

Effect of oxaliplatin plus 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine on circulating and imaging biomarkers in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: a prospective biomarker study

Reem Mahmood (✉ reem.mahmood@nhs.uk)

Christie NHS Foundation Trust <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3683-7972>

Danielle Shaw

Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust

Tine Descamps

Cancer Research UK

Cong Zhou

Cancer Research UK

Robert D. Morgan

Christie NHS Foundation Trust

Saifee Mullamitha

Christie NHS Foundation Trust

Mark Saunders

Christie NHS Foundation Trust

Nerissa Mescallado

Christie NHS Foundation Trust

Alison Backen

Christie NHS Foundation Trust

Karen Morris

Cancer Research UK

Ross A. Little

The University of Manchester

Susan Cheung

The University of Manchester

Yvonne Watson

The University of Manchester

James P. B. O'Connor

The University of Manchester

Alan Jackson

The University of Manchester

Geoff J. M. Parker

The University of Manchester

Caroline Dive

Cancer Research UK

Gordon C. Jayson

Christie NHS Foundation Trust

Research article

Keywords: colorectal cancer, angiogenesis, biomarkers, bevacizumab

Posted Date: July 21st, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-44821/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Cancer on April 1st, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08097-9>.

Abstract

Background: Patients with metastatic colorectal cancer are treated with cytotoxic chemotherapy supplemented by molecularly targeted therapies. There is a critical need to define biomarkers that can optimise the use of these therapies to maximise efficacy and avoid unnecessary toxicity. However, it is important to firstly define the changes in potential biomarkers following cytotoxic chemotherapy alone. This study reports the impact of standard cytotoxic chemotherapy across a range of circulating and imaging biomarkers.

Methods: A single-centre, prospective, biomarker-driven study. Eligible patients included those diagnosed with colorectal cancer with liver metastases that were planned to receive first line oxaliplatin plus 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine. Patients underwent paired blood sampling and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and biomarkers were associated with progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results: 20 patients were recruited to the study. Results showed that chemotherapy significantly reduced the number of circulating tumour cells as well as the circulating concentrations of Ang1, Ang2, VEGF-A, VEGF-C and VEGF-D from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2. The changes in circulating concentrations were not associated with PFS or OS. Across all patients, the MRI perfusion parameter, K^{trans} , increased in response to cytotoxic chemotherapy from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2 and this increase was associated with worse OS (HR 1.099, 95%CI 1.01-1.20, $p=0.025$).

Conclusions: In patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer with liver metastases, treatment with standard chemotherapy changes cell- and protein-based biomarkers, although these changes are not associated with survival outcomes. In contrast, the imaging biomarker, K^{trans} , offers promise to direct molecular targeted therapies such as anti-angiogenic agents.

Background

Colorectal cancer is the fourth most common cancer in the United Kingdom, with around 42,000 new cases diagnosed each year (1). For patients presenting with metastatic disease, overall survival remains poor, with only around 10% alive five years after their diagnosis (2). The management of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer has evolved over the past decade with the additional use of molecularly targeted therapies in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy.

For patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer with liver metastases, first line standard cytotoxic chemotherapy includes: FOLFOX (5-fluorouracil/folinic acid plus oxaliplatin), FOLFIRI (5-fluorouracil/folinic acid plus irinotecan) or CAPOX (capecitabine plus oxaliplatin) (3,4). Targeted therapies against vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) e.g. bevacizumab, and epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) e.g. cetuximab or panitumumab, are also recommended for first line management of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy (3,4). Indeed, bevacizumab, cetuximab and panitumumab have been shown in randomised phase III trials to prolong progression-free survival (PFS) (5–13) and overall survival (OS) (5–7,12). However, there are no validated biomarkers to guide the use these therapies, which are expensive and associated with toxicity.

Early phase trials have assessed the effect of traditional cytotoxic chemotherapy in combination with bevacizumab using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (14–17) and circulating biomarkers (18–20). However, in order to better understand the data reported for combination therapy, the effects of cytotoxic chemotherapy alone need to be assessed as a control. In this study, MRI and blood-based biomarkers were investigated in patients undergoing standard cytotoxic chemotherapy. Data from this study may improve the understanding of the utility of these biomarkers for future trials incorporating molecularly targeted therapies.

Methods

This was a prospective, single-centre, biomarker-driven study recruiting patients that were treated at the Christie NHS Foundation Trust for colorectal cancer with liver metastases. Ethical approval was obtained from the local ethics committee (see supplementary information). All patients gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

Study Participants

Eligible participants included those with histologically-proven colorectal cancer; liver metastases measuring at least 30mm in the longest axis; 18 years of age or older; a World Health Organization (WHO) performance status of 0 to 2; were planned to commence primary therapy with oxaliplatin plus 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) or capecitabine; white cell blood count $\geq 4 \times 10^9/l$; platelet count $\geq 100 \times 10^9/l$; serum total bilirubin concentration $\leq 1.5 \times$ upper limit of normal (ULN); serum alkaline phosphatase concentration $\leq 5 \times$ ULN and; a calculated glomerular filtration rate ≥ 50 ml per minute.

Patients were excluded if MRI was contra-indicated due to standard criteria relating to metal implants or allergy to MRI contrast; use of adjuvant chemotherapy within 12 months prior to study enrolment; a personal medical history including any non-colorectal malignancy within 5 years of study enrolment; concurrent use of other investigational medicinal product or; pregnant or breast-feeding women.

Study Drugs

Patients were treated with either oxaliplatin plus 5-FU (oxaliplatin 85mg/m² of body surface area [BSA] plus folinic acid 350mg and 5-FU 400mg/m² on day 1 followed by 5-FU 2,400mg/m² intravenous infusion [46 hours] every two-week cycle) or oxaliplatin plus capecitabine (oxaliplatin 130mg/m² on day 1 and capecitabine 1,000mg/m² on day 1 to 14 every 3-week cycle) for a maximum of 6 cycles.

Clinical Endpoints

Clinical endpoints included progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS). Progressive disease was measured using the response evaluation criteria in solid tumours (RECIST) version 1.1 (21) and defined as the time interval from the date of study registration to the date of either clinical or radiological progression or death. OS was defined as the time interval from the date of study registration to the date of death. All patients were followed up until they reached the PFS efficacy endpoint; no censoring was present in the dataset. Computed tomography (CT) was performed every 8 weeks as part of standard tumour assessment. As part of standard of care, plasma carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) concentrations were measured at the start of each cycle of chemotherapy.

Biomarker Schedule

A detailed description of the methodology used to for the imaging and circulating biomarkers is provided in the supplementary information.

Study time points for dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) and diffusion weighted MRI (DW-MRI) included pre-treatment, cycle 1 day 2, cycle 1 day 8, cycle 2 day 2 of chemotherapy and following 12 weeks of chemotherapy. At pre-treatment, MRI scans were carried out twice, at least 24 hours apart, to determine the repeatability of the imaging biomarkers. Regions of interest (ROIs) within the liver were defined manually by a trained operator, in order to determine whole tumour volume (WTV) from T₁- and T₂-weighted images as well as the DCE-MRI images. Other parameters reported by DCE-MRI included the transfer coefficient (K^{trans}), volume of extravascular extracellular space (v_e) and vascular plasma volume (v_p). For DWI-MRI, the parameter reported was apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC).

Blood samples for circulating tumour cells (CTCs) and a panel of plasma-derived circulating protein biomarkers were collected at the same time points as MRI (see supplemental information).

Statistical Analysis

The target recruitment for the study was 20 patients. All biomarkers were assessed for normality and transformed when necessary. To identify whether biomarker concentrations changed significantly from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2, paired Student's t-tests were performed. Cycle 2 day 2 of chemotherapy was selected for significance testing in order to determine the early effects of cytotoxic chemotherapy. Cox proportional hazard regression was used for survival analysis, respecting the proportionality and linearity assumptions. Kaplan Meier curves were constructed using dichotomized data (longitudinal increase versus decrease in biomarker concentration), and the median PFS and OS intervals in each group were calculated. Statistical significance was determined using p-values, with a cut off of 0.025 being considered statistically significant in order to reduce the impact of multiple testing. More stringent adjustment for multiple comparisons was not considered due to the limited sample size. Analysis was carried out using R 3.5.0.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Between October 2011 and November 2013, 20 patients were recruited to the study. Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. The mean age of participants was 69 years and the majority were male (85%). During the study, the best radiological response to chemotherapy included: 12 patients (60%) had RECIST complete or partial response (CR/PR), 2 patients (10%) had RECIST stable disease (SD) and 6 patients (30%) had disease progression. Across the entire cohort, the median PFS and OS were was 8.7 and 17.3 months, respectively. Twelve patients completed all scanning protocols at chemotherapy cycle 6 and attrition occurred due to falling performance status throughout the trial. The imaging protocols were well tolerated and provided repeatable results.

Table 1: Pre-treatment patient demographics

Patient Demographic	Value
Total patients	20
Sex: number (percentage)	17 (85%)
Male	3 (15%)
Female	
Age: (years)	69
Mean	58-80
Range	
WHO performance status: number (percentage)	7 (35%)
0	12 (60%)
1	1 (5%)
2	
Pre-treatment CEA (µg/L):	384
Mean	3-2897
Range	
Pre-treatment LDH (IU/L):	2279
Mean	45-11346
Range	
Chemotherapy regimen: number (percentage)	18 (90%)
Oxaliplatin and 5FU	2 (10%)
Oxaliplatin and capecitabine	

Pre-treatment Biomarkers

Pre-treatment characteristics including age, WHO performance status and pre-treatment concentrations of CEA and LDH were not associated with PFS or OS (see supplementary information). All patients had an increased CEA concentration from pre-treatment to cycle 2, but the magnitude of increase did not associated with PFS nor OS (PFS: $p=0.521$, OS: $p=0.638$).

Pre-treatment, CTCs were detectable in all 20 patients. The mean number of CTCs was 4 per 7.5ml of blood (see supplementary information). Evaluation of the association between survival outcomes and pre-treatment concentrations of circulating biomarkers showed that lower concentrations of Ang2 (HR 0.41, 95%CI 0.19-0.86, $p=0.019$) and VEGF-A (HR 0.41, 95%CI 0.19-0.87, $p=0.021$) were associated with a significantly reduced PFS. No other pre-treatment biomarkers were found to be associated with PFS or OS (see supplementary information).

Biomarkers on Treatment

Data showed that the plasma concentration of most circulating angiogenesis-related biomarkers reduced from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2, with significant reductions in Ang1, Ang2, VEGF-A, VEGF-C, and VEGF-D (Table 2). VCAM1 was the only circulating biomarker to significantly increase ($p=0.0194$). The change in the concentration of circulating biomarkers from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2 was not associated with PFS or OS (see supplementary information).

The mean number of CTCs significantly reduced from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2 ($p=0.0021$). A higher number of CTCs at cycle 2 day 2 was associated with significantly worse OS (HR 2.82, 95%CI 1.3-6.1, $p=0.008$).

In terms of MRI imaging biomarkers, WTV decreased significantly from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2 ($p=0.002$). The ADC significantly increased from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2 ($p=0.017$) (Table 2). However, WTV and ADC were not associated with PFS or OS (see supplementary information). Across all patients, the difference in level of K^{trans} , the vascular permeability-endothelial surface area product, from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2 did not meet the threshold for significance in this trial ($p=0.047$). However, the linear change in K^{trans} was significantly associated with OS, where an increase in K^{trans} at cycle 2 day 2 was associated with worse OS (HR 1.099, 95%CI 1.01-1.20, $p=0.025$) (Figure 1).

Table 2: Significant changes in circulating and imaging biomarkers from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2

Biomarker type	Biomarker name	Mean difference from pre-treatment to C2D2 [95% CI]	p-value
Circulating	VEGF-C	-0.932 [-1.333, -0.531]	0.0002
	FGFb	-0.866 [-1.262, -0.469]	0.0003
	VEGF-A	-0.788 [-1.16, -0.415]	0.0004
	M65	-0.57 [-0.845, -0.296]	0.0004
	Ang2	-0.722 [-1.084, -0.36]	0.0006
	Ang1	-0.723 [-1.101, -0.345]	0.0009
	VEGF-D	-0.316 [-0.489, -0.143]	0.0014
	CTCs	-1.313 [-2.074, -0.552]	0.0021
	PDGFbb	-0.44 [-0.74 - -0.14]	0.0070
	IL8	-0.47 [-0.82 - -0.12]	0.0124
	VCAM1	0.32 [0.07 - 0.58]	0.0149
E-selectin	-0.3 [-0.54 - -0.06]	0.0183	
Imaging	WTV (mm ³)	-0.523 [-0.751, -0.295]	0.0002
	ETV (mm ³)	-0.56 [-0.813, -0.307]	0.0003
	T1 (ms)	-0.144 [-0.217, -0.071]	0.0008
	ADC (x10 ⁻³ mm ² /s)	0.01 [0.00 - 0.02]	0.0170
	v _e	0.04 [0.01 - 0.07]	0.0254
	K ^{trans} (/min)	3.38 [0.05 - 6.70]	0.0469 *

A correlation network analysis was performed to examine the relationship between multiple biomarkers without the requirement to conduct multiple sequential analyses. This showed that across all patients, the change in the circulating concentrations of angiogenesis-related proteins in response to chemotherapy was similar. The interaction between these proteins is undisturbed by cytotoxic chemotherapy, shown by the close clustering of angiogenic biomarkers both at pre-treatment and at cycle 2 day 2 (Figure 2).

Discussion

In this study we have investigated the impact of cytotoxic chemotherapy on circulating proteins and CTCs as surrogate markers of tumour vasculature and cellularity, in parallel with MRI, to document changes in tumour perfusion and tumour volume. This study was limited by the small numbers of participants and so should be regarded as exploratory only.

A key finding of this study was that an increase in K^{trans} at cycle 2 day 2 was associated with a worse OS. In contrast, trials of anti-angiogenic or vascular disrupting agents show near universal early decrease in K^{trans} (14). One theory is that in these patients, the tumour adapts to chemotherapy by increasing in its vasculature. Another possibility is that these patients have tumours which partly respond to chemotherapy, as shown by the reduction in WTV, but the remaining tumour mass consists of well-perfused chemotherapy-resistant tissue. This process could be explained by a “healing response” to chemotherapy, causing an increase in tumour vascular function and reflected by an increased K^{trans} value. As the network analysis does not describe changes that are associated with individual biomarkers in isolation, but rather global reductions in angiogenesis biomarkers, the implication is that there is not a dynamic biological response to chemotherapy. Thus, chemotherapy is killing sensitive tumour cell populations leaving behind more resistant clones; characterised here with high K^{trans} .

Interestingly, the standard of care marker of treatment response, CEA concentration, increased in all patients from pre-treatment to cycle 2. There was no significant difference between the mean increase in CEA concentration between patients with an increased K^{trans} and those with a decreased K^{trans} (6.79 [95% CI 6.17 - 7.36] versus 6.51 [95% CI 6.21 - 6.81], respectively, p=0.43). This suggests that K^{trans} could be more useful than CEA to predict poorer responses to cytotoxic chemotherapy. This finding may also direct earlier introduction of anti-angiogenic agents, such as bevacizumab.

This study also shows an association between lower pre-treatment circulating concentrations of Ang2 and VEGF-A and worse PFS. There is inconsistency in the value of pre-treatment biomarkers of angiogenesis reported in the literature (22–24). Results differ between studies (Table 3), but are also difficult to compare due to different angiogenesis-related proteins investigated and methods of sampling/analysis used. Hence, our study focussed on the significance of dynamic response to treatment rather than pre-treatment concentrations.

Table 3: Correlation between pre-treatment circulating angiogenesis-related biomarkers and survival outcomes in studies which recruited patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (22-24)

Pre-treatment biomarker	Study Title	No. of patients	Treatment received	Correlation with survival outcomes
VEGF-D	Prognostic/predictive value of 207 serum factors in colorectal cancer treated with cediranib and/or chemotherapy* (22)	582	FOLFOX or CAPOX chemotherapy + cediranib/placebo	Low pre-treatment concentration correlated with improved PFS and OS regardless of treatment received
VEGFR-1				
VEGFR-3				
Tie-2				
Ang2				No correlation
VEGF-165	Changes in circulating VEGF levels in relation to clinical response during chemotherapy for metastatic cancer (23)	90	Camptothecin	Patients with high pre-treatment concentration were more likely to have progressive disease during treatment
VEGF-2	Phase II Trial of Infusional Fluorouracil, Irinotecan, and Bevacizumab for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Efficacy and Circulating Angiogenic Biomarkers Associated With Therapeutic Resistance (24)	43	FOLFIRI + bevacizumab	No correlation

Conclusion

In conclusion, the response to cytotoxic chemotherapy treatment in patients with colorectal cancer with liver metastases showed a maintained robust relationship between angiogenic biomarkers. In some patients, poor outcome was associated with the early detection of well-perfused tissue in smaller tumours suggesting that chemotherapy was unable to kill the remaining component of a tumour, presumably because of increased clearance of cytotoxic agents. These findings identify a group of patients whose tumour does not respond well to traditional cytotoxic chemotherapy alone and who might benefit from early addition of molecularly targeted therapies.

Abbreviations

5-FU - fluorouracil

ADC - apparent diffusion coefficient

Ang – angiopoetin

BSA - body surface area

CAPOX - capecitabine plus oxaliplatin

CEA - carcinoembryonic antigen

CI – confidence interval

CK18 – cytokeratin-18

CT - computed tomography

CTC - circulating tumour cells

CR - complete response

DCE-MRI - dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI

DWI-MRI - diffusion weighted MRI

EGFR - epidermal growth factor receptors

ETV – enhancing tumour volume

FGFb – basic fibroblastic growth factor

FOLFIRI - fluorouracil/folinic acid plus irinotecan
FOLFOX - fluorouracil/folinic acid plus oxaliplatin
HGF – hepatocyte growth factor
HR – hazard ratio
iAUC – incremental area under the curve
IL8 – interleukin 8
KGF – keratinocyte growth factor
 K^{trans} - endothelial contrast agent transfer coefficient
LDH - lactate dehydrogenase
MRI - magnetic resonance imaging
NHS – National Health Service
OS - overall survival
PDGFbb – platelet derived growth factor beta
PFS - progression-free survival
PIGF – placental growth factor
PR - partial response
RECIST - response evaluation criteria in solid tumours
ROI - regions of interest
SD - stable disease
SDF1b – stromal cell-derived factor 1
ULN - upper limit of normal
VCAM1 – vascular cell adhesion molecule 1
 v_e - fractional extravascular extracellular volume
VEGF – vascular endothelial growth factor
VEGFR – vascular endothelial growth factor receptor
 v_p - fractional blood plasma volume
WHO - World Health Organization
WTV - whole tumour volume

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This trial was performed with local ethics committee approval from the NRES Committee North West - Liverpool East, under the NHS Health Research Authority (11/NW/01118)(26). Local research and development department approval was obtained and laboratory work was carried out in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Laboratory Practice (World Health Organization 2009).

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

Competing interests

GJMP is a director and shareholder in Bioxydyn Limited, a company with an interest in advanced MRI biomarkers.

No other competing interests

Funding

Funding received from Cancer Research UK, Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Centre and AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals.

Authors' contributions

R.D.Mahmood and D.S. contributed equally as 1st authors to the interpretation of results and write up of the manuscript. G.C.J. oversaw the entire project from conduct of the study, interpretation of data and manuscript write up. T.D. and C.Z. performed statistical analysis of the data and reviewed the finished manuscript. D.S., N.M., M.S. and S.M. conducted the trial and oversaw recruitment, patient management, imaging studies and sample collection. A.B. conducted the ELISA analyses throughout the project. C.D. is director of the circulating biomarkers laboratory and oversaw the conduct and analysis of all ELISAs. A.J., J.P.B.O'.C., and G.J.M.P. oversaw the advanced imaging, from acquisition, standardization, data acquisition, analysis, and Q.C. standards. R.A.L., Y.W. and S.C. were responsible for application of DCE-MRI protocols and definition of regions of interest. R.D.Morgan, J.P.B.O, G.J.M.P and A.B all had significant input in substantive revisions of the manuscript and all authors have approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patients involved in this study and their families. We gratefully acknowledge the support funding received from Cancer Research UK, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals and Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Centre and the support of the host institutions, CRUK Manchester Institute, and the Wolfson Molecular Imaging Centre, Manchester. We would like to thank The Systemic Therapy Research Group at The Christie NHS Foundation Trust for their assistance with preparation of the manuscript. The sponsor for this study was The Christie Hospital NHS Foundation Trust.

References

1. UK CR. Bowel Cancer Statistics [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/bowel-cancer>
2. Office for National Statistics. Cancer survival in England - adults diagnosed [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/conditionsanddiseases/datasets/cancersurvivalratescancersurvivalinengland>
3. Van Cutsem E, Cervantes A, Adam R, Sobrero A, Van Krieken JH, Aderka D, et al. ESMO consensus guidelines for the management of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Ann Oncol* [Internet]. 2016 Aug;27(8):1386–422. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0923753419347544>
4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Colon Cancer. 2019;
5. Hurwitz H, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny W, Cartwright T, Hainsworth J, Heim W, et al. Bevacizumab plus Irinotecan, Fluorouracil, and Leucovorin for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2004 Jun 3;350(23):2335–42. Available from: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa032691>
6. Cunningham D, Lang I, Marcuello E, Lorusso V, Ocvirk J, Shin DB, et al. Bevacizumab plus capecitabine versus capecitabine alone in elderly patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer (AVEX): an open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* [Internet]. 2013 Oct;14(11):1077–85. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1470204513701542>
7. Kabbinavar F, Irl C, Zurlo A, Hurwitz H. Bevacizumab Improves the Overall and Progression-Free Survival of Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Treated with 5-Fluorouracil-Based Regimens Irrespective of Baseline Risk. *Oncology* [Internet]. 2008;75(3–4):215–23. Available from: <https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/163850>
8. Saltz LB, Clarke S, Díaz-Rubio E, Scheithauer W, Figer A, Wong R, et al. Bevacizumab in Combination With Oxaliplatin-Based Chemotherapy As First-Line Therapy in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Randomized Phase III Study. *J Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2008 Apr 20;26(12):2013–9. Available from: <http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2007.14.9930>
9. Cremolini C, Loupakis F, Antoniotti C, Lupi C, Sensi E, Lonardi S, et al. FOLFOXIRI plus bevacizumab versus FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab as first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: updated overall survival and molecular subgroup analyses of the open-label, phase 3 TRIBE study. *Lancet Oncol* [Internet]. 2015 Oct;16(13):1306–15. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1470204515001229>
10. Van Cutsem E, Nowacki M, Lang I, Cascinu S, Shchepotin I, Maurel J, et al. Randomized phase III study of irinotecan and 5-FU/FA with or without cetuximab in the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): The CRYSTAL trial. *J Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2007 Jun 20;25(18_suppl):4000–4000. Available from: http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/jco.2007.25.18_suppl.4000
11. Van Cutsem E, Köhne C-H, Hitre E, Zaluski J, Chang Chien C-R, Makhson A, et al. Cetuximab and Chemotherapy as Initial Treatment for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2009 Apr 2;360(14):1408–17. Available from: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa0805019>
12. Douillard J-Y, Siena S, Cassidy J, Tabernero J, Burkes R, Barugel M, et al. Randomized, Phase III Trial of Panitumumab With Infusional Fluorouracil, Leucovorin, and Oxaliplatin (FOLFOX4) Versus FOLFOX4 Alone As First-Line Treatment in Patients With Previously Untreated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: The PRIME Study. *J Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2010 Nov 1;28(31):4697–705. Available from: <http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2009.27.4860>
13. Tebbutt NC, Wilson K, GebSKI VJ, Cummins MM, Zannino D, van Hazel GA, et al. Capecitabine, Bevacizumab, and Mitomycin in First-Line Treatment of Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Results of the Australasian Gastrointestinal Trials Group Randomized Phase III MAX Study. *J Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2010 Jul

- 1;28(19):3191–8. Available from: <http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2009.27.7723>
14. O'Connor JPB, Jackson A, Parker GJM, Roberts C, Jayson GC. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in clinical trials of antivasular therapies. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2012 Mar 14;9(3):167–77. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/nrclinonc.2012.2>
 15. Anzidei M, Napoli A, Zaccagna F, Cartocci G, Saba L, Menichini G, et al. Liver Metastases From Colorectal Cancer Treated With Conventional and Antiangiogenic Chemotherapy. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* [Internet]. 2011;35(6):690–6. Available from: <https://insights.ovid.com/crossref?an=00004728-201111000-00006>
 16. O'Connor JPB, Carano RAD, Clamp AR, Ross J, Ho CCK, Jackson A, et al. Quantifying Antivasular Effects of Monoclonal Antibodies to Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor: Insights from Imaging. *Clin Cancer Res* [Internet]. 2009 Nov 1;15(21):6674–82. Available from: <http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0731>
 17. Koh D-M, Scurr E, Collins D, Kanber B, Norman A, Leach MO, et al. Predicting Response of Colorectal Hepatic Metastasis: Value of Pretreatment Apparent Diffusion Coefficients. *Am J Roentgenol* [Internet]. 2007 Apr;188(4):1001–8. Available from: <http://www.ajronline.org/doi/10.2214/AJR.06.0601>
 18. Jayson GC, Zhou C, Backen A, Horsley L, Marti-Marti K, Shaw D, et al. Plasma Tie2 is a tumor vascular response biomarker for VEGF inhibitors in metastatic colorectal cancer. *Nat Commun* [Internet]. 2018 Dec 7;9(1):4672. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-018-07174-1>
 19. Zhou C, Clamp A, Backen A, Berzuini C, Renehan A, Banks RE, et al. Systematic analysis of circulating soluble angiogenesis-associated proteins in ICON7 identifies Tie2 as a biomarker of vascular progression on bevacizumab. *Br J Cancer* [Internet]. 2016 Jul 28;115(2):228–35. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/bjc2016194>
 20. Backen A, Renehan AG, Clamp AR, Berzuini C, Zhou C, Oza A, et al. The Combination of Circulating Ang1 and Tie2 Levels Predicts Progression-Free Survival Advantage in Bevacizumab-Treated Patients with Ovarian Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* [Internet]. 2014 Sep 1;20(17):4549–58. Available from: <http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-3248>
 21. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer* [Internet]. 2009 Jan;45(2):228–47. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0959804908008733>
 22. Spencer SKM, Pommier AJC, Morgan SR, Barry ST, Robertson JD, Hoff PM, et al. Prognostic/predictive value of 207 serum factors in colorectal cancer treated with cediranib and/or chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer* [Internet]. 2013 Nov 22;109(11):2765–73. Available from: <http://www.nature.com/articles/bjc2013649>
 23. Lissoni P, Rovelli F, Malugani F, Brivio F, Fumagalli L, Gardani GS. Changes in circulating VEGF levels in relation to clinical response during chemotherapy for metastatic cancer. *Int J Biol Markers* [Internet]. 2003;18(2):152–5. Available from: <http://www.biological-markers.com/article/changes-in-circulating-vegf-levels-in-relation-to-clinical-response-during-chemotherapy-for-metastatic-cancer-art005450>
 24. Kopetz S, Hoff PM, Morris JS, Wolff RA, Eng C, Glover KY, et al. Phase II Trial of Infusional Fluorouracil, Irinotecan, and Bevacizumab for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Efficacy and Circulating Angiogenic Biomarkers Associated With Therapeutic Resistance. *J Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2010 Jan 20;28(3):453–9. Available from: <http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2009.24.8252>
 25. Hoff PM, Hochhaus A, Pestalozzi BC, Tebbutt NC, Li J, Kim TW, et al. Cediranib Plus FOLFOX/CAPOX Versus Placebo Plus FOLFOX/CAPOX in Patients With Previously Untreated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Study (HORIZON II). *J Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2012 Oct 10;30(29):3596–603. Available from: <http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2012.42.6031>
 26. UK Legislation. The Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004 [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2020 May 12]. Available from: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2004/1031/pdfs/ukxi_20041031_en.pdf

Figures




Figure 1

Kaplan Meier Curve to show overall survival for patients with an increase in Ktrans from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2 compared with patients with a decrease in Ktrans from pre-treatment to cycle 2 day 2




Figure 2

Correlation network to compare correlation between biomarkers at pre-treatment and at cycle 2 day 2

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [FinalsubmissionSupplementaryinformation.doc](#)