Real-Time Motion Onset Recognition for Robot-Assisted Gait Rehabilitation

Roushanak Haji Hassani

University of Basel <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0863-2849>

Mathias Bannwart

SCI Center, Universitätsklinik Balgrist: Universitätsklinik Balgrist

Marc Bolliger

SCI Center, University Hospital Balgrist: Universitätsklinik Balgrist

Thomas Seel

Department Artificial Intelligence in Biomedical Engineering, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany

Reinald Brunner

University Children's Hospital Basel: Universitäts Kinderspital beider Basel

Georg Rauter (✉ georg.rauter@unibas.ch)

University of Basel <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9089-8181>

Research

Keywords: Real-time activity recognition, EtherCAT, Sliding window, Wireless EtherCAT interface, Inertial measurement unit, Body weight support

Posted Date: June 17th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-536031/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation on January 28th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-022-00984-x>.

RESEARCH

Real-Time Motion Onset Recognition for Robot-Assisted Gait Rehabilitation

Roushanak Haji Hassani^{1,2}, Mathias Bannwart^{2,5}, Marc Bolliger^{2,6}, Thomas Seel³, Reinald Brunner⁴, and Georg Rauter^{1,2,5*}

*Correspondence:

georg.rauter@unibas.ch

¹BIROMED-Lab, Dept. of Biomedical Engineering, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Background: Many Patients with neurological movement disorders fear to fall while performing postural transitions without assistance, which prevents them from participating in daily life. To overcome this limitation, multi-directional Body Weight Support (BWS) systems have been developed allowing them to perform training in a safe environment. In addition to overground walking, these innovative/novel systems can assist patients to train many more mobility/gait-related tasks needed for daily life under very realistic conditions. The necessary assistance during the users' movements can be provided via task-dependent support designs. One remaining challenge is the manual switching between task-dependent supports. It is error-prone, cumbersome, distracts therapists and patients, and interrupts the training workflow. Hence, we propose a real-time motion onset recognition model that performs automatic support switching between standing-up and sitting-down transitions and other gait-related tasks (8 classes in total).

Methods: To predict the onsets of the gait-related tasks, three Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs) were attached to the sternum and middle of outer thighs of 19 controls without neurological movement disorders and two individuals with incomplete Spinal Cord Injury (iSCI). The data of IMUs obtained from different gait tasks was sent synchronously to a real-time data acquisition system through a custom-made Bluetooth-EtherCAT gateway. In the first step, data was applied offline for training five different classifiers. The best classifier was chosen based on F1-score results of a Leave-One-Participant-Out Cross-Validation (LOPOCV), which is an unbiased way of testing. In a final step, the chosen classifier was tested in real time with an additional control participant to demonstrate feasibility for real-time classification.

Results: Testing five different classifiers, the best performance was obtained in a single-layer neural network with 25 neurons. The F1-score of $86.83\% \pm 6.2\%$ and 92.01% are achieved on testing using LOPOCV and test data (30 %, $n=20$), respectively. Furthermore, the results from the implemented real-time classifier were compared with the offline classifier and revealed nearly identical performance.

Conclusions: A neural network classifier was trained for identifying the onset of gait-related tasks in real time. Test data showed convincing performance for offline and real-time classification. This demonstrates the feasibility and potential for implementing real-time onset recognition in rehabilitation devices in future.

Keywords: Real-time activity recognition; EtherCAT; Sliding window; Wireless EtherCAT interface; Inertial measurement unit; Body weight support

Background

Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) leads to devastating consequences for the affected individuals. Due to the complete or incomplete disruption of the spinal cord, voluntary control and sensory function are diminished (incomplete SCI) or completely lost (complete SCI) below the level of the lesion [1]. This impairs or hinders the performance of daily activities like walking [2, 3]. Nevertheless, people with incomplete SCI can regain the ability to perform essential daily activities and enhance locomotor performance [4]. Through the recovery of mobility and improved performance of activities of daily living, quality of life also improves [5]. Mobility restoration can be strongly supported by intense rehabilitation training [6, 7, 8]. Hereby, patients should constantly face different challenging tasks like standing up / sitting down, overground walking, and stair climbing. Apart from stair climbing, standing up and sitting down are among the most demanding daily activities, even though they are a prerequisite to start other functional training tasks in daily life [9]. Several rehabilitation devices were designed to assist patients particularly during standing-up and sitting-down phases [10, 11, 12, 13]. However, what is required is task-specific support and fall prevention in a way that the system prevents the patients from falling besides providing the needed amount of support while performing rehabilitation tasks [14]. If too much support is provided, the patients tend to become slack and do not actively train the rehabilitation tasks [15]. However, if too little support or even the wrong support is provided, the patients will not be able to complete or even initiate the desired task [15]. Being actively hindered or impeded during task execution can even demotivate patients to keep on trying. For instance, Body Weight Support (BWS) systems have been developed which provide a safe and permissive environment [16, 17, 18]. Unfortunately, most BWS systems can only support patients with unspecific vertical weight unloading which can lead, for example, to unphysiological standing up and sitting down transitions. A novel BWS system called “The FLOAT” (Reha-Stim Medtec AG, Germany) has been developed which can provide three-dimensional assistive forces and patient-specific body-weight support during walking [19]. The multi-directional BWS system “The FLOAT” can assist the patients while performing rehabilitation tasks by designing task-dependant support that works in harmony with the user’s movement. The designed controller can consist of haptic guidance along virtual elastic walls (e.g. based on passive potential fields), which guide the user’s movement [20, 21, 22]. In parallel, a force field in movement direction can assist the user’s motion while performing specific rehabilitation tasks such as sitting down, standing up, or walking. Since every rehabilitation task requires different support strategies, which need to be adjusted to the task, an algorithm is required in order to detect movement onset at an early phase, safely, robustly, and independently of the user in order to transition from one training task to another. For ideal support during specific rehabilitation tasks, task-dependent support should be selected and applied. Choosing the right support in an automated way for each task can be realized by detecting the onset and the type of the movements.

Onset recognition of standing-up and sitting-down motions for exoskeleton robots have been realized in various fashions. A simple and straightforward solution is that patients need to press a button that triggers support onset. This solution can be

an unsafe and tiresome task for patients because pressing a button is an additional challenge for them [23]. Furthermore, one button usually is related to one task, consequently, triggering support for many specific tasks would require many buttons. Another solution for switching task-specific supports is using heuristic methods like threshold-based motion onset recognition that monitors, e.g. ankle and knee angles with potentiometers or other attached sensors to the rehabilitation device. This method is prone to false recognition due to the different threshold values that should be defined based on each subject's anthropometry [24, 25]. Unlike the solutions mentioned above, using wearable sensors like inertial measurement units (IMU)s or Electromyography (EMG) sensors and applying machine-learning methods for activity recognition are gaining popularity among researchers in recent years [10, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 24, 25, 31]. The approaches differ mainly in two points: (i) experimental setup, (ii) recognition methods.

(i) The experimental setup refers to the number of sensors and sensor placement, which varies based on the specific application and how fast the activity should be recognized [32]. For instance, although attaching sensors to the lower body shows good accuracy in recognizing sitting-down and standing-up onsets [25], it detects motion onset late because sitting down and standing up are initiated by a movement in the upper body [10].

(ii) Developing a recognition method for detecting the onset of the movements also highly depends on the application: online or offline recognition. Machine learning as an offline recognition approach has shown robust performance on pre-segmented sequences of activities in control participants [33]. However, real-world applications require online activity recognition on streamed unprocessed data that comes without pre-segmentation [34]. Besides, when performing recognition online on streamed data, the developed recognition model needs to be executable in real time without substantial delay. Therefore, in addition to accuracy, also feasibility, and speed of the classification should be considered for real-time applications.

Moreover, for including movement onset recognition in combination with robotic devices that provide support according to the results of the recognition, some prerequisites need to be met. Unlike traditional data acquisition systems that used the point-to-point connection structure between the PC and sensors [35], many rehabilitation tasks need the involvement of many types of sensors with different sampling rates and communication protocols. The requirement for using many data acquisition systems at once makes the data acquisition and processing highly challenging, and asynchronous data leads to drifts in the time scales of the different acquisition systems. Therefore, a new protocol for the synchronized transmission of large data packages has been established: EtherCAT. EtherCAT is the modified version of Ethernet from EtherCAT.org to address the concern of synchronized data transmission at high speed and reliability [36].

In this paper, we propose real-time motion onset recognition with IMUs, which are attached to the human body. Fig.1 depicts the long-term goal for real-time motion onset recognition. The motion onset recognition model provides the decision which controller needs to be applied for providing ideal support for the task that is currently performed. The herein provided algorithm can be applied to various rehabilitation devices like BWS systems / exoskeletons. In this work, first, the design and

implementation of an EtherCAT interface for wireless data acquisition is presented that transfers data from IMUs via Bluetooth protocol into the real-time data acquisition system using the EtherCAT data transmission protocol (Fig. 1 (b)). Second, eight distinct tasks are recognized in real time with machine learning techniques. The best model out of 5 recognition models implemented with different machine learning models is selected. The best recognition model can then be used in a real-time implementation to select the appropriate task-dependent controller (Fig. 1 (c)). For instance, the controller of “The FLOAT” will switch to “stand-to-sit” controller in case onset of sitting down is recognised (Fig. 1 (d)).

Methods

Hardware and Firmware Development

A synchronized data acquisition (DAQ) system was used for reliable data transmission and acquisition. This DAQ consists of an embedded PC (CX2040, Beckhoff Automation GmbH, Verl, Germany) running a real-time operating system (Fig. 2 (a)). Communication and data exchange between the real-time operating system and the inputs and outputs (slaves) took place over EtherCAT protocol (real-time Ethernet) allowing all input tasks to be triggered and output tasks to be updated via a common pulse to ensure proper synchronization.

Despite the advances in wireless data transmission, power management, and small wireless wearable sensors, there is no commercial EtherCAT slave available to acquire data into real-time embedded PCs from wireless sensors. For this reason, we developed an EtherCAT gateway board enabling wireless data acquisition (Fig. 2 (b)). Fig. 2 (d) shows the structure of the data transmission protocol from wireless devices to the embedded PC. The board received data which was encoded with Modbus protocol via a Bluetooth ① or radio frequency (RF) ② receiver module. Received data was transferred to a 32-bit micro-controller (PIC32mx4704f512l, Microchip Technology Inc., USA) via Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI) or Universal Asynchronous Receiver-Transmitter (UART) protocol. The microcontroller was programmed using the EtherCAT Slave Stack Code tool (EtherCAT Technology Group, Nürnberg, Germany) to encode the EtherCAT protocol with the decoded data from the Modbus protocol. Data was then ready to be transferred via EtherCAT protocol to a synchronized real-time data acquisition system. Data transformation to the real-time system (EtherCAT Master) was performed with the EtherCAT Piggyback Controller board ③ FB1111-0142 (Beckhoff Automation GmbH Co. KG, Germany). The EtherCAT Piggyback controller board combined an ET1100 EtherCAT Slave Controller, two EtherCAT ports, and a PDI-connector on one printed circuit board that was mounted onto a custom-made wireless data acquisition board. The EtherCAT Piggyback controller board was coupled with the embedded PC using a 2-port EtherCAT junction (EK1122, Beckhoff Automation GmbH Co. KG, Germany).

Measurement Setup

The above developed setup for deterministic and synchronized data acquisition formed the basis of receiving and sending wireless real-time data. Three wireless IMUs (LPMS-B2, LP-RESEARCH Inc., Tokyo, Japan) were used for data collection. The LPMS-B2 is an IMU with an integrated 3D accelerometer, 3D gyroscope,

and 3D magnetometer. Data output format from the IMU could be the sensor's raw data, Euler angles, and quaternions. Data was broadcasted via Bluetooth 2.1+EDR / Low Energy (LE) 4.1 and could be received in distances up to 20 m. In this work, raw data from the accelerometer and the gyroscope sensors were streamed via Bluetooth. Subsequently, the custom-made board (see subsection [Hardware and Firmware Development](#)) was used as a gateway for acquiring data in the real-time setup.

Participants

To recognize the movement onset of different gait-related activities, a study was conducted. The study was approved by the local ethics committee of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland (BASEC-Nr. 2016-0193) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The 19 control participants without neurological movement disorder (9 females) and 2 individuals with iSCI (1 female), (lesion level T10 and C6, respectively, and both ASIA D) were recruited for data collection. The average height and weight of the control participants were 173.14 ± 10.77 cm and 66.57 ± 12.07 kg, respectively.

Study Protocol

A study protocol to acquire data from participants was designed as follows: 3 IMUs were attached to the sternum (①) and the middle of both outer thighs (②) (③) of each participant (see Fig. 3 (b)). The participants were asked to perform several repetitions of “Sit to Stand” and “Stand to Sit” activities and four other movements: sitting, standing, and walking with U-turns at their self-selected speed. The measurement protocol started from sitting without moving followed by standing up and sitting down three times, walking, making a right- or left-directed U-turn, walking back to the chair position, making another right- or left-directed U-turn, standing without moving for a few seconds, and sitting down. This procedure was repeated twice. The average time of measurement was roughly 80 s per individual (See Fig. 3 (a)).

Data was continuously collected from the three IMUs with a sampling rate of 100 Hz. Furthermore, the performed tasks were recorded via a video recording system (Basler piA640-210gc, Basler AG, Germany) at 50 fps sampling rate synchronously for labelling the different recorded movements. Collected data from the IMUs was sent to the real-time data acquisition system, through the self-developed Bluetooth interface boards and was logged on the EtherCAT master. Logged data was used for generating an offline classification model to recognize the onset of the performed tasks. In order to provide extra information to the assistive device, some tasks like sitting down and standing were segmented into two phases [10]. Therefore, in total eight classes of activities were recognized, namely:

- **Sit to Stand** (Movement starts from bending the upper body and ends by leaving the chair)
- **M. Standing** (Motion of Standing: movement starts from leaving the chair and ends when a stable, motionless standing posture is reached)
- **Stand to Sit** (Movement starts from the bending upper body and ends by touching the chair)

- **M. Sitting** (Motion of Sitting: movement starts when contacting the chair and ends when a stable, motionless sitting posture is reached.
- **Walking** (Walking straight with self-selected speed)
- **Turning** (Making a 180° turn with self-selected directions (left / right))
- **Standing** (Standing without motion)
- **Sitting** (Sitting without motion)

Offline Model Generation

For generating a movement onset recognition model, which was used later in real-time motion onset recognition, first, 5 different classification models were trained, tested, and compared for their offline performance. To evaluate the performance of each classifier Leave-One-Participant-Out Cross-Validation (LOPOCV) [10] was conducted for each classification method (18 controls, 2 individuals with iSCI). Subsequently, data from 18 out of 19 controls and 2 individuals with iSCI was divided randomly into training (**Training data set**) and test (**Test data set**) data : 70 % and 30 %, respectively. The random division was selected in a way so that all activities were represented equally in train and test data sets (see Fig. 4). The training data was used to develop a final recognition model to recognize the onset of the introduced activities in subsection **Study Protocol**. The last participant was later used in a real-time scenario to check the performance of the final recognition model.

The data preparation procedure was the same for the training and test data: starting from signal pre-processing, followed by labelling, and feature extraction. Extracted features from the training data and the corresponding label for each feature set were used in the learning block for model generation. Consequently, the generated model was examined with extracted features from the test data set in the inference block. Finally, predicted labels were compared with actual labels to check the performance of the inference block (see Fig.4). The following subsections describe each step in detail.

Signal Pre-processing and Labelling

The first step after acquiring data was signal pre-processing, in which the signal bias of angular velocity and acceleration were removed, and angular velocity signals were scaled between -1 and 1 to avoid a broad range of values in the features. Acceleration signals were used without processing. Furthermore, the orientation of the IMUs were estimated by employing a quaternion-based sensor fusion algorithm that used strap-down integration of the angular rates and geodetic accelerometer-based drift compensation [37]. The magnetometer readings were not used in orientation estimation due to hard and soft iron disturbances on the magnetometer data in indoor environments [38]. Consequently, manual labelling of the signals based on videos that were captured synchronously with the IMU sensors during measurements was conducted. Time-stamps for different activities based on the videos were extracted. Then, the corresponding parts of the signals from the acquired data were labelled.

Feature Extraction

The classification of a dynamic activity requires a certain limited amount of data history to obtain a reasonable estimation of the activity. The reason is that one single sample from one specific time instant cannot fully represent the performed activity. Thus, the entire set of streamed signals was divided into windows of equal size with constant overlapping time. Hereby, finding an optimal window size was critical because if the chosen window was too small, it might not contain enough information to represent the particular activity. On the contrary, if a too wide window was chosen, this window might contain information from two or more classes of activity as well as introduce delays in real-time recognition.

Therefore, to find an optimal window size for feature extraction, the time of executing different activities for each subject was calculated, and the shortest execution time was identified across all participants. Considering that the shortest activity time occurs in 200 ms for some of the participants, the chosen window size should be less than 200 ms to not miss any activity and not have a big overlap with other activities. Thus, the final window size was set to 100 ms with a 90 % overlap for handling the transitions between different activities and to shorten the classification delay in real-time (Fig.5).

For each window, 55 time-domain features such as statistical values, derivative of a fitted line to angular rates, accelerations, and estimated orientations were extracted (See Table.3). Then, the extracted features were ranked and cross-validated by recursive feature elimination [39] based on their relevance for the prediction model to predict true classes. 27 features were finally selected to form the feature vector for training the prediction model (See Table.3). In parallel, labels were extracted from the synchronously captured videos for each window and combined with the generated feature vector to construct a training vector.

Learning and Inference

Many supervised classification algorithms have been employed in human activity recognition. The algorithms differ from several points of view, such as the feature set, the number of classified activities, computational cost, calculational speed, memory usage, and classification accuracy [40, 41]. Therefore, common classification methods in human activity recognition were employed for learning and inference to choose the best technique suitable for real-time applications.

The training vector was applied to train five classification methods: decision trees [42], K-Nearest Neighbour (K-NN) [43, 44], Support Vector Machines (SVM) [44], Linear logistic regression [45], and Neural Networks [46]. The classification method was not only chosen based on a high F1-score in LOPOCV, but it should also be implementable and executable in a real-time setup. Accordingly, to assess the potential of the different classifiers for a real-time implementation, also the prediction speed in of each classifier was investigated on an Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 – 8565U CPU @ 1.80 GHz computer (See table 1). Additionally, LOPOCV allows detailed insight into the variance of the classification accuracy for individual participants, which provides deeper insight into the robustness of the classifier for new data due to unbiased testing. To obtain a final model and also to compare unbiased vs. biased testing data sets, 70 % of data obtained from the 20 participants was taken. The

final model was then tested with the remaining 30 % of the 20 participants. For both testing and training scenarios using the 20 participants (i) LOPOCV and (ii) 70 % training and 30 % testing data, classification results are represented in form of confusion matrices and the F1-score. The confusion matrix reports the number of false-positive (incorrectly identified), false-negative (incorrectly rejected), true-positive (correctly identified), and true-negatives (correctly rejected) observations. These factors allow us to perform a more detailed analysis of the results like precision, sensitivity, specificity, and F1-score for each class rather than basing our decision only on overall accuracy and error rate. The definition of each expression is presented in the following.

Precision indicates the proportion of true-positives over the number of true-positives plus false-positives.

Sensitivity indicates the proportion of true-positives over the number of true-positives plus the number of false-negatives.

Specificity indicates the proportion of true-negatives over the number of true-negatives plus false-positives.

F1-score indicates the harmonic mean of precision and sensitivity.

Real-time Onset Recognition

In order to provide robotic support during different activities with rehabilitation devices like BWS systems / exoskeletons, the onset of activities should be recognized in real time to provide an input signal for the device that will trigger the switch between task-dependent supports. Similar to offline classification, the workflow for real-time classification started with data acquisition from the sensors, followed by pre-processing (scaling and offset removal). However, the difference to the previously explained offline process was that the data processing was performed on the fly on the incoming data set. The best offline classification model according to our criteria (see subsection [Offline Model Generation](#)), i.e. the neural network model, was implemented in Simulink 2017b. Then C++ code was generated to obtain a program that can be further compiled to machine code and executed in real time on the embedded PC. Fig. 8 depicts a real-time recognition timing diagram in the embedded PC. Data acquisition and pre-processing were executed in one real-time cycle, which was 2 ms. To extract features from streaming signals in real time, circular buffers were implemented to enable 100 ms of overlap of the streamed signals every 10 ms. This means that every 10 ms features were extracted from each segmented window as soon as the desired buffer was filled. Then, the features were fed to the neural network model for activity recognition. Furthermore, each milestone of the workflow was logged for validation of real-time classification later on.

Results

Offline Classification

In Table 1, results from the evaluation of different classification methods are presented. A comparison of results showed that the mean F1-score for the LOPOCV is highest for the Neural network model while the standard deviation is comparably low and prediction speed is relatively high. Thus, the neural network model with 25 neurons in the hidden layer was selected as a recognition model. Confusion matrices

from LOPOCV for one control participant and the two individuals with iSCI are presented in Fig. 6. To exemplify the performance of the classifier in controls and individuals with iSCI, a comprehensive overview of results from LOPOCV can be found in the supplementary material (See Fig. 11).

Furthermore, classification results for eight classes of activity on the test data set are shown by the confusion matrix in Fig. 7. Each row of the confusion matrix shows the actual (i.e., true) class, and each column presents the predicted class. Blue cells indicate the percentage of accurately classified observations (i.e. true positives), and yellow cells show the percentage of false recognitions. The Overall accuracy for recognizing eight classes of activity in the test data set was 92.01 %.

The evaluation report in Table. 2 depicts that the classification using the test data set achieved an accuracy and specificity for each class of activity higher than 97 % while the precision and sensitivity of some classes of activity are lower.

Real-time Classification

In order to assess the implemented real-time onset recognition algorithm, data from a control subject was acquired, and the activities were classified in real time. For validation, labels from the real-time classification were compared with the offline classification results by using data that had been logged in parallel to the real-time classification from the sensors during real-time measurement. Fig. 9 (a) and Fig. 9 (b) present the confusion matrices for offline and real-time classification, respectively. The generated offline classification method was examined on one participant that was not in test and training data from before. The F1-score of 88.68 % and 88.60 % were obtained for the offline and real-time classification, respectively. Fig. 10 illustrates the recognition of streamed data for the same subject. The x-axis shows the time of the measurement, and the y-axis shows the gait-related tasks. Predicted class and true class have been shown with red and black, respectively.

Discussion

This paper presents real-time motion onset recognition for different gait-related tasks using machine learning techniques. While classification of different gait-related tasks is of high interest for automated gait analysis, we go one step further and show feasibility of gait-related task classification in real-time. In particular, we are interested in real-time motion onset recognition for switching between task-dependent supports during the respective tasks with rehabilitation robots. Exemplarily, by recognizing the initiation of sitting down and standing up, task-dependent supports can be switched automatically.

The onset recognition and switching between supports needs to be performed in a deterministic way that will allow synchronized real-time control of the rehabilitation device as well as real-time data acquisition from sensors (IMUs). In order to enable synchronized real-time data acquisition of several Bluetooth-based commercial IMUs, a wireless interface board was designed that transfers data to the real-time system (Embedded PC). Three IMUs have been used: one was attached to the sternum and two were attached to each thigh. Data was captured overall on 19 control participants and 2 individuals with iSCI. For choosing a robust recognition model, five different classifiers have been trained, and validated via LOPOCV. Finally, a

neural network model with 25 neurons has been chosen as the recognition model and was trained with 70 % of data from the 20 participants (18 controls, 2 individuals with iSCI). Overall accuracy for offline classification with 8 classes of activity on the test data set (30 %, $n=20$) with the neural network model was 92.01 %. It was challenging to compare recognition results to other studies due to different experimental setup (number of sensors, sensor placements, and recognition rate) and recognition method (offline / real-time). However, results for classifying “Sit to Stand” and “Stand to Sit” can be compared with a similar study on real-time human motion recognition where an accuracy of 73.48 % and 78.84 % in recognizing “Sit to Stand” and “Stand to Sit” in real-time could be achieved, respectively [47]. In our recognition model, these values reached 90.98 % and 90.42 %.

Moreover, for activity recognition, various values for the window size, which defines the recognition rate and has an influence on accuracy, have been used in literature. The window size ranges from 3.88 s with 50 % overlap [48] to 2 s with 1 s overlap [49]. Some smaller windows with a size of 1 s without overlap and 1.3 s with 50 % overlap have also been used in recent years [50, 32]. To achieve a fast movement onset recognition, a sliding window with a fixed size of 100 ms with 90 % overlap has been chosen in this work. The number of sensors and where to place them can be defined based on the activities which needed to be recognized. For instance, an important part of gait-related tasks is standing up and sitting down and, since the initiation of these transitions starts primarily through motion of the upper-body, an IMU was fixed on the sternum. Moreover, two other IMUs were placed on the middle of outer thighs of each participant to discriminate other tasks that have phasic or aphaic movements of the thighs.

Providing input for the assistive devices requires real-time data acquisition and analysis. We developed the neural network recognition model based on offline data analysis for later use in real-time scenarios in combination with gait rehabilitation devices. The developed model has been implemented in the embedded PC and tested on a participant. As Fig. 9 presents, the confusion matrices for offline and real-time classification on the validation data set, both had practically the same performance.

Not only robust performance in real time is necessary for providing input to the assistive device, but also the accuracy and safety are critical issues that needed to be considered. Fig. 7 indicates where false recognitions occurred on streamed data for a subject when performing different activities. Data indicated that false recognitions usually occur in the transition phase between classes of activities like “Sitting” and “Sit to Stand” or “Standing” and “M. Standing”. These kinds of wrong recognitions could be due to imprecise labelling when defining the “true activity” in transition between two activities or between similar activities like “Sitting” and “M. Sitting”, which are hard to differentiate in continuous streaming and recognition.

Since LOPOCV ensures that different subjects are used for training and testing, results achieved indicate what can be expected for new users. Fig. 6 showed the performance of the neural network model on 3 participants (a control and two individuals with iSCI) using LOPOCV. The classifier has still low performance on patient data (patient #2). Such a significant difference in individuals with iSCI indicates the need to train the model with more individuals with iSCI to be able

to achieve a higher F1-score for new participants with different walking patterns. Considering the slow pace of individuals with iSCI compared to controls while performing different tasks, the time window could be adjusted to a bigger time window. Furthermore, to take precautions, before selecting and applying a task-dependent support, a certain amount of time should be considered to recognize several times same class in a row to avoid wrong recognition due to transitions and similarity between motions. The time can be estimated based on the needed time for the recognition model to reach a certain level of confidence.

Conclusion and Future Work

In this work, a recognition model was designed for identifying the onset of most common activities in gait rehabilitation in real-time. The model was designed offline, based on data from three commercial inertial measurement units acquired from 18 control participants and 2 individuals with spinal cord injury. Inertial measurement units were attached to the sternum, and middle of outer thighs of the participants. Subsequently, the participants were asked to perform certain activities like sitting down, standing up, walking, and turning continuously with their preferred pace. Data from the inertial measurement units were streamed out via Bluetooth protocol into the designed wireless interface boards and then transferred to an embedded PC, which performed pre-processing and activity recognition in real time. Logged data was used to compare the performance of 5 different classification methods. The neural network model with 25 neurons was selected as a recognition model due to robust performance during Leave-One-Participant-Out Cross-Validation. Subsequently, the selected model has been trained with 70 % of the complete data set ($n = 20$) and tested, which yielded on all over performance of 92.01 % in F1-score. There after, the final model was used for real-time activity recognition. Lastly, the performance of real-time classification was compared with offline classification on the data of one additional control participant. There was hardly any difference in performance between real-time classification (88.6 %) and offline classification (88.68 %) in F1-score. In this paper, our real-time classification and movement onset recognition was successfully tested and feasibility for a real-time implementation was shown. Accordingly, in future, we will implement our algorithm directly on a robot and test how the direct haptic interaction between robot and human will influence the human's behaviour in a closed-loop setting.

Appendix

Not applicable.

Abbreviations

Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU)
 Leave-One-Participant-Out Cross-Validation (LOPOCV)
 Data acquisition (DAQ)
 Radio Frequency (RF)
 Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI)
 Universal Asynchronous Receiver-Transmitter (UART)
 K-Nearest Neighbour (K-NN)
 Support Vector Machines (SVM)
 Body Weight Support (BWS)
 incomplete Spinal Cord Injury (iSCI)

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the local ethics committee of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland (BASEC-Nr. 2016-0193).

Consent for publication

The signed consent from a participant is available and submitted.

Availability of data and materials

The data sets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was partially supported by the Spinal Cord Injury Centre, University Hospital Balgrist, Zurich, Switzerland, Swiss Center for Clinical Movement Analysis (SCMA), Balgrist Campus AG, Zurich, Switzerland and the Bio-Inspired Robots for MEDicine-Laboratory (BIROMED-Lab), University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

Authors' contributions

RHH developed hardware and software and the theoretical formalisms for the paper. She performed measurements, analytic calculations, and performed the numerical analysis. MBa contributed to writing and applying for ethical approval, and he was involved in measurements. MBo supervised the project and provided the motivation for the medical application. TS proposed adding the orientation of IMUs as features for the classification algorithm and he provided an algorithm for orientation estimations. RB provided input in relation to medical aspects in the paper. GR supervised the project, and he was involved in planning of experiments and method design. He provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript. All authors discussed the results, read, commented, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author details

¹BIROMED-Lab, Dept. of Biomedical Engineering, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland. ²Spinal Cord Injury Center, University Hospital Balgrist, Zurich, Switzerland. ³Department Artificial Intelligence in Biomedical Engineering, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany. ⁴University Children's Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland. ⁵Sensory-Motor Systems Lab, D-HEST, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ⁶Neuroscience Center Zurich (ZNZ), Zurich, Switzerland.

References

- Kirshblum, S.C., Burns, S.P., Biering-Sorensen, F., Donovan, W., Graves, D.E., Jha, A., Johansen, M., Jones, L., Krassioukov, A., Mulcahey, M., *et al.*: International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury (revised 2011). *The journal of spinal cord medicine* **34**(6), 535–546 (2011)
- Johnson, R.T., Joy, J.E., Altevogt, B.M., Liverman, C.T., *et al.*: *Spinal Cord Injury: Progress, Promise, and Priorities*. National Academies Press, ??? (2005)
- Effing, T., Van Meeteren, N., Van Asbeck, F., Prevo, A.: Body weight-supported treadmill training in chronic incomplete spinal cord injury: a pilot study evaluating functional health status and quality of life. *Spinal Cord* **44**(5), 287 (2006)
- De Groot, S., Dalmeijer, A., Post, M., van Asbeck, F., Nene, A., Angenot, E., van der Woude, L.: Demographics of the dutch multicenter prospective cohort study 'restoration of mobility in spinal cord injury rehabilitation'. *Spinal cord* **44**(11), 668 (2006)
- Wessels, M., Lucas, C., Eriks, I., de Groot, S.: Body weight-supported gait training for restoration of walking in people with an incomplete spinal cord injury: a systematic review. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine* **42**(6), 513–519 (2010)
- Hutchinson, K.J., Gómez-Pinilla, F., Crowe, M.J., Ying, Z., Basso, D.M.: Three exercise paradigms differentially improve sensory recovery after spinal cord contusion in rats. *Brain* **127**(6), 1403–1414 (2004)
- Gazula, V.-R., Roberts, M., Luzzio, C., Jawad, A.F., Kalb, R.G.: Effects of limb exercise after spinal cord injury on motor neuron dendrite structure. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **476**(2), 130–145 (2004)
- Dietz, V.: Body weight supported gait training: from laboratory to clinical setting. *Brain research bulletin* **76**(5), 459–463 (2008)
- Riley, P.O., Schenkman, M.L., Mann, R.W., Hodge, W.A.: Mechanics of a constrained chair-rise. *Journal of biomechanics* **24**(1), 77–85 (1991)
- Tsukahara, A., Kawanishi, R., Hasegawa, Y., Sankai, Y.: Sit-to-stand and stand-to-sit transfer support for complete paraplegic patients with robot suit hal. *Advanced robotics* **24**(11), 1615–1638 (2010)
- Riener, R., Ferrarin, M., Pavan, E.E., Frigo, C.A.: Patient-driven control of fes-supported standing up and sitting down: experimental results. *IEEE Transactions on rehabilitation engineering* **8**(4), 523–529 (2000)
- Kamnik, R., Bajd, T.: Robot assistive device for augmenting standing-up capabilities in impaired people. In: *Proceedings 2003 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2003)*(Cat. No. 03CH37453), vol. 4, pp. 3606–3611 (2003). IEEE
- Chugo, D., Mastuoka, W., Jia, S., Takase, K., Asama, H.: Rehabilitation walker with standing assistance. In: *2007 IEEE 10th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, pp. 132–137 (2007). IEEE
- Wolbrecht, E.T., Chan, V., Reinkensmeyer, D.J., Bobrow, J.E.: Optimizing compliant, model-based robotic assistance to promote neurorehabilitation. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* **16**(3), 286–297 (2008)

15. Reinkensmeyer, D.J., Wolbrecht, E.T., Chan, V., Chou, C., Cramer, S.C., Bobrow, J.E.: Comparison of 3d, assist-as-needed robotic arm/hand movement training provided with pneu-wrex to conventional table top therapy following chronic stroke. *American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation/Association of Academic Physiatrists* **91**(11 0 3), 232 (2012)
16. Riener, R., Lünenburger, L., Maier, I.C., Colombo, G., Dietz, V.: Locomotor training in subjects with sensori-motor deficits: an overview of the robotic gait orthosis lokomat. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering* **1**(2), 197–216 (2010)
17. Frey, M., Colombo, G., Vaglio, M., Bucher, R., Jorg, M., Riener, R.: A novel mechatronic body weight support system. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering* **14**(3), 311–321 (2006)
18. Hidler, J., Brennan, D., Nichols, D., Brady, K., Nef, T., et al.: Zerog: overground gait and balance training system. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development* **48**(4) (2011)
19. Vallery, H., Lutz, P., von Zitzewitz, J., Rauter, G., Fritsch, M., Everarts, C., Ronsse, R., Curt, A., Bolliger, M.: Multidirectional transparent support for overground gait training. In: 2013 IEEE 13th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR), pp. 1–7 (2013). IEEE
20. Rauter, G., Sigrist, R., Riener, R., Wolf, P.: Learning of temporal and spatial movement aspects: A comparison of four types of haptic control and concurrent visual feedback. *IEEE transactions on haptics* **8**(4), 421–433 (2015)
21. Rauter, G., Sigrist, R., Marchal-Crespo, L., Vallery, H., Riener, R., Wolf, P.: Assistance or challenge? filling a gap in user-cooperative control. In: 2011 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, pp. 3068–3073 (2011). IEEE
22. Waerber, A., Gerig, N., Baur, K., Vallery, H., Lutz, P., Riener, R., Curt, A., Bolliger, M., Rauter, G.: Guidance in the nullspace reduces task difficulty in robot-assisted coordination training. In: 2015 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR), pp. 642–647 (2015). IEEE
23. Han, J., Jin Hyun, D., Jung, K., Yoon Kim, K., Youn, S.: Ergonomic design strategy for crutches of a lower-limb exoskeleton for paraplegic individuals: An experimental study. In: Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, vol. 62, pp. 1012–1016 (2018). SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA
24. Doulah, A., Shen, X., Sazonov, E.: Early detection of the initiation of sit-to-stand posture transitions using orthosis-mounted sensors. *Sensors* **17**(12), 2712 (2017)
25. Martinez-Hernandez, U., Dehghani-Sanij, A.A.: Probabilistic identification of sit-to-stand and stand-to-sit with a wearable sensor. *Pattern Recognition Letters* **118**, 32–41 (2019)
26. Kao, T.-P., Lin, C.-W., Wang, J.-S.: Development of a portable activity detector for daily activity recognition. In: 2009 IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics, pp. 115–120 (2009). IEEE
27. Maurer, U., Smailagic, A., Siewiorek, D.P., Deisher, M.: Activity recognition and monitoring using multiple sensors on different body positions. In: International Workshop on Wearable and Implantable Body Sensor Networks (BSN'06), p. 4 (2006). IEEE
28. Berchtold, M., Budde, M., Schmidtke, H.R., Beigl, M.: An extensible modular recognition concept that makes activity recognition practical. In: Annual Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 400–409 (2010). Springer
29. Longstaff, B., Reddy, S., Estrin, D.: Improving activity classification for health applications on mobile devices using active and semi-supervised learning. In: 2010 4th International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare, pp. 1–7 (2010). IEEE
30. Varol, H.A., Sup, F., Goldfarb, M.: Multiclass real-time intent recognition of a powered lower limb prosthesis. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **57**(3), 542–551 (2009)
31. Tapia, E.M., Intille, S.S., Haskell, W., Larson, K., Wright, J., King, A., Friedman, R.: Real-time recognition of physical activities and their intensities using wireless accelerometers and a heart rate monitor. In: 2007 11th IEEE International Symposium on Wearable Computers, pp. 37–40 (2007). IEEE
32. Gao, L., Bourke, A., Nelson, J.: Evaluation of accelerometer based multi-sensor versus single-sensor activity recognition systems. *Medical engineering & physics* **36**(6), 779–785 (2014)
33. Suto, J., Oniga, S., Lung, C., Orha, I.: Comparison of offline and real-time human activity recognition results using machine learning techniques. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 1–14 (2018)
34. Krishnan, N.C., Cook, D.J.: Activity recognition on streaming sensor data. *Pervasive and mobile computing* **10**, 138–154 (2014)
35. Robertson, G.E., Caldwell, G.E., Hamill, J., Kamen, G., Whittlesey, S.: *Research Methods in Biomechanics. Human kinetics*, ??? (2013)
36. Prytz, G.: A performance analysis of ethercat and profinet irt. In: 2008 IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation, pp. 408–415 (2008). IEEE
37. Seel, T., Rupp, S.: Eliminating the Effect of Magnetic Disturbances on the Inclination Estimates of Inertial Sensors. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* **50**(1), 8798–8803 (2017). doi:[10.1016/j.ifacol.2017.08.1534](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2017.08.1534)
38. Solin, A., Särkkä, S., Kannala, J., Rahtu, E.: Terrain navigation in the magnetic landscape: Particle filtering for indoor positioning. In: 2016 European Navigation Conference (ENC), pp. 1–9 (2016). IEEE
39. Guyon, I., Weston, J., Barnhill, S., Vapnik, V.: Gene selection for cancer classification using support vector machines. *Machine learning* **46**(1), 389–422 (2002)
40. Lara, O.D., Labrador, M.A.: A survey on human activity recognition using wearable sensors. *IEEE communications surveys & tutorials* **15**(3), 1192–1209 (2012)
41. Steven Eyobu, O., Han, D.S.: Feature representation and data augmentation for human activity classification based on wearable imu sensor data using a deep lstm neural network. *Sensors* **18**(9), 2892 (2018)
42. Bao, L., Intille, S.S.: Activity recognition from user-annotated acceleration data. In: International Conference on Pervasive Computing, pp. 1–17 (2004). Springer
43. Preece, S.J., Goulermas, J.Y., Kenney, L.P., Howard, D.: A comparison of feature extraction methods for the classification of dynamic activities from accelerometer data. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **56**(3), 871–879 (2008)
44. Attal, F., Mohammed, S., Dedabrishvili, M., Chamroukhi, F., Oukhellou, L., Amirat, Y.: Physical human

- activity recognition using wearable sensors. *Sensors* **15**(12), 31314–31338 (2015)
45. Bannwart, M., Ernst, D., Easthope, C., Bolliger, M., Rauter, G.: Automated stand-up and sit-down detection for robot-assisted body-weight support training with the float. In: 2017 International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR), pp. 412–417 (2017). IEEE
 46. Khan, A.M., Lee, Y.-K., Lee, S.Y., Kim, T.-S.: A triaxial accelerometer-based physical-activity recognition via augmented-signal features and a hierarchical recognizer. *IEEE transactions on information technology in biomedicine* **14**(5), 1166–1172 (2010)
 47. Liu, X., Zhou, Z., Wang, Q.: Real-time onboard human motion recognition based on inertial measurement units. In: 2018 IEEE 8th Annual International Conference on CYBER Technology in Automation, Control, and Intelligent Systems (CYBER), pp. 724–728 (2018). IEEE
 48. Chernbumroong, S., Cang, S., Atkins, A., Yu, H.: Elderly activities recognition and classification for applications in assisted living. *Expert Systems with Applications* **40**(5), 1662–1674 (2013)
 49. Preece, S.J., Goulermas, J.Y., Kenney, L.P., Howard, D.: A comparison of feature extraction methods for the classification of dynamic activities from accelerometer data. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **56**(3), 871–879 (2008)
 50. Karantonis, D.M., Narayanan, M.R., Mathie, M., Lovell, N.H., Celler, B.G.: Implementation of a real-time human movement classifier using a triaxial accelerometer for ambulatory monitoring. *IEEE transactions on information technology in biomedicine* **10**(1), 156–167 (2006)

Not applicable

Figures

Tables

Table 1 Comparison between classification methods for eight classes of activities.

Classifier	Prediction speed (obs ^a /sec)	F1-score (%) (LOPOCV)
Decision Tree	4006000	78.87 ± 11.6
KNN (Neighbors=3)	10060	78.34 ± 8.4
Linear SVM	5120000	85.40 ± 5.07
Linear logistic regression	4067000	83.9 ± 6.4
Neural Network	2268000	86.83 ± 6.2

^a Observation**Table 2** Evaluation report for offline classification of eight activities (Using Neural network model) on the test data set.

Activity Class	Accuracy (%)	Precision (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	F1-score (%)
Sit to Stand	98.49	92.43	89.58	99.32	90.98
M.Standing	98.03	92.88	90.92	99.02	91.89
Stand to Sit	97.73	91.48	89.39	98.86	90.42
M.Sitting	97.80	92.80	90.89	98.89	91.83
Walking	98.19	94.70	94.58	98.92	94.64
Turning	97.92	93.00	89.51	99.07	91.22
Standing	96.87	86.25	92.23	97.62	89.14
Sitting	97.97	92.69	95.77	98.43	94.21

Supplementary Materials

Table 3 Extracted and chosen Feature sets for recognition model generation

Number	Extracted features	Selected features
1	mean ($Angularvelocity_x - chest$)	✓
2	mean ($Angularvelocity_y - chest$)	✓
3	mean ($Angularvelocity_z - chest$)	x
4	std ^a ($Angularvelocity_x - chest$)	✓
5	std ($Angularvelocity_y - chest$)	✓
6	std ($Angularvelocity_z - chest$)	x
7	slope ^b ($Angularvelocity_x - chest$)	✓
8	slope ($Angularvelocity_y - chest$)	x
9	slope ($Angularvelocity_z - chest$)	x
10	mean ($Acceleration_x - chest$)	x
11	mean ($Acceleration_y - chest$)	✓
12	mean ($Acceleration_z - chest$)	✓
13	std ($Acceleration_x - chest$)	✓
14	std ($Acceleration_y - chest$)	✓
15	std ($Acceleration_z - chest$)	✓
16	slope ($Acceleration_x - chest$)	x
17	slope ($Acceleration_y - chest$)	x
18	slope ($Acceleration_z - chest$)	x
19	mean ($LinearAcceleration_{chest}$)	✓
20	mean ($Angularvelocity_x - thighright$)	x
21	mean ($Angularvelocity_y - thighright$)	x
22	mean ($Angularvelocity_z - thighright$)	✓
23	std ($Acceleration_x - thighright$)	x
24	std ($Acceleration_y - thighright$)	✓
25	std ($Acceleration_z - thighright$)	✓
26	slope ($Acceleration_x - thighright$)	x
27	slope ($Acceleration_y - thighright$)	x
28	slope ($Acceleration_z - thighright$)	x
29	mean ($Acceleration_x - thighright$)	x
30	mean ($Acceleration_y - thighright$)	✓
31	mean ($Acceleration_z - thighright$)	x
32	mean ($Angularvelocity_x - thighleft$)	✓
33	mean ($Angularvelocity_y - thighleft$)	x
34	mean ($Angularvelocity_z - thighleft$)	✓
35	mean ($Acceleration_x - thighleft$)	x
36	mean ($Acceleration_y - thighleft$)	x
37	mean ($Acceleration_z - thighleft$)	✓
38	std ($Acceleration_z - thighleft$)	x
39	std ($Acceleration_x - thighleft$)	✓
40	std ($Acceleration_y - thighleft$)	✓
41	slope ($Acceleration_x - thighleft$)	x
42	slope ($Acceleration_y - thighleft$)	x
43	slope ($Acceleration_z - thighleft$)	x
44	mean (Forward / backward orientation-chest)	x
45	mean (Left / right orientation-chest)	✓
46	mean (Left / right orientation-thigh right)	✓
47	mean (Forward / backward orientation-thigh right)	✓
48	mean (Forward / backward orientation-thigh left)	x
49	mean (Left / right orientation-thigh left)	x
50	std (Forward / backward orientation-chest)	✓
51	std (Left / right orientation-chest)	✓
52	std (Left / right orientation-thigh right)	✓
53	std (Forward/backward orientation-thigh right)	✓
54	std (Forward/backward orientation-thigh left)	x
55	std (Left/right orientation-thigh left)	x

^a Standard deviation^b Slope of fitted line on the data in a time window

Figure 11 Confusion matrices for all controls and two individuals with iSCI using LOPOCV