Integrative Analysis of lncRNA-mRNA Signature Reveals a Functional lincRNA in Triple-negative Breast Cancer

Yan Jia

Department of Breast Oncology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer

Yongsheng Jia

Department of Breast Oncology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer

Yehui Shi

Department of Breast Oncology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer

Weipeng Zhao

Department of Breast Oncology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer

Xiaorui Wang

Department of Breast Oncology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer

Zhongsheng Tong (✉ tongzhongsheng2021@163.com)

Department of Breast Oncology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer

Yueshuai Song

Department of Otolaryngology Head-Neck Surgery, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University

Research Article

Keywords: LINC01351, triple-negative breast cancer, ELK4, prognostic marker, oncogenic indicator

Posted Date: May 4th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-487440/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Purpose: Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is an aggressive subtype of breast cancer. It is still unclear that the mechanisms by which long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) regulates tumorigenesis of TNBC. In this study, we explored the function and regulation of lncRNA in TNBC.

Methods: The differentially expressed and overlapped lncRNAs were obtained from two microarray datasets of breast cancer. The Cancer Genome Atlas data was applied to validate the roles of top differentially expressed lncRNAs. The potential relationship among lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs and the effects of them on the TNBC tumorigenesis were further explored through multiple bioinformatic methods.

Results: Long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 1351 (LINC01351) was first discovered to play an oncogenic role in TNBC. The highly expressed LINC01351 was significantly related to poor prognosis, aggressive subtypes, advanced stages and metastasis of breast cancer. LINC01351 was found to negatively regulate ELK4 which was involved in the transcriptional regulation in cancer. The high expression of ELK4 showed favorable prognosis of patients with basal-like 1 subtype and luminal androgen receptor subtype of TNBC.

Conclusion: The dysregulation of LINC01351 played an important role in triple-negative breast cancer. LINC01351 could be a poor prognostic marker and a potential target for patients with TNBC.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor and the second cause of cancer-related mortality in women [1]. Since 2015, breast cancer has become the top cancer of new cancer cases and deaths in China [2]. Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), which accounts for 15%-20% of breast cancer, is an aggressive subtype characterized by negative expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PgR) and ErbB-2/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (Her2) [3]. It has been well known that TNBC is associated with earlier metastasis, faster tumor proliferation, more drug resistance and poorer prognosis [4]. Except for PARP inhibitors that have been used to treat patients with genomic instability and/or BRCA mutations, the therapies of TNBC are restricted to conventionally available chemotherapies [5]. It is urgently needed to uncover the mechanism of TNBC and to identify the novel prognostic target and therapeutic strategy for patients with TNBC.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNA) are a class of RNA transcripts with a length of > 200 nucleotides that do not encode proteins [6]. The function of lncRNA is involving in the flux of genetic information and diverse biological processes, such as splicing, stability of messenger RNA, epigenetic modulation, transcriptional, and post-translational modifications and cell proliferation and differentiation [6, 7]. Moreover, lncRNA is also reported to regulate tumorigenesis of multiple human cancers including breast cancer [8, 9]. However, the mechanism of lncRNA in TNBC has not been well investigated. In this study, we explored the

function and regulation of lncRNA in TNBC to obtain the candidate prognostic marker and molecular target of therapy.

Materials And Methods

Microarray data

Two lncRNA microarray datasets (GSE60689, TNBC vs. normal breast and GSE112848, breast cancer vs. normal breast) were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) [10, 11]. From GSE60689 dataset, two TNBC tissues and their paired adjacent noncancerous tissues was analyzed. From GSE112848 dataset, three BC tissues and their paired adjacent noncancerous tissues was tested. Raw data was processed by SangerBox package (<http://sangerbox.com/>), GEO2R online software (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/>) and MultiExperiment Viewer (<http://mev.tm4.org>). The differentially expressed and overlapped lncRNAs with the criteria of $|\log \text{ fold change (FC)}| > 3.0$ and $p \text{ value} < 0.05$ was screened out for further analysis.

Validation and target prediction of lncRNA

The top differentially expressed and overlapped lncRNAs were annotated and validated via UCSC (<http://genome.ucsc.edu/>), BLAST (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>), and NONCODE (<http://www.noncode.org/>) online databases. Unannotated lncRNAs were removed from our data. Then the candidate lncRNAs were further detected through the Atlas of ncRNA in cancer (TANRIC) database (https://ibl.mdanderson.org/tanric/_design/basic/index.html). The target microRNAs (miRNAs) or mRNAs of candidate lncRNAs were predicted and analyzed by the TANRIC database. The potential interaction between miRNAs and their target mRNAs were explored by both miRTarBase (<http://mirtarbase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw>) and TargetScan (<http://www.targetscan.org>) online databases. Cytoscape software was used to visualize the regulatory network among lncRNAs, mRNAs and miRNAs. The functional enrichment of target mRNAs was analyzed by Metascape database (<http://metascape.org>).

Functional analysis of lncRNA

The correlation between the expression of lncRNA and the clinical characteristics including TNM stages, molecular subtypes, overall survival (OS) and relapse-free survival (RFS) were investigated with the data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Breast Cancer (BRCA) RNAseq, which was obtained from TANRIC database and UCSC Xena database (<https://xenabrowser.net/>) online databases. The survival analysis was performed by Kaplan–Meier Plotter (<http://kmplot.com/analysis/>) software. All the above databases were applied for in-depth analysis on the function and regulation of lncRNA.

Statistical analysis

The results were shown as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. The statistical significance of the microarray result was analyzed by fold change and the Student's t-test. The threshold value we used to screen

differentially expressed lncRNA is a fold change >3.0 or <-3.0 ($p < 0.05$). The t-test was performed to analyze the difference between groups. Pearson correlation was applied to calculate different parameters in terms of correlation. The p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. All analyses were conducted in SPSS Statistics 22.

Results

Dysregulated lncRNAs were found in triple-negative breast cancer

Two microarray datasets (GSE60689 and GSE112848) were analyzed according to the flow chart as shown in Figure 1. 3496 upregulated and 2677 downregulated lncRNAs were detected in breast cancer tissues comparing with normal breast tissues (BC groups). 723 upregulated and 753 downregulated lncRNAs were found in triple-negative breast cancer tissues comparing with normal breast tissues (TNBC groups). The results were shown as volcano plots according to the criteria of $|\log \text{fold change (FC)}| \geq 1.0$ and $p \text{ value} \leq 0.05$ (Figure 2A). In addition, 162 downregulated lncRNAs and 170 upregulated lncRNAs were investigated to be overlapped lncRNAs in both BC groups and TNBC groups. The overlapped lncRNAs were shown as the Venn diagram (Figure 1 and 2B). Among them, the top differentially expressed lncRNAs including 4 downregulated lncRNAs and 17 upregulated lncRNAs were screened out and demonstrated as heatmaps with the criteria of $|\log \text{FC}| \geq 3.0$ and $p \text{ value} \leq 0.05$ (Figure 1 and 2C). The 21 lncRNAs were applied for further analysis. As shown in Figure 1, after the annotation and verification of candidate 21 lncRNAs, three upregulated lncRNAs (LINC02303, LINC01351 and LINC01511) and two downregulated lncRNAs (CHL1-AS2 and LINC01612) were obtained from the strict verification via NONCODE and BLAST online software. The preliminary data of survival analysis by TANRIC database showed that only the long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 1351 (LINC01351) was related with the clinical feature of patients with breast cancer. Consequently, LINC01351 was further detected in the following analysis about the potential roles on breast carcinogenesis.

LINC01351 was an oncogenic indicator in breast cancer

To date, a large number of lncRNAs have been discovered through functional genomic studies, however, the function and mechanism of LINC01351 has not been well investigated yet. So, the function and regulation of LINC01351 were explored in the study. The expression of LINC01351 was tested by using the data from GEO datasets (GSE60689 and GSE112848). All the data was processed to be two groups including BC groups (BC vs. normal breast) and TNBC groups (TNBC vs. normal breast). As shown in Figure 3A-a/b, LINC01351 was highly expressed in both BC tissues or TNBC tissues compared with normal breast tissues (BC vs. normal, $p = 0.007$; TNBC vs. normal, $p = 0.034$). To further test the regulation of expression, the data from GDC TCGA breast cancer (BRCA) database was induced to be analyzed. 49 cases of normal breast samples, 595 cases of breast cancer samples, and 8 cases of TNBC samples were included in the analysis. Similarly, LINC01351 was found to be overexpressed in TNBC and breast cancer tissues comparing to normal breast tissues (Figure 3A-c, $p < 0.001$).

It is well known that there are four main molecular subtypes of breast cancer that are based on the gene expression including estrogen-receptor (ER), progesterone-receptor (PR), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (Her2) and Ki-67. Then breast cancer is classified as luminal A, luminal B, triple-negative breast cancer and Her2-enriched breast cancer. Hormone-receptor negative (ER and PR negative) and Her2 positive are considered to be poor prognostic markers. So, the correlation between the expression of LINC01351 and molecular pathological features of breast cancer were further detected via TCGA and TANRIC database. As shown in Figure 3B, increased expression of LINC01351 was discovered in ER-negative, PR-negative and Her2-positive breast cancer tissues (ER-negative vs. ER-positive, $p=3.21e-4$; PR-negative vs. PR-positive, $p=9.30e-8$; Her2-positive vs. Her2-negative, $p=3.83e-8$), which suggested that LINC01351 contributed to the malignant phenotypes of breast cancer. In the GDC TCGA BRCA data, 18 cases of luminal A, 24 cases of luminal B, 10 cases of Her2-enriched and 15 cases of TNBC samples were induced into the analysis. Similarly, the expression of LINC01351 was significantly higher in Her2-enriched or triple-negative breast cancer tissues than in luminal subtypes of breast cancer tissues (TNBC vs. luminal A, $p=0.012$; TNBC vs. luminal B, $p=0.045$; Figure 3C). There was no significant difference in the expression of LINC01351 between Her2-enriched and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC vs. Her2-positive, $p=0.073$).

Moreover, the expression of LINC01351 was progressively increasing with the TNM stages of breast cancer ($p=0.007$, Figure 3D). Generally, TNBC is an aggressive subtype of breast cancer with early metastasis. Then the expression of LINC01351 was further detected in both metastatic tumor samples and primary tumor samples by exploiting the GDC TCGA BRCA data. The higher expressed LINC01351 was found in the metastatic breast cancer tissues than in the primary breast cancer tissues (Figure 3E, $p=0.003$).

All these results indicated that highly expressed LINC01351 contributed to the aggressive subtypes, advanced TNM stages, and metastasis of breast cancer. It was the first time to report that LINC01351 played as an oncogenic and poor molecular pathological indicator of breast cancer.

LINC01351 was a poor prognostic marker in triple-negative breast cancer

To understand the potential role of LINC01351 on the survival of patients with breast cancer, the survival data was further analyzed via the TCGA and TANRIC database. The primary breast cancer tissues were divided equally into two groups based on the expression of LINC01351 (low-expression and high-expression, $n=372$, separately). In the study, all the duration of following-up was 10 years. As demonstrated in Figure 4A, the result of survival analysis showed that LINC01351 played no effect on the overall survival (OS) of breast cancer ($p=0.3265$). For the relapse-free survival (RFS), 588 cases of breast cancer with survival data were introduced into the analysis. Low-expressed group ($n=294$) and high-expressed group ($n=294$) were also divided by the expression of LINC01351. LINC01351 showed no effect on the RFS of breast cancer ($p=0.06$, Figure 4B).

Then for the analysis about TNBC, the higher expression of LINC01351 was found to be significantly related with poorer prognosis of patients. 83 cases of TNBC were divided into low-expressed group

(n=42) and high-expressed group (n=41). Higher expressed LINC01351 was associated with poor OS of patients with TNBC ($p=0.047$, Figure 4C). Similarly, LINC01351 was significantly related to poor RFS of patients with TNBC from the analysis about 30 cases of low-expressed TNBC and 29 cases of high-expressed TNBC ($p=0.029$, Figure 4D).

All the above data indicated that highly expressed LINC01351 was associated with poor prognosis of patients with TNBC. LINC01351 was an unfavorable prognostic marker in TNBC.

Integrative analysis of lncRNA, mRNA and microRNA signature

Long non-coding RNA presents interaction domains for DNA, mRNA, microRNA (miRNA, miR), and protein, depending on both sequence and secondary structure [7]. Emerging evidence indicates that lncRNA could be ceRNA for miRNAs in cancer [12]. Thus, we explored the potential regulation of LINC01351 to mRNAs and miRNAs. The network of regulation was inquired and further visualized by Cytoscape software. Among them, 6 miRNAs (miR-504, miR-548n, miR-567, miR-618, miR-718, and miR-1280) and 4 mRNAs (ELK4, ALPI, SCEMOL and RAD23B) were identified to be targets of LINC01351. Based on the analysis from TANRIC database, LINC01351 downregulated ELK4, SCEMOL and RAD23B and upregulated ALPI (all $p<0.001$). For the miRNAs, miR-504 was downregulated by LINC01351, while miR-548n, miR-567, miR-618, miR-718, and miR-1280 were upregulated by LINC01351 (all $p<0.01$). The positive and negative regulation between LINC01351 and target mRNAs or miRNAs were all described in Figure 5.

It is well known that miRNAs are small non-coding RNAs that usually inhibit gene expression through partially complementary elements in the 3' UTR of their target mRNAs [13]. Furthermore, the downstream target genes of six miRNAs (miR-504, miR-548n, miR-567, miR-618, miR-718, and miR-1280) were obtained from TargetScan and miRTarBase database. All the target mRNAs were predicted to be downregulated by their miRNAs. Then the overlapped target mRNAs of both TargetScan and miRTarBase databases were also shown by Cytoscape software in Figure 5. The above result demonstrated that LINC01351 was involved in the complex regulation network of mRNAs and miRNAs.

ELK4 was down-regulated by LINC01351 and associated with transcriptional regulation in cancer

To further understand the effect of LINC01351 during carcinogenesis, functional enrichment and GO annotations of both overlapped genes and target genes of LINC01351 were analyzed by Metascape database. It was found that gene signature was enriched in the transcriptional misregulation in cancer ($p<0.001$, Figure 6A). In the enriched functional group ETS transcription factor ELK4, also known as SAP1, was chosen for the following analysis, because it was identified as the direct target negatively regulated by LINC01351 ($p=0.00034$). ELK4 is a member of the ETS family of transcription factors and of the ternary complex factor (TCF) subfamily.

To clarify the effect of ELK4 and the inhibition role regulated by LINC01351, the expression of ELK4 was tested via immunohistochemistry (IHC) in breast cancer tissues compared with normal breast tissues. As shown in Figure 6B, the results of IHC showed that ELK4 was high-expressed in normal breast tissue and

weak-expressed in breast cancer tissue, which was exactly opposite to the expression of LINC01351 in breast cancer compared with normal breast tissue. Moreover, the correlation between the expression of ELK4 and LINC01351 was investigated by analyzing the TCGA and TANRIC database. As shown in Figure 6C, the expression of ELK4 showed negative correlation with the expression of LINC01351 ($p=0.019$). All the results demonstrated that LINC01351 down-regulated the expression of ELK4 in breast cancer.

LINC01351/ELK4 axis predicted prognosis and sensitivity of therapy in triple-negative breast cancer

In the study, to explore the regulation of LINC01351/ELK4 axis on TNBC, the survival analysis was performed by using Kaplan–Meier Plotter database. The high expression of ELK4 was associated with the favorable prognosis of patients with TNBC ($p=0.0035$, Figure 6D). TNBC is defined by lack of expression of ER, PR and Her2 and is still a big challenge for anticancer therapy. To identify the best treatment strategy, 6-subtype-classification of TNBC was reported by Lehmann in 2011 [14]. For each subtype, available therapy was predicted and recommended in the study. Based on the classification, TNBC was classified as basal-like 1 (BL1), basal-like 2 (BL2), immunomodulatory (IM), mesenchymal (M), mesenchymal stem-like (MSL), and luminal androgen receptor (LAR) subtype. Furthermore, the relationship between the expression of ELK4 and different subtypes of TNBC (BL1, BL2, IM, M, MSL and LAR) was detected in the following analysis. The survival results demonstrated that higher expression of ELK4 was significantly related to better overall survival in basal-like 1 and LAR subtypes of TNBC after 10-year-following up (all $p<0.05$, Figure 6D). The survival data was exactly opposite to the survival data of LINC01351 in TNBC, which suggested that LINC01351 negatively regulated the expression of ELK4 and affected its roles on the overall survival of patients with BL1 and LAR subtypes of TNBC. Besides, LINC01351/ELK4 axis could be a potential prognostic marker of TNBC.

Based on the subtypes of Lehmann classification, BL1 and BL2 subtypes preferentially respond to the therapy regulating the cell cycle and DNA damage. IM subtype is associated with immunotherapy. M and MSL subtypes are related to epithelial-mesenchymal transition, growth factor pathways and PI3K/mTOR pathway. The LAR subtype is characterized by androgen receptor (AR) signaling and sensitive to AR antagonist [14]. Then, the sensitivity to anticancer therapy could be easily predicted by analyzing the expression of LINC01351/ELK4 axis. The TNBC tumor with low-expressed LINC01351 and high-expressed ELK4 was more sensitive to the treatment of chemotherapy and AR antagonist. The patient could receive more benefits from chemotherapy (e.g., Cisplatin) and AR antagonist drugs (e.g., bicalutamide).

Discussion

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNA) are non-coding transcripts >200 nucleotides long that have been found to act as master regulators for gene expression and play a critical role in various biological functions and diseases including human cancers. Several studies have identified that lncRNA with predictive properties of cancer progression or diagnostic power in breast cancer [15]. In eukaryotic cells, long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs) are the largest class of lncRNAs. The transcripts of lincRNAs do not overlap

protein-coding sequences [16]. Increasing evidence suggests that lincRNAs play effects on the maintenance of cell integrity, regulation of gene function, and involvement in development and disease. Marked upregulation of lincRNAs such as Linc-ROR was observed in breast cancer [17, 18]. However, the function and regulation of long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 1351 (LINC01351) in breast cancer has not been reported yet.

Here, we found that LINC01351 was high-expressed in triple-negative breast cancer tissues compared with normal breast tissues or luminal-subtype breast cancer tissues. The overexpressed LINC01351 was positively correlated with poor prognosis, aggressive subtypes, advanced TNM stages, and metastasis of breast cancer. Additionally, the upregulated expression of LINC01351 predicted unfavorable overall survival and relapse-free survival of patients with TNBC. It was the first time that LINC01351 was discovered to be a poor prognostic indicator and an oncogenic marker in triple-negative breast cancer.

In our study, LINC01351 was found to down-regulate the expression of ELK4, which was involved in the transcriptional dysregulation of cancer. In colorectal cancer, ELK4 cooperated with BRD2 to regulate the transcription of LAMB [19]. The fusion RNA, SLC45A3-ELK4, played effects on cell proliferation in androgen-dependent prostate cancer cells [20]. From the survival analysis, ELK4 and LINC01351 showed the inverse impact on overall survival of patient with TNBC. High-expression ELK4 was associated with favorable prognosis of patients. Furthermore, higher expression of ELK4 was significantly related to better overall survival in basal-like 1 and LAR subtypes of TNBC after 10-year-following up. All the above finding showed that LINC01351 negatively modulated ELK4 in triple-negative breast cancer. The upregulation of LINC01351 was a poor prognostic marker for patient with triple-negative breast cancer. Besides, the patients with TNBC of low-expressed LINC01351 and high-expressed ELK4 may receive more benefit from the treatment with chemotherapy (e.g., Cisplatin) and AR antagonist (e.g., bicalutamide).

In summary, our finding revealed that LINC01351 was an oncogenic indicator and a poor prognostic marker in TNBC by regulating ELK4. A novel regulatory approach was revealed, which may offer new insight into the prognostic evaluation and treatment strategies for patients with triple-negative breast cancer.

Declarations

Author Contribution Statement

Y.J., Ys.S. and Z.T. conceived the research design. Y.J. and Ys.S. were the main contributors of manuscript text and figures. Y.J., Ys.J., Yh.S., W.Z. and X.W. performed all the analysis of data. All authors reviewed the manuscript, provided edits and suggestions and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment and Funding Statement

This work was supported by the following grants: Foundation of Young Innovative Talents (Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, 2018-1-31); National Science Foundation of China

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors report no conflict of interest in this work.

Ethical standards

All data in this manuscript was collected under the guidelines approved by the institutional review board of Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital and complying with the current laws in China. No sample from human or animal was directly used in this study.

References

1. Torre, L. A., *et al.* Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin.***65**(2), 87-108 (2015).
2. Chen, W., *et al.* Cancer statistics in China, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin.***66**(2), 115-132 (2016).
3. Perou, C. M., *et al.* Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. **406**(6797), 747-752 (2000).
4. Arnold, K. M., Pohlig, R. T. & Sims-Mourtada, J. Co-activation of Hedgehog and Wnt signaling pathways is associated with poor outcomes in triple negative breast cancer. *Oncol Lett.***14**(5), 5285-5292 (2017).
5. Papadimitriou, M., Mountzios, G. & Papadimitriou, C. A. The role of PARP inhibition in triple-negative breast cancer: Unraveling the wide spectrum of synthetic lethality. *Cancer Treat Rev.* **67**, 34-44 (2018).
6. Quinn, J. J., Chang, H. Y. Unique features of long non-coding RNA biogenesis and function. *Nat Rev Genet.* **17**(1), 47-62 (2016).
7. Fernandes, J. C. R., *et al.* Long Non-Coding RNAs in the Regulation of Gene Expression: Physiology and Disease. *Noncoding RNA.***5**(1), 17 (2019).
8. Zhang, R., Xia, L. Q., Lu, W. W., Zhang, J. & Zhu, J. S. LncRNAs and cancer. *Oncol Lett.* **12**(2), 1233-1239 (2016).
9. Lin, A., *et al.* The LINK-A lncRNA activates normoxic HIF1alpha signalling in triple-negative breast cancer. *Nat Cell Biol.***18**(2), 213-224 (2016).
10. Xing, Z., *et al.* lncRNA directs cooperative epigenetic regulation downstream of chemokine signals. *Cell.* **159**(5), 1110-1125 (2014).
11. Krishnan, P., *et al.* Next generation sequencing profiling identifies miR-574-3p and miR-660-5p as potential novel prognostic markers for breast cancer. *BMC Genomics.***16**, 735 (2015).
12. Dong, J., *et al.* lncRNA SNHG8 Promotes the Tumorigenesis and Metastasis by Sponging miR-149-5p and Predicts Tumor Recurrence in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cell Physiol Biochem.***51**(5), 2262-2274 (2018).

13. Sood, P., Krek, A., Zavolan, M., Macino, G. & Rajewsky, N. Cell-type-specific signatures of microRNAs on target mRNA expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **103**(8), 2746-2751 (2006).
14. Lehmann, B. D., *et al.* Identification of human triple-negative breast cancer subtypes and preclinical models for selection of targeted therapies. *J Clin Invest*. **121**(7), 2750-2767 (2011).
15. Su, X., *et al.* Comprehensive analysis of long non-coding RNAs in human breast cancer clinical subtypes. **5**(20), 9864-9876 (2014).
16. Engreitz, J.M., *et al.* Local regulation of gene expression by lncRNA promoters, transcription and splicing. **539**(7629), 452-455 (2016).
17. Loewen, G., Zhuo, Y., Zhuang, Y., Jayawickramarajah, J. & Shan, B. lincRNA HOTAIR as a novel promoter of cancer progression. *J Can Res Updates*. **3**(3), 134-140 (2014).
18. Eades, G., *et al.* lincRNA-RoR and miR-145 regulate invasion in triple-negative breast cancer via targeting ARF6. *Mol Cancer Res*. **13**(2), 330-338 (2015).
19. Zhu, Z., *et al.* LAMB3 promotes tumour progression through the AKT-FOXO3/4 axis and is transcriptionally regulated by the BRD2/acetylated ELK4 complex in colorectal cancer. **39**(24), 4666-4680 (2020).
20. Qin, F., Zhang, Y., Liu, J. & Li, H.. SLC45A3-ELK4 functions as a long non-coding chimeric RNA. *Cancer Lett*. **404**, 53-61 (2017).

Figures

Figure 1

The flow chart of GEO datasets analysis. The expression data of lncRNA from two Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets including GSE60689 and GSE112848 was processed and analyzed according to flow diagram. TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; BC, breast cancer; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; FC, fold change.

Figure 2

Dysregulated lncRNAs were found in triple-negative breast cancer. A. The volcano plots of two lncRNA microarray datasets showed the differential expression of lncRNAs in breast cancer (BC group, breast cancer vs. normal) and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC group, TNBC vs. normal). In BC group, 3496 lncRNAs were discovered to be upregulated, while 2677 lncRNAs were downregulated. In TNBC group, 723 lncRNAs were identified to be upregulated, while 753 lncRNAs were downregulated. The red points represented upregulated lncRNAs, while the green points represented downregulated lncRNAs. The black points represented lncRNAs with no significant difference. Both P -value < 0.05 and $|\log \text{fold change (FC)}| > 1$ was considered to be significantly different. BC, breast cancer; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; Down, downregulated lncRNAs; Up, upregulated lncRNAs. B. The Venn diagram showed the overlaps of differentially expressed lncRNAs from the BC group (BC vs. normal) and TNBC group (TNBC vs. normal). 162 lncRNAs were found to be overlapped and downregulated in both BC and TNBC groups, while 170 lncRNAs were detected to be upregulated. BC, breast cancer; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; Down, downregulated lncRNAs; Up, upregulated lncRNAs. C. The cluster heatmaps of two lncRNA microarray datasets showed the differentially expressed lncRNAs in both BC and TNBC groups. The red blocks represented upregulated lncRNAs, while the green blocks represented downregulated lncRNAs. 17 lncRNAs were found to be upregulated, while 4 lncRNAs were detected to be downregulated in both BC and TNBC groups with the criteria of both P -value < 0.05 and $|\log \text{fold change (FC)}| > 3$. BC, breast cancer; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer.

Figure 3

LINC01351 was an oncogenic marker in breast cancer A. High-expressed LINC01351 was found in BC tissues or TNBC tissues compared with normal breast tissues. a. LINC01351 was higher expressed in BC tissues than normal breast tissues by using data from GSE112848 datasets ($p=0.007$). b. LINC01351 was higher expressed in TNBC tissues than normal breast tissues by using data from GSE60689 datasets ($p=0.034$). c. LINC01351 was found to be higher expressed in BC tissues or TNBC tissues than normal breast tissues by using the GDC TCGA BRCA data ($p<0.001$). B. Increased expression of LINC01351 was associated with aggressive subtypes of breast cancer. Higher expression of LINC01351 was discovered in ER-negative, PR-negative or Her2-positive breast cancer tissues than ER-positive, PR-positive or Her2-negative breast cancer tissues ($p<0.001$). C. The expression of LINC01351 was higher in triple-negative breast cancer tissues than in luminal-subtype breast cancer tissues ($p<0.05$). D. For the TNM stages of breast cancer, the expression of LINC01351 was progressively increasing from stage I/II to stage III/IV

($p=0.007$). E. Higher expression of LINC01351 was detected in metastatic breast cancer tissues than in primary breast cancer tissues by using the GDC TCGA BRCA data ($p=0.003$).

Figure 4

LINC01351 was a poor prognostic indicator in triple-negative breast cancer A. LINC01351 played no effect on the overall survival of breast cancer after 10-year-following up ($p=0.3265$). B. LINC01351 showed no effect on the relapse-free survival of breast cancer after 10-year-following up ($p=0.06$). C. Higher expressed LINC01351 was associated with poor overall survival of patients with TNBC after 10-year-following up ($p=0.047$). D. LINC01351 was significantly related to unfavorable relapse-free survival of patients with TNBC after 10-year-following up ($p=0.029$).

Figure 5

The regulatory network of lncRNA, mRNAs and miRNAs For mRNAs, LINC01351 downregulated ELK4, SCENOL and RAD23B and upregulated ALPI (all $p < 0.001$). For miRNAs, LINC01351 downregulated miR-504 and upregulated miR-548n, miR-567, miR-618, miR-718, and miR-1280 were by LINC01351 (all $p < 0.01$). All the target mRNAs were predicted to be downregulated by their miRNAs. Red, LINC01351; purple, downregulated mRNA; yellow, upregulated mRNA; grey, downregulated miRNAs; green, upregulated miRNAs and blue, the target mRNAs of miRNAs. MiRNA or miR, microRNA.

Figure 6

LINC01351/ELK4 axis predicted prognosis of patients and sensitivity of therapy in triple-negative breast cancer A. The functional analysis showed that gene signature of candidate mRNAs was enriched in the transcriptional misregulation of cancer. B. Representative result of ELK4 expression. ELK4 was high-expressed in normal breast tissue and weak-expressed in breast cancer tissue by immunohistochemistry. C. The expression of ELK4 was negatively correlated with the expression of LINC01351 in breast cancer ($p=0.019$). D. The high expression of ELK4 was associated with the favorable overall survival of patients with TNBC ($p=0.0035$). Moreover, higher expression of ELK4 was significantly related to better overall survival in basal-like 1 (BL1) and luminal androgen receptor (LAR) subtypes of TNBC after 10-year-following up (all $p<0.05$).