

Mechanisms Of Impact And Contextual Aspects of A Care Concept From A Dementia Special Care Unit In Long-Term Care: Process Evaluation As Part of A Theory-Driven Evaluation

Laura Adlbrecht (✉ laura.adlbrecht@ost.ch)

University of Vienna

Sabine Bartholomeyczik

Witten/Herdecke University

Hanna Mayer

University of Vienna

Research Article

Keywords: dementia, special care unit, nursing homes, program evaluation, theory-driven evaluation

Posted Date: May 27th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-557180/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: In long-term care, persons with dementia are often cared for in specialised facilities, which are rather heterogeneous in regard to their design and care concepts. Little information is available on how these facilities and care concepts bring about changes in the targeted outcomes. Such knowledge is needed to understand the effects of care concepts and to consciously shape further developments. This study aimed to explore the mechanisms of impact of a specific care concept from a dementia special care unit and the contextual aspects that influence its implementation or outcomes.

Methods: Using a qualitative approach to process evaluation of complex interventions, we conducted participating observations and focus groups with nurses and single interviews with ward and nursing home managers. Data were collected from two identical dementia special care units to enhance the contrasts in the analysis of two non-specialised nursing homes. We analysed the data thematically. We conducted 16 observations, three group interviews and eleven individual interviews.

Results: We identified seven themes in three domains related to mechanisms that lead to outcomes regarding residents' and nurses' behaviour and well-being. The development of nurses' skills and knowledge changes team-level competence and leads to an altered understanding of nursing. The promotion of a positive work climate reduces distress and promotes the long-term implementation of the care concept. Adjusted spatial structures and personnel strategies facilitate the implementation of interventions for residents and promote the fulfilment of their needs. Personalised psychosocial interventions promote residents' relaxation, engagement in activities and social interaction and thereby empower them to become part of the social community, to spend their time purposefully, to have positive experiences and to experience belonging and affection. The implementation and outcomes of the care concept are influenced by contextual aspects relating to the (target) population and cultural, organisational and financial features.

Conclusions: The care concept of the dementia special care unit results in higher levels of relaxation, activities, and social interaction of residents. Its implementation highly depends on the shared understanding of nursing and the skills of the nursing team. Changes in residents' characteristics result in altered effects of the concept.

Trial registration: DRKS00011513

Background

The majority of persons living in nursing homes have a diagnosis of dementia [1]. Their physical and psychosocial care needs are mostly complex, and in long-term care settings, they often experience a loss in their abilities, freedom, reduction in quality of life and avoidable health care service use [1–5]. Whereas the majority of persons with dementia living in nursing homes are cared for in non-specialised facilities, an increasing number live in dementia special care units [1]. Although there is no shared precise definition of dementia special care units (SCUs), an empirical study in Germany found that their main characteristics are a segregative living concept, special qualification in psychogeriatric care and additional funding [6]. Often,

these aspects are complemented by a specific built environment and activities adopted to the residents' needs [7]. Substantial research has investigated the impact of SCUs on residents' functional status, neuropsychiatric symptoms, the use of restraints, psychotropic medications, quality of life, engagement in activities and social interaction [8–12]. Although these outcomes have been studied intensively, little is known about how they are achieved, especially as SCU vary in their characteristics and interventions provided. Evaluations of SCUs based on a specific theoretical understanding are lacking. The specialised care of people with dementia is complex and involves multiple players, structures and processes. Therefore, it is difficult to comprehend how the care concept influences outcomes; however, understanding this relationship is important to further develop specialised care for persons with dementia.

This study focuses on SCUs with a specific care concept and uses a theory-driven evaluation approach to understand the mechanisms triggered by the interventions and how they bring about changes in outcomes. The evaluation is based on programme theory [13]. In a programme theory a theoretical understanding of the intervention is established by articulating assumptions about the intervention components, processes and desired, as well as undesired, changes [13, 14]. The initial programme theory includes a theory of action that describes the intervention components and a theory of change that describes the mechanisms and outcomes. The care concept is developed for persons with moderate dementia who demonstrate challenging behaviours. Its main features are as follows:

- (a) Three small-scale, homelike wards for ten residents, including a kitchen and a living area at the centre, adjoining resident rooms and direct garden access;
- (b) Educational interventions (including training in validation), coaching and supervision sessions for staff members;
- (c) A person-centred and emotion-oriented approach in care using Naomi Feil's Validation Method [15] that emphasises the appreciation of individual needs of nurses and residents, which is applied in one-to-one Validation techniques in communication with residents and in Group Validation sessions for a defined group of residents; and
- (d) Personalised non-pharmacological interventions. Challenging behaviour is addressed with relaxing, personalised, and emotion-oriented care to make the residents feel understood and to satisfy their needs. Activating, personalised interventions are used to promote social participation and engagement in purposeful activities. During the daytime, one nurse is specifically assigned to offer activities to residents (such as knitting, cooking, gardening, reading) at the group or individual level.

According to the theory of change, the main outcomes of the SCU care concept are engagement in purposeful activities, social participation, feeling good at a place and have an impact on residents' affective well-being. The initial programme theory guided the development of the methodology of the process and outcome evaluation [16, 17]. This article addresses the process evaluation of the care concept of the SCU.

Methods

Aim

This paper reports on the process evaluation of a care concept of the above-described SCU and aims to provide insights into how (mechanisms of impact) and under what circumstances (contextual aspects) the SCU triggers changes in outcomes, either intended or not, regarding residents' and nurses' behaviour and well-being. The results of the process evaluation will help to further understand the logic of the care concept, will check the plausibility of the assumptions described in the initial programme theory, will support the interpretation of the results of the outcome evaluation and will inform the revision of the programme theory. The described care concept was already implemented when the process evaluation started. Therefore, this paper reports only on the mechanisms of impact and contextual factors. The aim of this part of the project is translated into the following research questions:

- What mechanisms of impact (regarding residents' and nurses' behaviour and well-being) are activated by the care concept of the SCU?
- What contextual aspects influence the implementation of the concept and its outcomes?

Design

The process evaluation of the care concept is based on two key functions of the Medical Research Council's guidance for the evaluation of complex interventions: (a) mechanisms of impact and (b) contextual aspects [18]. Mechanisms of impact intervene between the delivery of a programme and its outcomes and detail the responses of the target group to the interventions of the programme [18–20]. Contextual aspects of the care concept influence its delivery and/or functioning. Contextual aspects refer to "*circumstances in which an intervention is implemented that may interact with the intervention to produce variation in outcomes*" and include the organisational setting, cultural practices, characteristics of those delivering or receiving the intervention, political and financial factors [21].

To examine the key assumptions of the initial programme theory and to explore how the programme works, we combined qualitative, deductive and inductive research approaches in our methodology. To incorporate contrasting perspectives that enhance the understanding of the sometimes-elusive mechanisms, we collected data with individual interviews, focus groups and observations in the SCUs that implemented the care concept and in non-specialised nursing homes (NSNHs). To address emerging questions during data collection and analysis, our data collection and analysis periods overlapped, and our data collection instruments were designed as being flexible enough to incorporate the emerging themes [18, 22].

Setting

The study was conducted in three nursing homes in rural regions of Austria. One of these nursing homes implemented the care concept under study in two SCUs, whose characteristics are described in the

background section. The other two nursing homes are NSNHs where data were collected for contrasting purposes. Both nursing homes consist of three wards, with each being laid out for 40 to 45 residents with and without dementia. No specific care concept for persons with dementia is implemented. However, some nurses selectively and proactively offer isolated dementia care-specific interventions to individual residents. One to three nurses in each ward had been trained in Validation in a three-day basic course. Furthermore, the nursing homes offer one to two planned group activities per day.

Data collection methods

We conducted open and participating observations, focus groups and semistructured individual interviews. The observations were guided by the initial programme theory and focused on the mechanisms of impact of the interventions, the achieved outcomes regarding residents' and nurses' behaviour and well-being, and influencing contextual aspects. Residents with dementia and any intervention delivered to them were observed. Detailed descriptions of the interventions, the situations, the reactions of the residents and the context were included in the observation protocol.

In addition, we conducted focus groups with nursing staff members (herein referred to as nurses and representing all qualification levels of the nursing team: registered nurses, nursing assistants and home helpers), as well as semistructured individual interviews with ward managers and nursing home managers. To facilitate nurses' reflections on mechanisms, we chose to interview them in groups where they could jointly elaborate on these mechanisms [23]. Conducting focus groups was not possible with the ward and nursing home managers because of their small number. Therefore, we conducted individual interviews with the managers. The development of the interview guides for the group and individual interviews were based on the initial programme theory and the results of the observations. This procedure enabled us to deal with topics arising in the observations or not answered therein (e.g., backgrounds for adaptations of interventions, observed deviations of the proposed mechanisms of impact). The interview guides addressed the following aspects: interventions focusing on nurses, spatial design and layout of the wards, activities and social interactions. For each aspect, the understanding, implementation, mechanisms and effects were discussed.

Sample

For the observations, we recruited a purposive sample of residents who had a) a dementia diagnosis, b) regularly showed challenging behaviour and c) received or participated in interventions described in the initial programme theory. Other residents, staff members and visitors were observed when they interacted with the observed residents.

Focus groups were conducted with nurses of the participating nursing homes. Our experience with the development of the initial programme theory showed that nurses may struggle to describe the mechanisms of impact; therefore, we established a purposive sample of nurses who were known for their reflective strength. Further inclusion criteria were that the nurses had worked in the nursing home for at least six

months and could express themselves well in the German language. Individual interviews were conducted with every ward manager and nursing home manager of the participating nursing homes.

Procedures

Observation data were collected in two time intervals (7 am to 1 pm and 1 pm to 8 pm, each with two breaks of 20 minutes each). Both observation intervals were conducted once in every participating ward, which resulted in eight morning intervals and eight evening intervals. The observing researcher (LA) focused on 5–8 residents during one time interval. Potentially eligible residents were identified and approached by LA and the ward manager. Persons interacting with the focused residents were also observed if we had their consent. Interactions with persons who did not agree to participate were not protocolled. Observations took place in the common areas of the wards and in the bedrooms of the focused-on residents. LA tried to maintain a passive role by sitting quietly in the background, only interacting with others when directly approached and keeping interactions short. The observation protocols were handwritten and typed for digital data analysis.

For the individual interviews, the managers were asked directly for their consent to participate. The nurses participating in the focus groups were recruited by the ward managers. During each group interview, four aspects of the interview guide were discussed. In each of the individual interviews with the managers, the aspects corresponding to the expertise of the person were addressed. The focus groups and individual interviews were conducted by LA and TN in meeting rooms within the nursing homes and lasted between 45 and 90 minutes. The interviews were digitally recorded and then transcribed. Additionally, field notes were taken. Identifying information was replaced by anonymous wild cards. Observations were conducted between March and June 2017, and focus groups and individual interviews were conducted between August and October 2018.

Data analysis

The data analysis was organised with MAXQDA 2018 and followed the six steps of the thematic analysis by Braun and Clarke [24] (Fig. 1). This method enables the identification of semantic and latent topics that are either developed inductively based on data or deductively based on the initial programme theory. First, we familiarised ourselves with the data, reread the transcripts and observation protocols and noted first ideas. In a second step, we systematically coded interesting aspects across the entire data set. Afterwards, (step 3), we looked for common aspects and connections between the codes and gathered them under potential themes. Afterwards, (step 4), we reviewed the consistency of the themes in relation to the coded text and the entire data set. This step had to be done repeatedly as we identified interesting new text passages or codes and themes that needed to be rearranged. Subsequently, (step 5), the themes were refined and named, and relationships between them were described. In the sixth step, we produced the report and a tabular presentation of the themes. For each text (observation protocols and interviews), steps 1 and 2 were conducted separately. Steps 3 to 5 were conducted for all the data from one nursing home considering the varying statements in different data sets. Subsequently, we brought the themes from the

analyses of the individual nursing homes together by carrying out steps 4 and 5 again for the entire data set. Therefore, we focused on the care concept of the SCU and used the results from the other two nursing homes to contrast them and to gain better insight into the mode of action and mechanisms of impact of the care concept. The coding was performed by LA, and codes and themes were critically discussed in multiple analytic sessions with the coauthors and two further nursing scientists until we reached consensus.

Ethics

The ethical board of the German Society of Nursing Research approved the study (No. 16–024). Consent to participate was obtained from all observed residents and all persons possibly interacting with them before the observation started. To ensure that all participating persons with dementia were able to decide on the highest possible level of information and understanding, they received information about the study adapted to their abilities. Informed consent was obtained from all persons with dementia with the capacity to consent tested with the German version of the University of California, San Diego Brief Assessment of Capacity to Consent [25]. If persons with dementia were not capable to consent, their affirmative agreement was expressed either verbally or non-verbally (assent) [26] and, if designated, their legal representative's informed consent was obtained. Assent and informed consent were established at the time of enrolment in the study and revisited at each observation session (ongoing consent). Informed consent was obtained from all participants of the focus groups and individual interviews.

Trustworthiness

To enhance trustworthiness, multiple strategies were applied. We triangulated data from different data collection methods and from different sites to test and contrast data and their interpretation. To ensure that participants' statements were accurately captured and to verify our interpretation, we conducted a member check by discussing the results with nurses and managers of the SCUs. The translation of the quotes embedded in our article was double-checked by LA and a nursing scientist native in German and English. We know the care concept well, and to reflect our prior knowledge and assumptions critically, we performed parts of step 2 of the thematic analysis [24] in analytical sessions with nursing scientists who were not involved in the study. All researchers have experience with qualitative studies and in research with persons with dementia.

Results

The results of the process evaluation of the care concept are based on 16 participating observations of 49 residents and 76 persons interacting with them on the wards of the SCUs and the NSNHs, three focus-group interviews with 17 nurses, and eleven individual interviews with ward and nursing home managers (Table 1).

Table 1
Sample description.

Data source	
Observed persons (n = 16 observation units (8 mornings, 8 afternoons))	
Number of residents focused on in the observations, n	49
Gender, n women	38
Age, mean (SD)	81.7 (7.3)
MMSE score, mean (SD)	14.5 (4.2)
Number of persons observed interacting with the residents focused on in the observations, n	76
Residents, n	29
Nurses, n	42
Visitors (e.g., family members), n	5
Interview partner (n = 28, 11 individual interviews, 3 focus group interviews with 17 participants in total)	
Gender, n women	26
Age, mean (SD)	44.9 (8.6)
Number of registered nurses, n	16
Number of nursing assistants, n	11
Number of home helpers, n	1
Professional experience in years, mean (SD)	16.3 (8.1)

The results regarding the mechanisms of impact were organised into three domains and seven themes. In addition, six contextual aspects influencing implementation and mechanisms of impact of the care concept were identified. The first domain, *interventions focusing on nurses*, included the themes of *development of nurses' skills and knowledge* and *promotion of a positive work climate*. The second domain included the two themes *adjusted spatial structures* and *adjusted personnel deployment strategy of "dedicated time for activities."* The third domain, *interventions focusing on residents*, included the three themes of *promotion of relaxation*, *promotion of engagement in activities* and *promotion of engagement in social interaction*. The implementation of the interventions and their outcomes are influenced by contextual aspects relating to the (target) population and cultural, organisational and financial features.

The interventions for residents were found to depend on the successful implementation of the interventions for nurses and the spatial and personnel composition of the unit (Fig. 2). This dependency is characterised by the need to develop nurses' skills and knowledge to empower them to carry out interventions for

residents. In addition, a positive work climate and framework conditions, including spatial structures and personnel strategy support, enable nurses to actually provide interventions to residents adequately. In addition, contextual conditions influence the provisioning of the interventions.

Table 2
Mechanisms of impact of the care concept of the SCU

Domain	Theme	Intervention	Mechanism	Outcome	Impact
<i>Interventions focusing on nurses</i>	<i>Development of nurses' skills and knowledge</i>	Training team members in validation	Shapes the understanding of nursing as well as of dementia and its impact	Adjusted care practices towards an adequate response to the needs of residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Altered prioritisation of care tasks • Altered time management
		Discussion in the team of situations experienced as problematic or challenging by individual nurses	Enables team members to reflect their experiences together and express their opinions	Creative and innovative solutions for situations experienced as challenging	Continuous acquisition of competences of the whole team and continuous improvement in self-efficacy of the whole team (positive reinforcing feedback loops)
		Providing all nurses access to the same training	Shapes a shared understanding of and competence in care for persons with dementia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Team competence and self-efficacy: the ability and experience of every nurse to react to changing situations • Shared and consistent approach to care 	Flexibility in care

* Definition of relaxation: In the interviews, nurses and managers agreed that relaxation was understood as an opposite state of agitation and in distinction from apathy. They recognise relaxation in a low muscle tone, relaxed neck and face muscles, a focused look, the ability to stay calmly at one place, the absence of repetitive movements and intense (negative) feelings and unmet needs. A significant characteristic of relaxation is seen in the contactability that is described as residents' ability to react in an adequate way to interactions of others enabling them to communicate with them.

Domain	Theme	Intervention	Mechanism	Outcome	Impact
	<i>Promotion of a positive work climate</i>	Joint discussion of situations experienced as problematic or challenging by individual nurses in the team to jointly find solutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promotes a mutual understanding and a feeling of being a valued team member • Makes the nurses feel not to be left alone with a problem • Enables the provision of good care, also in situations experienced as challenging 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positive work climate • Provision of good dementia care • Culture of solidarity: nurses are looking out for and support each other 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promotion of staff retention • Sustainable implementation of the care concept
		Informal and formal team gatherings during and after work	Promotes mutual appreciation and team cohesion		
<i>Spatial and personnel composition of the unit</i>	<i>Adjusted spatial structures</i>	Small-scale, household-like units	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are perceived as spaces with a constant but low level of acoustic and visual stimuli • Facilitate the fulfilment of the needs of engagement in activities and social life as well as withdrawal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residents spend most of their time in common areas • Residents seldom retreat to their bedrooms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social engagement • Engagement in activities

* Definition of relaxation: In the interviews, nurses and managers agreed that relaxation was understood as an opposite state of agitation and in distinction from apathy. They recognise relaxation in a low muscle tone, relaxed neck and face muscles, a focused look, the ability to stay calmly at one place, the absence of repetitive movements and intense (negative) feelings and unmet needs. A significant characteristic of relaxation is seen in the contactability that is described as residents' ability to react in an adequate way to interactions of others enabling them to communicate with them.

Domain	Theme	Intervention	Mechanism	Outcome	Impact
	<i>Adjusted personnel deployment strategy – “dedicated time for activities”</i>	Extra nursing shift dedicated to promoting activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides nurses with time to promote activities and social interaction • Conveys nurses the feeling, that the promotion of activities is part of their job 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishes an understanding of nursing within the team that includes physical, psychosocial and occupational tasks directed at the individual persons preferences, desires and needs • Promotion of residents’ engagement in activities and social interaction by nurses of the extra and the “normal” shifts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social engagement • Engagement in activities
<i>Interventions focusing on residents</i>	<i>Promotion of relaxation*</i>	Personalised psychosocial interventions directed at the individual persons preferences, desires and needs at an early stage of agitation or to prevent agitation or other challenging behaviour	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leads to relaxation of the specific resident 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relaxed, purposeful actions of the specific resident • Social engagement • Engagement in activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relaxing, calm, peaceful environment • Time spent in communal areas • Work processes of nurses

* Definition of relaxation: In the interviews, nurses and managers agreed that relaxation was understood as an opposite state of agitation and in distinction from apathy. They recognise relaxation in a low muscle tone, relaxed neck and face muscles, a focused look, the ability to stay calmly at one place, the absence of repetitive movements and intense (negative) feelings and unmet needs. A significant characteristic of relaxation is seen in the contactability that is described as residents’ ability to react in an adequate way to interactions of others enabling them to communicate with them.

Domain	Theme	Intervention	Mechanism	Outcome	Impact
	<i>Promotion of engagement in activities</i>	Activities offered by nurses throughout the day personalised in content, type, timing, duration and participation mode (single or group, self-initiated with support from nurses, initiated by nurses with active participation, initiated by nurses with active, supported participation or initiated by nurses with passive participation)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increases the motivation for participation • Promotes residents' focus on the activity • Enables residents to use their resources purposefully • Enables residents to interact meaningfully with the environment and the people in it 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Time spent on activities • Positive experiences 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social engagement • Relaxation

* Definition of relaxation: In the interviews, nurses and managers agreed that relaxation was understood as an opposite state of agitation and in distinction from apathy. They recognise relaxation in a low muscle tone, relaxed neck and face muscles, a focused look, the ability to stay calmly at one place, the absence of repetitive movements and intense (negative) feelings and unmet needs. A significant characteristic of relaxation is seen in the contactability that is described as residents' ability to react in an adequate way to interactions of others enabling them to communicate with them.

Domain	Theme	Intervention	Mechanism	Outcome	Impact
	<i>Promotion of engagement in social interaction</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Constant, personalised impulses for the social interaction of nurses and the living in a household-like unit • Active, appreciative communication with family members 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promotes spending time together • Promotes shared experiences • Promotes social interaction between residents • Promotes social interaction between residents and nurses • Makes family members and loved ones feel valued and welcome 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Becoming part of a social community • Involvement in a fragile, social (sub-)group • Emotional connections to others • Relationship with family members and loved ones 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Belonging • Relaxation • Affection
<p>* Definition of relaxation: In the interviews, nurses and managers agreed that relaxation was understood as an opposite state of agitation and in distinction from apathy. They recognise relaxation in a low muscle tone, relaxed neck and face muscles, a focused look, the ability to stay calmly at one place, the absence of repetitive movements and intense (negative) feelings and unmet needs. A significant characteristic of relaxation is seen in the contactability that is described as residents' ability to react in an adequate way to interactions of others enabling them to communicate with them.</p>					

Interventions focusing on nurses

Development of nurses' skills and knowledge

The development of nurses' skills and knowledge is based on the training of all team members and on collective learning through problem discussion and solving within the team and thereby increases team competence and results in altered and shared care practices (Table).

Validation training primarily shapes the fundamental attitude towards care that is expressed by the validation of emotions and enhances the amount of empathy shown during care for persons with dementia. Nurses were seldom observed using validation techniques with agitated residents. The focus group participants considered the validating attitude towards care in combination with systematic biographical work as enablers to recognise residents as persons with individual needs, resources, and preferences. Subsequently, nurses described that they are able to orientate their care on the persons' needs, resources and preferences and thereby are able to respond adequately to unmet needs. The observations showed that nurses in the SCUs, compared to those in the NSNHs, use their time resources differently by

spending more time on the promotion of activities and social interactions and needing less time to respond to distress and agitation.

Apart from formal training, nurses described that they acquire skills and knowledge by discussing challenging situations with their team. This process was regularly observed with residents showing persistent vocalisations or efforts to run away. Nurses from the SCUs and NSNHs outline their experienced difficulties in informal team gatherings, reflect on their experiences together, discuss opinions and find solutions. Therefore, the team continuously expands and revises its knowledge and skills in dementia care. In an individual interview, a manager described this as follows:

“So that (.) if there are any problems that are very stressful, you also include the team, because the solution usually comes from the team anyway, I have to say. Because if it is a larger group and you discuss, for example, any problem that arises, (.) either we or an individual colleague find a solution.” (EI11_58)

As all nurses experience the same development of skills and knowledge, they described that they are more likely to act in synchronisation and thereby offer a consistent approach to care.

“You will make a big leap if you have completed validation training. In addition, above all, as EVERYBODY is trained, we learned to think in the same way.” (GI1_28)

As all nurses are trained, everyone has the capacity to react adequately in changing situations, e.g., when a resident acts like she or he never has before. Residents' behaviour was observed to change suddenly and unpredictably; therefore, this team skill is especially useful for preventing stress and agitation. However, the observations in the NSNHs with only a few trained team members revealed that stressful situations may be prolonged if the trained nurses are not on-site.

Promotion of a positive work climate

A positive work climate is enhanced by collective problem solving, informal and formal team gatherings and mutual appreciation and thereby contributes to the sustainable implementation of the care concept.

The focus group participants reported discussions of difficult situations with the team to jointly find solutions. The discussions made them feel understood, appreciated and not left alone to deal with a problem. They described formal and informal team gatherings as being important for team building and team cohesion. Both problem discussions and team gatherings contribute to a positive work climate and a culture of solidarity, where team members support each other in particularly challenging situations.

“Well, taking care of each other and reminding each other to take time-outs, for example, helps a lot. If there is someone who says, “Let us go smoke one,” this only takes five minutes, and then it's alright again. Many of us look out for each other.” (EI7_100)

Furthermore, mutual support and jointly developed solutions for particularly challenging situations enable nurses to be more likely to provide good dementia care. The positive climate on the team, the feeling of appreciation and the ability to provide good care reduce nurses' distress. According to the managers of the SCU, this can be seen in high staff retention rates. Staff retention leads to increased efficiency of training

measures and higher team competence, which is essential for the sustainable implementation of the care concept.

Spatial and personnel composition of the unit

The design of the unit and the personnel deployment strategy create spaces, times and an understanding of nursing that promotes activities and social engagement (but also enables privacy and withdrawal) (Table 2).

Adjusted spatial structures

As pointed out in the interviews with the ward managers, the household-like design of the unit offers spaces that support the fulfilment of residents' needs (e.g., a spatial layout that enables tacit orientation, a patio and a garden with safe walking opportunities, a dining and living area for eating, recreational activities and social life, various sitting options in the indoor patio for tranquillity and resting, a bedroom for privacy). They see a major advantage in the fact that all parts of the unit are in direct sight of the residents and easy to access, thereby enabling residents to autonomously navigate through the unit according to their preferences, desires and needs.

"The background was actually that we can give the residents an intimate space where they can easily find their bearings. That means having FEW people to deal with. In the middle, a living/dining room surrounded by bedrooms. That is, when the resident comes out of his or her bedroom, he is in HIS living room. On a conventional ward, you come into the aisle and must orientate yourself, 'Do I go left, do I go right?' In addition, we just wanted to prevent this additional disorientation." (E13_4)

The observations yielded a constant but low level of acoustic and visual stimuli in the small-scale units. In the larger units of the NSNH, however, the level of stimuli (e.g., noise level) was noticeably higher, and residents left situations with extremely high levels of stimuli (e.g., mealtimes) quickly. Residents were seen spending most of their time in areas with a comfortable level of stimuli and with opportunities for the fulfilment of their needs (e.g., social interaction in common areas).

Adjusted personnel deployment strategy "dedicated time for activities"

In addition to the normal nursing shifts, the development team of the care concept established an extra shift, within which nurses' sole assignment is the provision of occupation either in groups or for individual residents. The individual and focus-group interviews with the members of the SCUs show, especially in contrast to the interviews with the members of the NSNHs, that they integrate not only physical and psychosocial nursing care but also the provision of meaningful activities into their understanding of nursing. The change in the understanding is reflected by nurses who enhance engagement in activities in the extra shift and in the normal shifts.

"Are they going to do something by themselves? No. WE have to give them something and then work with and watch them... (name of resident), for example, she does not stimulate herself, so I sit down, ask her something and she blooms while telling me her stories." (G11_43)

An unintended outcome of the personnel deployment strategy is that nurses recognise residents' great need for engagement in activities and social interaction. The interviewed nurses of the SCUs consider the promotion of engagement in activities and social interaction as their task, and even though they try to use their time optimally, they cannot offer it on an ideal level for all residents. Consequently, they describe having a certain level of distress as they must decide who is going to experience activity promotion and who is not.

Interventions focusing on residents

The promotion of relaxation, activities and social interaction are interlinked intervention complexes that represent the main resident-targeted areas of the care concept (Table 2). On the one hand, they are interlinked because sometimes the same intervention can provide relaxation, engagement in activity and/or social interaction. An example is a group singing activity accompanied by social interaction that promotes residents' relaxation if it meets their needs. On the other hand, the three complexes are interlinked via their achieved outcomes. In addition, all three intervention complexes are provided in a personalised manner, meaning they are tailored to residents' needs, resources and preferences.

Promotion of relaxation

For the implementation of the promotion of relaxation (definition in Table 2), nurses primarily use an emotion-oriented approach with psychosocial interventions referring to the individual persons' preferences, needs and desires. These interventions are provided as soon as nurses detect a potential for stress, agitation or apathy, but they are also applied for de-escalation purposes. Such interventions allow residents to relax, become contactable, and participate in purposeful activities and social interactions.

Subsequently, the interventions support a calm and relaxed atmosphere on the wards, that was only selectively interrupted by arising restlessness during the observations. Nurses reported that residents reflect on the conditions or behaviours, be they calm or agitated, that lead to a reinforcement of the relaxed atmosphere on the ward. A registered nurse described such reinforcement, this time negatively, in the following quote:

"When you come to the ward and you are out of balance, you can be sure that the group is EQUALLY out of balance all day long. They have sensors for that, and if you're having a bad day, you're already screwed. In addition, they notice exactly when you're sad, they look at you and ask, 'What's wrong?' even though you do not do anything differently and think that you're acting quite normal. It works the other way around too; if you come in a good mood, the residents reflect this too." (G13_161)

The calm atmosphere is reflected by the low acoustic level, calm movement patterns and purposeful actions of the residents. Supporting the assumption that residents like the atmosphere in common areas, residents were observed spending most of their time there, and withdrawal to one's bedroom was seldom observed in the SCUs. In the NSNHs with a higher noise level, residents with and without dementia tended to seek the quietness of their rooms and use them for relaxation and recreational activities during the observational periods.

The observations in the SCUs that contrasted with the observations in the NSNHs showed the early promotion of relaxation results in a less amount of time needed for calming and reassuring the residents and preventing the development of a negative social dynamic that would in turn affect other residents. Nurses are therefore likely to invest their gained time resources in the promotion of activities and social engagement, which meet residents' needs and further enhance relaxation. In these situations, a positive social dynamic enhancing relaxation and fulfilment of needs could be observed.

Promotion of engagement in activities

Interventions to promote engagement in activities are provided in organised weekly planned group activities by elder-care staff members and as individual activities by nurses, thereby allowing residents to experience activities throughout the day. During the observations, nurses of the SCUs provided activities to individuals or small groups that were individualised in regard to content, type and duration to meet the physical, cognitive and social abilities, as well as preferences, of the residents. In the interviews, nurses described that this type of activity promotion allows residents to experience activities that they enjoy. This not only creates a positive experience for the residents but also promotes relaxation and focus on the activity, the use of their skills and interaction with their environment.

"They are calmer, they talk much more about it. They also make statements that you would not expect at all, that you do not believe that they still know about. They truly behave differently. They are more communicative, in any case. (.) You have the feeling that they are calmer inside. It is not about their appearance, the fidgeting or something like that, but you have the feeling that they truly give you their full attention and they are calmer for themselves." (E14_67)

Nurses reported that the effects of these interventions are limited to the time of the activity. An impact on residents' mood, in the sense of verbal expressions, facial expressions or behaviour, was only reported in a few cases. It is questionable, however, whether there truly was no change in mood or whether it was difficult to determine because of the impact of dementia on emotional expression. Often, the mechanism of impact is triggered by an interaction initiated by nurses that is modulated on top of an activity, thereby increasing its scope and impact, as described in the following observation protocol:

N12 turns on the TV and helps residents make themselves comfortable in front of it: "What do you say we watch series XY now? Yesterday there was (...); let us see how things go on today. What do you think, (name of R8)? Would you like to watch too, (name of R2)? Well then, come and join us. Shall we bet that they kiss today? All those in favour?" "Sure, they will!" (says R8) Two residents lift their arms. "Who's against it?" (B14_p. 6)

Promotion of engagement in social interaction

Nurses promote engagement in social interaction by starting and guiding conversations or by setting off impulses that are likely to trigger social interactions. Social interaction helps residents to become part of a social community by facilitating a sense of belonging. Becoming part of a social community also happens passively when residents have common experiences that create an emotional connection. This is especially true for residents with advanced dementia, whose opportunities for verbal interaction may be severely

limited. The observations made in both settings yielded that the social community is reflected by residents knowing each other and demonstrating behaviours that characterise the social conventions of social groups (e.g., greeting all present when entering the living room, saying goodbye before going to bed, sharing the newspaper and discussing the content, commenting on the weather).

The focus group participants reported that sometimes within the ward, smaller subgroups of two to four people are formed that are primarily based on mutual positive perceptions. The emotional ties of these residents are closer, which is reflected by residents being keen to spend time together (e.g., walking around together, sitting next to each other for certain activities) and by increased interaction between these residents:

"Therefore, for example, we now have a group that has just formed itself. It is an insanely intense group. They're having a great time. Even the residents, who nobody had accepted before. There were always two ladies who nobody accepted. Now suddenly, the group works with six residents at the table. In addition, each of the ladies demands her own topic of conversation." (GI2_186)

In such subgroups, the residents experience mutual affection, which sometimes manifests itself physically through a hug or stroking of the hand. However, the subgroups are described by nurses as fragile entities that *"(...) can dissolve unpredictably. In our ward, it went well for a while when two ladies helped to set the table. Then, one of the two ladies got worse and the second one said, 'Well, I am not going to do that alone.' And since then, she just sits there and looks. That's because she did not want to do it alone."* (GI2_76)

The promotion of social interaction includes the facilitation of (close) relationships with family members and loved ones. Nurses promote these exchanges with family members; they openly communicate information about the residents and seek the expertise of family members on, for example, the biography of the residents. In this way, family members feel valued, welcome, and integrated and tend to visit residents more often or for longer periods.

"My experience is that if we take the relatives on board right from the start and we are very open in what we say and do [...], I actually have the relatives very much on board, they help out, they deal with the biographical work of their own dad, mum or whatever. In addition, that leads them to truly deal with them. You see that. They simply visit longer, inform themselves about their family member, what happened, how he is?" (IG_EI1_33)

Contextual aspects

Six aspects within the context features population, cultural, organisational and financial, are found to influence the implementation and outcomes of the care concept. The aspects and corresponding quotes are displayed in Table 3.

Table 3
Contextual aspects influencing implementation and outcomes of the care concept

Context features [21]	Topic and its short description	Influence on implementation and/or outcomes of the care concept	Quotes or/and extracts from observation protocols
<i>(Target) Population</i>	<p><i>Persons with severe physical impairments and/or severe dementia live in nursing homes</i></p> <p>Living at home even with (more) severe physical and cognitive impairments is possible due to increased care competence of formal and informal caregivers</p> <p>Leads to later admissions to nursing homes and changes in resident characteristics</p>	<p>Increased need for support in physical care needs leaves less time to implement the promotion of activities and social interaction</p>	<p>“That is what I believe is the problem, that the residents simply come to us with high physical care needs, that we can no longer promote the resources as it is stated in the care concept. In addition, of course we have enough staff for the way this concept should be, but as it looks now, we do not have it anymore and therefore team members are simply and constantly, massively overloaded and frustrated.” (EI3_89)</p>
<i>(Target)Population</i>	<p><i>Constant behaviour changes possible</i></p> <p>Persons with dementia unpredictably change their behaviour and reactions to interventions, persons or situations</p> <p>Nurses must be able to manage changing situations at any given moment and therefore all nurses need to have the appropriate skills and knowledge</p>	<p>High need for a stable team competence and early, intensive training of new team members, if this is not achieved implementation of interventions focusing on residents is at risk</p>	<p>“With dementia, I will say one thing is that there is no common thread. That is the real tension.” (EI2_63)</p> <p>“When I come in the morning, I never know - yesterday it was great, and today nothing works. You always have to adjust and make the best of it.” (IG_GI2_ 75)</p>

Context features [21]	Topic and its short description	Influence on implementation and/or outcomes of the care concept	Quotes or/and extracts from observation protocols
<i>(Target)Population</i>	<p><i>Family members as advocates</i></p> <p>As persons with dementia experience difficulty fully recalling their biography and comprehensively assessing situations, family members take on the role of advocates</p>	<p>Need for intensified cooperation with family members, as they have essential information for residents' care and on the other hand need information to be able to act as advocates, affects implementation of the care concept</p>	<p>"My experience is that if we take the relatives on board right from the start and we are very open in what we say and do [...], I actually have the relatives very much on board, they help out, they deal with the biographical work of their own dad, mum or whatever. In addition, that leads them to truly deal with them. You see that. They simply visit longer, inform themselves about their family member, what happened, how is he or she?" (IG_EI1_33)</p>
<i>Cultural – attitude of the target population</i>	<p><i>Intolerance of residents towards behaviour that does not meet social conventions:</i></p> <p>Residents without, with mild or moderate dementia address behaviour that does not meet social conventions in a punitive manner</p>	<p>Intolerance of socially unsuitable behaviour by persons with dementia influences the outcomes of the care concept of belonging to a social community</p>	<p>Note at 10:40 a.m.: R14 goes to the next table and drinks from the glass of another resident, whereupon R8 shouts: "Are you stupid? This is not your glass." [...]</p> <p>Note at 10:55 a.m.: R8 sits down on an armchair that is not his, another resident starts to nudge him with a stick until R8 gets up and leaves grumbling and grumpy. (B3_p. 5)</p>
<i>Cultural - belief of the managers and practitioners</i>	<p><i>Empathy as a must-have in the care for persons with dementia:</i></p> <p>For the delivery of interventions focusing on residents, empathy is believed to be essential</p> <p>Empathy is regarded as a characteristic that is difficult to train</p>	<p>Conscious recruitment of new team members difficult because of structurally small number of nursing staff on job search and may jeopardise the implementation</p>	<p>"When we hire someone new, the most important thing for me is that he or she deals empathically with the residents. We look at this by letting them spend two days on the SCU. The staff then gives me feedback on whether he or she fits into the team. I pay less attention to formalities - everything else can be learned in trainings." (EI6_34)</p>

Context features [21]	Topic and its short description	Influence on implementation and/or outcomes of the care concept	Quotes or/and extracts from observation protocols
<i>Organisational</i>	<p><i>Transformational, consistently acting leaders shaping the organisational culture and understanding of nursing</i></p> <p>Charismatic leaders convinced of their approach to care were seen to inspire nurses and to empower them to act in the same way</p>	<p>Common, person-centred attitude in interaction with each other influences the work climate and care practices</p> <p>Changes in leaders and personnel may lead to changes in the informal organisational culture and thereby may influence the implementation of the care concept</p>	<p>Expression of common person-centred attitude and conformity:</p> <p>"We try to determine, what resident like. We look at the biography, where were the interests thus far, talk to the relatives, what they did at home until the end, but you are not allowed to forget that people change. If she was knitting for 40 years, then she often does not want to know anything about knitting anymore. 'I have knitted long enough, yes, I do not like it anymore - I want to do something new.' Yes, so people with dementia not only want to do the same old things." (E11_18)</p> <p>"Exactly, and our experience is that relatives say in regard to the biography "Yes, they have always loved having children around" and so on, then we ask them, and they can't stand it. People change, especially with dementia." (E13_6)</p> <p>Example of conformity:</p> <p>N4: "If you're nervous, it is over."</p> <p>N6: "If you're having a bad day."</p> <p>N4: "You're already lost."</p> <p>N5: "Because they know that [yes], they pick that up right away. Then, your whole day is gone" (laughs).</p> <p>N4: "They feel that. [Yeah, right]. Sensors they have. Sensors, they already have good ones. Whenever something is..."</p> <p>N6: "So the feeling." [IG_GI2_161–166]</p>

Context features [21]	Topic and its short description	Influence on implementation and/or outcomes of the care concept	Quotes or/and extracts from observation protocols
<i>Financial</i>	<p><i>Limited time resources in nursing care:</i></p> <p>Time resources are limited and are particularly scarce during holiday periods and periods of high sickness absence</p>	<p>Limited time resources influence the implementation of the interventions focusing on residents</p> <p>Limited time resources sometimes collide with the developed understanding of nursing resulting in a prioritisation of tasks in favour for physical needs and frustration of nurses</p>	<p>"The time you need, you should have; it is very important that you can take your time. Good care needs time." (G11_7)</p> <p>"Five things are going on at the same time. The bell rings, someone shouts, the ward round comes... In addition, then you cannot arrange anything with the residents with dementia. You cannot say to them, 'Stay here for five minutes, I will be right back.' They do not know what five minutes is. You have to act immediately." [VG_E16_87]</p>

With regard to the target population, the managers of the SCUs and NSNHs reported that the population is changing, with more persons with severe dementia, high levels of physical care needs, (severely) limited mobility and psychological symptoms or diagnoses living in nursing homes. Furthermore, they pointed to the increasing number of persons showing disinhibitory behaviours. This population differs from the target population of the care concept, which was originally developed for persons with moderate dementia who demonstrate challenging behaviours, can move around on the ward independently and perform light household tasks. The managers see possible reasons for these changes in the increased competence in home care, which enables persons to stay longer in their own home. For the SCUs, this means fewer residents who correspond to the defined target group, more time needed for physical care and less time available for the promotion of engagement in activities social interaction. In addition, the increased physical and cognitive impairments hinder the promotion of engagement in activities and social interactions in groups requiring individual, time-intensive offers. Another aspect of the target population reported in both settings is that people with dementia often suddenly change their behaviour, which makes the strict adherence to care plans difficult. Nurses must be able to manage changing situations at any given moment. If nurses lack this competence, the unpredictable actions of persons with dementia result in distress for both nurses and residents. An additional aspect of the target population is that due to their impaired memory and decision-making capacity, family members act as their advocates, which results in intensified cooperation between family members and nurses.

A cultural aspect of the context observed in both settings is the intolerance of residents towards the behaviour of other residents that is perceived as not being in line with certain social conventions. If a

behaviour disturbs residents, they comment on it, sanction it and try to stop it. The observations yielded statements that were often coarse, insulting for the persons referenced and sometimes aggressive. Intolerance towards others' behaviours was observed in persons with no, mild, and moderate but not severe dementia. Another cultural aspect is the belief of nurses and managers of the SCUs that care for persons with dementia requires a basic level of empathy, which is difficult to train and essential for the implementation of care based on a person's needs, resources and preferences.

An organisational aspect of context is the transformational leadership style shown by the SCU managers. They are convinced of their approach to dementia care and live their underlying person-centred values in the interactions with residents and nurses, be it in everyday conversations, in organising roasters or in providing support in stressful times. In the observations and interviews, they showed a strong, charismatic appearance and were perceived by the nurses as figureheads. These leaders shape nurses' understanding of respectful interactions with each other and of the care of persons with dementia. In the interviews and in the observations, nurses and managers spoke and worked together consistently, and they were convinced of and enthusiastic about their approach to dementia care. This approach influences the work climate and contributes to a stable team that consistently cares for residents with the same attitude in direct care.

A financial aspect of the context under which care in nursing homes takes place is the limited time resources. Nurses describe having an inner conflict when time resources collide with the developed understanding of nursing that is inherent to the care concept. In such situations, nurses are frustrated and prioritise tasks related to physical needs.

Discussion

The results of the process evaluation of the care concept of SCUs point to aspects of the initial programme theory that require modification. Table 4 provides an overview of the most substantial indications for the revision. Although the results are not transferable to other settings, as they are linked to the specific care concept and context, the mechanisms of parts of the care concept may also apply in other concepts and in other settings.

Table 4

Major indications for the revision of the initial programme theory as implied by the results.

Domain	Theme	Major indications for confirmation, extension and modification of the initial programme theory as implied by the results of the process evaluation
<i>Interventions focusing on nurses</i>	<i>Development of nurses' skills and knowledge</i>	Confirmation: interventions for nurses increase their competence and communication skills Modification: interventions for nurses do not reduce the strain related to dementia care but do increase self-efficacy Extension: an increased competence of the entire team is needed so that nurses can respond flexibly and adequately to changing resident behaviours
	<i>Promotion of a positive work climate</i>	Extension: a positive work climate is regarded as being essential to maintaining a stable team, which is needed for sustainable implementation
<i>Spatial and personnel composition of the unit</i>	<i>Adjusted spatial structures</i>	Confirmation: spatial layout reduces stress among residents Extension: spatial layout promotes engagement in activities and social interaction
	<i>Adjusted personnel deployment strategy "dedicated time for activities"</i>	Extension: the important role of the personnel deployment strategy in shaping the understanding of nursing and facilitating its implementation only became clear in the process evaluation; all findings regarding this theme complement the initial programme theory
<i>Interventions focusing on residents</i>	<i>Promotion of relaxation, engagement in activities and social interaction</i>	Confirmation: interventions focusing on residents promote relaxation, engagement in activities and social interaction Modification: interventions focusing on residents, their mechanisms and outcomes are strongly intertwined; for example, the same intervention can promote relaxation and engagement in activity but can be experienced differently interindividually

Quality of care is influenced by nurses' behaviours that are shaped by the organisational culture and personnel strategy, emphasising a need for sufficient, knowledgeable and skilled personnel [27, 28]. Interventions to promote nurses' expertise and to develop an organisational culture are found to be effective only with a relatively stable team. Staff retention is higher and knowledge drains are lower in supportive workplaces, including adequate staffing levels and working conditions, as well as management support and a person-centred care environment [29–33]. These are aspects to consider, as high staff retention is a key ingredient for the sustainable implementation of the care concept.

In research initiatives, the role of the built environment is primarily discussed in connection to wayfinding [34] influencing residents' safety and ability to perform activities of daily living, such as toileting or dressing [35]. The findings of the process evaluation indicate that a dementia-sensitive spatial design additionally has an impact on residents' social life and their engagement in purposeful and leisure activities, as also shown by Lee et al. [36] and Morgan-Brown et al. [37].

A core element of the care concept is the promotion of activities and social interaction, which address a major need of persons with dementia who want to be involved in activities [38]. The care concept follows the imperative of person-centeredness in dementia care to the extent that the needs, preferences and resources of the residents guide the interventions; however, since it is not systematically implemented at the organisational level, the concept depends on the initiatives of individuals, thereby making it vulnerable to staff or organisational change, which means that it may only contribute to a limited extent to maintaining personhood and continuous thriving [39–41]. Even though the care concept aims to increase engagement in activities and social interaction, we do not know how much time spent with activities or social interaction each person prefers. Sometimes times of “doing nothing” can be experienced as being relaxing, restorative and especially valuable to residents [42]. Our data are supported by a review of interventions for loneliness showing that the promotion of relaxation, social interaction and activity are intertwined and that each aspect contributes to the others.

[43]. Furthermore, persons with dementia only marginally seize opportunities to engage socially with others and often rely on nurses to facilitate interactions [44]. Therefore, an understanding of nursing considering the promotion of social interaction as part of nursing contributes to residents’ psychosocial well-being by fostering a sense of belonging and significance [45].

The contextual factors are considered complementary to the initial programme theory. Some aspects, e.g., changes in resident characteristics, require changes in implementation that go beyond the adaptive tailoring of complex programmes and can undermine the functionality of the care concept. More severe cognitive and physical impairment, as well as challenging behaviours, are associated with a higher need for direct care time [46]; thus, to be able to maintain the same quality of care, the care concept needs systematic adaption to meet these changing needs [47]. Another contextual aspect that is prone to change is the organisational culture that depends on the leaders and is therefore vulnerable to personnel changes. As a result, person-centred care is not conceived comprehensively in the sense of a process of cultural development that takes into account the care environment, prerequisites and the macro context [40]; thus, its effect cannot reach its full potential [48].

The results of the process evaluation that confirm, complement or modify assumptions of the initial programme theory are used together with those of the outcome evaluation to create a revised programme theory [16]. This study shows that complex programmes rely on mechanisms that can be unexpected and that elude initial assessment by researchers. Therefore, it is advisable to include empirical data in the development of programme theories of complex programmes [49, 50]. To further develop the theoretical understanding of dementia care in long-term care, future research should pay more attention to the questions of what works for whom under what circumstances [51] and thereby contribute to an overarching understanding of the active ingredients and their mechanisms of impact of specialised dementia care.

Methodological discussion, strengths and limitations

The current study provides an elaborate example of a process evaluation within the approach of theory-driven evaluation in nursing science that focuses on mechanisms of impact and contextual aspects. Even

though an increasing number of evaluation studies are incorporating process evaluation, most assess programme fidelity [52–56]; few go beyond this aspect and illuminate the underlying mechanisms of complex programmes [57–59]. The care concept of the SCU was developed by practitioners and guided by their experience, and the initial programme theory was based on their assumptions and research evidence. However, the changes, extensions and refinements to the initial programme theory yielded by the process evaluation show how important empirical data from the specific context are for understanding the mechanisms [50]. We gathered data from different contexts, namely, from SCUs and NSNHs, and the constant comparison of the contexts and the interventions, along with a qualitative data-driven research paradigm, enhanced the understanding of the potentially unknown active ingredients of the care concept.

Complex programmes such as care concept of SCUs pose almost infinite uncertainties [60]. Knowing that an all-encompassing assessment is not possible, we followed a pragmatic approach and focused on key uncertainties in our data collection and analysis. Furthermore, the outcomes of an SCU, especially those of higher order, such as belonging, can be attributed to several preconditions, only some of which are influenced by the intervention. Accordingly, a change in outcome can be caused by the intervention or a contextual aspect. This is because data on outcome indicators should be collected at all stages of the outcome chain of the theory of change [17]. Complex programmes are influenced by infinite contextual aspects. As we could not examine all of the contextual aspects, our study focused on those aspects that showed a substantial influence on the care concept in the inductive research process [21].

Further strengths and limitations of the study are based in the complexity of the care concept, the resulting methodological requirements and the implementation of the concept in only two SCUs. The qualitative inductive approach to process evaluation, which includes different perspectives and data collection methods, is considered a strength that is reflected in the mechanisms that only become apparent in the in-depth exploration of the care concept. Thus, the validity of the results is limited to the context of this care concept. As other SCUs involve other interventions in other contexts, the current results are not transferable but rather provide information about potentially relevant mechanisms, outcomes, and contextual aspects. However, the application of a theory-driven approach to guide the design of an evaluation [16] strengthens the accountability, construct and internal validity of the evaluation [61].

Conclusion

The care concept of the SCUs contributes to increased competence and self-efficacy of nurses, and higher levels of relaxation, purposeful activities and social interaction of residents. The implementation of such a concept highly depends on the skills of the nursing team and their shared understanding of nursing practice. As the organisational culture is shaped by individuals and is lacking systematic development, it is comprehended as fragile and vulnerable to unintended changes. Additionally, changes in resident characteristics affect the implementation of the care concept and its outcomes. The current study provides an example of a process evaluation that focuses on mechanisms, outcomes and contextual aspects and thereby assesses how an intervention brings about change. It contributes to understanding what the active ingredients of SCUs are and how they work. With further research from different contexts, the findings can be consolidated, and thus, a higher-level understanding of the effects of specialised dementia care can be

gained. These findings are important to the further development of dementia care practices on a sound theoretical basis.

Abbreviations

NSNH – non-specialised nursing home

SCU – special care unit

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The ethical board of the German Society of Nursing Research approved the study (N° 16-024). Consent to participate was obtained of all participants. Details on ethical considerations and the procedure of information and consent is given in the section *Ethics*.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding

The study was funded by SeneCura, the nursing home operator of the participating nursing homes in the study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that even though the study was supported by SeneCura, the funder did not influence the study or its outcomes, and the authors are free to report any unfavourable results. The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Author's contribution

LA, SB and HM were responsible for the study design. LA collected data. Data analysis and interpretation was performed LA, SB and HM. LA was responsible for writing a first draft of the paper. All authors substantially revised it critically for important intellectual content and finally approved it.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the staff members and managers at the study sites who gave valuable time effort to participate in the study, to support the co-ordination of data-collection and who have shared their insights with us. We thank Tamara Nemeth (TN), a nursing scientist, for her support with data collection. We would also like to thank Mareike Hechinger and Carola Maurer, two nursing scientists, who discussed data and its interpretation critically with us during analytical sessions and thereby enhanced our reflection process.

References

1. Alzheimer's Association. Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures. 2020. <https://www.alz.org/media/Documents/alzheimers-facts-and-figures.pdf>. Accessed 29 Apr 2020.
2. Harris-Kojetin L, Sengupta M, Park-Lee E, Valverde R, Caffrey C, Rome V, Lendon J. Long-Term Care Providers and services users in the United States: data from the National Study of Long-Term Care Providers, 2013-2014. *Vital Health Stat 3*. 2016;x-xii; 1-105.
3. Hoffmann F, Kaduszkiewicz H, Glaeske G, van den Bussche H, Koller D. Prevalence of dementia in nursing home and community-dwelling older adults in Germany. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2014;26:555–9. doi:10.1007/s40520-014-0210-6.
4. Førsund LH, Grov EK, Helvik A-S, Juvet LK, Skovdahl K, Eriksen S. The experience of lived space in persons with dementia: a systematic meta-synthesis. *BMC Geriatr*. 2018;18:33. doi:10.1186/s12877-018-0728-0.
5. Nikmat AW, Hawthorne G, Al-Mashoor SH. Quality of life in dementia patients: nursing home versus home care. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2011;23:1692–700. doi:10.1017/S1041610211001050.
6. Bergmann JM, Ströbel AM, Holle B, Palm R. Empirical development of a typology on residential long-term care units in Germany - results of an exploratory multivariate data analysis. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2020;20:646. doi:10.1186/s12913-020-05401-4.
7. Palm R, Bartholomeyczik S. Specialist dementia care units. In: Michel JP, Beattie L, Finbarr M, Watson J, editors. *Oxford Textbook of Geriatric Medicine*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018. p. 281–285.
8. Lai CK, Yeung JH, Mok V, Chi I. Special care units for dementia individuals with behavioural problems. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2009:CD006470. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006470.pub2.
9. Verbeek H, Zwakhalen SMG, van Rossum E, Ambergen T, Kempen GIJM, Hamers JPH. Effects of small-scale, home-like facilities in dementia care on residents' behavior, and use of physical restraints and psychotropic drugs: a quasi-experimental study. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2014;26:657–68. doi:10.1017/S1041610213002512.
10. Kok JS, Berg IJ, Scherder EJA. Special care units and traditional care in dementia: relationship with behavior, cognition, functional status and quality of life - a review. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra*. 2013;3:360–75. doi:10.1159/000353441.
11. de Boer B, Hamers JPH, Zwakhalen SMG, Tan FES, Beerens HC, Verbeek H. Green Care Farms as Innovative Nursing Homes, Promoting Activities and Social Interaction for People With Dementia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2017;18:40–6. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2016.10.013.

12. Abbott KM, Sefcik JS, van Haitsma K. Measuring social integration among residents in a dementia special care unit versus traditional nursing home: A pilot study. *Dementia*. 2017;16:388–403. doi:10.1177/1471301215594950.
13. Chen HT. Practical program evaluation: Theory-driven evaluation and the integrated evaluation perspective. Los Angeles, London, New Dehli, Singapore, Washington DC: SAGE; 2015.
14. Adlbrecht L, Bartholomeyczik S, Mayer H. Entwicklung einer Programmtheorie als Grundlage für die Evaluation einer Demenzstation. [Development of a program theory as a basis for the evaluation of a dementia special care unit]. *Pflege*. 2018;31:125–34. doi:10.1024/1012-5302/a000610.
15. Feil N. Group Therapy in a Home for the Aged. *Gerontologist*. 1967;7:192–5.
16. van Belle SB, Marchal B, Dubourg D, Kegels G. How to develop a theory-driven evaluation design? Lessons learned from an adolescent sexual and reproductive health programme in West Africa. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:741. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-10-741.
17. Funnell SC, Rogers PJ. Purposeful program theory: Effective use of theories of change and logic models. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2011.
18. Moore GF, Audrey S, Barker M, Bond L, Bonell C, Hardeman W, et al. Process evaluation of complex interventions: Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h1258. doi:10.1136/bmj.h1258.
19. Weiss C. Theory-based evaluation: past, present, and future. *New directions for evaluations*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 1997.
20. Dalkin SM, Greenhalgh J, Jones D, Cunningham B, Lhussier M. What's in a mechanism? Development of a key concept in realist evaluation. *Implement Sci*. 2015;10:49. doi:10.1186/s13012-015-0237-x.
21. Craig P, Di Ruggiero E, Frohlich KL, Mykhalovskiy E, White M, Campbell R, et al. Taking account of context in population health intervention research: guidance for producers, users and funders of research. Southampton (UK): NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre; 2018.
22. Haynes A, Brennan S, Carter S, O'Connor D, Schneider CH, Turner T, Gallego G. Protocol for the process evaluation of a complex intervention designed to increase the use of research in health policy and program organisations (the SPIRIT study). *Implement Sci*. 2014;9:113. doi:10.1186/s13012-014-0113-0.
23. Flick U. *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE; 2014.
24. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 2006;3:77–101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.
25. Jeste DV, Palmer BW, Appelbaum PS, Golshan S, Glorioso D, Dunn LB, et al. A new brief instrument for assessing decisional capacity for clinical research. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007;64:966–74. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.64.8.966.
26. Black BS, Rabins PV, Sugarman J, Karlawish JH. Seeking assent and respecting dissent in dementia research. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2010;18:77–85. doi:10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181bd1de2.
27. Haunch K, Thompson C, Arthur A, Edwards P, Goodman C, Hanratty B, et al. Understanding the staff behaviours that promote quality for older people living in long term care facilities: a realist review. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2021:103905. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2021.103905.

28. Blume KS, Dietermann K, Kirchner-Heklau U, Winter V, Fleischer S, Kreidl LM, et al. Staffing levels and nursing-sensitive patient outcomes: Umbrella review and qualitative study. *Health Serv Res* 2021. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13647.
29. Rajamohan S, Porock D, Chang Y-P. Understanding the Relationship Between Staff and Job Satisfaction, Stress, Turnover, and Staff Outcomes in the Person-Centered Care Nursing Home Arena. *J Nurs Scholarsh*. 2019;51:560–8. doi:10.1111/jnu.12488.
30. Bratt C, Gautun H. Should I stay or should I go? Nurses' wishes to leave nursing homes and home nursing. *J Nurs Manag*. 2018;26:1074–82. doi:10.1111/jonm.12639.
31. Choi J, Kim DE, Yoon JY. Person-Centered Care Environment Associated With Care Staff Outcomes in Long-Term Care Facilities. *J Nurs Res*. 2020;29:e133. doi:10.1097/JNR.0000000000000412.
32. Berridge C, Lima J, Schwartz M, Bishop C, Miller SC. Leadership, Staff Empowerment, and the Retention of Nursing Assistants: Findings From a Survey of U.S. Nursing Homes. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2020;21:1254-1259.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2020.01.109.
33. Costello H, Walsh S, Cooper C, Livingston G. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence and associations of stress and burnout among staff in long-term care facilities for people with dementia. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2019;31:1203–16. doi:10.1017/S1041610218001606.
34. Kuliga S, Berwig M, Roes M. Wayfinding in People with Alzheimer's Disease: Perspective Taking and Architectural Cognition—A Vision Paper on Future Dementia Care Research Opportunities. *Sustainability*. 2021;13:1084. doi:10.3390/su13031084.
35. van Hoof J, Kort HSM, van Waarde H, Blom MM. Environmental interventions and the design of homes for older adults with dementia: an overview. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen*. 2010;25:202–32. doi:10.1177/1533317509358885.
36. Lee SY, Chaudhury H, Hung L. Effects of Physical Environment on Health and Behaviors of Residents With Dementia in Long-Term Care Facilities: A Longitudinal Study. *Res Gerontol Nurs*. 2016;9:81–91. doi:10.3928/19404921-20150709-01.
37. Morgan-Brown M, Newton R, Ormerod M. Engaging life in two Irish nursing home units for people with dementia: quantitative comparisons before and after implementing household environments. *Aging Ment Health*. 2013;17:57–65. doi:10.1080/13607863.2012.717250.
38. Nygaard A, Halvorsrud L, Grov EK, Bergland A. What matters to you when the nursing is your home: a qualitative study on the views of residents with dementia living in nursing homes. *BMC Geriatr*. 2020;20:227. doi:10.1186/s12877-020-01612-w.
39. Kitwood T. *Dementia reconsidered: The person comes first*. Berkshire: Open University Press; 1997.
40. McCormack B, McCance T, editors. *Person-centred practice in nursing and health care: Theory and practice*. West Sussex: WileyBlackwell; 2017.
41. Ho P, Cheong RCY, Ong SP, Fusek C, Wee SL, Yap PLK. Person-Centred Care Transformation in a Nursing Home for Residents with Dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra*. 2021;11:1–9. doi:10.1159/000513069.

42. Harnett T. Framing spaces in places: creating "respite spaces" in dementia care settings. *Dementia*. 2014;13:396–411. doi:10.1177/1471301212474144.
43. Quan NG, Lohman MC, Resciniti NV, Friedman DB. A systematic review of interventions for loneliness among older adults living in long-term care facilities. *Aging Ment Health*. 2020;24:1945–55. doi:10.1080/13607863.2019.1673311.
44. Adlbrecht L, Bartholomeyczik S, Hildebrandt C, Mayer H. Social interactions of persons with dementia living in special care units in long-term care: A mixed-methods systematic review. *Dementia*. 2020:1471301220919937. doi:10.1177/1471301220919937.
45. Kang B, Scales K, McConnell ES, Song Y, Lepore M, Corazzini K. Nursing home residents' perspectives on their social relationships. *J Clin Nurs*. 2020;29:1162–74. doi:10.1111/jocn.15174.
46. Vossius C, Selbaek G, Šaltytė Benth J, Wimo A, Bergh S. The use of direct care in nursing home residents: A longitudinal cohort study over 3 years. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2019;34:337–51. doi:10.1002/gps.5026.
47. Chen EK, Reid MC, Parker SJ, Pillemer K. Tailoring evidence-based interventions for new populations: a method for program adaptation through community engagement. *Eval Health Prof*. 2013;36:73–92. doi:10.1177/0163278712442536.
48. Hamiduzzaman M, Kuot A, Greenhill J, Strivens E, Isaac V. Towards personalized care: Factors associated with the quality of life of residents with dementia in Australian rural aged care homes. *PLoS ONE*. 2020;15:e0233450. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0233450.
49. Handley M, Bunn F, Goodman C. Supporting general hospital staff to provide dementia sensitive care: A realist evaluation. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2019;96:61–71. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.10.004.
50. Handley M, Bunn F, Lynch J, Goodman C. Using non-participant observation to uncover mechanisms: Insights from a realist evaluation. *Evaluation*. 2019:135638901986903. doi:10.1177/1356389019869036.
51. Pawson R, Tilley N. *Realistic evaluation*. London: SAGE; 2010.
52. Roberts S, Grealish L, Williams LT, Hopper Z, Jenkins J, Spencer A, Marshall AP. Development and Process Evaluation of a Complex Intervention for Improving Nutrition among Hospitalised Patients: A Mixed Methods Study. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2019. doi:10.3390/healthcare7020079.
53. Anrys P, Strauven G, Roussel S, Vande Ginste M, Lepeleire J de, Foulon V, Spinewine A. Process evaluation of a complex intervention to optimize quality of prescribing in nursing homes (COME-ON study). *Implement Sci*. 2019;14:104. doi:10.1186/s13012-019-0945-8.
54. Lichtwarck B, Myhre J, Selbaek G, Kirkevold Ø, Rokstad AM, Benth JŠ, Bergh S. TIME to reduce agitation in persons with dementia in nursing homes. A process evaluation of a complex intervention. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2019;19:349. doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4168-0.
55. Luker JA, Craig LE, Bennett L, Ellery F, Langhorne P, Wu O, Bernhardt J. Implementing a complex rehabilitation intervention in a stroke trial: a qualitative process evaluation of AVERT. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2016;16:52. doi:10.1186/s12874-016-0156-9.

56. Bridges J, May C, Fuller A, Griffiths P, Wigley W, Gould L, et al. Optimising impact and sustainability: a qualitative process evaluation of a complex intervention targeted at compassionate care. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2017;26:970–7. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2017-006702.
57. Silies K, Schnakenberg R, Berg A, Kirchner Ä, Langner H, Köberlein-Neu J, et al. Process evaluation of a complex intervention to promote advance care planning in community-dwelling older persons (the STADPLAN study)-study protocol. *Trials.* 2020;21:653. doi:10.1186/s13063-020-04529-2.
58. Rycroft-Malone J, Seers K, Eldh AC, Cox K, Crichton N, Harvey G, et al. A realist process evaluation within the Facilitating Implementation of Research Evidence (FIRE) cluster randomised controlled international trial: an exemplar. *Implement Sci.* 2018;13:138. doi:10.1186/s13012-018-0811-0.
59. Al-HadiHasan A, Callaghan P, Lymn JS. Qualitative process evaluation of a psycho-educational intervention targeted at people diagnosed with schizophrenia and their primary caregivers in Jordan. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2017;17:68. doi:10.1186/s12888-017-1225-2.
60. Moore GF, Evans RE, Hawkins J, Littlecott H, Melendez-Torres GJ, Bonell C, Murphy S. From complex social interventions to interventions in complex social systems: Future directions and unresolved questions for intervention development and evaluation. *Evaluation.* 2019;25:23–45. doi:10.1177/1356389018803219.
61. Coryn CLS, Noakes LA, Westine CD, Schröter DC. A Systematic Review of Theory-Driven Evaluation Practice From 1990 to 2009. *American Journal of Evaluation.* 2011;32:199–226. doi:10.1177/1098214010389321.

Figures




Figure 1

Process of data analysis.




Figure 2

Relation between interventions and contextual aspects influencing implementation and outcomes of the care concept.