

Cultivable Microbial Diversity in Speleothems Using MALDI-TOF Spectrometry and DNA Sequencing from Krem Soitan, Krem Lawbah, Krem Mawpun, Khasi Hills, Meghalaya, India

Mudgil Devender

Guru Jambheshwar University of Science & Technology

Dhiraj Paul

NCCS: National Centre For Cell Science

Sushmitha Baskar (✉ sushmithab@ignou.ac.in)

IGNOU: Indira Gandhi National Open University

Ramanathan Baskar

Guru Jambheshwar University of Science & Technology

Yogesh S Shouche

NCCS: National Centre For Cell Science

Research Article

Keywords: Cave, microbial diversity, geomicrobiology

Posted Date: December 8th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-528486/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

This study reports on the culturable microbial communities in caves from the Indian sub-continent. A high bacterial diversity and a greater bacterial taxonomic diversity is reported using MALDI-TOF spectrometry and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. This approach helped to detect a number bacterial strains from the Indian caves. The microbial diversity in the Indian caves is inadequately characterized. The study aims to expand the current understanding of bacterial diversity in the speleothems from Krem Soitan, Krem Lawbah, Krem Mawpun in Khasi Hills, Meghalaya, India. High microbial enumerations were observed on dilute nutrient agar (5.3×10^3 to 8.8×10^5) followed by M9 minimal medium (4×10^4 to 1.7×10^5) and R2A medium (1.0×10^4 to 5.7×10^5). A total of 826 bacterial isolates were selected and preserved for the study. 295 bacterial isolates were identified using MALDI-TOF spectrometry and the isolates which showed no reliable peaks were further identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. 91% of the total bacterial diversity was dominated by Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria. The other important phyla detected include the Firmicutes (7.45%), Deinococcus-Thermus (0.33%) and Bacteroidetes (0.67%). At the genus level, *Pseudomonas* (55%) and *Arthrobacter* (23%) were ubiquitous followed by *Acinetobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Brevundimonas*, *Deinococcus*, *Flavobacterium*, *Paenibacillus*, *Pseudarthrobacter*. Multivariate statistical analysis indicated that the bacterial genera formed separate clusters depending on the geochemical constituents in the spring waters suitable for their growth and metabolism. A culture-dependent approach was employed for elucidating the community structure colonizing the speleothems and wall deposits in the caves using MALDI-TOF and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous geomicrobiological investigations in these caves and this study is a pioneering culture dependent study of the microbial community with many cultured isolates.

Introduction

Caves are geo-biologically interesting ecosystems characterized by speleothems, slimy wall deposits and biofilms that host diverse microbial communities. These habitats are considered as extreme environments. Most microbial communities in caves rely on oligotrophic or chemotrophic modes of nutrition (Barton et al. 2007). These unique habitats need to be explored for microbial diversity studies as they are sites for the identification of novel microbes, which can have potential applications such as in the production of antibiotics, in bioremediation of contaminated sites, understanding microbe-mineral interactions and in the search for life on other planets (Boston et al. 2001). For example, *Actinobacteria*, identified from caves contain novel bioactive compounds (Ghosh et al. 2017).

Most cave passages are totally devoid of light that limits primary production. Therefore, understanding of life in dark chemolithotrophic cave environments helps to delineate the mechanisms of metabolic flexibility of microorganisms that do not depend on sunlight for their metabolism.

Microbes have been reported in secondary mineral deposits in caves such as sulphur compounds, oxides of iron and manganese (Northup et al. 1997). Most scientists have based their evidences on microscopic studies, geochemical observations, culture-dependent microbiology, and molecular phylogenetic studies

for understanding microbe-mineral processes in caves. Recent research has also suggested microbial links to the different minerals and fibers observed in caves (Baskar et al. 2016; Maciejewska et al. 2017). Several researchers have reported diverse microbial communities in caves using culture-dependent techniques. Scientists have also worked on the importance of such diverse microbial communities and their roles in cave biomineralization processes. Some examples include that of microbial biofilms, ferromanganese deposits and wall deposits in caves (Barton et al. 2014). Studies relating to cave microbial diversity have also been reported in Lechuguilla Cave, New Mexico (Northup et al. 2003); Herrenberg, Germany (Rusznyak et al. 2012); caves from Venezuela (Barton et al. 2014); Tjuv-Anté's Cave, Sweden (Mendoza et al. 2016); Heshang caves (Yun et al. 2016a,b; Zhao et al. 2018); Lava caves, USA (Lavoie et al. 2017).

Molecular techniques for the characterization of cave microbiomes has helped in advancing our knowledge of cave ecosystem community structures and their functions (Ortiz et al. 2013). Studies on microbial communities in caves have mostly focused on dripping waters (Marques et al. 2019), cave sediments (Adetutu et al. 2012), cave wall surfaces (Ortiz et al. 2013) and biofilms (Jones et al. 2012, 2014). Researchers have also studied how factors such as pH (Yun et al. 2016a), nutrition (Cloutier et al. 2017), and trace elements can shape microbial communities in caves.

Microbial diversity studies in caves reveal the diverse microbial groups and communities residing in the energetically different parts of the cave. Several microbial groups have been identified in caves such as: Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Acidobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes, Nitrospirae, and Bacteroidetes (Barton and Jurado 2007; Tomczyk-Zak and Zielenkiewicz, 2016). These diverse microbes thrive on the organic/ inorganic constituents and gases present in the cave and speleothems.

Presently, geomicrobiologists use two broad techniques for analysing the diversity in speleothems. These include: (1) culture-based and (2) molecular phylogenetic analysis (Barton et al. 2001). Culture-based studies have limitations for microbial identification procedures because of the specific nature of nutrients required for specific microbes. Using this method, <1% of all organisms in an environment can be cultivated (Amman et al. 1995). This limitation can be overcome by applying molecular phylogenetic techniques. However, Donachie et al. 2007 compared both culture-dependent and culture-independent methods and advocated that culture dependent techniques are equally important. Merely relying on the ribosomal approach overlooks a significant fraction of phylogenetic diversity easily determined by cultivation methods which leads to significant gaps in microbial community diversity data. To capture the full range of microbial diversity in a community we need to implement broad strategies that employ both culture and molecular approaches. To understand and decipher the role of microorganisms in cave ecosystems, a multidisciplinary approach was followed.

In an earlier report by our team, microbes were isolated from the same caves and tested *in vitro* for microbe-mineral precipitation experiments (Mudgil et al. 2018). Many of the isolated strains in our study showed biomineralization potentials. Cultivation is an important part of the description of a microbial

community as the cultivated rare taxa represent a reservoir of biological diversity that is seldom retrieved in molecular studies.

The present study reports on the culturable microbial diversity in speleothems from the same caves using MALDI-TOF spectrometry and 16S rRNA gene-based sequencing. MALDI-TOF was used for identification of all the 826 strains isolated. For those isolates that did not show reliable peaks in the MALDI-TOF based identification; the genomic DNA was extracted and further identified by 16S rRNA gene-based sequencing analysis. The study also aimed to understand the link between the bacterial heterogeneity in the caves and geochemical parameters. The studies were performed for documenting the microbial diversity in caves and in analysing specific phylogenetic groups involved in cave bio-mineralization processes.

Materials And Methodology

Speleological setting

India is home to many unexplored caves. For the present study, samples were collected from the East Khasi Hills (total area 2748 sq. km; Latitude: 25°36'82" N, Longitude: 91°75'39" E) of Meghalaya. The district Mawsynram is the wettest place with an annual average rainfall of about 11, 872 mm. For the study three caves situated near Mawlyngbna village (25°14'10.7"N, 91°33'37.0"E) namely, Krem Lawbah, Krem Soitan and Krem Mawpun were sampled (supplementary Figures 1 a-b). Krem Mawpun hosts soda straws and stalactites (supplementary Figure 2a-c). Krem Soitan hosts spectacular mushroom like structures, moonmilk, dendrite shaped stalactites, cave popcorn and stalagmites (supplementary Figure 2d-g). Krem Lawbah hosts stalactites, columns and flowstones (supplementary Figure 2h-j). The geological details of the area and caves are published earlier (Mudgil et al. 2018).

Sample collection

Seventeen speleothem samples (one from Krem Mawpun (supplementary Figures 2a-c), nine from Krem Soitan (supplementary Figures 2d-g) and seven from Krem Lawbah (supplementary Figure 2h-j)) were collected from minimal contaminated areas inside the cave using sterile disposable equipments and containers. The samples also included four wall deposits from Krem Lawbah and one moonmilk from Krem Soitan. All the samples were collected from aphotic zones extending from 89-289 m in Krem Soitan and 125-850 m in Krem Lawbah throughout the length of the caves. In Krem Mawpun only one sample was collected at about 300 m from the cave entrance (supplementary Table 1). This was because the length of Krem Mawpun was small when compared to the other two caves and the areas inside the cave were quite difficult to access. Some samples were hard, and some had a little moisture. The samples were predominantly brown and the moonmilk had a whitish tinge (supplementary Figure 2f). Further, sixteen water samples were collected from the three caves (supplementary Table 1). The samples were transported in an icebox to the Environmental Geology Laboratory, Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar and National Centre for Microbial Resource, Pune. The samples were stored at 4°C for further analysis.

Geochemistry/Mineralogy

Spring Water Chemistry: The electrical conductivity, pH, total dissolved solids, and salts of the spring waters were measured on the spot in the caves using handy multiparameter instrument (EUTECH Instruments PCSTESTR™ 35). Sulphate, phosphate, nitrate, chloride, acidity, alkalinity, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, carbonate and bicarbonate were determined by using standard procedures (APHA 2005). Sodium and potassium were measured using a flame photometer. Total organic carbon, inorganic carbon and total carbon content in the water samples were determined using TOC-L (Shimadzu) at the Department of Environmental Science Laboratory, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar, India.

Microbiological analyses

Culture-dependent studies:

Isolation and enumeration of the cultivable isolates: The culturable, aerobic, heterotrophic bacteria of the total microbial community were isolated from the speleothems (Table 1). The microbes were enumerated by dilution plate technique using three different media namely diluted (1:100) nutrient agar (Hi-media; Beef extract 0.03%, Peptone 0.05% and Agar 1.5%), M9 minimal salts (M9 salt solution (10X)- Na₂HPO₄, KH₂PO₄, NaCl, NH₄Cl; glucose (20%) (w/v) as a carbon source; MgSO₄, CaCl₂, biotin, thiamine, and trace elements (Atlas 1993) and R2A agar medium (Reasoner and Geldreich, 1985). One gram of the powdered speleothem samples was mixed with 9 mL of 0.9% saline and serially diluted (10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁵) in normal saline. 100 µL of suspension of each dilution was cultivated on respective agar plates in triplicate and incubated at 23 °C for a month (Baskar et al. 2016). Throughout the incubation period, the numbers of bacterial colony forming units (CFUs) were counted every 24 hours. Morphologically distinct colonies were selected and purified by repeated (4-5 times) sub-culturing on the respective media. After purification, the purified bacterial strains were preserved at -80 °C with 15% glycerol.

MALDI-TOF MS-based bacterial identification: A thin smear of freshly grown bacterial culture was applied directly onto the spot of MALDI plate at room temperature for a minute (Rahi et al. 2016). Then, 1 µL of the matrix solution i.e., a saturated solution of α-cyano-4-hydrocinnamic acid in 50% acetonitrile HPLC grade and 2.5% trifluoroacetic acid was added to the sample and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. The sample was analyzed using the Autoflex speed system (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Germany). The mass spectrum of each bacterial isolate was retrieved at 2,000 to 20,000 Da mass range with a laser frequency of 1000 Hz. The external standard calibration mixture i.e., *Escherichia coli* extracts including RNase A and myoglobin was used for spectral calibration. The MALDI Biotyper software 3.0 (Bruker Daltonik) was used to visualize the mass spectra and identify the isolates. Biotyper score value >2.0 was considered for species-level identity and >1.8 was considered for genus-level identity.

16S rRNA gene-based identification of bacterial isolates: Genomic DNA of the bacterial strains was extracted using PureLink® Pro 96 Genomic DNA purification kit (Invitrogen, Inc. USA) from fresh 24-hour cultures. PCR amplification of the ~1.5 kb 16S rRNA gene was done using universal bacteria specific

primers (27F: 5'GAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3' and 1492R: 5'-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGA-3') (Lane, 1991). Each 50 µL PCR reaction contained: 50 ng template DNA, 5 µL 10X reaction buffer, 2.5 µL MgCl₂ (25 mM), 10 mole of each primers, 1 µL dNTP mix (10 mM) and 1 U Taq polymerase (Life Technologies, USA). The PCR reaction was done with an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 60 s, annealing at 58 °C for 45 s, extension at 72 °C for 90 s, and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. The amplified products were PCR purified using 20% PEG-NaCl (Polyethylene Glycol-NaCl) method. Purified ~1.5 kb products were directly sequenced using 536F, 704F and 907R primers by ABI PRISM Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit on a 3730xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied BioSystems). Bioedit version 7.2.6.1 (Hall 1999) was used for sequence editing and contig formation. Then the sequence similarity search of query sequence data (approximate 1100 bp) was compared with 16S rRNA gene data of public database (NCBI) and Eztaxon by BLAST. For phylogenetic analysis, 16S rRNA gene sequences of different bacterial strains which showed close similarity with query sequences were retrieved from gene bank and Eztaxon database followed by multiple alignments using ClustalW. The resulting alignment was used to construct a phylogenetic tree incorporating the neighbor-joining method and Jukes-Cantor distance matrix by MEGA 7 software package. Bootstrap percentage (1000 bootstrap replicon) was used to check the robustness of the phylogenetic tree formation.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers: Nucleotide gene sequences obtained from this study were deposited in the NCBI GenBank nucleotide sequence database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>), with the accession numbers MG733189 to MG733194; MG733198 to MG733237; MG733239 to MG733242; MG733244 to MG733255 and MG733269 to MG733274 (supplementary table 2).

Statistical analysis: All the experimental observations were recorded in triplicate (n=3) and data were represented as mean ± SD. For statistical comparison of the experimental data, analysis of variance (ANOVA) at 5% significance level (p<0.05) was performed. To determine the significant variations, one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple range test was performed. Spearman correlation was performed to identify the associations among the variables. Principle Component Analysis (PCA) was also done to identify the structure of the relationship between the samples with respect to their geochemical properties. Another PCA was performed based on bacterial genera distribution within the samples. The interrelationships between constituent bacterial populations and geochemical factors (Total organic carbon, calcium, salinity, inorganic carbon) in each sample was determined by Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) using the R environment (Paul et al. 2014).

Results

Geochemistry

Elemental concentrations in spring waters: Analyses of the drip and spring waters showed various trace and major elements (supplementary table 1). The temperature in drip water was 17-19 °C, whereas air temperature inside the cave was 20-23 °C. The pH of the cave samples was neutral (7.1 to 7.7) and the

conductivity ranged from 12 to 421 μs with significant variations ($p < 0.05$). The average total carbon content was high (~ 16.44) and significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were noted among the samples. The inorganic carbon content of the water samples varied significantly ($p < 0.05$). It was higher in LBS3 ($29.34 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) and lowest in LBS2 ($1.26 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$). The bicarbonate contents in drip and spring water also varied and ranged from 36.6 ± 0.07 to 287.92 ± 0.46 and 129.32 ± 0.13 to $424.56 \pm 0.31 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ while the value in the pool water was $500.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. The results showed that Ca^{2+} concentrations significantly differ ($p < 0.05$) in drip and spring water samples of different distances and zones along the length of the cave and ranged from 4.8 ± 0.2 to $30.4 \pm 0.16 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and 16.0 ± 0.68 to $43.2 \pm 0.14 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, respectively. Significant ($p < 0.05$) disparities were observed in sulphate (0.01 ± 0.01 to $21.95 \pm 0.86 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) and nitrate (0.06 ± 0.02 to $4.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) contents. Significant variations ($p < 0.05$) were observed in magnesium (7.77 ± 0.07 to $98.1 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), sodium (1.3 ± 0.1 to $6.2 \pm 0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), chloride (7.1 ± 0.05 to $19.02 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), total hardness (44 ± 0.29 to $452 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), total dissolved solids (18.9 ± 0.02 to $299 \pm 0.12 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) and salinity (11.3 ± 0.1 to $189 \pm 0.69 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) contents in the drip, pool and spring waters (supplementary table 1).

Microbiology

Isolation and identification of microorganisms: A total of 826 bacterial strains were isolated from all the cave samples on different media. Except for KSSTc6, bacterial colonies were observed in most of the cave samples cultured on dilute Nutrient Agar (NA), M9 and R2A medium. KSSTc1, LBSTc1, LBSTc2, LBWDc1 showed no growth on dilute NA and M9 media (Table 1). Speleothems from all three caves showed high microbial enumerations on dilute nutrient agar (5.3×10^3 to 8.8×10^5) followed by M9 minimal medium (4×10^4 to 1.7×10^5) and R2A medium (1.0×10^4 to 5.78×10^5). From the above media, 295 isolates were identified using MALDI-TOF (227 isolates) and 16S rRNA gene (68 isolates) based sequencing.

Taxonomic analysis showed that the bacterial isolates belonged to 16 genera under five phyla. Taxonomic analysis based on relative abundance revealed that Proteobacteria (61%) was the dominant bacterial group followed by Actinobacteria (30%), Firmicutes (7.45%), Bacteroidetes (0.67%) and Deinococcus-Thermus (0.33%; Figure 1a; supplementary table 3).

Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria were the most abundant bacterial community (91%, Figure 1a). The bacterial phyla Proteobacteria (1.1% - 21.5%) was ubiquitously present in all the speleothems samples except KSSTc4, MPSTc1, LBSTc1, LBSTc2, LBWDc1, and LBWDc3 from Krem Soitan and Krem Lawbah. Within the Proteobacteria, Alpha-, Beta- and Gamma-proteobacteria were found in the samples. Gammaproteobacteria were the most abundant class (8 - 96%) detected in most of the samples. Alphaproteobacteria (4.1%) and Betaproteobacteria (2.1%) were found in samples KSSTc3 and KSSMc1, respectively. Actinobacteria (1.1% - 24.7%) was also observed in most of the samples except KSSTc1, KSSTc7, KSSTc8 from Krem Soitan and LBSTc1, LBWDc2 from Krem Lawbah. Bacterial members Deinococcus-Thermus and Bacteroidetes were present as a minor group and were exclusive to the

stalactite samples of Krem Soitan. Other significant phyla which constituted >5% of the sequences included the Firmicutes (7.45%). The phylum Firmicutes was present in the stalactites and stalagmites of Krem Soitan and Krem Lawbah (supplementary table 3)

The bacterial genera *Acinetobacter*, *Arthrobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Brevundimonas*, *Deinococcus*, *Enterobacter*, *Flavobacterium*, *Kocuria*, *Microbacterium*, *Paenarthrobacter*, *Paenibacillus*, *Pseudarthrobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus* and *Variovorax* were also identified in the cave samples (Figure 1b; supplementary table 4). A total of 71 bacterial species were identified from all the cave deposits. *Pseudomonas* (55%) and *Arthrobacter* (23%) were the most abundant genera (nine out of fifteen samples) in the study (supplementary table 4). The genus *Arthrobacter* was detected in all three cave samples whereas *Pseudomonas* was noted in Krem Soitan and Krem Lawbah only. Among the *Pseudomonas* and *Arthrobacter*, the following strains were identified. They include: *A. oxydans*, *A. oryzae*, *Pseudarthrobacter oxydans*, *P. koreensis*, *P. chlororaphis*, *P. granadensis*, *P. alkylphenolica*, and *Paenarthrobacter nicotinovorans* (Figure 1b; supplementary table 5).

Diversity in Krem Soitan: Maximum numbers of isolates were recovered from stalagmite KSSMc1 (47 strains) followed by stalactite KSSTc5 (37 strains) (supplementary table 4). Among the Proteobacteria, members belonging to Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria were observed. The members noted were *Enterobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Brevundimonas* and *Variovorax* genera. Among the *Enterobacter* genera, *E. asburiae*, *E. cloacae*, *E. lugwigii* and *E. tabaci* were identified. Twenty four bacterial strains of *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* (51%) was observed in the stalagmite (KSSMc1). Among the family Comamonadaceae, *Variovorax paradoxus* was exclusive to the stalagmite, KSSMc1. One strain of *Brevundimonas vesicularis* was also identified (KSSTc3) as a relatively minor population (<1%). Among the Actinobacteria, strains belonging to *Arthrobacter* sp., *Pseudoarthrobacter* sp., *Paenarthrobacter* sp., *Kocuria* sp., *Microbacterium* sp., were observed. Eighteen strains belonged to genus *Arthrobacter* in KSSTc5 (48%; Figure 1b). *A. aurescens*, *A. ginsengisoli*, *A. histidinovorans*, *A. ilicis*, *A. methylotrophus*, *A. nicotinovorans*, *A. oxydans*, *A. pascens*, *A. polychromogenes*, *A. sulfonivorans* were the main strains identified. *Pseudarthrobacter oxydans* was also observed in the stalactite and stalagmite. Five strains of *Paenarthrobacter nicotinovorans* were also identified in the stalactites. It was noted that <1% of the bacterial genera belonged to *Deinococcus*, *Microbacterium* and *Paenibacillus*. *Kocuria* belonging to Micrococcaceae, were also detected as a minor population (<1%). Within the Acinetobacter genus, four strains of *A. johnsonii*, three strains of *A. woffii* were identified in stalactite samples. Among the Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes, *Flavobacterium* was noted in only one stalactite sample (KSSTc8) and *Bacillus* genera was observed in two samples, (KSSMc1 and KSSTc7) from Krem Soitan. One strain of *Deinococcus ficus* was identified from the stalactite (KSSTc4).

Diversity in Krem Mawpun: Among the Actinobacteria, 11 strains belonging to *Arthrobacter* sp. including seven strains of *A. oxydans* and two each of *A. polychromogenes* and *A. sulfonivorans* were identified from the stalactite (MPSTc1; supplementary table 5).

Diversity in Krem Lawbah: Thirty one strains were identified from the wall deposit LBWDc2 and twenty four strains each from LBWDc4 and LBSTc3. Among the Proteobacteria, all the thirty one strains identified from the wall deposit LBWDc2, belonged to the genus *Pseudomonas*. The strains *P. granadensis*, *P. koreensis*, *P. chlororaphis*, *P. jessenii*, were observed from wall deposits LBWDc4 and LBWDc2. Among the genus *Arthrobacter*, 19 strains were observed (79 %). *A. oxydans* (five strains), *A. pascens* (two strains), *A. polychromogenes* (six strains), *Pseudarthrobacter polychromogenes* (four strains); *A. ginsengisoli*, *A. sulfonivorans* (one strain each) were identified from stalagmite (LBSTc3) (supplementary table 5). Minor percentages of the members belonging to the phylum Firmicutes was present in samples LBSTc1, LBSTc3, LBWDc4. Among the Firmicutes, *Bacillus*, *Staphylococcus* and *Streptococcus* genera were observed. One strain of *Staphylococcus hominis* was identified from stalactite LBSTc1 (<1%) and 17 strains belonged to *Staphylococcus warneri* from wall deposits LBWDc4. *Streptococcus gallolyticus* was noted in LBSTc3 (<1%). *Bacillus safensis* was present in one sample (LBSTc3) (supplementary table 5)

To identify the relationship among the samples based on species level distribution, the UPGMA (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) cluster analysis was performed. Analysis revealed that most of the samples from the same cave formed a separate cluster (Figure 2). Most of the Krem Soitan samples clustered together except KSSMc1, KSSTc1 and KSSTc4. Similarly, samples from Krem Lawbah grouped together at 40% similarity level except sample LBWDc1 (Figure 2).

Phylogenetic analysis as ascertained by the neighbour-joining tree where the close lineage of bacteria strains was retrieved by doing blast search in Eztaxon database (Fig 3). It was found that bacterial strain KSSMR06 and LBSTR64 showed close lineage with type strain *Bacillus altitudinis* and *Bacillus safensis*, respectively (supplementary figure 3). Bacterial strains KSSTR28, KSSTR31, KSSTR25, KSSTR26, LBSTR73 showed high sequence identity among themselves and branched together with type strain *Arthrobacter ginsengisoli*. Similarly, bacterial strains LBSTR66, LBWDR91, LBWDR90, and LBSTR67 form a separate clade with type strain *Pseudarthrobacter oxydans* (supplementary figure 4). Most of the bacterial strains belonging to Proteobacteria showed close lineage with type strains *Pseudomonas hutmensis* and *Pseudomonas kribbensis* (supplementary figure 5). In addition bacterial strain KSSTM20, KSSTM23 formed clade with *Enterobacter sichuanensis* belonging to Gammaproteobacteria and KSSTR29 formed clade with type strain *Deinococcus ficus* (supplementary figure 5).

Phylogenetic analysis also indicated other bacterial strains such as LBSTR70, KSSTR47, KSSTR43, KSSMR04, KSSTM46, and KSSTM44. These strains form a separate clade in the phylogenetic tree. It was found from BLASTN search in NCBI database (supplementary table 6) that they showed 92 - 98% similarity with their respective closely related organisms. This may indicate that these are novel strains of the closely related organisms. Hence, they may form separate clades in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 3 and supplementary Figure 3, 4, 5).

Association among the taxa and geochemical parameters: Correlation analysis showed that *Enterobacter*, *Variovorax*, *Acinetobacter*, *Paenibacillus*, *Flavobacterium* genera had a positive association with

Pseudomonas whereas *Arthrobacter*, *Staphylococcus*, *Deinococcus* and *Paenarthrobacter* genera showed a negative association with *Pseudomonas* (Figure 4). Association analysis among the bacterial genera and geochemical factors indicated that *Bacillus*, *Enterobacter*, *Pseudarthrobacter*, *Brevundimonas* and *Streptococcus* showed a positive association with electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, and salinity of the samples whereas *Staphylococcus* and *Kocuria* showed a negative association with these geochemical factors. Most of the predominant bacterial groups i.e., *Arthrobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudoarthrobacter*, *Microbacterium*, *Paenarthrobacter*, *Acinetobacter*, *Paenibacillus* and *Streptococcus* showed moderate to strong positive association with inorganic carbon and total carbon. In contrast, *Staphylococcus* and *Kocuria* showed a negative association. *Arthrobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Paenarthrobacter* and *Streptococcus* showed a positive association with HCO_3^- , Na and K whereas *Staphylococcus* and *Kocuria* showed a negative correlation with these factors. It was also noted that *Staphylococcus* and *Kocuria* showed a negative association with several parameters namely nitrate, calcium, bicarbonates, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, salinity, inorganic carbon, total carbon, total hardness, and magnesium of the samples. Interestingly, it was found that bacterial genera *Pseudomonas* showed the opposite trend with bacterial genera *Arthrobacter* in case of most of the samples. The pattern of this organism is self-explanatory with the geochemical approach. Implementing correlation analysis within the geochemical factor and microbial genera, showed that *Arthrobacter* has a positive association with geochemical factors TC, IC, NO_3^- , Cl^- , HCO_3^- , Na and a negative correlation with TOC and pH. *Pseudomonas* showed the opposite trend with these geochemical factors i.e., positive association with TOC and pH and a negative correlation with TC, IC, NO_3^- , Cl^- , HCO_3^- , Na (Figure 4). This indicates that the geochemical factor plays a significant role in shaping microbial patterns in this ecosystem.

The interrelation among the samples with respect to their geochemical properties and bacterial community composition were analysed by statistical analyses. PCA performed on selected water geochemistry parameters revealed that samples LBSTc1, LBSTc2 and LBWDc4 formed a cluster, whereas samples KSSMc1, KSSTc2, KSSTc3, KSSTc4, LBWDc1 and LBWDc3 were related to these samples (Figure 5a). Based on abundance of bacterial genera, a biplot PCA was performed. Axes 1 and 2 of the resulting bi-plot gave 39.7% and 23.8% of the total variability. It was also observed that sample LBSTc1, KSSTc4, LBSTc2, LBWDc4 and LBWDc1 grouped together as *Staphylococcus* and *Paenarthrobacter* whereas KSSMc1, KSSTc7, KSSTc8, KSSTc2 and LBWDc2 formed another separate cluster including *Pseudomonas*, *Flavobacterium* (Figure 5b).

Discussion

Caves are unique ecological niches for conducting geomicrobiological investigations. Diverse microbial communities exist in caves, which are important in various microbe-mineral processes (Tomczyk-Żak and Zielenkiewicz 2016). The cave walls in the different zones are often characterized by distinct colours, biofilms, and odours characteristic of certain microbes (Lavoie et al. 2017). Bacterial community structure and their functional activities can specifically contribute to cave ecology. This report is the first study on the culturable diversity from caves in Khasi hills, Meghalaya using MALDI-TOF spectrometry

followed by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The caves analysed for the study have speleothems with remarkable states of preservation. Our earlier study in the same caves evidenced diverse microbial fossil forms and structures that included coccoid-like shells, reticulate filaments, flat and beaded forms of filaments and conidiophore-like structures (Mudgil et al. 2018). Although geomicrobiological studies have been reported from some caves in Meghalaya (namely Krem Mawsmat, Krem Phyllut, Krem Mawluh), a detailed insight on the culturable microbial community composition are provided in the present study.

(a) Geochemical Parameters and Microbial Community Distribution in Caves:

Physicochemical analyses of water samples based on pH, Ca^{2+} , salinity, total organic carbon, Na^+ , Cl^- , and SO_4^{2-} and abundance of HCO_3^- showed that these caves have comparable chemical characteristics of other cave ecosystems (Raeisi et al. 2013). Geochemical processes like evaporation, root-zone CO_2 enrichment, bedrock dissolution, CO_2 degassing and speleothems precipitation might play a significant role in the distribution of these major ions. Total carbon content of the speleothems samples is low ($< 2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), indicating that the analysed caves are nutrient-limited environments. The source of the carbon could be from percolating water through rocks from the soil above the caves (Simon et al. 2007). Nitrate ($\leq 3.52 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) was also detected in the present study, like that reported by Menció et al. 2016. Therefore, caves provide nutrients and energy to host diverse microbial communities at subsistence levels (Barton and Jurado, 2007).

(b) Diversity of Microbial Communities in caves:

Very few studies have examined the culturable bacterial populations in cave environments. Most of the key bacterial genera identified in this study are also reported in other limestone caves (Rusznayk et al. 2012; Ortiz et al. 2013). In the present study, cave samples showed higher abundance of Proteobacteria followed by Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Deinococcus-Thermus. They are well reported and known for the metabolic potentiality to maintain nutrient cycles in nutrient limited cave environment (Balkwill et al. 1997). The predominance of Proteobacterial representatives and their high metabolic growth rates may be due to the soil carbon inputs in the caves using these sources as substrates. Some microbial strains can mobilize inorganic phosphates, hydrolyse proteins and lipids produced by other microbes thereby allowing recycling of resource materials (Barton and Jurado 2007). Saprophytic microorganisms such as Firmicutes and Actinobacteria are important in soil decomposition and formation (Anandan et al. 2016). The variation of these phyla in the different samples analysed may be due to changes in the ratio of obligate aerobes and facultative anaerobes in relation to the carbon source (Itcus et al. 2018). Further the different organic carbon inputs could be associated to the relative abundances of the observed bacterial genera. These can be some reasons for the specific clusters of microbes formed with geochemical parameters and associations between bacterial genera.

(i) Members belonging to Proteobacteria:

Proteobacteria is the most abundant phylum and represented 61% of the bacterial populations. Our analyses indicate that the most abundant and detectable populations (*Pseudomonas*, *Enterobacter*, *Variovorax*, *Brevundimonas*) are distinct from the populations identified in other caves (Wu et al. 2015). Most of the caves world-wide are dominated by Proteobacterial populations and are well known for their chemoorganotrophic/ chemolithotrophic metabolisms (Rusznayak et al. 2012). In our study the Proteobacterial members, *Pseudomonas* sp., *Enterobacter* sp. are closely associated with the strains identified from caves in India, Slovenia, Kartchner caverns Arizona, USA. *Variovorax paradoxus* belongs to the Betaproteobacteria, known for sulphur transformations (Northup et al. 2003), and are exclusive to the stalagmite sample at Krem Soitan. Bacterial genera *Brevundimonas* (Alphaproteobacteria) identified in the study have high survival rates in extreme environments. Therefore, the prevalence of Proteobacteria in the present study and also in other cave ecosystems, such as sulfurous caves, e.g., Lower Kane (Engel et al. 2003), Movile cave (Sarbu, 2000), evidences their importance in different biogeochemical cycles in the ecosystem

(ii) *Members belonging to Actinobacteria:*

Actinobacteria was the second most predominant bacterial group in our cave samples. These genera are also reported in caves from Slovenia, Germany (Rusznayak et al. 2012), caves in Slovenia (Pašić et al. 2010). Actinobacteria are known for carbonate biomineralization in caves and other ecosystems (Baskar et al. 2014; Mudgil et al. 2018). *Arthrobacter methylophilus* identified in our study is a facultative methylotroph and has been reported in the ferromanganese deposits in caves situated in the Upper Tennessee River Basin (Carmichael et al. 2013).

(iii) *Members belonging to Firmicutes:*

Bacterial members belonging to Firmicutes were found in most of the samples. The predominance of *Bacillus*, *Paenibacillus* and *Staphylococcus* species have been reported in the phototrophic biofilms in the Cave of Bats (Urzi et al. 2010). *Bacillus* sp. easily resist stress conditions and can survive in extreme conditions due to endospore formations (Filippidou et al. 2016). Several studies have reported the prevalence of Firmicutes in caves and their role in maintaining homeostatic conditions in caves (Herrenberg Cave, Rusznayak et al. 2012 and Weebubbie, Tetu et al. 2013).

(iv) *Members belonging to Bacteroidetes:*

Among the Bacteroidetes, *Flavobacterium tructae* and *F. hercynium* which were identified in this study have Mn mineral precipitating abilities *in vitro* (Carmichael et al. 2013). These organisms are identified as the largest group in biofilms on ferromanganese deposits in the Carter Saltpeter Cave (Carmichael et al. 2013). Bacteroidetes have been noted as the second largest group of microbes in Altamira Cave, represented dominantly by *Flavobacterium* (Portillo et al. 2009). *Deinococcus ficus*, identified in this study are members of Deinococcus-Thermus, and are extremophiles, chemoorganoheterotrophs, and ionizing-radiation resistant bacteria (Lai et al. 2006).

The identification of diverse microbial communities in this study suggest that in subsurface environments such as caves, various groups of microbes work together creating conducive environments for microbe-mineral interaction.

Conclusions

The present study evidences the presence of several strains novel to caves which expand our knowledge regarding microbial diversity in these geomicrobiologically unexplored habitats. A dominance of Proteobacteria was observed and is in accordance with other cave studies. Further, the correlation between geochemical parameters and microbial community composition indicates that geochemical parameters strongly influence the distribution of microbial communities. Future studies should focus on microbial roles in biogeochemical cycles and cave population dynamics. Such studies will be an all-inclusive approach for the taxonomical and functional profiling. These can be helpful in bioprospecting potential molecules such as enzymes/and antibiotics for industrial and pharmaceutical applications.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors thank National Microbial Resource Centre, Pune (Maharashtra) India for the identification of cultures by MALDI-TOF, DNA sequencing and other molecular microbiology work. DM thanks UGC-BSR, New Delhi for financial assistance.

References

1. Adetutu EM, Thorpe K, Shahsavari E, Bourne S, Cao X, Fard RMN, Kirby G, Ball AS (2012) Bacterial community survey of sediments at Naracoorte Caves, Australia. *Int J Speleol* 41(2):137–47.
2. Amann RI, Ludwig W, Schleifer KH (1995) Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. *Microbiol Rev* 59:143–169.
3. Anandan R, Dharumadurai D, Manogaran GP (2016) An introduction to actinobacteria. In *Actinobacteria-Basics and Biotechnological Applications*. Intech Open.
4. APHA (2005) Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 21st ed. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association. pp 1220
5. Atlas RM (1993) M9 medium. In: Parks LC (Ed), *Handbook for Microbiological Media*. CRC Press, Ann Arbor, MI. pp 529
6. Balkwill DL, Drake GR, Reeves RH, Fredrickson JK, White DC, Ringelberg DB, Chandler DP, Romine MF, Kennedy DW, Spadoni CM (1997) Taxonomic study of aromatic-degrading bacteria from deep-terrestrial-subsurface sediments and description of *Sphingomonas aromaticivorans* sp. nov., *Sphingomonas subterranea* sp. nov., and *Sphingomonas stygia* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 47(1):191–201.

7. Barton HA, Giarrizzo JG, Suarez P, Robertson CE, Broering MJ, Banks ED, Vaishampayan PA, Venkateswaran K (2014) Microbial diversity in a Venezuelan orthoquartzite cave is dominated by the Chloroflexi (Class Ktedonobacterales) and Thaumarchaeota Group I. 1c. *Front Microbiol* 5:615.
8. Barton HA, Taylor NM, Kreate MP, Springer AC, Oehrlle SA, Bertog JL (2007) The impact of host rock geochemistry on bacterial community structure in oligotrophic cave environments. *Int. J. Speleol* 36: 93–104.
9. Barton HA, Jurado V (2007) What's up down there? Microbial diversity in caves. *Microbe* 2:132–138.
10. Baskar S, Routh J, Baskar R, Kumar A, Miettinen H, Itävaara M (2016) Evidences for microbial precipitation of calcite in speleothems from KremSyndai in Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya, India. *Geomicrobiol J* 33 (10):906-933.
11. Baskar S, Baskar R, Routh J (2014) Speleothems from Sahastradhara Caves in Siwalik Himalaya, India: Possible biogenic inputs. *Geomicrobiol J* 31: 664–681.
12. Boston P.J, Spilde MN, Northup DE, Melim LA, Soroka DS, Kleina LG, Lavoie KH, Hose LD, Mallory LM, Dahm CN, Crossey LJ, Schelble RT (2001) Cave biosignature suites: Microbes, minerals and Mars. *Astrobiol J* 1:25-55..
13. Carmichael MJ, Carmichael SK, Santelli CM, Strom A, Bräuer SL (2013) Mn(II)-oxidizing bacteria are abundant and environmentally relevant members of ferromanganese deposits in caves of the upper Tennessee River Basin. *Geomicrobiol J* 30:779–800.
14. Cloutier MLC, Carmichael S K, Carson MA, Madritch MD, Bräuer SL (2017) Carbon quantity and quality drives variation in cave microbial communities and regulates Mn(II) oxidation. *Biogeochemistry* 134:77–94.
15. Donachie SP, Foster JS, Brown MV (2007) Culture clash: challenging the dogma of microbial diversity. *ISME J* 1:97-99.
16. Engel AS, Lee N, Porter ML, Stern LA, Bennett PC, Wagner M (2003) Filamentous Epsilonproteobacteria' dominate microbial mats from sulfidic cave springs. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 69:5503–5511.
17. Filippidou S, Wunderlin T, Junier T, Jeanneret N, Dorador C, Molina V, Johnson DR, Junier, P (2016) A combination of extreme environmental conditions favor the prevalence of endospore-forming firmicutes. *Front Microbiol* 7:1707.
18. Ghosh S, Kuisiene N, Cheeptham N (2017) The cave microbiome as a source for drug discovery: reality or pipe dream? *Biochem Pharmacol* 134:18–34.
19. Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl Acids Symp Ser* 41:95–98.
20. Itcus C, Pascu MD, Lavin P, Perşoiu A, Iancu L. Purcarea C (2018) Bacterial and archaeal community structures in perennial cave ice. *Sci. Rep* 8.
21. Jones DS, Albrecht HL, Dawson KS, Schaperdoth I, Freeman KH, Pi Y, Pearson A, Macalady JL (2012) Community genomic analysis of an extremely acidophilic sulfur-oxidizing biofilm. *ISME J* 6 158–170.

22. Jones DS, Schaperdoth I, Macalady JL (2014) Metagenomic evidence for sulfide oxidation in extremely acidic cave biofilms. *Geomicrobiol J* 31 194–204.
23. Lai WA, Kämpfer P, Arun AB, Shen FT, Huber B, Rekha PD, Young CC (2006) *Deinococcusficus* sp. nov., isolated from the rhizosphere of *Ficus religiosa* L. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 56(4):787-791
24. Lavoie KH, Winter AS, Read KJ, Hughes EM, Spilde MN, Northup DE (2017) Comparison of bacterial communities from lava cave microbial mats to overlying surface soils from Lava Beds National Monument, USA. *PloS One*. 12(2):e0169339.
25. Maciejewska M, Adam D, Naômé A, Martinet L, Tenconi E, Całusińska M, Delfosse P, Hanikenne M, Baurain D, Compère P, et al (2017) Assessment of the potential role of *Streptomyces* in cave moonmilk formation. *Front Microbiol* 8:1181.
26. Marques ELS, Silva GS, Dias J CT, Gross E, Costa MS, Rezende RP (2019) Cave drip water-related samples as a natural environment for aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria. *Microorganisms* 7:33.
27. Menció A, Mas-Pla J, Otero N, Regàs O, Boy-Roura M, Puig R, Bach J, Domènech C, Zamorano M, Brusi D et al (2016) Nitrate pollution of groundwater; all right..., but nothing else? *Sci Total Environ* 539:241-51.
28. Mendoza MLZ, Lundberg J, Ivarsson M, Campos P, Nylander JA, Sallstedt T, Dalen L (2016) Metagenomic Analysis from the interior of a Speleothem in Tjuv-Ante's Cave, Northern Sweden. *PLoS One* 11(3):e0151577.
29. Mudgil D, Baskar S, Baskar R, Paul D and Shouche YS (2018) Biomineralization potential of *Bacillus subtilis*, *Rummeliibacillusstabekisii* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* strains in vitro isolated from speleothems, Khasi hill caves, Meghalaya, India. *Geomicrobiol J* 35 (8): 675-694.
30. Northup DE, Reysenbach AL, Pace NR (1997) Microorganisms and speleothems, in Hill, C.A., and Forti, P., eds., *Cave Minerals of the World*, 2nd ed, National Speleological Society, Huntsville, 261–266.
31. Northup DE, Barns SM, Yu LE, Spilde MN, Schelble RT, Dano KE, Crossey LJ, Connolly C, Boston PJ, Natvig DO, Dahm CN (2003) Diverse microbial communities inhabiting ferromanganese deposits in Lechuguilla and Spider Caves. *Environ Microbiol* 5:1071–1086.
32. Ortiz M, Neilson JW, Nelson WM, Legatzki A, Byrne A, Yu Y, Wing RA, Soderlund CA, Pryor BM, Pierson LS, Maier RM (2013) Profiling bacterial diversity and taxonomic composition on speleothem surfaces in Kartchner Caverns, AZ. *Microb ecol.* 65(2):371-83.
33. Pašić L, Kovče B, Sket B, Herzog-Velikonja B (2010) Diversity of microbial communities colonizing the walls of a Karstic cave in Slovenia. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 71: 50–60.
34. Paul D, Poddar S, Sar P (2014) Characterization of arsenite-oxidizing bacteria isolated from arsenic-contaminated groundwater of West Bengal. *J Environ Sci and Health A* 49:1481-1492.
35. Portillo MC, Porca E, Cuezva S, Canaveras JC, Sanchez-Moral S, Gonzalez JM (2009) Is the availability of different nutrients a critical factor for the impact of bacteria on subterranean carbon budgets?. *Naturwissenschaften*, 96(9):1035-1042.

36. Raeisi E, Zare M, Aghdam JA (2013) Hydrogeology of gypsum formations in Iran. *J Caves Karst Stud* 75(1):68-80.
37. Rahi, P, Prakash O, Shouche YS (2016) Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass-Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) Based Microbial Identifications: Challenges and Scopes for Microbial Ecologists. *Front Microbiol* 7:1359.
38. Reasoner DJ, Geldreich EE (1985) A new medium for the enumeration and subculture of bacteria from potable water. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 49(1):1-7.
39. Rusznyák A, Akob DM, Nietzsche S, Eusterhues K, Totsche KU, Neu TR, Frosch T, Popp J, Keiner R, Geletneký J, Katzschmann L (2012) Calcite biomineralization by bacterial isolates from the recently discovered pristine karstic Herrenberg cave. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 78(4):1157-67.
40. Sarbu SM (2000) Movile Cave: a chemoautotrophically based groundwater ecosystem. In: Wilkens H, Culver DC, Humphreys WF, editors. *Subterranean Ecosystems*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. 319–343.
41. Simon KS, Pipan T, Culver DC (2007) A conceptual model of the flow and distribution of organic carbon in caves. *J Caves Karst Stud* 69(2):279-284.
42. Tetu SG, Breakwell K, Elbourne LDH, Holmes AJ, Gillings MR, Paulsen IT (2013) Life in the dark: metagenomic evidence that a microbial slime community is driven by inorganic nitrogen metabolism. *ISME J* 7:1227–1236.
43. Tomczyk-Żak K, Zielenkiewicz U (2016) Microbial diversity in caves. *Geomicrobiol J* 33:20–38.
44. Urzì C, De Leo F, Bruno L, Albertano P (2010) Microbial diversity in Paleolithic caves: a study case on the phototrophic biofilms of the Cave of Bats (Zuheros, Spain). *Microbial Ecol* 60:116–29.
45. Wu Y, Tan L, Liu W, Wang B, Wang J, Cai Y, Lin X (2015) Profiling bacterial diversity in a limestone cave of the western Loess Plateau of China. *Front Microbiol* 6:1–10.
46. Yun Y, Wang H, Man B, Xiang X, Zhou J, Qiu X, Duan Y, Engel AS (2016a) The relationship between pH and bacterial communities in a single karst ecosystem and its implication for soil acidification. *Front. Microbiol* 7:1955.
47. Yun Y, Xiang X, Wang H, Man B, Gong L, Liu Q, Dong Q, Wang R (2016b) Five-year monitoring of bacterial communities in dripping water from the Heshang Cave in Central China: implication for paleoclimate reconstruction and ecological functions. *Geomicrobiol J* 33:553–563.
48. Zhao R, Wang H, Cheng X, Yun Y, Qiu X (2018) Upland soil cluster gamma dominates the methanotroph communities in the karst Heshang Cave. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 94:fy192.

Tables

Due to technical limitations, table 1 is only available as a download in the Supplemental Files section.

Figures




Figure 1

Distribution of major phylogenetic groups of bacteria. (a) Abundance of bacterial groups is plotted with respect to their affiliation at phylum level. (b) Abundance of bacterial groups is plotted with respect to their affiliation at genus level.




Figure 2

Heat map shows species level distribution of major bacterial group of the studied cave samples.




Figure 3

Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of isolated bacterial strains of the present study. The tree is constructed based on 16S rRNA gene sequences by using Jukes-Cantor distances. 1000 bootstraps analyses are conducted and more than 50% are denoted in nodes.

Figure 4

Correlation analysis between bacterial members and physicochemical properties in water samples. Strong correlations are indicated by large circles, whereas weak correlations are indicated by small circles. The color of the scale bar denotes the nature of the correlation, with +1 indicating a perfect positive correlation (dark blue) and -1 indicating a perfect negative correlation (dark red).




Figure 5

PCA and biplot PCA based ordination plots on water samples microbiological and physicochemical properties. (a) PCA of the water samples based on geochemical parameter; (b) Bi-plot PCA of the water samples based on genus level distribution.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryFigure1.png](#)
- [SupplementaryFigure2.png](#)
- [SupplementaryFigure3.png](#)
- [SupplementaryFigure4.png](#)
- [SupplementaryFigure5.png](#)
- [SupplementaryTable1.docx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable2.docx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable3.docx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable4.docx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable5.docx](#)
- [supplementaryTable6.docx](#)
- [Table1.docx](#)