterial and fungal growth. Afterwards the amount of colony forming units (CFU) per agar plate was counted. The maximum amount of countable CFU was fixed on 300.

Statistical Methods

All data were analyzed with Statistical Package for Social Science software (SPSS; IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, US; version 25) and R (R Foundation, New Zealand, R version 3.6.2). The correlation between RLU values and ACC's was calculated using the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

Results

In total 400 measurements were conducted on 100 surfaces, consisting of 200 ATP measurements and 200 ACC's. One hundred paired measurements were conducted in a hospital on different wards, and 100

paired measurements in a nursing home on different wards.

The median RLU value for the total of ATP measurements was 461 with a range from 45 up to 209.520. The median CFU value was 44 with a range from 1 up to 300.

There was a strong correlation between two ATP measurements on two different sites on the same fomite (0.800, $p < 0.001$), Fig. 1. The correlation between two ACC measurements was strong as well (0.667, $p < 0.001$), Fig. 2.

Spearman's correlation of ATP (RLU) and ACC (CFU) of the 400 measurements showed a weak correlation with a coefficient of 0.244 ($p < 0.001$). Figure 3 visualizes the correlation between both measurements in a scatterplot, a logarithmic transformation was used for visualizing the data.

Discussion

To assess the correlation between ATP measurements and ACC's we conducted measurements on multiple pre-defined identical fomites. Reproducibility was assessed by comparing two measurements on the same fomite.

There was a strong correlation between two ATP measurements on different sites on the same fomite and between two ACC measurements. Furthermore, we found a low correlation between the amount of ATP measured and the amount of microbial contamination.

An explanation for this low correlation could be that other biological materials are measured with ATP measurement. The amount of bacterial/fungal contamination on a surface could be low, while contamination with other biological material (e.g. food scraps, skin flakes, etc.) is higher. ATP measurement is frequently used to quantify surface cleanliness and determining problematic areas. Contamination of surfaces with organic materials could provide a nutritional source for bacteria and other pathogens. By using ATP measurements for analyzing surface contamination, potential nutritional sources for microbes can be traced and disposed of. A decrease in microbial growth, contributing to a clean hospital/nursing home environment, can reduce the risk of microbial transmission.¹⁰

Another point of discussion is the correlation between the amount of ATP measured and the expressed RLU. Omidbakhsh *et al.* (2014) did further research on this point; they conclude that there is a strong positive correlation between true concentrations of ATP and RLU readings, however this correlation is best when the concentration of ATP is higher. The same conclusion, as described above, is true for the correlation between dilutions of *Staphylococcus aureus* and RLU readings. For the 3M ATP luminometer an R^2 of 0.9228 was found for the correlation between concentrations of ATP and RLU readings, and an R^2 of 0.9746 for the correlation between dilutions of *Staphylococcus aureus* and RLU readings.¹¹ This indicates that there is a good correlation between the amount of ATP/*Staphylococcus aureus* measured and RLU readings. This kind of lab-controlled research gives a better indication of the effect of bacterial load on RLU readings, however is not applicable in daily practice. Contamination with other biological

materials can influence RLU readouts, even when bacterial contamination is low. In a sub analysis within this study we did not find a significant difference in the correlation between RLU values and ACC's with $RLU \geq 1000$.

Limitation of ACC are the incubation temperature and selection of growth medium. Various microbes grow with different temperatures and on different culture media.¹² There is a possibility that a certain amount of microbes is missed with the ACC. Thus, giving a lower estimation of microbial contamination than is present on surfaces in reality. By culturing TSA agar plates for 48h at 35°C, slow growing microbes and/or those demanding different nutrients could have been missed.

Concluding, the correlation between RLU values and ACC seems to be low. ATP measurement give insight into surface cleanliness and should mainly be used for assessing surface contamination. We found a good correlation between two ATP measurements on the same fomite, indicating that ATP measurement has good reproducibility. Therefore, ATP measurement seems a reliable method for measuring surface contamination.

ATP measurement can be used to give a quantifiable outcome for the rating of cleanliness in health care facilities, however it should not be used to interpret microbial contamination directly.

Abbreviations

adenosine triphosphate (ATP)

aerobic colony counts (ACC's)

Relative Light Units (RLU)

RODAC (Replicate Organism Detection and Counting)

tryptone soya agar (TSA)

colony forming units (CFU)

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Data can be requested by contacting the corresponding author.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

No third parties financed this study.

Authors' contributions

Andreas van Arkel was responsible for data analysis and writing of the manuscript. Ina Willemsen and Jan Kluytmans were involved in the design of the research protocol and judged the initial manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Amphia hospital Molengracht Breda and Mijzo-Goezate Werkendam for their cooperation.

References

1. Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate surfaces? A systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2006;16;6:130.
2. Dancer SJ. Importance of the Environment in Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Acquisition: the Case for Hospital Cleaning. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2008;8:101-13.
3. Boyce JM. Environmental contamination makes an important contribution to hospital infection. *J. Hosp Infect.* 2007;65 Suppl 2:50-4.
4. Luick L, Thompson PA, Looock MH, Vetter BS, Cook J, Guerrero DM. Diagnostic assessment of different environmental cleaning monitoring methods. *Am J Infect Control.* 2013; doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2012.09.019.
5. Willis C, Morley R, Westbury J, Greenwood M, Pallett A. Evaluation of ATP bioluminescence swabbing as a monitoring and training tool for effective hospital cleaning. *British Journal of Infection Control.* 2007; doi:10.1177/1469044607083604.
6. Amodio E, Cannova L, Villafrate MR, Merendino AM, Aprea L, Calamusa G. Analytical performance issues: comparison of ATP bioluminescence and aerobic bacterial count for evaluating surface cleanliness in an Italian hospital. *J Occup Environ Hyg.* 2014; doi: 10.1080/15459624.2013.852281
7. Sanna T, Dallolio L, Raggi A, Mazzetti M, Lorusso G, Zanni A, Farruggia P, Leoni E. ATP bioluminescence assay for evaluating cleaning practices in operating theatres: applicability and limitations. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2018; doi:10.1186/s12879-018-3505-y.
8. Aycicek H, Oguz U, Karci K. Comparison of results of ATP bioluminescence and traditional hygiene swabbing methods for the determination of surface cleanliness at a hospital kitchen. *Int J hyg*

9. Xu H, Liang J, Wang Y, Wang B, Zhang T, Liu X, Gong L. Evaluation of different detector types in measurement of ATP bioluminescence compared to colony counting method for measuring bacterial burden of hospital surfaces. *PLOS ONE*. 2019; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0221665.
10. Lee AS, White E, Monahan LG, et al. Defining the Role of the Environment in the Emergence and Persistence of vanA Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus (VRE) in an Intensive Care Unit: A Molecular Epidemiological Study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2018; doi:10.1017/ice.2018.29.
11. Omidbakhsh N, Ahmadpour F, Kenny N. How reliable are ATP bioluminescence meters in assessing decontamination of environmental surfaces in healthcare settings? *Plos One*. 2014; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099951.
12. Olsen RA, Bakken LR. Viability of soil bacteria: Optimization of plate-counting technique and comparison between total counts and plate counts within different size groups. *Microb Ecol*. 1987; doi:10.1007/BF02014963.

Figures

Figure 1

Scatterplot with fit line of ATP measurements (RLU) on the same fomite.

Figure 2

Scatterplot with fit line of microbial measurements (CFU) on the same fomite.

Figure 3

Scatterplot with fit line of logRLU and logCFU.