Analysis of Correlation Between Whole Exome Sequencing and Ultrasound Examination in Prenatal Diagnosis of Fetal Skeletal Dysplasia

Yuan Tian

Department of clinical laboratory, Third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1419-4806>

Erfeng Yuan

Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University

Jinshuang Gao

Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University

Yaqing Guo

Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University

Haiyang Yu

Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University

Linlin Zhang (✉ zll7376@zzu.edu.cn)

Department of clinical laboratory, Third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

Xiao'an Zhang

Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University

Xin Zhao

Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University

Enwu Yuan

Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University

Shihong Cui

Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University

Primary research

Keywords: Whole exome sequencing, fetal skeletal dysplasia, ultrasound examination, FGFR3, COL1A1

Posted Date: August 14th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-55348/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Fetal skeletal dysplasia is a disease that is difficult to distinguish these types of diseases during the fetal period. Due to the difficulty of fetal ultrasound diagnosis, the severity of fetal skeletal dysplasia is extremely difficult to assess.

Methods: 79 fetal samples of skeletal dysplasia from the third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, China from August 2018 to April 2020, which had undergone prenatal whole exome sequencing(WES) were analyzed and the results of whole-exome sequencing and fetal ultrasound test results were compared.

Results: We find that the fetal short limb phenotype found in the range of FL<-4.0SD or HL<-4.0SD through ultrasound test is closely related to *FGFR3* gene mutation, and the correlation is stronger when accompanied by macrocephaly. We also find that the fetal limb curved phenotype is closely related to *COL1A1* gene mutation. At the same time, we find that nasal dysplasia during fetal period is also a common phenotype of abnormal results detected by whole exome sequencing.

Conclusions: Our research shows that WES has different detection rates for various skeletal abnormalities according to the different types of ultrasound detection results, which provides a meaningful guidance for clinical diagnosis of fetal skeletal dysplasia.

Introduction

Fetal skeletal dysplasia is a disease of osteochondrocytes with strong clinical variability[1, 2]. Due to their rarity, it is difficult to distinguish these types of diseases during the fetal period[3]. The diagnosis of fetal skeletal dysplasia can be done through prenatal ultrasound evaluation[3]. Skeletal dysplasia often causes a reduction in bust size and leads to lung dysplasia, so fetal skeletal dysplasia is often fatal. However, due to the difficulty of fetal ultrasound diagnosis, the severity of fetal skeletal dysplasia is extremely difficult to assess[4]. The accuracy of conventional ultrasound on fetal skeletal dysplasia is no more than 40%[4]. Misdiagnosis can lead to erroneous information about the risk of relapse and delay optimal fetal management.

Using fetal imaging as a guide, a personalized prenatal genetic examination strategy can be selected. Current options include chromosome karyotype analysis, chromosome fluorescence in situ hybridization experiments, chromosome microarray analysis, whole exome sequencing etc[5]. According to the 2010 revision of the Nosology and Classification of Genetic Skeletal Disorders, 456 diseases were divided into 40 groups according to molecular, biochemical and radiological standards[6]. Among them, 316 bone-related diseases are related to the mutation of one or more genes in 226 different genes, which provides a basis for the molecular genetic diagnosis of fetal skeletal development abnormalities[6]. Two recent studies have shown that in the assessment of large scale prospectively ascertained cohort of fetal with skeletal anomalies, the diagnostic rates of skeletal dysplasia are 10/65 (15.4%)[7] and 8/34 (24%)[5], respectively.

In our study, we analyzed 79 fetal samples of skeletal dysplasia from the third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, China from August 2018 to April 2020, which had undergone prenatal whole exome sequencing(WES). Our research aims to explore the genetic types of fetal skeletal dysplasia in China, and explore the correlation between results by WES and phenotype of skeletal dysplasia in the fetal period by ultrasound, with a view to providing a theoretical basis for early implementation of birth defect intervention and reproductive risk assessment.

Results

Correlation between positive results by WES and clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

Among 79 pregnant women from the third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, China, from August 2018 to April 2020, 25 cases that were positive by WES were detected, whose detection rate was 31.6%. Among these cases, the detection rate of cases with only FL<-4.0SD or only HL<-4.0SD was 41.6% (5/12). The detection rate of cases with both FL<-4.0SD and HL<-4.0SD is 60% (3/5). In cases of -4.0SD<FL<-2.0SD or -4.0SD<HL<-2.0SD, the detection rate by WES was 29.4% (5/17). However, in these cases where exists -4.0SD<FL<-2.0SD or -4.0SD<HL<-2.0SD, with long bone bending, the detection rate by WES was 100% (2/2). In cases of FL<-2.0SD or HL<-2.0SD, the detection rate by WES is 20% (1/5), where the only one positive case besides FL<-2.0SD and HL<-2.0SD, there exists also femoral curvature. In cases with only long bone bending, the detection rate by WES is 50% (2/4). In cases of nasal bone dysplasia, the detection rate by WES is 80% (4/5). It is worth mentioning that cases of only microcephaly have not been detected positive by WES. Only 1 of 9 cases of fetal hand or foot deformity were positive by WES (11.1%). (Table .1)

Correlation between abnormal results of *FGFR3* gene sequenced by WES and clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

Among 25 cases that are positive by WES, 7 cases are caused by *FGFR3* gene mutation, accounting for 28%. Among them, 6 cases are *FGFR3* gene c.1138G>A mutation, and 1 case is *FGFR3* gene c.1620C>A mutation. In these 7 cases, the ultrasound test results of almost all cases show FL<-4.0SD or HL<-4.0SD (Only one case of short limbs with ambiguous data), among which 3 cases of ultrasound test results also show macrocephaly, accounting for 42.8%. Besides, all genetic variations are de novo. (Table.2)

Correlation between abnormal results of *COL1A1* gene sequenced by WES and clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

Among 25 cases that are positive by WES, 3 cases are caused by *COL1A1* gene mutation, accounting for 12%. In these 3 cases, the ultrasound test results of 2 cases show FL>-4.0SD or HL>-4.0SD, among which all of 3 cases of ultrasound test results show fetal limbs slightly curved, accounting for 100%. Besides, One of the three cases has a *COL1A1* gene variant inherited from the pregnant husband, and the rest of *COL1A1* gene variant are de novo. (Table.3)

Correlation between abnormal results of other gene sequenced by WES and clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

Among 25 cases that are positive by WES, 11 cases are caused by other gene mutation accounting for 44%. In these 11 cases, there are 2 cases caused by *RUNX2* gene mutation, both of which have nasal bones not shown by ultrasound. In addition, there are 2 cases due to *COL2A1* gene mutation, both of which have short limbs by ultrasound. The other 7 cases are caused by *ARSE* gene mutation, *EFTUD2* gene mutation, *SCN4A* gene mutation, *COL1A2* gene mutation, *PEX7* gene mutation, *NEB* gene mutation and *FGFR2* gene mutation. Ultrasound test results related to these 7 genetic variations are mainly manifested by phenotypes other than short limbs, such as microcephaly, bilateral foot varus, the femur is slightly curved, abnormal ossification of both humerus and femur, fingers merge, toes merge, etc. (Table.4)

Correlation between abnormal results of copy number variation (CNV) sequenced by WES and clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

Among 25 cases that are positive by WES, 4 cases are caused by copy number variation accounting for 16%. Among these 4 cases, 3 cases were caused by chromosome copy number variation, and 1 case was chromosomal aneuploidy (Trisomy 21). 3 cases of chromosomal copy number variation were all deletions, and their phenotypes by ultrasound varied. 1 case of trisomy 21 has a phenotype for nasal bone dysplasia by ultrasound. (Table.5)

Table.1 Correlation between positive results by WES and clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

ID	Age(years)	Gestational age(weeks)	Phenotype by ultrasound	Result by WES
1	31	22 ⁺²	Fetal vertebral fusion	Negative
2	28	25	HL<-3.2SD,FL<-2.1SD; both femurs are slightly curved	Positive
3	28	23	Fetal punctate achondroplasia	Negative
4	35	26	Fetal Multi-finger	Negative
5	25	24	Curved fetal osteogenesis	Positive
6	26	21	Poor skull development, nasal bones not shown, poor ossification of the lumbar vertebral body of the spine, poor ossification of the sacral caudal vertebral arch and vertebral body	Positive
7	32	33	HL<-5.9SD,FL<-5.9SD;metaphyseal enlargement	Positive
8	30	21	Right femur slightly curved	Negative
9	30	24	The long bones of the limbs are obviously short, the alveolar bone is flat, and the chest and abdomen are notched	Negative
10	28	27 ⁺⁴	HL<-4.0SD,FL<-4.0SD;femur slightly curved	Negative
11	31	26 ⁺¹	Fetal nasal and jaw abnormalities	Positive
12	18	25 ⁺³	BPD>+2.0SD;fetal bilateral ventricular widening	Negative
13	27	30 ⁺⁶	FL<-3.4SD,HL<-2.8SD	Negative
14	26	31	BPD>+2.0SD,FL<-4.0SD,HL<-4.0SD	Positive
15	30	29	The fetus is greater than 3 weeks of the gestational week, and the femurs are slightly curved	Negative
16	28	25 ⁺²	FL<-2.0SD,HL<-2.0SD;both femurs are slightly curved	Positive
17	34	26 ⁺⁵	FL<-3.9SD,HL<-4.3SD;uneven ossification of some vertebral bodies	Positive
18	27	27 ⁺⁴	FL<-3.0SD,HL<-3.0SD	Negative
19	28	27 ⁺⁵	BPD>+2.0SD,FL<-4.0SD,HL<-4.0SD	Positive
20	26	27	FL<-2.0SD,HL<-2.0SD	Negative
21	29	18 ⁺⁵	Fetal limbs slightly curved	Positive
22	16	20	FL<-4.9SD,HL<-4.7SD	Negative
23	32	32	Fetal limbs are short	Positive
24	25	30 ⁺²	FL<-3.0SD,Single umbilical artery	Positive
25	23	27	HL<-2.6SD,HC<-2.8SD	Positive
26	37	25 ⁺⁵	AC<-2.0SD,HL<-2.0SD,FL<-2.0SD	Negative
27	20	31	FL<-3.12SD,HL<-3.12SD	Negative
28	29	24 ⁺⁶	Short limb development	Positive
29	25	30 ⁺⁶	HL<-2.9SD,FL<-2.9SD	Negative
30	32	25	FL<-6.0SD	Positive
31	28	28	FL<-2.7SD,HL<-3.9SD	Negative
32	33	32	BPD>+3.7SD, HC>+2.8SD,AC>+3.3SD	Negative
33	25	27 ⁺²	FL<-3.0SD,HL<-3.0SD	Negative
34	30	26 ⁺⁴	BPD>+5.0SD,	Negative
35	25	23 ⁺⁴	Fetal short femur	Negative
36	27	26	Split vertebra of fetal half vertebra, bilateral valgus, abnormal posture of both feet and hands	Negative
37	30	33 ⁺⁴	FL<-2.0SD	Negative
38	26	35 ⁺³	BPD<-5.0SD	Negative

39	23	27 ⁺⁴	BPD<-3.0SD,HC<-2.0SD	Negative
40	30	33 ⁺³	FL<-3.0SD,HL<-2.0SD	Positive
41	30	26 ⁺²	Bilateral foot varus	Negative
42	31	31	BPD<-2.75SD	Negative
43	28	30	HC>+2.5SD	Negative
44	26	27	HC<-2.0SD,AC<-2.0SD,HL<-2.0SD,FL<-3.0SD	Negative
45	38	26	FL<-2.0SD,HL<-2.0SD,nose bones not shown	Positive
46	29	23 ⁺²	Multi-finger	Negative
47	31	24	Right foot inversion	Negative
48	34	33	HL<-2.9SD,FL<-3.5SD	Negative
49	27	23 ⁺⁶	Bilateral foot varus,cervical thoracic spine bends backward	Positive
50	23	19 ⁺¹	FL<-3.0SD,The femur is slightly curved and the long bone is dysplastic	Positive
51	27	22 ⁺⁵	FL<-4.0SD,HL<-3.7SD	Negative
52	35	30 ⁺⁵	BPD<-3.0SD,HC<-2.0SD	Negative
53	30	25 ⁺⁵	Multiple vertebral ossification	Negative
54	33	26 ⁺³	Skull halo is not regular, bilateral temporal bones are slightly depressed,HC<-2.0SD,AC<-2.0SD,FL<-3.0SD,HL<-3.0SD	Negative
55	26	25	Dysplasia of fourth and fifth toes of right foot	Negative
56	28	25 ⁺⁵	FL<-3.0SD,HL<-2.9SD	Negative
57	26	33	AC<-2.4SD,FL<-3.9SD,HL<-4.1SD	Negative
58	27	27	FL<-4.0SD	Negative
59	29	32	BPD<-4.0SD,HC<-3.0SD,AC<-2.0SD,FL<-2.0SD	Negative
60	31	23 ⁺¹	Nose root is flat, nose frontal angle is enlarged, nose and lip angles are acute, nose tip is drooping, upper alveolar bone curvature is abnormal	Negative
61	32	26 ⁺³	Spinal cone low	Negative
62	31	18 ⁺²	BPD<-3.3SD,FL<-5.0SD	Negative
63	27	30 ⁺⁴	FL<-3.0SD,HL<-3.0SD	Negative
64	42	27	BPD<-2.5SD,FL<-4.0SD	Negative
65	15	24 ⁺⁵	Multi-finger	Negative
66	28	23	Multi-finger	Negative
67	23	23	HC<-2.0SD,HL<-8.0SD,FL<-5.0SD;Abnormal ossification of both humerus and femur, poor ossification of cervical vertebra	Positive
68	30	24 ⁺³	Bilateral foot varus	Negative
69	36	23 ⁺⁵	BPD<-2.0SD,AC<-3.0SD,FL<-5.0SD	Negative
70	29	23	FL<-8.0SD,HC<-4.9SD	Negative
71	29	23	L1 half cone, T11 split vertebra	Negative
72	29	30 ⁺³	FL<-4.0SD,HL<-2.0SD	Positive
73	30	26	Nasal bone dysplasia	Positive
74	29	31 ⁺¹	Fusion vertebra	Negative
75	31	28	BPD<-3.2SD,HC<-2.5SD	Negative
76	29	24 ⁺³	Bilateral foot varus,Abnormal hand posture	Positive
77	21	27	Fingers merge, toes merge, temporal depression.Pregnant women also have finger merge and toe merge	Positive
78	41	31	FL<-3.69SD	Negative

79	26	30	HC>+2.4SD,AC<-0.34SD,FL<-4.2SD	Positive
----	----	----	--------------------------------	----------

Table.2 Correlation between abnormal results of *FGFR3* gene sequenced by WESand clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

ID	Phenotype by ultrasound	Reference sequence	Sequencing results by clinical WES	Geneticsubregion	Heterogeneity	ChromosomalLoci	Mu
7	HL<-5.9SD,FL<-5.9SD metaphyseal enlargement	NM_000142.4	<i>FGFR3</i> :c.1138G>A(p.G380R)	Exon9	Het	chr4:1806119-1806119	Pat
14	BPD>+2.0SD,FL<-4.0SD,HL<-4.0SD	NM_000142.4	<i>FGFR3</i> :c.1138G>A(p.G380R)	Exon9	Het	chr4:1806119-1806119	Pat
19	BPD>+2.0SD,FL<-4.0SD,HL<-4.0SD	NM_000142.4	<i>FGFR3</i> :c.1620C>A(p.N540K)	Exon12	Het	chr4:1807371-1807371	Pat
23	Fetal limbs are short	NM_000142.4	<i>FGFR3</i> :c.1138G>A(p.G380R)	Exon9	Het	chr4:1806119-1806119	Pat
30	FL<-6.0SD	NM_000142.4	<i>FGFR3</i> :c.1138G>A(p.G380R)	Exon9	Het	chr4:1806119-1806119	Pat
72	FL<-4.0SD,HL<-2.0SD	NM_000142.4	<i>FGFR3</i> :c.1138G>A(p.G380R)	Exon9	Het	chr4:1806119-1806119	Pat
79	HC>+2.4SD,AC<-0.34SD,FL<-4.2SD	NM_000142.4	<i>FGFR3</i> :c.1138G>A(p.G380R)	Exon9	Het	chr4:1806119-1806119	Pat

Table.3 Correlation between abnormal results of *COL 1A1* gene sequenced by WESand clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

ID	Phenotype by ultrasound	Reference sequence	Sequencing results by clinical WES	Geneticsubregion	Heterogeneity	ChromosomalLoci	Mutationtype
2	HL<-3.2SD,FL<-2.1SD; both femurs are slightly curved	NM_000088.3	<i>COL 1A1</i> :c.896G>A(p.G299D)	Exon13	Het	chr17:48273852-48273852	Pathogenic
16	FL<-2.0SD,HL<-2.0SD; both femurs are slightly curved	NM_000088.3	<i>COL 1A1</i> :c.3235G>A(p.G1079S)	Exon44	Het	chr17:48265483-48265483	Likely pathogenic
21	Fetal limbs slightly curved	NM_000088.3	<i>COL 1A1</i> :c.1301G>A(p.G434D)	Exon20	Het	chr17:48272460-48272460	Pathogenic

Table.4 Correlation between abnormal results of othergene sequenced by WESand clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

ID	Phenotype by ultrasound	Reference sequence	Sequencing results by clinical WES	Geneticsubregion	Heterogeneity	Chromosome
6	Poor skull development, nasal bones not shown, poor ossification of the lumbar vertebral body of the spine, poor ossification of the sacral caudal vertebral arch and vertebral body	NM_001024630.3	<i>RUNX2</i> :c.931_946del(p.T311fs)	Exon7	Het	chr6:454845480069
11	Fetal nasal and jaw abnormalities	NM_000047.2	<i>ARSE</i> :c.331C>T(p.R111C)	Exon5	Hemi	chrX:28712871283
17	FL<-3.9SD,HL<-4.3SD; uneven ossification of some vertebral bodies	NM_001844.4	<i>COL2A1</i> :c.1420-2A>G	Intron22	Het	chr12:48348380228
25	HL<-2.6SD,HC<-2.8SD	NM_004247.3	<i>EFTUD2</i> :c.1705C>T(p.R569X)	Exon17	Het	chr17:42942937814
28	Short limb development	NM_001844.4	<i>COL2A1</i> :c.1924G>A(p.G642R)	Exon29	Het	chr12:48348377887
45	FL<-2.0SD,HL<-2.0SD, nose bones not shown	NM_001024630.3	<i>RUNX2</i> :c.568C>T(p.R190W)	Exon9	Het	chr6:453945399744
49	Bilateral foot varus,cervical thoracic spine bends backward	NM_000334.4	<i>SCN4A</i> :c.4361G>A(p.R1454Q)	Exon24	Het	chr17:62062019281
		NM_000334.4	<i>SCN4A</i> :c.481G>A(p.E161K)	Exon3	Het	chr17:62062049497
50	FL<-3.0SD, The femur is slightly curved and the long bone is dysplastic	NM_000089.3	<i>COL1A2</i> :c.2261G>T(p.G754V)	Exon37	Het	chr7:940494049926
67	HC<-2.0SD,HL<-8.0SD,FL<-5.0SD;Abnormal ossification of both humerus and femur, poor ossification of cervical vertebra	NM_000288.3	<i>PEX7</i> :c.283T>G(p.W95G)	Exon3	Het	chr6:137113714755
		NM_000288.3	<i>PEX7</i> :c.408dup(p.V137Cfs*16)	Exon4	Het	chr6:137113716682
76	Bilateral foot varus,Abnormal hand posture,	NM_001271208.1	<i>NEB</i> :c.1569+5G>A	Exon17	Het	chr2:152515255314
		NM_001271208.1	<i>NEB</i> :c.2278C>T(p.Q760*)	Exon24	Het	chr2:152515254727
77	Fingers merge, toes merge, temporal depression,.Pregnant women also have finger merge and toe merge	NM_000141.4	<i>FGFR2</i> :c.755C>G(p.S252W)	Exon7	Het	chr10:12312327967

Table.5 Correlation between abnormal results of copy number variation (CNV) sequenced by WES and clinical phenotypes by ultrasound

ID	Phenotype by ultrasound	Chromosome	Micro-deletion/duplication results and significance	Size(bp)	Related genes	Related diseases
5	Curved fetal osteogenesis	ND	1q21.1-q21.2 deletion, chr1:146631138-147415663	0.78M	<i>GJA5;GJA8</i>	1q21.1 recurrent microdeletion locus for neurodevelopmental
24	FL<-3.0SD, Single umbilical artery	ND	2q35-q36.1 deletion, chr2:219247086-222436973	3.19M	<i>EPHA4</i>	2q35-q36.1 deletion
40	FL<-3.0SD, HL<-2.0SD	ND	Xp22.33-p21.2 deletion, chrX:2700101-30327485	27.63M	<i>ARSE;NLGN4X;STS;ANOS1;GPR143;CLCN4;MID1;HCCS;AMELX;FRMPD4;TRAPPC2;OFD1;FANCB;PIGA;AP1S2;NHS;CDKL5;RS1;PHKA2;ADGRG2;PDHA1;RPS6KA3;CNKSR2;SMPX;MBTPS2;SMS;PHEX;PTCHD1;KLHL15;EIF2S3;PDK3;POLA1;ARX;IL1RAPL</i>	ND
		ND	Xq21.31-q28 deletion, chrX:88008410-154774942	66.77M	<i>DIAPH2;PCDH19;SRPX2;TIMM8A;BTK;GLA;HNRNP2;GPRASP2;PLP1;SERPINA7;PIH1D3;PRPS1;MID2;COL4A6;COL4A5;ACSL4;AMMECR1;CHRD1;PAK3;DCX;ALG13;PLS3;CXorf56;UBE2A;UPF3B;RNF113A;NDUFA1;LAMP2;CUL4B;C1GALT1C1;GLUD2</i>	ND
73	Nasal bone dysplasia	Trisomy 21	21q11.2-q22.3 Duplication, chr21:14982544-48084291	33.1M	ND	Down's Syndrome

Discussion

By studying the WES test results of 79 pregnant women from the third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University from August 2018 to April 2020, the overall detection rate of skeletal abnormalities was 31.6%. This is higher than the previously reported detection rate (15.4%[7] and 24%[5]). This may be due to our stricter requirements for the ultrasound test results of the enrolled cases. Interestingly, we find that for different types of skeletal abnormalities, the detection rate through WES varies greatly. Among them, WES has a higher detection rate for short limbs. Especially when FL<-4.0SD or HL<-4.0SD, the detection rate can rise to 41.6%. However, when the fetal has short limbs with other bone abnormal phenotypes, the detection rate will be higher. For example, when the limbs are short with bone curved, the WES detection rate can reach 100%; when the limbs are short with nasal bone dysplasia, WES detection rate can reach 80%. On the contrary, if the phenotype of short limbs is not detected by ultrasound testing and only other skeletal abnormal phenotypes exists, such as only fetal hand or foot deformities, the WES detection rate will be very low, only 11.1% . It may be that achondroplasia is the cause for the most of skeletal abnormalities [8], which is also the most common form of inherited disproportionate short stature[9]. In our study, through ultrasound, 46 of 79 fetal skeletal abnormalities had clinical manifestations of short limbs, accounting for 58.2%, consistent with previous reports[10]. This shows that the diagnosis of achondroplasia is the key to the diagnosis of fetal skeletal abnormalities.

Through our research, we find that for the diagnosis of fetal *FGFR3*-related achondroplasia, the WES detection rate is highly correlated with the results of ultrasound testing of the fetal limb shortness and severity. Through our research, we find that the diagnosis of fetal *FGFR3*-related achondroplasia[11] accounts for the highest proportion of all skeletal abnormalities, reaching 28%. Almost all the ultrasound test results of fetal achondroplasia have been detected with FL<-4.0SD or HL<-4.0SD, suggesting that FL<-4.0SD or HL<-4.0SD is the most critical basis for the diagnosis of achondroplasia by fetal ultrasound testing. At the same time, the detection of macrocephaly through ultrasound is also an important evidence for the diagnosis of fetal achondroplasia. Among the 7 cases of *FGFR3*-related achondroplasia, 6 cases were caused by *FGFR3* gene c.1138G>A mutation. *FGFR3* gene c.1138G>A mutation is the most common mutation in *FGFR3*-related achondroplasia, which accounts for more than 99% of all *FGFR3*-related achondroplasia together with *FGFR3* gene c.1138G>C mutation[12, 13]. At this point, our research is consistent with these reports. In addition, we have also detected a case of *FGFR3* gene c.1620C>A mutation through WES, which is related to hypochondroplasia[14]. *FGFR3* gene c.1620C>A mutation has been reported extensively[15] and is

considered to account for 50%-76% of FGFR3-related hypochondroplasia[16]. Therefore, *FGFR3* gene c.1138G>A mutation and c.1620C>A mutation may be the two most common causes of skeletal abnormalities in the fetal period and require special clinical attention.

Osteogenesis imperfecta caused by the *COL1A1* gene is also a major cause of fetal skeletal dysplasia. The main feature of Osteogenesis imperfecta is multiple fractures usually caused by minor trauma[17, 18]. This may be manifested by the limbs being curved by ultrasound testing during the prenatal period. In our study, osteogenesis imperfecta due to *COL1A1* gene mutation was detected in the presence of limbs slightly curved through ultrasound in the fetal period, which suggests that the presence of this phenotype during the fetal period may be highly correlated with COL1A1-related osteogenesis imperfecta. In addition, *COL1A1* gene c.896G>A mutation and c.1301G>A mutation found in our research have not been reported in other studies before, which broadens the clinical understanding of this gene mutation. *COL1A1* gene c.3235G>A mutation, according to previous reports, shows that it has a highly variable phenotype in the family, and family members with this gene mutation can only show signs of disease without fractures[19, 20]. This may explain why in our study, the husband of the pregnant woman also has the heterozygous mutation of the gene but the phenotype was not abnormal.

In our study, 2 cases of cleidocranial dysplasia related to *RUNX2* gene mutation were detected, which were c.931_946del mutation and c.568C>T mutation. The main clinical features of cleidocranial dysplasia include persistently open skull sutures with bulging calvaria, hypoplasia or aplasia of the clavicles permitting abnormal facility in apposing the shoulders, wide pubic symphysis, short middle phalanx of the fifth fingers, dental anomalies, and often vertebral malformation[21]. It has been pointed out in previous reports that cleidocranial dysplasia can also be related to the phenotype of nasal bone loss[21], which is consistent with our case study. In our study, some other genetic variations or chromosomal aneuploidies related to the nasal bone loss phenotype are also found, such as the *ARSE* gene c.331C>T variation and trisomy 21. *ARSE* gene mutation is related to X-linked recessive chondrodysplasia punctata, which is manifested as nasal dysplasia and distal phalanx dysplasia[22], which is consistent with the phenotype observed during fetal ultrasound testing. Trisomy 21 is the most frequent form of mental retardation caused by a microscopically demonstrable chromosomal aberration, is characterized by well-defined and distinctive phenotypic features and natural history[23]. It has been reported that nasal bone dysplasia is a common detected phenotype of fetal trisomy 21[24], which is consistent with the phenotype of a trisomy 21 case found in our study. Therefore, in the diagnosis of fetal skeletal abnormalities, nasal bone dysplasia may be another typical indication of ultrasound abnormality in addition to short limbs.

In our study, some gene mutations related to skeletal abnormalities related to hand and foot abnormalities are also detected. For example, *SCN4A* gene c.4361G>A mutation[25], *NEB* gene c.1569+5G>A and c.2278C>T compound heterozygous mutation[26], *FGFR2* gene c.755C>G mutation[27]. Most of the diseases related to these gene mutations mainly affect the function of the muscular system as the main cause[28, 29], and their detection rate in fetal skeletal abnormalities is low (only *FGFR2* gene c.755C>G mutation), but their detection can play an important guiding role in clinical diagnosis and treatment.

Conclusions

In conclusions, our research shows that the application of whole exome sequencing technology can significantly improve the systemic prenatal diagnosis of skeletal abnormalities, and according to the different types of ultrasound detection results, WES has different detection rates for various skeletal abnormalities. Through our research, it is shown that fetal short limbs are the best detection targets for WES to detect skeletal abnormalities. In addition, the fetal limbs curved and nasal bone dysplasia are also important clinical phenotypes that suggest genetic variation-related skeletal abnormalities. However, the genetic basis of bone diseases is still unknown in other respects, indicating that new genes or non-genetic factors may cause these diseases.

Materials And Methods

Pregnant women Enrollment, specimens and DNA preparation

We selected a total of 79 pregnant women from the third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, China, from August 2018 to April 2020, who had undergone whole exome sequencing due to fetal ultrasound suggesting skeletal dysplasia. Sample entry requirements: pregnant women between 18-36 weeks of gestation, ultrasound examination shows femur length <-2SD or humerus length <-2SD or other skeletal development abnormalities: such as nasal bone dysplasia, limb bone deformity, skull or clavicle development Exception etc. We performed amniocentesis on the enrolled pregnant women for subsequent DNA extraction. Afterwards, we used QIAGEN 69504 blood and tissue DNA extraction kit for DNA extraction from amniotic fluid, peripheral blood of pregnant women and their husbands.

Whole exome sequencing(WES) and CNV analysis

The genomic DNA of amniotic fluid was broken into random fragments and purified, and whole genome exome capture was performed for sequencing library preparation. The Illumina HiSeq XTen sequencer was used to perform double-ended high-throughput sequencing with a length of 150 bp. The raw data obtained by the sequencing were quality-controlled, and the basic data were analyzed and filtered to remove the linker sequence and repeat sequence. Data were analyzed using the Anno variant site detection system, the XYGeneRanger variant site annotation interpretation system.

Variant annotation and interpretation

The pathogenicity assessment and data interpretation rules of mutation are based on the guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) [30]. By querying 1000genomes, ExAC and gnomAD, we excluded gene mutations whose frequency of the population are more than 1% and removed non-functional mutation sites (such as synonymous mutations, non-coding region mutations, etc.). We performed gene function prediction (using software such as SIFT, Polyphen2, CADD, etc.) and clinical symptoms comparison. At last, we searched related disease databases and related references, and finally found candidate gene mutation sites for family verification. The variant annotation databases which were used include Human Genome hg19/GRCh37,

RefSeq, dbSNP, 1000 Genomes phase3, ExAC, and gnomAD and the interpretation databases which were used include DGV, DECIPHER, OMIM, UCSC, ClinVar, HGMD and PubMed.

Family verification by sanger sequencing

Genetic modification of the mutations was performed on the fetus, pregnant women and their husbands. According to the exome of the mutation site, the primers needed for sequencing the synthetic DNA fragments were designed, and the DNA of the fetus, pregnant women and their husbands were PCR-amplified. Method of sequencing was performed by Sanger sequencing and the sequencing results were compared with the results of whole exome sequencing.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

We thank the the Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University for their interest and cooperation.

Funding

This work was supported by Henan Medical Science and Technology Fund (2018020176) and Zhengzhou collaborative Innovation Major Project (18XTZX12009).

Author information

Affiliations

Department of clinical laboratory, Third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450052, China

Yuan Tian, Erfeng Yuan, Jinshuang Gao, Yaqing Guo, Haiyang Yu , Linlin Zhang, and Enwu Yuan

Department of medical imaging science, Third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450052, China.

Xiao'an Zhang and Xin Zhao

Department of obstetrics and gynecology, Third affiliated hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450052, China.

Shihong Cui

Author contribution

Yuan Tian, Linlin Zhang and Xiao'an Zhang participated in Studying concept and design. All authors participated in acquisition ,analysis and interpretation of data.

Yuan Tian and Haiyang Yu participated in Drafting of the manuscript. All authors participated in Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Erfeng Yuan, Jinshuang Gao and Yaqing Guo participated in Data Analysis

Corresponding authors

Correspondence to Linlin Zhang or Xiao'an Zhang.

Ethics declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Experiment on human subjects was approved by the Third Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China..

Informed consent for participation to this study was obtained from all individuals.

Consent for publication

Publication of data was informed consent to all individuals involved in this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Data Availability Statements

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

1. Grannum PA, Hobbins JC. Prenatal diagnosis of fetal skeletal dysplasias. *Semin Perinatol*. 1983; 7(2): 125.
2. Liu Y, Wang L, Yang Y, Liang Y, Wu Q. Prenatal diagnosis of fetal skeletal dysplasia using targeted next-generation sequencing: an analysis of 30 cases. *Diagn Pathol*. 2019; 14(1): 76.
3. Yang K, Shen M, Yan Y, Tan Y, Tian Y. Genetic analysis in fetal skeletal dysplasias by trio whole-exome sequencing. *Biomed Res Int*. 2019; 2019(2): 1-8.
4. Tang J, Zhou C, Shi H, Mo Y, Luo L. Prenatal diagnosis of skeletal dysplasias using whole exome sequencing in china. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2020; 507
5. Petrovski S, Aggarwal V, Giordano JL, Stosic M, Wou K, Bier Let al. Whole-exome sequencing in the evaluation of fetal structural anomalies: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2019; 393(10173): 758-67.
6. Warman ML, Cormier-Daire V, Hall C, Krakow D, Lachman R, Lemerrer Met al. Nosology and classification of genetic skeletal disorders: 2010 revision. *Am J Med Genet a*. 2011; 155(5): 943-68.
7. Lord J, McMullan DJ, Eberhardt RY, Rinck G, Wilson E. Prenatal exome sequencing analysis in fetal structural anomalies detected by ultrasonography (page): a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2019; 393(10173): 747-57.
8. Pauli RM. Achondroplasia: a comprehensive clinical review. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2019; 14(1)
9. Waller DK, Correa A, Vo TM, Wang Y, Hobbs C, Langlois PHet al. The population-based prevalence of achondroplasia and thanatophoric dysplasia in selected regions of the us. *Am J Med Genet a*. 2008; 146A(18): 2385-9.doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.32485.
10. Georgoulis G, Alexiou G, Prodromou N. Achondroplasia with synostosis of multiple sutures. *Am J Med Genet a*. 2011; 155(8): 1969-71.
11. Wynn J, King TM, Gambello MJ, Waller DK, Hecht JT. Mortality in achondroplasia study: a 42-year follow-up. *Am J Med Genet a*. 2007; 143A(21): 2502-11.
12. Xue Y, Sun A, Mekikian PB, Martin J, Wilcox WR. Fgfr3 mutation frequency in 324 cases from the international skeletal dysplasia registry. *Mol Genet Genomic Med*. 2014; 2(6): 497-503.
13. Foldynova-Trantirkova S, Wilcox WR, Krejci P. Sixteen years and counting: the current understanding of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (fgfr3) signaling in skeletal dysplasias. *Hum Mutat*. 2012; 33(1): 29-41.
14. Bellus GA, McIntosh I, Smith EA, Aylsworth AS, Francomano CA. A recurrent mutation in the tyrosine kinase domain of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 causes hypochondroplasia. *Nat Genet*. 1995; 10(3): 357-9.
15. Deutz-Terlouw PP, Losekoot M, Aalfs CM, Hennekam RCM, Bakker E. Asn540thr substitution in the fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 tyrosine kinase domain causing hypochondroplasia. *Hum Mutat*. 1998;
16. Tarja, Linnankivi, Outi, Mäkitie, Leena, Valanneet al. Neuroimaging and neurological findings in patients with hypochondroplasia and fgfr3n540k mutation. *Am J Med Genet a*. 2012;
17. W RD, R SJ, M P, S S. Diminished type i collagen synthesis and reduced alpha 1(i) collagen messenger rna in cultured fibroblasts from patients with dominantly inherited (type i) osteogenesis imperfecta. *J Clin Invest*. 1985;
18. Willing MC, Cohn DH, Byers PH. Frameshift mutation near the 3' end of the col1a1 gene of type i collagen predicts an elongated pro alpha 1(i) chain and results in osteogenesis imperfecta type i. *J Clin Invest*. 1990; 85(1): 282-90.
19. D. MMV, M. M, P. ABV, V. S, O. RAMR, R. NVRet al. Variable expressivity of osteogenesis imperfecta in a brazilian family due to p.g1079s mutation in the col1a1 gene. *Genetics & Molecular Research*. 2012; 11(3): 3246-55.
20. Kaneko H, Kitoh H, Matsuura T, Masuda A, Ito M, Mottes Met al. Hyperuricemia cosegregating with osteogenesis imperfecta is associated with a mutation in gpatch8. *Hum Genet*. 2011; 130(5): 671-83.
21. Pan C, Tseng Y, Lan T, Chang H. Craniofacial features of cleidocranial dysplasia. *J Dent Sci*. 2017; S866218991.
22. Brunetti-Pierri N, Andreucci M, Tuzzi R, Vega G, Gray G, McKeown Cet al. X-linked recessive chondrodysplasia punctata: spectrum of arylsulfatase e gene mutations and expanded clinical variability. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*. 2003; 117A(2): 164-8.
23. Zhu JL, Hasle H, Correa A, Schendel D, Friedman JM, Olsen Jet al. Survival among people with down syndrome: a nationwide population-based study in denmark. *Genet Med*. 2013; 15(1): 64-9.doi: 10.1038/gim.2012.93.

24. De Jong Pleij EAP, Vos FI, Ribbert LSM, Pistorius LR, Tromp E, Bilardo CM. Prenasal thickness-to-nasal bone length ratio: a strong and simple second- and third-trimester marker for trisomy 21. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2012; 39(2)
25. Gay S, Dupuis D, Faivre L, Masurelpaulet A, Labenne M, Colombani Met al. Severe neonatal non-dystrophic myotonia secondary to a novel mutation of the voltage-gated sodium channel (scn4a) gene. *Am J Med Genet a.* 2010; 146A(3): 380-3.
26. Lehtokari VO, Kiiski K, Sandaradura SA, Laporte J, Etersson CW. Mutation update: the spectra of nebulin variants and associated myopathies. *Hum Mutat.* 2014; 35(12)
27. Miraoui H, Ringe J, Häupl T. Increased efg- and pdgfa-receptor signaling by mutant fgf-receptor 2 contributes to osteoblast dysfunction in apert craniosynostosis. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2010; 19(9): 1678-89.
28. Coen A. C. Ottenheijm CCWG. Thin filament length dysregulation contributes to muscle weakness in nemaline myopathy patients with nebulin deficiency. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2009; 18: 2359-69.
29. Matthews E, Manzur AY, Sud R, Muntoni F, Hanna MG. Stridor as a neonatal presentation of skeletal muscle sodium channelopathy. *Archives of Neurology.* 2011; 68(1): 127-9.
30. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster Jet al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the american college of medical genetics and genomics and the association for molecular pathology. *Genetics in Medicine Official Journal of the American College of Medical Genetics.* 2015; 17(5): 405-23.