

# Suspected Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia in a COVID-19 Patient on Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Support: A Case Report.

**Xuan T. Phan**

Cho Ray Hospital

**Tuan Huu Nguyen** (✉ [nhtuan232@gmail.com](mailto:nhtuan232@gmail.com))

Cho Ray Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3048-6796>

**Tung T. Tran**

Cho Ray Hospital

**Thu-Hien T. Huynh**

Cho Ray Hospital

**Thuy-Ha T. Hoang**

Cho Ray Hospital

**Vinh-Chau V. Nguyen**

Hospital for Tropical Diseases in Ho Chi Minh City

**Thao N. T. Pham**

Cho Ray Hospital

---

## Case report

**Keywords:** HIT, ECMO, extracorporeal, COVID-19, thrombocytopenia

**Posted Date:** September 22nd, 2020

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-50546/v2>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published on December 14th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12959-020-00252-9>.

# Abstract

**Background:** Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support can be life-saving in critically ill COVID-19 patients. However, there are many complications associated with this procedure, including Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT.) Despite its rarity in ECMO cases, HIT can lead to devastating consequences and is difficult to manage.

**Case presentation:** In this report, we present a case of a COVID-19 patient on ECMO support who was diagnosed with HIT and required intensive treatment. Initially, HIT was only suspected due to newly-developed thrombocytopenia and oxygenator dysfunction, with thrombi observed later. Regarding his treatment, since there was no recommended replacement to heparin available to us at the time of diagnosis, we decided to use rivaroxaban temporarily. No adverse events were recorded during that period. The patient was able to make a full recovery.

**Conclusion:** HIT may jeopardize patient's care during ECMO. As COVID-19 may bring about a surge in the number of patients requiring ECMO support, we need consented guidance to optimize treatment in this specific situation.

## Background

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the disease caused by Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, is an ongoing medical problem worldwide [1]. Patients may have different severity levels, ranging from mild dyspnea or coughing to multiorgan failure [1]. For those with life-threatening complications, intensive interventions may be requisite. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is a potentially life-saving procedure, in which the patient's blood is circulated through an oxygenator to provide oxygen to vital organs [2]. In ECMO, the tubing system is usually coated with heparin to reduce to risk of thrombosis due to widespread coagulation activation throughout the set [2]. However, this practice gives rise to an increased risk of Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), a condition in which platelets are incessantly activated by anti-PF4/Heparin antibodies, leading to catastrophic thrombotic events [2]. In this report, we describe a case of a COVID-19 patient on ECMO with suspected HIT who was particularly difficult to manage due to our lack of resources.

## Case Report

A 43-year-old Caucasian male patient was admitted to the Hospital for Tropical Diseases in Ho Chi Minh City due to fatigue, mild fever, dry coughing and shortness of breath. He was diagnosed with SARS-CoV2 infection after his positive PCR result and was transferred to the isolation room. He was also given subcutaneous enoxaparin for venous thrombosis prophylaxis as part of COVID-19 treatment. After 4 days of enoxaparin administration, his condition quickly worsened and he required intubation and mechanical ventilation 2 weeks after admission, and veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) using ROTAFLOW pump (Maquet, Germany) and PLS-i oxygenator (Maquet, Germany), together with

continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) 1 day later. Enoxaparin was stopped and unfractionated heparin (UFH) was given as a bolus dose of 8000 units, then 1200-1700 units/hour to maintain an activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) of 60-80 seconds. After the start of ECMO, he was routinely monitored by complete blood count (CBC) every 12-24 hours, which revealed a drop in his platelet count to  $44 \times 10^9/l$  one day after ECMO start, necessitating platelet transfusion. For the next few days, his platelet count continued to fluctuate. Furthermore, the patient required 2 oxygenator exchanges within 4 days after the start of ECMO due to increased transmembrane pressure. After the second exchange, several tests were performed to clarify his hypercoagulable status, including D-Dimer, Antithrombin level, Anti- $\beta$ 2-glycoprotein, Anti-Cardiolipin, Anti-PF4/Heparin antibodies, Plasminogen, Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1),  $\alpha$ 2-antiplasmin quantification assays, as well as routine tests like aPTT, PT and fibrinogen level. All the assays were within normal ranges or negative, except for the Anti-PF4/Heparin antibody test (HemosIL<sup>®</sup> HIT-Ab, Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford, MA,) which showed a high antibody titer of 2.9 U/ml (normal range 0.0 – 1.0 U/ml), an elevated D-Dimer of 5594 ng/ml and a prolonged aPTT of 53.1 seconds. One day later, there were a sudden drop in outflow cannulae, noises of obstruction coming from the ECMO pump and thrombi were observed in the tubing by attending physicians. Coagulation assays were reassessed, showing a prolonged aPTT (87.7 seconds,) a normal fibrinogen level (3.65 g/L,) a high D-Dimer value of 6050 ng/ml and a rising Anti-PF4/Heparin antibody titer of 4.0 U/ml. As a result, HIT was suspected and UFH was stopped. However, we did not have access to other intravenous anticoagulants and the non-heparin coated disposables for ECMO at that moment. Therefore, we decided to start rivaroxaban given through the patient's nasogastric tube at 15 mg twice daily and continued using the current ECMO set. An Anti-Xa based assay (HemosIL Liquid anti Xa, Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford, MA) was also performed 4 times per day to monitor the trough and peak rivaroxaban levels. At the same time, we added a HA280 hemoperfusion cartridge (Jafron Biomedical Co., Ltd., China) to the CRRT system to filter out the antibodies. Anti PF4-Heparin antibody titer was undetectable 48 hours after the start of rivaroxaban and we removed the hemoperfusion cartridge. The patient's platelet count started to bounce back after 4 days of rivaroxaban use and fully recovered ( $>150 \times 10^9/l$ ) after 7 days. However, D-Dimer remained consistently high at 5067-6538 ng/ml throughout rivaroxaban administration. Nevertheless, no thrombotic event, including unexpected oxygenator exchange (besides fortnightly replacements recommended by the manufacturer,) was recorded during rivaroxaban use. After 10 days, we managed to get argatroban and switched to such anticoagulant. The patient required ECMO support with argatroban infusion for 42 more days. He was able to wean off ECMO and other mechanical supports and made a full recovery. He was discharged on oral rivaroxaban for venous thrombosis prophylaxis, after more than 3 months of hospitalization.

Key events in the patient's treatment course and laboratory results are summarized in Figure 1.

## Discussion And Conclusions

Current reports have highlighted the hypercoagulable state of COVID-19 patients and its implication in the patients' prognosis [1]. Consequently, the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis (ISTH)

have issued guidelines that recommend prophylactic Low-molecular-weight heparin for almost all COVID-19 patients [3]. However, this routine use of heparin may precipitate an increased risk of HIT, a potentially catastrophic thrombotic event, especially in critically ill patients. In patients on ECMO support, the incidence of HIT is reported to be 0.36% [2]. In critically ill COVID-19 patients, only a few cases have been described, with devastating consequences [4]. To evaluate the probability of HIT, physicians normally use a clinical assessment tool, such as the 4Ts Scoring system [2]. Our patient had a 4Ts score of 5 (Platelet count fall > 50% and nadir  $\geq 20 \times 10^9/l$ : 2 points, consistent fall between 5-10 days, but unclear: 1 point, suspected thrombosis: 1 point, possible other causes of thrombocytopenia: 1 point,) suggesting an intermediate probability of HIT [5]. Nevertheless, the 4Ts score may be of little value in COVID-19, since there are many factors affecting its credibility. For example, in COVID-19, thrombocytopenia is a common finding and is linked to a plethora of etiologies [6]. Furthermore, thrombosis may prove difficult to detect, partly due to the isolation requirements. Indeed, only 1 in the first 3 reported COVID-19 patients with HIT had typical clinical manifestations [4]. In our patient, a sudden drop in platelet count and oxygenator dysfunction were the initial signs of HIT, and thrombi were only detected a few days later. Actually, several reports have shown that thrombocytopenia and associated oxygenator dysfunction should prompt the suspicion of HIT in patients on ECMO support [7, 8]. To make a definitive diagnosis of HIT, an immunologic assay and a functional assay are required [9]. However, functional assays like the Serotonin-releasing assay are time-consuming, difficult to perform and, as we encountered in our case, are not always available [9]. Immunologic tests are generally very sensitive and easy to perform, but not very specific [9]. Our patient had 2 separate positive results for anti-PF4/Heparin antibodies and matching clinical manifestations, thus his HIT diagnosis is highly likely. However, without results from a functional test, other hypercoagulable disorders could not be ruled out definitely. One possible differential diagnosis is sepsis-related Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC.) Elevated D-Dimer, thrombocytopenia and thrombosis are common findings in DIC. However, D-Dimer are usually high in ECMO patients [10] and prolonged coagulation times are associated with anticoagulant use. Moreover, PAI-1, plasminogen,  $\alpha$ 2-antiplasmin, which are highly sensitive markers in both overt and non-overt sepsis-related DIC [11, 12], were within normal ranges. Therefore, DIC was unlikely in our patient.

While establishing the diagnosis of HIT in this patient was difficult, managing his condition was even more challenging. Firstly, it is recommended that when HIT is suspected, heparinoids should be stopped immediately and switching to another type of anticoagulants is warranted [2]. In ECMO, the preferred alternatives are intravenous anticoagulants such as bivalirudin, danaparoid, argatroban and fondaparinux [6, 13]. Unfortunately, none of the mentioned drugs was available to us at the time of HIT diagnosis. Consequently, we decided to start oral rivaroxaban, a factor Xa direct inhibitor. Although there have been reports regarding the efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban in patients with HIT [2], there is very little data about its use in the ECMO setting. From our experience, rivaroxaban was seemingly effective and safe, as we observed no thrombotic or bleeding events during our obligatory use of such drug. Besides the use of rivaroxaban, we also used a special hemoperfusion cartridge normally used in patients with autoimmune disorders to filter out antibodies, [14] in the hope that it can quickly remove the Anti-PF4/Heparin antibodies from the patient's circulation. This practice has not been widely discussed in

literature, but it seemed effective, as the antibody titer became undetectable after 2 days, while normally antibodies will only completely disappear about 3 months after heparin cessation. [15] Another aspect of HIT management is whether to change the ECMO circuit or not. There are currently commercially available non-heparin coated tubing sets intent to use in HIT cases [8]. Nevertheless, many reports have showed that changing the ECMO circuit has no impact on the patients' survival, since heparin in the tubing set cannot diffuse into the patients' blood [13]. In our case, we did not, and admittedly could not, change the ECMO circuit, as there was no available alternative. However, we encountered no complication with continuous use of the heparin-coated tubing set.

The patient presented in this report is arguably the most complex COVID-19 case treated in Vietnam up to this date. His treatment was complicated by many affecting factors, most notably HIT. In general, management of HIT in patients on ECMO support is still difficult, as there is a lack of well-designed trials to clarify the importance of various practices in this setting. Our case highlights the need for consented guidelines in this specific situation, especially when COVID-19 is causing more and more patients to require life-saving ECMO support.

## **Declarations**

### Consent

The patient gave written consent for the publication of this article.

### Conflicts of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Author contributions

TH Nguyen and XT Phan wrote the manuscript. All authors participated in the patient's management. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the medical staff members at the Hospital for Tropical Diseases in Ho Chi Minh City and Cho Ray Hospital who participated in the patient's care.

### Funding

None.

### Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

# Abbreviations

aPTT: activated partial thromboplastin time

CBC: Complete blood count

COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019

CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy

DIC: disseminated intravascular coagulation

ECMO: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

HIT: Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia

ISTH: International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis

SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2


UFH: Unfractionated heparin

# References

1. Connors JM, Levy JH. COVID-19 and its implications for thrombosis and anticoagulation. *Blood*. 2020;135(23):2033-40.
2. Pollak U. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia complicating extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support: Review of the literature and alternative anticoagulants. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2019;17(10):1608-22.
3. Thachil J, Tang N, Gando S, Falanga A, Cattaneo M, Levi M, et al. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2020;18(5):1023-6.
4. Riker RR, May TL, Fraser GL, Gagnon DJ, Bandara M, Zemrak WR, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia with thrombosis in COVID-19 adult respiratory distress syndrome. *Research and Practice in Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2020;4(5):936-41.
5. Cuker A, Gimotty PA, Crowther MA, Warkentin TE. Predictive value of the 4Ts scoring system for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood*. 2012;120(20):4160-7.
6. Lippi G, Plebani M, Henry BM. Thrombocytopenia is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infections: A meta-analysis. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2020;506:145-8.
7. Ratzlaff RA, Ripoll JG, Kassab LL, Diaz-Gomez JL. Acute oxygenator failure: a new presentation of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in a patient undergoing venovenous extracorporeal membrane

- oxygenation support. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2016;2016:bcr2016218179.
8. Natt B, Hypes C, Basken R, Malo J, Kazui T, Mosier J. Suspected Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia in Patients Receiving Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation. *J Extra Corpor Technol.* 2017;49(1):54-8.
  9. Nagler M, Bakchoul T. Clinical and laboratory tests for the diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Thrombosis and haemostasis.* 2016;116(5):823-34.
  10. Dornia C, Philipp A, Bauer S, Stroszczyński C, Schreyer AG, Müller T, et al. D-dimers Are a Predictor of Clot Volume Inside Membrane Oxygenators During Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation. *Artificial organs.* 2015;39(9):782-7.
  11. Hoshino K, Kitamura T, Nakamura Y, Irie Y, Matsumoto N, Kawano Y, et al. Usefulness of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 as a predictive marker of mortality in sepsis. *J Intensive Care.* 2017;5(1):42.
  12. Asakura H, Ontachi Y, Mizutani T, Kato M, Ito T, Saito M, et al. Depressed plasma activity of plasminogen or alpha2 plasmin inhibitor is not due to consumption coagulopathy in septic patients with disseminated intravascular coagulation. *Blood coagulation & fibrinolysis : an international journal in haemostasis and thrombosis.* 2001;12(4):275-81.
  13. Rougé A, Pelen F, Durand M, Schwebel C. Argatroban for an alternative anticoagulant in HIT during ECMO. *J Intensive Care.* 2017;5:39-.
  14. Xu L, Wu X, Zou Y. Clinical efficacy comparison of HA280 and DNA280 immunoadsorption column in treating systemic lupus erythematosus. *Modern rheumatology.* 2016;26(1):94-8.
  15. Arepally GM, Ortel TL. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Annu Rev Med.* 2010;61:77-90.

## Figures



**Figure 1**

Summary of key laboratory results and treatment course