

Fetal sex and maternal postpartum depressive symptoms: findings from two prospective pregnancy cohorts

Whitney Cowell (✉ whitney.cowell@mssm.edu)

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1824-3356>

Elena Colicino

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Talia Askowitz

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Farida Nenton

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Rosalind Wright

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Research

Keywords: depression, fetus, postnatal, postpartum, pregnancy, sex

Posted Date: July 29th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-48564/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on January 6th, 2021. See the published version at

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-020-00348-x>.

Abstract

Background: Fetal sex is known to modify the course and complications of pregnancy, with recent evidence of sex-differential fetal influences on the maternal immune and endocrine systems. In turn, heightened inflammation and surges in reproductive hormone levels associated with pregnancy and parturition have been linked with the development of perinatal depression. Here, we examined whether there is an association between fetal sex and maternal depression assessed during the prenatal and postnatal periods.

Methods: The study included two multi-ethnic, prospective pregnancy cohorts that enrolled women from prenatal clinics in the Northeastern United States between 2001 and 2018. Maternal depressive symptoms were measured during the prenatal and postnatal periods using the Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS) and newborn sex was reported by the mother following delivery. We used logistic regression to examine associations between fetal sex and maternal depressive symptoms (EPDS>10) during the prenatal period only, postnatal period only, or both periods versus no depressive symptoms during either period. We considered both unadjusted models and models adjusted for a core set of sociodemographic and lifestyle variables.

Results: In adjusted models conducted using PRISM data (N=528), women pregnant with a male versus female fetus had significantly greater odds of depressive symptoms during the postnatal period compared to women without depressive symptoms during either period (odds ratio [OR] = 5.24, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.93, 14.21). The direction of results was consistent in the ACCESS cohort, although the findings did not reach statistical significance (OR = 2.05, 95% CI = 0.86, 4.93). Significant associations were not observed in either cohort among women with prenatal symptoms only or women with prenatal and postnatal symptoms.

Conclusions: Male fetal sex was associated with the onset of depressive symptoms during the postnatal period.

Background

Perinatal depression, defined here as the onset of major depressive disorder during or following pregnancy, is one of the most prevalent pregnancy-related morbidities. Research studies estimate approximately 10%-19% of women develop symptoms within three months of delivery, although prevalence estimates vary depending on study design and definitions used (1, 2). In addition to affecting the mother's emotional health and well-being, perinatal depression precipitates other adverse physical, psychological, social, and economic outcomes for both the mother and child with potentially long-lasting consequences (3). For example, maternal depression experienced during pregnancy has been associated with obstetric complications (4), restricted fetal growth (5), altered neurodevelopmental programming (6), and impaired infant bonding (3).

During pregnancy, the maternal system undergoes a series of carefully orchestrated adaptations necessary to sustain fetal growth and development. Increasingly, signals from the placenta and fetus are thought to play key roles driving these changes and may contribute to pregnancy-related disease risk (7). For example, paternally-derived fetal antigens elicit maternal immune system activity (8), with research suggesting tolerance of the semi-allogenic fetus is in part coordinated through the release of placental extracellular vesicles into the maternal and fetal circulations (9). The microRNAs and other signals carried by these vesicles interact with distal recipient cells to modify maternal metabolism and physiology and have been associated with a range of reproductive and obstetric related disorders (10, 11).

Research focused on perinatal depression specifically provides evidence that women who exhibit symptoms show an exaggerated inflammatory response around the time of delivery (12–14) and have altered levels of placental enzymes that regulate maternal-fetal hormone and neurotransmitter transfer (6). Clinical research has also shown that fluctuations in reproductive and stress hormones, which occur gradually across the course of gestation and dramatically during parturition, contribute to system dysregulation and the onset of depressive symptoms in susceptible women (15). For

example, a double-blind pregnancy-simulation study ($n = 16$) demonstrated that depression symptoms could be induced via administration and withdrawal of synthetic estrogen and progesterone among women with a history of perinatal depression (16).

Expanding research supports that fetal and placental influences on the maternal system may be sex-differential (17). For example, male fetal sex has been linked to chronic placental inflammation (18) and higher levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the maternal circulation (19). Similar research has identified differential shifts in maternal reproductive hormone levels by fetal sex (20–23). Given that maternal immune activation and fluctuations in reproductive hormones during pregnancy and parturition are implicated in the pathophysiology of perinatal depression (24–27), coupled with research supporting fetal sex-specific influences on maternal immune and endocrine systems during pregnancy, we hypothesized that maternal perinatal depressive symptoms would vary by newborn sex, with the prevalence of elevated symptoms greater among male pregnancies. We further based our hypothesis on recent evidence from a retrospective survey that found the odds of postnatal depression were significantly greater among women carrying a male fetus (28). Given that risk factors related to the onset of perinatal depression may vary across the course of gestation and into the postpartum period, we considered the importance of timing by examining depressive symptomatology measured during both the prenatal and postnatal periods.

Methods

Study cohorts

Analyses included women enrolled in one of two pregnancy cohorts based in Boston, USA and New York City, USA with similarly derived information on fetal sex and maternal depressive symptoms.

Asthma Coalition on Community Environment and Social Stress (ACCESS). English- or Spanish-speaking women (≥ 18 years) with a singleton pregnancy were enrolled from Brigham & Women's Hospital (BWH), Boston Medical Center (BMC), and affiliated prenatal clinics from 2001 to 2010. $N = 970$ mothers delivered a live born infant and remained eligible for study follow-up. Procedures were approved by the human studies committees at BWH and BMC; written informed consent was obtained in the mother's preferred language.

Programming of Intergenerational Stress Mechanisms (PRISM). Pregnant English- or Spanish-speaking women (≥ 18 years) with a singleton pregnancy were recruited from prenatal clinics at the East Boston Neighborhood Health Center, the BWH, and the Beth Israel deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) in Boston and from the Mount Sinai Hospital in New York City. Exclusion criteria included HIV positive status and drinking > 7 alcoholic drinks per week prior to pregnancy recognition or any alcohol after pregnancy recognition. Recruitment began in 2011 and is ongoing; the present study considered $N = 987$ eligible women with a live born infant enrolled through December 2019. Procedures were approved by the institutional review boards at BWH and the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; BIDMC relied on BWH for review and oversight of the protocol. Written informed consent was obtained in the mother's preferred language.

In ACCESS, women excluded due to missing depression assessments were more likely to be Black/Black-Hispanic (34% vs. 28%), and less likely to be Hispanic, non-Black (49% vs. 59%) or to have breastfed for more than 6 months (47% vs. 64%) (Supplemental Table 1). Similarly, in PRISM, excluded women were more likely to be Black/Black-Hispanic (51% vs. 38%), and less likely to be White, non-Hispanic (12% vs. 20%), to be over age 35 years (15% vs. 20%) or to have breastfed for more than 6 months (26% vs. 57%) (Supplemental Table 2). The differences in age and breastfeeding duration between excluded and included women likely reflects differences in race/ethnicity, as Black/Black-Hispanic women in the cohorts were younger and less likely to breastfeed for more than 6 months.

Maternal perinatal depression symptoms

We measured maternal depression symptoms in-person or by telephone during the prenatal (mean \pm SD, ACCESS: 27.3 \pm 8.2 weeks gestation, PRISM: 29.0 \pm 8.0 weeks gestation) and postnatal (ACCESS: 5.0 \pm 1.6 months postpartum, PRISM: 6.6 \pm 0.8 months postpartum) periods using the Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS). The EPDS was developed as a screening assessment for identifying women with perinatal depression (29). The validated tool is available in English and Spanish and has sensitivity and specificity of 95% and 93% compared to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition criteria, respectively (29–31). Women rated each of 10 questions on a four-point scale (0–3) reflecting how often she experienced various symptoms associated with depression during the previous seven days. Consistent with prior studies, we defined elevated depressive symptomology as an EPDS score > 10 (32). Of the 961 women enrolled in ACCESS, 718 (75%) completed the EPDS during pregnancy and 346 (36%) additionally completed the EPDS during the postnatal period (Fig. 1). Of the 987 eligible women enrolled in PRISM, 693 (70%) completed the EPDS during pregnancy, and 528 (53%) additionally completed the EPDS during the postnatal period (Fig. 1). Prenatal EPDS scores did not significantly differ between women with versus without a postnatal measure in either cohort (Wilcoxon rank sum: ACCESS: $Z = 0.58$, $p = 0.56$; PRISM: $Z = 1.13$, $p = 0.26$). Only women with complete EPDS measures at both periods were included in the study.

Mothers were additionally asked a series of questions about depressive feelings, depression diagnosis, and the use of prescription medication for depression (PRISM only) before and during pregnancy. Specifically, mothers were asked: “Before this pregnancy, was there ever a period of time when you were feeling depressed or down or when you lost interest in pleasurable activities most of the day, nearly every day, for at least 2 weeks?”, “Before this pregnancy, did you ever see a health care professional who said that you were depressed?”, and “During your pregnancy, did you take prescription medication for depression or to help you sleep?”

Child sex

Child sex was reported by the mother at a study visit conducted approximately one month following delivery. In the PRISM cohort, self-reported newborn sex was confirmed by review of the baby's medical record.

Covariates

Data on sociodemographic characteristics (race/ethnicity, age, education, relationship status) and lifestyle factors (parity, pre-pregnancy body mass index [BMI], smoking during pregnancy) were collected using questionnaires administered in-person by trained study staff during pregnancy. Information on breastfeeding duration was assessed by in-person or telephone questionnaires administered through age 6 months. In PRISM, information on admittance to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) and gestational age at birth was abstracted from the newborn's medical record. Gestational age was based on best obstetrical estimate derived from routine prenatal ultrasounds; if no obstetrical estimate was available, gestational age was calculated from the date of birth and maternal reported last menstrual period.

Statistical analyses

We calculated descriptive statistics for all sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristic considered. We examined the distribution of maternal EPDS scores using histograms and boxplots and calculated Spearman correlations between prenatal and postnatal scores. We created a four-level categorical variable that indicated the presence of depressive symptoms (defined as an EPDS score > 10) during the prenatal and/or postnatal period as follows: 1) no depressive symptoms during either period, 2) depressive symptoms during the prenatal period only, 3) depressive symptoms during the postnatal period only, and 4) depressive symptoms during both periods. In each cohort considered separately, we used logistic regression to examine the odds of maternal depressive symptoms during the prenatal period only, postnatal period only, or both periods versus no depressive symptoms during either period among women carrying a male versus female fetus. We used the Firth penalized maximum likelihood estimation method to reduce potential bias introduced by sparse cases across the four levels of prenatal versus postnatal depressive symptoms (33). Given that there are few known

determinants of fetal sex, the relationship between fetal sex and maternal depressive symptoms is unlikely susceptible to confounding by shared antecedents of the exposure and outcome. Here, we examined both unadjusted models, as well as models adjusted for a core set of sociodemographic variables (maternal race/ethnicity [White, non-Hispanic/other vs. Black/Black-Hispanic vs. Hispanic, non-Black], age [< 35 vs. ≥ 35 years], education [$<$ high school vs. \geq high school]) and lifestyle or health-related precision variables that have previously been associated with depression, including: relationship status (married or living with partner vs. other), parity (nulliparous vs. multiparous), pre-pregnancy BMI (continuous in kg/m^2), cigarette smoking during pregnancy (any vs. none), and breast feeding duration (≤ 6 months vs. >6 months). For regression models, women who self-reported their race/ethnicity as “other” (approximately 5%) were collapsed with white women due to small numbers across levels of the depression variable. In adjusted models, we used multiple imputation with chained equations to impute values for missing covariates (ACCESS: n = 47, 14%; PRISM: n = 26, 5%).

To understand potential misclassification related to our use of the EPDS, we used separate multinomial logistic regression models to examine maternal self-reported feelings of depression before pregnancy, diagnosis with depression (ever) before pregnancy, or use of depression medication during pregnancy (PRISM only) in relation to this 4-level EPDS variable.

Evidence suggests that boys may be more susceptible to neonatal complications, which in turn may lead to increased risk for maternal depression. To evaluate this possibility, we examined bivariate associations between neonatal complications, defined as premature birth (< 37 weeks gestation) or admittance to the NICU (yes/no) and maternal EPDS scores in the PRISM cohort. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS v9.4.

Results

Across cohorts, the majority of women were minorities (ACCESS = 91%, PRISM = 80%) and approximately one-quarter to one-third had less than a high school education (ACCESS = 35%, PRISM = 23%). Table 1 provides additional sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics of each cohort stratified by fetal sex. Prenatal and postnatal EPDS scores were log-normally distributed and moderately correlated (ACCESS: $p = 0.30$, $p < 0.0001$; PRISM: $p = 0.39$, $p < 0.0001$). More women presented with elevated depressive symptoms during the prenatal (ACCESS: 26%, PRISM: 22%) versus the postnatal (ACCESS: 17%, PRISM: 13%) period. Additionally, the prevalence of depressive symptoms varied by maternal race/ethnicity for both the ACCESS and PRISM cohorts, with Black and Hispanic mothers typically having higher scores (Supplemental Table 3). In both cohorts, women with a high school or more education were more likely to have a boy and in the PRISM cohort, older women at the time of delivery were less likely to have a boy (Table 1). No other sociodemographic or lifestyle characteristics considered significantly varied by fetal sex. In both cohorts, women who developed postnatal depressive symptoms, but who did not exhibit symptoms during pregnancy, were significantly more likely to carry a male fetus (Table 1). In adjusted logistic regression models, the odds of postnatal depressive symptoms increased after the birth of male infants by 105% in ACCESS and 424% in PRISM, however, the association was not statistically significant in ACCESS (Table 2). Results from unadjusted models were similar in direction and magnitude.

Table 1

Characteristics (N [%]) of ACCESS (n = 346) and PRISM (n = 528) mother-child pairs included in analyses stratified by fetal sex.

	ACCESS ^a		PRISM ^b			
	Male (N = 188)	Female (N = 158)	P-value ^c	Male (N = 273)	Female (N = 255)	P-value ^c
Maternal race/ethnicity				0.297		
White, non-Hispanic	14 (7.8)	16 (10.3)		56 (20.6)	46 (18.3)	0.473
Black/Hispanic-Black	59 (31.9)	36 (23.1)		102 (37.5)	98 (39.0)	
Hispanic, non-Black	103 (55.7)	97 (62.2)		96 (35.3)	97 (38.7)	
Other	9 (3.9)	7 (4.5)		18 (6.6)	10 (4.0)	
High school or more	116 (62.4)	90 (59.6)	0.057	217 (81.0)	183 (73.2)	0.035
Advanced maternal age (> 35 years)	23 (12.3)	17 (10.8)	0.672	44 (16.1)	59 (23.1)	0.042
Pre-pregnancy BMI > 24 kg/m ²	116 (69.1)	101 (70.6)	0.762	142 (52.6)	136 (53.8)	0.790
Smoking during pregnancy	22 (11.7)	19 (12.1)	0.909	34 (12.5)	30 (11.8)	0.808
Married/living with partner	116 (62.3)		0.802	186 (69.1)	176 (69.6)	0.917
Nulliparous	80 (43.7)	66 (42.9)	0.874	90 (33.1)	70 (27.7)	0.178
Preterm	22 (11.8)	15 (9.5)	0.486	27 (9.9)	19 (7.5)	0.321
Breastfeed > 6 months	83 (63.9)	71 (63.4)	0.942	151 (56.8)	142 (56.6)	0.965
Perinatal depression ^c				0.029		
No symptoms	122 (64.9)	106 (67.1)		194 (71.0)	196 (76.9)	
Prenatal only	34 (18.1)	24 (15.2)		38 (13.9)	33 (12.9)	
Postnatal only	20 (10.6)	7 (4.4)		20 (7.3)	4 (1.6)	
Prenatal and postnatal	12 (6.4)	21 (13.3)		21 (7.7)	22 (8.6)	

Abbreviations: ACCESS, Asthma Coalition on Community Environment and Social Stress; BMI, body mass index; EPDS, Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale; PRISM, PRogramming of Intergenerational Stress Mechanisms.

^aACCESS (n missing): race/ethnicity (5), age (2), education (11), BMI (35), smoking (1), relationship status (6), parity (9), breastfeeding (104).

^bPRISM (n missing): race/ethnicity (5), education (10), BMI (5), relationship status (6), parity (3), breastfeeding (11).

^cP-values are from Chi-square tests of independence

^dDefined as an EPDS score > 10

Table 2
Odds of perinatal depressive symptoms^a among women pregnant with a male versus female.

	Unadjusted	Adjusted ^b
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
ACCESS		
No symptoms (n = 228, 66%)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Prenatal only (n = 58, 17%)	1.22 (0.69, 2.19)	1.19 (0.65, 2.16)
Postnatal only (n = 27, 8%)	2.38 (0.99, 5.73)	2.05 (0.86, 4.93)
Prenatal and postnatal (n = 33, 9%)	0.51 (0.24, 1.07)	0.52 (0.24, 1.10)
PRISM		
No symptoms (n = 390, 74%)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Prenatal only (n = 71, 13%)	1.16 (0.70, 1.92)	1.13 (0.67, 1.90)
Postnatal only (n = 24, 5%)	4.60 (1.62, 13.05)	5.24 (1.93, 14.21)
Prenatal and postnatal (n = 43, 8%)	0.97 (0.52, 1.80)	0.91 (0.48, 1.74)

^aDefined as an Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale score > 10.

^bAdjusted for: race/ethnicity, age, education, parity, relationship status, smoking during pregnancy, body mass index, and breastfeeding duration.

As expected, women who reported feeling depressed before pregnancy (ACCESS: n = 132, 38%; PRISM: n = 215, 41%) or who had ever been diagnosed with depression by a clinician (ACCESS: n = 77, 22%; PRISM: n = 128, 24%) were significantly more likely to exhibit depressive symptoms during the prenatal and/or postnatal periods, as determined by the EPDS, with the highest odds among women exhibiting symptoms during both periods (Supplemental Table 4). In PRISM, women who took prescription medication for depression during pregnancy (n = 35, 7%) were also more likely to exhibit prenatal and postnatal symptoms or postnatal symptoms only (Supplemental Table 4). These findings support our use of the EPDS as a tool for assessing maternal depression. In the PRISM cohort, n = 104 (20%) babies were born premature and/or admitted to the NICU; we did not detect an association between fetal sex and these outcomes (odds ratio (OR) = 1.11, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.72, 1.71).

Discussion

In this prospective study, we found that women giving birth to a boy versus a girl were more likely to develop depressive symptoms during the postnatal period, suggesting that fetal-sex linked events during late pregnancy or parturition may be central to postpartum depression (PPD) susceptibility.

Our results are consistent with a recent, retrospective study (n = 296 women, 651 births) conducted in the United Kingdom that found the odds of PPD were 71–79% higher among women carrying a male versus female fetus, after controlling for other risk factors and individual-level characteristics (28). Similarly, a cross-sectional study of 181 women based in France found postnatal depression was significantly associated with having a son (34). Interestingly, other research conducted in Asia (China, India), Africa (Nigeria) and Turkey has reported female infant sex is positively related to PPD (35–37), with qualitative research supporting a role for male gender preference (38). For example, a prospective cohort study based in China found an increased odds of PPD among women who gave birth to a female compared to a male infant; however, the association did not hold following adjustment for social support after childbirth (39). In the United States (U.S.), there is limited research to tie PPD with gender preference; however, we cannot definitively rule out the potential contribution of

cultural factors to our findings. For example, econometrics research has identified several differences between U.S. parents of girls versus boys, including a higher divorce rate and differential monetary investment in families (40). Other research suggests subtle gender preference may vary by nativity, with first- and second-generation American immigrants showing greater preference for boys (41). It is thus plausible that cultural factors that track with race/ethnicity or nativity could have contributed to the difference in the magnitude of association that we observed between our diverse cohorts. Moreover, it is well established that in Black and Hispanic communities, boys are often subjected to a range of negative stereotypes and biases related to aggression and violence that place them at greater risk for systemic disadvantages (e.g., school suspensions, incarceration) (42). It is thus plausible that among minority communities, the increased pressure and parenting stress associated with raising boys may lead to greater psychological stress and precipitate the onset of depressive symptoms. Unfortunately, here we were unable to explore interactions with race/ethnicity or nativity owing to our limited sample size across these categorical variables in combination with sex and levels of prenatal and postnatal depressive symptoms.

There could also be a biological basis underlying sex-differential maternal susceptibility to PPD. One putative mechanism could relate to fetal sex influences on maternal endocrine system changes during pregnancy. Reproductive depressions are thought to be triggered by hormonal fluctuations in the brain that occur during the premenstrual, postpartum, and perimenopausal phases (26, 27). For example, following parturition, estradiol, which modulates the serotonergic system, and progesterone levels rapidly decrease (43). Serotonin is a neurotransmitter implicated in the pathophysiology of depression and a target of many anti-depressant therapies (44). Recent research has demonstrated that fetal sex modifies the association between reproductive hormone profiles across pregnancy and maternal behavior during the postpartum period (45), providing evidence that the fetus may influence maternal neurobiology with lasting effects. Other research has reported sex-differential levels of reproductive hormones during pregnancy in maternal serum (22) and amniotic fluid (46); however, these findings are not consistent across studies, with some groups detecting no sex-related differences (46). Importantly, we are not aware of research that has examined fetal-sex differences in maternal hormone levels during parturition or the post-partum period, which may be windows of heightened maternal vulnerability based on the findings reported here.

Hormone surges during pregnancy also play a role in maternal immune system shifts that promote tolerance of the fetus (13, 47). These shifts include an upregulation of generalized inflammatory responses (i.e. release of pro-inflammatory cytokines) towards later pregnancy and into the postpartum period (48). Depressive disorders are increasingly associated with inflammation (24, 25), providing a putative link between unusually prolonged or excessive immune activation during pregnancy and perinatal depression (15). Recent research suggests that maternal immune profiles may vary by fetal sex, with women carrying a male having increased levels of pro-inflammatory markers (19, 49). Sex differences are also evident in studies of the placenta, with male placentas associated with a stronger inflammatory response (18). Notably, pro-inflammatory cytokines have been shown to increase activity of serotonin precursors (50), suggesting a putative role for overlapping biological pathways between the immune and other biological systems.

Across models, we found that women pregnant with a male had lower, albeit not statistically significant, odds of having depressive symptoms across the prenatal and postnatal periods, which may indicate a more chronic, severe phenotype of depression. Male fetuses have been shown to be more vulnerable to a host of prenatal challenges and adverse intrauterine conditions, including maternal stress (51), nutrient deprivation (52), exposure to environmental toxicants (53), and maternal chronic health conditions (54). While speculative, it is plausible that this finding reflects a higher incidence of miscarriage among males conceived by women with more severe depression.

Strengths and limitations

A primary strength of this study relates to the prospective nature and general consistency of results across two distinct pregnancy cohorts, although findings were not significant in ACCESS. We also administered the EPDS at two time points,

allowing us to examine the importance of timing and understand differential susceptibility across the prenatal and postnatal periods. Additionally, both cohorts enrolled a high proportion of lower-income, minority women, a demographic with elevated risk for experiencing depression and other mental health disorders (55). While the diversity of our cohorts is a strength that contributes key research spanning sociodemographic strata, our findings may not generalize to all populations. We also note that Black women enrolled in ACCESS and PRISM were more likely to be excluded from the present analyses due to missing EPDS assessments; this is consistent with other epidemiologic studies that have long cited difficulty retaining African American participants in observational and clinical research (56). While this selection could plausibly be a threat to the generalizability of our results, we do not expect sex to vary by race/ethnicity, and thus the internal validity of our findings is unlikely to be compromised. Other limitations of this study include the use of the EPDS, which is a screening instrument, rather than a diagnostic tool, to identify women with depressive symptoms. However, we do show strong associations between scores on the EPDS and maternal self-reported history of depressive feelings, prior depression diagnosis and medication use. Given stigma associated with perinatal depressions, it is also possible that our use of in-person and telephone interviews to administer the EPDS resulted in underreporting of symptom severity. Additionally, among women who exhibited depressive symptoms during the prenatal period, we do not know whether the onset of symptoms occurred prior to or during pregnancy, which may be an important factor relating to the underlying biological mechanism at play. It is thus possible that misclassification of symptom onset could have biased associations between sex and prenatal depressive symptoms towards the null.

Conclusion

Current guidelines support tailoring obstetrical care to at-risk groups, such as women of advanced reproductive age (\geq 35 years) (57). However, whether knowledge of fetal sex should inform follow-up and management practices remains debatable. Current guidelines encourage primary care clinicians to screen all postpartum women for depression, although recommendations about the timing and frequency of screening are unspecific. Our findings support screening women for perinatal depression and suggest that the postnatal period may be a window of heightened vulnerability for women pregnant with a male. Future research is needed to more precisely understand the biological mechanisms underlying fetal sex influences on maternal susceptibility to perinatal depression, including how sex relates to endocrine and immune system changes during the immediate and longer-term postnatal periods.

Perspectives and significance

This prospective cohort study contributes to an improved understanding of fetal sex as a risk factor for perinatal depression. Specifically, we found that women pregnant with a male had higher odds of developing depression during the postnatal, but not prenatal period. This finding suggests that fetal sex-differential hormonal, immune, or other physiological shifts that occur during late pregnancy, parturition or the postpartum period may contribute to the onset of postpartum depression and supports the need for future research considering underlying biological mechanisms.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: For the ACCESS cohort, all procedures were approved by the human studies committees at the Brigham and Women's Hospital and Boston Medical Center in Boston, MA; written informed consent was obtained in the mother's preferred language. For the PRISM cohort, all procedures were approved by the institutional review boards at the Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston, MA and the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai in New York City. Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center relied on the Brigham and Women's Hospital for review and oversight of the protocol. Written informed consent was obtained in the mother's preferred language.

Consent for publication: N/A

Availability of data and materials: The datasets analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due confidentiality, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests: The authors have no competing conflicts of interest.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers: R01 HL095606, U01 HL072494, P30 ES023515, and UG3 OD023337]. During the preparation of this manuscript, WJC was supported by T32 HD049311.

Authors' contributions: WC conducted statistical analyses and drafted the manuscript. EC consulted on and reviewed all statistical analyses. TA contributed to review of the literature and drafting the manuscript. FN provided expert guidance on maternal reproductive depression. RJW oversaw cohort recruitment and data collection. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: N/A

References

1. Gavin NI, Gaynes BN, Lohr KN, Meltzer-Brody S, Gartlehner G, Swinson T. Perinatal depression: a systematic review of prevalence and incidence. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2005;106(5 Pt 1):1071-83.
2. Hutchens BF, Kearney J. Risk Factors for Postpartum Depression: An Umbrella Review. *J Midwifery Womens Health.* 2020;65(1):96-108.
3. Stein A, Pearson RM, Goodman SH, Rapa E, Rahman A, McCallum M, et al. Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *Lancet.* 2014;384(9956):1800-19.
4. Field T, Diego M, Hernandez-Reif M. Prenatal depression effects on the fetus and newborn: a review. *Infant Behav Dev.* 2006;29(3):445-55.
5. Diego MA, Field T, Hernandez-Reif M, Schanberg S, Kuhn C, Gonzalez-Quintero VH. Prenatal depression restricts fetal growth. *Early Hum Dev.* 2009;85(1):65-70.
6. Stroud LR, Papandonatos GD, Parade SH, Salisbury AL, Phipps MG, Lester BM, et al. Prenatal Major Depressive Disorder, Placenta Glucocorticoid and Serotonergic Signaling, and Infant Cortisol Response. *Psychosom Med.* 2016;78(9):979-90.
7. DiPietro JA, Voegtline KM. The gestational foundation of sex differences in development and vulnerability. *Neuroscience.* 2017;342:4-20.
8. Petroff MG. Review: Fetal antigens–identity, origins, and influences on the maternal immune system. *Placenta.* 2011;32 Suppl 2:S176-81.
9. Tong S, Kaitu'u-Lino TJ, Onda K, Beard S, Hastie R, Binder NK, et al. Heme Oxygenase-1 Is Not Decreased in Preeclamptic Placenta and Does Not Negatively Regulate Placental Soluble fms-Like Tyrosine Kinase-1 or Soluble Endoglin Secretion. *Hypertension.* 2015;66(5):1073-81.
10. Simon C, Greening DW, Bolumar D, Balaguer N, Salamonsen LA, Vilella F. Extracellular Vesicles in Human Reproduction in Health and Disease. *Endocr Rev.* 2018;39(3):292-332.
11. Weissmann-Brenner A, Simchen MJ, Zilberman E, Kalter A, Dulitzky M. Combined effect of fetal sex and advanced maternal age on pregnancy outcomes. *Med Sci Monit.* 2015;21:1124-30.
12. Boufidou F, Lambrinoudaki I, Argeitis J, Zervas IM, Pliatsika P, Leonardou AA, et al. CSF and plasma cytokines at delivery and postpartum mood disturbances. *J Affect Disord.* 2009;115(1-2):287-92.
13. Corwin EJ, Pajer K. The psychoneuroimmunology of postpartum depression. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2008;17(9):1529-34.

14. Maes M, Lin AH, Ombelet W, Stevens K, Kenis G, De Jongh R, et al. Immune activation in the early puerperium is related to postpartum anxiety and depressive symptoms. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2000;25(2):121-37.
15. Yim IS, Tanner Stapleton LR, Guardino CM, Hahn-Holbrook J, Dunkel Schetter C. Biological and psychosocial predictors of postpartum depression: systematic review and call for integration. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2015;11:99-137.
16. Bloch M, Schmidt PJ, Danaceau M, Murphy J, Nieman L, Rubinow DR. Effects of gonadal steroids in women with a history of postpartum depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000;157(6):924-30.
17. Al-Qaraghouli M, Fang YM. Effect of Fetal Sex on Maternal and Obstetric Outcomes. *Front Pediatr*. 2017;5:144.
18. Ghidini A, Salafia CM. Gender differences of placental dysfunction in severe prematurity. *BJOG*. 2005;112(2):140-4.
19. Enninga EA, Nevala WK, Creedon DJ, Markovic SN, Holtan SG. Fetal sex-based differences in maternal hormones, angiogenic factors, and immune mediators during pregnancy and the postpartum period. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2015;73(3):251-62.
20. Steier JA, Ulstein M, Myking OL. Human chorionic gonadotropin and testosterone in normal and preeclamptic pregnancies in relation to fetal sex. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;100(3):552-6.
21. Stojsin-Carter A, Costa NN, De Morais R, De Bem TH, Costa MP, Carter TF, et al. Fetal sex alters maternal anti-Mullerian hormone during pregnancy in cattle. *Anim Reprod Sci*. 2017;186:85-92.
22. Toriola AT, Vaarasmaki M, Lehtinen M, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Lundin E, Rodgers KG, et al. Determinants of maternal sex steroids during the first half of pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;118(5):1029-36.
23. Yaron Y, Lehavi O, Orr-Utreger A, Gull I, Lessing JB, Amit A, et al. Maternal serum HCG is higher in the presence of a female fetus as early as week 3 post-fertilization. *Hum Reprod*. 2002;17(2):485-9.
24. Berk M, Williams LJ, Jacka FN, O'Neil A, Pasco JA, Moylan S, et al. So depression is an inflammatory disease, but where does the inflammation come from? *BMC Med*. 2013;11:200.
25. Brann E, Fransson E, White RA, Papadopoulos FC, Edvinsson A, Kamali-Moghaddam M, et al. Inflammatory markers in women with postpartum depressive symptoms. *J Neurosci Res*. 2018.
26. Schiller CE, Meltzer-Brody S, Rubinow DR. The role of reproductive hormones in postpartum depression. *CNS Spectr*. 2015;20(1):48-59.
27. Yonkers KA, Vigod S, Ross LE. Diagnosis, pathophysiology, and management of mood disorders in pregnant and postpartum women. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;117(4):961-77.
28. Myers S, Johns SE. Male infants and birth complications are associated with increased incidence of postnatal depression. *Soc Sci Med*. 2019;220:56-64.
29. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1987;150:782-6.
30. Garcia-Esteve L, Ascaso C, Ojuel J, Navarro P. Validation of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) in Spanish mothers. *J Affect Disord*. 2003;75(1):71-6.
31. Harris B, Huckle P, Thomas R, Johns S, Fung H. The use of rating scales to identify post-natal depression. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1989;154:813-7.
32. Wisner KL, Sit DK, McShea MC, Rizzo DM, Zoretich RA, Hughes CL, et al. Onset timing, thoughts of self-harm, and diagnoses in postpartum women with screen-positive depression findings. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2013;70(5):490-8.
33. Firth D. Biad reduction of maximum likelihood estimates. *Biometrika*. 1993;80:27-38.
34. de Tychey C, Briancon S, Lighezzolo J, Spitz E, Kabuth B, de Luigi V, et al. Quality of life, postnatal depression and baby gender. *J Clin Nurs*. 2008;17(3):312-22.
35. Adewuya AO, Fatoye FO, Ola BA, Ijaodola OR, Ibigbami SM. Sociodemographic and obstetric risk factors for postpartum depressive symptoms in Nigerian women. *J Psychiatr Pract*. 2005;11(5):353-8.

36. Ekuklu G, Tokuc B, Eskiocak M, Berberoglu U, Saltik A. Prevalence of postpartum depression in Edirne, Turkey, and related factors. *J Reprod Med.* 2004;49(11):908-14.
37. Patel V, Rodrigues M, DeSouza N. Gender, poverty, and postnatal depression: a study of mothers in Goa, India. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2002;159(1):43-7.
38. Rodrigues M, Patel V, Jaswal S, de Souza N. Listening to mothers: qualitative studies on motherhood and depression from Goa, India. *Soc Sci Med.* 2003;57(10):1797-806.
39. Xie RH, He G, Koszycki D, Walker M, Wen SW. Fetal sex, social support, and postpartum depression. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2009;54(11):750-6.
40. Dahl GB, Moretti E. The Demand for Sons. *The Review of Economic Studies.* 2008;75(4):1085-120.
41. Blau F, Kahn L, Brummund P, Cook J, Larson-Koester M. Is there still son preference in the United States? 2017.
42. Dow D. The Deadly Challenges of Raising African American Boys: Navigating the Controlling Image of the "Thug". *Gender & Society.* 2016;30(2):161-88.
43. Payne JL, Palmer JT, Joffe H. A reproductive subtype of depression: conceptualizing models and moving toward etiology. *Harv Rev Psychiatry.* 2009;17(2):72-86.
44. Delgado PL, Miller HL, Salomon RM, Licinio J, Krystal JH, Moreno FA, et al. Tryptophan-depletion challenge in depressed patients treated with desipramine or fluoxetine: implications for the role of serotonin in the mechanism of antidepressant action. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1999;46(2):212-20.
45. Glynn LM, Davis EP, Sandman CA, Goldberg WA. Gestational hormone profiles predict human maternal behavior at 1-year postpartum. *Horm Behav.* 2016;85:19-25.
46. Kuijper EA, Ket JC, Caanen MR, Lambalk CB. Reproductive hormone concentrations in pregnancy and neonates: a systematic review. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2013;27(1):33-63.
47. Chen SJ, Liu YL, Sytwu HK. Immunologic regulation in pregnancy: from mechanism to therapeutic strategy for immunomodulation. *Clin Dev Immunol.* 2012;2012:258391.
48. Robinson DP, Klein SL. Pregnancy and pregnancy-associated hormones alter immune responses and disease pathogenesis. *Horm Behav.* 2012;62(3):263-71.
49. Mitchell AM, Palettas M, Christian LM. Fetal sex is associated with maternal stimulated cytokine production, but not serum cytokine levels, in human pregnancy. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2017;60:32-7.
50. Jeon SW, Kim YK. Inflammation-induced depression: Its pathophysiology and therapeutic implications. *J Neuroimmunol.* 2017;313:92-8.
51. Walsh K, McCormack CA, Webster R, Pinto A, Lee S, Feng T, et al. Maternal prenatal stress phenotypes associate with fetal neurodevelopment and birth outcomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2019;116(48):23996-4005.
52. Eriksson JG, Kajantie E, Osmond C, Thornburg K, Barker DJ. Boys live dangerously in the womb. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2010;22(3):330-5.
53. Cowell WJ, Sjodin A, Jones R, Wang Y, Wang S, Herbstman JB. Determinants of prenatal exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) among urban, minority infants born between 1998 and 2006. *Environ Pollut.* 2018;233:774-81.
54. Rjasanowski I, Kloting I, Kovacs P. Altered sex ratio in offspring of mothers with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet.* 1998;351(9101):497-8.
55. Liu CH, Tronick E. Rates and predictors of postpartum depression by race and ethnicity: results from the 2004 to 2007 New York City PRAMS survey (Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System). *Matern Child Health J.* 2013;17(9):1599-610.
56. Yancey AK, Ortega AN, Kumanyika SK. Effective recruitment and retention of minority research participants. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 2006;27:1-28.

57. Viguera A. Postpartum bipolar major depression: Epidemiology, clinical features, assessment, and diagnosis. 2020 March 30, 2020. In: Up-to-Date [Internet]. Available from: https://www-upToDate-com.eresources.mssm.edu/contents/postpartum-unipolar-major-depression-epidemiology-clinical-features-assessment-and-diagnosis?search=postpartum%20depression&source=search_result&selectedTitle=1~119&usage_type=default&display_rank=1.

Figures




Figure 1

Diagram of analytic sample selection from the PRISM and ACCESS pregnancy cohorts. Abbreviations: ACCESS, Asthma Coalition on Community Environment and Social Stress; EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; PRISM, PRogramming of Intergenerational Stress Mechanisms.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementBSD20200716.docx](#)