Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Potentials of Non-cytotoxic Extracts of Corticolous Lichens Sampled in Armenia

Razmik Sargsyan

Yerevan State University

Arsen Gasparyan

Institute of Botany National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia

Gohar Tadevosyan

Institute of Molecular Biology

Hovik Panosyan (✉ hpanosyan@ysu.am)

Yerevan State University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4891-0922>

Original article

Keywords: Lichens, Crude extracts, Antimicrobial activity, Antioxidant activity, Cytotoxic activity

Posted Date: May 26th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-541914/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at AMB Express on July 29th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-021-01271-z>.

Abstract

Due to wide range of secondary metabolites, lichens were used from antiquity as sources of colorants, perfumes and medicaments. This research focuses on exploring the antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of methanol, ethanol, acetone extracts and aqueous infusions of corticolous lichens sampled from Armenia.

Methanol, ethanol and acetone extracts from all tested lichens were active against Gram-positive bacterial strains. The most effective solvent to retrieve antimicrobial compounds was methanol. Aqueous infusions of tested lichens didn't show any significant antibacterial and antifungal activity. The highest antimicrobial activity was observed for methanol extract of *Ramalina sinensis*. The minimum inhibitory concentration of methanol extract of *Ramalina sinensis* were 0.9 to 1.8 mg mL⁻¹. *Pseudevernia furfuracea* demonstrated antifungal activity (Ø 12 mm). Methanol extract of *Parmelia sulcata* demonstrated largest DPPH radical scavenging activity (71%). The cytotoxicity was measured on human HeLa (cervical carcinoma) cell lines using microculture tetrazolium test assay. The IC₅₀ values estimated for methanol extracts of *Peltigera praetextata*, *Evernia prunastri*, *R. sinensis* and *R. farinacea* species in HeLa cell line were within 1.8-2.8 mg mL⁻¹ and considered as non-cytotoxic. Obtained results suggest that studied lichens can be prospective in biotechnologies as alternative sources of antimicrobial and antioxidant substances.

Introduction

About 20000 species of lichens growing on wide variety of substrates like rocks, walls, exposed soil surfaces and as epiphytes on the bark of trees and leaves have been recorded worldwide [1]. They are well adapted to survive in various geographical zones, from sea level to high elevations and from equator to polar regions. They are composite organisms consisting fungi as mycobiont and photosynthetic green algae and/or cyanobacteria as photobiont. Recently it was shown that besides cyanobacteria other bacteria also exist in lichen thalli and take part in mutualistic relationship [2-4]. Due to this multiparty mutualism they adapted to survive even in extreme environments characterized by high or low temperatures, periodic desiccation, high levels of UV radiation and salinity. To withstand extreme conditions, lichens synthesized metabolites (e.g., UV screens, cryoprotectants, osmolytes) which are valuable sources to develop new biotechnologies [5]. Ability to produce wide range of unique chemical compounds approves usage of lichens from ancient times as sources of colorants, cosmetics and remedies [5-7]. For example, *Parmelia sulcata* have been used to treat diseases of respiratory system, while *Xanthoria parietina* and *Letharia vulpina* were used against to cure jaundice and gastrointestinal disorders, respectively [8,9].

To date more than 800 secondary metabolites are identified for lichens. The continuing trends in compounds isolated from lichens approved their importance as a source of new natural products [6, 7, 10]. Long time lichens were out of attention by pharmaceutical industry reasons of which were their slow-growing nature and difficulties to cultivate in laboratory conditions [7, 11]. For the same reason it is difficult to obtain pure lichen metabolites in needful quantity for checking out their biological activities [6, 7, 12].

Many species of lichens in form of infusions, tinctures and different extracts have been historically used in folk medicine of many countries [9]. During the last decades, pharmaceutical potential (i.e. antifungal, antibacterial, antiviral, antitumor, cytotoxic, analgesic, antipyretic properties) of lichens sampled from different regions of the globe has been investigated [6, 13-15]. Despite its small territory Armenia is a crossroad for variety of rare lichens' species [16,17], biodiversity and biotechnological potential of which, still remains unexplored.

The purpose of this study was to determine antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of different extracts of eight corticolous lichens distributed on the territory of Armenia.

Experimental

Collection and identification of lichens

Corticolous lichens samples of *Ramalina sinensis* Jatta, *R. farinacea* (L.) Ach., *Flavoparmelia caperata* (L.) Hale, *Evernia prunastri* (L.) Ach., *Punctelia subrudecta* (Nyl.) Krog, *Pseudevernia furfuracea* (L.) Zopf, *Peltigera praetextata* (Sommerf.) Zopf, *Parmelia sulcata* Taylor were collected from the Dilijan National Park (40°39'23"N, 45°01'17"E) and "Zikatar" Environmental Center (41°07'19.02"N, 44°55'32.52"E), which are located in the Tavush province, Armenia. The area mostly covered by temperate deciduous forests predominating by Oriental Beech (*Fagus orientalis*), Hornbeam (*Carpinus* spp.) and Oak (*Quercus* spp.).

Species identification was performed by standard methods and according to the common identification guides and keys [18]; [19]; [20]. Voucher specimens of all lichens were deposited in the publicly available Herbarium of Yerevan State University (YSU, Yerevan, Armenia) where serial numbers of five lichens were given; *R. sinensis* Jatta (ERHM 11071), *R. farinacea* (L.) Ach. (ERHM 11072), *E. prunastri* (L.) Ach. (ERHM 11073), *P. furfuracea* (L.) Zopf (ERHM 11074), *P. sulcata* Taylor (ERHM 11070).

Preparation of lichen extracts

Lichen thalli (10 g) were grinded using automatic grinder, until obtaining powder-like state. Grinded thalli were drenched with methanol, ethanol and acetone separately at 10:1 solvent-to-sample ratio (v/w). The mixtures with methanol, ethanol and acetone were left for extraction for 24 hours on magnetic stirrer, centrifuged (15 min, 12000 rpm) and then concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator (BOV-50 V vacuum drying oven, Biobase Meihua Trading, China) at 37 °C temperature to dry. Extraction process was repeated three times to retrieve active compounds as much as possible. To obtain aqueous infusions the grinded lichens were dissolved in distilled water, then left for extraction for 24 hours on magnetic stirrer under heat conditions not exceeding the boiling point. Aqueous infusions filtered through 0.22 µm sterile filter (Millipore). Dried extracts was weighted and stored at -18 °C until they

were used in the tests. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to prepare stock solutions. The extracts were diluted by sterile water up to 5% DMSO for the experiments.

Antimicrobial activity

The microbial strains used in this study were following: Gram-positive bacteria *Bacillus subtilis* WT-A1, *Staphylococcus aureus* MDC 5233, Gram-negative bacteria *Escherichia coli* VKPM-M17, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* GRP3 and *Salmonella typhimurium* MDC 1754 and a yeast *Candida albicans* WT-174. Microbial strains were from microbial culture collection maintained by the Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Biotechnology, YSU.

Agar disc diffusion method was used to evaluate antimicrobial activity of lichens. The bacterial strains were inoculated in Müller-Hinton broth (MHB) and incubated overnight. Bacteria were subcultured in MHB liquid medium at 37 °C to OD₆₀₀ = 0.2. Then 100 µL of inoculum was spread on a Petri dish with Müller-Hinton agar (MHA). Yeasts were inoculated in Sabourad dextrose (SD) broth and incubated overnight. After incubation 100 µL of culture was spread on SD agar. Sterilized Whatman filter paper discs (5 mm diameter) were infiltrated by 5 µL (500 µg mL⁻¹) of extracts and placed on MHA or SD agar plates containing appropriate microbial strain. Diameter of inhibition zones (IZ) formed around discs after incubation at 37 °C for 24 h was measured. The experiments were conducted at least thrice and the average of three measurements was accepted as an index of antibacterial activity. As positive controls gentamicin (10 µg mL⁻¹) (for bacteria) and nystatin 20 µg mL⁻¹ (for yeast) were used; while 5 % DMSO was used as a negative control.

Broth microdilution method was applied to determine minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) [21]. A series of dilutions ranging from 0.9 to 7.5 mg mL⁻¹ for extracts were used in the experiment. The highest dilution of samples without visible growth after 24 h incubation at 37 °C was considered as MIC. To check sterility of crude extracts all its dilutions were cultured in agar media. The minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentration (MBC/MFC) values was determined by sub-culturing samples from the tubes with concentrations above the MIC on new plates with MHA and SD agar for bacteria and yeast, respectively. The values obtained were the average data of experiments performed at least three time.

Antioxidant activity

Free radical scavenging method based on 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazil (DPPH) was used to measure antioxidant activity of lichen extracts [22]. The reactive solution contained 1mg mL⁻¹ ethanol extract, to which was added 0.1 mM DPPH. The absorbance was measured spectrophotometrically (λ 517 nm). As positive control ascorbic acid was used. In negative control, the extract was replaced by ethanol. The following equation was used to evaluate radical scavenging activity (RSA): RSA (%) = [(A₀ - A₁)/A₀] × 100, where A₀- absorbance of the negative control, A₁ - absorbance of reaction mixture or standard [23].

Determination of total phenolic compounds (TPC)

TPC was measured by the Folin-Ciocalteu method [24]. 1 mL of methanol extracts of 1 mg mL⁻¹ aliquots were mixed with 5 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 1:10) and 15 mL of 20 % (w/v) sodium carbonate solution. The mixture was incubated at room temperature in the dark for 1 hour and absorbance was measured at 765 nm. TPC was calculated as gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per 100 g of lichen thalli (mg GAE/100 g).

Determination of total flavonoid content (TFC)

TFC was determined by the Dowd method [24]. The mixture containing 2 mL of methanol extracts (1 mg mL⁻¹) and 2 mL of methanol solution of aluminum trichloride (2 %, v/w) was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at 420 nm. Negative control, without extract was used as a blank. TFC was determined as microgram of catechin equivalent by using an equation that was obtained from standard catechin graph. The result was expressed as mg of catechin equivalents per 100 g of lichen thalli (mg CE/100 g).

Cytotoxic activity

The human HeLa (cervical carcinoma cell line) cells from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures, UK were used in experiments. The cancer cell lines were routinely maintained and cultivated in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (HyClone, UK), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma Aldrich, Germany), 100 IU mL⁻¹ penicillin (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) and 100 µg mL⁻¹ streptomycin (Sigma Aldrich, Germany). Cells were incubated in humidified atmosphere containing of 95 % air and 5 % CO₂ at 37 °C.

Microculture tetrazolium test (MTT) assay was used to determine the effect of extracts on cancer cell survival [25]. The cells were seeded at the density of 0.1x10⁶ cell/ mL⁻¹ into 96-well plates (Greiner, Germany). After incubation for 24 h, different concentration of extracts obtained by diluting the stock solution (1:10, 1:50, 1:100, 1:200) were added to the cell cultures. The stock solution concentrations were for *R. sinensis* 177 mg mL⁻¹, for *R. farinacea* 391 mg mL⁻¹, for *E. prunastri* 600 mg mL⁻¹, and for *P. praetextata* 328 mg mL⁻¹. The cells treated with DMSO (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) were used as vehicle control. After further incubation for 48 h, the MTT dye (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) was added to each well (500 µg mL⁻¹ final concentration) and incubated for 4 hours at 37 °C. Then the supernatant was removed and 100 µL of DMSO was added. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate reader (Human Reader HS, Germany) was used to measure the absorbance (λ 570 nm). Cell viability was expressed as a percentage of the negative control (cell cultures with no treatment). To reveal the cytotoxicity of the extracts doses inducing 50 % inhibition of cell viability (IC₅₀ value) were determined.

Data processing

GraphPad Prism 5.01 (GraphPad Software, USA) was used to perform data analysis. All experiments were conducted in triplicates. Values were expressed as means ±standard error (SE). Data were analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA. Dunn's post-hoc test was used to determine differences between groups. p < 0.05 values were considered as the statistically significant.

Results

Antibacterial activity

Results of antimicrobial activity of alcoholic extracts and aqueous infusions of tested lichens against tested bacteria and yeast are summarized in the Table 1. Diameters of IZ around used paper disks were measured to evaluate antimicrobial activity qualitatively. Aqueous infusions of all tested lichens did not demonstrate any significant antibacterial and anticandidal activity. Methanol, ethanol and acetone extracts from all tested lichens were demonstrated antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacterial strains i.e. *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*, while they were not able to inhibit the growth of tested Gram-negative bacteria.

The most antibacterial activity was observed for methanol extracts, which even at low concentrations were able to inhibit all tested Gram-positive bacteria. The methanol and ethanol extracts of *R. sinensis* showed the largest IZs (25 mm and 23 mm, respectively) against the *B. subtilis*, while largest IZs (19 mm and 16 mm, respectively) of the same extracts against *S. aureus* was observed in case of *F. caperata*. The same pattern is observed in case of acetone extracts of the same lichens, where largest IZs against to *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* were 21 mm and 14 mm, respectively.

Although all alcoholic extracts of the lichen *P. fufuracea* manifested lowest antibacterial activity, it was only lichen, methanol extract of which, demonstrated antifungal activity against *C. albicans* (Ø, 12 mm).

Since the maximum antimicrobial activity by agar disc diffusion tests was found in methanol extracts, antimicrobial activities subsequently were quantitatively evaluated by MICs and MBCs/MFCs values only for methanol extracts. MICs values were determined for each bacterium. Methanol extract from *R. sinensis* was shown relatively high antibacterial effect (MIC 0.9 mg mL⁻¹) at the concentrations used. MIC for *B. subtilis* varied from 0.9 to 7.5 mg mL⁻¹, while MIC for *S. aureus* ranged from 0.9 to more than 7.5 mg mL⁻¹. MBC were determined for *B. subtilis* (in case of *R. sinensis* it was 0.9 mg mL⁻¹) and *S. aureus* (ranged from 1.8 to > 7.5 µg mL⁻¹). MFC value observed only for methanol extract of *P. fufuracea* was > 7.5 µg mL⁻¹.

Gentamycin and nystatin were used as standard antibiotics to compare antimicrobial activities obtained for bacteria and yeast, respectively. The results confirmed that antimicrobial activities were several time higher in case of standard antibiotics. DMSO didn't show inhibitory effect on the tested organisms.

Antioxidant activity

The scavenging activity of DPPH radicals of lichen extracts is shown in Figure 1. Methanol extracts showed a good radical scavenging activity. The highest activity showed methanol extract of *P. sulcata* with 71 % activity, which was only slightly lower compared with ascorbic acid (96 %). Methanol extract of *P. preatextata* was also demonstrated promising scavenging activity (44 %). The methanol extract of other tested lichens showed slightly weaker DPPH radical scavenging activities (< 30 %). Relatively higher scavenging activity was observed also of acetone extracts of lichens *P. praetextata* and *R. sinensis*. Ethanol and aqueous extracts were not shown significant scavenging activity of DPPH radicals. Surprisingly, aqueous extracts of *P. praetextata*, *R. sinensis* and *R. farinacea* have shown more antiradical activity than ethanol extract of the same lichens. These results were somewhat unexpected, since usually the ethanol extracts exhibiting higher radical scavenging abilities.

TPC and TFC of tested methanol extracts are shown in Table 2. Highest phenolic compounds was identified in extract of *P. sulcata* 3811 mg GAE per 100 g lichen dry weight, while extract of *P. subrudecta* showed the lowest content (608 mg GAE per 100 g lichen dry weight). Relatively high phenolic compounds was determined also for *E. prunastri* (3585 mg GAE/100 g).

TFC for methanol extracts of *P. sulcata*, *F. caperata* and *R. sinensis* were 700, 567 and 523 mg CE/100 g lichen dry weight, respectively. The methanol extract of *P. sulcata* showed highest TFC among all lichen extracts, the lowest content of flavonoids was observed for *P. subrudecta* extracts (222 mg CE/100 g lichen dry weight).

Cytotoxic activity

The statistically significant and dose-dependent decrease in cell viability was shown for methanol extracts of all tested lichens started from lower tested concentrations (Fig. 2). The IC₅₀ values of *R. sinensis* and *R. farinacea* extracts were almost similar 1.8±0.1 mg mL⁻¹ and 1.75±0.4 mg mL⁻¹, respectively. However, at higher tested concentrations, the cytotoxic profile of mentioned extracts was different, since 10% of viability was observed at the concentration of 18 mg mL⁻¹ for *R. sinensis* and 40 mg mL⁻¹ for *R. farinacea* extracts. The similar cytotoxic activity was shown for *P. praetextata* and *E. prunastri* extracts at all tested concentrations and the IC₅₀ values were 2.8±0.3 mg mL⁻¹ and 2.4±0.2 mg mL⁻¹, respectively.

Discussion

Armenia is a South-Caucasian landlocked mountainous country with climate contradictions. Divers of bio-geographical and climatic conditions, well-defined vertical zonation, as well as active tectonic processes in Armenia have contributed to the formation of ecosystems with rich biodiversity and endemic species. In this context Armenia is a crossroad also for variety of rare and still unexplored lichens' species [17].

Continuous and uncontrolled use of synthetic medicaments often causes numerous side effects. This evidence forces scientists to look for new preparations of natural origin. Lichens as alternative sources can be used to search of new bioactive substances [6, 7]. Since biotechnological potential of lichens distributed on the territory of Armenia still remains unexplored, we aimed to study of bioactivity of the aqueous and different alcoholic extracts of the corticolous lichens sampled from Armenia. In the present study antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic activities of crude methanol, ethanol and acetone

extracts and aqueous infusions from *R. sinensis*, *R. farinacea*, *F. caperata*, *E. prunastri*, *P. subrudecta*, *P. furfuracea*, *P. praetextata* and *P. sulcata* were examined.

In our experiments, aqueous infusions of all tested lichens lack of antibacterial and antifungal activities, which coincides with literature data [26]. Weak activity of aqueous infusions is probably result of insolubility or poor solubility of antimicrobial metabolites in water [27]. Despite this generally accepted opinion, some researchers have also shown antimicrobial activity of some aqueous extracts of lichens. Thus, [28] have shown that aqueous extracts of *R. farinacea* and some species belonging to the genera *Anaptychia*, *Peltigera*, *Umbilicaria*, *Xanthoria* and *Xanthoparmelia* exhibited potent inhibition toward *E. coli*, *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*. Recently it was shown aqueous extracts from Ecuadorian lichens *Usnea* sp. possessed antibacterial activity against *B. subtilis* [29]

In contrast to aqueous extracts, alcoholic extracts of lichens in our experiments demonstrated relatively high antibacterial activity. The quality of the antibacterial effect depended on the species of lichen. Within tested lichen extracts only *R. sinensis* has demonstrated significant bactericidal activity against *B. subtilis*. Probably *R. sinensis* possessed activity against endospores as well. The methanol and ethanol extracts of *R. sinensis* was shown the maximum antibacterial activity. The methanol extract of *F. caperata* was active against *S. aureus*.

Only static activity was observed against other tested microbes. MBC/MFC almost in all cases were higher than respective MIC values. According to the results obtained Gram-positive bacteria were more sensitive against the crude extracts of tested lichens. Such selective inhibition by extracts can be explained by composition and structural peculiarities of bacterial cell walls. Gram-positive bacterial cell walls endowed with higher permeability than Gram-negative bacterial ones [26].

Antimicrobial features of different extracts of lichens' species belonging to genus *Ramalina* were investigated by other researchers too. Thus, ethanol extract of *R. farinacea* sampled from New Zealand showed inhibitory effect toward bacilli and some Gram-negative bacteria [30]. Both tested Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria were sensitive against ethanol extract of *R. farinacea* sampled from Turkey [28]. Lichen species sampled from Antarctic also demonstrated high antibacterial properties against to *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis* [31]. Mitrovic et al. [32] also reported about strong inhibitory effect of methanol extracts of *P. sulcata*, *F. caperata* and *E. prunastri* against mainly Gram-positive bacteria. In general results obtained in this study are in agreement with literature data and confirmed high antibacterial activity of tested lichens.

Among tested lichen demonstrating the antifungal activity was only *P. furfuracea*, methanol extract of which, has inhibited *C. albicans* (Ø, 12 mm). There are some reports revealing high resistance of fungi against antimicrobial agents of lichen origin. Presumably it depends on specific composition and permeability of its cell wall [26].

In contrast to our results it was shown that aqueous and different polar and nonpolar extracts of many lichens also demonstrated antifungal activity. For instance, Karabulut and Ozturk [33] reported that some extracts of *E. prunastri*, *P. sulcata* and *P. furfuracea* demonstrated significant antifungal activity against species of genera *Aspergillus*, *Botrytis*, *Fusarium*, *Macrophomina*, *Penicillium* and *Rhizoctonia*. Using disc diffusion method Turkan et al. [34] was shown anticandidal activity of acetone and chloroform extracts of *P. furfuracea*. Similar investigation carried out using nonpolar fractions of *P. furfuracea* exhibited significant antifungal activity especially against [35].

It was shown earlier lichens' thalli comprise numerous secondary metabolites with antibacterial and antifungal activity [6, 7, 9, 13, 15]. The type of extracting solvent is also has a decisive significance. Considering this we aimed also evaluate solvents efficiency to extract bioactive compounds from lichens' thalli. As mentioned above methanol extracts demonstrated the highest antimicrobial activities. Second strongest antimicrobial activities were recorded in case of ethanol extracts, followed by acetone. Thus, we assumed that in our investigation methanol was efficient solvent to extract phenolic and/or other compounds with antimicrobial activity.

The tested lichen methanol extracts also expose relatively strong antioxidant activities against DPPH radical *in vivo*. The strong antioxidant activity is probably connected with the substances extracted by methanol [36]. It is distinctive that water extracts of *P. praetextata*, *R. sinensis*, *R. farinacea* and *E. prunastri* also derive relatively strong antioxidant activity. Moreover, the antioxidant activity of aqueous infusions of *P. praetextata*, *R. sinensis* and *R. farinacea* exceeds the activity of ethanol extracts of the same species. The scavenging activity is possible associated with secondary metabolites which are unique for that species and type of solvent. In this case, as it was mentioned the most efficient solvent was methanol.

Kumar et al. [37] reported existence of correlation between some secondary metabolites (mainly phenols) in lichen thalli and its antioxidant properties. Correlation between phenolic and flavonoid compounds of the tested extracts and free radical scavenging activity were shown in our study too. The tested methanol extracts of *P. sulcata* exhibited the highest radical scavenging activity with the greatest amount of phenolic and flavonoid contents. However, recently some deviations from this pattern have been also shown [38]. This evidence allowed to assume that antioxidant activity can be conditioned other, non-phenol components. Gulcin et al. [39] were shown strong antioxidant activity of aqueous extracts of *Cetraria islandica*. Stanly et al. [40], studying some Malaysian lichens have found contradiction between antioxidant activity and total phenol content. In contrast to this, methanol extracts of the lichen species *P. sulcata*, *F. caperata*, *E. prunastri*, *Hypogymnia physodes* and *Cladonia foliacea* collected from southeast of Serbia demonstrated high antioxidant activities [32]. In our studies, we also clearly showed that not only alcoholic solvents (which usually extracts phenolic compounds), but aqueous extracts also demonstrated antioxidant activity. Moreover, methanol extract of *P. praetextata* demonstrated relatively high DPPH radical scavenging activity (44 %), but TPC and TFC were low. Despite of high flavonoid and phenolic content the radical scavenging activity of methanol extract of *E. prunastri* was very low (Table 2. Fig. 1).

There are other reports regarding to antioxidant effect of lichens. Thus, Rankovic et al. [8] were shown free radical scavenging activity (94.7% inhibition) for acetone extract of *Lecanora atra*. In this study, the highest activity was observed for methanol extract of *P. sulcata* with 71% activity. For comparison, it should

be noted that methanol extract of another representative of the genus *Parmelia* (*P. saxatilis*) had free radical-scavenging activity with 55.3% inhibition [41]. To our knowledge it is first report about high antioxidant activity observed for methanol extracts of *P. sulcata*.

It was established that some lichen secondary metabolites (usnic acid, lecanoric acid, lobaric acid, evermic acid, vulpinic acid and so on) have cytotoxic properties [12]. Cytocidal effect of mentioned metabolites displays by cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, necrosis, and inhibition of angiogenesis [42].

Earlier the cytotoxicity of *E. prunastri* extracts was analyzed in different cell lines [43]. The weak cytotoxic effect ($IC_{50}=120.89 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) was shown for acetone extract of *E. prunastri* in FemX and LS 174 cell lines [43]. Non-cytotoxic properties of *E. prunastri* methanol extract was revealed on colon cancer adenocarcinoma cell line HCT-116 ($IC_{50}=295.64 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) [32]. A crude extract of *Xanthoria parietina* significantly inhibited growth of Murine myeloma P3X63-Ag8.653 cells [44]. Only few publications are available demonstrating anticancer activity of lichen extracts. Ari et al. [45] were reported significant anticancer effect (IC_{50} values $16.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) for methanol extract of *P. sulcata* against Human Breast cancer cell lines MDAMB-231. Viable cell number of Human colon cancer cell (HT-29) line was decreased after treatment them by acetone and methanol extracts of *Lethariella zahlbruckneri* [46].

In present study, the IC_{50} values of methanol extracts of studied lichens were in the range of 1.8-2.8 mg mL^{-1} . According to the American National Cancer Institute, a crude extract is considered as active for an $IC_{50} < 30 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ in the preliminary assay [47]. Following this 1 criterion, the methanol extracts of studied lichen species (*P. praetextata*, *E. prunastri*, *R. sinensis*, *R. farinacea*) cannot be considered as cytotoxic. Since compounds possessing potential antimicrobial and antioxidant activities may not be useful in pharmacological preparations if they possess cytotoxic effect, the non-cytotoxic profile of extracts studied in our work proves their safety and extracts can be recommended for further studies. Results obtained are stated strong antioxidant, antimicrobial activity and non-cytotoxic profile of tested lichen extracts. Lichens stand as organisms with high biotechnological potential, which was proven before by various authors, but was reported for the first time for lichens distributed on the territory of Armenia.

Declarations

Funding/Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Science Committee of RA, in the frames of the research project № 20AA-1F018 and was partially supported by grants from the Norwegian Cooperation Program in Higher Education with Eurasia (CPEA LT 2016/10095, CPEA-LT-2017/10061) to collect samples and obtain reagents for analysis.

Authors' contributions

RS and AG carried out sampling and identification of lichens, RS prepared of extracts, carried out the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities, generated figures, tables. GT carried out cytotoxic activities and performed data analysis. HP designed and supervised the study, performed all data analysis, coordinated and drafted the manuscript. AT provided critical comments and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Availability of data and material

Lichens are available in the Herbarium of Yerevan State University (Yerevan, Armenia). Materials and data of this study are available upon request.

References

1. Ellis CJ (2012) Lichen epiphyte diversity: A species, community and trait-based review. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution Systematics* 14(2):131–152. DOI:10.1016/j.ppees.2011.10.001
2. Bates ST, Cropsey GWG, Caporaso JG, Knight R, Fierer N (2011) Bacterial communities associated with the lichen symbiosis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77:1309–1314. DOI:10.1128/AEM.02257-10
3. Aschenbrenner IA, Cernava T, Berg G, Grube M (2016) Understanding Microbial Multi-Species Symbioses. *Front Microbiol* 7:180. DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00180
4. Pankratov TA, Kachalkin AV, Korchikov ES, Dobrovolskaya TG (2017) Microbial communities of lichens. *Microbiology (Moscow)* 86:293–309. DOI:10.1134/S0026261717030134
5. Suzuki MT, Parrot D, Berg G, Grube M, Tomasi S (2016) Lichens as natural sources of biotechnologically relevant bacteria. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 100(2):583–595. DOI:10.1007/s00253-015-7114-z

6. Ranković B (ed) (2015) Lichen secondary metabolites: Bioactive properties and pharmaceutical potential. Springer, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London
7. Calcott MJ, Ackerley DF, Knight A, Keyzers RA, Owen JG (2018) Secondary metabolism in the lichen symbiosis. *Chem Soc Rev* 47(5):1730–1760. DOI:10.1039/c7cs00431a
8. Ranković BR, Kosanić MM, Stanojković TP Antioxidant, antimicrobial and anticancer activity of the lichens *Cladonia furcata*, *Lecanora atra* and *Lecanora muralis*. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2011, 11, 97. DOI: 10.1186/1472-6882-11-97
9. Crawford SD (2015) Lichens Used in Traditional Medicine. In: Ranković B (ed) Lichen secondary metabolites: Bioactive properties and pharmaceutical potential. Springer, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, pp 27–80
10. Oksanen I (2006) Ecological and biotechnological aspects of lichens. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 73(4):723–734. DOI:10.1007/s00253-006-0611-3
11. Yamamoto Y, Kinoshita Y, Matsubara H, Kinoshita K, Koyama K, Takahashi K, Kurokawa T, Yoshimura I (1998) Screening of biological activities and isolation of biological-active compounds from lichens. *Rec Res Dev Phytochem* 2:23–34
12. Shrestha G, Clair LLS (2013) Lichens: a promising source of antibiotic and anticancer drugs. *Phytochem Rev* 12:229–244. DOI:10.1007/s11101-013-9283-7
13. Boustie J, Grube M (2005) Lichens—a promising source of bioactive secondary metabolites. *Plant Genetic Resources*. Cambridge University Press 3(2):273–287. DOI:10.1079/PGR200572
14. Shukla V, Joshi GP, Rawat MSM (2010) Lichens as a potential natural source of bioactive compounds: a review. *Phytochem Rev* 9:303–314. DOI:10.1007/s11101-010-9189-6
15. Verma N, Behera BC (2015) Future Directions in the Study of Pharmaceutical Potential of Lichens. In: Ranković B (ed) Lichen secondary metabolites: Bioactive properties and pharmaceutical potential. Springer, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, pp 179–202
16. Gasparyan A, Sipman HJ (2013) M. New lichen records from Armenia. *Mycotaxon* 123:491
17. Gasparyan A, Aptroot A, Burgaz AR, Otte V, Zakeri Z, Rico VJ, Araujo E, Crespo A, Divakar PK, Lumbsch HT (2015) First inventory of lichens and lichenicolous fungi in the Khosrov Forest State Reserve, Armenia. *FI Medit* 25:105–114. DOI:10.7320/FIMedit25.105
18. Andreev MP, Bredkina LI, Golubkova NS, Dobrysh AA, Kotlov YuV, Makarova II, Urbanavichene IN, Urbanavichus GP (2008) Handbook of the lichens of Russia 10. In: Agyriaceae, Anamylopsoraceae, Aphanopsidaceae, Arthrorhaphidaceae, Brigantiaeaceae, Chrysotrichaceae, Clavariaceae, Ectolechiaceae, Gomphillaceae, Gypsoplacaceae, Lecanoraceae, Lecideaceae, Mycoblastaceae, Phlyctidaceae, Physciaceae, Pilocarpaceae, Psoraceae, Ramalinaceae, Stereocaulaceae, Vezdaeaceae, Tricholomataceae. *Nauka*, St. Petersburg
19. Smith CW (ed) (2009) The Lichens of Great Britain and Ireland. British Lichen Society, London
20. Gasparyan A, Sipman HJM (2016) The Epiphytic Lichenized Fungi in Armenia: Diversity and Conservation. *Phytotaxa* 281(1):1–68
21. Wiegand I, Hilpert K, Hancock REW (2008) Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. *Nat Protoc* 3(2):163–175. DOI:10.1038/nprot.2007.521
22. Molyneux P (2004) The use of the stable free radical diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) for estimating antioxidant activity. *Songklanakarin J Sci Technol* 26:211–219
23. Gao, X.; Björk L.; Trajkovski V.; Uggla M. Evaluation of antioxidant activities of rosehip ethanol extracts in different test systems. *J Sci Food Agric* 2000; 80: 2021–2027. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0010(200011)80:14<2021::AID-JSFA745>3.0.CO;2-2
24. Meda A, Lamien CE, Romito M, Millogo J, Nacoulma OG (2005) Determination of the total phenolic, flavonoid and proline contents in burkina fasan honey, as well as their radical scavenging activity. *Food Chem* 91:571–577. DOI:10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.10.006
25. Van de Loosdrecht AA, Beelen RHJ, Ossenkoppele G, Broekhoven MG, Langenhuijsen MM (1994) A. C. A tetrazolium-based colorimetric MTT assay to quantitate human monocyte mediated cytotoxicity against leukemic cells from cell lines and patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *J Immunol Methods* 174(1–2):311–320. DOI:10.1016/0022-1759(94)90034-5
26. Kosanic M, Rankovic B (2014) Lichen Secondary Metabolites as Potential Antibiotic Agents. In: Ranković B (ed) Lichen secondary metabolites: Bioactive properties and pharmaceutical potential. Springer, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, pp 81–104
27. Kinoshita K, Matsubara H, Koyama K, Takahashi K, Yoshimura I, Yamamoto Y, Kawai K (1994) I. Topics in the chemistry of lichen compounds. *J Hattori Bot Lab* 76:227–233. DOI:10.18968/jhbl.76.0_227
28. Karagouml A, Doğruuml N, Zeybek Z, Aslan A (2009) Antibacterial activity of some lichen extracts. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* 3(12):1034–1039. DOI:10.5897/JMPR.9000124
29. Matvieieva NA, Pasichnyk LA, Zhytkevych NV, Pabón GGJ, Pidgorskyi VS (2015) Antimicrobial Activity of Extracts from Ecuadorian Lichens. *Mikrobiol Z* 77(3):23–27
30. Esimone CO, Adikwn MU (1999) Antimicrobial activity of the cytotoxicity of *Ramalina farinacea*. *Fitoterapia* 7:428–431. DOI:10.1016/S0367-326X(99)00054-4
31. Bhattarai HD, Lee JS, Paudel B, Yim JH, Hong SG (2008) Antioxidant activity of polar lichens from King George Island (Antarctica). *Polar Biol* 31:605–608. DOI:10.1007/s00300-007-0394-8
32. Mitrovic T, Stamenkovic S, Cvetkovic V, Tosic S, Stankovic M, Radojevic I, Stefanovic O (2011) Antioxidant, antimicrobial and antiproliferative activities of five lichen species. *Int J Mol Sci* 12:5428–5448. DOI:10.3390/ijms12085428
33. Karabulutli G, Ozturk S (2015) Antifungal activity of *Everina Prunastri*, *Parmelia sulcata*, *Pseudeverina furfuracea* var. *furfuracea*. *Pak J Bot* 47(4):1575–1579

34. Türkan MF, Aslan A, Yapici AN, Yapici BM, Bilgi ST (2013) Assessment of antimicrobial activity of natural leathers treated with *Pseudevernia furfuracea* (L.) Zopf extracts. *Tekstil ve Konfeksiyon* 23(2):176–180
35. Güvenç A, Akkol EK, Süntar İ, Keleş H, Yıldız S, Çalış İ (2012) Biological activities of *Pseudevernia furfuracea* (L.) Zopf extracts and isolation of the active compounds. *J Ethnopharmacol* 144:726–734. DOI:10.1016/j.jep.2012.10.021
36. Azmir J, Zaidul ISM, Rahman MM, Sharif KM, Mohamed A, Sahena F, Omar AK (2013) M. Techniques for extraction of bioactive compounds from plant materials: A review. *J Food Eng* 117(4):426–436. DOI:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.01.014
37. Kumar J, Dhar P, Tayade AB, Gupta D, Chaurasia OP, Upreti DK, Srivastava RB (2014) Antioxidant capacities, phenolic profile and cytotoxic effects of saxicolous lichens from trans-Himalayan cold desert of Ladakh. *PLoS One* 9(6):e98696. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0098696
38. Odabasoglu F, Aslan A, Cakir A, Suleyman H, Karagoz Y, Bayir Y, Halici M (2005) Antioxidant activity, reducing power phenolic content of some lichen species. *Fitoterapia* 76:216–219. DOI:10.1016/j.fitote.2004.05.012
39. Gülçin İ, Oktay M, Küfrevioğlu Öİ, Aslan A (2002) Determination of antioxidant activity of lichen *Cetraria islandica* (L.) Ach. *J Ethnopharmacol* 79:325–329. DOI:10.1016/S0378-8741(01)00396-8
40. Stanly C, Hag Ali DM, Keng CL, Boey PL, Bhatt A (2011) Comparative evaluation of antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of selected lichen species from Malaysia. *J Pharm Res* 4:2824–2827
41. Kosanić MM, Ranković BR, Stanojković TP (2012) Antioxidant, antimicrobial and anticancer activities of three *Parmelia* species. *J Sci Food Agric* 92(9):1909–1916. DOI:10.1002/jsfa.5559
42. Brisdelli F, Perilli M, Sellitri D, Piovano M, Garbarino JA, Nicoletti M, Celenza G (2013) Cytotoxic activity and antioxidant capacity of purified lichen metabolites: an in vitro study. *Phytother Res* 27:431–437. DOI:10.1002/ptr.4739
43. Kosanić M, Manojlović N, Janković S, Stanojković T, Ranković B (2012) *Evernia prunastri* and *Pseudoevernia furfuraceae* lichens and their major metabolites as antioxidant, antimicrobial and anticancer agents. *Food Chem Toxicol* 53:112–118. DOI:10.1016/j.fct.2012.11.034
44. Triggiani D, Ceccarelli D, Tiezzi A, Pisani T, Munzi S, Gaggi C, Loppi S (2009) Antiproliferative activity of lichen extracts on murine myeloma cells. *Biologia* 64:59–62. DOI:10.2478/s11756-009-0005-y
45. Ari F, Ulukaya E, Oran S, Celikler S, Ozturk S, Ozel M (2015) Z.Promising anticancer activity of a lichen, *Parmelia sulcata* Taylor, against breast cancer cell lines and genotoxic effect on human lymphocytes. *Cytotechnology* 67(3):531–543. DOI:10.1007/s10616-014-9713-4
46. Ren MR, Hur JS, Kim JY, Park KW, Park SC, Seong CN, Seo K (2009) I. Anti-proliferative effects of *Lethariella zahlbruckneri* extracts in human HT-29 human colon cancer cells. *Food Chem Toxicol* 47:2157–2162. DOI:10.1016/j.fct.2009.05.042
47. Suffness M, Pezzuto JM (1990) Assays related to cancer drug discovery. In: Hostettmann K (ed) *Methods in Plant Biochemistry: Assays for Bioactivity*. Academic Press, London, vol. 6

Tables

Table 1. Disc diffusion inhibition zones (IZ) minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentrations (MBC/MFC) of tested lichen crude extracts.

Lichens species	Crude extracts ^a	Test microbes, IZ (Ø (mm)), MIC (mg mL ⁻¹) and MBC/MFC (mg mL ⁻¹)														
		<i>B. subtilis</i>			<i>S. aureus</i>			<i>E. coli</i>			<i>P. aeruginosa</i>			<i>S. typhimurium</i>		
		IZ	MIC	MBC	IZ	MIC	MBC	IZ	MIC	MBC	IZ	MIC	MBC	IZ	MIC	MBC
<i>R. sinensis</i>	M	25±0.5	0,9	0,9	15±0.5	1,8	1,8	1.5±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	2.0±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	1.5±0.3	>7.5	>7.5
	E	23±0.5	nd	nd	12±0.5	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	1.2±0.3	nd	nd	1.2±0.3	nd	nd
	A	21±0.5	nd	nd	13±0.5	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd
	W	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd
<i>R. farinacea</i>	M	23±0.5	1,8	1,8	15±0.5	3,75	3,75	1.2±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	1.5±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	1.0±0.3	>7.5	>7.5
	E	21±0.5	nd	nd	11±0.5	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd	1.2±0.3	nd	nd
	A	19±0.5	nd	nd	10±0.5	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd
	W	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd
<i>E. prunastri</i>	M	19±0.5	3,75	3,75	17±0.5	3,75	3,75	<1	nd	nd	1.3±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	<1	>7.5	>7.5
	E	15±0.5	nd	nd	14±0.5	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd	1.1±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd
	A	10±0.5	nd	nd	9±0.5	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd
	W	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd
<i>F. caperata</i>	M	17±0.5	7,5	>7,5	19±0.5	3,75	3,75	<1	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	-	-	1.8±0.3	>7.5	>7.5
	E	15±0.5	nd	nd	16±0.5	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd	1.2±0.3	nd	nd
	A	12±0.5	nd	nd	14±0.5	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd
	W	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd
<i>P. subrudecta</i>	M	15±0.5	7,5	>7,5	10±0.5	>7,5	>7,5	1.0±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	1.6±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	<1	nd	nd
	E	10±0.5	nd	nd	8±0.5	nd	nd	1.3±0.3	nd	nd	1.2±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd
	A	9±0.5	nd	nd	7±0.5	nd	nd	1.5±0.3	nd	nd	1.3±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd
	W	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd
<i>P. furfuracea</i>	M	15±0.5	7,5	>7,5	8±0.5	>7.5	>7.5	<1	nd	nd	1.5±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	1.2±0.3	>7.5	>7.5
	E	7±0.5	nd	nd	6±0.5	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	1.2±0.3	nd	nd
	A	9±0.5	nd	nd	3±0.5	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd	1.2±0.3	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd
	W	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd
<i>P. sulcata</i>	M	13±0.5	7,5	>7,5	9±0.5	7,5	>7,5	<1	nd	nd	1.4±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	1.2±0.3	>7.5	>7.5
	E	9±0.5	nd	nd	8±0.5	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd
	A	8±0.5	nd	nd	5±0.5	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd
	W	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd
<i>P. praetextata</i>	M	13±0.5	7,5	>7,5	10±0.5	7,5	>7,5	<1	nd	nd	1.2±0.3	>7.5	>7.5	<1	nd	nd
	E	9±0.5	nd	nd	9±0.5	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd
	A	9±0.5	nd	nd	7±0.5	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	1.0±0.3	nd	nd	<1	nd	nd
	W	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	0	nd	nd
PC ^b		32±0.5	0.25	0.5	28±0.5	0.3	0.5	20±0.5	0.5	1.5	25±0.5	0.3	0.5	23±0.5	1	2.5

^aExtracts: M-Methanol, E-Ethanol, A-Acetone, W-Water,

^bPC: positive control, for IZ: gentamicin (10 µg mL⁻¹) for bacteria, nystatin 20 µg mL⁻¹ for yeasts; for MIC and MBC/MFC: gentamicin (range 2–0.003 µg mL⁻¹) for bacteria, nystatin (range 4–0.125 µg mL⁻¹) for yeasts.

nd - not determined

Table 2. TPC and TFC of methanol extracts of studied lichens.

Lichen species	TFC (mg CE/ 100g dw*)	TPC (mg GAE/ 100g dw*)
<i>P. sulcata</i>	700 ± 7.1	3811 ± 71.25
<i>E. prunastri</i>	373 ± 4.2	3585 ± 69.30
<i>P. preatextata</i>	310 ± 3.7	1648 ± 72.3
<i>F. caperata</i>	567 ± 6.3	1522 ± 67.2
<i>R. farinacea</i>	295 ± 2.1	1128 ± 70
<i>R. sinensis</i>	523 ± 5.1	786.5 ± 56
<i>P. subrudecta</i>	222 ± 2.3	608 ± 42.1

*dw-dry weight.

Figures

Figure 1

DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) of methanol, ethanol, acetone extracts and aqueous infusion of *P. sulcata*, *R. sinensis*, *P. praetextata*, *R. farinacea*, *P. subrudecta* and *E. prunastri*. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control.

Figure 2

Dose-dependent effects of methanol extracts of *R. sinensis*, *R. farinacea*, *P. praetextata* and *E. prunastri* on viability of HeLa cells.