Relationship Between Amniotic Fluid Metabolic Profile With Fetal Gender, Maternal Age, and Gestational Age

Yahong Li

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Yun Sun

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Xiaojuan Zhang

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Xin Wang

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Peiying Yang

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Xianwei Guan

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Yan Wang

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Xiaoyan Zhou

The Affiliated Huaian No. 1 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Ping Hu

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Tao Jiang (✉ jiangtao6310@126.com)

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Zhengfeng Xu

Women's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University

Research Article

Keywords: Amniotic fluid, Metabolomics, Gender, Age, Gestational age

Posted Date: April 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-439300/v1>

Abstract

Background

Amniotic fluid (AF) provides vital information on fetal development, which is also valuable in identifying fetal abnormalities during pregnancy. However, the relationship between the metabolic profile of AF in the second trimester of a normal pregnancy with several maternal-fetal parameters remains poorly understood, which therefore limits its application in clinical practice. The aim of this study was to explore the association between the metabolic profile of AF with fetal gender, maternal age, and gestational age using an untargeted metabolomics method.

Methods

A total of 114 AF samples of normal pregnancy were selected. Clinical data on fetal gender, maternal age, and gestational week of these samples were collected. Samples were analyzed by gas chromatography/time-of-flight-mass spectrometry (GC-TOF/MS). Principal component analysis(PCA), orthogonal partial least square discrimination analysis“OPLS-DA”or partial least square discrimination analysis (PLS-DA) were conducted to compare metabolic profiles, and differential metabolites were obtained by univariate analysis.

Results

Both PCA and OPLS-DA demonstrated no significant separation trend between the metabolic profiles of male and female fetuses, and there were only 7 differential metabolites. When the association between the maternal age on AF metabolic profile was explored, both PCA and PLS-DA revealed that the maternal age in the range of 21 to 40 years had no significant effect on the metabolic profile of AF, and only four different metabolites were found. There was no significant difference in the metabolic profiles of AF from fetuses of 17–22 weeks, and 23 differential metabolites were found.

Conclusions

In the scope of our study, there was no significant correlation between the AF metabolic profile and the fetal gender, maternal age and gestational age of a small range. Nevertheless, few metabolites appeared differentially expressed.

Background

Amniotic fluid (AF) is the fluid that surrounds the fetus in the amniotic cavity, which plays a key role in protecting and providing nutrients to the fetus. Numerous studies have shown that AF contains DNA,

RNA, and metabolites that have vital biological functions in fetal development, which can be used to identify any fetal developmental abnormalities[1–3].

Metabolomics is a rapidly advancing field in research and clinical applications following genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics[4]. In recent years, metabolomics has helped in making remarkable advancements and achievements in many fields, including disease diagnosis, pathogenesis, and drug development[5, 6]. It involves a systematic analysis of metabolites in biological samples[7], which can be divided into targeted metabolomics and untargeted metabolomics. Targeted metabolomics measures precisely a specific target or set of related metabolites, whereas untargeted metabolomics evaluates various metabolites as widely as possible[8].

Studies have shown that the composition of metabolites in biological samples may be influenced by factors such as gender, age, diet, lifestyle, environmental, etc [9–12]. Considering this, AF as a biological sample undergoes changes throughout the gestational age as the fetus develops. However, to date, few studies have examined the factors affecting the metabolomics of AF. The study by Orczyk-Pawilowicz et al. [13] demonstrated that the AF during the transition from the 2nd (15.4 ± 0.96 weeks) to the 3rd (37.7 ± 1.68 weeks) trimester was associated with elevated levels of creatinine, succinate, pyruvate, choline, N,N-dimethylglycine, and urocanate, while a reduction in the levels of amino acids, glucose, and carnitine was observed. However, the impacts of gestational age, fetal gender and maternal age on AF metabolic profile warrant further exploration.

In this study, untargeted metabolomics based on GC-TOF/MS was applied to explore the relationship between fetal gender, maternal age, and gestational age with the metabolic profile of AF in the second trimester, in order to identify potential influencing factors that may provide a foundation for further AF-related metabolomics research in the future.

Methods

Samples

From January 2012 to December 2018, a total of 1,859 AF samples were collected prospectively, from pregnant women of similar ethnic backgrounds in the Jiangsu Province. These were residual AF following clinical molecular diagnostic tests in our prenatal diagnostic center, which were stored at -80°C . Of these, 114 AF samples were selected for this study, with the inclusion criteria as follows: singleton pregnancy; amniocentesis performed due to advanced age or high risk for Down's Syndrome following a serological screening in the second trimester of pregnancy; analysis of AF showed normal karyotypes; and no abnormality was identified after birth during the postnatal follow-ups. AF samples were excluded if they were from pregnant women with pregnancy-related disorders, such as hypertension and diabetes.

Chemical materials and instruments for untargeted metabolomics

Pyridine, methoxyamine HCl, anhydrous sodium sulfate, and fatty acid methyl ester standards (C7–C30, FAMES) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), while N-methyl-N(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) with 1% (vol/vol) trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS), dichloromethane, hexane, chloroform, methanol (Optima LC-MS), acetonitrile (Optima LC-MS) and acetone were purchased from Thermo-Fisher Scientific (FairLawn, NJ, USA). Ultrapure water was obtained through a Milli-Q reference system (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). GC-TOF/MS (Pegasus HT, Leco Corp., St. Joseph, MO, USA) was equipped with an Agilent 7890B gas chromatography, a Gerstel multipurpose sample MPS2 (Gerstel, Muehlheim, Germany), and a Rxi-5 ms capillary column (30 m × 250 µm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness, Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA, USA).

Sample processing

The frozen AF samples stored at -80°C were thawed on ice. Then, they were mixed well and centrifuged at 1,000 g for 3 min at 4°C. Each 100 µL of AF sample was aliquoted into a pre-cooled Eppendorf tube and 10 µL of the internal standard solution was added. For metabolite extraction, each 200 µL of pre-cooled methanol: chloroform (3:1, v/v) was used. After centrifugation (13,000 g, 20 min, 4°C), the supernatant was transferred into an auto-sampler vial (Agilent Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA). Quality control (QC) samples were prepared by mixing the remaining supernatant from each AF sample. To remove the chloroform solvent, all the samples were centrifuged for 5 min in a vacuum centrifuge concentrator (Labconco, Kansas City, MO, USA), and then transferred to a freeze dryer (Labconco, Kansas City, MO, USA) and completely lyophilized. Dichloromethane was added to ensure complete dryness of the samples, followed by high-purity nitrogen (Parker Balston, Lancaster, NY, USA) filling in the dried powder at room temperature. Untargeted metabolite analysis was performed on the XploreMET platform (Metabo-Profile, Shanghai, China). Briefly, 50 µL of methoxyamine (20 mg/mL in pyridine) was added to each dried sample at 30°C for 2 h and then mixing with 50 µL of MSTFA (1% TMCS) containing FAMES at 37.5°C for 1 h.

Chromatographic conditions

Helium (99.9999%) was used as the carrier gas at the constant flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The injection volume was 1 µL, and the injection and transfer interface temperatures were both at 270°C. The GC temperature programming was set to 2 min of isothermal heating at 80°C, followed by 12°C/min oven temperature ramps at 80–300°C, 4.5 min at 300°C, 40°C/min at 300–320°C, and 1 min of final maintenance at 320°C.

Mass spectrometry conditions

In the full scan mode (m/z 50–500), metabolites were measured using electron impact ionization (70 eV), and the ion source was set at 220°C. The acquisition rate was 25 spectra/s, and the mass range was 50–500 Da.

Data analysis

Peak picking, automated baseline denoising, deconvolution, and signal alignment were processed by XploreMET 3.0 software [14, 15]. Each data set was transformed into the comparable data vectors, and MetaboAnalyst 5.0, an open software, was used for multivariate statistical analysis, including the PCA,OPLS-DA and PLS-DA. Univariate statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 software (IBM, USA), and $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Association between fetal gender and AF metabolic profile

The demographics including the number of AF samples,maternal age range, and gestational age range based on gender were outlined in Table 1. A total of 64 maternal AF samples of female fetuses and 50 male fetuses were included. For the female and male fetal groups, the medians of the gestational age were both at 20 (17–24) weeks, while the maternal age was at 31.92 ± 5.20 and 31.00 ± 5.65 years, respectively. When comparing the 2 groups, there were no statistically significant differences in the gestational age ($P = 0.80$) and maternal age ($P = 0.25$).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of subjects in studying the effect of fetal gender on AF metabolic profile

Subject characteristics	Female	Male	<i>P</i> -value
Number of samples(n)	64	50	
GA(week), median	20(17~24)	20(17~24)	0.800
Maternal age (years),`x ± SD,	31.92 ± 5.20	31.00 ± 5.65	0.250
GA: Gestational age			

In an unsupervised PCA analysis model, there was no significant trend of separation of the metabolic profiles between the female and male fetal groups (Fig. 1A). The OPLS-DA model showed a trend of separation between the two groups, but the parameter Q^2 value was -0.224 , indicating that this trend of separation was attributed to the overfitting of the models rather than the difference in the gender groups (Fig. 1B). These findings suggested no significant association between the difference in the fetal gender and the total metabolic profile of AF.

A total of 265 metabolites were detected in the amniotic fluid, of which 178 were successfully identified. Of these, the proportions of amino acids, organic acids, carbohydrates, nucleotides, lipids, indoles, fatty acids and others were 30%, 25%, 19%, 7%, 3%, 3%, 3%, and 10%, respectively.

Univariate analysis revealed 7 differently expressed metabolites between the two groups, including isoleucine, 3-aminoisobutanoic acid, ribonolactone, fructose 6-phosphate, citric acid, phosphoenolpyruvic acid, and hypoxanthine (Table 2).

Table 2
Differential metabolites of male and female fetuses in the maternal AF

Class	Metabolite	HMDB ID	Trend in female	FC	<i>P</i> -value
Amino Acids	Isoleucine	HMDB0000172	↑	1.22	0.040
	3-Aminoisobutanoic acid	HMDB0003911	↓	0.83	0.049
Carbohydrates	Ribonolactone	HMDB0001900	↓	0.42	0.029
	Fructose 6-phosphate	HMDB0000124	↑	1.15	0.043
Organic Acids	Citric acid	HMDB0000094	↑	1.17	0.040
	Phosphoenolpyruvic acid	HMDB0000263	↑	1.17	0.017
Nucleotides	Hypoxanthine	HMDB0000157	↓	1.20	0.018
HMDB, human metabolome database; FC, fold change					

Association between maternal age and AF metabolic profile

The demographics including the number of AF samples, fetal gender composition, and gestational age range based on the maternal age were outlined in Table 3. AF samples were categorized into 4 groups of maternal ages, including 21–25 years, 26–30 years, 31–35 years and 36–40 years, with the numbers of AF samples in each group were 16, 37, 33 and 19, respectively, while the numbers of female/male fetuses were 8/8, 19/18, 20/13 and 11/8, respectively, with no significant difference between the groups. Similarly, no significant difference was demonstrated in the medians of the gestational age between the groups, which were 19.5 (17–24) weeks, 20 (18–24) weeks, 20 (17–23) weeks and 20 (18–23) weeks, respectively.

Table 3
Information on fetal gender and gestational age in different maternal age groups

Maternal age(years)	n	Gender (female/male)	<i>P</i> -value	GA(week), median	<i>P</i> -value
21~25	16	8/8	0.839	19.5(17~24)	0.374
26~30	37	19/18		20(18~24)	
31~35	33	20/13		20(17~23)	
35~40	19	11/8		20(18~23)	
GA: Gestational age					

PCA was performed to explore the differences in the metabolic profile between the four groups, which showed no significant trend of separation (Fig. 2A). Similar findings were observed when the PLS-DA model was performed (Fig. 2B). The model parameter Q^2 value of the first three components was - 0.22 and the *P*-value of the permutation test of the model was 0.54. These findings indicated that maternal

age had no significant influence on the AF metabolic profile. Among the 178 metabolites successfully identified, only 2-hydroxybutyric acid, guanidinosuccinic acid, erythrose and putrescine were significantly different among these groups (Table 4).

Table 4
Differential metabolites of different maternal age in AF

Class	Metabolite	HMDB ID	<i>P</i> -value
Organic Acids	2-Hydroxybutyric acid	HMDB00000008	0.007
	Guanidinosuccinic acid	HMDB0003157	0.025
Carbohydrates	Erythrose	HMDB0002649	0.003
Alkylamines	Putrescine	HMDB0001414	0.047
HMDB, human metabolome database			

Association between gestational age and AF metabolic profile

A total of 109 samples were selected to explore the association between the gestational age and the metabolic profile of AF, as shown in Table 5. There were 3 gestational age groups, which were 17–18 weeks (12 cases), 19–20 weeks (67 cases), and 21–22 weeks (30 cases), with the numbers of female/male fetuses in each group were 5/7, 39/28, and 18/12, respectively, the difference of which between the groups was not statistically significant. Also, no significant difference was demonstrated between the groups in the medians of the maternal age, which were 28.5 (24–42) years, 31 (21–42) years, and 31 (24–42) years, respectively.

Table 5
Information on fetal gender and maternal age in different gestational age groups

GA(week)	n	Gender (female/male)	<i>P</i> -value	Maternal age (year), median	<i>P</i> -value
17~18	12	5/7	0.522	28.5(24~42)	0.812
19~20	67	39/28		31(21~42)	
21~22	30	18/12		31(24~42)	
GA: Gestational age					

The PCA revealed no significant separation in the AF metabolic profiles among the three gestational age groups (Fig. 3A), which were further validated by the PLS-DA model with a negative Q^2 value (Fig. 3B). These findings indicated that gestational age had no significant influence on the AF metabolic profile at 17 to 22 weeks, but had an impact on the level of 23 metabolites, as shown in Table 6.

Table 6
Differential metabolites in different gestational age

Class	Metabolite	HMDB ID	P-value
Amino Acids	Creatinine	HMDB0000562	0.0004
	Creatine	HMDB0000064	0.0013
	Glutamic acid	HMDB0000148	0.0038
	Histidine	HMDB0000177	0.0255
	Threonine	HMDB0000167	0.0244
	Tyrosine	HMDB0000158	0.0128
	N-Acetyl-aspartic acid	HMDB0000812	0.0080
	Glutamine	HMDB0000641	0.0440
Carbohydrates	D-Ribose	HMDB0000283	0.0239
	Ribitol	HMDB0000508	0.0364
	Gluconic acid	HMDB0000625	0.0068
Organic Acids	Homogentisic acid	HMDB0000130	0.0066
	Isocitric acid	HMDB0000193	0.0087
	Ketoleucine	HMDB0000695	0.0252
	Taurine	HMDB0000251	0.0330
Lipids	Hexanoylcarnitine	HMDB0000705	0.0029
	Glycerol 3-phosphate	HMDB0000126	0.0122
	MG(18:2(9Z,12Z)/0:0/0:0)	HMDB0011568	0.0468
Fatty Acids	Pimelic acid	HMDB0000857	0.0003
Indoles	Indoleacetic acid	HMDB0000197	0.0031
Alkylamines	Hydroxylamine	HMDB0003338	0.0061
Alcohols	Myoinositol	HMDB0000211	0.0347
NA	GLUTAMIC	NA	0.0105
HMDB, human metabolome database			

Discussion

The innovations of chromatography, mass spectrometry, as well as bio-information technology have enabled metabolomics to develop rapidly. In this study, to explore the metabolic profile of the AF, we have applied an untargeted metabolomics method to identify as many minute molecular metabolites as possible in a selected cohort of AFs.

AF is crucial to the normal development of the fetus. It surrounds the fetus, which acts as a mechanical buffer to balance the external pressure. It also contains a variety of nutrients and growth factors that are needed for the growth of the fetus[16]. The diversity in the composition of the AF provides a good source of research materials, providing opportunities for the assessment of fetal maturity, disease diagnosis, the discovery of biomarkers, etc [17–19]. It is recognized that the composition of the AF changes with the growth of the fetus, but at present, little is known regarding the factors that affect the composition of the AF.

Following the analysis of AF metabolic profile using an untargeted metabolomics approach, the findings were correlated with factors including fetal gender, maternal age, and gestational age. We found that there was no significant correlation between the AF metabolic profile and differences in the fetal gender, maternal age and gestational age within a small range of maternal age (21 to 40 years) and gestational age (17 to 22 weeks). However, several metabolite levels were affected. Our findings were consistent with the study by Graca et al.[20] that examining AF metabolism using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy on 51 AF samples. Additionally, our findings revealed the metabolites that may be influenced by these three factors. Furthermore, the study by Orczyk-Pawilowicz et al.[13] has demonstrated the differences in the AF metabolic profile between the second and third trimester of pregnancy, suggesting that the gestational age may affect the constitutions of the AF if gestational age varies greatly. All in all, the influence of gestational age on the metabolic profile of AF is dependent on the range of gestational age.

In summary, this study correlated the AF metabolic profile with several factors including detailed classifications of the gestational age, maternal age, and fetal gender. A small range of maternal age or gestational age did not significantly affect the AF metabolic profile but impacted several metabolite expressions. Although the sample sizes were small in some of the subgroup analyses, our findings will provide a basis for further research, which will shed light on how these factors may influence the AF metabolic profile and will generate opportunities for clinical application.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

We thank all the participants for their contributions.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81770236), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFC1002402) and Jiangsu Natural Science Foundation (BK20181121).

Availability of data and materials

All authors had full access to the data and materials. Data is available from the authors upon reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

YL and YS were responsible for comprehensive sample collection, data collection, experimental operation, data analysis and paper writing. XZ, XW, PY, XG and XZ were responsible for sample and information collection. ZX, TJ and PH were responsible for the funding, research design, and paper writing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by Medical Ethics Committee of Nanjing Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital. Code number: [2018] NO.91. All participants signed informed consent before receiving amniocentesis, agreeing to use the remaining samples from clinical tests for scientific research. Therefore, this study did not re-sign the informed consent before the subsequent retrospective analysis and informed consent was waived given the retrospective nature of our study. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations under Ethics approval.

Consent for publication

Not applicable because there is no details, images, or videos related to an individual person.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Kacerovsky M, Vlkova B, Musilova I, [Andrys C](#), [Pliskova L](#), [Zemlickova H](#), Stranik J, Halada P, Jacobsson B, Celec P. Amniotic fluid cell-free DNA in preterm prelabor rupture of membranes. *Prenat Diagn*. 2018; 38(13):1086-95.
2. [Jang JH](#), [Jung YW](#), [Shim SH](#), [Sin YJ](#), [Lee KJ](#), [Shim SS](#), Ahn EH, Cha DH. Global gene expression changes of amniotic fluid cell free RNA according to fetal development. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2017; 216:104-10.
3. Bardanzellu F, Fanos V. The choice of amniotic fluid in metabolomics for the monitoring of fetus health – update. *Expert Rev Proteomics*. 2019; 16(6):487-99.

4. Rinschen MM, Ivanisevic J, Giera M, Siuzdak G. Identification of Bioactive Metabolites Using Activity Metabolomics. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2019; 20(6):353-67.
5. Liu E, Perl A. Pathogenesis and Treatment of Autoimmune Rheumatic Diseases. *Curr Opin Rheumatol.* 2019; 31(3):307-15.
6. Sinha R, Sharma B, Dangi AK, Shukla P. Recent Metabolomics and Gene Editing Approaches for Synthesis of Microbial Secondary Metabolites for Drug Discovery and Development. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2019; 35(11):166.
7. Pinu FR, Beale DJ, Paten AM, Kouremenos K, Swarup S, Schirra HJ, Wishart D. Systems Biology and Multi-Omics Integration: Viewpoints from the Metabolomics Research Community. *Metabolites.* 2019; 9(4):76.
8. Kim S, Jang WJ, Yu H, Ryu IS, Jeong CH, Lee S. Integrated Non-targeted and Targeted Metabolomics Uncovers Dynamic Metabolic Effects During Short-Term Abstinence in Methamphetamine Self-Administering Rats. *J Proteome Res.* 2019; 18(11):3913-25.
9. Ruoppolo M, Scolamiero E, Caterino M, Mirisola V, Franconi F, Campesi I. Female and male human babies have distinct blood metabolomic patterns. *Mol Biosyst.* 2015;11(9):2483-92.
10. Chaleckis R, Murakami I, Takada J, Kondoh H, Yanagida M. Individual variability in human blood metabolites identifies age-related differences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2016;113(16):4252-59.
11. Beuchel C, Becker S, Dittrich J, Kirsten H, Toenjes A, Stumvoll M, Loeffler M, Thiele H, Beutner F, Thiery J, et al. Clinical and lifestyle related factors influencing whole blood metabolite levels - A comparative analysis of three large cohorts. *Mol Metab.* 2019; 29:76-85.
12. Dhungana S, Carlson JE, Pathmasiri W, McRitchie S, Davis M, Sumner S, Appt SE. Impact of a western diet on the ovarian and serum metabolome. *Maturitas.* 2016; 92:134-42.
13. Orczyk-Pawilowicz M, Jawien E, Deja S, Hirnle L, Zabek A, Mlynarz P. Metabolomics of Human Amniotic Fluid and Maternal Plasma during Normal Pregnancy. *PLoS One.* 2016; 11(4):e0152740.
14. Ni Y, Qiu Y, Jiang W, Suttlemyre K, Su M, Zhang W, Jia W, Du X. ADAP-GC 2.0: deconvolution of coeluting metabolites from GC/TOF-MS data for metabolomics studies. *Anal Chem* 2012;84:6619-29.
15. Chen WL, Wang YY, Zhao A, Xia L, Xie G, Su M, Zhao L, Liu J, Qu C, Wei R, et al. Enhanced Fructose Utilization Mediated by SLC2A5 Is a Unique Metabolic Feature of Acute Myeloid Leukemia with Therapeutic Potential. *Cancer Cell* 2016;30:779-91.
16. Velika B, Birkova A, Dudic R, Urdzik P, Marekova M. Selected physicochemical properties of amniotic fluid according to week of pregnancy. *Bratisl Lek Listy.* 2018;119(3):175-79.
17. Kamath-Rayne BD, Du Y, Hughes M, Wagner EA, Muglia LJ, DeFranco EA, Whitsett JA, Salomonis N, Xu Y. Systems biology evaluation of cell-free amniotic fluid transcriptome of term and preterm infants to detect fetal maturity. *BMC Med Genomics.* 2015;8:67.
18. Zhang J, Wang Y, Ma D, Sun Y, Li Y, Yang P, Luo C, Jiang T, Hu P, Xu Z. Carrier Screening and Prenatal Diagnosis for Spinal Muscular Atrophy in 13,069 Chinese Pregnant Women. *J Mol Diagn.* 2020;22(6):817-22.

19. Novakovic TR, Dolicanin ZC, Djordjevic NZ. Oxidative stress biomarkers in amniotic fluid of pregnant women with hypothyroidism. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2019;32(7):1105-10.
20. Graça G, Duarte IF, Barros AS, Goodfellow BJ, Diaz S, Carreira IM, Couceiro AB, Galhano E, Gil AM. (1)H NMR based metabolomics of human amniotic fluid for the metabolic characterization of fetus malformations. *J Proteome Res.* 2009; 8(8):4144-50.

Figures

Figure 1

Multivariate analysis of the influence of the fetal gender on the AF metabolic profile. (A) Two dimensional unsupervised PCA analysis; (B) Supervised OPLS-DA, $R^2Y=0.340$; $Q^2 = -0.224$. A negative Q^2 value indicated an over-fitting of the model.

Figure 2

Multivariate analysis of the influence of maternal age on the AF metabolic profile. (A) Two dimensional unsupervised PCA analysis; (B) Supervised PLS-DA, the Q2 value of the first three components was -0.22 . A negative Q2 value indicated an over-fitting of the model.

Figure 3

Multivariate analysis of the influence of the gestational age on the AF metabolic profile. (A) Two dimensional unsupervised PCA analysis; (B) In the PLS-DA model, the Q2 value of the first three component was -0.14 . A negative Q2 value indicated an over-fitting of the model.