Characterization of Novel Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS)-based Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs) and Their Application for Population Genomics of *Capoeta Aculeata* (Valenciennes, 1844)

Habibollah Gandomkar (✉ gandomkar.habib@gmail.com)

Iranian Fisheries Research Organization

Seyed Pezhman Hosseini Shekarabi

Islamic Azad University

Hossein Ali Abdolhay

Iranian Fisheries Research Organization

Sajad Nazari

Iranian Fisheries Research Organization

Mehdi Shamsaei Mehrjan

Islamic Azad University

Research Article

Keywords: *Capoeta aculeata*, microsatellite markers, next generation sequencing (NGS), Genetic structure

Posted Date: May 26th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-514326/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Molecular Biology Reports on August 22nd, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-021-06653-x>.

Abstract

The species *Capoeta aculeata* (Valenciennes, 1844) is one of the most important freshwater species endemic to Iran. However, the investigation of a population genetic structure of this species is limited by the low number of molecular markers currently described. In this study, we implemented next generation sequencing technology to identify polymorphic microsatellite markers and investigate the population genetic structure of *C. aculeata* sampled from three geographical sites in Iran. We sequenced 60 individuals from three populations occurring in the Zagros basin. We characterized and developed 36 novel polymorphic microsatellite markers and these loci were examined in 120 individuals from three populations occurring in the Zagros basin. The average number of alleles per locus varied from 1.7 to 16. (average = 7.89). The results showed that, the polymorphism information content (PCI) of these SSR loci varied from 0.254 to 0.888. The observed heterozygosity (H_o) per locus ranged from 0.170 to 0.881, while the expected heterozygosity (H_e) per locus was from 0.170 to 0.881. Among these SSR loci, 20 loci deviated significantly from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium after Bonferroni correction ($p < 0.05$). These microsatellite markers could provide a valuable tool for future population and conservation genetics studies of *C. aculeata* populations and other closely related species.

Introduction

The genus *Capoeta* is a herbivorous cyprinid genus and highly diversified with 14 species and widely distributed in water bodies of Western Iran. Kohgiluyeh and Boyer-Ahmad Province in the southwest part of Iran is a region with high number of endemism in some freshwater fish species including *Capoeta aculeata* (Valenciennes, 1844) (Zareian et al., 2016). However, populations of this species have experienced significant declines over the last 20 years, due primarily to habitat loss and fragmentation caused by water storage and land clearing. The previous phylogenetic and phylogeographic studies found that populations of *C. aculeata* are different from the others (Freyhof et al., 2014).

Simple sequence repeat (SSR), also known as microsatellite markers are highly variable DNA sequences, distributed throughout eukaryotic genomes (Sunnucks, 2000; Zane et al., 2002). Given its co-dominant inheritance, bi-parental mode of inheritance, polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based, high amplification success rate and levels of polymorphism, microsatellite markers have been used in a wide range of applications in population genetics, molecular ecology, conservation genetics and quantitative trait loci (QTL) identification studies (Chang et al., 2009; Xue et al., 2014; Horner et al., 2016; Montanari et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the *conventional* methods for developing SSR markers are believed to be the key *element* which limits the application of microsatellite markers due to they are expensive, low efficiency, laborious and *time-consuming* (Jia et al., 2013; Perina et al., 2016). In fact, SSR markers are tremendously effective tools in population genetic studies because they might reveal the *distinct population Segments* (DPSSs) even in *fine-scale genetic* structure studies. Until now, microsatellite loci have not been reported in this species (Gandomkar et al., 2020).

The major advantage of Next generation sequencing (NGS) technique is their ability to produce large amounts of sequence data and it can provide wide genetic information of simple sequence repeat (SSR) or *microsatellite* loci. Restriction-site associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) is a powerful tool to develop *microsatellite* and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers, which uses Illumina next-generation sequencing (NGS) platforms to discover informative volume of independent markers in a lot of specimens at the same time (Feng et al. 2014; Xiao et al. 2018; Khoshkholgh and Nazari, 2020).

The reads created by RAD-seq can be grouped according to the *enzyme recognition sequence*, so this procedure could develop the *accuracy* and *precision* of *contigs assembly*, and consistently expand the success rate of polymorphic *microsatellite* markers development (Wei et al. 2014; Ewers-Saucedo et al. 2016). In comparison with other techniques, restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) gradually become the most promising method and can give *genome-wide* genotypic and sequence data adjacent to single *restriction enzyme* cut *sites* and *generate* reduced representation genomic libraries (Davey et al. 2011; Zhou et al. 2017). Recently, the characterization and development of RAD-seq have been used to report microsatellite markers in many cyprinid species, such as *Ctenopharyngodon Idella* (Yu et al. 2014), *Schizothorax prenanti* (Luo et al. 2016) and *Xenocypris argentea* (Peng et al. 2018). However, the genetic background of *C. aculeata* is limited and no microsatellite locus has been characterized for this species. In this study, RAD-seq was used to isolate and develop the first resource of microsatellite loci for *C. aculeata* from Iran.

Materials And Methods

In this study, fin clips of 120 individuals were collected from three wild *C. aculeata* populations inhabiting the Beshar, Khersan, Maroun rivers in Kohgiluyeh and Boyer-Ahmad Province in Iran (Fig. 1, Table 1). All procedures were approved by the Committee on Animal Care and Use, and Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO) and *Islamic Azad University*. The modified method (Nazari et al. 2016) was used to extract genomic DNA using the commercial DN-easy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen™, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The quality of DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Nanodrop ND1000) and 1% agarose-gel electrophoresis, after which DNA concentration of each sample was diluted to 100 ng in a 100 µL volume and stored at −20°C (Khoshkholgh and Nazari, 2019).

Screening of microsatellite loci by PCR

DNA was isolated and purified from fin clips (about 30–50 mg) or the muscle samples of each fish. DNA was extracted using the commercial DN-easy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen™, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions and standardized the final DNA concentration of each sample to 100 ng in a 10 µL volume (Nazari et al. 2016). DNA Extraction was examined for concentration using spectrophotometer (Nanodrop ND1000) and standardized to a specific concentration (for example, 100 ng/µL for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)). Quality and concentrations of DNA samples were proved on 1.0% agarose gels and evaluated using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer.

RAD library construction

RAD library preparation was carried out following the protocol of (Wang et al. 2016). Briefly, genomic DNA from each individual was digested for 2 h at 37°C in a 40 µL reaction at specific sites with *EcoRI-HF* restriction enzymes according to the RAD protocol (Bian et al. 2018). Adapter P1 containing individual-specific nucleotide barcodes was ligated to the digested product. Ligation reaction was performed in a 40 µL volume along with 5 µL of ligation buffer, 0.85 µL of P1 adapter, and 300 units of ligase for 2 h at 25°C. DNA was subsequently ligated to a second adapter (P2), which contains T overhangs. The ligated materials were then pooled, purified, eluted and subjected to PCR enrichment. Total genomic DNA samples were sheared to fragment DNA to an average size of 350 bp and a pool of adapter-ligated molecules with random, variable ends was generated. DNA fragments with lengths of 250–500 bp were isolated using the Qiagen Min Elute Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen™, Germany). The libraries constructed were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (BGI, China) using 150 bp paired-end reads.

The quality control of the raw sequences was initially evaluated with the program FastQC (Babraham Bioinformatics) (Andrews 2010). Reads were controlled with Cutadapt to eliminate potential ligated materials of Illumina adapters, permitting a 10% mismatch rate in the adapter sequence (Martin 2011). During this process, the *programs process_radtags* module and *clone_filter* from the *software Stacks* version 2.52 (<http://catchenlab.life.illinois.edu/stacks/>) were used to demultiplex data and trim adapter sequences and remove over-represented sequences, respectively (Catchen et al. 2013; Rochette et al. 2019). To avoid low-quality reads with artificial bias, the following thresholds were set (Li and Godzik 2006): reads with adapter contamination were removed; reads with ≥10% unidentified nucleotides were removed; reads with >50% bases having phred quality <5 were removed; putative duplication reads generated by PCR amplification in library construction were discarded; reads were checked for presence of the partial 5 bp *EcoRI* motif (AATTC). De novo assembly was performed using software SPAdes (Bankevich et al. 2012). The contigs shorter than 100 bp were removed from the assembly. To evaluate the quality of assembly, the reads involved in alignment were re-mapped onto the *assembled* genome using *BWAprogram* (version 0.7.17) (Li and Durbin, 2009).

All clean sequences were aligned to the reference assembly using *BWAprogram*. Microsatellite *motif* repeats of *mono* to *hexa-nucleotide microsatellites* were identified from the assembled genome using MicroSatellite identification tool (MISA) (<http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/>). The following criteria of motifs were required to be met: at least 10 repetitive *motifs* for mononucleotide, 6 repetitive *motifs* for di-nucleotide, and 5 repetitive *motifs* for tri- to hexa-nucleotide. The number of microsatellite loci, *repeat motifs*, number of repeats, *motifs* sequence length, repeat type, start and end location of the repeat sequence, and microsatellite sequence, were analyzed using MISA.

A total of 36 polymorphic loci were selected for validation by PCR. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reactions were performed in a final volume of 25 μL consisting of 1.2 μL of template DNA (100 ng), 2.5 μL of 10 $\times$ PCR buffer, 2.5 μL of Mg^{2+} (20 mM), 0.85 μL of dNTP mixture (2.5 mM each), 0.30 μL of each primer (10 pmol/ μL), 17.35 μL of ddH₂O, and 0.3 μL of 1 U/ μL Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen™, Germany). Amplified products were checked on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and sequenced using ABI Prism 3730 automated DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The PCR amplification procedure was performed in a thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, USA) using following program: first denaturation 94 °C, 30 s, one cycle; denaturation 94 °C, 30 s, 12 cycles; a specified annealing temperature for each primer pair for 30 s, 60 s, 12 cycles; then the products were extended at 72 °C for 7 min (for annealing temperatures of each primer pair, see Table 3). The PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.2% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide followed by visualization under UV light. SSR loci with single bands were chosen as candidates for additional validation.

Validation of microsatellite polymorphism

For validated SSR loci, each of the selected primer sequences was validated with 120 single individual of *C. aculeata* from three sampling locations in Iran. From fragment sizing and reporting, *GeneMapper* (v4.1) was used to analyze genotype data. The negative controls with nuclease-free sterile water were included in each PCR experiment.

For validated loci, statistics including the number of alleles (N_a), the effective number of alleles (N_e), the observed heterozygosity (H_o), expected heterozygosity (H_e) indices and inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) were calculated in GenAlex v6.5 (Peakall and Smouse 2012). The Hardy–Weinberg distribution (HWE) with Bonferroni correction was estimated at the population level using Arlequin v3.0 (Excoffier 2005). The inbreeding coefficient index (F_{IS}) and the polymorphism information content (PIC) were estimated using Genepop V4.7 (Rousset 2008).

Results

In the present study, the total GBS raw reads varied from 11.4 million to 22.38 million, with total raw nucleotides ranging from 0.93 Gb to 2.96 Gb. GBS sequence analysis and microsatellite mining were performed using a modified GBS analysis workflow without using a reference genome (Fig 2). After filtering low-quality reads and reads that lacked enzyme cutting sites by the *process_radtags* component of Stacks v1.39 software, the total number of clean reads kept in the libraries varied from 10.81 to 21.74 million, with an average of 15.72 million. The summary of sequencing reads generated for 30 samples of *C. aculeata* were listed in Table 2.

Of the 15,476 sequences, 16,706 microsatellite loci were found by MISA in *C. aculeata* genome sequences. The number of 926 sequences contains more than one microsatellite. Among the number of microsatellite loci, dinucleotide repeats were the most abundant (6843, 51.91%), followed by trinucleotide repeats (2991, 22.69%), mononucleotide repeats (2756, 20.91%) tetranucleotide repeats (478, 3.62%), pentanucleotide repeats (94, 0.71%), and hexanucleotide repeats (18, 0.13%).

In the present study, the average of the allele numbers inspected at each locus varied between 1.7 for locus *Ca04* to 16 for locus *Ca25* (Table 2). The results showed that, the polymorphism information content (PIC) of these SSR loci varied from 0.254 to 0.888. The observed heterozygosity (H_o) per locus ranged from 0.170 to 0.881, while the expected heterozygosity (H_e) per locus was from 0.213 to 0.884. The final set of all microsatellite loci were most informative (PIC > 0.50). Across all samples, 20 SSR loci showed significant deviations from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium after Bonferroni correction, ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2).

Discussion

For non-model organisms, microsatellite loci were generally identified by 5' anchor PCR (Ling et al. 2013), transcriptome sequence analysis (Ariede et al. 2018; Han et al. 2018), and microsatellite library screening (Bazsalovicsová et al. 2018) and development of microsatellite loci through cross-species amplification of closely related species (Gravley et al. 2018). In the present study, RAD-seq method was used for isolating microsatellite loci in *C. aculeata*. In contrast with conventional approaches, RAD-seq approach is considered to be faster and profitable. Furthermore, this method can produce a large number of microsatellite markers for one time (Peng et al. 2018; Xiao et al. 2018).

Population structure genetic is very important for the sustainability of many species (Khoshkholgh and Nazari, 2019). Conservation management plans with no prior information of the genetic background could result in disturbance to the genetic structure with adverse effects on the gene pools of wild populations (Khoshkholgh and Nazari, 2020). Until now, the microsatellite loci have not been carefully developed for *C. aculeata* which has posed a serious obstacle to conservation and management of this species (Gandomkar et al., 2020). The current study detected 16,706 microsatellite markers in *C. aculeata* genome using MISA, which accounted for 2.12% of the total genome sequence. The relative abundance of microsatellite sequences was calculated at 1.16 loci per kb of *C. aculeata* genomes. In general, the frequencies of microsatellite loci are expected to decrease with increasing repeat length due to longer repeats have a higher possibility of being mutated. (Pathak et al., 2013), and this tendency has been identified in many organisms (Guichoux et al., 2011; Zalapa et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013). In summary, this is the first report of SSR loci in *C. aculeata* developed using RAD-seq and therefore these SSR markers would provide an invaluable resource for population genetics and natural resource conservation in *C. aculeata*.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Research Fund for the *department of fisheries science of the Islamic Azad University of Tehran, Iran*.

Author contributions

Habibollah Gandomkar made the literature, sample collection, material preparation. Seyed Pezhman Hosseini Shekarabi has major contribution to the preliminary study design and interpretation of data. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Hossein Ali Abdolhay. Sajad Nazari designed the experiment, institutional support and supervision of the preliminary experiment and made the data analysis. Mehdi Shamsaei Mehrjan has significant contributions to the laboratory work and interpretation of the results. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

*Consent to Participate (Ethics)

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

*Consent to Publish (Ethics)

The participant has consented to the submission of the report to the journal.

Availability of data and material (data transparency)

The datasets generated are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Informed consent: This research does not involve humans and therefore informed consents are not applicable.

Ethical approval

The main research project researcher of this work was approved for animal ethics by Iranian Fisheries Sciences Research Institute (IFSRI) for scientific purposes development, Iran. All applicable guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed by the authors. The experiment was approved for Animal Welfare by the Institutional Animal Welfare Committee of Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Iran.

References

Andrews S (2010) FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

Ariede, R. B., Freitas, M. V., Hata, M. E., Matrochirico-Filho, V. A., Utsunomia, R., Mendonça, F. F., et al. (2018). Development of microsatellite markers using next-generation sequencing for the fish *Colossoma macropomum*. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 45, 9–18. doi: 10.1007/s11033-017-4134-z

Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Pribelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA (2012) SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* 19:455–477

Bazsalovicsová, E.; Koleničová, A.; Králová-Hromadová, I.; Minárik, G.; Šoltys, K.; Kuchta, R.; Štefka, J. Development of microsatellite loci in zoonotic tapeworm *Dibothriocephalus latus* (Linnaeus, 1758), Lühe, 1899 (syn. *Diphyllbothrium latum*) using microsatellite library screening. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 2018, 225, 1–3.

Catchen J P, Hohenlohe SB, Amores A, Cresko W (2013) *Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics*. **Mol Ecol** 22(11):3124-3140.

Chang Y, Feng Z, Yu J, Ding J (2009) Genetic variability analysis in five populations of the sea cucumber *Stichopus* (*Apostichopus*) *japonicus* from China, Russia, South Korea and Japan as revealed by microsatellite markers. *Mar Ecol* 30:455–461.

Cheng J, Hui M, Li, Y., Sha, Z. (2020) Genomic evidence of population genetic differentiation in deep-sea squat lobster *Shinkaia crosnieri* (crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura) from Northwestern Pacific hydrothermal vent and cold seep. *Deep-Sea Res Part I* 156, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2019.103188>.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G et al (2011) The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27:2156–2158.

Davey JW, Hohenlohe PA, Etter PD, Boone JQ, Catchen JM, Blaxter ML (2011) Genome-wide genetic marker discovery and genotyping using next-generation sequencing. *Nat Rev Genet* 12:499–510

Duan, C. X., Li, D. D., Sun, S. L., Wang, X. M. & Zhu, Z. D. (2014) Rapid development of microsatellite markers for *Callosobruchus chinensis* using illumina paired-end sequencing. *PLoS ONE*. **9**, e95458.

Ewers-Saucedo, C., Zardus, J. D. & Wares, J. P. (2016) Microsatellite loci discovery from next-generation sequencing data and loci characterization in the epizoic barnacle *Chelonibia testudinaria* (Linnaeus, 1758). *PeerJ*. **4**, e2019.

Excoffier L (2005) Arlequin (version 3.0): an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evol Bioinform.* <https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.34.L418>

Feng N, Ma H, Ma C et al (2014) Characterization of 40 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) via T m-shift assay in the mud crab (*Scylla paramamosain*). *Mol Biol Rep* 41:5467–5471. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-014-3420-2>

Freyhof J, EsmaeiliHR, Sayyadzadeh G, GeigerM(2014) Review of the crested loaches of the genus *Paracobitis* from Iran and Iraq with the description of four new species (Teleostei:Nemacheilidae). *Ichthyol Explor Freshw* 25(1):11–38

Gandomkar H, Shekarabi SPH, Abdolhay HA, Nazari S, Mehrjan MS. 2020. Genetic structure of the *Capoeta aculeata* populations inferred from microsatellite DNA loci. *Biodiversitas* 21: 4565-4570. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211014>

Gravley MC, Pierson BJ, Sage GK, Ramey AM, Talbot SL (2018) DNA microsatellite markers for northern fulmar (*Fulmaris glacialis*) and cross-species amplification in select seabird species, Alaska 2018. U.S. Geological Survey data release. <https://doi.org/10.5066/P9KWA9VZ>

Guichoux E, Lagache L, Wagner S, Chaumeil P, Léger P, Lepais O, Lepoittevin C, Malausa T, Revardel E, Salin F, Petit RJ (2011) Current trends in microsatellite genotyping. *Mol Ecol Resour* 11:591–611

- Han, Z., Xiao, S., Li, W., Ye, K., and Wang, Z. Y. (2018). The identification of growth, immune related genes and marker discovery through transcriptome in the yellow drum (*Nibea albiflora*). *Genes Genomics* 40, 881–891. doi: 10.1007/s13258-018-0697-x
- Jia, S. W., Liu, P., Li, J., Li, J. T. Pan, L. Q. (2013) Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci in the ridgetail white prawn *Exopalaemon carinicauda*. *Genet. Mol. Res.* **12**, 2816–2820.
- Khoshkholgh M. Nazari S. (2020) Characterization of single nucleotide polymorphism markers for the narrow-clawed crayfish *Pontastacus leptodactylus* (Eschscholtz, 1823) based on RAD sequencing. *Conservation Genet Resour.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-020-01154-8>
- Khoshkholgh, M and Nazari, S., (2019) The genetic diversity and differentiation of narrow-clawed crayfish *Pontastacus leptodactylus* (Eschscholtz, 1823) (Decapoda: Astacidea: Astacidae) in the Caspian Sea Basin, Iran as determined with mitochondrial and microsatellite DNA markers, *J Crust Biol* 39 (2): 112–120.
- Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler Transform. *Bioinformatics* 25:1754–1760
- Ling, L.P., Adibah, A.B., Tan, S.G. *et al.* (2013) Isolation by the 5' anchored PCR technique and characterization of eighteen microsatellite loci in horseshoe crab (*Tachypleus gigas*). *J Genet* **92**, 101–104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12041-011-0115-5>
- Luo H, Ye H, Xiao S-J *et al* (2016) Development of SNP markers associated with immune-related genes of *Schizothorax prenanti*. *Conserv Genet Resour* 8:223–226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-016-0539-6>.
- Ma H, Zou X, Ji X, Ma C, Lu J, Jiang W, Xia L, Li S, Liu Y, Gong Y, Ma L (2013) Discovery and characterization of a first set of polymorphic microsatellite markers in red crab (*Charybdis feriatus*). *J Genet* 92:e113–e115
- Martin M (2011) Sequencing Reads. *EMBnet.journal* 17:10–12
- Montanari S, Percheviel L, Renault D, Frijters L, Velasco R, Horner M, Gardiner SE, Chagné D, Bus VGM, Durel CE, Malnoy M (2016) A QTL detected in an inter specific pear population confers stable fire blight resistance across different environments and genetic backgrounds. *Mol Breeding* 36:1–16.
- Nazari S, Jafari V, Pourkazemi M, Kolangi Miandare H, Abdolhay H. (2016). Association between myostatin gene (MSTN-1) polymorphism and growth traits in domesticated rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Agri Gene*, 1: 109–115.
- Pathak RU, Mamillapalli A, Rangaraj N, Kumar RP, Vasanthi D, Mishra K, Mishra RK. (2013) AAGAG repeat RNA is an essential component of nuclear matrix in *Drosophila*. *RNA Biol.*10(4):564–71.
- Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research_an update. *Bioinformatics* 28:2537–2539.
- Peng X, Zhao L, Liu J, Guo X (2018) Development of SNP markers for *Xenocypris argentea* based on transcriptomics. *Conserv Genet Resour* 10(4):679–684. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-017-0900-4>
- Perina, A., González-Tizón, A. M., Vizcaino, A., González-Ortega, E. & Martínez-Lage, A. (2016). Isolation and characterization of 20 polymorphic microsatellite loci in *Palaemon serratus* and cross-amplification in *Palaemon* species by 454 pyrosequencing. *Conserv.Genet. Resour.* **8**, 169–196
- Rousset F (2008) Genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for windows and linux. *Mol Ecol Resour* 8:103–106
- Sunnucks P (2000) Efficient genetic markers for population biology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 15:199–203.
- Wang S, Liu P, Lv J, Li Y, Cheng T, Zhang L (2016) Serial sequencing of iso-length rad tags for cost-efficient genome-wide profiling of genetic and epigenetic variations. *Nat Protoc* 11(11):2189–2200. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.133>

Wei, N., Bemmels, J. B. & Dick, C. W. (2014) The effects of read length, quality and quantity on microsatellite discovery and primer development: from Illumina to PacBio. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **14**, 953–965.

Xiao M, Hu Q, Zhao Y, Bao F, Cui F, Zheng R (2018) Development of 36 SNP markers in *Ophiocephalus argus* Cantor base on high throughput sequencing. *Conserv Genet Resour* 10(1):35–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-017-0757-6>

Xue D, Zhang T, Liu J (2014) Microsatellite evidence for high frequency of multiple paternity in the marine gastropod *Rapana venosa*. *PLoS ONE* 9:e86508.

Yu L, Bai J, Cao T et al (2014) Genetic variability and relationships among six grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella* populations in China estimated using EST-SNP markers. *Fish Sci* 80:475–481. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-014-0709-y>

Zalapa JE, Cuevas H, Zhu H, Steffan S, Senalik D, Zeldin E, McCown B, Harbut R, Simon P (2012) Using next-generation sequencing approaches to isolate simple sequence repeat (SSR) loci in the plant sciences. *Am J Bot* 99:193–208

Zane L, Bargelloni L, Patarnello T (2002) Strategies for microsatellite isolation: a review. *Mol Ecol* 11:1–16.

Zareian, H., Esmaeili, H. R., Freyhof, J., 2016. *Capoeta anamisensis*, a new species from the Minab and Hasan Langhi River drainages in Iran (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). *Zootaxa* 4083, 126-142.

Zerbino DR, Birney E (2008) Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 18:821–829.

Zhou J, Zhou B, Li Q et al (2017) Isolation and characterization of 33 EST-SNP markers in *Schizothorax prenanthi*. *Conserv Genet Resour* 10:205–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-017-0799-9>

Tables

Table 1: *C. aculeata* samples collected for population genetic analysis. Sampling localities, numbers (see Fig. 1), and number of individuals sampled (*n*)

Region	<i>n</i>
Beshar River	40
Khersan River	40
Maroun River	40
Total	120

Table 2: Description of 36 microsatellite markers in *Capoeta aculeata* (Valenciennes, 1844) (F=forward; R=reverse)

Name	Primer sequence (F and R, 5'-3')	Allele size (bp)	Repeat motif	N _A	T _M (°C)	H _O	H _E	F _{IS}	PIC	PHWE
Ca01	F: GGCGAACTTGTCTGAGTAAC R: GCATTGCAGATTCCAATA	202–206	(ATT)8	3.8	54	0.318	0.432	0.275	0.635	0.295
Ca02	F: CTCATTCCCTTGGACTTGA R: CGTGCATAGATCCCATGCA	228–238	(GAT)9	4.3	56	0.854	0.879	-0.028	0.672	0.000*
Ca03	F: AGCTGGCTTGGGAAGCTG R: AGCGGTAGTAGTGAGTACTC	142–164	(CTT)4	6.8	56	0.722	0.745	-0.048	0.254	0.926
Ca04	F: TCTGCTAAGCACATCTACAGA R: GTCTGTCTCGCTTTCAGTCTC	98–106	(CGCT)4	1.7	55	0.212	0.268	-0.214	0.701	0.000*
Ca05	F: TGTACAGTATGTCGTCGTCTCA R: AGTGTTAGCGTTCGTAAGGG	274–298	(GAT)7	3.4	56	0.852	0.867	0.086	0.504	0.000*
Ca06	F: GCGTAGTTACGCGTTAGTCTA R: TGTACGAAGCTAAAGCAGCTG	94–110	(AT)10	5	58	0.766	0.784	-0.116	0.869	0.122
Ca07	F: TTGCTGAGCTTCGTTACGTGA R: CGAAATTCACACTATTCTGACT	100–116	(CA)7	6.6	58	0.484	0.642	-0.127	0.397	0.000*
Ca08	F: ACCGCGCTGATTCTGCTGATCG R: GACCGTCCACTATCTCTCGGA	192–206	(CT)8	2.4	54	0.214	0.261	0.115	0.588	0.048
Ca09	F: TAGGAGCCATAGTCTGCTCCTA R: ATCGTTACTCAGAAGTGCTCTG	242–274	(TG)10	3.8	54	0.224	0.298	0.365	0.766	0.000*
Ca10	F: TTCGAATAGCTCATCACACCGC R: TCGTACACACGGAGGACTAGT	210–242	(AT)12	12	55	0.170	0.213	-0.031	0.656	0.273
Ca11	F: CTTGGTCCTGGCATTGAGTCT R: ATACTGGGCATGGGGCGTGA	264–286	(CA)8	3.2	58	0.702	0.746	-0.117	0.828	0.000*
Ca12	F: GTAGCTAGTCGACTCGATTACT R: ATGTAATCATATGTGACGCGA	202–236	(TGA)8	2.0	58	0.318	0.389	0.488	0.771	0.000*
Ca13	F: CACTTGCGCTAGGATTATGAT R: GTATCTGAGCTTCTGATCTGTC	238–276	(TGA)8	4.1	50	0.278	0.265	0.163	0.758	0.428
Ca14	F: ACTGCGTGGTATGGCTGATCC R: TTCGCGGCGACTATCTAGGGA	210–224	(TC)7	4.2	50	0.881	0.884	0.436	0.857	0.000*
Ca15	F: GACTACGTGCCAGGCCAGTAC	246–282	(AAG)6	6.0	50	0.633	0.679	-0.130	0.835	0.000*

	R: ATCTGTATGATGCCATTTGCG									
Ca16	F: TGCTCGTGAGTGGTGTAGTAC R: GTAAGTCTCCATGTGTGCGTAC	158–184	(ATC)6	8.4	52	0.593	0.489	0.015	0.747	0.178
Ca17	F: TATCAGTGATAGAGCTGATAG R: GTCATAGCTATCGAGTAGGTATC	86–96	(TGA)8	2.6	54	0.571	0.743	0.228	0.825	0.000*
Ca18	F: GGTTGCATCGTACTGTCAACAAT R: GGCTCGATAAGTGATACCTCA	254–278	(TG)6	3.8	54	0.774	0.627	0.286	0.867	0.387
Ca19	F: TTCCGCTAGCTATCAGCGGGA R: TACACAGCTCATATGGATGTTAG	112–128	(TCC)8	10	58	0.633	0.649	0.523	0.341	0.000*
Ca20	F: GTCTGACATACAGCATCCGGTC R: TCACTCTGACTGCTCTCACTCT	95–106	(AG)9	4.4	60	0.562	0.476	-0.123	0.643	0.188
Ca21	F: ACTTGAGCTACTGACATCGGTA R: TCCGATAGCTCTATGCGTGTG	180–204	(TC)8	4.0	58	0.419	0.664	0.606	0.830	0.000*
Ca22	F: CGATATGGAACGCTGGCGTTTG R: TCGGTTGCTCGGAGGTCCCCTA	227–263	(ATAC)6	3.1	50	0.318	0.390	-0.044	0.682	0.028
Ca23	F: ACTCGCCTAATCCGATCGGATC R: TTGCTAGTCATTCCAGTAGTA	158–194	(TC)12	5.4	52	0.579	0.805	-0.171	0.797	0.000*
Ca24	F: GCATGACTATCTCATCGGAGTA R: GTGTACGATGCGATCTTGGATC	102–136	(TATC)14	4.0	52	0.290	0.478	0.345	0.692	0.000*
Ca25	F: ACTAGCATACGGATTCAGTGTA R: TCGGTTATCGGTCCATATATGC	312–374	(TA)5	16	53	0.370	0.584	0.244	0.568	0.000*
Ca26	F: TTGCCATTAATCGTAGCGACA R: GCTACTTAAGCAGCCATCGTG	224–238	(AT)6	2.6	57	0.675	0.785	0.326	0.731	0.005
Ca27	F: CGTCGCCGGCTTTACATCTGGA R: GCTCGCTGCTCGCCATGGTCG	124–154	(AT)6	4.1	51	0.325	0.843	0.494	0.745	0.000*
Ca28	F: GATTCTCTAAGTACCTTTGTGT R: TAGACACTTGACATTCTATCG	114–140	(GT)5	14.0	57	0.525	0.713	0.283	0.634	0.000*

Ca29	F: GGTGTCATCTCTCATACTAGC R: CTGTGTCGACATTGCTAGCCG	192– 210	(AT)5	4.2	58	0.472	0.624	– 0.283	0.888	0.000*
Ca30	F: TGGTCGGAGTTAACGCTAGC R: GAGTACGTCGTGGCTCTAACA	134– 174	(TA)5	2.0	54	0.551	0.643	– 0.215	0.582	0.094
Ca31	F: GGCTAACTACCTGAGGTTATG R: GTCGGGATATCGCATGTATCA	142– 148	(CT)8	2.2	53	0.188	0.680	– 0.549	0.265	0.343
Ca32	F: AGGTGCATGCACAATCGCGTGC R: TAGCTCACTCACCGTCATGAG	162– 168	(TA)14	4.4	55	0.207	0.642	– 0.074	0.468	0.288
Ca33	F: TCAGAGATGCTCGGATACTGCA R: ACCTTGGTGTATTGTATGAGCG	110– 131	(TG)6	5.8	56	0.625	0.685	-0.495	0.726	0.009
Ca34	F: GCATACGCAGACTGTATTCGTG R: TACAGTCGATGCTAATCATGTC	121– 154	(AATC)4	9.2	55	0.567	0.802	0.368	0.387	0.000*
Ca35	F: GTCTCACATCAATCGATGTGTG R: GAACGGATACTCACTTGAGTC	212– 224	(AG)9	4.2	56	0.438	0.442	0.166	0.368	0.098
Ca36	F: AGTCCTAGTTCATCATCGCTAG R: CCGAGTTAGAATGAGCAGTAT	264– 268	(TG)5	4.4	57	0.231	0.587	0.216	0.467	0.000*

Ho: observed heterozygosity, He: expected heterozygosity, N_A : number of allele, F_{IS} : inbreeding coefficient, PIC: polymorphism information content; PHW: *P*-values for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

*Indicates significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium after Bonferroni correction

Table 2 Summary of sequencing reads generated for each samples of *Capoeta aculeata*

Name	Raw reads (million)	Raw nucleotides (Gb)	Clean reads (million)	Genome Coverage	Unique reads	Reads containing SSRs
Ca1-kh	11.42	1.25	10.81	0.62	641,025	3268
Ca2-kh	14.32	1.58	13.35	0.68	578,246	4598
Ca3-kh	15.65	1.45	14.56	0.64	625,268	5124
Ca4-kh	13.44	1.42	11.30	0.59	396,795	4986
Ca5-kh	14.65	1.74	12.48	0.74	605,436	5468
Ca6-kh	17.21	1.69	16.19	0.69	508,294	5107
Ca7-kh	14.24	1.42	13.28	0.57	512,346	5684
Ca8-kh	11.86	1.24	10.67	0.52	482,247	4936
Ca9-kh	13.12	1.43	12.49	0.54	514,345	5124
Ca10- kh	15.49	1.51	14.44	0.63	758,478	4719
Ca11- Be	11.4	1.28	11.21	0.56	524,635	4281
Ca12- Be	16.52	2.35	16.22	0.85	598,075	5618
Ca13- Be	18.36	2.35	18.12	0.81	605,462	6350
Ca14- Be	16.45	2.96	15.96	0.74	635,153	5637
Ca15- Be	18.24	2.12	17.47	0.69	563,508	4865
Ca16- Be	14.65	2.1	13.35	0.54	532,864	5026
Ca17- Be	20.35	2.3	20.01	0.92	478,652	6258
Ca18- Be	16.25	1.6	15.87	0.68	515,728	6351
Ca19- Be	19.34	2.25	18.65	1.14	496,254	5904
Ca20- Be	21.34	1.35	20.04	0.74	630,542	6743
Ca21- Ma	17.98	1.82	17.13	0.86	563,435	6450
Ca22- Ma	18.27	2.47	17.62	0.54	635,028	6501
Ca23- Ma	19.12	2.35	18.97	0.98	543,759	5642
Ca24- Ma	14.22	1.85	13.35	0.87	431,078	4677
Ca25- Ma	16.35	1.5	15.25	1.02	486,381	3862
Ca26- Ma	19.28	1.25	18.26	0.69	658,582	5562

Name	Raw reads (million)	Raw nucleotides (Gb)	Clean reads (million)	Genome Coverage	Unique reads	Reads containing SSRs
Ca27- Ma	18.47	0.93	17.98	0.94	546,865	5048
Ca28- Ma	19.25	2.84	19.1	0.75	865,146	6433
Ca29- Ma	16.1	2.37	15.88	0.64	561,353	5031
Ca30- Ma	22.38	1.81	21.74	0.99	765,095	5462
avg	16.52	1.85	15.72	0.73	575,336	5357
Max	22.38	2.96	21.74	1.14	865,146	6501
Min	11.4	0.93	10.81	0.52	431,078	3268
Total	495.72	55.61	471.75	22.17	17,260,075	160,715

Figures

Figure 1

Map depicting the locations of the three populations of *Capoeta aculeata* used in the study. Detailed information about the sites can be found in Table 1. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 2

Bioinformatic workflow for GBS-based SSR sequence identification