

An Investigation Into the Cause of Road Failure Along Sagamu-papalanto Highway Southwestern Nigeria

OYEM EMMANUEL UBIDO (✉ oyememmanuel@yahoo.com)

University of Nigeria Nsukka <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7450-1284>

Igwe Ogbonnaya

University of Nigeria Nsukka

Bernadette Uche Ukah

University of Nigeria Nsukka

Research

Keywords: Disaster, Road, Failure, Soil, Liquid limit, Plastic limit, Geophysical Investigation

Posted Date: November 16th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-44126/v2>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on January 22nd, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40677-020-00174-8>.

Abstract

Investigation into the cause of road failure has been carried out along a 60km Sagamu – Papalanto highway southwestern Nigeria. Geochemical, mineralogical, geotechnical and geophysical analyses were conducted to evaluate the cause of failure along the study area. The results of the laboratory tests showed that the percentage amount of fines ranges from 12-61.3%, natural moisture content from 6.8 to 19.7%, liquid limit in the range of 25.1-52.2%, linear shrinkage between 3.96 to 12.71%, plastic limit ranges from 18.2-35%, plasticity index ranges from 5.2 to 24.6%, free swell in the range from 5.17 – 43.9%, maximum dry density ranges from 1.51 -1.74g /cm³, specific gravity ranges from 2.52-2.64 and CBR between 3-12%. The Cone Penetrometer Test (CPT) shows a resistance value of 20-138 kgf/cm². The major clay mineral that is predominant in the studied soil is kaolinite. The major oxides present are SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, K₂O, Na₂O, MgO and CaO. The result of the 2D Electrical Resistivity Imaging revealed a low resistivity values for profile 2 and 3 ranging from 100 Ωm – 300 Ωm, between a distance of 20m – 240 m along the profile to a depth of 7.60m and a low resistivity value ranging from 50 Ωm – 111Ωm, between a distance of 80 m – 120 m along the profile to a depth of 15m. It was concluded that the low CBR, low MDD and the class of subsoils namely A-26, A-7, A-2-7 (clayey soils) which were identified are responsible for the cause of failure experienced in the study area. These makes the soils unsuitable as road construction materials and hence, there is need for stabilization during the reconstruction and rehabilitation of the road.

1. Introduction

Road transportation is of paramount importance to every society and country. It dictates the pace of socio economic development in any country. It is worthy to note that no economy can thrive where there is no good road. However, roads play an important role to the increase of any country gross domestic product. There is a positive correlation that exist between a country's economic growth and the standard of its road network. A country roads network should be designed and constructed in a systematic manner that the intended social cultural and the economic benefits can be maximized (Ighodalo 2009).

It is of importance to highlight that Nigeria roads have often being characterized with long cracks, potholes and other pavement defects known to road construction. These has posed a serious challenge and disaster such that in Nigeria one can hardly travel a mile without coming across a long cracks and potholes. These has resulted to a spike in the number of road accidents and a plunge on the nation's economic development. Every single road built are often known to have a stipulated design life; but roads often times fail long before the planned expected date; some fail straight away after construction, more after flooding, some stay up to half of its life cycle while others last to its entire life expectancy with appropriate maintenance.

Adegoke-Anthony and Agada (1980) reported that excessive road usage, poor maintenance and poor design prior to road construction have been responsible for road failures. Laboratory experiments, field monitoring and observation have also revealed the factors responsible for road failure. Unsatisfactory

supervision, excessive road usage, non-compliance to design specification, substandard construction materials are solely responsible for the cause of this menace. These factors responsible for road failure can also emanate from inadequate knowledge of the behavior and the characteristics of the residual soils on which the road are built (Ajayi 1987). Various researchers have put forward their opinions on the factors responsible for incessant and ceaseless breakdown of roads in Nigeria. These includes; the appearance and the existence of expansive clays such as chlorite, halloysite, montmorillonite etc. (Mesida 1986; 1987), heterogeneity, dissimilarity of the subgrade materials (Mesida 1987; Adeleye 2005), existence and appearance of unexposed linear features such as fractures, rock boundaries and joints (Momoh et al. 2008). Geologic elements such as the near surface geologic sequence, the nature of the subgrade material (top soil), occurrence of geological structures such as faults, fractures, shear zones, occurrence of ancient stream channels composed of geohazards that can damage the stability and solidity of any road structure. Various researchers in an attempt to unravel the cause of continual road failure in Nigeria have identified the underlying geologic conditions primarily amidst others factors responsible for this catastrophe (Oladapo et al. 2008; Momoh et al. 2008; Adiat et al. 2009).

Different authors have carried out investigations to probe the factors responsible for road failures in Nigeria using geophysical methods. Momoh et al. (2008) investigated the cause of highway road failure along the basement complex of southwestern Nigeria by employing very low frequency electromagnetic (VLF-EM) and electrical resistivity such as Schlumberger Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES). Dipole – dipole electrical methods were applied across Ilesha- Owena highway with the aim of probing the subsurface structural characteristics of the subgrade soil and marking out the relief of the bedrock. It was revealed from the investigation that water permeating stratum, linear attribute presumed to be fracture zones, faults, buried stream channel and joints were responsible for the failed section of the studied road. Oladapo et al. (2008) researched into the cause of road failure along the basement complex area of southern Nigeria using electrical resistivity method encompassing Wenner Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) techniques and dipole – dipole. The result of the investigation revealed that the low resistivity value of less than 200 Ωm that was delineated from the section was responsible for the road failure. Adiat et al. (2009) probed into the cause of road pavement failure using electrical resistivity and electromagnetic methods. Very low frequency electromagnetic profiling and lateral resistivity profiling was employed at an interval of 10m along with twenty-one (21) Schlumberger Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) to appraise the factor responsible for road failure along a 3km road at Igbara – Oke to Ijuji in south western Nigeria. It was revealed from the investigation that the failed section of the road was as a result of the low resistivity of the near surface materials and the superficiality of the aquiferous region on which the road pavement was constructed. Akintorinwa et al. (2010) conducted a research on the investigation of road failure using geophysical methods along Ilesha-Akure highway with the objective of unravelling the cause of pavement failure. The research studied two stable sections and four failed sections. The result of the investigation showed that the subsoil on which the road pavement was laid which serves as the control has high resistivity value greater than 200 Ωm whereas the failed section has a low resistivity value of less than 200 Ωm . Osinowo et al. (2011) researched on the factor responsible for road failure along the basement complex terrain in southwestern Nigeria using integrated geotechnical and geophysical

methods. The researchers employed electrical resistivity method and very low frequency electromagnetic in order to delineate road sections with inconsistent electrical feedback and explained the findings in connection to the lithology and water saturation. It was revealed from the study that geotechnical and geophysical investigation provided a very practical way for delineating near surface earth materials. Adeyemo and Omosuyi (2012) probed into the cause of road failure along Akure-Owo expressway by employing geo-electric sounding, very low frequency electromagnetic (VLF-EM), and ground magnetic profiling. The result of the investigation showed a low resistivity values underneath the failed section which denotes clay, a depressions filled by low resistivity and an eroded weathered material that is representative of expansive clay was responsible for the road failure.

Jatto et al. (2014) investigated the cause of road failure along Sarkin Pawa- Mangoro highway Niger state northcentral Nigeria using magnetic method and Schlumberger Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES). The research employed 28 VES stations at a partition of 50m that was set up side by side to the road pavement. The results of the investigation showed that the geo-electric section along the stable section of the studied road showed mainly a resistive subsurface whereas the magnetic profile showed a uniformed subsurface that is void of near surface water table, geological substratum and a low magnetic linear attributes that is considered to be fault within the basement.

Sagamu-Papalanto highway is one of the busiest highway in southwestern Nigeria. This is as a result of the presence of some notable industries in the area. The road under investigation is an access route to the Lafarge cement company at Ewekoro, Dangote cement company at Ibese, NNPC gas plant station at Olorungosho in Ogun state. The deplorable state of this road as at the time of investigation and from feedback received from the community representative during research investigation shows that series of road accidents that has resulted to loss of lives and valuable properties has occurred on the highway. The deplorable state of the road has also serves as an avenue for crime and banditry like kidnapping and armed robbery. The bad state of the road has slowed down the pace of socio-economic development and activities in and around the area and its suburbs. Road users now abandon the road for other alternative routes despite knowing fully well that the alternative route will result to an increase in their travel time.

The road under investigation is a flexible pavement that is made up of the subbase, subgrade, base and the wearing course. The subgrade is the natural soil that act as foundation for the road and is directly overlap by the subbase which consists of soils imported from another area. The base of the road consists of aggregates obtained from basement rocks and other sites whereas the wearing course made up of bitumen.

As at the time of this study, a significant portion of the road orientation and alignment has failed. The distress was obvious in different forms which include; rutting, raveling, cracks and pothole (Fig.1). In some segment of the road; corrugation, potholes and cracks are occasionally up to 1.5-2meters large and 1m deep (Fig. 1). The road under investigation is totally without drainage provision and facilities. The various types of failure encountered during investigation at different locations are outlined in Table 1. The objectives of this study is to employ the use of combined geophysical and geotechnical methods

into probing into the factors responsible for road failure in study area and also evaluate the geo-electrical properties of soils and its implication to road failure along Sagamu-Papalanto highway southwestern Nigeria.

Table 1: showing the locations of distress in the study area.

	Coordinates	Failure type	Location	Remark
1	3°23 29.627''E 6°53'1.217 ''N	Cracks	1, 2, 11, 20	Seriously cracked with the bituminous layer completely altered.
	3°33'19.68 ''E 6°53'12.48 ''N			
	3°24'27.36 ''E 6°53'46.48 ''N			
	3°24'17.12 ''E 6°53'17.38 ''N			
2	3°17'38.27 ''E 6°52'46.56 ''N	Potholes	4, 5, 7, 9, 19,	Crater shaped defect with fairly extensive with in some places cutting as deep as 0.5 m in some of the locations. It retains considerable quantity of rain water and provide an easy passage for the entry of rainwater
	3°19'22.08 ''E 6°52'46.56 ''N			
	3°19'22.08 ''E 6°52'46.56 ''N			
	3°17'12.48 ''E 6°52'64.56 ''N			
	3°16'46.56 ''E 6°52'20.64 ''N			
3	3°15'28.47 ''E 6°51'54.72 ''N	Corrugation and Raveling	3, 8, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18,	The portion are fully broken down with the structural components of the road entirely pulled out and removed

3°13'19.04
''E

6°52'20.64
''N

3°11'35.52
''E

6°53'35.52
''N

3°12'10.41
''E

6°52'47.50
''N

3°22'26.88
''E

6°52'34.57
''N

3°15'28.18
''E

6°52'46.32
''N

3°16'20.54
''E

6°52'20.64
''N

3°53'12.22
''E

6°52'53.22
''N

3°34'42.24
''E

6°53'12.48
''N

2. Location, Geomorphology, Climate And Geology Of The Study Area

The study area lies within Longitude **3°11'35.627''E** to **3°34'42.25''E** and Latitude 6°51'1.217''N to 6°54'16.457''N on the Sagamu – Papalanto expressway in Ogun State Southwestern Nigeria (Fig. 2). The road stretches up to 60km long. It links and connects other cities like Ewekoro, Ibese, Ifo, Lagos -Ibadan express way and other parts of the country. Sagamu-Papalanto study area is enclosed in the north by Oyo and Osun States, in the south by Lagos State in the east by Ondo State and in the west by Benin Republic. It transverses between Papalanto junction through several villages and settlement to Sagamu interchange. The geology of the area comprises of sedimentary units which consists of argillaceous

sediment which is soft and friable and some siliceous and ferruginous materials (Fig. 2). The geology and stratigraphy of the Dahomey basin has been grouped into six lithostratigraphic Formations namely: Abeokuta, Ewekoro, Akinbo, Oshosun, Ilaro, and Benin Formations (Ako et al. 1980; Omatsola and Adegoke 1981; Okosun 1990; Nton 2001; Elueze and Nton 2004). The Abeokuta Formation represents an irregularity, it is laid directly above the basement complex, Ewekoro, Oshosun and Ilaro Formations overlie these in turn and are all overlain by the coastal plain sands of the Benin Formation. The studied area is located on the sedimentary Formation of the south western Nigeria and it is underlain by the basement complex Adegoke et al. (1976). It belongs to the Ewekoro Formation which is Tertiary formed during the Paleocene and Eocene period. The area has a wide spread humid tropical climate pronounced by the presence of wet and dry seasons. The wet seasons starts in April and terminates in October whereas the dry seasons runs from October to March. The mean annual rainfall is approximately 1375mm and the pattern of rainfall is dual mode in nature. In addition to an annual peak in the month of June and a secondary maximum in the month of September (Balogun 2003). The mean yearly temperature also differs from 22°C wet season mean to 30 °C dry season mean whereas the humidity range from 40% December mean to 80% in July. The study area has moist air that comes from the Atlantic Ocean throughout the year. The relief is moderately, uneven and undulating. The area is predominated by dendritic drainage pattern which implies a quite similar resistance of the underlying rocks to weathering.

3. Materials And Methods

Visual inspection and reconnaissance survey was undertaken in the course of the fieldwork to evaluate and access the physical conditions of the highway pavements. The underlying rocks were identified and their structural trends were noted. Twenty disturbed soil samples were collected using an auger from the subgrade, subbase and base course. The materials were taken across the road sides closed by the failed sections in a manner that the soil samples will reflect the different topographic state of the road.

The samples were kept safe and packed in an air-tight sack bag to retain its natural moisture. Marks were placed on the collected samples to indicate the soil descriptions, sampling depths and date of sampling. The samples collected were sent to the Lagos state material testing Laboratory. The samples were spread on different matting to ease air drying, all the clods and lumps in the samples were reduced to fine particles before been subjected to geotechnical test.

The geotechnical tests were carried out according to the following code specifications; (ASTM D6913) for Sieve analysis, (ASTM D4318) Atterberg's Consistency Limit tests, (ASTM D854) Specific Gravity, (ASTM D698) Compaction, and (BS1377) California Bearing Ratio (CBR) tests. The detailed methods of these geotechnical analysis are highlighted in (Punmia et al. 2005; Arora 2009).

Eight soil samples were randomly selected for geochemical and mineralogical analysis. These tests were carried out through the use of X-ray diffraction and Florescence methods using the techniques of (Carrol D 1971). The clays minerals were recognized and the percentage abundance was calculated using the area method (International Joint Committee Properties on Mineral Powder Diffraction Standard 1980).

The Dutch Cone Penetrometer Test (CPT) was used in determining the bearing capacities of the soils in the study location. It is manually used by driving a hardened steel cone uninterruptedly into the ground and thereafter taken the measurement of its resistance to penetration. The CPT machine that was used has a peak angle of 60° and a hinge area of 10cm². It comprises of a steel frame conveying a driving head which accommodate hydraulic pressure capsule. The driving head is lifted or let down by a manually controlled winch. The cone body is forced into the ground by using the steel rods that is fastened to the driving head. These rods are shielded from friction with the soil by an empty outer rods. The cone driving rods and outer rods were forced at the same time into the ground for an interval of 200mm. The operated pressure is then exerted to the inner rods and the cone is thereafter moved forward separately outside of the outer rods for an interval of 40mm using the standard rate of 100mm/sec. The force required to fastening the cone is transferred across the capsule in the driving head to a gauge and thereafter the penetration resistance indicated on the gauge is recorded. The outer tube is then advanced and the whole assemble is driven further by 250mm (Ubido et al. 2017; 2018). Four cone penetration test (CPT)) were carried out along the profiles of the geophysical survey. The CPT was carried out in accordance with the British Standard Code of Practice for Site Investigations, B.S 5930:1999 and with Method of Testing Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes, B.S 1377:1990. The bearing capacity of the soil from the CPT was arrived at by using the established standard used by Lagos State Material Laboratory test for CPTs in foundation engineering, "Simplified Description of the use and Design Methods".

Electrical Resistivity Imaging (ERI) was implemented during the investigation. It is a geo-electrical techniques used in acquiring a high level resolution (2D) image of the earth surface using the Wenner array electrical configuration. The materials used include ABEM terra meter SAS 1000, measuring tape, masking tape, hammers electric, cable steel electrode, RES2DINV software, Global Positioning Satellite (GPS). The Wenner array method was used in the investigation. A spacing of 25m, 35m, 45 m, 55m, 65 m, 75 m, 85m, 95m, 105m long stretch was employed. Traverse I, II III and IV were set -up laterally along the road profile that shows serious cracks and babbling of the pavement. Contrasting electrode spacing of 10m, 20m, 30m and 40m were employed accordingly for the electrode spread. Four horizontal profiles were set up, each had a length of 250m and 600m for transverse 4. These was used for convenience of space due to vehicular movement. The data gathered from the field was ascribed into the software RES2DINV to create a 2D resistivity image of the earth subsurface under study. These results acquired was used to derive the qualitative exposition of the profiles. The result acquired from the study were plotted in form of a pseudo-section which gives an estimated near picture of the subsurface attribute and thereafter appraised to delineate the geo-electric properties of the section of the road in the study area.

4. Results And Discussion

The results of the geotechnical tests conducted are shown in Table 2. Table 2 shows the result of the natural moisture content of the investigated soil. The natural moisture content plays an important role in increasing or reducing density indices of soils and it varies based on the depth of the soil, previous rainfall data and the existing drainage conditions (Ramamurthy and Sitharam 2005; Bowles 1984). The

moisture content is one of the component that affect the dry density of soils (Garber and Hoel 1999). The periodic rainfall fluctuations in the moisture contents of soil will result to a large volume changes in the clayey soils. The natural moisture content of the analyzed soil samples varied from 9.4 to 18.2 % for subgrade, from 7.5% and 15.4% for base course between 6.8% and 19.7% for sub-base course (Table 2). It was revealed that six sample locations values are higher than the specified value of (5-15%) recommended by the (FMWH 1997) for road construction. This shows high water adsorption potential of the soil materials. The results revealed that the values obtained will lead to reduction in shear strength of these road construction materials (Ademilua 2018). Thus, they are unfit as subgrade, subbase and base materials. High fluctuation in moisture content often results to a large volume changes in the clayey soils (Daramola 2018). The results obtained for the moisture content ranges from favorable, marginal favorable to unfavorable in accordance to (Underwood 1967).

Table 2: Results of the geotechnical test.

SN	Soil layers	Clays %	Silt %	Fines %	Sand %	Grave l %	SG	NMC	LL	PL	PI	FS	LS	Activit y	AASHTO	Soil group	UCS	OM C %	MDD (g/c m ³)	CB R %
L1	SBC	26.1	37.2	62.8	36.0	3.2	2.64	6.8	56.1	35.6	20.5	33	6	0.78	A-7	SS	MH	24	1.52	5
L2	SBC	18.5	12.78	30.2	63.4	7.7	2.52	12.6	30.4	22.4	8.0	22	8.16	0.43	A-24	CS	CL	15	1.65	4
L3	SBC	39	9.6	47.2	49.4	4.3	2.53	20.6	49.7	26.2	23.5	21.2	11	0.60	A-7	CS	MI	21	1.67	8
L4	SBC	31.3	7.4	39.5	48.3	13.2	2.53	14	46.4	32.4	14.0	21.4	12.4	0.44	A-7	CS	MI	18	1.51	6
L5	SBC	36.7	11.9	45.4	56.8	3.8	2.52	16.4	46.9	29.9	17.0	32	11	0.46	A-7	CS	MI	21	1.61	6
L6	SBC	27	11.9	37.4	57.3	9.3	2.53	19.7	47.9	27.5	20.4	26.9	12.4	0.75	A-7	CS	CI	17	1.53	6
L7	BC	26.5	16.4	41.9	49.3	10.8	2.54	14.9	52.2	29.2	23.0	43.9	10.2	0.86	A-7	CS	MI	12	1.65	5
L8	BC	26.8	15	39.8	61.3	2.7	2.56	7.5	25.1	19.9	5.20	2.0	6	0.19	A-4	CS	CL-MI	11	1.78	5
L9	BC	26.2	11.7	36.1	37	25.9	2.57	15	41.7	29.7	12	18.6	6	0.45	A-7	GS	MI	16	1.67	4
L10	BC	48.9	12.1	58.0	31.7	9.3	2.62	15.4	42.4	30.5	11.9	22	8.29	0.24	A-6	SC	MI	18	1.65	3
L11	SG	24.2	11.2	34.4	56.2	8.4	2.56	15.8	34.3	21.7	34.3	31	9.57	0.29	A-26	CS	CL	3	1.70	3
L12	SG	21.1	12.7	31.8	60.5	6.7	2.55	12.6	36.3	25.2	36.3	8.14	10.29	0.52	A-26	CS	CI	4	1.72	4
L13	SG	26.9	6.1	32	59.4	10.6	2.58	12.2	33.6	22.6	33.6	26	11.29	0.40	A-26	CS	CL	12	1.71	12
L14	SG	27	14.9	42.9	51.4	4.7	2.56	18.2	40.5	22.1	40.5	26.7	11.6	0.68	A-7	CS	CI	5	1.69	5
L15	SG	13.4	15.8	27.2	64	9.8	2.57	11.5	26.8	18.2	26.8	11	8.86	0.64	A-24	CS	CL	4	1.74	4
L16	SG	12.1	14.9	28	70.1	4.9	2.55	13.2	36.6	18.7	36.6	5.35	3.86	1.47	A-2	SiC	CL	4	1.70	4
L17	SG	22.6	8.7	28.3	50.5	20.2	2.54	22	31.3	20.5	31.3	5.17	3.96	0.47	A-24	CS	CL	5	1.72	5
L18	SG	8.5	7.5	12	80.1	6.9	2.57	12.5	40.81	22.3	40.81	6.17	7.43	2.17	A-2	CS	CL	9	1.72	9
L19	SG	21.8	14.8	35.6	55.7	11.7	2.50	11.6	45.4	23.8	45.4	31	11.71	0.99	A-2-7	CS	CI	3	1.64	3
L20	SG	27.5	14	38.5	49.4	10.1	2.58	9.4	46.2	21.6	46.2	26	12.71	0.89	A-7	CS	CI	4	1.64	4

Note: *MC* Moisture content, *SG* specific gravity, *MDD* maximum dry density, *PI* plasticity index, *LL* liquid limit, *PL* plastic limit, *CBR* California bearing ratio *LS* linear shrinkage, *FS* free swell, *SS* sandy silt, *CS* clayey sand, *GS* gravelly sand, *SC* sandy clay, *SiC* silty clay, *NP* non plastic; *SBC* sub-base soil; *Base course (BC)* materials; *sub-grade soil (SGS)*.

The results of the grain size distribution are summarized in Table 2 and Fig. 3. The grain size analysis is important in determining the strength of the soil and also determine the particle size distribution of the studied soils (Naresh and Nowatzki 2006). The results show the percentage amount of clays, silts, fines, sand and gravel ranged from 12.1-27.5%, 6.1-15.8%, 12-38.5%, 49.4-80.1% and 4.7-20.2% for subgrade, 18.5-39%, 7.4-37.2%, 30.2-62.8%, 36-63.4% and 3.2-13.2 for subbase course and 26.2-48.9%, 11.2-16.4, 36.1-58.0%, 31.7-61.3% and 2.7- 25.9% for base course (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The Federal Ministry of Works and Housing (1997) recommends subgrade soils to possess less than 35% amount of fines. The results obtained in comparison with FMWH (1997) shows that four soil samples did not meet up the specification. It can be inferred from the result that the soils are susceptible to frequent shrinkage and swelling potentials during the various wet and dry seasons which are usually the characteristics of the environmental and climatic condition of the study location. The high percentage of fines had been linked to the predominance of clay which feasibly exerts a dominant control on the mass behavior of soil which makes it mechanical unfit. AASHTO (1993) classification system is based on the particle sizes, liquid limit and plasticity index. According to the grouping, soils with less than 35% pass through the No.200 sieve are classified under A-1 to A-3, typically clayey soils whereas soils with more than 35% pass through the No. 200 sieve are classified under A-4 to A-7, typically granular soils. Also, most of the soil samples had a very high percentage finer than 0.0075 fraction that is >35%, which varied between 31.7% and 61.3% for base course and 30.2% and 62.8% for sub base and 12% and 42.9% for sub grade respectively. The results from the study shows that that 60% did not meet the requirement for subgrade soil while 40% meet the condition when compared with (underwood 1967) which states that subgrade soils have less than 35% fines. The group index is the parameter that gives an indication of the load bearing capacity within the AASHTO soil group. The resulting increase in the group index will cause decrease in the load carrying capacity. The results on the studied samples (Table 2) revealed that the soils are classified as A-26, A-24, A-2 and A-7 according to (AASHTO 1993). The soils in these groups are considered as clayey soils. The soils are grouped as fair to poor materials for road construction. The soils classified as A-2-7 soil on AASHTO soil classification is regarded as poorly graded and poor graded (GP) on USCS soil classification with group index of 0 (Gopal and Rao 2011). Therefore, they are unfit for use as subgrade, subbase and base road construction materials. The clayey soils are classified as fair to poor road materials, while the granular soils are classified as excellent or good road materials.

The consistency limits are used in determining the settlement and strength characteristics of soils for road construction (Sowers 1970; Adeyemi 1995). The liquid, plastic limit and plasticity index results of the studied soils ranged from 25.1% - 52.2%, 19.9%-30.5% and 5.20-23.0% for base course, 30.4-56.1%, 22.4%-35.6% and 8.0-23.5% for subbase course and 26.8- 46.2%, 18.2-25.2% and 7.1-24.6% for subgrade

(Table 2). The consistency limits are used in determining the settlement and strength characteristics of soils for road construction (Sowers 1970; Adeyemi 1995). All the studied soils have the potentials of causing a major deformation under load due to their plastic natures. It is noteworthy that 95% of the studied soils are within (FMWH 1997) stipulated standard of 50% for liquid limit making them suitable as used for subgrade, sub-base and base materials for road construction. Moreover, only six of the studied samples fall within (FMWH 1997) stipulated for maximum plasticity index of 20% for highway subgrade materials, whereas the rest of the studied soil samples are above the stated recommended standard which makes it unsuitable for subgrade construction materials. (Ola 1983) stated that soils with plasticity index that is lower than 25% shows low to medium swelling potential

The Casagrande's plasticity chart (Fig.4) is also used soil classification (Casagrande 1947). The studied soil samples fall within the CI, CL and CH. These soils are grouped on the basis of their particle size distribution, liquid limit and plasticity index. Two of the studied soil samples are within the low plasticity (CL) whereas eighteen of the studied soil samples falls within the medium to high plasticity (CI) categories on the Casagrande's chart. The results revealed that 64% of the soils were plotted within the field of inorganic silts while 36% were plotted in the field of inorganic clays. Additionally, when the plasticity index lies between 20 and 35% then it satisfies the condition for high swelling potential and between 25 and 41% for a high degree of expansion (Gopal and Rao 2011). The plasticity index is a predominant factor used in the selection of materials for subgrade and subbase. It gives much detailed facts on the properties and behavior of clays in contrast to grain size data (Lambe 1951). Excessive plasticity often leads to fluctuations which arises from plastic flow upon the application of axle load (Adeyemi 1995; 2002). Soils with extremely high liquid limit are often prone to have low bearing capacity. Cassagrande (1947) stated that soil samples with low, medium and high plasticity will have low, medium and high compressibility respectively Table 3.

Table 3: Expansive soil classification based on plasticity index and Liquid

Swell potential		Plasticity index (%)		Swell potential		Liquid limit (%)	
Holtz and Gibbs (1956)	Chen (1988)	IS: 1498 (1970)	IS: 1498 (1970)	Chen (1965)	Snethan et al. (1977)	IS: 1498 (1970)	IS: 1498 (1970)
Low	<18	0-15	<12	Low	<30	<50	35-50
Medium	15-28	10-35	12-23	Medium/marginal	30-40	50-60	50-70
High	25-41	20-55	23-32	High	40-60	>60	70-90
				Very high	>60	-	20-35

The linear shrinkage of the soils varied from 6-12.4% for subbase course, 6-10.2% for base course and 3.86 - 14.4% for subgrade (Table 2). Brink et al. (1982) and Ola (1983) reported that soils with linear shrinkage values exceeding 8% will be active, have a serious swelling potential and are not fit for

foundation materials Table 4. Gidigas (1973) reported that soils having linear shrinkage value greater than 10% will constitute a field compaction problem. It was revealed that 70% of the studied soil samples will constitute a field compaction problem. It was revealed from the results that seven samples from the subgrade samples have values greater than (Madedor 1983; Jegede 2004) as stipulated which states that 8% is the maximum value that is required for subgrade soils as use for road construction. The implication of the results is that during seasonal fluctuation in weather condition (the wet and dry seasons) the soils will experience swelling and shrinkage. This is also evident in the tropical climatic weather condition that is often associated with the study location. It was also revealed that the results obtained from the linear shrinkage correlates well with that of the grain size analysis (Table 2); it shows that the soil samples are unfit for subbase and subgrade highway materials. From the results of the linear shrinkage, it is noteworthy that only three sample locations met the requirements for road construction and the rest of the samples did not meet the stated specification (FMWH 1997). The soils that are linked to excessive shrinkage and swelling can be reworked to have the required needed characteristics for road construction by stabilizing it (Olofinyo et al. 2019).

Table 4: Expansive soil classification based on shrinkage limit

Swell potential (Holtz and Gibbs 1956)	Shrinkage limit (%)	Volume change (Altmeyer 1956)	Shrinkage limit (%)
Low	>15	Non-critical	>12
Medium	10-16	Marginal	10-12
High	7-12	Critical	<10
Very high	<11	Volume change	Shrinkage limit (%)

The values of the specific gravity of the studied soil range from 2.52-2.64 for subbase course, 2.54-2.62 for base course and 2.54-2.58 for subgrade (Table 2). The specific gravity is known to link the strength of soil and are in turn used as criteria for selecting an appropriate material for highway pavement construction materials especially when used along with other pavement materials. Low specific gravity is connected with clay mineralogy and weathering of feldspar that gave rise to the clay (Okogbue 1988; Owoyemi and Adeyemi 2012). The value is low when compared with the residual soils within the basement complex of Nigeria (Jegede 1998). It has been revealed by (Gidigas 1983; Kabir and Taha 2004) that specific gravity has strong correlation between the chemical composition and the mineralogy of a soil. Gidigas (1993) reported that the greater the specific gravity; the greater the degree laterization. The more the percentage of clay fraction and alumina concentration the less the specific gravity. The studied soils have been grouped as inorganic soils (Ramamurthy 2005).

Activity of the soil results are summarized in (Table 2 Fig. 5). Clay activity of soils is obtained by integrating Atterberg limits and clay content into an exclusive parameter (Skempton 1953). The results of the percentage clay sized fraction and plasticity index were used to calculate the activity in order to determine the measure and the degree of likelihood of exhibiting colloidal behavior. This is linked to the geologic history and mineralogy of clays presents in the soils. The characteristics of clay soils are known by the physicochemical characteristics of the different constituents' minerals and by the relative

proportions of the minerals present (Olofinyo et al. 2019). The activity values from the studied soil ranges from 0.19- 2.17 (Table 2) which indicate active to inactive clays. Consequently, the studied soils have low – medium and negligible high expansion ability as derived from the activity chart (Fig. 5). Underwood (1967) proposed three classes of clay based on their activity which includes; the normal clays, active clays and inactive clays which ranges from 0.75-1.25, value greater than 1.25 and value less than 0.75. The activity less than 1 corresponds to kaolinite, while activity between 1 and 2 corresponds to illite and greater than 2 corresponds to montmorillonite (Skempton 1953). The results indicate that the predominant clay mineral present in the soil is kaolinite as eighteen samples location has clay activity values of less than one, which is inactivity and low moisture affinity.

The free swells results are summarized in (Tables 2), the values of the free swell index of the studied soil range from 5.17 – 43.9; The results show that the soils in the study area ranged from kaolinite and illite. It possesses low to medium swelling potential (Table 5). The results are in accordance with (Aghamelu and Okogbue 2011; Onana 2017) on their research on the geotechnical properties of highway soils in southwestern and eastern Nigeria.

Table 5: Expansive soil classification based on shrinkage index (IS 1498)

Degree of expansivity/swell potential	Shrinkage index (%)
Low	<15
Medium	15-30
High	30-60
Very high	>60

The compaction curves for the studied soils are shown in Fig. 6. The Optimum Moisture Content varied between 12- 18%, 15- 24%, 12-17% for base course, sub base and sub grade respectively at the Maximum Dry Densities (MDD) of 1.65 -1.78g/cm³, 1.51- 1.67g/cm³ and 1.64- 1.74g/cm³ respectively Table 2. The MDD of the base course is less than the specified value (i.e.>/ 2.0g\cm³) in all the sample locations. It was revealed that five subgrade soils samples met the (FMWH 1997) specification which states that the MDD values should be above 1.70 g/cm³. The Nigeria general specification (1994) recommends that soils should be in the range of 1.50 -1.78 g/m³ for the MDD and optimum moisture content (OMC) of 8.56-12.02%. The implication is that the residual soils in the studied area have low bearing capacities due to its low OMC and MDD. The soils cannot be used as construction materials except the materials are well compacted and stabilized appropriately in order for void spaces in the soil to be removed; these will intermittently reduce the permeability and increase the strength of the soil materials. It is worthy to note that for any soils to be suitable as foundation materials such soil must be compacted above the MDD and the OMC values in order for its to give rise to the required strength that will withstand the impact of load and impede the inflow of water (Olofinyo et al. 2019). The results in comparison to Underwood (1967) revealed that the studied soil samples have fair to poor foundation attribute. This comparative low maximum dry density value is potentially responsible factor to the persistence incidence of road failure in the study area.

The CBR curves for the studied soils are shown in Fig. 7. The California bearing ratio is usually used in evaluating the soils strength of subgrade, subbase and base course as road construction materials (Wignall 1999; Adeyemi 2002; Adeyemi 2013; Olofinyo et al. 2019). The CBR values for the sample locations is in the range of 3 - 5% for base course, 4- 8% for subbase course and 3-12% for subgrade (Table 2). These results fell below the maximum of 80% recommended by the (FMWH 1997). The recommended CBR value of >/5% for subgrade was met in some location. The implication of these results is that the soils are of low strength and for the strength of the soil to be increased, soil improvement methods would have to be employed before been used as road construction. (FMWH 1997) proposed that California Bearing Ratio (CBR) should be greater than 10% for subgrade materials. The results revealed that the CBR values of some soils are lower than the recommended value. The corresponding low values of CBR are partly responsible for the failure of highway pavement in the study area.

4.1 Geochemical and mineralogical properties of the selected soil samples.

Table 6 shows the mineralogies of the selected eight samples. The prominent clay mineral types obtained are quartz, illite, kaolinite and dickite. There was no presence of montmorillonite and smectite which is accordance with was reported by (Okogbue 1988) that montmorillonite is not present in the south western Nigerian soils studied. The results of the mineralogy are also in accordance with the results obtained in Table 2 which revealed that the soils are of low to medium plasticity. This is also in affirmation to the presence of kaolinite which occurred as the major mineral in Table 6. The results revealed that the soils have low affinity for water and are well drained. However, the occurrence of muscovite in some location may result to field compaction issue (Gidigasu 1976; Ogunsanwo 1988; Paige-Green 2003; Onana et al. 2007).

The result of geochemical analysis is presented on Table 6. The main total chemical elements present in the studied soils in decreasing order are SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , and Fe_2O_3 with averages of 44.77%, 21.9% and 21.60% respectively. The studied soil samples are characterized by high amounts of silica from 40.54 -53.98%, appreciable amount of sesquioxides (Al_2O_3 and Fe_2O_3) in the range of 17.10- 29.5% for Al_2O_3 , 2.87- 29.41% for Fe_2O_3 and reasonable amount of bases (K_2O and CaO). The other chemical element concentrations were all lower than 5% (Table 6). The silica(S)/sesquioxides ratios(R) (Table 6) ranges from 0.81- 1.67. BS 1377 (1990) and Onana et al. (2007) classified soils based on the silica/sesquioxides ratio as laterite if the is less than 1.33; lateritic if ratio ranges from 1.33 to 2 and non-lateritic if it is greater than 2. According to this classification, 5% of the studied soils are classified as non-lateritic soils while the rest 95% are classified as lateritic.

Table 6: The mineralogies and geochemical analysis of selected soil samples

Sample Location	UE/2	UE/7	UE/9	UE/10	UE/11	UE/13	UE/17	UE/20
Quartz (%)	78	85.6	47	58	70	45	65	35
Kaolinite (%)	-	12.14	13	42	-	-	-	-
Dickite (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	-
Microcline (%)	-	-	-	-	30	50	-	63
Muscovite (%)	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Iron sulfate (%)	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
Sanidine (%)	-	2.26	-	-	-	5	-	-
Illites (%)	-	-	40	-	-	-	-	-
% Oxide of Major elements								
SiO ₂	41.30	41.00	53.98	43.50	47.47	44.25	46.14	40.54
Al ₂ O ₃	21.19	22.99	29.50	22.20	21.40	17.10	23.60	17.00
Fe ₂ O ₃	29.41	25.61	2.87	24.30	20.35	23.43	16.44	29.41
CaO	0.44	0.62	0.14	0.19	1.04	0.84	0.80	0.68
Na ₂ O	0.16	0.11	2.17	0.51	0.41	0.26	0.18	0.41
K ₂ O	3.25	3.40	9.86	4.25	4.67	5.62	4.86	5.60
MgO	0.32	1.59	0.17	0.73	0.72	0.77	0.50	0.72
TiO ₂	2.64	1.75	0.18	2.93	1.85	2.16	1.26	2.50
MnO	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.14	0.10	0.06	0.04
ZnO	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
CuO	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.01
Total	98.86	97.17	98.95	98.69	98.08	94.56	93.9	96.92
S/R	0.81	0.84	1.66	0.93	1.13	1.09	1.15	0.87

4.2 Cone Penetrometer Test

The Cone Penetration Test (CPT) curves for the studied soils are shown (Fig.8) and the results of the CPT are shown in Table 7. The test was carried out to determine the strength of near surface materials and also access the in situ strength of the soil. The results revealed a low cone resistance value which indicates clayey sand material for sampling points 1, 2, 3 and 4. The linear natures of the curves in sample point 3 and 4 as shown in (Fig. 8) revealed that the subsurface materials offer no resistance to the driven cone when compared to the curve plotted for sample point 1. The CPT depth values for the locations are in the range of 2-16m. The depth of penetration that offers no resistance as shown in (Fig. 8) shows that the area is unfit for erecting foundation. Erecting foundation on the subgrade soil without applying the necessary treatment would result to the soil collapsing under an imposed load. The low value of the shear strength also revealed that the materials are undesirable as subgrade materials for road pavement. The implication of this is that the materials will expand and contract under diverse weather condition.

Table 7. Results of CPT for the studied locations.

Location	CPT point 1	CPT point 2	CPT point 3	CPT point 4
Test Hole	CPT 1	CPT 2	CPT3	CPT 4
Depth of Penetration (m)	2	6	16	12.5
Cone Resistance (kgf/cm ²)	20	45	138	72
Undrained shear strength (Cu)KN/m ²	10	7.50	8.63	5.76
Allowable bearing capacity KN/m ²	17.13	12.85	14.77	9.86
Ultimate bearing capacity	50	37.5	43.125	28.5
Remarks	Dark grey, stiff medium grained clayey sand	Dark grey, medium grained clayey sand	Dark grey, stiff, fine-medium grained Clayey sand	Dark grey, stiff, fine-medium grained Clayey sand

The bearing capacity of the soil was derived using the following equation:

Undrained shear strength (Cu)

$$Cu = qc/Nk \quad (1)$$

Where qc = cone end resistance value

Nk = Point of refusal or termination point

Allowable Bearing Capacity

$$\text{Ultimate bearing capacity is } 5.14 \times Cu, \text{ where } 5.14 \text{ is constant} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Allowable bearing capacity} = 5.14 \times Cu / 3 \quad (3)$$

4. 3 2D Geo-Electrical Imaging of the study area.

Fig. 9(a) represents the Pseudo-section from the apparent resistivity measurement along profile A. The plot revealed a resistivity value in the range of 1110 Ωm – 1576Ωm, between two major segments at distances ranging from 10 – 90 m and 110 m – 170 m along the profile to a depth of 2.50m – 11.02m and 2.50 m – 15m respectively. The arrows showed sections along the profiles with low resistivity. Fig. 9(b) shows the representation of the Pseudo-section from the calculated apparent resistivity. The resistivity values are similar to the results obtained along the profile in Fig. 9(a). Fig.9(c) is the inverse model of the 2D resistivity sections along the profile. Two distinctive zones were delineated with low resistivity values along profile A. This occurred at the distance between 122.5 m – 130.0 m along the profile to a depth of 5m from the top soil. It was also revealed that at a distance of 200 m – 235m, a

moderate resistivity values in the range of 1200 Ωm – 1600 Ωm which terminate at a depth of 18m from the surface of the profile was delineated.

Fig. 10(a) represents the Pseudo-section from the apparent resistivity measurement along profile B. The plot revealed a resistivity value in the range of 100 Ωm – 300 Ωm , between a distance of 20m – 240m along the profile to a depth of 7.60m from the surface of the profile. Fig. 10(b) shows the representation of the Pseudo-section from the calculated apparent resistivity. The section revealed a low resistivity values in the same section along the profile similar to that of Fig. 10(a). Fig 10(c) is the inverse model of the 2D resistivity sections along the profile. Low resistivity values were observed in different sections of the model along the profile, few sections along the profile showed a resistivity value that is greater than 200 Ωm . Two distinct sections along the profiles with very low resistivity values at a distance of 10 – 45m, 60 – 110m and 180 – 235m to a depth of 7.60m, 2.80m and 5.60m respectively were also delineated.

Fig. 11(a) represents the Pseudo-section from the apparent resistivity measurement along profile C. The plot revealed a low resistivity value with the range of 50 Ωm – 111 Ωm , at a distance of 80m – 120 m along the profile to a depth of 15m from the surface of the profile. Fig. 11(b) shows the representation of the Pseudo-section from the calculated apparent resistivity. The section revealed a low resistivity values that is similar to what was obtained for the profile section in Fig. 11(a). Fig. 11 (c) shows the inverse model of the 2D resistivity sections along the profile. Low resistivity values were observed along the major sections. Very low resistivity values were observed at a two distinctive zone from a distance of 40.0 – 140m, 190.0 – 210.0m to a depth of 21m and 25m respectively.

Fig. 12(a) represents the Pseudo-section from the apparent resistivity measurement along profile D. The plot revealed a low resistivity value in the range of 100 Ωm – 350 Ωm , at a distances of 10 m – 500 m along the profile to a depth of 13m from the surface of the profile. Fig. 12(b) shows the representation of the Pseudo-section from the calculated apparent resistivity. The section revealed a low resistivity values that is similar to what was obtained for the profile section in Fig. 12(a). Fig 12(c) shows the inverse model of the 2D resistivity sections along the profile. Low resistivity values were delineated to depth of 15m along the section. The implication of the low resistivity values as revealed from the sections signifies that the soils in the region are of poor foundation materials and not competent to withstand imposed load.

5. Conclusion

Geotechnical, mineralogical, geochemical and geophysical investigations have been carried out along Sagamu-Papalanto highway southwestern Nigeria. The results revealed that the soil samples are majorly granular and clayey soils, easily compacted with poor drainage. The soil samples indicate a variable moisture content due to the climatic and hydrological conditions of the area. The result of the studied soil based on AASHTO classification revealed that 20% of the soils are classified as A-2-4 whereas others outstanding 80% are classify as A-2-6, A-5 and A-7 which are reflective of fair to poor foundation attribute. However, the low specific gravity values obtained from the investigation is partly responsible for the

failure of road as an increase in specific gravity values reflects a reduction in void ratio. The mineralogical investigation showed the absence of swelling clay such as montmorillonite and smectite. The minerals identified are kaolinites and illites in a major proportions and muscovite in a minor proportion. The chemical test also revealed that the soils are true laterites showing high concentration of sesquioxides S/R (iron and aluminum oxides). The low CBR values obtained makes the soil materials to be unfit for use as road construction materials. Hence, their use as subgrade, subbase construction materials for heavy road traffic will require pre-treatment with non- plastic soils like bitumen, cement and lime. Low resistivity values below 200Ωm was obtained in some sections of profile 2 ,3 and 4. This revealed the presence of an incompetent material beneath the subsurface of the road. These materials are unfit as subgrade materials for road pavement, as it will regularly expand and contract under varying weather condition. The frequent expansion and contraction of these subgrade material often results to cracks and the eventual collapse of the road. In addition, moderately high resistivity values greater 200 Ωm were observed along the profile 1 shows the presence existence of laterite material which is an acceptable subgrade material for road pavement. The results of the geotechnical and geophysical shows the materials are of low shear strength but the strength can be improved when subjected to stabilization measures. This should be put into consideration during the reconstruction and rehabilitation of the exiting road. Other contributing factors such as inadequate drainage system, paucity of maintenance, poor pavement coating of the road, and substandard construction materials are factors leading to a total failure of the road. Sections of the road with sandy and clayey materials should be scooped out from the subsurface to a depth of 3m – 5 m from the top soil of the road and put back with competent fill materials.

8. Declarations

Author contributions

UOE carried out the field and Laboratory work drafted the manuscript

IO and UBU Conceived the study participated in its design, coordination and gave academic guidance.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Funding

Funding of the entire work was personal

Availability of data and materials

The data sets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author's details

Authors 1,2,3: Faculty of Physical Sciences, Department of Geology University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

7. References

AASHTO (1993) Standard specification for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing, 14th edn. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC

Adegoke–Anthony WC, Agada OA (1980) Geotechnical characteristics of some residual soils and their implications on road design in Nigeria. Technical Lecture. Lagos, Nigeria pp 1–16

Adeleye AO, (2005) Geotechnical Investigation of Subgrade Soil along Sections of Ibadan-Ife Highway, Unpublished M.Sc. Project, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, 181pp. 192.

Adiat KAN, Adelusi AO, Ayuk MA (2009) Relevance of geophysics in road failures investigation in a typical basement complex of southwestern Nigeria. *Pac. Jour. Sci. Tech.* 5 (1),

Ademilua O (2018) Geotechnical characterization of subgrade soils in Southwestern Part of Nigeria. In: Proceedings of first and second international conferences of the Nigerian Association of Engineering Geology and the Environment, Lagos, Nigeria, vol 1, pp 42–48

Adegoke OS, Ogbe FGA, Jan Du Chene RE (1976) Excursion to the Ewekoro quarry (Paleocene-Eocene). *Geol. Guide Nigerian Cretaceous-Recent Loc.* 1-17

Adeyemi GO (1995) The influence of parent rock factor on some engineering index properties of three residual lateritic soils in southwestern Nigeria. *Bull Int Assoc Eng Geol* 52:3–8

Adeyemi GO (2002) Geotechnical properties of lateritic soils developed over quartz schist in Ishara area southwestern Nigeria. *J Mining Geol* 38(1):65–69

Adeyemi GO (2013) Engineering geology: The Big Heart for structures and their environment. An Inaugural Lecture 2012/2013 University of Ibadan 21 February, 2013. Ibadan University Press, Publishing House University of Ibadan, Ibadan, p 91

Adeyemo IA, Omosuyi GO (2012) Geophysical Investigation of Road Pavement Instability along part of Akure – Owo Express Way, South-eastern Nigeria. *Amer J Scientific and Ind Res.* 2012; 3(4): 191 – 197.

Aghamelu OP, Okogbue CO (2011) Geotechnical assessment of road failures in the Abakaliki area, southwestern Nigeria. *Int J Civil Environ Eng* 11(2):12–24528–539

Ajayi LA (1987) Thought on road failures in Nigeria. *Nigerian Eng.* 22 (1), 10–17.

Akintorinwa OJ, Ojo JS, Olorunfemi MO (2010) Geophysical Investigation of Pavement Failure in a Basement Complex Terrain of South-western Nigeria. *The Pacific j sci and tech.*2010; 11(2): 649 – 663.

Ako BD, Adegoke OS, Peters SW (1980) Stratigraphy of Oshosun formation in Southwestern Nigeria. *J. Mining Geol.*, 17: 99-106.

Arora KR (2009) Soil mechanics and foundation engineering. Standard Publishers Distributors, Delhi

Altmeyer WT (1956) Discussion. *Transit ASCE* 121:666–669

ASTM D2487 (2011) Standard practice for classification of soils for engineering purposes (Unified soil classification system). ASTM International, West Conshohocken

ASTM D2487-11(2015) Standard Practice for Classification of Soils. ASTM International, West Conshohocken

ASTM D6913-04 (2009) Standard Test Methods for Particle Size Distribution (Gradation) ASTM International, West Conshohocken

ASTM D698-12. (2015) Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Test for Road Bases

ASTM D854-14 (2015) Standard Test Methods for Specific Gravity of Soil .West Conshohocken, www.astm.org

ASTM D2488-09a (2015) Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils and Classification. West Conshohocken, www.astm.org

ASTM D4318-10(2015) Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Consistency Limits Test

Balogun O (2003) Senior secondary atlas. Longman, Lagos

Bowles JE (1984) Engineering properties of soils and their measurements, 4th edn. McGraw Hill Incorporations, McGraw

British standard institute (1990) Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes—Part 4: Compaction related tests (BS1377 ed). London: BSI.

Brink ABA, Parridge JC, Williams AAB (1982) Soil survey for engineering. Clarendon, Oxford

Carter M, Bentley SP (1991) Correlations of Soil Properties. Pentech Press, London, 1991.

- Carrol D (1971) Clay minerals: a guide to their X-ray identification of soils. In: Geological society of America special paper 126, USA
- Cassagrande A (1947) Classification and identification of soils. Am Soc Civil Eng. 113:783–811
- Chen FH (1965) The use of piers to prevent the uplifting of lightly loaded structure founded on expansive soil. In: Concluding proceedings engineering effects of moisture change in soils, international research and engineering conference on expansive clay soils. A&M, Texas, pp 152–171
- Chen FH (1988) Foundations on expansive soils. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Daramola SO, Malomo S, Asiwaju-Bello YA (2018) Premature failure of a major highway in Southwestern Nigeria. The case of Ipele–Isua highway. *Inter J Geo-Eng* 9:1–12
- Elueze AA, Nton ME (2004) Organic geochemical appraisal of limestones and shales in part of eastern Dahomey basin, southwestern Nigeria. *J Min and Geo* 40(1):29-40,
- Federal Ministry of Works and Housing (1997) Nigerian general specifications for roads and bridges. Federal Highway Department. 2:145–284
- Garber JN, Hoel LA (1999) Traffic and highway engineering, Brooks/Cole publishing company, Pacific Grove, California,
- Gopal R, Rao ASR (2011) Basic and Applied Soil Mechanics. 2nd ed. New Delhi: New Age Int'l.
- Gidigas MD (1973) Review of identification of problem laterite soils in highway engineering. *Transport Res Board* 497:96–11
- Gidigas MD (1976) Geotechnical evaluation of residual gravels in pavement construction. *Eng Geol* 15:173–794
- Gidigas MD (1983) Development of acceptance specifications for tropical gravel paving materials. *Eng Geol* 19:213–240
- Holtz WG, Gibbs HJ (1956) Engineering properties of expansive clays. *Trans ASCE* 121:641–663
- Ighodaro CAU (2009) Transport infrastructure and economic growth in Nigeria. *J. Res. National Dev.* 7(2).
- International Joint Committee Properties on Mineral Powder Diffraction Standard (1980) Mineral powder diffraction file data book. IJCPS, Pennsylvania
- IS: 1498 (1970) (reaffirmed 1987), Indian standard classification and identification of soils for general engineering purposes. BIS, New Delhi

IS: 2720 (1977) Part 40, Indian standard methods of test for soils: determination of free swell index of soils. BIS, New Delhi

IS: 2809 (1972) Indian Standard glossary of terms and symbols relating to soil engineering. BIS, New Delhi

Jatto SS, Sule PO, Ahmed AL, Ohakwere-Eze MC, Obihan I (2014) Detailed Geophysical Investigation of Stable and Unstable Sections of Sarkin Pawa – Mangoro Road, Niger State, Nigeria. *Inter J App Nat Sci.*; 3(6): 9 – 24.

Jegede G (1998) Effects of some engineering and geological factors on highway failures in parts of Southwestern Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Federal University of Technology, Akure, p 251

Jegede OG (2004) Highway pavement failure induced by poor geotechnical properties at a section along the F209 Okitipupa-Igbokoda highway, Southwest Nigeria. *Ife J Sci* 6(1):41–44

Kabir MH, Taha MR (2004) Assessment of physical properties of a granite residual soil as an isolation barrier. *Elec J Geot Eng*, 92, 13.

Lewis RJ, (1993). *Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary*, Twelfth edition.

Madedor AO (1983) Pavement design guidelines and practice for different geological areas in Nigeria. In: Ola SA (ed) *Tropical soils of Nigeria in engineering practices*. Balkema Publishers, Rotterdam, pp 291–298

Mesida EA (1986) Some Geotechnical Properties of Residual Mica Schist Derived Subgrade and Fill Materials in the Ilesha Area, Nigeria, No. 33, pp. 14–17.

Mesida EA (1987) The relationship between the geology and the lateritic engineering soils in the northern environs of Akure, Nigeria. *Bull. Int. Assoc Eng Geol.* 35, 65–69.

Momoh LO, Akintorinwa O, Olorunfemi MO (2008) Geophysical investigation of highway failure – a case study from the basement complex terrain of southwestern Nigeria. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.* 4 (6), 637–648.

Naresh CS, Nowatzki PE (2006) Soils and foundations. *Ref Man Fed Highway Adm*, 2, 8–75.

Nton ME (2001) Sedimentological and geochemical studies of sock units in the Eastern Dahomey Basin, Southwestern Nigeria Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Ibadan. p. 315, 2001

Ola SA (1983) Tropical soils of Nigeria in engineering practice. In: Ola SA, Balkama AA. Netherlands, pp 61–24

Oladapo MI, Olorunfemi MO, Ojo JS (2008) Geophysical Investigation of Road Failures in the Basement Complex Area of South-western Nigeria. *Res J App Sci.*; 3(2): 103 – 112.

- Olofinyo OO, Olabode OF, Fatoyinbo IO (2019) Engineering properties of residual soils in part of Southwestern Nigeria: implication for road foundation. *SN Appl Sci.* **1**, 507.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-0515-3>
- Ogungbire AR, Adeyinka MO, Olawale SO, Chimuanya AL, Onakunle OO, Omole DO (2018) Investigation of the compressive, split tensile and flexural characteristics of steel scrap composite concrete. *Inter J Civ Eng and Tech*, 9(9), 894–901
- Ogunsanwo O (1988) Basic geotechnical properties, chemistry and mineralogy of some laterite soils from southwestern Nigeria. *Bull Int Asso Eng Geol* 37:131–135
- Onuoha DC, Onwuka SU (2014) The Place of Soil Geotechnical Characteristics in Road Failure, a Study of the Onitsha-Enugu Expressway, South-eastern Nigeria. *J Inter Ins for Sci, Tech and Edu*; 6(1): 55 – 67.
- Omatsola ME, Adegoke OS (1981) Tectonic Evolution of Cretaceous Stratigraphy of the Dahomey Basin". *J. Min. Geol.* 18:1, pp 130-137.
- Okogbue CO (1988) The physical and mechanical properties of laterite gravels from south eastern Nigeria relative to their engineering performance. *J Afr Earth Sci* 5(6):659–664
- Okosun EA (1990) Review of the Cretaceous Stratigraphy of the Dahomey Embayment, West Africa. *Cretaceous Research*, 11, pp 17-27.
- Owoyemi OO, Adeyemi GO (2012) Highway geotechnical properties of some lateritic soils from the sedimentary terrain of Lagos–Ibadan highway. *Int J Sci Eng Res* 3(1):1–14
- Oladapo MI, Olorunfemi MO, Ojo JS, (2008) Geophysical investigation of road failures in the basement complex areas of southwestern Nigeria. *Res. J. Appl.* 3(2), 103–112.
- Onana VL, Ngooze A, MedjoEko R, Ntouala RFD, NangaBineli MT, Owoudou BN, Ekodeck GE (2017) Geological identification, geotechnical and mechanical characterization of charnokite-derived lateritic gravels from southern Cameroon for road construction purposes. *Transport Geotech* 10:35–46
- Osinowo OO, Amani AO, Akinmosin A (2011) Integrated Geophysical and Geotechnical Investigation of the Failed Portion of a road in Basement Complex Terrain, Southwest Nigeria. *Mats and Geoenviron.* 58(2):143–162.
- Paige-Green P (2003) Geology and petrology of road construction materials revisited. In: Paper presented at 13th ARCSMGE conference, Marrakech
- Phanikumar B, Sharma R (2007) Volume change behavior of fly ash-stabilized clays. *J Mater Civ Eng* 19(1):67–74

Phil Paige-Green, (2014). Sustainability Issues Surrounding unpaved roads. Published document, South Africa

Punmia BC, Ashok KJ, Arun KJ (2005) Soil mechanics and foundations. Laxmi Publications (P) Ltd, New Delhi

Ramamurthy TN, Sitharam TG (2005) Geotechnical engineering S. Chand and Company Limited, Ram Nagar, New Delhi.

Snethan DR, Johnson LD, Patrick DM (1977) An evaluation of expedient of methodology for identification of potentially expansive soils. In: Soils and Pavements Lab, US Army Eng. Water Way Exp. Station, Vicksburg, MS, Report No. FHWA-RE-77-94, NTIS PB-289-164

Sharma R, Phanikumar B (2005) Laboratory study of heave behavior of expansive clay reinforced with geopiles. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 131(4):512–520

Skempton AW (1953) The colloidal activity of clays. In: Proceeding of the 3rd international conference on soil mechanics, Zurich, pp 57–61

Sowers GM, Sowers GE (1970). Introductory soil mechanics and foundations. Macmillan, New York, p 556

Ubido EO, Igwe O, Ukah BU, Idris IG (2017) The implication of subsoil geology in foundation failure using geotechnical methods: A case study of Lagos Southwestern Nigeria. International J Advan Res and Pub, 1(5), 165–174.

Ubido OE, Igwe O, Ukah BU (2018) Integrated Geological, Geophysical and Geotechnical Assessment of Building Failure in Lagos; case study of Ogudu Lagos South Western Nigeria Inter j bas& app sci ijbas-ijens vol: 17 no:06

Underwood LB (1967) Classification and identification of Shales. J Soil Mech Found 93(11):97–116

Wignall A, Kendrick PS, Ancil R, Capson M (1999) Roadwork; theory and practice, 4th edn. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, p 309

Figures



Figure 1

showing road failure in the study location




Figure 3

stacked grain size distribution for samples UE1-20.




Figure 4

Plasticity chart of the studied soil samples (Casagrande 1974).




Figure 5

shows the activity chart of the studied soil (Lew 2010).




Figure 6

Stacked compaction curves for samples UE 1-20






Figure 7


Stacked CBR curves for samples UE 1-20




CPT plot for point 1 along profile 1



CPT plot for point 3 along profile 3



CPT plot for point 2 along profile 2



CPT plot for point 4 along profile 4

Figure 8

showing CPT plots for the studied locations.




Figure 9a: Measured apparent resistivity of profile A.




Figure 9b: Calculated apparent resistivity of profile A




Figure 9c: Inverse model resistivity section of profile A.

Figure 9

Resistivity model for profile A.




Figure 10a: Measured apparent resistivity of profile B




Figure 10b: Calculated apparent resistivity of profile B




Figure 10c: Inverse model resistivity section of profile B.

Figure 10

Resistivity model for profile B




Figure 11a: Measured apparent resistivity of profile C




Figure 11b: Calculated apparent resistivity of profile C




Figure 11c: Inverse model resistivity section of profile C.

Unit electrode spacing is 10.0m.

Figure 11

Resistivity model for profile C.




Figure 12a: Measured apparent resistivity of profile D




Figure 12b: Calculated apparent resistivity of profile D




Figure 12c: Inverse model resistivity section of profile D.

Figure 12

Resistivity model for profile D.