

Relocation experiences of the elderly to a long-term care facility in Taiwan: a qualitative study

Chia-Shan Wu

National Tainan Institute of Nursing

Jiin-Ru Rong (✉ rong@ntunhs.edu.tw)

National Taipei University of Nursing and Health Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6456-1550>

Research article

Keywords: elderly well-being, relocation stress, long-term care facility

Posted Date: November 19th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.14813/v2>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on August 6th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-020-01679-5>.

Abstract

Background Relocation to a long-term care (LTC) facility is a major life change for most elderly people. Following relocation, many elderly experience difficulties in adapting to changes in the living environment. Taiwan is increasingly becoming an "aging society" and the numbers of those who relocate from family residences to long-term residential care facilities have increased over years. However, in-depth evidence on the experiences of the elderly of their stay in LTC facilities in Taiwan is relatively sparse. This study aimed to explore the relocation experiences of the elderly to a LTC facility to inform policy and practice to address their needs effectively.

Methods A qualitative study, using semi-structured in-depth interviews, was conducted to explore the experiences of 16 elderly people who have relocated to and lived in a LTC facility in Taiwan for up to a period of 12 months. All interviews were recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using grounded theory approach.

Results Participants' accounts reflected four interrelated key themes: wish to minimize the burden, but stay connected with the family; perceived barriers to adaptation; valuing tailored care; and acceptance and engagement. Each theme included interrelated subthemes that influenced one another and represented the different stages in the relocation journey. Most participants viewed relocation as a way of minimizing the burden of their care from family members, but desired to keep a close connection with family and friends. Participants recounted experiences of psychological resistance while making the decision to relocate. Fear of losing autonomy and the ability to perform self-care was a major reason for resistance to adapt. Provision of tailored care was accorded much value by the participants. The decision to accept the relocation and to adapt themselves to the new environment due to their needs for constant care was explicit in some accounts.

Conclusions Relocation to LTC facility is a dynamic process in the first year of moving into the facility, and involves a range of emotions, feelings and experiences. Adaptation of the elderly into the LTC facility can be maximized if the relocation is well planned with provisions for individually tailored care and family involvement.

Introduction

With an ever growing ageing population, the care of the elderly is at the forefront of policy and practice discussions worldwide. Globally approximately 901 million people were estimated to be aged 60 years and over in 2015, representing 12.3% of the population [1]. The current pace of population ageing is postulated to be faster than in the past and the proportion of those aged over 60 years worldwide is likely to double from 12% to 22% between 2015 and 2050 [2]. There is a recognition of the impact of the aging population in many countries as evidenced by increases in both community and residential home based care facilities for the elderly.

Residential care facilities providing housing, care and other supportive and rehabilitative services tend to be a sought after option for the elderly who are unable to live independently. Long-term relocation to a residential care facility could occur due to a number of reasons, including the levels of dependency on others for routine activities, lack of adequate cognitive capacity, need for specialized care that cannot be provided in community-based settings, lack of social support networks and/or the inability of family members or others to care for the individual in a non-institutional setting [3]. While long-term residential care often provides a range of personal or health care services, evidence on the impact of relocation to and between residential care facilities appears to be inconclusive with researchers reporting both positive and negative outcomes overall [4–7].

Relocation to a long-term care facility (LTC) tend to be a major life change for most elderly with ensuing changes in relationships with their family and friends. Many elderly people experience difficulties in adapting to changes to their physical living environment as well as changes to their activities of daily living, and social networks following relocation [8–9]. Researchers have used different terminologies such as relocation stress syndrome, transfer trauma, and relocation syndrome to indicate the negative psychological impact of relocation [10–12]. Reported adverse consequences of relocation include decline in functional and cognitive capacity and general wellbeing, increased feelings of loneliness, accidental falls and injuries, and increased mortality and morbidity [13–17]. It been indicated that most of the psychological impact of relocation tend to manifest within the first six months of stay in the facility and the impact varies with the physiological and psychological state of the person [18–20]. The emotional distress increases with age, and tend to manifest more in elderly people aged more than 80 years [21, 22]. Although less frequent, studies have reported positive outcomes such as enhanced engagement and participation in social activities and improvements in emotional wellbeing [23, 24].

With about 14.85 % of the population aged 65years or older, Taiwan is increasingly seen to be an "aging society" [25]. While most of the elderly people in Taiwan live in the community supported by their families, the numbers of those who have relocated from family residences to long-term residential care facilities have increased over the past decade due to factors such as urbanization, changes in family structure, longer life spans, and changing patterns of illness among the elderly [13, 26–28]. LTC facilities in Taiwan include assisted living facilities and nursing homes that provide the rehabilitative, restorative, and/or ongoing skilled nursing care needed in general or in relation to specific health conditions. The nursing homes offer health care services, medical care and skilled nursing care for residents who have seriously ill and/or need long-term care for chronic diseases. Some nursing homes also provide services may include physical therapy, occupational therapy, or speech-language therapy. An assisted living facility is providing care for people who cannot or choose not to live independently, care services offered include: assistance with daily living activities (bathing, dressing, eating, toileting, etc.), dining programs that include three meals a day, and group activities. Previous studies have indicated factors that influence the expectations of long-term residential care among Taiwanese elderly [13, 26–28]. For example, a study that investigated the preferences of the elderly in northern Taiwan with regard to various types of long-term care services found that ethnic background and the requirement for additional medical care services had significant impact on the long-term care preferences [27]. However, in-depth evidence on the experiences of the elderly of their stay in LTC facilities is relatively sparse. The aim of this study was to explore the relocation experiences of the elderly an LTC facility in Taiwan to inform policy and practice to address the needs effectively. The focus of the study was on the experiences of the elderly who have lived in the facility for up to a period of 12 months.

Methods

A qualitative study based on a grounded theory approach [29] was conducted to explore the experiences of the elderly during the first year of their stay in LTC facilities in Taiwan.

Recruitment of participants

The participants were 16 elderly people who have relocated to two LTC facilities in Taiwan and lived in the facility for up to 12 months. The criteria for selection was that they provide nursing as well as residential care facilities and were accessible to the researchers. The inclusion criteria for participation were that they: (1) were aged 65 years or over, (2) relocated and lived in a LTC facility for up to 12 months, (3) were conscious and alert, and can communicate continuously for 30 minutes, (4) agreed to participate in the interview, (5) did not have a history of alcoholism, drug addiction, dementia, severe cognitive impairment or other diagnosed mental illnesses and (6) did not have severe hearing or communication problems.

After gaining relevant ethics approval from the Ethics Committee of National Cheng Kung University (NCKU HREC-E-106-230-2), the researchers contacted nurses at two LTC facilities in Tainan, Taiwan. The researchers made initial contact with the participants after potential participants were personally introduced by the nurses in charge in the facilities. During the first visit, the researcher described the purpose and what the participation involved. Those who expressed an interest to participate after the initial discussion were given detailed information about the study. The researchers obtained written consent before enrolling the participants in the study.

The characteristics of the 16 participants are presented in Table 1. The average age was 81.9 years with the majority (11 participants) aged over 80 years. All the participants had at least two illnesses or chronic conditions. The participants generally had the ability to take care of themselves, but were unable to do activities such as cooking, cleaning the room or washing their clothes or take medication regularly. The average length of stay in the LTC facility was 5.6 months with the majority (10 participants) living in the facility for less than 6 months. [INSERT TABLE 1 HERE]

Data collection

The face to face in-depth interviews took place in a quiet private room in the facility at a mutually convenient prearranged time. There was no one else present apart from the researcher and the participant during the interview, and the participants were encouraged to speak freely. The topic guide included questions on the reasons to decide to move to a LTC facility, their experiences of relocation to the facility, their day-to-day activities, the likes and dislikes about the facility, and the reasons for continuing to live in the facility. All of the interviews were conducted by the first author (C-S Wu).

The interviews lasted between 60–90 minutes. To ensure credibility and to facilitate participants sharing their real-life experiences, the interviews were conducted either in Mandarin and Taiwanese as desired by participants. The researchers assured the participants that anything they said would be valued and kept in strict confidence. The researcher audio-recorded the interviews with permission from participants and took notes during the interviews. Data collection in this study continued until data saturation was reached when no new themes or concepts related to the topic emerged.

Analytical approach

A professional transcriptionist transcribed verbatim all of the audio recordings of interviews soon after the interview. The researcher checked the contents of the transcript within a day to prevent researcher memory bias. A continuous comparative analysis was adopted for analysis with the researchers starting the data analysis soon after the first interview and the subsequent analysis being performed simultaneously with the data collection [29]. The first stage of the analysis involved close reading of the interview transcripts several times to familiarize and identify the key themes emerging from the interviews. A line-by-line coding of the transcripts using a three-stage coding process involving open coding, axial coding and selective coding was performed [29, 30] to identify and name concepts and categories and to determine their relationships. The analysis was conducted by the two researchers with background in Nursing and experience in qualitative analysis. The analysis was done manually. To minimize bias and maintain objectivity, the authors regularly discussed and evaluated the interview procedures. Both authors repeatedly discussed, compared, and jointly conceptualized the findings. The study's scientific rigor was enhanced throughout the research process by constant evaluation of credibility, dependability, transferability, and confirmability [31, 32]. In-depth interviews were conducted in two languages, Mandarin and Taiwanese, to facilitate participants describing their real-life experiences in their own language. The interviewers remained neutral and objective throughout the interview process by actively avoiding subjective judgments and encouraging participants to describe their experiences in detail. The audio recording and the verbatim transcription enhanced the quality of the data. In order to minimize subjectivity and maximize dependability, two researchers with experience in qualitative analysis independently analyzed and coded the interviews and jointly discussed the codes and themes to avoid subjective perceptions.

Results

The views and experiences during relocation to the LTC facility reflected four interrelated key themes: wish to minimize the burden, but stay connected with the family; perceived barriers to adaptation; valuing tailored care; and acceptance and engagement. Each of these themes included several interrelated subthemes that influenced one another and represented the different stages in their personal relocation journey although there was no clear sequential order between the themes. These themes are presented in detail below.

Wish to minimize the burden, but stay connected with the family

The participants described how they experienced conflicting decisions between their desire to continue living in their family homes which they perceived as ideal and the need to avoid disrupting the lives of their families whom they depended upon for the activities of their daily living. Most participants viewed the

relocation to the care facility as a way of minimizing the burden of their care from family members so that family members can continue with their own commitments without worrying about caring for them:

"I used to be in day care, but when I went back home at night, no one was home. My son and daughter-in-law are both very busy. Sometimes they go to Shanghai. Therefore, I can only live here." (R11)

However, they still desired to keep the connection with their family members and friends. In order to avoid alienating themselves from those who they were emotionally close, participants usually chose facilities in places nearby to where their family members or friends were residing or working:

"My daughter works nearby. She chose this place so she could visit me easily, even during her break at noon." (R6)

"I came here because I had a distant relative who was the head nurse here. She said it wasn't bad here and said I should tell my grandson to bring me here." (R2)

There was a strong desire among almost all participants not to feel abandoned by their family members. There was also constant reflection of how much they cherished visits from family members and how important it was for them to continue receiving attention from family members who cared for them in the past:

"My family will come every day, they come here after they work at night, they will accompany me and chat with me, bring some food for me" (R2)

"Whenever my daughter come, she will bring me to the garden at the back to walk, being in the sun, this is very good!"

Some participants wished that their partner or spouse came and lived in the facility to keep the intimacy and connection:

"I am thinking that, if my wife comes and lives with me after she retires a few years from now, we can live here together as couple. I really wish my wife can live with me in the future so I can have company." (R4)

A few participants made active efforts to interact with other residents in the LTC facility with the view of making friendships in their new environment. Often there was a feeling that they have shared experiences and they would be able to understand each other's concerns:

"I have made friends here! That old friend is really nice; everyone is very happy. Those elderly that been through pain, will then cherish the blessing, and can be nice with others." (R8)

Perceived barriers to adaptation

While there were varying reasons for relocation to the LTC facility including lack of family support and inability to perform self-care, the participants often experienced psychological resistance while making the decision to leave home and move to the facility. Fear of losing their autonomy and the ability to perform self-care was a major reason for the resistance to adapt to care facilities.

"After my stroke, I also thought about moving around to restore my mobility. But after I entered the facility, the environment was limited, and I was unable to practice walking." (R1)

Dislike or lack of trust in the care provider and facility inadequately meeting the care needs was also a perceived barrier running through the relocation process.

"When I came to this facility, my experience with the care providers was similar to my previous experience. I cannot trust those who take care of me." (R5).

Individual emotional reactions to new environments acted as perceived barriers to adaptation to the LTC facility environment for many participants. These emotional reactions were manifested in different ways. Many of the participants, especially those who have had physical and mental health issues, feared of being neglected and were concerned about their overall safety from injuries and accidents especially when they first arrived at the LTC facility:

"When I first came here, I didn't know anyone, and I was afraid my family would neglect me. I am afraid the people here won't take care of me, and I am afraid of falls." (R3)

Participants who struggled to adapt also expressed feelings of missing their home and their families, and their persistent desire to return home:

"When I first got here, I definitely missed home! When I miss home, I cry! I feel like I am just waiting to die!" (R9)

The unfamiliar or uncomfortable environment of the LTC facility proved to be a shock for some participants in the initial months of their stay in the facility. Their perceptions of the new environment had a large impact on some participants who struggled to adapt to the differences between the facility and home. Feelings of loneliness and problems such as difficulty sleeping were reported:

"Of course, when I first got here, I had difficulty adjusting to living here. I have many family members in my home, so it is very lively. When I got here, each person only has a bed. I didn't know the people beside me, and I didn't talk to them. I was very depressed and felt alone. During the next 3 days, I cried whenever I thought about my situation. (R6)

"Some people here moan and make noise. Sometimes it is so noisy, I can't sleep at night. The caregivers don't have good manners. They chat loudly, even at night." (R1).

Valuing tailored care

The participants considered the provision of tailored care - including helping them to take their medication regularly, taking them to the doctor if needed, and preparing special meals according to their preferences - as valuable in their adaptation to the facility:

"I am a devoted Buddhist. They prepare vegetarian food for me every morning." (R11) "I like to drink coffee. I need to drink many cups of coffee a day. They even help me buy more coffee when my coffee is finished." (R4)

Most of the participants valued not only the tailored physical care but also the emotional care that they received at the LTC facility. They recounted how they appreciated having nursing staff available to provide care and comfort suited to their individual needs:

"If you have a stomach ache, the nursing staff will come and show concern, console you, and take care of you." (R8)

Participants who were able to perform self-care appreciated the recognition from the nursing staff of their ability to perform self-care activities:

"I had my artificial anus for more than ten years. I've used different types, such as a clip and a stick. I don't need them to teach me. All I need is scissors, and I can cut ostomy bag myself. The nurses said I am very good. They all said they will use my way to change ostomy bag. They say I am a professional! (laughs)" (R1)

Most of the participants expressed appreciation for the nursing staff who showed concern and intimacy:

"All of their services are really good. They don't get angry at us. We are all very harmonious. The nurses are really nice. They are nice to me. They greet me, and if I have any needs, they come and help me handle it quickly." (R10)

"They are like my grandson: very cordial and polite" (R9)

Acceptance and engagement

Participants who lived relatively longer in the facility perceived that they were living in a safe environment because they saw that the physical infrastructure of the LTC - including vacant space to walk around and having railing to hold on to - was tailored to the needs of the elderly and this was seen to be important to keep them safe from falls and injuries:

"Environment is very safe, room, bathroom, any place is all flat, there are fence on the side that you can support on. I haven't [had a] fall here, safety is what I think done very good in this place." (R2)

The availability of staff to call for in the event of an injury or a fall was another factor that was perceived as crucial. The provision of various activities that are interesting to elderly and the support to participate in these activities was seen as a major factor behind the acceptance of the facility:

"There are more people here, they hold activities every day, have karaoke, have big television, have Bingo, have sport, many types of activities, make the elderly feel interested." (R4)

Some participants commented on their decision to face the challenge by trying wholeheartedly to accept their stay in the facility and to adapt themselves to the new environment due to their needs for constant care. As a mark of successful adaptation and assimilation, they remarked that they saw the LTC facility as their second home and envisaged themselves to be living in the facility for the rest of their lives:

"I am very used to living here. It's just like my home. I even thought of staying here during new year! It's more lively here!" (R8)

"When I came, I found they can provide me meal and insulin injection regularly, and the risk of coma (due to hypoglycemia) could be prevented. So I found stay here wasn't bad, and better safety than home. I thought I should try it and see if I like it. So, I haven't left!" (R7)

"I had a stroke, which was very inconvenient for me. I am afraid that I cannot do activity of daily life by myself. After I got discharged from the hospital, my family took me here. In this setting, they provide care for me and prevent accidents happen to me. I need to stay here, and it isn't bad for me and my family!" (R9)

Discussion

This paper builds on the evidence on the relocation experiences of the elderly to LTC facilities in Taiwan and explores in-depth the day-to-day experiences in the first 12 months following the relocation to the facility. The study is one of the few qualitative studies conducted on this topic in Taiwan and offer relevant insights to a range of stakeholders including practitioners, policy makers and future researchers. The qualitative methodology underpinned by a grounded theory approach facilitated the participants to freely express their experiences and views and enabled the researchers to deconstruct the meanings that are associated with the narratives. However, the findings may not necessarily reflect the experiences of all the elderly who have relocated to LTC facilities in Taiwan or elsewhere.

Four interrelated themes emerged in the study with respect to the experiences of adapting and settling in to the LTC facility: wish to minimize the burden, but stay connected with the family; perceived barriers to adaptation; valuing tailored care; and acceptance and engagement. Researchers have argued that relocation is a major life change for most elderly people [33] and the accounts from participants in our study supported this view. Previous researchers have

indicated that, in many cases, elderly people do not choose to live in LTC facilities voluntarily and as such may not be prepared for the change in circumstances [27, 34, 35].

For the participants in our study, the decision to move to the LTC facility was mainly driven by the desire to avoid disrupting the lives of their family members, whom they were dependent upon, and this appeared to be a key motivating factor for their adaptation the life in LTC facility. All participants had long-term illnesses or health conditions that required access to round-the-clock care including provision of necessary medications and support for preventing falls and injuries, which were beyond the capacity of their family members to provide. Previous studies among Chinese elderly living in LTC facilities have shown that they were willing to compromise their own desires and wishes to maintain family harmony and they continued living in the facility hiding their own feelings and emotions even if they were unhappy [34]. Researchers have also noted that relocation to the LTC facility often required the elderly to feel “cut off the root” as they left their homes, family members and friends [34]. The participants in our study, desired to keep the connection with their friends and family members and to avoid alienating themselves from those who they were emotionally close. They choose LTC facilities nearer to their family home to keep this connection and to increase the frequency of family members’ visits. Previous studies have shown that intimate interaction and communication with close relatives or friends diminished the feelings of isolation and loneliness experienced by the elderly when they moved into the facility [36, 37]. The wish for their partner or spouse to come live in the facility and their efforts to communicate and interact with other residents in the LTC facility with the view of making friendships also strongly indicate the wish to avoid alienation.

Consistent with findings from other studies, we found that the stress experienced by the elderly when they relocated to LTC facilities could originate from different factors [37–39]. The elderly in our study felt threatened by their loss of autonomy and their ability to perform self-care; feeling of dislike and distrust of the care provider and facility; fear of neglect and concerns about overall safety from injuries and accidents; feelings of missing their home and families; unfamiliar or uncomfortable environment. On the other hand, there was a desire to face the challenge by trying wholeheartedly to stay positive and to adapt themselves to the new environment due to their needs for constant care. Our findings suggest that allowing elderly people to choose the LTC facility and allowing the elderly, wherever possible, to take charge of the relocation process have positive impacts on their adaptation to living in the facility.

Provision of tailored care in accordance with individual needs was an aspect that was highlighted in our study as valuable to facilitate adaptation to the facility. This is in concurrence with conclusions from other studies that LTC facilities should provide tailored care to assist residents in integrating [36, 40]. Most of the participants valued not only the physical care but also the emotional care that is tailored to their needs. The recognition of their ability to perform self-care coupled with friendly interactions from the nursing staff was also highly valued by the participants. Researchers have indicated that care providers assisting the elderly in their transition to a LTC facility tended to focus more on technical aspects, such as the physical aspects of the environment or the availability of equipment, rather than on psychological aspects such as the shock and distress that can be induced by major changes in living conditions following relocation [41]. Our findings show that in order to support older adults to adapt and integrate into an unfamiliar facility environment, the nursing staff as well as other care providers must provide appropriate emotional and supportive care. Alongside the provision of professional health care, aspects such as cordial greetings, proactive enquiries about physical comfort were all perceived as valuable in alleviating the fears and anxieties of the elderly and to help them feel relaxed and safe in LTC facilities [40–42].

The perceptions of the physical infrastructure of the LTC as a safe environment offering protection from falls and injuries and the availability of staff to call upon in the event of an injury indicate the role these aspects play in the successful adaptation to a LTC facility. The interest of our participants in leisure time activities indicate the importance of integrating and supporting active participation in individual and group based activities in an appropriate manner as part of their daily routine. Previous studies have argued that elderly people often expect caregivers to provide a convenient friendly environment with activities that are designed to support them physically, emotionally and spiritually ensuring that they are supported to lead a life that is meaningful [37]. Relocation to the LTC facility can be considered successful when the resident considers it as a home [43]. As a mark of successful adaptation and assimilation, some participants in our study viewed the LTC facility as their second home and envisaged themselves to be living in the facility for the rest of their lives.

This is one of the few qualitative studies that have explored in depth the experiences of the elderly within the first year of their relocation to a LTC facility. The study’s scientific rigor was enhanced throughout the research process by constant evaluation of credibility, dependability, transferability, and confirmability [31, 32]. In-depth interviews were conducted in two languages, Mandarin and Taiwanese, to facilitate participants describing their real-life experiences in their own language. The interviewers remained neutral and objective throughout the interview process by actively avoiding subjective judgments and encouraging participants to describe their experiences in detail. The audio recording and the verbatim transcription enhanced the quality of the data. In order to minimize subjectivity and maximize dependability, two researchers with experience in qualitative analysis independently analyzed and coded the interviews and jointly discussed the codes and themes to avoid subjective perceptions. The study has certain limitations, however. As a qualitative study with a relatively small sample of 16 participants, the findings may not represent the views of all the elderly residing in LTC facilities in Taiwan or anywhere else. The study only explored the experiences of those who had lived in the LTC facility for a period of 12 months or less with the majority of participants living in the facility for less than 6 months. Inadvertently, the sample comprised of more female participants with the majority aged over 80 years although there was no explicit indication of participants’ age affecting their perspectives.

Conclusions

With a growing ageing population, relocation of the elderly to LTC facilities appear to evolve as a key future trend in the care of the elderly. Supporting the elderly and their families towards making a peaceful and smooth transition is of considerable importance to health and well-being and our findings provide useful insights for policy and practice in this regard. Our findings suggest that relocation to the LTC facility is a dynamic process in the first year of their life in the facility, and involve a range of emotions, feelings and experiences starting from the time the decision is made. The adaptation of the elderly to the LTC facility can be maximized if the relocation is well planned with sustained opportunities to maintain involvement of the family members in care provision.

The relocation program should include a carefully formulated tailored care plan taking into account individual care needs based on discussions with the elderly people themselves and their family members. The plan should include identification of care needs based on detailed physiological and psychological assessments and considerations of unique personality characteristics and individual needs. This should be followed by continuous evaluation of changing needs once the elderly move into the facility. They should also be supported and encouraged to partake in day-to-day activities of the LTC facility including rehabilitative, restorative, and entertainment activities. It is likely that many elderly need round-the-clock care and this needs to be taken into active consideration while choosing the facility and in care planning. Moreover, adaptation of the elderly into the LTC facility can be maximized if the relocation is well planned with provisions for individually tailored care and family involvement.

Overall, although relocation is challenging for most older adults, those whose physical and psychological needs are adequately met can benefit from this challenge if they integrate well view the facility as another home. Further long term studies are need to explore the differing needs and experiences of the elderly at different stages in their lives as well as interventions to support ongoing interactions and engagement with the family members.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval from the Ethics Committee of National Cheng Kung University (NCKU HREC-E-106-230-2). The researchers obtained written consent before enrolling the participants in the study.

Consent for publication

The manuscript does not contain any individual person's data in any form.

Availability of data and material

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

No authors of this study have personal, professional, or financial conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding

No specific funding was received for this study.

Authors' contributions

CsW led the study design, data collection, data analysis, interpretation and manuscript preparation; JrR contributed to the data analysis, interpretation and manuscript preparation; All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere gratitude to the staff at Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital Tainan Branch Nursing Home and YUZENGARDEN Retirement Center for supporting this study. We thank all of the participants for providing their time and energy to this project without which this study would not have been possible.

Authors' information (optional)

References

1. HelpAge International. Global Age Watch Index 2015. <https://www.helpage.org/global-agewatch/population-ageing-data/infographic-index-at-a-glance/>
2. World Health Organization. Ageing and health: Key facts. WHO 2018. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health>
3. Kao HF, Travis SS, Acton GJ. Relocation to a long-term care facility: Working with patients and families before, during, and after. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv.* 2004;42:10–6.
4. Nay R. Nursing home residents' perceptions of relocation. *J Clin Nurs.* 1995;4:319–25.
5. Aminzadeh F, Dalziel WB, Molnar FJ, Garcia LJ. Symbolic meaning of relocation to a residential care facility for persons with dementia. *Aging Ment Health.* 2009;13:487–96.
6. Hong SI, Chen LM. Contribution of residential relocation and lifestyle to the structure of health trajectories. *J Aging Health.* 2009;21:244–65.
7. Holder JM, Jolley D. Forced relocation between nursing homes: residents' health outcomes and potential moderators. *Rev Clin Gerontol.* 2012;22:301–19.
8. Rowles GD, Bernard MA, editors. *Environmental gerontology: Making meaningful places in old age.* Springer Publishing Company; 2013.
9. Oswald F, Wahl HW. Dimensions of the meaning of home in later life. In: Rowles GD, Chaudhury H, editors. *Home and identity in late life: International perspectives.* New York: Springer Publishing Company; 2005. p.21–45.
10. Coffman TL. Relocation and survival of institutionalized aged: A re-examination of the evidence. *Gerontologist.* 1981;21:483–500.
11. Barnhouse AH, Brugler CJ, Harkulich JT. Relocation stress syndrome. *Nurs Diagn.* 1992;3:166–8.

12. Walker C, Curry LC, Hogstel MO. Relocation stress syndrome in older adults transitioning from home to a long-term care facility: myth or reality?. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv.* 2007;45:38–45.
13. Chiu MJ, Chen YM, Li YP. Life adaptation and the factors influencing it among the elderly living in long-term care facilities. *J Evidence-Based Nurs.* 2007;3:119–28.
14. Friedman SM, Williamson JD, Lee BH, Ankrom MA, Ryan SD, Denman SJ. Increased fall rates in nursing home residents after relocation to a new facility. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1995;43:1237–42.
15. Aneshensel CS, Pearlin LI, Levy-Storms L, Schuler RH. The transition from home to nursing home mortality among people with dementia. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* 2000;55:152–62.
16. Ball MM, Whittington FJ, Perkins MM, Patterson VL, Hollingsworth C, King SV, Combs BL. Quality of life in assisted living facilities: Viewpoints of residents. *J Appl Gerontol.* 2000;19:304–25.
17. Scocco P, Rapattoni M, Fantoni G. Nursing home institutionalization: a source of eustress or distress for the elderly?. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2006;21:281–7.
18. Green D. Helping people to prepare for and settle into a new home. *Nurs Res Care.* 2012;14:626–31.
19. Melrose, S. Relocation stress: How staff can help. *Can Nurs Home.* 2013;24:16–19.
20. Jackson B, Swanson C, Hicks LE, Prokop L, Laughlin J. Bridge of continuity from hospital to nursing home—Part I: A proactive approach to reduce relocation stress syndrome in the elderly. *Continuum Soc Soc Work Leadersh Health Care.* 2000;20:3–8.
21. Twisk JW. *Applied longitudinal data analysis for epidemiology: a practical guide.* Cambridge university press; 2013.
22. Wolf DA, Longino Jr CF. Our “increasingly mobile society”? The curious persistence of a false belief. *Gerontologist.* 2005;45:5–11.
23. Mirotnik J, Ruskin AP. Inter-institutional relocation and its effects on health. *Gerontologist.* 1984;24:286–91.
24. Rogers JC, Stuart MR, Sheffield P, Swee DE, Formica P. Functional health status of relocated nursing home residents. *J Am Board Fam Pract.* 1990;3:157–62.
25. Ministry of the Interior. Population by age (Taiwan) 2019. https://www.moi.gov.tw/files/site_stuff/321/1/month/month.html
26. Chiu YW. The direction of long-term care system from family change point. *Comm Develop J.* 2004;106:176–85.
27. Chung MH, Hsu N, Wang YC, Lin HC, Huang YL, Amidon RL, Kao S. Factors affecting the long-term care preferences of the elderly in Taiwan. *Geriatr Nurs.* 2008;29:293–301.
28. Liu BS, Huang HC. Family care for the elderly and the importance of filial piety. *Hu Li Za Zhi.* 2009;56:83–8.
29. Corbin J, Strauss A. *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory.* 3rd ed. Sage: Thousand Oaks; 2008.
30. Wang SY, Windsor C, Yates P. Introduction to grounded theory. *Hu Li Za Zhi.* 2012;59:91–95.
31. Denzin NK, Lincoln YS. *The Sage handbook of qualitative research.* editors. Sage: 2011.
32. Graneheim UH, Lundman B. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts, procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2004;24:105–12.
33. Fraher A, Coffey A. Older people’s experiences of relocation to long-term care. *Nurs Older People.* 2011;23–27.
34. Chen CJ, Shyu YI. Accepting fate and taking root: A process central to understanding frail elders’ relocation to institutions in Taiwan. *J Nurs Res.* 2000;8:614–28.
35. Koppitz AL, Dreizler J, Altherr J, Bosshard G, Naef R, Imhof L. Relocation experiences with unplanned admission to a nursing home: a qualitative study. *Int Psychogeriatr.* 2017;29:517–27.
36. Chuang YH, Abbey J. The culture of a Taiwanese nursing home. *J Clin Nurs.* 2009;18:1640–8.
37. Hwang HL, Hsieh PF, Wang HH. Taiwanese long-term care facility residents’ experiences of caring: a qualitative study. *Scand J Caring Sci.* 2013;27:695–703.
38. Walker C, Curry LC, Hogstel MO. Relocation stress syndrome in older adults transitioning from home to a long-term care facility: myth or reality?. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv.* 2007;45:38–45.
39. Tsai HH, Tsai YF. A temporary home to nurture health: lived experiences of older nursing home residents in Taiwan. *J Clin Nurs.* 2008;17:1915–22.
40. Lin, YP, Chang HY. Application of transitional theory to explore the related factors of life adaptation in elderly who relocated to long-term care institutions. *Maca J Nurs.* 2017;16:14–19.
41. Gilbert S, Amella E, Edlund B, Nemeth L. Making the move: a mixed research integrative review. *Healthcare (Basel).* 2015;3:757–74.
42. Johnson RA, Bibbo J. Relocation decisions and constructing the meaning of home: A phenomenological study of the transition into a nursing home. *J Aging Stud.* 2014;30:56–63.
43. Cutchin MP, Owen SV, Chang PF. Becoming “at home” in assisted living residences: Exploring place integration processes. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* 2003;58:234–43.

Tables

Table 1: Participant characteristics

Participants	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9	R10	R11
Age	83	88	88	69	79	80	89	86	90	77	87
Gender	Male	Male	Female	Male	Female	Female	Female	Female	Female	Female	Male
Diagnosis	Cancer	Hypertension	Hypertension	Hypertension	Hypertension	Cancer	Hypertensive Heart Disease	Diabetes mellitus	Parkinson disease	Hypertension	Hypert
Living situation	Nursing Home	Nursing Home	Assisted-Living facility	Assisted-Living facility	Assisted-Living facility	Assisted-Living facility	Nursing Home	Nursing Home	Assisted-Living facility	Assisted-Living facility	Assisted facility
Length of stay	5 Months	8 Months	9 Months	10 Months	4 Months	3 Months	5 Months	11 Months	11 Months	11 Months	3 Months