Implementation of a National Electronic Health Information System in Gabon: A Survey of Healthcare Providers' Perceptions

C.O. Bagayoko (✉ cobagayoko@certesmali.org)

Universite de Bamako <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7270-4854>

Jack Tcheente

Research Center in Primary Care and Social Services

Diakaridia Traoré

CERTES

Gaetan Moukoubi

Mistère en Charge de la santé, eGabon

Raymond Ondzigue

Mistère en Charge de la Santé, eGabon

Aimé Patrice Koumamba

Ministère en charge de la santé, eGabon

Myriam Corille Ondjani

Ministère en Charge de la Santé, eGabon

Olive Lea Ndjeli

Ministère en charge de la santé, eGabon

Marie-Pierre Gagnon

Faculty of Nursing Sciences, Université Laval

Research article

Keywords: Health Information System, Information System Success, User Acceptance, Healthcare Providers, Gabon

Posted Date: January 20th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.14725/v2>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on August 24th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-020-01213-y>.

Abstract

Background: Health information System(HIS) is a set of computerized tools for the collection, storage, management and transmission of health data. Their role in supporting the modernization of health systems, improving access to quality healthcare and reducing costs in developing countries is unquestionable; but their implementation faces several challenges. In Gabon, a unique national electronic HIS has been launched. It will connect healthcare institutions and providers at all levels in the whole country.

Objective: This study aims to explore and identify the factors influencing healthcare providers' perceptions of the national electronic HIS.

Methods: We adapted a questionnaire based on the Information System Success Model (ISSM). Twenty six hundreds (2600) healthcare providers, recruited across the country, took part in the research. We checked the reliability and validity of the application and performed a logistic regression to identify the factors influencing healthcare providers' perceptions towards the system.

Results: A total of 2327 questionnaires were completed (i.e. 89.5% response rate). The logistic regression identified five elements that significantly influenced perceived system impact: System Quality (Odds Ratio—OR=1.70), Information Quality (OR=1.69), Actual Use (OR=1.41), Support Quality (OR=1.37), and Useful Functions (OR=1.14). The model explained 30% of the variance in providers' perceptions show that the HIS leads to positive impacts.

Discussion: The results show that healthcare providers' perceptions regarding the positive impact are influenced by their use of a previous HIS, the scope of their usage and the quality of the system, information and support provided to users. These results could inform the development of strategies to ensure adequate change of management and user experience for the implementation of the national electronic HIS in Gabon, and eventually in other low resources environment.

Background

Health information Systems(HIS) allow the collection, storage, management and transmission of data related to activities of healthcare providers, health organizations, patients and health consumers[1]. HIS include electronic health record (EHR), clinical and hospital management, epidemiological and public health information, clinical decision support, patient portals and remote monitoring systems.

HIS are now implemented, at least partially, in several developed countries [2]. One of the major benefits of HIS is the capacity of generating timely information about patient and population health to support healthcare provision and management at all levels[1]. Improved coordination of care is also achieved through health information exchange that allows information sharing between all healthcare providers[2]. It is also expected that HIS could contribute to improve population health by processing large sets of epidemiological data in order to identify trends and adapt public health interventions[3].

In developing countries, governments are also investing in national HIS and expect this will contribute to improve access to quality healthcare and reduce costs[4-5]. However, many previous initiatives of HIS implementation in those nations have not been successful due to poor planning [6]. The first obstacle to the implementation of HIS in developing countries is the current state of health systems that often lack the basic infrastructure[7-9].

Therefore, in order to ensure that the conditions are in place for a successful operation of any given HIS in those countries, it is necessary to study the behaviour of healthcare towards these technologies, as well as the potential facilitators and threats to their adoption and integration[4]. The lack of their cooperation could seriously undermine its effectiveness[10-11].

Studies focusing on the adoption of HIS in developing countries are scarce[4-9,12-13] and to the best of our knowledge, there was no such research in Gabon prior to our current project generating from a national initiative called “e-Gabon”. e-Gabon has been put forward by the government in order to modernize the country’s infrastructure based on the rapid advances in information technologies. The uniqueness of this initiative lies in the fact that it encompasses several sectors of services and notably healthcare. A national electronic health information system is, thus, being developed. The systemic goal is to allow the deployment of an integrated HIS that can be used to connect healthcare institutions and providers at all levels in the whole country. An external evaluation has been mandated in order to accompany the development of the project. One of the first tasks has been to assess the conditions for success of such large-scale project, taking into account the perspectives of end-users, including health organizations, professionals and patients.

This study is part of the larger evaluation strategy of the Gabon national HIS initiative and aims to identify the specific elements of healthcare professionals’ perceptions of the impact of implementing such a national electronic health information system. Their perspective is particularly important and has to be taken into consideration since they are those who will have to integrate the new system into their practice, which constitutes significant changes[10-11].

Theoretical Models of HIT Acceptance

Technology acceptance is considered as an essential condition for its adoption and use[14]. In developed countries, acceptance of health information technology has been the focus of research since the 1990’s. Over the last decade, rapid technological advances, notably with the expansion of mobile telecommunication networks, have stimulated the application of information and communication technologies (ICT) for health purposes in developing countries.

There is generally a variety of models used in evaluating the implementation of health ICT in developing countries. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), developed by Davis and collaborators [15-16], and its several extensions, such as the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)[17] have been extensively applied for assessing technology acceptance. However, the adequacy of these models to

study technology acceptance in the context of developing countries has been criticized or showed mixed results[18]. For instance, the fact that technologies such as EHR are not widespread in the daily life of the population limits the relevance of some variable of the UTAUT[9].

According to many authors, those models should be adapted to context of developing countries[4, 5, 18, 19]. Thus, researchers often decide to adapt these acceptance models either by adding new variables[5, 9, 18] or by deleting variables [8, 18]. The addition of variables is done to facilitate the consideration of cultural specificities or technical issues specific to developing countries[3, 8, 16]. For instance, an adaptation of the UTAUT has proved conclusive in the case of a study on HIS acceptance conducted in Cameroon [16]: the percentage of explained variance increased from 12% to 46% when the moderating effect of age was considered in the model.

Other models have also been used to study technology acceptance in developing countries. For instance, several authors used the DeLone and McLean Information System Success Model (ISSM)[20] to measure the success of implementing a HIS [21-25]. These studies generally support the use of this model when it comes to evaluating the success of an implantation in a developing or resource-limited country. In Ethiopia, for instance, the study by Tilahun and Fritz [22] provided satisfactory results to support the relevance of this model in a low-resource system.

Methods

Study Population and Setting

The target population consisted of professionals in charge of direct patient care (physicians, nurses, midwives, etc.) or people working in healthcare services (managers, administrators) employed in different health structures of the ten health regions of Gabon. A convenience sample of twenty six hundreds (2600) participants was selected, using a proportional sampling reflecting the percentage of healthcare providers within each region. Trained research assistants were deployed within each region to recruit participants from selected healthcare organizations. Potential participants were presented the study objectives and, after obtaining their verbal consent, were invited to complete the survey.

Theoretical Model

The theoretical background of this study is inspired by the DeLone and McLean ISSM[20, 26]. This model recommends taking into account five variables to measure the success of the implementation of an information system: system quality, service quality, information quality, actual use, satisfaction and net benefits (impact).

In our case, we extended the ISSM by adding sociodemographic variables (age, sex, profession, experience, organization, and self-reported ICT skills) and psychosocial factors (useful functions, overload, and compatibility). Figure 1 presents the adapted theoretical model.

The survey questionnaire was developed based on previous studies using the ISSM, and validated by experts from the project team to ensure clarity and cultural appropriateness of the wording (see Additional file 1). The questionnaire included fourteen (14) questions covering forty four (44) items. Theoretical constructs were assessed by 5-point Likert scales, and a “not applicable” option was available for participants who did not have previous experience of using a HIS.

Data Analysis

All responses were encoded into numerical values and questionnaires with too many missing values were eliminated. First, we used Cronbach Alpha and Congeneric Reliability (CR) coefficients to assess the internal consistency of each theoretical construct. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was then used to evaluate the validity of the construct. Observations with “not applicable” answers were eliminated for the validation of the theoretical model. However, they were kept for the computation of the construct if at least half of the items for the associated construct were valid responses.

Due to the non-normality of the dependant variable, logistic regression was used in order to test the study hypotheses and identify the variables associated with perceived system impact (Impact) by healthcare providers. Ordinal variables with more than two modalities and Impact, the dependant variable, were dichotomized in order to perform the logistic regression. Age was dichotomized (“less than 40 years old” and “40 years old and above”). Work experience was also divided in two categories (“less than 10 years” and “10 years and more”). With respect to ICT skills, the average category was chosen as the cut-off point. For nominal variables (organization and profession), we also performed a dichotomization considering the mode of the series. Impact was dichotomized at the median while the other theoretical variables were considered as continuous variables.

An alpha level of 0.05 was set for testing the statistical significance for both correlation and regression analyses. All statistics were performed with SAS 9.4.

Results

Characteristics of Participants

Figure 2 presents the flow diagram of the study. A total of 2327 participants returned their questionnaires, for a global response rate of 89.5%. There were 397 unusable questionnaires due to a high number of missing values, notably on socio-demographic questions. Of the 1930 questionnaires with usable observations, many included “not applicable” responses for some items, but they were kept for the reliability tests if there were at least 50% of the items with a valid response for a given construct. Finally, after eliminating questionnaires that had missing values and “not applicable” answers to one or more theoretical variables, we retained 781 questionnaires with complete observations in order to test the theoretical model (Figure 2).

Table 1 presents the socio-demographic characteristics of respondents. Among the 1930 usable questionnaires, 1275 were completed by women (66.1%) and 655 by men (33.9%). The majority of participants (47.5%) were between 40-49 years old. Regarding ICT skills, a majority reported an average level (36.6%). However, more people (51.8%) reported having a low level (null or elementary) than a high level (advanced or expert) (11.6%). With respect to occupational characteristics, there was a majority of nurses (55.5%), and professionals from a regional hospital (RH) with 29%. A majority of respondents had a long tenure in their profession. 60.8% of them have been in their field for more than ten (10) years.

Reliability and Validity

Pearson correlations were performed between the different items as a first consistency check (Additional file 2). The coefficients were generally significant, high (>0.4) and positive for items belonging to the same construct. The values obtained with the Cronbach Alpha (Table 2) and with the CR (Additional file 3) were all above the minimally accepted value (0.7) used to support a consistency of the constructs [27, 28]. All factor loadings were higher than 0.7 (Additional file 3). Fit indices were also within the recommended threshold, except for RMSEA which was slightly above [29] (Table 3).

Normality and Multicollinearity

Two assumptions have to be examined before performing a logistic regression. There should not be high multicollinearity between independent variables, and the dependant variable should not have a normal distribution. Correlations between variables are generally inferior to 0.3 (Additional File 4). However, some variables have a higher correlation score. For instance, SQ has high correlation (>0.5) with many variables such as Impact, IQ or SupQual. As high correlation may indicate multicollinearity, we performed a variance inflation factor (VIF) test. The VIFs obtained are all below 5, which is the maximum value proposed by several authors [30-32] (Additional File 4). To test the normality, the Shapiro-Wilk test was performed on the dependant variable. The null hypothesis was rejected, which implied a non-normal distribution of Impact.

Logistic Regression

The logistic regression model was first tested with all independent variables (Table 4). Of these, four variables showed a significant odds ratio ($p < 0.05$): SQ, IQ, SupQual and UF. All these variables had a positive coefficient, which means that the higher their values, the more likely the individual will evaluate the impact of the HIS as strong.

We performed a stepwise regression by testing a model in which only the significant variables were kept (Table 5). Consequently, one additional variable was retained in the model, namely AU. Respondents who have already used an HIS were about 1.5 more likely to consider that HIS would have a positive impact.

The final model was able to explain 30% of the variance in the dependant variable. Using a cross-validate method (leave one out) we calculated the area under the curve (AUC). The model was able to predict correctly 78% of the cases (AUC=0.78).

The final model is represented by the following equation:

See Equation 1 in the Supplemental Files

Where p is the probability that Impact = 1.

Discussion

This study aimed to identify the individual determinants of healthcare providers' perceptions regarding the impact of a national electronic HIS in Gabon. We used a model adapted from the Information System Success Model [20, 26] that showed very good measurement properties and acceptable predictive power. In fact, a total of 30% of the variance in providers' perceptions of the positive impact resulting from the use of the HIS was explained by five variables of our adapted model, namely Support Quality, Information Quality, System Quality, Actual Use and Useful Functions. This latter variable was added to the initial ISSM in order to consider not only the actual use of a HIS, but also the extent of its use by asking participants to indicate which specific functions of the HIS they use. The more functions they used, the higher was their score. This variable could be related to the concept of 'meaningful use' of an information system by healthcare providers which implies they optimally use the core functionalities of an information system[33].

There are very limited data from similar studies in low income countries. A study in Ethiopia[22] applied an adaptation of the ISSM and found that system quality, information quality and service quality had a significant influence on EMR use and user satisfaction. Both actual use and usersatisfaction were significantly associated with perceived benefit of EMR. Surprisingly, our results do not support the influence of user satisfaction on perceived benefits since this construct is not significantly associated with Impact in the final model. A plausible explanation could be that the ISSM variables are seen as interdependent, meaning that other constructs, such as useful functions and actual use might capture the influence of user satisfactionon perceived benefits [34].

A recent study conducted in Tanzania has tested a model that combines constructs from the ISSM and the TAM to understand user satisfaction and use of an EMR system [21]. The final model suggests that attitude and system quality are the only significant predictors of user satisfaction and system use.

Another study from Tanzania applied a modified ISSM to identify the factors influencing user satisfaction with an electronic logistic management information system[24]. The model includes perceived usefulness, a variable from the TAM, as well as facilitating conditions from the UTAUT. These two variables, together with system quality, information quality, and system support contributed significantly to the model, explaining 59.1% of the variancein user satisfaction.

Our adapted model allowed us to verify whether variables that are external to the ISSM could influence healthcare providers' perceptions towards the HIS. However, none of the added variables but useful functions did significantly contribute to the model.

Some authors have suggested that inadequate computer literacy could hinder the success of EMR implementation in low income countries [35, 36] but this effect has not been rigorously tested [22]. In our study, we used a single item self-reported measure of computer skills, but we found no significant influence of this variable on healthcare providers' perceptions towards the HIS. It has been shown that self-reported computer literacy is not reliable because people tend to overestimate their skills [37]. However, the level of self-reported computer skills was generally low in our sample, making it unlikely that an overestimation would have biased the results.

Implications for Research and Practice

The results from this study show that it is possible to adapt the ISSM model to a low income setting, but the limited performance of the model in explaining healthcare providers' perceptions calls for further research in order to better capture the factors influencing HIS adoption in this context.

Based on our results and those of previous studies in similar contexts, it would be useful to include additional factors when assessing the conditions for successful implementation of health information systems in low income countries, notably the availability of adequate infrastructure, funding and incentives [39].

Our results could inform the design of strategies to support the implementation of the national electronic HIS in Gabon and in other similar contexts. Notably, the importance of ensuring the quality of the system and the information it contains. Ensuring adequate training and support to users are also key elements for a successful implementation. We also recommend that several useful functions be integrated within the HIS helping users to gain positive experience and confidence in the usefulness of the system.

Strengths and Limitations

This study is one of the few focusing on the acceptance of a large scale HIS in a low income country and that considers the perceptions of various groups of end users, including nurses, physicians, midwives, and health administrators. However, other important user groups such as information technology staff, radiology, laboratory and pharmacy technicians were not included in the survey since the aim was to investigate the perceptions of healthcare providers. It would be interesting to survey these other groups given that their work will be directly impacted by the introduction of the national electronic HIS in Gabon.

Although we used an adaptation of a well-known theoretical model, the DeLone and McLean ISSM [20], the percentage of variance explained by this model is modest. Other models, such as the TAM and the UTAUT, are often applied to study user acceptance of HIS. However, some authors have questioned the appropriateness of such models to the context of low income countries [18]. In future studies, it could be

interesting to compare different models in order to assess their applicability to the context of resource limited nations.

Our survey instrument showed very good psychometric properties and we are confident that it could be adapted and used in other similar settings. Our theoretical model adapted from the ISSM is also promising, but still needs improvement. Even though the scores obtained for the VIFs were relatively low, we cannot exclude the possibility of multicollinearity. Furthermore, the interdependence between the ISSM variables, particularly the mutual influence between use and user satisfaction, has been acknowledged previously and could constitute a limitation to this model [34, 38].

Conclusion

The implementation of a national electronic HIS in Gabon represents a unique opportunity to modernize the health system and improve the management of healthcare and services to the population. The perceptions of healthcare providers are essential and have to be taken into consideration in order to ensure their acceptance and use of this system in a low resource setting. Using an adaptation of the Information System Success Model, this study found that information quality, system quality, support quality, actual use and useful functions influenced the perception of positive impacts of the HIS by healthcare providers. These findings should be included in the evaluation of decision makers and managers involved in the implementation of the national electronic HIS in Gabon. Such cooperation is needed in ensuring the quality of the system in terms of usability, the quality of information and the support provided to end users. Potential users should also be trained to the various functionalities of the application so they can see and evaluate first hand its benefits and increase the likelihood of its successful usage.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

This study received approval from the "*Commission des Lois et Textes*" of the Ministry of Health of Gabon during the second Steering Committee of the Project.

All study participants signed an informed consent form.

Consent for Publication

The publication of this work was authorized by "*Commission des Lois et Textes*" of the Ministry of Health of Gabon during the second Steering Committee of the Project.

Availability of Data and Materials

All data are available from the corresponding author (COB).

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This project is funded by the Government of Gabon through a program of the World Bank. The funder (the Government of Gabon) has no role in this study. This publication is the initiative and the responsibility of the researchers of this study.

Authors' Contributions

COB, MPG, DT, GML, APK, RO, MCO and OLD designed the study. COB and DT drafted the first report. MPG and COB carried out the first draft of the manuscript. JT and DT did the statistical analysis. COB, MPG, DT and JT revised the manuscript. MPG drafted the final version of the manuscript. All authors checked and approved the submitted manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are most grateful to the Government of Gabon and its partner, the World Bank. We also thank all the eGabon project team. A special thanks to the study participants who committed their time to the project.

Authors' information

Abbreviations

Actual use: AU

Confirmatory Factor Analysis: CFA

Congeneric Reliability: CR

Electronic Health Record: EMR

Electronic Medical Record: EMR

Health Information System: HIS

Information and Communication Technology: ICT

Information Quality: IQ

Support quality: SupQual

System quality: SQ

Useful functions: UF

References

1. Haux R: **Health information systems – past, present, future.** *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 2006, **75**(3):268-281.
2. Bates DW: **Health Information Technology and Care Coordination: The Next Big Opportunity for Informatics?** *Yearb Med Inform* 2015, **10**(1):11-14.
3. Birkhead GS, Klompas M, Shah NR: **Uses of Electronic Health Records for Public Health Surveillance to Advance Public Health.** *Annual Review of Public Health* 2015, **36**(1):345-359.
4. Shehu I, Shamsudeen AS, Amaal KN: **Acceptance of electronic health record for improving quality of health service delivery: case study of Aminu Kano teaching hospital, Nigeria.** *KIU Journal of Humanities* 2017:15.
5. Karuri J, Waiganjo P, Orwa D: **Determinants of Acceptance and Use of DHIS2 in Kenya UTAUT-Based Model.** *Journal of Health Informatics in Developing Countries* 2017, **11**.
6. Hoque MR, Bao Y, Sorwar G: **Investigating factors influencing the adoption of e-Health in developing countries: A patient's perspective.** *Inform Health Soc Care* 2017, **42**(1):1-17.
7. Anwar F, Shamim A: **Barriers in Adoption of Health Information Technology in Developing Societies,** *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 2011, **2**(8):40-45.
8. Mukred A, Singh D, Safie N: **Investigating the impact of information culture on the adoption of information system in public health sector of developing countries.** *International Journal of Business Information Systems* 2017, **24**(3):261.
9. Hossain A, Quaresma R, Rahman H: **Investigating factors influencing the physicians' adoption of electronic health record (EHR) in healthcare system of Bangladesh: An empirical study.** *International Journal of Information Management* 2019, **44**:76-87.
10. Lorenzi N, T. Riley R, A. Dewan N: **Barriers and resistance to informatics in behavioral health.** *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics* 2001, **2**: 1301-1304.
11. Lorenzi NM, Riley RT, Blyth AJC, Southon G, Dixon BJ: **Antecedents of the People and Organizational Aspects of Medical Informatics: Review of the Literature.** *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 1997, **4**(2):79-93.
12. Liang L, Wiens MO, Lubega P, Spillman I, Mugisha S: **A Locally Developed Electronic Health Platform in Uganda: Development and Implementation of Stre@m line.** *JMIR formative research* 2018, **2**(2), e20.

13. Narattharaksa K, Speece M, Newton C, Bulyalert D: **Key success factors behind electronic medical record adoption in Thailand.** *Journal of Health Organization and Management* 2016, **30**(6), 985-1008.
14. Venkatesh V, Sykes TA, Zhang X: **'Just What the Doctor Ordered': A Revised UTAUT for EMR System Adoption and Use by Doctors.** In: *2011 44th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences* 2011: 1-10.
15. Lee YK, Kenneth A, Larsen, KRT: **The Technology Acceptance Model: Past, Present, and Future.** *Communications of the Association for Information Systems* 2003, **12**(1):752-780.
16. Davis F, Bagozzi R, R. Warshaw P: **User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models,** *Management Science* 1989, **35**(8):982-1003.
17. Venkatesh V, Morris MG, Davis GB, Davis FD: **User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View.** *MIS Quarterly* 2003, **27**(3):425-478.
18. Bawack RE, Kala Kamdjoug JR: **Adequacy of UTAUT in clinician adoption of health information systems in developing countries: The case of Cameroon.** *Int J Med Inform* 2018, **109**:15-22.
19. Alsharo M, Alnsour Y, Alabdallah M: **How habit affects continuous use: evidence from Jordan's national health information system.** *Inform Health Soc Care* 2018:1-14.
20. DeLone W, McLean E: **The DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: A Ten-Year Update.** *Journal of Management Information Systems* 2003, **19**(4), 9-30.
21. Ayebazibwe I, Kimaro HC, Kaasbøll JJ: **System Use and User Satisfaction in the Adoption of Electronic Medical Records Systems: A Case of DHIS2 Tracker Implementation in Tanzania.** *International Conference on Social Implications of Computers in Developing Countries* 2019, **551** p. 63-75.
22. Tilahun B, Fritz F: **Modeling antecedents of electronic medical record system implementation success in low-resource setting hospitals.** *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2015, **15**:61.
23. Tubaishat A: **Evaluation of Electronic Health Record Implementation in Hospitals.** *Comput Inform Nurs* 2017, **35**(7):364-372.
24. Zuhura D Omary, Kalinga EA: **Assessing Users' Satisfaction with Tanzanians' Public Health Supply Chain Electronic Logistic Management Information System.** *Journal of Health Informatics in Developing Countries* 2017, **11**(2).
25. Monem H, Afrasiabi M, Rezvan P, AbediDehkordi S: **The Impact of User Quality and Information Quality on the IS Success in Healthcare Context.** *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research* 2013, **3**(10), 40-51.
26. McLean ER, DeLone WH: **Information Systems Success Measurement.** *Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems* 2016, **2**(1):1-116.
27. Hair JF, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE: **Multivariate Data Analysis:** Pearson Education Limited; 2013.
28. Hoque R, Sorwar G: **Understanding factors influencing the adoption of mHealth by the elderly: An extension of the UTAUT model.** *Int J Med Inform* 2017, **101**:75-84.

29. Hu Lt, Bentler PM: **Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives.** *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 1999, **6**(1):1-55.

30. Abdelhamid M: **Greater patient health information control to improve the sustainability of health information exchanges.** *J Biomed Inform* 2018, **83**:150-158.

31. Cilliers L, Viljoen KL, Chinyamurindi WT: **A study on students' acceptance of mobile phone use to seek health information in South Africa.** *Health Inf Manag* 2018, **47**(2):59-69.

32. Studenmund AH: **Using econometrics: a practical guide**, 6th ed., International ed edn. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited; 2013.

33. Blumenthal D, Tavenner M: **The “Meaningful Use” Regulation for Electronic Health Records.** *New England Journal of Medicine* 2010, **363**(6):501-504.

34. Petter S, DeLone W, McLean E: **Measuring information systems success: models, dimensions, measures, and interrelationships.** *European Journal of Information Systems* 2008, **17**(3):236-263.

35. Alwan K, Awoke T, Tilahun B: **Knowledge and Utilization of Computers Among Health Professionals in a Developing Country: A Cross-Sectional Study.** *JMIR Human Factors* 2015, **2**(1):e4.

36. Miranda FJ, N. Gadelha S: **Hospital Information System Satisfaction in Brazil: Background and Moderating Effects**, vol. 2; 2014.

37. Merritt K, Smith D, Renzo JCD: **An investigation of self-reported computer literacy: Is it reliable.** *Issues in Information Systems* 2005, **6**(1), 289-295.

38. Petter S, DeLone W, McLean ER: **Information Systems Success: The Quest for the Independent Variables.** *Journal of Management Information Systems* 2013, **29**(4):7-62.

39. Odekunle FF, Odekunle RO, Shankar S: **Why sub-Saharan Africa lags in electronic health record adoption and possible strategies to increase its adoption in this region.** *International Journal of Health Sciences* 2017, **11**(4), 59.

Tables

Table 1:Characteristics of participants

Variables	Categories	n (%)*
Age	Under 30	101 (5.2)
	30-39	583 (30.2)
	40-49	917 (47.5)
	50-59	301 (15.6)
	60 and above	28 (1.5)
Experience	0-5 years	323 (16.7)
	6-9 years	434 (22.5)
	10+	1173 (60.8)
ICT Skill	None	564 (29.2)
	Elementary	436 (22.6)
	Average	706 (36.6)
	Advanced	209 (10.8)
	Expert	15 (0.8)
Organization	Regional hospital	559 (29)
	Medical center	348 (18)
	Other structure	316 (16.4)
	University health center	303 (15.7)
	Health center	196 (10.2)
	Private structure	145 (7.5)
	Dispensary	63 (3.3)
Profession	Nurse	1072 (55.5)
	Other health profession	412 (21.3)
	Midwife	140 (7.3)
	General practitioner	115 (6)
	Administrator	105 (5.4)
	Specialist practitioner	86 (4.5)
HealthRegion	Estuaire (Libreville Owendo)	669 (34.7)

	WoleuNtem	296 (15.3)
	Ngounié	271 (14)
	Estuaire (Ouest)	182 (9.4)
	Haut Ogooué	146 (7.6)
	Ogooué Lolo	92 (4.8)
	Ogooué Ivindo	81 (4.2)
	Moyen Ogooué	75 (3.9)
	Ogooué Maritime	68 (3.5)
	Nyanga	50 (2.6)
Sex	Female	1275 (66.1)
	Male	655 (33.9)

*N=1930

Table 2:Internal consistency of theoretical constructs (Cronbach Alpha)

Construct	Cronbach alpha	Nb. Items	n
Satisfaction	0.85	4	1104
Support Quality (SupQual)	0.85	4	889
System Quality (SQ)	0.90	7	663
Impact	0.96	10	1036
InformationQuality(IQ)	0.91	5	1009

Table 3:Fit Indices of the CFA

Model fit	Indicator value
RMSEA	0.071
CFI	0.925
IFI	0.925
TLI	0.918

Table 4:Logistic Regression of the Full Model

Variables	Estimate	Estimate confidence interval		Odds ratio	p- values	Standardized estimate
Intercept	-5.68	-6.85	-4.51	0	0	0
Satisfaction	0.07	-0.11	0.26	1.08	0.44	0.05
SupQual	0.29	0.06	0.53	1.34	0.02	0.16
SQ	0.51	0.25	0.77	1.66	0	0.28
IQ	0.51	0.3	0.72	1.67	0	0.3
AU	0.19	-0.39	0.78	1.21	0.52	0.05
Compatibility	0.18	-0.35	0.71	1.2	0.51	0.05
Overload	0.14	-0.3	0.59	1.15	0.53	0.03
UF	0.12	0.03	0.21	1.13	0.01	0.12
Age (0-39)	-0.14	-0.53	0.24	0.87	0.47	-0.04
Sex (Male)	-0.08	-0.42	0.26	0.92	0.64	-0.02
Experience (0-9)	-0.11	-0.5	0.29	0.9	0.6	-0.03
Low ICT skill	0.19	-0.17	0.54	1.2	0.3	0.05
Profession (Nurse)	-0.01	-0.37	0.35	0.99	0.95	0
Organization (RegionalHospital)	0.22	-0.13	0.56	1.24	0.23	0.06

AUC:0.77; Nagelkerke r-square: 0.31; n=781

Table 5:Stepwise Logistic Regression of the Final Model

	Estimate confidence			Odds	P-	
	Estimate	interval		ratio	Values	Standardized estimate
cept	-5.7	-6.67	-4.74		0	
Qual	0.32	0.1	0.54	1.37	0.01	0.18
	0.53	0.28	0.78	1.7	0	0.29
	0.52	0.32	0.73	1.69	0	0.3
	0.34	0.01	0.68	1.41	0.04	0.09
	0.13	0.04	0.22	1.14	0.01	0.13

AUC: 0.78; Nagelkerke R-squared: 0.3; n=781

Figures

Figure 1

Theoretical model adapted from DeLone & McLean. The theoretical model is based on the DeLone and McLean Information System Success Model. This model proposes five variables to measure the success of an information system: system quality, service quality, information quality, actual use, satisfaction and net benefits (impact).

Figure 2

Study flow diagram. From the 2600 potential participants, 2327 returned their questionnaires. There were 1930 usable questionnaires, and 781 questionnaires with complete observations were retained to test the theoretical model.

Figure 3

Final theoretical model with estimates. The final theoretical model explains 30% of the variance in providers' perception of the positive impact resulting from the use of the HIS. Five variables of the adapted model are significant, namely Support Quality, Information Quality, System Quality, Actual Use and Useful Functions.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile1revised.doc](#)
- [Additionalfile4revised.xlsx](#)
- [Additionalfile2revised.xlsx](#)
- [AdditionalFile3revised.docx](#)
- [equation1.PNG](#)