Preserving Professional Identities, Behaviors, and Values in Digital Professionalism: A Scoping Review

Shaista Salman Guraya (✉ ssalman@rcsi-mub.com)

Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland and Medical University of Bahrain <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2903-8697>

Salman Yousuf Guraya

University of Sharjah College of Medicine

Muhammad Saiful Bahri Yusoff

Universiti Sains Malaysia - Kampus Kesihatan

Research article

Keywords: Professionalism, digital professionalism, professional identity, professional behaviors, professional values, professional ethics, social media, social networking sites, health professionals

Posted Date: July 24th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-45977/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Medical Education on July 12th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02802-9>.

Abstract

Background Despite a rapid rise of use of social media in medical disciplines, uncertainty prevails among healthcare professionals for providing medical content on social media. There are also growing concerns about unprofessional behaviors and blurring of professional identities that are undermining digital professionalism. This review tapped the literature to determine the impact of social media on medical professionalism and how can professional identities and values be maintained in digital era.

Methods We searched the databases of PubMed, ProQuest, ScienceDirect, Web of Science, and EBSCO host using (professionalism AND (professionalism OR (professional identity) OR (professional behaviors) OR (professional values) OR (professional ethics))) AND ((social media) AND ((social media) OR (social networking sites) OR Twitter OR facebook)) AND (health professionals). The research questions were based on participants (health professionals), concept (professionalism), and context (social media, digital world). We screened initial yield of titles using pre-determined inclusion and exclusion criteria and selected a group of articles for qualitative analysis. We used the Biblioshiny® software package for generation of popular concepts as clustered keywords.

Results Our search yielded 44 articles with four leading themes; marked rise in use of social media by healthcare professionals and students, negative impact of social media on digital professionalism, blurring of medical professional values, behaviors, and identity in digital era, limited evidence for teaching and assessing digital professionalism. A high occurrence of violation of patient privacy and professional integrity and cyberbullying is reported. There are no existing guidelines and policies for digital professionalism that can safeguard healthcare professionals, students and patients.

Conclusion Our scoping review reports a rapid rise of unprofessional behaviors on social media among healthcare professionals. The boundaries between personal and professional practices are mystified in digital professionalism. These findings call for potential educational ramifications to resurrect professional virtues, behaviors and identities of healthcare professionals and students.

Background

Social media attributes to a collection of digital platforms whose content is created, edited and shared by its clients themselves (1). The expeditious development of social media has transformed the way healthcare professionals and students interact with each other. Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, YouTube, Instagram, Wikis, Blogs, Podcasts and WeChat are the most popular social media worldwide. Medical professionalism is a multi-dimensional construct that refers to a set of skills and competencies that the professionals are expected to practice (2) The crossroads of medical professionalism and use of social media has created a new facet of digital professionalism, interchangeable with e-professionalism, that reflects the manifestation of traditional professional attitudes and behaviors through social media (3). Digital professionalism refers to the professionals' use of digital media and the mechanisms in which the profession is evolved by this use (4).

The concept of digital professionalism in e-health embraces the core values that can steer teaching, learning and practice domains in medical disciplines through online platforms. A safe application of digital professionalism includes professional competence, reputation, and responsibility (5). Digital media provides enormous interconnectivity that has expanded our range of opportunities for sharing information. However, this unprecedented opportunity has created interdependency on social media, devices, and users with loss of natural pauses for self-reflection in our livelihood. The ubiquity and easy access of digital media permits free communications that has the potential to thrust its contents into the medical practice.

The fluid and complex nature of medical professional virtues, behaviours and identities are more vulnerable in the current era of digital professionalism (6). Professional virtues and behaviours illustrate the processes of how the professionals enact their role, while professional identity involves an oath for adhering to the values and ethics of medical profession associated with the profession such as being trustworthy, competent, and safe medical practitioner. Medical professional identity requires the practicing physician to act as a professional at individual, interpersonal and societal levels. Unfortunately, literature has reported erosions of professional identities and behaviours in the current era of digital professionalism (7). Inappropriate social media behavior has also shown detrimental effects on medical and health sciences students' approach towards humanism, empathy and altruism (3). Unauthorized postings of patient health information, pictures, patient-doctor communication blogs, and images with clear patient identification are the commonly witnessed unprofessional behaviors. This practice has blurred personal and professional boundaries in medical sphere. In digital professionalism, medical educators and policy makers are sceptical about preserving patient confidentiality and privacy on social media.

However, there are growing concerns about the absence of a structured program of digital professionalism in the medical and health sciences. In addition, there is a paucity of literature that can help understand the mechanisms for safe-guarding medical professionals' identities and values in the digital world. This scoping review aimed to review the available body of knowledge that can help identify key concepts and threats to professional identity in the era of digital professionalism.

Methods

The search design of our scoping review was based on the following stages described by Arskey and O'malley's framework (8).

- Defining the research questions based on the context of scoping review using a triad of participants, concept and context
- Identifying relevant studies that matched the defined research questions and purpose
- Selecting studies using pre-determined inclusion and exclusion criteria
- Charting the data
- Summarizing and reporting the results.

This review did not imply the optional sixth step Arskey and O'Malley's framework that require consultation with potential stakeholders as this was not the major purpose of our review.

Each step is now detailed hereunder.

1. Defining research questions

Our research questions were based on health professionals (participants), professionalism (concept), and social media, digital world, digital era (context). We framed the following specific questions for our scoping review;

1. What are the desired values and behaviors of digital professionalism that are needed for maintaining digital professional identity?
2. What is the impact of social media on medical professionalism?
3. How can values and behaviors of digital professionalism be made measurable and reproducible in teaching and assessment?

2. Identifying relevant studies; databases and process of data selection

In May 2020, principal investigator (PI) (SS) searched the databases of PubMed, ProQuest, ScienceDirect, Web of Science, and EBSCO host using keywords (professionalism AND (professionalism OR (professional identity) OR (professional behaviors) OR (professional values) OR (professional ethics))) AND ((social media) AND ((social media) OR (social networking sites) OR Twitter OR facebook)) AND (health professionals) terms and text words for the English language articles published during 1st January 2015 till 30th April, 2020. The search focused on titles about definitions, analyses and relationships of health professionals about professional identity, virtues, behaviors, medical professionalism and digital professionalism.

PubMed was the mainstay to systematically develop a search string, which later was extrapolated to other databases. All selected keywords were searched both in the fields "Abstract" and "Article Title" (alternatively "Topic") and in MeSH/Subject Headings/Thesaurus where available. No filters or limitations were applied to retrieve the largest number of result and to avoid excluding pre-indexed materials. Language, document type, and publication year restrictions were instead included in the exclusion criteria for the screening process. We defined healthcare professionals in undergraduate, graduate, and continuing education, postgraduates & practicing physicians/nurses, deans, directors, and faculty. For this study we defined healthcare professionals as individuals who may be involved in healthcare delivery (for example: physicians, nurses, dentists, physiotherapists, and pharmacists). While context was identified as digital world including social media, social networking sites, witter, Facebook and internet. Using context and participants definitions, the concept - professionalism was explored by professional

identity, professional behaviours, professional values and professional ethics. A full search log, including detailed search strings for all included information sources, results and notes is available in the **Appendix I**. While Searches for grey literature were conducted in ProQuest Dissertation and Thesis.

In our scoping review, we followed a structured protocol for screening and selection of the studies. SS reviewed the titles and abstracts of the studies retrieved during initial search and grouped relevant articles for possible inclusion. Then we reviewed full text of the selected articles for their further matching with the inclusion criteria. To mitigate research bias, the entire search process was reviewed by SS, SYG and MSBY. We resolved research disagreements and disputes through discussions until we reached a consensus.

3. Selecting the studies; data extraction

We used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines was used for data mining and selection of the studies for this scoping review (9). Table 1 summarizes the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the studies in our scoping review.

Table 1
Selection criteria for the studies in this scoping review (n = 44)

Variables	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Type of studies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Qualitative -Quantitative -Mixed method 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Systematic reviews -Scoping reviews -Meta-analysis -Editorials -Commentaries
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Health professionals -Medical and nursing undergraduate and postgraduate students and/or residents -Physicians and fellows -Medical educators or school administrators -Medical school websites -Respondents from medicine, pharmacy, dentistry, and nursing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Non-medical and health sciences stakeholders
Concept	Professionalism	
Context	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Social media -Digital world -Online -Usage of social media by health professionals for educational, professional and personal purposes -Implementation of social media usage guidelines or professional and unprofessional use 	

The original research articles that conducted qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies about definitions of digital professionalism, e-professionalism in the digital age, guidelines for the usage of social media, and the degree and extent of usage of social media by health professionals for educational, professional and personal purposes were included. The participants of the selected studies were medical and allied health sciences students, physicians, faculty and program directors from medicine, pharmacy, dentistry, and nursing. We excluded systematic reviews, scoping reviews, meta-analysis, editorials, and commentaries from our search. The studies about professionalism in non-medical fields were also excluded. Finally, the articles whose full text was not accessible and those that were published in conference proceedings were excluded.

Data were entered into a structured extraction Excel sheet. This included information on the article; publication year, author, country of study (single center/multicenter), study level, health professionals' discipline, ethical approval, methodology and study purpose and results which later generated the discussion themes. Principal author (SS) extracted the data. **Appendix II**

4. Charting the data; data analysis

The data was organized in charts for the descriptive analysis of the quantity and quality of the selected studies. (**Appendix II**). The data extraction form was developed by the PI which was piloted on 4 articles and then later refined for more clarity after discussion with MSBY. Initially it focused on use of social media and its impact on medical professionalism, behaviors and values in digital professionalism, teaching and learning of digital professionalism after assessment was added.

5. Collating, summarizing, and reporting the results; data synthesis

A numerical analysis (frequencies to data such as year of publication, country, health professionals' discipline and study level) was performed on Excel. While for thematic analysis was using emerging concepts and theories from the selected studies, we generated different popular concepts of our research. The leading themes and concepts were further analyzed in discussion to reach consensus for future implementation. Our goal for this scoping study was to map the depth and breadth of the literature on the digital professionalism, we articulated our findings around impact of social media on medical professionalism, behaviors and values required to maintain digital professional identity and how the teaching and learning can be made affective to inculcate this emerging aspect for digitally native and naïve learner's and teacher's respectively. For the analysis itself, the PI coded all the articles and constructed a coding tree. Later the other team members (SYG and MSBY) critically analyzed the preliminary themes which later led to refinement of the coding process by adding few more strings like assessment and policy about digital professionalism. The remaining articles were analyzed by the PI using the final coding tree and additional discussion ensued and led to the final set of themes. We also used biblioshiny® from R Statistical Package (<https://bibliometrix.org/Biblioshiny.html>) to carry out bibliometric analysis (10). Using the hierarchical clustering strategy, we labelled each keyword as a cluster item, and then merged clusters with maximum similarity into a new large cluster. This similarity-based merger was continued until all individual clusters were collated in large clusters. Finally, the multiple cluster analysis was graphically generated for review.

Results

Initial search retrieved 4,055 titles, and after eliminating duplicates and retaining only English language publications, we included 1,319 for further abstract analysis. During the exclusion phase, 1,277 titles were

excluded as they could not meet the inclusion criteria. Lastly, 126 full text articles were excluded from the remaining 170 publications. After full paper review, we included 44 articles in our scoping review for deeper analysis. The entire process using PRISMA guidelines is illustrated in Fig. 1.

The yearly publication pattern of the selected 44 articles about professional identity, behaviors and virtues in the digital world is shown in Fig. 2. A maximum number of 10 articles were published in 2016.

From a different perspective, the graphical representation of countries of origin of the selected 44 studies is displayed in Fig. 3.

Most studies were based in the USA (15/34%), while other studies were based in Canada (8/18%), UK (4/9%), China (3/7%), UAE (3/7%), and New Zealand (1/3%). Most commonly used methodologies were cross-sectional surveys (27/61%) and analysis of the publicly available Internet content such as Facebook profiles, Twitter streams, or blogs (8/18%). Other methods used in the selected studies included focus group discussions, mixed-methods by semi-structured interviews and survey. Of note, of all the survey-based studies, about half of these studies had response rates of 50% or greater, while three studies either did not explicitly report a response rate. For the 11 studies that analyzed the publicly available Internet content, four (36%) did not mention any methods to increase study rigor of data extraction and analysis expected of content analyses.

Interestingly, 32 (73%) studies had clear ethical statements either with institutional board approval, exemption, or undertaking that ethical approval was not necessary. Table 2 shows descriptive analysis of the data about medical disciplines and study levels from the selected 44 studies in this scoping review. In terms of study populations, 23 (52%) involved postgraduates and/or residents, physicians and fellows, and practising nurses, 18 (41%) included undergraduate students (medical, dental or nursing) while 7 (6%) conducted studies involved dean, directors, and faculty. Approximately 50% of survey-based studies had response rates of 50% or more, and postgraduates & practicing physicians/nurses were the most common group of studied participants.

Table 2
Descriptive analysis of the data about medical disciplines and study levels from the selected studies in this scoping review (n=44).

Features	Analysis	No.
Disciplines	Medicine	27
	Nursing	9
	Dentistry	5
	Pharmacy	2
	Physiotherapy	1
Study level	Postgraduates & Practicing physicians/nurses	23
	Undergraduate	18
	Dean, directors, and faculty	7

A graphical relationship among the selected keywords for our scoping review using the bibliometric analysis is illustrated in Fig. 4. The plane distance between keywords reflects the degree of similarity and commonalities among them. The keywords approaching centre of the figure indicate that they have received high attention in the recent years. Probity received maximum attention, while cyberciviltly received least attention and similarity with other keywords.

A maximum number of 14 studies had a primary research objective of exploring the beliefs and attitudes of the participants towards usage of social media use and professional behaviours. Other leading research objectives of the selected studies in our scoping review are outlined in Table 3.

Table 3
Leading research purposes of the selected studies in this scoping review (n=44)

Study purposes	n = 44
Explore beliefs and attitudes regarding social media use and professional behaviours	14
Quantify and evaluate professional digital media use	7
Describe professional and personal information and activities, perceptions of online professional behavior and opinions on guidelines in this area.	5
Examine the effects of an educational intervention to assess students' change in social media use practices	4
Determine educational use of Social media	3
Identify and characterize the types of unprofessional and concomitant personal and institutional risks.	3
Describe appropriate patient-physician relationship on social media.	3
Describe the characteristics of professional/unprofessional online posts or tweets	2
Describe perceptions of confidentiality, accountability, and e-professionalism	2
Describe relationship between anonymity and professionalism	1

Our scoping review generated four main themes;

1. Usage of social media by healthcare professionals and students (1, 7, 11–34).
2. The impact of social media on medical professionalism (11, 17–21, 23, 25–27, 29, 32, 33, 35–42).
3. Blurring of professional values, behaviors, and identity in the digital era (3, 7, 11, 13, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 29, 34, 35, 38, 40, 42–48).
4. Limited evidence for teaching and assessing professionalism in the digital era (3, 7, 11, 19, 21, 23, 24, 26, 34, 40, 41, 46, 47).

By and large, the usage of social media by health professionals has escalated during the last decade (1, 7, 11–34), there is a negative impact of social media usage on medical professionalism as reflected by erosion of professional integrity (11, 17, 21, 25–27, 37–41), an upsurge of awareness about professional identity but rise in unprofessional behaviors in the digital era (7, 11, 13, 18, 21, 24, 27, 39, 40, 43, 44) and some evidence of enhanced acquisition of knowledge about digital professionalism by incorporating structured modules in curricula (3, 11, 19, 21, 24, 26, 34, 40, 41, 46, 47).

Discussion

This scoping review reports a rapid rise in the usage of social media by healthcare professionals and students with a negative impact of social media as reflected by substantial unprofessional behaviors leading to blurred professional identities. There is compelling evidence that awareness of social media by healthcare professionals and students is getting better, nevertheless, there is a reciprocal increase in prevalence of unprofessional behaviors in the digital era. We could find limited and unsatisfactory data about appropriate acquisition of knowledge and structured curriculum for teaching and assessment of digital professionalism. Of all traits of medical professionalism, probity found highest attention in the studies selected in our scoping review. Probity in medical disciplines is an ever needed professional characteristic that enriches the faculty-student and physician-patient relationships with elements of honesty and trust (49).

The four leading themes of this scoping review are elaborated in the following parts of discussion.

Theme I: Usage of social media by health professionals and students

The use of social media is among the most innovative but, unfortunately, the most destructive necessary evil of the current era. Currently, more than 40% of the health care consumers use social media for their healthcare needs worldwide (50). In medical education, social media is being increasingly used for learning and teaching, research, hospital care quality, and for assessment of online behaviour of healthcare professionals (51). Only in the USA, nearly 65% of the adult population use social media for different reasons and this usage has sharply risen in the last decade (52). Understandably this usage is ubiquitous among young adults (90%) and notable among older adults (77%), this difference being reflected by being digital native and digital naïve, respectively.

In medical education, 94% of medical students, 79% of medical residents, and 42% of practicing physicians use social media (53). This exponential growth in social media usage provides health information, facilitate live chat platforms for patient-to-patient and patient-to-health professional, data collection on patient perspectives, health promotion and education, and offer telemedicine for online consultations and treatments (35). Use of physician-bloggers has also risen that foster sharing of health information and marketing campaigns. Cognizant with this rise in usage of social media, medical educators, physicians, and students are utilizing contents of social media regardless of its accuracy and authenticity.

Theme II: The impact of social media on medical professionalism

Research has provided compelling evidence that social media has bipolar effect on professionalism (16) and this has led to erosion of professionalism integrity (48, 54, 55). In a multi-site survey-based study by Garg et al., most of the identified unprofessional behaviors grouped as high-risk-to-professionalism events (HRTPE) were reported by residents (42). The investigators have detailed that HRTPE included

posting identifiable patients' demographics, a clinical or radiological image, and inappropriate pictures of intoxicated colleagues or unprofessional remarks. The study has eluded that such events pose substantial threats to the healthcare professionals and their associated institution. Laliberté et al., have cautioned that due to blurred boundaries between professional and unprofessional territories, the Facebook friendship can potentially lead to mixing of professional and personal lives (20). The occurrence of such phenomena is more vulnerable in hospital departments that provide intense and lengthy sessions such as rehabilitation centers.

There is an apparent dissonance between the medical students' understanding of e-professionalism while using social media and being aware of its impact of losing professional identity (23). Social media is considered Powerful, Public and Permanent and the impact of these three Ps potentially carries risk of disseminating misleading and inaccurate information particularly if influenced by confliction and biased interests (27). Research has diligently proven that habitual use of social networking sites adversely affects behavioural relations (56). In addition, there are growing concerns about negative impact of social media such as extroversion, loneliness, eccentric personality characteristics and social dissonance.

Conversely, literature has reported some benefits of use of social media by health professionals; self-directed learning by staying current, listening to patients' opinions and needs, and patient education can potentially lead to better patient care (19, 25, 29, 33, 35, 36). The use of social media offers valid opportunities to enhance engagement, effective feedback, professional collaboration and competence (32). Chretien et al., have reported that, using social media, the medical students seemingly benefit from listening to patient perspectives and tend to embrace deeper cultural knowledge (18). At the same time, using virtual patient communities on various interfaces of social media can enrich the students' understanding of the patient perspectives (19). Interestingly, non-hand held devices (desktop, laptop) have been shown to have a better impact on development of professional values and behaviors than hand-help devices (mobile phone, iPad, tablets) (48).

Theme III: Blurring of professional values, behaviors, and identity in the digital era

Our review has identified a wealth of unprofessional behaviors that the researchers have welded with social media in the digital world. These include, but not limited to, indecorous description roles of pharmacists, breaches in the code of patient privacy (11), and offensive promotion of pharmaceutical products (43). Profanity, sexually explicit conducts, derogatory remarks, patient demeaning, references of racism and ethnics, are some other unprofessional behaviors that have been reported in social media (13). Physicians mostly publish pictures or other information about their patients on social media and approximately only 5% of them obtain formal permission from their patients prior to posting (29). Interestingly, a study has reported that 89% physicians believed better quality of care for their patients who are connected to them through Facebook versus other patients (39). In a survey-based investigation Marnocha et al., the authors have described that out of 293 nursing students, 77% had encountered at least one event of unprofessional content posted by fellow students (40). Besides, the most recurring

types of unprofessional remarks were posted about patients, peers, their work environment (58%), profanity (37%), patient privacy (31%), prejudicial language (29%) and cyberbullying (11%). This study has signaled a rising prevalence of unprofessional online behaviours by nursing students and have emphasized the crucial role of policies and formal training of digital professionalism among nursing professionals and educators.

In a report by Lefebvre et al., as many as 80% of digitally natives were not concerned about patients' online privacy or data protection (21). The same report has revealed that a highest number of nurses in 36–45 years age group believed that making a patient friend or from patient's family on social media is acceptable. Expression of humor online is considered to be more perilous than face-to-face conversations with patients. Fraping, deliberate posting of inappropriate material on Facebook, after hacking into someone else's account is a new emerging cyber-crime (7). This bring up another aspect of security of cyber networking that can safe-guard public's privacy and self-esteem (24). A study has shown extremely poor awareness about privacy regulations of social media among surgical trainees and established surgeons (27). Furthermore, Facebook owners can access all data of their clients that they have uploaded for personal or corporate use. Lastly, a past history of posting unprofessional content on Facebook strongly predicts the occurrence of same event in future as well (44). In other words, future professional behavior is predicted by past behaviors.

Social media notifications are considered to be a constant source for distraction and stress. Even on silent mode, haptic alerts can still arrive and cause distraction (21). All types of notifications such as visual, auditory, or haptic lead to leads to poor work efficiency and memory. Additionally, media updates dismantle emotional states and amplifies stress levels (20). Currently, this negative impact of social media on healthcare professionals' health and well-being is essentially ignored.

The advantages of using social media with positive professional behaviors include sharing patient empathy, effective patient management, online publication of recommended dosage and side-effects of drugs, and rebuttal of misleading health information (11, 18). A study has shown a gradual increase in medical students' understanding towards considering a change in their online professional behavior (3). This change can be attributed to increasing awareness about the deleterious effects of social media. The growing knowledge about ethical and moral use of social media can enhance its positive impact in the medical profession (42, 46).

Maintaining professional identity on social media is a daunting task as the boundary between professionalism and unprofessional conduct is delicate and invisible. Educators find it hard to define the extent to which the online identity should be allowed to reflect the concerned professional (41). An interesting term of a "dual-citizen model" has been coined that can be applied by creating different online profiles. In a study, the participants were able to generate three leading themes that the authors argued to incorporate into existing curricula; "negotiating identities", "maintaining distance" and "recognizing and minimizing risks" (47). Negotiating identities as students were placed in learning climate without any role in patient care; maintaining distance by separating two crucial but unique roles; and recognizing and

minimizing risks by being vigilant to new roles where professionalism might be compromised by social media. This approach can make students aware of their transitional status during their studies that will potentially mitigate risks from consequences of possible transgressions.

Theme IV: Teaching and assessing professionalism in the digital era

Educators have advocated the incorporation of student-centered domains for social media in teaching and assessment (11). Since the landscape of social media climate is continuously changing, there is a need for reciprocal curricular interventions to harmonize educational impact in academic institutions. The ensuing adult and active learning would aim at developing professional identities of healthcare professionals and students (3). A great majority of medical educators and health policy makers have argued that use of social media in medical disciplines should be taught early in medical education and this module should include; professional standards for use of social media, integration of social media into clinical practice, professional networking (41), and research (19). Furthermore, essential remedial measures should be inculcated into this module that can explain concerns about social media and professionalism (21, 40). These interventional processes would require multidisciplinary and cross-sectoral input from patients, academic and physician leaders, social media experts, and interprofessional stakeholders (26). Finally, institutional policies about online privacy, maintaining digital professionalism, protecting medical information of patients, and the sanctions for breaching the policies should be developed and implemented. Research has shown that prior familiarity with social media policies were positively correlated with an improved academic performance during online professionalism (47). This sheds light on educational awareness of healthcare professionals and students about online professionalism.

Social media guidance particularly about the elements of patient confidentiality and privacy is crucial for its appropriate implementation. This may highlight the need to educate all stakeholders for essential self-disclosure for using social media (23). Taking an oath or signing a declaration by healthcare professionals and students for adhering to the policies and regulations guidance about social media is a valid but difficult-to-implement option (7).

In summary, few institutions have incorporated a structured module of e-professionalism in their curricula despite a staggering rise in the use of social media for networking and education. Currently, academic institutional responses to cyber irregularities have typically taken the form of disciplinary actions with punitive intent. This has unintentionally created a hidden curriculum of digital unprofessionalism. This study calls for assistance and guidance in training the digitally enhanced learning in preparation for their future digitally driven clinical practice.

There are certain strengths and weakness in our scoping review that the reader should bear in mind when interpreting our data. The major strength and weakness of this study lies in the search process itself, which was very comprehensive and included a wide range of academic databases as well as grey literature, yet the literature on this topic was scarce thus, it was difficult to identify the appropriate

keywords to create a search strategy that would be focused yet inclusive; as such, it resulted in a higher percentage of excluded articles. Secondly, the study was not limited to one study level and included all healthcare practitioners across the continuum. Thirdly, although comprehensive but the data extraction was performed by a single author, and did not include conference abstracts, proceedings, book chapters or articles. As is typical in scoping studies, we did not critically appraise the literature included in our data corpus, as our intention was to map the breadth and depth of the literature on the topic rather than conduct a quality assessment of the included studies. Furthermore, the studies included in this scoping review were heterogeneous and hence we were not able to investigate the structural differences of the 44 studies identified due to the variation in the type of information provided.

Conclusion

Our scoping review reports an escalating rise of use of social media among health care professionals and students. This study signals unprofessional behaviors on social media among healthcare professionals. Current body of literature reports a high prevalence of breach of patient confidentiality due to an absence of existing social media policies. Nevertheless, there is a corresponding but less strong surge of awareness about the adverse effects of social media. The dignified and noble medical professionals' identity is blurred and hazy in this digital era. Since the climate of social media is rapidly transforming, there is a need for corresponding curricular modifications that can balance legal and effective use of social media in medical education. This intervention can potentially resurrect professional virtues, behaviors and identities of healthcare professionals and students. This study highlights the need to adapt a unified policy for usage of social media among health professionals and students that can mitigate the risk of cyberbullying, patient confidentiality and professional integrity.

Declarations

Ethical approval

USM/JEPeM/19050291

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this submitted article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The publication of this article will be funded by the RCSI-MUB if accepted by the journal.

Authors contributions

SS made a substantial contribution to the conception of the work, created the search strategy, conducted the literature search, hand searched and screened the titles and abstract, extracted the data collected, analysed and interpreted the data, drafted the initial manuscript. Other team members SYG and MSBY critically evaluated the search strategy and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All members (SS, SYG and MSBY) approved the final version, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgements

SS thanks Dr Bindhu Nair, AHIP Deputy and Research Support Librarian at RCSI-MUB for her expertise and assistance in reviewing the search strategy and study protocol.

Declaration of interest

This scoping review is performed as part of a PhD program in Health Professions Education at Universiti Sains Malaysia. All authors report no declarations of interest.

References

1. Collins K, Shiffman D, Rock J. How Are Scientists Using Social Media in the Workplace? PLoS One. 2016;11(10):e0162680.
2. Guraya SY, Sulaiman N, Guraya SS, Yusoff MSB, Roslan NS, Al Fahim M, et al. Understanding the climate of medical professionalism among university students; A multi-center study. Innovations in Education and Teaching International. 2020:1-10.
3. Gomes AW, Butera G, Chretien KC, Kind T. The development and impact of a social media and professionalism course for medical students. Teaching and Learning in Medicine. 2017;29(3):296-303.
4. Gabbard GO. Digital professionalism. Academic Psychiatry. 2019;43(3):259-63.
5. Ellaway RH, Coral J, Topps D, Topps M. Exploring digital professionalism. Med Teach. 2015;37(9):844-9.

6. Chretien KC, Tuck MG. Online professionalism: A synthetic review. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2015;27(2):106-17.
7. Curtis F, Gillen J. "I don't see myself as a 40-year-old on Facebook": medical students' dilemmas in developing professionalism with social media. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*. 2019;43(2):251-62.
8. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2005;8(1):19-32.
9. Guraya S. Prognostic significance of circulating microRNA-21 expression in esophageal, pancreatic and colorectal cancers; a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Surgery*. 2018;60:41-7.
10. Patil S. Global Library & Information Science Research seen through Prism of Biblioshiny. *Studies in Indian Place Names*. 2020;40(49):157-70.
11. Benetoli A, Chen TF, Schaefer M, Chaar B, Aslani P. Pharmacists' perceptions of professionalism on social networking sites. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2017;13(3):575-88.
12. Benetoli A, Chen TF, Schaefer M, Chaar BB, Aslani P. Professional Use of Social Media by Pharmacists: A Qualitative Study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2016;18(9):e258.
13. De Gagne JC, Hall K, Conklin JL, Yamane SS, Roth NW, Chang JH, et al. Uncovering cyberincivility among nurses and nursing students on Twitter: A data mining study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2019;89:24-31.
14. Dobson E, Patel P, Neville P. Perceptions of e-professionalism among dental students: a UK dental school study. *British Dental Journal*. 2019;226(1):73-8.
15. Guraya SY, Al-Qahtani MF, Bilal B, Guraya SS, Almaramhy H. Comparing the extent and pattern of use of social networking sites by medical and non medical university students: a multi-center study. *Psychology research and behavior management*. 2019;12:575.
16. Guraya SY, Almaramhy H, Al-Qahtani MF, Guraya SS, Bouhaimed M, Bilal B. Measuring the extent and nature of use of Social Networking Sites in Medical Education (SNSME) by university students: results of a multi-center study. *Medical education online*. 2018;23(1):1505400.
17. Call T, Hillock R. Professionalism, social media, and the Orthopaedic Surgeon: What do you have on the Internet? *Technol Health Care*. 2017;25(3):531-9.
18. Chretien K, Tuck M, Simon M, Singh L, Kind T, Chretien KC, et al. A Digital Ethnography of Medical Students who Use Twitter for Professional Development. *JGIM: Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2015;30(11):1673-80.
19. Klee D, Covey C, Zhong L. Social media beliefs and usage among family medicine residents and practicing family physicians. *Fam Med*. 2015;47(3):222-6.
20. Laliberté M, Beaulieu-Poulin C, Campeau Larrivée A, Charbonneau M, Samson É, Ehrmann Feldman D. Current Uses (and Potential Misuses) of Facebook: An Online Survey in Physiotherapy. *Physiother Can*. 2016;68(1):5-12.

21. Lefebvre C, McKinney K, Glass C, Cline D, Franasiak R, Husain I, et al. Social Media Usage Among Nurses: Perceptions and Practices. *J Nurs Adm.* 2020;50(3):135-41.
22. Avci K, Celikden SG, Eren S, Aydenizoz D. Assessment of medical students' attitudes on social media use in medicine: a cross-sectional study. *Bmc Medical Education.* 2015;15.
23. Duke VJA, Anstey A, Carter S, Gosse N, Hutchens KM, Marsh JA. Social media in nurse education: Utilization and E-professionalism. *Nurse Education Today.* 2017;57:8-13.
24. Kenny P, Johnson IG. Social media use, attitudes, behaviours and perceptions of online professionalism amongst dental students. *British dental journal.* 2016;221(10):651.
25. Pereira I, Cunningham AM, Moreau K, Sherbino J, Jalali A. Thou shalt not tweet unprofessionally: an appreciative inquiry into the professional use of social media. *Postgrad Med J.* 2015;91(1080):561-4.
26. Walton JM, White J, Ross S. What's on YOUR Facebook profile? Evaluation of an educational intervention to promote appropriate use of privacy settings by medical students on social networking sites. *Medical Education Online.* 2015;20.
27. Fanti Silva DA, Colleoni R. Patient's Privacy Violation on Social Media in the Surgical Area. *Am Surg.* 2018;84(12):1900-5.
28. Jensen KK, Gögenur I. Nationwide cross-sectional study of Danish surgeons' professional use of social media. *Dan Med J.* 2018;65(9).
29. Long X, Qi L, Ou Z, Zu X, Cao Z, Zeng X, et al. Evolving use of social media among Chinese urologists: Opportunity or challenge? *PLoS One.* 2017;12(7):e0181895.
30. McKnight R, Franko O. HIPAA Compliance with Mobile Devices Among ACGME Programs. *J Med Syst.* 2016;40(5):129.
31. Salem J, Borgmann H, Baunacke M, Boehm K, Hanske J, MacNeily A, et al. Widespread use of internet, applications, and social media in the professional life of urology residents. *Canadian Urological Association Journal.* 2017;11(9):E355.
32. Wagner JP, Cochran AL, Jones C, Gusani NJ, Varghese TK, Jr., Attai DJ. Professional Use of Social Media Among Surgeons: Results of a Multi-Institutional Study. *J Surg Educ.* 2018;75(3):804-10.
33. Wang Z, Wang S, Zhang Y, Jiang X. Social media usage and online professionalism among registered nurses: A cross-sectional survey. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2019;98:19-26.
34. Barnable A, Cuning G, Parcon M. Nursing Students' Perceptions of Confidentiality, Accountability, and E-Professionalism in Relation to Facebook. *Nurse Educator.* 2018;43(1):28-31.
35. Campbell L, Evans Y, Pumper M, Moreno MA. Social media use by physicians: a qualitative study of the new frontier of medicine. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2016;16:91.
36. Phillips HW, Chen JS, Wilson B, Udawatta M, Prashant G, Nagasawa D, et al. Social Media Use for Professional Purposes in the Neurosurgical Community: A Multi-Institutional Study. *World Neurosurg.* 2019;129:e367-e74.
37. Neville P. Social media and professionalism: a retrospective content analysis of Fitness to Practise cases heard by the GDC concerning social media complaints. *British Dental Journal.*

2017;223(5):353-7.

38. Bacaksiz FE, Eskici GT, Seren AKH. "From my Facebook profile": What do nursing students share on Timeline, Photos, Friends, and About sections? *Nurse Education Today*. 2020;86.
39. Desai DG, Ndukwu JO, Mitchell JP. Social Media in Health Care: How Close Is Too Close? *Health Care Manag (Frederick)*. 2015;34(3):225-33.
40. Marnocha S, Marnocha MR, Pilliow T. Unprofessional content posted online among nursing students. *Nurse Educ*. 2015;40(3):119-23.
41. Henning MA, Hawken S, MacDonald J, McKimm J, Brown M, Moriarty H, et al. Exploring educational interventions to facilitate health professional students' professionally safe online presence. *Med Teach*. 2017;39(9):959-66.
42. Garg M, Pearson DA, Bond MC, Runyon M, Pillow MT, Hopson L, et al. Survey of Individual and Institutional Risk Associated with the Use of Social Media. *West J Emerg Med*. 2016;17(3):344-9.
43. Parmar N, Dong L, Eisingerich AB. Connecting With Your Dentist on Facebook: Patients' and Dentists' Attitudes Towards Social Media Usage in Dentistry. *J Med Internet Res*. 2018;20(6):e10109.
44. Koo K, Bowman MS, Ficko Z, Gormley EA. Older and wiser? Changes in unprofessional content on urologists' social media after transition from residency to practice. *BJU Int*. 2018;122(2):337-43.
45. Dimitri D, Gubert A, Miller AB, Thoma B, Chan T. A Quantitative Study on Anonymity and Professionalism within an Online Free Open Access Medical Education Community. *Cureus*. 2016;8(9):e788.
46. Lefebvre C, Mesner J, Stopyra J, O'Neill J, Husain I, Geer C, et al. Social Media in Professional Medicine: New Resident Perceptions and Practices. *J Med Internet Res*. 2016;18(6):e119.
47. Khandelwal A, Nugus P, Elkoushy MA, Cruess RL, Cruess SR, Smilovitch M, et al. How we made professionalism relevant to twenty-first century residents. *Med Teach*. 2015;37(6):538-42.
48. Mariano MCO, Maniego JCM, Manila H, Mapanoo RCC, Maquiran KMA, Macindo JRB, et al. Social media use profile, social skills, and nurse-patient interaction among Registered Nurses in tertiary hospitals: A structural equation model analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2018;80:76-82.
49. Guraya SY. Comparing recommended sanctions for lapses of academic integrity as measured by Dundee Polyprofessionalism Inventory I: Academic integrity from a Saudi and a UK medical school. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*. 2018;81(9):787-95.
50. Surani Z, Hirani R, Elias A, Quisenberry L, Varon J, Surani S, et al. Social media usage among health care providers. *BMC research notes*. 2017;10(1):654.
51. Riddell J, Brown A, Kovic I, Jauregui J. Who Are the Most Influential Emergency Physicians on Twitter? *West J Emerg Med*. 2017;18(2):281-7.
52. Perrin A. Social media usage. *Pew research center*. 2015:52-68.
53. Shah V, Kotsenas AL. Social media tips to enhance medical education. *Academic Radiology*. 2017;24(6):747-52.

54. Guraya SY, Norman RI, Roff S. Exploring the climates of undergraduate professionalism in a Saudi and a UK medical school. *Medical teacher*. 2016;38(6):630-2.
55. Guraya SY, Norman RI, Khoshhal KI, Guraya SS, Forgione A. Publish or Perish mantra in the medical field: A systematic review of the reasons, consequences and remedies. *Pakistan journal of medical sciences*. 2016;32(6):1562.
56. Akram W, Kumar R. A study on positive and negative effects of social media on society. *International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering*. 2017;5(1):351-4.

Figures

Figure 1

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) flow diagram for the selection of studies in this scoping review

Figure 2

The yearly publication pattern of articles about professional identity, behaviors and virtues in the digital world during 2015-2020 (n = 44). This search was conducted in May 2020, which explains lower number of articles in 2020.

Figure 3

The country-wise pattern of articles published about professional identity, behaviors and virtues in the digital world during 2015-2020 (n = 44).