

Risk Factors associated with Fetal and Pregnancy Complications in Palestine

Sana ' Al-Aqqad (✉ sanaaqqad2012@gmail.com)

Ibn Sina College for Health Sciences, Palestinian Ministry of Health

Nihal Al-Natour

An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

Mariam Al-Tell

An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

Research Article

Keywords: Pregnancy complications, fetal complications, risk factors, age, parity, gravida

Posted Date: May 19th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-511095/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Complications observed during pregnancy affect both mother and fetus, and are more commonly observed among pregnant women from developing countries. The study aims to identify the most common pregnancy and fetal complications during pregnancy, and associated risk factors with these complications.

Methods: A longitudinal study was conducted from September 2017 to July 2018 among pregnant women attending and registering in primary health care clinics of the Palestinian Ministry of Health in Nablus city. Data were collected through interviews and by reviewing medical records. Women were followed up during their regular visits to the primary health care clinics. Any complication affecting either the fetus or the mother during the pregnancy period was recorded. Binary logistic regression was used to identify independent risk factors for pregnancy and fetal complications.

Results: A total of 380 pregnant women were included in the study. The most common complications seen among women during pregnancy were preterm labor (PTL) (11.3%), followed by an equal number of gestational diabetes (GDM) and pregnancies induce hypertension (PIH), i.e. (7.9%). Large for gestational age (LGA) (11.6 %) and small for gestational age (SGA) (10.5%) were the most commonly observed fetal complications. Pregnant women living in camps (O.R 2.35, 95% [CI] 1.02- 5.45), nulliparity (O.R 14.9, 95% [CI] 1.09-202.5) and low parity women (O.R 16.8, 95% [CI] 1.47- 192.5) were at higher risk of having pregnancy complications. However, lower risk was noticed between women with gravida 4 (O.R 0.11, 95% [CI] 0.03-0.47) compared to women having more than 6 pregnancies. Age younger than 41 years was a protective factor against fetal complications, while parity (≤ 6 parities) especially 4 to 6 parities (OR 36.17; 95% CI 4.88-268) and active smoking mothers (OR 1.25; 95% CI 1.0-3.07) were identified as risk factors that are associated with an increased risk of fetal complications.

Conclusions: Fetal and pregnancy-related complications are prevalent among Palestinian pregnant women. Increasing the awareness and improving the understanding of the identified risk factors related to these complications among the public, and specifically, females may help to reduce the prevalence of these conditions and the associated risk of these complications.

Background

With all the improvements and advancements in the managed care, plenty of women still experience health problems during pregnancy. These health problems lead to complications that can affect the health of both mother and or fetus [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that around 15% of all pregnant women are prone to develop potentially life-threatening complication and require extended medical care or a major obstetrical intervention to survive [2]. On top of it, a pregnant woman from developing countries is up to 36 times higher risk suffering from pregnancy-related complications than a pregnant woman from a developed country [3].

There are plenty of complications that arise during pregnancy ranging from mild to severe. Mild complications such as fatigue and discomfort reduce the quality of life of the women and are manageable if not treatable with either medication or lifestyle modification [1]. The severe ones are more complicated, require periodic but continuous monitoring, and are potentially life-threatening if left unattended or even with appropriate treatment. Among these, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), preeclampsia, hyperemesis gravidarum are a few to name maternal complications [4–6]. Apart from these, some complications are also associated with the outcome of the pregnancy and include preterm labor (PTL) and miscarriage. Preterm labor is the most frequently observed complication which increases the risk of prenatal mortality and creates health issues among newborns [7,8]. Miscarriage, on the other hand, is another frequent complication of pregnancy and even results in 10-20% loss of pregnancy in healthy women [9]. Stillbirth is yet another entity and is an outcome of almost 1 in 160 pregnancies [10]. Interestingly, these complications are not only stand-alone but interrelated as well. For example, PIH can complicate 6-10% of pregnancies and it is considered a major cause of mother and infant mortality, maternal morbidity such as PTL, and fetal morbidity such as intrauterine growth retardation [11]. Contrarily, it also increases the risk of preeclampsia, which occurs in about 2–8% of pregnancies [12], and is considered a common and serious medical emergency leading to preterm delivery and death [12–14].

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is another common and serious pregnancy complications which is known to occur in about 7 % of all pregnancies (15). GDM can negatively affect the pregnancy, the fetus, and the long-term health of both the mother and her child such as obesity and the development of type 2 diabetes later on life [6,16].

The possible reported complications relating to the fetus during pregnancy include small for gestational age (SGA), large for gestational age (LGA), intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), and intrauterine fetal death (IUFD) [11,17,18]. These fetal complications impose equal risk to the pregnant women and fetus. As an example, LGA can cause major problems during labor and delivery such as prolonged labor, arrest of labor [19], and higher rates of caesarean section [20,21]. LGA is also associated with increased neonatal morbidity [19], postpartum hemorrhage, shoulder dystocia, neonatal hypoglycemia, and prolong hospitalization [20]. It is also associated with a long-term increased risk of developing overweight [22,23] and type 2 diabetes in the future [23]. Similarly, SGA is associated with a significant increase in mortality risk and minor neurological dysfunction [24]. Infants with SGA had significantly higher rates of hypothermia and symptomatic hypoglycemia when compared with appropriate for gestational age infants [25]. Other complications such as fetal intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) is also a common risk of a frequent cause of perinatal morbidity, mortality, as well as impaired growth during childhood [26,27].

In Palestine, data on the prevalence of pregnancy and fetal related complications are lacking. Therefore, we intended to record baseline characteristics by identifying the commonly observed fetal and pregnancy complications among pregnant women and their fetuses in Palestine. Furthermore, the study also

planned to identify the risk factors of having fetal and pregnancy complications during the pregnancy period.

Methodology

A multicenter prospective observational study was conducted at primary health care centers in the Nablus district of West Bank, Palestine, which include four major antenatal clinics. The clinics were run under the supervision of the Palestinian Ministry of Health. All pregnant women at the age of 17 years and more registering at Ministry of health (MOH) antenatal clinics during their first trimester were included. The data was collected over the span of 10 months from the September 2017 to July, 2018.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Pregnant women (age ≥ 17 years) at first trimester of spontaneous pregnancy were included in the study.

Women with pre-existing chronic comorbidities such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus, or having a history of multi-fetuses' pregnancy, or refused to participate were excluded from the cohort. Also, women having induced pregnancy via intrauterine fertilization or intrauterine injection were excluded.

Data Collection/Acquisition

Acquired data were recorded on a developed structured questionnaire. It covered sociodemographic information including the education status of the mother, smoking status, and monthly income. For clinical information, women's current obstetric history such as the number of gravidities, parity, abortion, and last menstrual period was also recorded from medical files. Self-reported pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) at the first antenatal visit were noted. Socioeconomic and baseline clinical data were collected at the beginning of the study. Included participants were followed during their regular visits to the antenatal clinics until delivery.

Face and content validity of the questionnaires was conducted by 2 independent researcher, 2 obstetrics and gynecology doctors, and 2 senior midwives. To ensure the reliability of the questionnaire, Cronbach alpha was calculated with a result of (0.90).

Defining the Outcomes

Maternal associated pregnancy complications were recorded as follows:

1. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM): any degree of glucose intolerance at the onset or first recognition during pregnancy.
2. Pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH): a blood pressure of 140/90 mmHg or above measured on 2 separate occasions and over 6 hours apart, without proteinuria, developed after 20 weeks of gestation.

3. Preterm labor (PTL): regular uterine contractions occurring at least once every 10 minutes and resulting in cervical dilatation or effacement before 37 weeks gestation.
4. Pre-eclampsia: PIH accompanied by proteinuria of >300 mg in a daily urine sample.
5. Abortion: a deliberate termination of a human pregnancy, performed during the first 24 weeks of pregnancy.
6. Stillbirth: a baby born with no sign of life at or after 28 weeks of gestation.

The outcomes related to fetal complications during pregnancy were defined as follows:

1. Small for gestational age (SGA): a weight below 10th percentile for the gestational age.
2. Large for gestational age (LGA): a weight above 90th percentile for the gestational age.
3. Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR): an unborn baby smaller than it should be secondary to abnormal growth rate inside the womb.
4. Intrauterine fetal death (IUFD): a fetal loss in pregnancy beyond 20 weeks of gestation or after a fetal weight of 500 g or more (in case of unknown gestational age).

Statistical Analysis

Any maternal or fetal complication was recorded as a dichotomous, i.e. yes or no. A negative response was only recorded when a respondent experienced no maternal or fetal complication. Age was recorded as a continuous variable and was later graded further into groups. For the purpose of the study, parity was categorized into four classes, i.e. nulliparity (did not give birth before), low multi-parity (history of previous 1 to 3 live births), grand multi-parity (history of previous 4 to 6 live births), and high parity (history of more than 6 live births).

Binary logistic regression was used to analyze the data. Odds ratio (OR) along with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CI) were recorded to compare the magnitude of different risk factors for both pregnancy and fetal complications. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 26), SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA.

Ethical approval:

The research method was performed in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki guidelines and regulations. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the local institutional review boards (IRB) of An-Najah National University and the Palestinian MOH. A signed written informed consent form was obtained from all the study participants to ensure the prospective agreement for participating in the study.

Results

A total of 896 pregnant women registered at MOH antenatal clinics in Nablus during the study duration. Only 380 pregnant women met the study inclusion criteria. The basic demographic characteristics of these participants are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic and baseline clinical characteristics of pregnant women at the beginning of the study.

Variables	Category	No. (%)
Age (Years)	(17-25)	83 (21.8)
	(26-32)	209 (55)
	(33-41)	72 (18.9)
	(> 41)	16 (4.2)
Residency	Camps	16 (4)
	Villages	120 (45.6)
	City	244 (50.2)
Gravidity	1	109 (28.7)
	2	76 (20)
	3	54 (14.2)
	4	50 (13.2)
	>4	91 (23.9)
Parity	Zero	133 (35)
	1-3	174 (45.8)
	4-6	55 (14.5)
	6<	18 (4.7)
BMI (kg/m ²) (pre-pregnancy)	<18.5	12 (3.2)
	18.5-24.9	198 (52.1)
	25-29.9	101 (26.6)
	≥ 30	69 (18.1)
Smoking Status	Smokers	145 (38.2)
	Non smoking	235 (61.8)
Health problems	Anemia	140 (36.9)
	Vitamin D deficiency	7 (1.8)
Family monthly income	(NIS (low income 1000>	4 (1.1)
	NIS 1000-4000 (middle income)	249 (65.5)
	(NIS (high income 4000 <	127 (33.4)
Education level	Less than high school	65 (17.1)
	High school	112 (29.5)
	Diploma	91 (23.9)
	Bachelor	107(28.2)
	More than bachelors	5 (1.3)

Abbreviations: BMI - body mass index; Kg- kilogram; M- meter; NIS- New Israeli Shekel

Among included cases in this study, more than half of the participants (n=209) were aged between 26 to 32 years originating from the city (50.2%) (Table 1). Most women had at least one gravidity (28.7%) and 45.8% fell in the category of low multi-parity. There were 18 participants that had more than 6 parties as well. Similarly, more than half (52.1%) fell into the ideal BMI category while nearly every 2 out of five

women (38.2%) had a history of smoking. Anemia was observed as the most prevalent (36.9%) health problem at the first visit followed by vitamin D deficiency (n=7). Among secondary sociodemographic characteristics, the family income of the majority of participants (65.5%) was between 1000 to 4000 NIS (middle monthly income) and a little less than a third (28.2%) had a bachelor degree.

Seventy-seven pregnant women (20.3%) had at least one (one or more) pregnancy complication, while 303 women continued their pregnancies without any complications. PTL was the most common type complication (11.3%) observed followed by GDM and PIH, i.e. 7.9% each. Table 2 shows the distribution of pregnant women complications during pregnancy. Among fetal complications, LGA and SGA were the most common fetal complications with a percentage of 11.6% and 10.5%, respectively (Table 2).

Table 2: The most common pregnancy and fetal complications during pregnancy

Variable	Type of complication	No.	%
Pregnancy complications	PTL	43	11.3
	GDM	30	7.9
	PIH	30	7.9
	Preeclampsia	15	3.9
	Abortion	2	0.5
Fetal complications	LGA	44	11.6
	SGA	40	10.5
	IUGR	8	2.1
	IUFD	6	1.6

Abbreviations: PIH- pregnancy induced hypertension; PTL- Preterm labor; GDM-gestational diabetes mellitus; LGA - Large for gestational age; SGA - Small for gestational age; IUGR - Intrauterine growth restriction; IUFD - Intrauterine fetal death.

Upon analyzing the data for the event of maternal complications associated with pregnancy (Table 3), living in a camp was found to increase the odds of having pregnancy-associated complications (OR = 2.35; 95% CI 1.02- 5.45). Similarly, having first-time pregnancy (OR = 14.9; 95% CI 1.09- 202.5) or reaching parity one through three times (OR = 16.8; 95% CI 1.47- 192.5) had significantly higher odds of having maternal pregnancy complication than the other groups. Contrarily, a higher value of gravidity (>4) reduced the risk to have pregnancy complications (OR = 0.11; 95% CI 0.03- 0.47).

Table 3: Binary logistic regression analysis for women complications during pregnancy

Variables	p-value	O.R (95% CI)
Age Categories (in years)		
> 41 [Reference group]	0.58	
17-25	0.76	1.38 (0.18-10.69)
26-32	0.61	1.68 (0.23-12.08)
33-41	0.37	2.53 (0.33- 19.27)
BMI Categories		
>30 Kg/m ² [Reference group]	0.72	
< 18 Kg/m ²	0.48	0.53 (0.09-3.11)
18-24.9 Kg/m ²	0.27	0.64 (0.29-1.40)
25-29.9 Kg/m ²	0.51	0.76 (0.34-1.70)
Place of Residence		
City [Reference group]	0.13	
Village	0.51	1.24 (0.64- 2.38)
Camp	0.05*	2.35 (1.02- 5.45)
Monthly family income		
High: (>4000 NIS) [Reference group]	0.58	
Low: (< 1000 NIS)	0.83	0.31 (0.11-15.0)
Middle: (1000-4000 NIS)	0.33	0.75 (0.42-1.34)
Gravida		
>4 [Reference group]	0.06	
1	0.28	0.44 (0.10-2.0)
2	0.10	0.39 (0.12-1.21)
3	0.17	0.45 (0.14-1.42)
4	0.003*	0.11 (0.03-0.47)
Parity		
>6 [Reference group]	0.11	
Zero	0.04*	14.9 (1.09-202.5)
1-3	0.023*	16.8 (1.47- 192.5)
4-6	0.133	6.04 (0.58- 63.2)
Anemia [yes versus no]	0.64	0.87 (0.50-1.54)
Smoking [yes versus no]	0.66	0.88 (0.50-1.55)

Abbreviations: BMI - body mass index; kg - kilogram; M - meter; NIS - New Israeli Shekel.

In the case of fetal complications (Table 4), an increase in age gradually but significantly increased the odds of acquiring such complications despite were the youngest age group's highest negative odds, i.e. OR = 0.04 (95% CI 0.08- 0.23). In other words; age younger than 41 years is a protective factor for fetal complications, because odds are <1. Although any trend was not visible in the parity-based grouping, four to six parities posed a significantly higher risk (OR=36.17; 95% CI 4.88- 268) for fetal complications. Similarly, smoking borderline increased the odds (OR=1.25; 95% CI 1.0-3.07) of having fetal complication.

Table 4: Binary logistic regression analysis for fetal complications during pregnancy

Variables	P-value	O.R (95% CI)
Age Categories (in years)		
> 41 [Reference group]	0.003	
17-25	0.000*	0.04 (0.08-0.23)
26-32	0.001*	0.06 (0.01-0.32)
33-41	0.008*	0.10 (0.02- 0.55)
BMI Categories		
> 30 Kg/m ² [Reference group]	0.122	
< 18 Kg/m ²	0.416	1.9 (0.41-8.67)
18-24.9 Kg/m ²	0.439	0.73 (0.34-1.61)
25-29.9 Kg/m ²	0.070	0.45 (0.19-1.07)
Place of Residence		
City [Reference group]	0.659	
Village	0.626	0.5 (0.44- 1.63)
Camp	0.399	0.67 (0.27-1.68)
Monthly family income		
High: (>4000 NIS) [Reference group]	0.906	
Low: (< 1000 NIS)	0.798	0.72 (0.06-8.71)
Middle: (1000-4000 NIS)	0.688	0.89 (0.50-1.60)
Gravida		
>4 [Reference group]	0.201	
1	0.470	1.70 (0.40-7.13)
2	0.609	1.36 (0.42-4.37)
3	0.858	1.12 (0.33-3.82)
4	0.070	0.29 (0.07-1.11)
Parity		
>6 [Reference group]	0.003	
Zero	0.020*	12.43 (1.48-104.1)
1-3	0.023*	10.14 (1.38-74.5)
4-6	0.000*	36.17 (4.88- 268)
Anemia [yes versus no]	0.105	0.63 (0.36-1.10)
Smoking [yes versus no]	0.049*	1.76 (1.0-3.07)

Abbreviations: BMI- body mass index; Kg- kilogram; M- meter; NIS- New Israeli Shekel

Discussion

This is the one of the first study reporting the baseline data of pregnancy complications among Palestinian pregnant women. It establishes that more than 20% of Palestinian pregnant women have at least one complication with PTL being most frequent and GDM and PIH, equally, being second most frequent. Among fetal complications, LGA and SGA were the most commonly recorded complications during pregnancy.

Studies conducted in Palestine neighboring countries reported similar results in the past. A Turkish study reported 23.9% of women experiencing complications during their pregnancies [28]. Among the complications, PIH and GDM were the most commonly reported. Similarly, a retrospective study from

Dubai also reported PIH and GDM as the most identified complications [29]. Apart from that, PTL was the most commonly observed complication in a Jordan [30]. Results from the current study indicate that participants in our group depicted sort of similar complications that are prevalent in the region.

Among fetal pregnancy complications, the current study identified SGA and LGA as the most common fetal complications during pregnancy (Table 2). This finding was consistent with previous studies identifying these complications as the most prevalent ones affecting fetuses during the pregnancy [31–34]. Moreover, the incidence rates for SGA and LGA in the current cohort of participants were similar to the rate of 16-20% of pregnant women reported previously [35, 36].

Regarding risk factors of pregnancy complications, we found that both nulliparity and low parity (previous 1 to 3 live births) women had higher risk of having complications during pregnancy when compared to high parity (>6) women. This means, both nulliparity and low parity were risk factor of complications during pregnancy. Parity has an inconclusive effect on pregnancy complications. Some studies showed different results and somewhat discrepancies regarding parity and pregnancy complications. Parities of three and above are considered a risk factor for GDM (37), while PTL was reported in some studies among high multiparity (≥ 5) women [18, 38]. On the other hand, nulliparous women are also reported to be at a greater risk of having pregnancy complications than women with other parities [31, 38, 39, 40]. A significant association between different parity levels and pregnancy complications (including GDM, PIH, and PTL) was also reported by Bai *et al* study [41]. The study showed that the risk of any obstetric complications was higher among nulliparous women compared to parity one women. However, the study also revealed that multiparous women (>4) were also at a higher risk of pregnancy complication as compared to women with parity one. A recent meta-analysis of the Asian population identified only multiparity as a risk factor of GDM [42]. Moreover, Shechter-Maor *et al* (2020) reported that primiparous women (having 1 previous live birth) were at higher risk of having pregnancy complications than multiparous women [43]. The conflicting results of parity in different studies pointed to the complexity of the association between possible risk factors of pregnancy complications. This means, there may be a possible influence of further factors that contribute to a higher risk of these complications, such as ethnicity, socio-economic status, family history, and previous history of these complications.

An interesting result from the current study was gravida not having any adverse association with pregnancy complications. Instead, it depicted a statistically significant negative effect against pregnancy complications (O.R =0.11). The results identified pregnant women, mainly of gravida 4, being at lower risk of having pregnancy complications than higher gravida women. The degree of gravida or gravida status is rarely reported in previous studies as a risk factor or protective factor of pregnancy complications. In this context, Heaman and colleagues reported that being a primigravida is a risk factor of PTL [44]. However, the said study also showed that being a multigravida woman with a previous history of PTL was a common risk factor for PTL and eclampsia as well. Additionally, primigravid women are previously highlighted by another researcher from Australia as well in a way that primigravid women have much higher rates of maternal complications [41]. However, a recent study from South India showed that

gravity was not associated with high-risk pregnancy and included complications such as anemia, PIH and GDM [40].

The current study revealed that pregnant women living in camps had greater risk of having pregnancy complications (O.R=2.35) as compared to women living in cities. The possible explanation might be due to lagging pregnant women living in camps in getting health care services regularly due to social, educational and economic conditions which are known to be much lower in Palestinian camps than cities and towns.

Regression analysis of current study results missed the age as a common risk factor for having complications during pregnancy. It was contrary to the Jordanian study that identified older women (age > 40) to be at high risk of such adverse pregnancy complications [30]. Similarly, many other international studies have also reported that advancing age (women ≥ 40 years) is a potent risk factor of pregnancy complications [45–48]. Advanced age was also identified to be a risk factor for having specific complications during pregnancy such as GDM [49, 50], pre-eclampsia [39], and PIH [51]. However, the current study did not find age as a risk factor for maternal pregnancy complications; this might be due to the small number of pregnant women with advanced age in our study sample.

Contrarily, the regression model for fetal pregnancy complications from current data managed to identify younger age (17-40 years) as a protective factor of fetal complications during pregnancy. This indicated that these complications were more common to be seen among mothers with older age (>41 years). This has previously been reported by some studies where fetuses of older-age women (≥ 40 years) are prone to endure complications such as IUD [52] IUGR [48, 53], LGA [54], and SGA [55]. Yet, some other studies reported age of 35 years and above as the cut-off for increased risk of complications such as LGA, but this cut-off point did not found age as a risk for SGA fetal complications [32].

However, both LGA and SGA have indecisive trend across the studies. As an example, LGA was more commonly reported among women aged 40 years old and more when compared to younger pregnant women aged 30-34 [56]. However, the result was insignificant when comparing women aged women 35-39 years with the reference group pregnant women (30-34 years). Moreover, other showed women age 30 to 34 years old had lower rates of SGA compared with women age 20 to 29 [57]. This phenomenon was also established when SGA was more frequently reported among women age 35 to 40 years old compared with women aged between 20 to 24 [58]. Yet another angle of the relationship between age and fetal complications is that young age women (<19 years) had a lower risk of having fetal complications such as LGA [60]. Nonetheless, current results indicate that advanced age women are at higher risk of having fetal complications during pregnancy (Table 4) as reported earlier [48, 52, 53, 56, 58].

The results of the current study showed a relationship between parity status and fetal complications; fetal complications were more commonly seen among mothers with nulliparity, low multi-parity (1-3 previous live births) and high multi-parity (4-6 previous live births) compared to the reference group (Table 4), indicating women with high parities had a lower risk of fetal complications in our sample. Some studies reported that nulliparous women had significant associations with adverse fetal complications

compared with multiparous women [31, 60] where nulliparous women depict significant adverse association with SGA [61]. Additionally, the increased risk of fetal complications such as SGA [32, 62] and IUGR [43] is also reported to be higher among primiparous mothers than multiparous mothers. Contrarily, there has been a study indicating LGA as more common to see among very high parity women (10-14 parities), but a lower risk of SGA was reported among this group [18]. However, since the study did not include nulliparous women in the analysis, it is difficult to compare our results with it. Moreover, a meta-analysis concluded that women who were both multiparous (≥ 3) and had their age ranging between 18-34 years had some protective effect against SGA [31]. Similarly, another study showed that only nulliparous women aged ≥ 30 years but not multiparous women were at higher risk of having SGA when compared with nulliparous women age 20 to 29 [58]. This may indicate that not only the level of parity may affect fetal complications, but the explanation of this could also be due to the existence of other influencing factors such as maternal age or the existing relationship between specific parity levels with specific fetal complications.

The current study revealed that the continuation of smoking during pregnancy is quite common among Palestinian pregnant women. The high prevalence of smoking could be attributed to the increase in the Shish smoking practice among all Arab countries which itself is becoming an acceptable attitude among both men and women. Regression analysis showed that smoking women were at a higher risk of having fetal complications during pregnancy than non-smokers. This result confirmed previous findings whereby smoking in pregnancy is an established risk factor for fetal complications such as SGA [17, 25, 44, 62, 63, 64] and IUGR [65, 66] in plenty of previous studies as a risk factor. It is well known that cigarette smoking during pregnancy decreases the carrying capacity of oxygen for both fetal and maternal blood, which in turn diminishes the oxygen available to the fetus at the tissue level and further effect fetal oxy-hemoglobin dissociation [67]. Hence, the current study, once again, confirms the importance of smoking as a major risk factor for fetal complications during pregnancy.

Strengths and Limitations

This being one of the first studies to report baseline data regarding maternal and fetal pregnancy complications among Palestinian pregnant women, also has some limitations need to be addressed. There was no information collected about the history of previous pregnancy complications such as previous pre-eclampsia, abortion, PIH or GDM. Moreover, pregnant women who had pre-existing medical problems such as hypertension, diabetes, and epilepsy were not included in the study; this can underestimate the prevalence of complications during pregnancy. However, despite these limitations, we believe this study contributes to the literature related to the identification and evaluation of complications and risk factors of complications occurring during pregnancy.

Conclusion

Fetal and pregnancy complications are prevalent among Palestinian pregnant women. LGA and SGA were mostly reported as fetal complications while pregnant women were more likely to suffer from GDM,

PIH and PTL as pregnancy complications. Based on findings, age younger than 41 years was a protective factor for fetal complications, but not the complications of pregnancy. Additionally, fetal complications were more prevalent among smoking mothers and women with ≤ 6 previous live births. In the further context of parity, parity of three and below, and living in camps were risk factors that increase the risk of pregnancy complications. However, it was equally interesting to note that gravida 4 was a protective factor for pregnancy complications. We strongly recommend better pregnancy monitoring and early screening of pregnant women to identify the risk for these complications which can help to either avoid or timely action against those risky situations.

List Of Abbreviations

BMI: body mass index; GDM: gestational diabetes; IUFD: Intra uterine fetal death; IUGR: Intra uterine growth restriction; Kg: kilogram; LGA: large-for-gestational age; M: meter, MOH: ministry of health; NIS: New Israeli Shekel; OR: odds ratio; PIH: pregnancy induced hypertension; PTL: Preterm labor; SGA: Small for gestational age; WHO: World Health Organization.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Institutional review board (IRB) committee from An-Najah National University and by Palestinian Ministry of Health that permitted access to antenatal clinics and medical files. A signed written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants to ensure the prospective agreement of pregnant women participating in the study. The study methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations of Helsinki Declaration.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials:

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors demonstrated no conflicts of interest in publishing this manuscript.

Funding

This study received no funding from any organization.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the study. NN, MT, SA conceived and designed the study. NN, MT contributed to data collection and assisted in completing the study. NN, SA analyzed the data. SA interpreted the data and wrote the final draft of the manuscript. The authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Corresponding author: Correspondence to Sana' M.H. Al-Aqqad.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pregnancy Complications [Internet]. Division of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. 2020. [cited 2021 Mar 10]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/pregnancy-complications.html>
2. World Health Organization (WHO), UNICEF UNPF. Managing complications in pregnancy and childbirth: a guide for midwives and doctors – 2nd ed. [Internet]. 2017. Available from: https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/managing-complications-pregnancy-childbirth/en/
3. World Health Organization (WHO). Pregnancy-related complications [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://www.who.int/surgery/challenges/esc_pregnancy_more/en/
4. Office on Women's Health. Pregnancy: pregnancy complications. (2010) [cited 2021 Mar 10]. Available from <http://www.womenshealth.gov/pregnancy/you-are-pregnant/pregnancy-complications.html>
5. US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health (NIH). What are some common complications of pregnancy? [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://www.nichd.nih.gov/health/topics/pregnancy/conditioninfo/complications>
6. Zhang C, Rawal S, Chong YS. Risk factors for gestational diabetes: is prevention possible? *Diabetologia*. 2016;59(7):1385–90.
7. Challis JRG, Lye SJ, Gibb W, Whittle W, Patel F, Alfaidy N. Understanding preterm labor. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2001;943(1):225–34.
8. Sayres Jr WG. Preterm labor. *Fam Med Obstet E-b*. 2008;50(5):352.
9. Gynecologists AC of O and. ACOG practice bulletin no. 200: Early pregnancy loss. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(5):e197–207.
10. Hoyert DL, Gregory ECW. Cause of fetal death: Data from the Fetal Death Report, 2014. National vital statistics reports. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2016; 65(7) .
11. Kintiraki E, Papakatsika S, Kotronis G, Goulis DG, Kotsis V. Pregnancy-induced hypertension. *Hormones*. 2015;14(2):211–23.

12. Eiland E, Nzerue C, Faulkner M. Preeclampsia 2012. *J Pregnancy*. 2012;2012.
13. Roberts JM, Gammill HS. Preeclampsia: recent insights. *Hypertension*. 2005;46(6):1243–9.
14. Hernández-Díaz S, Toh S, Cnattingius S. Risk of pre-eclampsia in first and subsequent pregnancies: Prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2009;
15. Setji TL, Brown AJ, Feinglos MN. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Clin diabetes*. 2005;23(1):17–24.
16. Reece EA. The fetal and maternal consequences of gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Matern neonatal Med*. 2010;23(3):199–203.
17. Kleijer ME, Dekker GA, Heard AR. Risk factors for intrauterine growth restriction in a socio-economically disadvantaged region. *J Matern Neonatal Med*. 2005;18(1):23–30.
18. Aliyu MH, Salihu HM, Keith LG, Ehiri JE, Islam MA, Jolly PE. High parity and fetal morbidity outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;105(5):1045–51.
19. Simmons R. Abnormalities of fetal growth. In: *Avery's Diseases of the Newborn*. Elsevier; 2018. p. 61–9.
20. WEISSMANN-BRENNER A, Simchen MJ, Zilberberg E, Kalter A, Weisz B, Achiron R, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes of large for gestational age pregnancies. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2012;91(7):844–9.
21. Gleason CA, Juul SE. *Avery's diseases of the newborn e-book*. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2017.
22. Kaul P, Bowker SL, Savu A, Yeung RO, Donovan LE, Ryan EA. Association between maternal diabetes, being large for gestational age and breast-feeding on being overweight or obese in childhood. *Diabetologia*. 2019;62(2):249–58.
23. Johnsson IW, Haglund B, Ahlsson F, Gustafsson J. A high birth weight is associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes and obesity. *Pediatr Obes*. 2015;10(2):77–83.
24. Kok JH, Lya den Ouden A, Verloove-Vanhorick SP, Brand R. Outcome of very preterm small for gestational age infants: the first nine years of life. *BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1998;105(2):162–8.
25. Doctor BA, O'Riordan MA, Kirchner HL, Shah D, Hack M. Perinatal correlates and neonatal outcomes of small for gestational age infants born at term gestation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;185(3):652–9.
26. Resnik R. Intrauterine growth restriction. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;99(3):490–6.
27. Suhag A, Berghella V. Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR): etiology and diagnosis. *Curr Obstet Gynecol Rep*. 2013;2(2):102–11.
28. Aydin N, Ozcirpici B, Temizer M. Prevalence of pregnancy complications among women aged 15-49 in Oğuzeli, Turkey. *Open J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;6(11):623.
29. Hussein H, Al Faisal W, Monsef NA, Abdul Rahim WMS, Eshaq AA, Alram M, et al. Impact of Gestational Weight Gain on Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes Among Pregnant Women Attending Latifa Hospital, Dubai, UAE 2013; 1(4): 242-246.

30. Athamneh T, Meqdadi M, Khader Y, Mashaqbeh M, Rawashdeh M, Al-Qudah AA, et al. Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes: Prevalence in the North of Jordan. *J R Med Serv.* 2013;102(903):1–7.
31. Kozuki N, Lee ACC, Silveira MF, Sania A, Vogel JP, Adair L, et al. The associations of parity and maternal age with small-for-gestational-age, preterm, and neonatal and infant mortality: a meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health.* 2013;13(3):1–10.
32. Schimmel MS, Bromiker R, Hammerman C, Chertman L, Ioscovich A, Granovsky-Grisaru S, et al. The effects of maternal age and parity on maternal and neonatal outcome. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2015;291(4):793–8.
33. Chiavaroli V, Castorani V, Guidone P, Derraik JGB, Liberati M, Chiarelli F, et al. Incidence of infants born small-and large-for-gestational-age in an Italian cohort over a 20-year period and associated risk factors. *Ital J Pediatr.* 2016;42(1):1–7.
34. Teixeira MPC, Queiroga TPR, Mesquita M dos A. Frequency and risk factors for the birth of small-for-gestational-age newborns in a public maternity hospital. *Einstein (Sao Paulo).* 2016;14(3):317–23.
35. Chauhan SP, Rice MM, Grobman WA, Bailit J, Reddy UM, Wapner RJ, et al. Neonatal morbidity of small-and large-for-gestational-age neonates born at term in uncomplicated pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130(3):511.
36. Chen H-Y, Chauhan SP, Ward TCS, Mori N, Gass ET, Cisler RA. Aberrant fetal growth and early, late, and postneonatal mortality: an analysis of Milwaukee births, 1996–2007. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;204(3):261-e1.
37. Cypryk K, Szymczak W, Czupryniak L, Sobczak M, Lewiński A. Gestational diabetes mellitus-an analysis of risk factors. *Endokrynol Pol.* 2008;59(5):393–7.
38. Majoko F, Nyström L, Munjanja SP, Mason E, Lindmark G. Relation of parity to pregnancy outcome in a rural community in Zimbabwe. *Afr J Reprod Health.* 2004;198–206.
39. Duckitt K, Harrington D. Risk factors for pre-eclampsia at antenatal booking: systematic review of controlled studies. *Bmj.* 2005;330(7491):565.
40. Majella MG, Sarveswaran G, Krishnamoorthy Y, Sivaranjini K, Arikrishnan K, Kumar SG. A longitudinal study on high risk pregnancy and its outcome among antenatal women attending rural primary health centre in Puducherry, South India. *J Educ Health Promot.* 2019;8.
41. Bai J, Wong FWS, Bauman A, Mohsin M. Parity and pregnancy outcomes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;186(2):274–8.
42. Lee KW, Ching SM, Ramachandran V, Yee A, Hoo FK, Chia YC, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of gestational diabetes mellitus in Asia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2018;18(1):1–20.
43. Shechter-Maor G, Sadeh-Mestechkin D, Paz YG, Halevy RS, Markovitch O, Biron-Shental T. Does parity affect pregnancy outcomes in the elderly gravida? *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2020;301(1):85–91.
44. Heaman M, Kingston D, Chalmers B, Sauve R, Lee L, Young D. Risk Factors for Preterm Birth and Small-for-gestational-age Births among Canadian Women. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2013;27(1):54–61.

45. Luke B, Brown MB. Elevated risks of pregnancy complications and adverse outcomes with increasing maternal age. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;22(5):1264–72.
46. Cavazos-Rehg PA, Krauss MJ, Spitznagel EL, Bommarito K, Madden T, Olsen MA, et al. Maternal age and risk of labor and delivery complications. *Matern Child Health J.* 2015;19(6):1202–11.
47. Delbaere I, Verstraelen H, Goetgeluk S, Martens G, De Backer G, Temmerman M. Pregnancy outcome in primiparae of advanced maternal age. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2007;135(1):41–6.
48. Zapata-Masias Y, Marqueta B, Roig MDG, Gonzalez-Bosquet E. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes in women \geq 40 years of age: Associations with fetal growth disorders. *Early Hum Dev.* 2016;100:17–20.
49. Khan R, Ali K, Khan Z. Socio-demographic risk factors of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Pakistan J Med Sci.* 2013;29(3):843.
50. Teh WT, Teede HJ, Paul E, Harrison CL, Wallace EM, Allan C. Risk factors for gestational diabetes mellitus: implications for the application of screening guidelines. *Aust New Zeal J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2011;51(1):26–30.
51. Zhang J, Zeisler J, Hatch MC, Berkowitz G. Epidemiology of pregnancy-induced hypertension. *Epidemiol Rev.* 1997;19(2):218–32.
52. Ohana O, Holcberg G, Sergienko R, Sheiner E. Risk factors for intrauterine fetal death (1988–2009). *J Matern Neonatal Med.* 2011;24(9):1079–83.
53. Mook-Kanamori DO, Steegers EAP, Eilers PH, Raat H, Hofman A, Jaddoe VW V. Risk factors and outcomes associated with first-trimester fetal growth restriction. *Jama.* 2010;303(6):527–34.
54. Kenny LC, Lavender T, McNamee R, O'Neill SM, Mills T, Khashan AS. Advanced maternal age and adverse pregnancy outcome: evidence from a large contemporary cohort. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(2):e56583.
55. Khalil A, Syngelaki A, Maiz N, Zinevich Y, Nicolaidis KH. Maternal age and adverse pregnancy outcome: a cohort study. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;42(6):634–43.
56. Radoń-Pokracka M, Adrianowicz B, Płonka M, Danił P, Nowak M, Huras H. Evaluation of Pregnancy Outcomes at Advanced Maternal Age. Open access Maced J Med Sci. 2019;7(12):1951.
57. Palatnik A, De Cicco S, Zhang L, Simpson P, Hibbard J, Egede LE. The association between advanced maternal age and diagnosis of small for gestational age. *Am J Perinatol.* 2020;37(01):37–43.
58. Cnattingius S, Forman MR, Berendes HW, Isotalo L. Delayed childbearing and risk of adverse perinatal outcome: a population-based study. *Jama.* 1992;268(7):886–90.
59. Luangkwan S, Vetchapanpasat S, Panditpanitcha P, Yimsabai R, Subhaluksuksakorn P, Loyd RA, et al. Risk factors of small for gestational age and large for gestational age at buriram hospital. *J Med Assoc Thai.* 2015;98(Suppl 4):S71–8.
60. McCowan L, Horgan RP. Risk factors for small for gestational age infants. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2009;23(6):779–93.
61. Shah PS, births KSG on D of L. Parity and low birth weight and preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2010;89(7):862–75.

62. Thompson JMD, Clark PM, Robinson E, Becroft DMO, Pattison NS, Glavish N, et al. Risk factors for small-for-gestational-age babies: The Auckland Birthweight Collaborative Study. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2001;37(4):369–75.
63. Nohr EA, Vaeth M, Baker JL, Sørensen TIA, Olsen J, Rasmussen KM. Pregnancy outcomes related to gestational weight gain in women defined by their body mass index, parity, height, and smoking status. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;90(5):1288–94.
64. Cnattingius S, Forman MR, Berendes HW, Graubard BI, Isotalo L. Effect of age, parity, and smoking on pregnancy outcome: a population-based study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;168(1):16–21.
65. Delpisheh A, Brabin L, Drummond S, Brabin BJ. Prenatal smoking exposure and asymmetric fetal growth restriction. *Ann Hum Biol*. 2008;35(6):573–83.
66. Vardavas CI, Chatzi L, Patelarou E, Plana E, Sarri K, Kafatos A, et al. Smoking and smoking cessation during early pregnancy and its effect on adverse pregnancy outcomes and fetal growth. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2010;169(6):741–8.
67. Cole P V, Hawkins LH, Roberts D. Smoking during pregnancy and its effects on the fetus. *BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1972;79(9):782–7.