Establishment of a Nomogram for Predicting the Surgical Difficulty of Anterior Cervical Spine Surgery

Chengyue Ji

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Yuluo Rong

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Jiaying Wang

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Guoyong Yin

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Jin Fan

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Pengyu Tang

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Dongdong Jiang

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Wei Liu

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Xuhui Ge

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Shunzhi Yu

Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital

Weihua Cai (✉ caiwei.huazhuren@163.com)

Jiangsu Province Hospital and Nanjing Medical University First Affiliated Hospital

Research article

Keywords: surgical difficulty, anterior cervical spine surgery, nomogram

Posted Date: August 25th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-50255/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Surgery on March 29th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12893-020-01022-0>.

Abstract

Background

For a long time, surgical difficulty is mainly evaluated based on subjective perception rather than objective indexes. Moreover, the lack of systematic research regarding the evaluation of surgical difficulty potentially has a negative effect in this field. This study was aimed to evaluate the risk factors for the surgical difficulty of anterior cervical spine surgery (ACSS).

Methods

This was a retrospective cohort study totaling 291 consecutive patients underwent ACSS from 2012.3 to 2017.8. The surgical difficulty of ACSS was defined by operation time longer than 120 min or intraoperative blood loss equal to or greater than 200ml. Evaluation of risk factors was performed by analyzing the patient's medical records and radiological parameters such as age, sex, BMI, operation level, [high signal intensity on T2-weighted images](#), ossified posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL), sagittal and coronal cervical circumference, cervical length, spinal canal occupational ratio, coagulation function index and platelet count.

Results

Significant differences were reported between low-difficulty and high-difficulty ACSS groups in terms of age ($p=0.017$), sex ($p=0.006$), operation level ($p<0.001$), high signal intensity ($p<0.001$), OPLL ($p<0.001$) and spinal canal occupational ratio ($p<0.001$). Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that operation level (OR=5.224, 95%CI=2.125-12.843, $p<0.001$), high signal intensity (OR=4.994, 95%CI=1.636-15.245, $p=0.005$), OPLL (OR=6.358, 95%CI=1.932-20.931, $p=0.002$) and the spinal canal occupational ratio >0.45 (OR=3.988, 95%CI=1.343-11.840, $p=0.013$) were independently associated with surgical difficulty in ACSS. A nomogram was established and ROC curve gave a 0.906 C-index. There was a good calibration curve for difficulty estimation.

Conclusion

This study indicated that the operational level, OPLL, high signal intensity, and spinal canal occupational ratio were independently associated with surgical difficulty and a predictive nomogram can be established using the identified risk factors. Optimal performance was achieved for predicting surgical difficulty of ACSS based on preoperative factors.

1. Background

Anterior cervical spine surgery (ACSS) is a well-established surgical intervention that has demonstrated long-term clinical benefits in a number of spine conditions such as in the management of degenerative cervical spine disease. However, despite its wide application, ACSS is associated with complicated and difficult surgical processes which are often accompanied by high risk and cost. Some of the potential

risks that can be disastrous include esophageal injury, epidural hematoma, airway obstruction and vascular injury.[1-5] The surgical difficulty is a pressing concern among surgeons and patients since it highly correlates with the safety and effectiveness of the surgical process. Previously, the evaluation of surgical difficulty has been based on subjective perception rather than objective indexes. In addition, there is a lack of systematic research with regard to the evaluation of surgical difficulty in ACSS. The scientific multi-criteria system is important in decision-making for a surgical process, physician-patient communication, and surgical skills training. This study aimed to evaluate the risk factors for surgical difficulty of anterior cervical spine surgery (ACSS).

2. Methods

2.1 Study Population

A retrospectively maintained surgical database of 356 consecutive patients who underwent anterior cervical surgery in our center from March 2012 to August 2017 was reviewed. All the patients received written informed consent prior to the surgery. The study was approved by our local institutional ethics committee. The indications for anterior surgery were cervical myelopathy, radiculopathy and ossified posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL). The exclusion criteria were trauma, tumor, infection, previous cervical surgery and lack of clear preoperative imaging data. Therefore, we analyzed clinical data of 291 patients who underwent anterior cervical spine surgery.

2.2 Surgical Procedure

All patients were performed by an experienced spine surgeon (C.W.). The choice of operation was primarily dependent on spinal cord compression. Corpectomy has been effectively used to treat various pathologies including osteophytes, OPLL and nucleus pulposus extending posteriorly to the vertebral body. In this study, discectomy was used if the compression was limited to the disk level. Anterior cervical discectomy and corpectomy were performed with the Smith-Robinson technique. In corpectomy, the optimal titanium mesh cage (DePuy Spine, New Brunswick, New Jersey) was filled with morselized autologous bone and positioned into the defect. In discectomy, PEEK interbody cage (DePuy Spine, New Brunswick, New Jersey) was inserted into the disk space. Anterior cervical locking plates with variable angle screws (DePuy Spine, New Brunswick, New Jersey) were employed in all the patients. Patients were instructed to wear a cervical collar for 6 weeks postoperatively.

2.3 Definitions

The mean time of surgery in this study was 120.3 min which was chosen as the cut-off. Operation time longer than 120 min or intraoperative blood loss equal to or greater than 200ml was considered as a high-difficult surgery. Otherwise, it was defined as low-difficulty surgery. Examined radiological parameters included [high signal intensity on T2-weighted images](#), OPLL, sagittal cervical circumference, coronal cervical circumference, cervical length, anteroposterior diameter of the spinal canal, the shortest diameter of the spinal canal, and spinal canal occupational ratio. Sagittal and coronal cervical circumferences

were defined as the distance between the intersection of the line parallel to the endplate through the midpoint of C5 and soft tissue shadow (Figure 1). Cervical length was measured as the distance between the sternum and gnathion on the lateral radiograph (Figure 1A). The anteroposterior and shortest diameter of the spinal canal were measured at the most compressed level using standard picture archiving and communication system (PACS). Anteroposterior diameter was the distance between the posterior edge of the vertebral body and spinal canal while the shortest diameter was defined as the distance between the posterior edge of the compression and spinal canal. The following formula was used to calculate the spinal canal occupational ratio: spinal canal occupational ratio = (anteroposterior diameter - shortest diameter) / anteroposterior diameter (Figure 2).

2.4 Statistical Analysis

SPSS, version 23.0 and R, version 3.6.1 were used to perform all the data analysis. Continuous variables were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Comparisons between the high-difficulty group and low-difficulty group were performed using Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, χ^2 test. Potential risk factors were identified by multivariate logistic regression analysis (p values < 0.05). The predictive value of the multivariate logistic regression was visualized by the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves. A nomogram was established using the rms package of R, version 3.6.1. The concordance index (C index) and a calibration curve were used to determine predictive accuracy. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 Patient demographics and clinical characteristics

Detailed participants' demographics and clinical characteristics in our study are given in Table1. All patients were divided into high-difficulty group ($n=140$, 48.1%) and low-difficulty group ($n=151$, 51.9%). Among the 291 patients, 180 (61.8%) were male while 111 (38.2%) were female, with a mean age of 52.3 (range 18-76). The mean surgical time was 120.3 min (60-250 min) and mean blood loss was 33.1ml (5-400 ml). In the low-difficulty group, one patient had cerebrospinal fluid leakage and two patients had dysphagia. Whereas in the high-difficulty group, the main complications reported were cerebrospinal fluid leakage in three patients, epidural hematoma in one patient and dysphagia in four patients.

3.2 Establishment of a nomogram for predicting surgical difficulty

Comparisons between the low-difficulty and high difficulty groups showed that age ($p=0.017$), sex ($p=0.006$), operation level ($p<0.001$), high signal intensity ($p<0.001$), OPLL ($p<0.001$) and spinal canal occupational ratio ($p<0.001$) significantly correlated with surgical difficulty. The ROC curves further showed that the best thresholds for age and spinal canal occupational ratio were 55 (sensitivity: 53.2%; specificity: 68.9%) and 0.45 (sensitivity: 74.2%; specificity: 70.5%). Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that operation level (OR=5.224, 95%CI=2.125-12.843, $p<0.001$), high signal intensity (OR=4.994, 95%CI=1.636-15.245, $p=0.005$), OPLL (OR=6.358, 95%CI=1.932-20.931, $p=0.002$) and the

spinal canal occupational ratio > 0.45 (OR=3.988, 95%CI=1.343-11.840, p=0.013) were independent risk factors for surgical difficulty of anterior cervical surgery (Table 2). Based on these results, operation level, high signal intensity, OPLL and spinal canal occupational ratio can be used to establish a nomogram for use in predicting surgical difficulty (Figure 3).

3.3 Evaluation of prediction nomogram

The ROC curve for the nomogram model showed that the C-index was 0.906, which was an indication of a robust discriminative ability (Figure 4A). The calibration curve demonstrated that the probability of high-difficulty predicted by the nomogram model agreed well with actual practice (Figure 4B).

4. Discussion

As the population ages, the incidence of degenerative cervical disease is continuously increasing, which is the foremost cause of neurological deficit among adults worldwide.[6, 7] There is a paradigm shift in the treatment of degenerative cervical disease from posterior to anterior decompression, due to the direct removal of spinal cord compressions, such as disc herniation, osteophytes, and OPLL.[8-10] However, the anterior approach is associated with potential risks that can cause life-threatening complications in vulnerable organs anterior to the vertebral body, such as trachea, esophagus and blood vessels.[3] Therefore, cervical spine surgery is associated with higher surgical difficulty than lumbar surgery hence the need to evaluate surgical difficulty and the associated risk factors for optimal performance in ACSS.

Researchers have established several scoring systems for assessing the surgical difficulty. Natkaniec et al[11] demonstrated that gender, tumor size, and localization are some of the parameters associated with the level of the surgical difficulty of the laparoscopic lateral transperitoneal adrenalectomy. Hasegawa et al[12] established a novel model for the prediction of surgical difficulty in laparoscopic liver resection and included factors such as the extension of resection, location of tumor, obesity and platelet count. However, a preoperative scoring system evaluating the surgical difficulty of ACSS has not been reported. Therefore, due to lack of a gold standard to estimate for surgical difficulty, surrogate indicators are often used to indirectly reflect the difficulty and these include operative time, intraoperative blood loss and incidence of complication.[11-14] High-difficulty ACSS has been correlated with longer operative time and more intraoperative bleeding.

In this study, a number of parameters such as age, sex, BMI, operation level, [high signal intensity on T2-weighted images](#), OPLL, sagittal cervical circumference, coronal cervical circumference, cervical length, spinal canal occupational ratio, and coagulation function index were evaluated to identify risk factors for high-difficulty ACSS. Previous studies confirm that the elderly, obese patients and male gender are associated with longer operative time.[11, 12, 15] However, this study reported that there is no significant difference in terms of age, sex, and BMI between low-difficulty and high-difficulty groups of ACSS. Coagulation function index and platelet count are associated with intraoperative hemorrhage, however, no statistical significance in these factors was reported in our study. To our knowledge, thickness of neck

might influence the operative time due to the difficulty of surgical exposure. Although surgical time tend to be longer in patients with thick neck, this trend did not reach statistical significance in our study.

Operational level was a vital factor affecting the difficulty of ACSS. In our study, as operational segments increased, prolonged operative time and more intraoperative blood loss were reported and these findings were consistent with previous research.[16] Moreover, the incidence of complications associated with the surgical procedure increased when more segments were decompressed and fused. Kou et al[17] reported that multilevel procedures had a significantly higher risk for epidural hematoma. Sagi et al[1] also reported that the number of vertebral bodies exposed more than 3 levels and operative time longer than 5 hours were statistically associated with increased risk for airway complications with potentially catastrophic consequences. The selection of operational levels is mainly based on detailed clinical evaluation including extent of compression, chief complaint and the patient's general condition. Adequate neurological decompression is essential for optimal clinical outcomes but caution should be made to reduce the affected segments to minimize surgical trauma and risk of potential complications.

The study demonstrated that OPLL was another predictive factor for high-difficulty surgery. The technical challenges faced when treating OPLL depend on the decompression procedure including less injury to the spinal cord and better protection of the venous plexus especially when combining dural ossification.[18] Furthermore, OPLL is associated with high risks of perioperative complications, such as cerebrospinal fluid leakage, dysphonia, dysphagia and neurological impairment.[19] Spinal canal occupational ratio was used to represent the degree of compression and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images closely correlated with severe spinal cord compression.[20] This study confirmed that the spinal canal occupational ratio and high signal intensity were risk factors for surgical difficulty. Therefore, surgeons should be cautious when severe compression and high signal intensity are observed on MR images.

For the clinical use of the established nomogram, a 0.906 C-index was reported for the model in addition to a good calibration curve for use in predicting the probability of high-difficulty surgery.

There are several limitations of this study that need to be addressed. First, this is a retrospective study. Second, this study was conducted in a single institution and the limited number of cases might restrict the value of its clinical use. Due to these limitations, prospective multi-center studies with a large sample size are needed to validate our results.

5. Conclusion

This study indicated that the operational level, OPLL, high signal intensity, and spinal canal occupational ratio were independently associated with surgical difficulty and a predictive nomogram can be established using the identified risk factors. Optimal performance was achieved for predicting surgical difficulty of ACSS based on preoperative factors.

6. Abbreviations

ACSS: Anterior cervical spine surgery; OPLL: ossified posterior longitudinal ligament; PACS: picture archiving and communication system; ROC: receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve; OR: Odds ratio;

8. Abbreviations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Our retrospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The data are not publicly available due the privacy of patients included but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request for academic research purpose.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was sponsored by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu (Grant No. BK20181490), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81772352), the Six Talent Peaks Project in Jiangsu Province (Grant No. TD-SWYY-010) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.81974335).

Authors' contributions

CJ, LY, ZS designed this study. JW performed radiographic analysis. GY, JF performed review of electronic patient record. HW performed surgeries. CJ, YP performed data analysis and interpretation. CJ, DJ, WL, HX prepared the manuscript, tables, and figures. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

9. References

1. Sagi HC, Beutler W, Carroll E, Connolly PJ (2002) Airway complications associated with surgery on the anterior cervical spine. Spine 27:949-953. DOI 10.1097/00007632-200205010-00013

2. Mayo BC, Massel DH, Bohl DD, Long WW, Modi KD, Singh K (2016) Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: The Surgical Learning Curve. *Spine* 41:1580-1585. DOI 10.1097/brs.0000000000001588
3. Fountas KN, Kapsalaki EZ, Nikolakakos LG, Smisson HF, Johnston KW, Grigorian AA, Lee GP, Robinson JS, Jr. (2007) Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion associated complications. *Spine* 32:2310-2317. DOI 10.1097/BRS.0b013e318154c57e
4. Anil, Nanda, Mayur, Sharma, Ashish, Sonig, Sudheer, Ambekar, Pappireddy, Bollam Surgical Complications of Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion for Cervical Degenerative Disk Disease: A Single Surgeon's Experience of 1576 Patients.
5. Zhang Y, Liu H, Yang H, Pi B (2018) Anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion versus discectomy and fusion for the treatment of two-level cervical spondylotic myelopathy: analysis of sagittal balance and axial symptoms. *International orthopaedics* 42:1877-1882. DOI 10.1007/s00264-018-3804-3
6. NURJCK, Neurology SJBAJo THE PATHOGENESIS OF THE SPINAL CORD DISORDER ASSOCIATED WITH CERVICAL SPONDYLOSIS. 95:87-100
7. Badhiwala JH, Wilson JRJNCoNA The Natural History of Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy. 29:21-32
8. Patil PG, Turner DA, Pietrobon R (2005) National trends in surgical procedures for degenerative cervical spine disease: 1990-2000. *Neurosurgery* 57:753-758; discussion 753-758
9. Fessler RG, Steck JC, Giovanini MAJN Anterior Cervical Corpectomy for Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy. 43:257-265
10. Mazas S, Benzakour A, Castelain JE, Damade C, Ghailane S, Gille O (2019) Cervical disc herniation: which surgery? *International orthopaedics* 43:761-766. DOI 10.1007/s00264-018-4221-3
11. Natkaniec M, Dworak J, Pedziwiatr M, Pisarska M, Major P, Dembinski M, Winiarski M, Budzynski A (2017) Patients criteria determining difficulty of the laparoscopic lateral transperitoneal adrenalectomy. A retrospective cohort study. *International journal of surgery (London, England)* 43:33-37. DOI 10.1016/j.ijssu.2017.05.032
12. Hasegawa Y, Wakabayashi G, Nitta H, Takahara T, Katagiri H, Umemura A, Makabe K, Sasaki A (2017) A novel model for prediction of pure laparoscopic liver resection surgical difficulty. *Surgical endoscopy* 31:5356-5363. DOI 10.1007/s00464-017-5616-8
13. D'Orazi V, Sacconi A, Trombetta S, Karpathiotakis M, Pichelli D, Di Lorenzo E, Ortensi A, Urciuoli P, Biffoni M, Ortensi A (2019) May predictors of difficulty in thyroid surgery increase the incidence of complications? Prospective study with the proposal of a preoperative score. *BMC surgery* 18:116. DOI 10.1186/s12893-018-0447-7
14. Liu Z, Gong C, Liu Y, Zhang L (2018) Establishment of a scoring system for predicting the difficulty level of high-intensity focussed ultrasound ablation of uterine fibroids. *International journal of hyperthermia : the official journal of European Society for Hyperthermic Oncology, North American Hyperthermia Group* 34:77-86. DOI 10.1080/02656736.2017.1325015
15. Owens RK, 2nd, Djurasovic M, Onyekwelu I, Bratcher KR, McGraw KE, Carreon LY (2016) Outcomes and revision rates in normal, overweight, and obese patients 5 years after lumbar fusion. *The spine*

journal : official journal of the North American Spine Society 16:1178-1183. DOI 10.1016/j.spinee.2016.06.005

16. Yu S, Li F, Yan N, Yuan C, He S, Hou T (2014) Anterior fusion technique for multilevel cervical spondylotic myelopathy: a retrospective analysis of surgical outcome of patients with different number of levels fused. PloS one 9:e91329. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0091329
17. Kou J, Fischgrund J, Biddinger A, Herkowitz H (2002) Risk factors for spinal epidural hematoma after spinal surgery. Spine 27:1670-1673. DOI 10.1097/00007632-200208010-00016
18. Chen Y, Guo Y, Lu X, Chen D, Song D, Shi J, Yuan W (2011) Surgical strategy for multilevel severe ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine. Journal of spinal disorders & techniques 24:24-30. DOI 10.1097/BSD.0b013e3181c7e91e
19. Morishita S, Yoshii T, Okawa A, Fushimi K, Fujiwara T (2019) Perioperative complications of anterior decompression with fusion versus laminoplasty for the treatment of cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: propensity score matching analysis using a nation-wide inpatient database. The spine journal : official journal of the North American Spine Society 19:610-616. DOI 10.1016/j.spinee.2018.10.013
20. Takahashi M, Yamashita Y, Sakamoto Y, Kojima R (1989) Chronic cervical cord compression: clinical significance of increased signal intensity on MR images. Radiology 173:219-224. DOI 10.1148/radiology.173.1.2781011

Tables

Table 1. Comparison of the Low-difficulty and High-difficulty groups			
Factor	Low-difficulty Group (N=151)	High-difficulty Group (N=140)	<i>P</i>
Age*(years)	50.99±10.60	53.84±9.62	0.017
Sex* (male: female)	82:69	98:42	0.006
BMI	24.15±3.07	24.74±2.82	0.154
Operation level*			
1	86	7	
2	56	77	
3	9	53	
4	0	3	<0.001
High signal intensity*			
yes	52	96	<0.001
no	94	49	
OPLL*			
yes	17	74	<0.001
no	136	64	
Sagittal Cervical Circumference	14.36±1.99	14.86±2.14	0.053
Coronal Cervical Circumference	12.41±1.41	12.74±1.38	0.057
Cervical Length	14.56±2.10	14.63±1.83	0.819
Occupying Ratio*	0.37±0.15	0.49±0.11	<0.001
PT	11.70±0.66	11.74±0.71	0.708
INR	1.01±0.05	1.02±0.06	0.660
APTT	28.06±2.50	27.90±2.71	0.684
FIB	2.64±0.98	2.44±0.73	0.130
TT	18.26±1.03	18.50±1.46	0.189
DD2	0.32±0.37	0.33±0.59	0.924
PLT	213.82±79.45	201.49±51.96	0.210

*Statistically significant difference

BMI body mass index, OPLL Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament

Table 2. Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis		
Factor	Odds Ratio (95 % CI)	P
Age > 55	1.507(0.505-4.494)	0.462
Sex	0.708(0.224-2.241)	0.557
Operation level	5.224(2.125-12.843)	<0.001
High signal intensity	4.994(1.636-15.245)	0.005
OPLL	6.358(1.932-20.931)	0.002
Occupying Ratio>0.45	3.988(1.343-11.840)	0.013
<i>CI indicates confidence interval</i>		
<i>OPLL Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament</i>		

Figures

Figure 1

Sagittal radiograph showing that the cervical length was measured as the distance between the sternum and gnathion. Sagittal and coronal cervical circumferences were defined as the distance between the intersection of the line parallel to the endplate through the midpoint of C5 and soft tissue shadow.

Figure 2

At the most compressed level, the anteroposterior diameter was defined as the distance between the posterior edge of vertebral body and spinal canal (AB). The shortest diameter was the distance between the posterior edge of compression and spinal canal (BC). Spinal canal occupational ratio = (anteroposterior diameter - shortest diameter) / anteroposterior diameter

Figure 3

A predictive nomogram was established by combining the four risk factors.

Figure 4

The ROC curve (A) demonstrated that the C-index was 0.906. (B) the calibration curve showed that the probability of high-difficulty predicted by the nomogram agreed well with actual practice.