Efficiency of Online Course of Medical Statistics in Nanjing Medical University During the COVID-19 Epidemic

Senmiao Ni

Nanjing Medical University

Wensong Chen

Nanjing Medical University

Honggang Yi

Nanjing Medical University

Yang Zhao

Nanjing Medical University

Na Tong

Nanjing Medical University

Ran Chen

Nanjing Medical University

Hao Yu

Nanjing Medical University

Jiyong Liu

Nanjing Medical University

Jianling Bai (✉ jbai@njmu.edu.cn)

Nanjing Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0985-7110>

Feng Chen

Nanjing Medical University

Research article

Keywords: COVID-19, Medical Statistics, Online Teaching, MOOC

Posted Date: August 4th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-42890/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: To analyze the online course efficiency of a combined mode of Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) micro-video and E-learning platform in Nanjing Medical University during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Methods: We developed a new questionnaire to assess the efficiency of online teaching of medical statistics in Nanjing Medical University. This investigation enrolled students participating in the online course of medical statistics from January 2020 to June 2020. The "Questionnaire Star" electronic questionnaire collection system was used to collect data.

Results: In total, 1050 of the 1210 (86.78%) students completed the questionnaire, including 971 (92.48%) juniors. To be specific, 57.33% of the students majored in clinical medicine, 15.14% in pharmacy, 10.38% in pediatrics, 8.00% in medical imageology, and 6.29% in basic medicine. As to the question "Are you satisfied with the current online teaching method?", 354 (32.77%) students responded with "Agree" and "Strongly Agree", and 1012 (96.47%) thought they needed to consolidate what they had learned after returning to school. Most students reported their "Difficulties in the learning process" by "Learning motivation" and "Personal inertia" (59.90% and 58.29%, respectively).

Conclusions: The online course of medical statistics was favored by most students, suggesting its efficiency an efficient alternative to classroom study during the COVID-19 pandemic. Yet there were still some problems, such as inconvenient communication between teachers and students, poor mastery of key knowledge, which should be resolved in classroom teaching at school.

1. Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) has declared a global COVID-19 pandemic.[1-3]The COVID-19 pandemic has led to the closure of schools in many countries.[4, 5] In the Department of Biostatistics of Nanjing Medical University (NMU), Medical Statistics (MS) was taught through an online mode combining MOOCs (massive open online courses) as course resources, E-learning of Nanjing Medical University as a secondary teaching platform, and QQ group as an online Q&A platform.

In NMU, MS is a compulsory course designed for majors in clinical medicine, stomatology and preventive medicine. Since its launch in 2018, the course has seen more than 20,000 learners. In the previous semesters, the course was taught in the flipped class based on MOOCs and online/offline teaching tools. [6-8]

During COVID-19 epidemic, the course has been open to 1,210 students at NMU through online MOOCs platform. The online teaching methods are shown in Figure 1. To evaluate the online teaching efficiency of MS, we carried out an empirical investigation.

2. Methods

2.1 Questionnaire design

Based on our previous studies about online teaching, we developed a questionnaire to evaluate the teaching efficiency of online MS for this study. The design of the questionnaire was reviewed by the team at MS.

The questionnaire consisted of four parts. The first part was about the characteristics of participants, the second part about the attitude towards statistics, the third part about learning status, the fourth part about student evaluation, including self-assessment and curriculum evaluation. Most options of questionnaire are on the scale of 1 (Bad) to 5 (Excellent). The main part is listed in Table 1 and more details of the questionnaire are presented in the additional document (see Additional file 1).

2.2 Data Collection and Analysis

The survey was conducted online through “Questionnaire Star” electronic questionnaire collection system. Researchers sent the electronic questionnaire link and informed students that they were voluntary to complete the survey. The participants were sophomores or juniors who studied medical statistics in the 2020 spring semester in NMU. A total of 1210 students were enrolled from six majors, such as clinical medicine, basic medicine and pharmacy. A total of 1050 participants returned questionnaires, with a response rate of 86.78%.

Both frequencies and percentages for categorical variables (such as gender, region, grade, length of schooling, major and grade of various indicators, and so on) were calculated. SAS 9.4 and Excel 2019 were used for statistical analysis.

3. Results

3.1 Basic characteristics of students

As shown in Table 2, juniors (about 92.48%) accounted for the majority. All the students were from four-year, five-year, eight-year academic programs. Students in clinical medicine made up the largest proportion (57.33%), followed by those in pharmacy (15.14%) and pediatrics (10.38%). The proportion of female students (56.86%) was slightly higher than that of male students (43.14%).

Most students chose “Average” (55.52%, 63.14%) or “Good” (31.24%, 26.48%) when they were asked to self-assess their mathematical knowledge and logical thinking. When they were asked to self-assess their computer knowledge, 595 (56.67%) chose “Average”, 235 (22.38%) chose “Fair”, and only 141 (13.43%) chose “Good” or “Excellent”.

3.2 Attitude towards statistics

As shown in Figure 2, except those answering by “I am interested in the course of medical statistics”, the distributions of the answers to the other four questions were nearly the same, with “Agree” and “Strongly

Agree” accounting for more than 70%. As to questions “Medical statistics is useful to my major scientific research” and “Mastering the use of a statistical software is very helpful to my work/scientific research”, “Agree” and “Strongly Agree” accounted for more than 80%, indicating that these students knew the importance of MS in scientific research. Another 600 students (57.14%) held a neutral view of “I am interested in the course of medical statistics.” Therefore, efforts should be made to enhance their interests in MS.

3.3 Learning status

(1) Pre-class preview

The students’ pre-class learning efficiency was not ideal. As shown in Figure 3, most students reported to have occasional pre-class preview (70.38%), and the preview time was less than 30 minutes (79.81%). Reading textbooks and watching online videos were two commonest preview ways, chosen by 71.14% and 27.62% of the total students, respectively. A small number of students chose “classmate discussion” (13 students, 1.24%); 881 students (83.9%) responded that the preview was "somewhat helpful" for class learning, while 54 students (5.14%) responded that it was "not helpful". Therefore, it needs teachers to guide pre-class preparation before online teaching.

(2) Attending class

Most students could accomplish the course online (69.24%), and most students had taken notes (90.74%). To be specific, 41.14% of the students had “occasionally watched the video (such as: watching the video only to complete the learning task, playing the course video but not watching it)”. It implied that, during online learning, the students may not be fully devoted themselves to the courses; thus the teachers should maintain students’ attention through efforts like proposing questions, asking students to answer questions immediately, and assigning homework. Online learning terminals and websites are shown in Figure 4. Most students believed that the existing network, usually WIFI (82.86%), can guarantee the online learning. Laptop and mobile phone were the most used terminals (71.14%, 61.14%, respectively).

(3) After-class review

In after-class learning, 90.0% of the students said that they would review after class, and 92.67% could finish the homework within half an hour after class. However, teacher-student interaction was insufficient, as reflected by only 15% of students communicating with teachers regularly. Regarding the use of statistical software, 885 students (84.29%) said that they had never used any statistical software, while 55 (5.24%) and 38 (3.62%) students had used STATA and R, respectively.

3.4 Student evaluation

(1) Self-assessment

The result of “diligence in this semester” deserves our attention: 68.95% of students thought their diligence in this semester was “Average”, while 11.14% thought they had no intention at all. In terms of “grade requirements for the course”, 35.24% and 57.71% of the students evaluated themselves as “Excellent and Pretty good” and “Good”, respectively, while 6.95% as “Not bad”. This is a big difference between students’ expected performance and the performances achieved by their diligence, indicating that the students’ motivation should be enhanced in the online MS class.

As for the “knowledge of medical statistics”, 70.67% responded with moderate and 17.24% as poor. The proportion of students with a good mastery has a negative association to the difficulty of the chapters. For example, these proportions were 81.71%, 79.43% and 71.14% in regard to three easy chapters “statistical description”, “normal distribution” and “sampling error”; and 64.67%, 61.14%, 57.43% in regard to three difficulty chapters “chi-square test”, “t test” and “rank sum test”, respectively. In the evaluation of “level of communication with teachers and classmates”, 64.95% of the students chose “Good” or “Not bad”.

(2) Curriculum evaluation

As shown in Figure 5, most students chose “Good” or “Pretty good” in terms of “video quality” and “the quality of the homework”. This result is basically consistent with that of “satisfied with the current online teaching method” since “relatively satisfied” and “very satisfied” were only reported in 32.77% of the students. To the questions such as “level of teachers”, “teacher’s teaching attitude”, “teaching content structure”, “practicality taught in the course”, 60% responded with “Pretty good” or “Excellent”. For example, 76.38% students responded with “Pretty good” or “Excellent” to the “level of teachers” and 80.57% to the “teacher’s teaching attitude”. The proportion of students with satisfaction equal to the proportion of those choosing “satisfactory” and “very satisfied”.

Nearly 60% of the students rated overall evaluation of the course as “Pretty good” and “Excellent”, and 37.81% thought it was “Good”. It is worth noting that 893 students (85.05%) preferred “classroom teaching”, indicating that the students are not used to pure online learning. The vast majority of students agreed that they needed to consolidate what they had learned after returning to school. Among them, 30.57% thought that it was necessary to “make up the missed lessons and explain them one by one”, while 65.81% thought that it was necessary to “focus on some chapters or answer questions”. Therefore, these questions should be resolved by after-epidemic classroom teaching.

For “the biggest problem and challenge encountered in online learning”, most students chose “learning motivation problem”(59.9%), “personal inertia problem”(58.29%) and “solving difficult problems”(43.05%). A considerable number of students had problems in “learning resources”, “platform issues” and “network issues” too. In addition, 267 (25.43%) students felt barriers between the teacher-student interactions, indicating that a good interaction was not established in online teaching.

4. Discussion

In this study, we conducted a survey aiming to evaluate the efficiency of online course of MS. In general, the online course of MS conducted by Department of Biostatistics of NMU can achieve the basic teaching purpose. Specifically, almost all students (97.81%) thought the overall quality of the online course was good enough. Additionally, a similar proportion of students thought the teacher's teaching attitude, the quality of video and homework were "Good", "Pretty good" or "Excellent".

Although learning online courses at home was an alternative way to study at school for students during the COVID-19 pandemic, there are shortcomings like inconvenience for teacher to monitor students' study activities and difficulty for students to interact with teachers during online class and out of class. For example, based on the answers to "the biggest problem and challenge encountered in online learning", the top three problems are "learning motivation problem"(59.9%), "personal inertia problem"(58.29%) and "solving difficult problems"(43.05%). In addition, 25.43% students felt barriers between the teacher-student interactions. Based on these investigational results, we proposed some suggestions for MS teachers in medical school to develop a better strategy for the online course.

4.1 Enhancing students' independent course preparation

To study MS, students should focus on the understanding and application of statistical methods. If the students do not preview before class and rely only on the teacher's in-class explanation, it is difficult for them to master the knowledge of MS. Teachers should guide students to study independently and effectively. At the same time, teachers should supervise students' preparation before class, so that the preparation can really help the students to attain the learning materials in the follow-up teaching.

4.2 Increasing teacher-student interactions

Internet provides a platform for the communication between teachers and students in universities, but this platform has not been properly used [9]. Lee found that students did not want to watch slides without the lecturer's face or voice, and suggested instructors should increase teacher-student interactions, including inviting student to engage into various online learning activities and encouraging student to provide course feedback [10]. Through ways like after-class discussion and questionnaire, the teachers could fix the loopholes in online teaching. An efficient online teaching mode can only be established through the smooth cooperation between teachers and students.

4.3 Evaluating the learning of students on a regular basis

Instructors should take the initiative to evaluate students' learning through short quizzes and homework to make sure students understand what have been discussed in online class. At the end of the class, students should be asked to share their learning experience and notes through the "Forum Discussion" module in the online class system. Finally, the teacher should make an overall evaluation on the performance of all the students in his/her class. Based on the students' learning status, teachers should carry out targeted tutoring [11].

5. Conclusions

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the transition from traditional class to online class of teaching medical statistics could achieve the teaching purpose, which was favored by most students. But there were still some problems, such as inconvenient communication between teachers and students, poor mastery of key chapters, and so on, which required further improvement in the future.

Abbreviations

NMU: Nanjing Medical University; MS: Medical Statistics; MOOC: Massive Open Online Course; COVID-19: Corona Virus Disease 2019

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Ethics Committee of Institutional Review Board in Nanjing Medical University determined that this study ethical did not required approval. This study did not include experiments on animal or human subjects. Consent was received by electronic questionnaire from the participants to use the information anonymously for this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

The work was supported by grant from the Key Project of Nanjing Medical University Graduate Education Reform Project (SPOC Special) (SPOCZD201906 to JLB), and the Nanjing Medical University 2019 Educational Research Project (2019ZC008 to JLB, 2019LX035 to JYL). The funding body did not have any role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

SMN, WSC and JLB designed the questionnaire and the research; SMN and WSC collected the data, did the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript; HGY, YZ, NT, RC, HY and JLB provided the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual; FC–JLB and JYL supervised this work; JLB and JYL provided financial support. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M: **WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic.** *Acta bio-medica : Atenei Parmensis* 2020, **91**(1):157-160.
2. Sun P, Lu X, Xu C, Sun W, Pan B: **Understanding of COVID-19 based on current evidence.** *Journal of medical virology* 2020.
3. Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O'Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, Agha R: **World Health Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19).** *International journal of surgery (London, England)* 2020, **76**:71-76.
4. Peng F, Tu L, Yan Y, Hu P, Wang R, Hu Q, Cao F, Jiang T, Sun J, Xu G *et al*: **Management and Treatment of COVID-19: The Chinese Experience.** *The Canadian journal of cardiology* 2020.
5. Li X, Wang W, Zhao X, Zai J, Zhao Q, Li Y, Chaillon A: **Transmission dynamics and evolutionary history of 2019-nCoV.** *Journal of medical virology* 2020, **92**(5):501-511.
6. Bai J, Chen F, Zhao Y, Yi H, Yu H, Wei Y, Lou D, Peng Z: **Biostatistics flipped classroom teaching based on MOOC.** *Chinese Journal of Health Statistics* 2017, **34**(05):829-831.
7. Milic NM, Trajkovic GZ, Bukumiric ZM, Cirkovic A, Nikolic IM, Milin JS, Milic NV, Savic MD, Corac AM, Marinkovic JM *et al*: **Improving Education in Medical Statistics: Implementing a Blended Learning Model in the Existing Curriculum.** *PloS one* 2016, **11**(2):e0148882.
8. Peng Z, Zhao Y, Yi H, Bai J, Lou D, Yu H, Chen F: **The Application of PBL Teaching Mode in Medical Statistics.** *CHINA HIGHER MEDICAL EDUCATION* 2010(03):79-81.
9. Xia X, Yuan Z: **On Teacher-Student Relation Construction in Virtual Interactive Context.** *Heilongjiang Researches on Higher Education* 2020, **38**(03):148-151.
10. Gewin V: **Five tips for moving teaching online as COVID-19 takes hold.** *Nature* 2020, **580**(7802):295-296.
11. He Y, Gan L, Chen X, Kong X, Jia Z: **Problems and Solutions on the Status Quo of the Communication between the Teachers and Students of Southwest Jiaotong University.** *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong Universit (Social Science)* 2014, **15**(05):111-115.

Tables

Table 1 The Core QuestionS of The Investigation

D1. In this semester, do you think your study diligence is?
D2. In this semester, do you think your knowledge of this course is?
D3. In this semester, your level of communication with teachers and classmates is?
D4. In this semester, what are your grade requirements for the course?
E1. What do you think of the video quality of the course?
E2. What do you think of the teaching structure of the course?
E3. What do you think of the online teaching method of the course?
E4. What do you think of the practicality taught in the course?
E5. What do you think of the quality of the homework after class?
E6. What do you think of the teacher's teaching level?
E7. What do you think of the teacher's teaching attitude?

Table 2 Basic information of students

Variables		Frequency (Total:1050)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	453	43.14
	Female	597	56.86
Region	Municipality	156	14.86
	Prefecture level city	279	26.57
	County level city	374	35.62
	Town	117	11.14
	Village	124	11.81
Grade	Sophomore	79	7.52
	Junior	971	92.48
Educational system	Four-year	118	11.24
	Five-year	703	66.95
	Eight-year(5+3)	229	21.81
Major	Clinical medicine	602	57.33
	Basic medicine	66	6.29
	Pharmacy	159	15.14
	Pediatrics	109	10.38
	Medical imageology	84	8.00
	Medical laboratory science	30	2.86
Basic of mathematics	Poor	26	2.48
	Fair	72	6.86
	Average	583	55.52
	Good	328	31.24
	Excellent	41	3.90
Basic of computer	Poor	79	7.52
	Fair	235	22.38
	Average	595	56.67
	Good	126	12.00
	Excellent	15	1.43

Logic Thinking	Poor	16	1.52
	Fair	48	4.57
	Average	663	63.14
	Good	278	26.48
	Excellent	45	4.29

Figures

Figure 1

Online teaching method of medical statistics.

Figure 2

Attitude towards statistics

Figure 3

Pre-class learning: (A) preparation;(B) preparation time;(C) preparation methods;(D) preview effect.

Figure 4

Online learning terminal and network usage: (A) learning terminal selection;(B) network use.

Figure 5

Course evaluation

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile1.pdf](#)