

Association of CYP2A6 gene deletion with cancers in Japanese elderly: an autopsy study

Maidina Abudushataer

Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2134-9204>

Noriko Sato

Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Makiko Mieno

Jichi Ika Daigaku

Motoji Sawabe

Tokyo Ika Shika Daigaku

Masaaki Muramatsu (✉ muramatsu.epi@mri.tmd.ac.jp)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6740-6900>

Tomio Arai

Tokyo-to Kenko Choju Iryo Center

Research article

Keywords: CYP2A6*1, CYP2A6*4, pathology, cancer, smoking

Posted Date: January 13th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.14624/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on March 4th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-020-6663-4>.

Abstract

Background CYP2A6 is an enzyme involved in oxidation of a number of environmental chemicals, including nicotine, pro-carcinogenic nitrosamines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The whole gene deletion of CYP2A6 (CYP2A6*4) is prevalent in East Asian population. Whether or not CYP2A6*4 associates with cancer is still controversial. Methods We undertook an association study to determine whether deletion of CYP2A6 gene associates with total cancer and major cancer types employing data of consecutive autopsy cases registered in the Japanese single-nucleotide polymorphisms for geriatric research (JG-SNP) database. The presence of cancer were inspected at the time of autopsy and pathologically confirmed. Genotyping for CYP2A6 wild type (W) and deletion (D) was done by allele specific RT-PCR method. Results Among 1373 subjects, the genotype frequency for WW, WD and DD were 65.0%, 30.6% and 4.4%, respectively, which obeyed the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Association for total cancer presence, as well as major cancers including gastric, lung, colorectal, and blood cancers did not show any positive association. When male and female were separately analyzed, CYP2A6 deletion associated with decreased gastric cancer risk in female (OR=0.49, 95%CI: 0.25-0.95, $p=0.021$, after adjustment for age, smoking and drinking). When smoker and non-smoker were separately analyzed, CYP2A6 deletion associated with decreased total cancer in female nonsmokers (OR=0.67, 95%CI: 0.45-0.99, $p=0.041$ after adjustment). On the other hand, CYP2A6 deletion associated increase blood cancers in smokers (OR=2.05, 95%CI: 1.19-3.53, $p=0.01$ after adjustment). Conclusion The CYP2A6 deletion may not grossly affect total cancer. It may associate with individual cancers in sex and smoking dependent manner. Further studies with larger sample size are warranted to confirm our results.

Background

Cytochrome P450 2A6 (CYP2A6) is known as a pharmacogene, primarily expressed in the liver, and catalyzes several clinically used drugs, including tegafur, letrozole, caffeine and other substrates [1,2]. CYP2A6 plays a major role in the nicotine metabolic pathway. It catalyzes nicotine to inactive metabolic cotinine, followed by further metabolism of cotinine to trans-3'-hydroxycotinine (3HC) [2,3]. CYP2A6 is also responsible for the metabolic activation of tobacco-specific pro-carcinogens such as 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK) and 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol (NNAL), which are found in the cigarette smoke [4,5]. Recently, CYP2A6 enzyme has been shown to metabolize not only tobacco-related nitrosamines but also polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and aryl- and heterocyclic amines [6].

CYP2A6 gene is highly polymorphic, with more than 40 variants identified to date [7]. Genetic variations of CYP2A6 gene have been widely studied with respect to its association with levels of nicotine metabolites *in vivo*, nicotine dependence, smoking behavior and cancer susceptibility. The allele frequencies of CYP2A6 genetic variants differ by racial/ethnic groups. Wild type allele CYP2A6*1 is considered as a wild type reference allele. In comparison, the whole gene deletion allele CYP2A6*4 is one of the most common variants in Asian ancestry, with MAF of 20~24% in Japanese [8,9,10,11]. The CYP2A6 deletion has been studied with respect to various tobacco-related cancers, but there remain inconsistent results. To this end, we employed consecutive autopsy cases of Japanese elderly registered in the JG-SNP database to determine the association between CYP2A6 deletion and risk of total cancer and major cancer types. This was thought to be important since recent haplotype analysis of CYP2A6 gene revealed that CYP2A6*4 cannot be tagged by nearby SNPs ($r^2<0.4$) [12]

Methods

Subjects

The study population was obtained from Internet database of Japanese single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for geriatric research (JG-SNP) [13]. Briefly, JG-SNP database consists of consecutive autopsy cases performed at the Tokyo Metropolitan Geriatric Hospital between 1995 and 2006. Pathological diagnosis was made by MS and TA. Clinical information including subject age, gender, smoking and drinking history was obtained from medical chart. Informed consent for the use of autopsy samples and patient clinical data for this study was obtained from the family of study participants at the time of autopsy. The definition of the drinkers/smokers were defined by current drinkers/smokers and ever drinkers/smokers. Never drinkers/smokers were those who never drink/smoke. Clinical data such as drinking and smoking habit were extracted from patient medical records. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of Tokyo Geriatric Hospital and Tokyo Medical and Dental University and authorized by TMDU Research Ethics Committee under the number 2016-011-02.

The genomic DNA was isolated from kidney cortex by phenol-chloroform method and stored at -20 °C until use. Concentration of DNA samples was determined by optical density of 260/280. We used 1373 subjects of which the DNA samples were present.

Primer/probe design and reaction mixture

The primer and probe sets were designed to detect the presence and absence of CYP2A6 gene as previously described by Yamazaki et al [14]. The sequence of primers and probes are shown in Table1. The reaction was performed in a 10 μ L volume with 10 ng genomic DNA. The reaction mixture contained 0.75 μ M CYP2A6_ex2_3_F, 1.0 μ M CYP2A7_ex9_F, 0.25 μ M CYP2A6_ex2_3_R, 0.25 μ M CYP2A6_3UTR_R, 0.25 μ M

CYP2A6_ex2_3_probe, 0.4 μ M CYP2A6_4_probe and TaqMan GTXpress™ Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). CYP2A6_ex2_3_probe was labeled with hexachloro-fluorescein (HEX) for detecting CYP2A6 wild-type, and CYP2A6_4_probe was labeled with 6-fluorescein amidite (FAM) for detecting CYP2A6 whole-gene deletion.

Quantitative Real-time PCR

The genotyping for the CYP2A6 gene was done by using multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) method with the above dual-labeled probes. The genomic DNAs were placed into 384 well plates, and were dried down. Mixture of above reagent was added to each well, centrifuged briefly, then sealed by foil and the PCR reaction was run on Light Cycler® 480 I 384 (Roche, Penzberg, Germany). The thermal cycler conditions were initiated at 95 °C for 20 seconds followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 seconds, annealing at 60°C for 30 seconds and extension at 72°C for 31 seconds. The genotype was determined from RT-PCR fluorescent curve by optical observation.

Statistical analysis

Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) for CYP2A6 genotypes was determined using Chi-Square test. Chi-Square or Fisher's exact tests were used to compare CYP2A6 genotypes and cancer or behavior habits of smoking and drinking. Adjustments were done for age, sex, smoking and drinking status where appropriate. Binomial logistic regression was used to calculate the odds ratio (OR), 95 % confidence-intervals (CI) and the p-values to estimate the relationship. All p-values are reported two-sided, and <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Subgroup analyses based on gender and smoking status were also performed. Adjustment for multiple testing, such as Bonferroni correction, was not applied for its conservative nature. Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics software 25.0 (IBM; New York, USA).

Results

Characteristics of the study subjects

Selected demographic variables, including the sites of cancers, and risk factors are shown in Table 2. The study included 1373 samples, including 743 men and 630 women. The mean age of subjects was 80.1 \pm 9.0 years. The subjects consist of 655 smokers and 633 non-smokers with 85 missing data. Alcohol drinking data include 456 drinkers and 833 non-drinkers and 84 missing data. Among all samples, 826 patients were diagnosed as having at least one cancer (60.2%), while others were cancer negative (n=547, 39.8%). The major cancer includes gastric cancer (n=163, 11.9%), colorectal cancer (n=130, 9.5%), lung cancer (n=128, 9.3%), and blood cancer (n=127, 9.3%). In this study, we evaluated these high prevalent cancers.

Genotyping and allele frequency of CYP2A6

A typical reaction curves are shown in Figure 1. Based on the obtained amplification curves of HEX and FAM fluorescence, genotypes of CYP2A6 wild type homozygotes, heterozygotes and deletion homozygotes were determined. The presence of CYP2A6 (W) showed HEX fluorescence but did not show FAM fluorescence (Figure 1.a). The heterozygote CYP2A6 W and deletion (D) showed both fluorescences (Figure1.b). Whole-gene deletion type CYP2A6 (D) showed FAM fluorescence but did not show HEX fluorescence (Figure 1.c). Among all samples, 892 subjects were determined as W/W (65.0%), 420 samples were determined as W/D (30.6 %) and 61 samples were determined as D/D (4.4%). The allele frequencies of CYP2A6 W and D alleles were 80.2% and 19.8%, respectively. The whole study group obeyed the HWE ($p=0.20$), and the allele frequency was consistent with previous studies in the Japanese population [8,9,10,11].

Association of CYP2A6 genotype with cancer

First, association of CYP2A6 W/D genotype and total cancer were determined for all subjects (Table 3). *We studied the association of CYP2A6 (D) and total cancer in different models of dominant (DD+WD: WW), recessive (DD: WD+WW) and additive (WW: WD: DD). In any of the genetic models, no association was found in crude analysis and after adjusted for age, gender, smoking and drinking. Association for independent cancer of gastric, colorectal, lung and blood were also studied and no associations were found.*

Next, we separately analyzed male and female (Table 4). In male, association of CYP2A6 W/D genotypes gave no association for total cancer, and independent cancers in crude analysis and adjusted for age, smoking and drinking. As for blood cancer there was a positive sign of association (WD+DD/WW, OR=1.72, 95%CI: 1.07~2.75, $p=0.024$) in crude analysis, which did not remain positive after adjustment ($p=0.063$).

In female, CYP2A6 deletion barely did not reach significant level with total cancer in crude analysis ($p=0.055$). With respect to colorectal cancer, lung cancer and blood cancer in female, there was no significant association related with CYP2A6 deletion in crude and adjusted analysis. Only, CYP2A6 deletion significantly associated with decreased risk of gastric cancer presence in crude analysis ($p=0.036$), and remained positive after adjustment ($p=0.021$). We also determined whether CYP2A6 deletion associates with smoking and drinking habits. As shown in the last two rows of Table 4, these health behaviors were not affected by CYP2A6 deletion.

We further analyzed smoker and nonsmoker (Supplementary Table 1 & 2). As for smoker, CYP2A6 deletion did not associate with total cancer, nor independent cancers of gastric, colorectal and lung. However, there was a positive sign with increased blood cancer in smokers ($OR=2.05$, 95%CI: 1.19~3.53, $p=0.01$, after adjustment). As for non-smokers, total cancer was barely non-significant ($OR=0.73$, 95%CI: 0.53-1.02 $p=0.08$, after adjustment) and also non-significant for independent cancers. Only in female nonsmokers, CYP2A6 deletion associated with decreased total cancer ($OR=0.67$, 95%CI: 0.45-0.99, $p=0.041$ after adjustment).

Discussion

In this study, we determined the association of CYP2A6 gene deletion genotypes with various cancer risks. Overall, our findings suggested that CYP2A6 deletion do not largely affect presence of total cancer. However, there were some positive signs that CYP2A6 deletion might associate with the presence of independent cancer in sex and smoking dependent manner.

CYP2A6 variation has been recurrently studied for smoking behavior. In general, *reduced function of polymorphic CYP2A6 enzyme*, including gene deletion has been associated with decreased smoking behaviors [2, 12]. However, our study did not find any association between CYP2A6 deletion and smoking habit (Table 4). This may be due to binominal nature of smoking habits in our database.

CYP2A6 variants have been studied for tobacco-related cancers such as lung [15,16,17,18,19,20,21], bladder [22,23], esophageal [24], oral [25] and urothelial [26] cancers. Since many cancers are tobacco related, we first studied whether CYP2A6 deletion associate with total cancer in our study sample. We could not find any association for total cancer in dominant, recessive and additive genetic models (Table 3).

We then studied independent cancers of lung, colorectal, gastric, and blood cancers, employing dominant model to detect the association (Table 4). Our results did not find any association between CYP2A6 deletion with any of these cancers. The most studied cancer in the literature is *lung cancer* and decreased function of CYP2A6 generally associated with lower lung cancer risk [15,16,17,18,19,20,21]. The reason why we could not detect CYP2A6 deletion and lung cancer may be due to small sample size and that we did not consider other low active variants (see the limitation below).

Our study found a significant association between CYP2A6 deletion with decreased risk of gastric cancer in Japanese female. Also CYP2A6 deletion associated with decreased total cancer in non-smoking female. Since CYP2A6 activates not only tobacco-related nitrosamines, but also activate number of environmental chemicals such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and aryl- and heterocyclic amines, CYP2A6 deletion may have a lower activity to convert them into active carcinogens *in vivo*.

Intriguingly, CYP2A6 deletion associated with increased blood cancer only in smokers ($OR=2.05$, 95%CI: 1.19~3.53, $p=0.01$, after adjustment). This result is tempting to speculate the blood cancers might be caused by a different spectrum of carcinogens, which are inactivated by CYP2A6. However, this notion should be considered a working hypothesis and these observations must be replicated in a larger sample size study. Indeed, one Japanese study employing 120 gastric cancer patients and 158 controls has shown that CYP2A6 deletion homozygotes increase gastric cancer risk [27], which appears to be contradictory to our results.

There are limitations in our study. First, this was a hospital-based autopsy study and we had limited access to clinical information on lifestyle variables that could impact the development of cancer. Second, the autopsies were performed on many of the deaths (40%) that occurred in the hospital, and the causes of death among our autopsy cases were similar to those reported in a national survey, we cannot rule out the possibility of selection bias. Such bias can arise from chance of admission, consent to autopsy, cause of death, and autopsy practice. Survival bias is also a possibility, as particular genotypes may be associated with other diseases or influence the lifespan of certain subjects, thereby introducing bias into the study population. Third, the current assay did not discriminate CYP2A6*1 from CYP2A6*7 and *9 which appear to have low activity scores [21]. However, CYP2A6 *4 is the whole gene deletion and expected to have the most extreme phenotype and cannot be tagged by nearby SNPs ($r^2<0.4$) [12].

Conclusion

CYP2A6 deletion may not largely affect presence of total cancer. CYP2A6 deletion may associate with decreased gastric cancer in female and decreased total cancer in nonsmoking female. These results warrant further confirmation with larger sample size studies.

Abbreviations

CYP2A6: Cytochrome P450 2A6

PAHs: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

JG-SNP: Japanese single-nucleotide polymorphisms for geriatric research

3HC: Trans-3'-hydroxycotinine

NNK: 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone

NNAL: 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol

SNPs: Single-nucleotide polymorphisms

HEX: Hexachloro-fluorescein

FAM: 6-fluorescein amidite

RT-PCR: real-time polymerase chain reaction

HWE: Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of Tokyo Geriatric Hospital and Tokyo Medical and Dental University and authorized by TMDU Research Ethics Committee under the number 2016-011-02.

Consent for publication

All authors read and approved of the final manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All datasets used or analysed for this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Funding

This study was funded by grant no.17K09081 from MEXT/JSPS, and the Kyoten Program of the Medical Research Institute, TMDU.

Authors' contributions

The study was designed by MMu. Pathological analysis was performed by MS and TA. Clinical data acquisition and analysis were performed by MMA. Genotyping and statistical analyses were performed by MA and NS. The manuscript was written by MA and MMu. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

References

- [1] McDonagh EM, Wassenaar C, David SP, Tyndale RF, Altman RB, Whirl-Carrillo M, Klein TE. PharmGKB Summary - Very Important Pharmacogene Information for Cytochrome P-450, Family 2, Subfamily A, polypeptide 6. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2012 Sep; 22(9):695-708.
- [2] Tanner JA, Tyndale RF. Variation in CYP2A6 Activity and Personalized Medicine. *J Pers Med*. 2017 Dec 1; 7(4). pii: E18.
- [3] Murphy SE. Nicotine Metabolism and Smoking: Ethnic Differences in the Role of P450 2A6. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2017 Jan 17; 30(1):410-419.
- [4] Zhu AZ, Binnington MJ, Renner CC, Lanier AP, Hatsukami DK, Stepanov I, Watson CH, Sosnoff CS, Benowitz NL, Tyndale RF. Alaska Native smokers and smokeless tobacco users with slower CYP2A6 activity have lower tobacco consumption, lower tobacco-specific nitrosamine exposure and lower tobacco-specific nitrosamine bioactivation. *Carcinogenesis*. 2013 Jan; 34(1):93-101.

- [5] Yuan JM, Nelson HH, Carmella SG, Wang R, Kuriger-Laber J, Jin A, Adams-Haduch J, Hecht SS, Koh WP, Murphy SE. CYP2A6 genetic polymorphisms and biomarkers of tobacco smoke constituents in relation to risk of lung cancer in the Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Carcinogenesis*. 2017; 38:411–418.
- [6] Shimada T, Murayama N, Yamazaki H, Tanaka K, Takenaka S, Komori M, Kim D, Guengerich FP. Metabolic activation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and aryl and heterocyclic amines by human cytochromes P450 2A13 and 2A6. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2013 Apr 15; 26(4):529-37.
- [7] Zhou Y, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Lauschke VM. Worldwide Distribution of Cytochrome P450 Alleles: A Meta-analysis of Population-scale Sequencing Projects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2017 Oct; 102(4):688-700.
- [8] Nakajima M, Kwon JT, Tanaka N, Zenta T, Yamamoto Y, Yamamoto H, Yamazaki H, Yamamoto T, Kuroiwa Y, Yokoi T. Relationship between inter individual differences in nicotine metabolism and CYP2A6 genetic polymorphism in humans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2001 Jan; 69(1):72-8.
- [9] Raunio H, Rautio A, Gullstén H, Pelkonen O. Polymorphisms of CYP2A6 and its practical consequences. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2001 Oct; 52(4):357-63.
- [10] Nakajima M, Fukami T, Yamanaka H, Higashi E, Sakai H, Yoshida R, Kwon JT, McLeod HL, Yokoi T. Comprehensive evaluation of variability in nicotine metabolism and CYP2A6 polymorphic alleles in four ethnic populations. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2006 Sep; 80(3):282-97.
- [11] Schoedel KA, Hoffmann EB, Rao Y, Sellers EM, Tyndale RF. Ethnic variation in CYP2A6 and association of genetically slow nicotine metabolism and smoking in adult Caucasians *Pharmacogenetics*. 2004 Sep; 14(9):615-26.
- [12] Kumasaka N, Aoki M, Okada Y, Takahashi A, Ozaki K, Mushiroda T, Hirota T, Tamari M, Tanaka T, Nakamura Y, Kamatani N, Kubo M. Haplotypes with Copy Number and Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in CYP2A6 Locus Are Associated with Smoking Quantity in a Japanese Population. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(9):e44507.
- [13] Sawabe M, Arai T, Kasahara I, Esaki Y, Nakahara K, Hosoi T, Orimo H, Takubo K, Murayama S, Tanaka N; Tokyo Metropolitan Geriatric Medical Center, Japan Science and Technology Agency. Developments of geriatric autopsy database and Internet-based database of Japanese single nucleotide polymorphisms for geriatric research (JG-SNP). *Mech Ageing Dev*. 2004 Aug; 125(8):547-52.
- [14] Shimizu M, Sawaya R, Kishimoto I, Yamazaki H. Genotyping of wild-type cytochrome P450 2A6 and whole-gene deletion using human blood samples and a multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction method with dual-labeled probes. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2015; 441:71-4.
- [15] Wassenaar CA, Ye Y, Cai Q, Aldrich MC, Knight J, Spitz MR, Wu X, Blot WJ, Tyndale RF. CYP2A6 reduced activity gene variants confer reduction in lung cancer risk in African American smokers—findings from two independent populations. *Carcinogenesis*. 2015 Jan; 36(1):99-103.
- [16] Park SL, Tiirikainen MI, Patel YM, Wilkens LR, Stram DO, Le Marchand L, Murphy SE. Genetic determinants of CYP2A6 activity across racial/ethnic groups with different risks of lung cancer and effect on their smoking intensity. *Carcinogenesis*. 2016 Mar; 37(3):269-279.
- [17] Yuan JM, Nelson HH, Butler LM, Carmella SG, Wang R, Kuriger-Laber JK, Adams-Haduch J, Hecht SS, Gao YT, Murphy SE. Genetic determinants of cytochrome P450 2A6 activity and biomarkers of tobacco smoke exposure in relation to risk of lung cancer development in the Shanghai Cohort Study. *Int J Cancer*. 2016 May 1; 138(9):2161-71.
- [18] Ariyoshi N, Miyamoto M, Umetsu Y, Kunitoh H, Dosaka-Akita H, Sawamura Y, Yokota J, Nemoto N, Sato K, Kamataki T. Genetic Polymorphism of CYP2A6 Gene and Tobacco-induced Lung Cancer Risk in Male Smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2002 Sep; 11(9):890-4.
- [19] Fujieda M, Yamazaki H, Saito T, Kiyotani K, Gyamfi MA, Sakurai M, Dosaka-Akita H, Sawamura Y, Yokota J, Kunitoh H, Kamataki T. Evaluation of CYP2A6 genetic polymorphisms as determinants of smoking behavior and tobacco-related lung cancer risk in male Japanese smokers. *Carcinogenesis*. 2004 Dec; 25(12):2451-8.
- [20] Tamaki Y, Arai T, Sugimura H, Sasaki T, Honda M, Muroi Y, Matsubara Y, Kanno S, Ishikawa M, Hirasawa N, Hiratsuka M. Association between cancer risk and drug-metabolizing enzyme gene (CYP2A6, CYP2A13, CYP4B1, SUL1A1, GSTM1, and GSTT1) polymorphisms in cases of lung cancer in Japan. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*. 2011; 26(5):516-22.
- [21] Hosono H, Kumondai M, Arai T, Sugimura H, Sasaki T, Hirasawa N, Hiratsuka M. CYP2A6 genetic polymorphism is associated with decreased susceptibility to squamous cell lung cancer in Japanese smokers. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*. 2015 Aug; 30(4):263-8.
- [22] Kumondai M, Hosono H, Oriyasa K, Arai Y, Arai T, Sugimura H, Ozono S, Sugiyama T, Takayama T, Sasaki T, Hirasawa N, Hiratsuka M. Genetic Polymorphisms of CYP2A6 in a Case-Control Study on Bladder Cancer in Japanese Smokers. *Biol Pharm Bull*. 2016; 39(1):84-9.
- [23] Song DK, Xing DL, Zhang LR, Li ZX, Liu J, Qiao BP. Association of NAT2, GSTM1, GSTT1, CYP2A6, and CYP2A13 gene polymorphisms with susceptibility and clinicopathologic characteristics of bladder cancer in Central China. *Cancer Detect Prev*. 2009; 32(5-6):416-23.

[24] Tan W, Chen GF, Xing DY, Song CY, Kadlubar FF, Lin DX. Frequency of CYP2A6 gene deletion and its relation to risk of lung and esophageal cancer in the Chinese population. *Int J Cancer*. 2001 Mar 20; 95 (2):96-101.

[25] Topcu Z, Chiba I, Fujieda M, Shibata T, Ariyoshi N, Yamazaki H, Sevgican F, Muthumala M, Kobayashi H, Kamataki T. CYP2A6 gene deletion reduces oral cancer risk in betel quid chewers in Sri Lanka. *Carcinogenesis*. 2002 Apr; 23(4):595-8.

[26] Tsukino H, Kuroda Y, Nakao H, Imai H, Osada Y, Inatomi H, Kitagawa K, Kawamoto T, Katoh T. Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2A6 and CYP2E1 with tobacco smoking is not associated with risk of urothelial cancer. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2002 Jul; 7(3):129-31.

[27] Tsukino H, Kuroda Y, Qiu D, Nakao H, Imai H, Katoh T. Effects of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2A6 gene deletion and CYP2E1 genotypes on gastric adenocarcinoma. *Int J Cancer*. 2002 Aug 1; 100(4):425-8.

Tables

Table 1. Primer and probe sets for detecting CYP2A6*1 and *4 allele.

	Name	Target Sequence
CYP2A6*1	Forward Primer (CYP2A6_ex2_3_F)	5'-AGCTCTGCTGGGCAA-3'
	Reverse Primer (CYP2A6_ex2_3_R)	5'-CCCCTGCTCACCGCCA-3'
	Probe (CYP2A6_ex2_3_probe)	5'-TGTCTCCATTCCC GCGTTCA-3' (HEX)
CYP2A6*4	Forward Primer (CYP2A7_ex9_F)	5'-TCCCCAAACACGTGGT-3'
	Reverse Primer (CYP2A6_3UTR_R)	5'-AGGTGAGCGTGCAATG-3'
	Probe (CYP2A6_4_probe)	5'-AGAGGGAAGAGAAGAAACAGAA-3' (FAM)

Table 2. Distribution of selected demographic variables and risk factors.

	All subjects (n=1373)	Cancer-free (n=547)	Cancer-bearing (n=826)	p-value*
Age at death, years†	80.1± 9.0			
≥80n (%)	729 (53)	300 (55)	429 (52)	
<80	644 (47)	247 (45)	397 (48)	0.2946
Gender, n (%)				
Male	743 (54)	273 (50)	470 (57)	
Female	630 (46)	274 (50)	356 (43)	0.0128
Smoking, n (%)				
Non-smoker	633 (46)	256 (47)	377 (45)	
Smoker	655 (48)	245 (45)	410 (50)	0.2776
Missing	85 (6)	46 (8)	39 (5)	
Alcohol, n (%)				
Non-drinker	833 (61)	346 (63)	487 (59)	
Drinker	456 (33)	157 (29)	299 (36)	0.0143
Missing	84 (6)	44 (8)	40 (5)	
Cancer, n (%)				
0			547 (40)	
1			604 (44)	
2			178 (13)	
≥3			44 (3)	
Cancer sites, n (%)				
Gastric cancer			163 (12)	
colorectal cancer			130 (10)	
Lung cancer			128 (9)	
Blood cancer			127 (9)	

† means ± SDs

*p-value for Fisher's exact probability test

Table 3. Genetic models for total cancer

Dominant		WW (%)	WD+DD (%)	p-value*	p-value**
	□	343(63)	204(37)	0.153	0.132
	+	549(66)	277(34)		
Recessive		WW+WD (%)	DD (%)	0.377	0.539
	□	526(96)	21(4)		
	+	786(95)	40(5)		
Additive		WW (%)	WD (%)	DD (%)	0.142
	□	343(63)	183(33)	21(4)	
	+	549(66)	237(29)	40(5)	

WW, wild-type; WD, heterozygote; DD, whole-gene deletion; □(cancer-free); + (cancer-bearing).

*p-value was done by Crude analysis.

** Logistic regression analysis adjusted by age, gender, smoking and drinking.

Table 4. Association between CYP2A6*4 and risk of developing cancer

		Total subjects				Male				Female			
		WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P*	WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P**	WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P**
Total CA(n=1373)	□	204(37)/343(63)	0.85 (0.68-1.06)	0.153	0.132	92(34)/181(66)	0.10 (0.73-1.37)	0.982	0.772	112(41)/162(59)	0.73 (0.52-1.01)	0.055	0.053
	+	277(34)/549(66)				158(34)/312(66)				119(33)/237(67)			
Gastric CA(n=1372)	□	429(35)/780(65)	0.85 (0.60-1.21)	0.369	0.280	210(33)/422(67)	1.13 (0.74-1.73)	0.564	0.735	219(38)/358(62)	0.49 (0.25-0.95)	0.036	0.021
	+	52(32)/111(68)				40(36)/71(64)				12(23)/40(77)			
Colorectal CA(n=1372)	□	438(35)/804(65)	0.91 (0.62-1.33)	0.619	0.716	228(34)/447(66)	0.94 (0.55-1.60)	0.813	0.772	210(37)/357(63)	0.87 (0.50-1.51)	0.624	0.818
	+	43(33)/87(67)				22(32)/46(68)				21(34)/41(66)			
Lung CA(n=1370)	□	429(35)/813(65)	1.30 (0.89-1.88)	0.171	0.097	217(33)/443(67)	1.35 (0.84-2.15)	0.212	0.178	212(36)/370(64)	1.28 (0.69-2.36)	0.438	0.322
	+	52(41)/76(59)				33(40)/50(60)				19(42)/26(58)			
Blood CA(n=1371)	□	428(34)/816(66)	1.37 (0.94-1.98)	0.100	0.244	214(32)/449(68)	1.72 (1.07-2.75)	0.024	0.063	214(37)/367(63)	0.97 (0.52-1.80)	0.928	0.576
	+	53(42)/74(58)				36(45)/44(55)				17(36)/30(64)			
Smoking (n=1288)	□	223(35)/410(65)	1.00 (0.79-1.25)	0.966		63(34)/122(66)	1.03 (0.73-1.47)	0.853		160(36)/288(64)	1.03 (0.69-1.53)	0.902	
	+	230(35)/425(65)				181(35)/339(65)				49(36)/86(64)			
Alcohol (n=1289)	□	283(34)/550(66)	1.16 (0.91-1.47)	0.235		105(33)/212(67)	1.15 (0.84-1.58)	0.373		178(34)/338(66)	1.41 (0.85-2.36)	0.188	
	+	170(37)/286(63)				141(36)/247(64)				29(43)/39(57)			

CA, cancer; WW, wild-type (reference) ; WD, heterozygote; DD, whole-gene deletion; □(cancer-free); + (cancer-bearing).

* Represents adjusted by age, gender, smoking and drinking.

** Represents adjusted by age, smoking and drinking

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1 Association between CYP2A6*4 and risk of developing cancer with dominant model for smokers

		Total subjects (n=655)				Male (n=520)				Female (n=135)			
		WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P*	WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P**	WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P**
Total CA	-	88(36)/157(64)	0.95 (0.67-1.32)	0.739	0.755	65(36)/118(64)	0.95 (0.65-1.39)	0.802	0.817	23(37)/39(63)	0.94 (0.46-1.90)	0.859	0.795
	+	142(35)/268(65)				116(34)/221(66)				26(36)/47(64)			
Gastric CA	-	201(36)/363(64)	0.85 (0.53-1.36)	0.485	0.449	153(35)/288(65)	1.03 (0.63-1.71)	0.898	0.99	48(39)/75(61)	0.14 (0.02-1.14)	0.066	0.074
	+	29(32)/62(68)				28(35)/51(65)				1(8)/11(92)			
Colorectal CA	-	208(35)/382(65)	0.94 (0.55-1.61)	0.821	0.916	164(35)/303(65)	0.87 (0.48-1.60)	0.660	0.725	44(36)/79(64)	1.28 (0.38-4.28)	0.686	0.599
	+	22(34)/43(66)				17(32)/36(68)				5(42)/7(58)			
Lung CA	-	194(35)/366(65)	1.15 (0.73-1.81)	0.539	0.371	153(34)/293(66)	1.17 (0.70-1.94)	0.555	0.413	41(36)/73(64)	1.10 (0.42-2.86)	0.852	0.669
	+	36(38)/59(62)				28(38)/46(62)				8(38)/13(62)			
Blood CA	-	201(34)/397(66)	2.05 (1.19-3.53)	0.010	0.010	155(33)/313(67)	2.02 (1.13-3.60)	0.017	0.018	46(35)/84(65)	2.74 (0.44-17.09)	0.279	0.363
	+	29(51)/28(49)				26(50)/26(50)				3(60)/2(40)			
Alcohol	-	93(32)/198(68)	1.30 (0.94-1.81)	0.113		62(32)/131(68)	1.25 (0.85-1.82)	0.253		31(32)/67(68)	1.82 (0.83-4.01)	0.137	
	+	134(38)/219(62)				118(50)/200(50)				16(46)/19(54)			

CA, cancer; WW, wild-type (reference) ; WD, heterozygote; DD, whole-gene deletion; □(cancer-free); + (cancer-bearing).

* Represents adjusted by age, gender and drinking.

** Represents adjusted by age and drinking

Supplementary Table 2 Association between CYP2A6*4 and risk of developing cancer with dominant model for non-smokers

		Total subjects (n=633)				Male (n=185)				Female (n=448)			
		WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P*	WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P**	WD+DD/WW (%)	OR(95%CI)	P	P**
Total CA	-	101(39)/155(61)	0.73 (0.53-1.02)	0.067	0.080	24(35)/45(65)	0.95 (0.51-1.78)	0.872	0.939	77(41)/110(59)	0.67 (0.45-0.99)	0.042	0.041
	+	122(32)/255(68)				39(34)/77(66)				83(32)/178(68)			
Gastric CA	-	202(36)/363(64)	0.82 (0.48-1.41)	0.476	0.438	51(33)/104(67)	1.36 (0.61-3.04)	0.454	0.577	151(37)/259(63)	0.55 (0.25-1.20)	0.133	0.126
	+	21(31)/46(69)				12(40)/18(60)				9(24)/28(76)			
Colorectal CA	-	203(35)/369(65)	0.91 (0.52-1.60)	0.740	0.672	58(34)/113(66)	1.08 (0.35-3.38)	0.892	0.989	145(36)/256(64)	0.85 (0.45-1.64)	0.634	0.613
	+	20(33)/40(67)				5(36)/9(64)				15(33)/31(67)			
Lung CA	-	208(35)/393(65)	1.89 (0.91-3.94)	0.090	0.097	58(33)/119(67)	3.42 (0.79-14.80)	0.100	0.125	150(35)/274(65)	1.52 (0.64-3.61)	0.340	0.338
	+	15(50)/15(50)				5(63)/3(37)				10(45)/12(55)			
Blood CA	-	204(36)/365(64)	0.77 (0.44-1.36)	0.371	0.416	55(34)/105(66)	0.90 (0.37-2.21)	0.816	0.967	149(36)/260(64)	0.71 (0.34-1.47)	0.359	0.343
	+	19(30)/44(70)				8(32)/17(68)				11(29)/27(71)			
Alcohol	-	189(35)/348(65)	1.04 (0.66-1.65)	0.855		42(34)/81(66)	1.01 (0.53-1.93)	0.970		147(36)/267(64)	1.18 (0.57-2.44)	0.654	
	+	34(36)/60(64)				21(34)/40(66)				13(39)/20(61)			

CA, cancer; WW, wild-type (reference) ; WD, heterozygote; DD, whole-gene deletion; - (cancer-free); + (cancer-bearing).

* Represents adjusted by age, gender and drinking.

** Represents adjusted by age and drinking

Figures




Figure 1

Typical RT-PCR results of CYP2A6 genotyping for CYP2A6*1/*1 (a), CYP2A6*1/*4 (b), and CYP2A6*4/*4 (c).