

A 5-Year Review of 1220 Malignant Periocular Tumours in an English County

Zhiheng Lin (✉ zlin@doctors.org.uk)

Addenbrooke's Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9607-6661>

Umair Sheikh

James Paget University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Laszlo Igali

Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital NHS Trust: Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Bridget Hemmant

James Paget University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Research Article

Keywords: Basal cell carcinoma ,Squamous cell carcinoma ,Non-melanoma skin cancer ,Malignant melanoma, Sebaceous gland carcinoma

Posted Date: July 26th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-557485/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

PURPOSE:

To determine the incidence, proportion and location of periocular tumours in an English county over a five year period, and compare to other studies in the UK and worldwide.

METHODS:

A retrospective review of histopathology reports was performed for all periocular excision biopsy of malignancies from the county's three main hospitals over a 5-year period. These hospitals cover a population of just over one million. Tumours were classified according to type and location.

RESULTS:

1220 lesions were included in this study. Right-sided lesions were more common than left. The incidence of basal cell carcinoma was 22 per 100,000 and squamous cell carcinoma 1.3 per 100,000, which were found most commonly on the lower eyelid and eyebrow respectively. The incidence of all other types of lesions was less than 0.5 per 100,000 per year.

CONCLUSION:

The incidence of periocular basal cell carcinomas in the predominantly elderly Caucasian population was at least three times the published national average. The high incidence of periocular tumours in this East of England county is set to increase further as the proportion of over 65 year olds in the population is set to nearly double within two decades.

Introduction

Skin cancers represent the most common malignancies in the UK[1]. The commonest form of skin cancer is basal cell carcinoma (BCC), which most often affects the head and neck. BCCs are often grouped with squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) as 'non-melanoma skin cancers' (NMSC). Malignant melanomas (MM) cause the majority of mortality. NMSC combined with MM account for over 95% of all skin cancers [1]. Risk factors for skin cancers include age, sun exposure (specifically UV radiation), and Fitzpatrick Type One or Two skin i.e. skin that is less pigmented. In relation to sun exposure, these lesions have a predilection for the lower eyelid. Eyelid skin is thin with no subcutaneous fat and changes are usually apparent as well as being aesthetically displeasing. Excision of periocular tumours can also affect the function of the eyelids which is to protect and lubricate the ocular surface.

Eyelid cancers are a substantial proportion of the Oculoplastic workload. Worldwide, the incidence of periocular tumours is increasing [2–4]. England is following this trend, with an aging population and growing popularity of foreign travel.

The authors' county in the East of England has a mild climate with plentiful coastline. It is a popular retirement destination and therefore has a predominantly elderly Caucasian population. This population is relatively static with roughly 20,000 people entering or leaving per annum. 21.4% of the population are over 65 (retirement age in England), compared to 16.5% for the rest of England. This elderly population subset is projected to reach nearly 40% by 2033. There is a low rate of non-Caucasian ethnic minorities at only 3.5%, compared to 14.6% average in England [5]. This area thus could have a higher incidence rate of skin cancers compared to the rest of England as age, sun exposure and fair skin are risk factors.

The aim of this study is to assess the incidence, proportion and most likely location of different malignant periocular tumours, and compare the results to the rest of the UK and worldwide. This will provide an idea of future surgical caseload in this county.

Materials And Methods

This was a multicentre study involving the three main hospitals in the authors' county, including one tertiary centre and two district general hospitals. Biopsies were sent to the tertiary centre which hosts the only histopathology lab in the county. All cases from a 5-year period with the word 'eye' or 'brow' or 'orbit' in the request or report were assessed against the exclusion criteria. Benign or pre-malignant lesions were excluded and incisional biopsies were excluded with the exception of lymphoma. Recurrent lesions were also excluded. Tissue came from four specialties; ophthalmology, dermatology, plastic surgery and general practice.

The type and location of each tumour was described as either; upper lid, lower lid, medial canthus, lateral canthus, eyebrow or orbit. The location was recorded as inconclusive when there was mention of being near the eye but exact periocular site was no clear on the biopsy report.

Our data was analysed with SPSS (version 23, SPSS, Chicago, USA). This study adhered to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

The three hospitals covers a population of just over one million (1,016,000 in 2018) [6]. Over 5500 periocular samples were analysed retrospectively, with a total of 1220 lesions included of which 124 were excised using Mohs technique. 20 recurrent lesions were excluded. Table 1 displays the proportion and incidence and site of the different types of tumours. Lesions were more common on the right side (52.1%, n=632) compared to the left (47.9%, n=582), however this difference did not reach statistical significance ($p=0.151$, chi-square goodness-of-fit test). Overall locations of periocular malignancies are displayed in Table 2.

Table 1

Proportion, incidence and site of periocular malignancies in an English county over a 5 year period (including eyebrow lesions)

Type	% (n)	Incidence per 100,000	Most Common Location
Basal cell carcinoma	90.7% (1118)	22.0	Lower lid (37.4%, n=378)
Squamous cell carcinoma	5.5% (67)	1.3	Eyebrow (44.8%, n=30)
Melanoma	0.7% (9)	0.2	Lower lid (33.3%, n=3)
Lymphoma	0.5% (6)	0.1	Lower lid (66.7%, n=4)
Sebaceous gland carcinoma	0.5% (6)	0.1	Upper lid (50.0%, n=3)
Basosquamous carcinoma	0.5% (6)	0.1	Eyebrow (33.3%, n=2) Medial canthus (33.3%, n=2) Lower lid (33.3%, n=2)
Merkel cell carcinoma	0.4% (5)	0.1	Eyebrow (60.0%, n=3)
Porocarcinoma	0.2% (3)	<0.1	Eyebrow (66.7%, n=2)
Total	100% (1220)	24.0	

Table 2

Location of all periocular malignancies in an England county over a 5 year period

Site of Malignancy	% (n)
Lower lid	38.2% (438)
Medial canthus	28.6% (327)
Eyebrow	20.7% (237)
Lateral canthus	6.1% (70)
Upper lid	5.9% (67)
Orbit	0.3% (3)
Inconclusive	(76)

Discussion

The county studied has an incidence of 22 cases of periocular BCC per 100,000. This is much higher than the 4.5 cases per 100,000 across England reported by Saleh et al, although they state likely underestimation due to regional variation in BCC registration and under-reporting [7]. Quigley et al found a rate of 13-16 per 100,000 in nearby Ireland, although the Irish population is younger (<15% over 65), and proportionally less Caucasian (91.7% vs 96.5% here), so fewer NMSC would be expected [8, 9]. These studies excluded eyebrow lesions; excluding eyebrow lesions from our results reduces the incidence to 18 cases per 100,000, which remains the highest value. The higher rate in this county is likely due to the population demographic and environment. Of note this area is relatively rural with significant agricultural and maritime activity, however Paavilainen et al found no significant difference between different occupation categories or social class in relation to rates of BCC in Finland [3].

SCCs are more likely to metastasize and have a higher mortality rate relative to BCCs [10]. We report an incidence of 1.3 cases per 100,000, reducing to 0.7 cases if eyebrow lesions are excluded. This is comparable to an England-wide study with a mean incidence of 0.6, but lower than the 1.4-2.1 cases per 100,000 found in Quigley et al's study [8, 11]. They found a rate of periocular melanoma of 1 per million in Ireland, similar to this study (1.4 excluding eyebrow melanomas) [8]. Melanomas account for only a minority of periocular malignancies, but have a much higher rate of mortality than any other lesion [1]. England and Ireland have similar latitudes and populations. Studies have shown a relationship between latitude and rate of

skin cancer in Caucasian populations, more so for SCC than BCC [12]. In Australia where there is a predominantly Caucasian population exposed to a lot of sunshine, skin cancers have a much higher incidence [13].

The remaining 26 periocular lesions were a mixture of rarer cancers. Porocarcinomas were most often found on the brow given the relative abundance of sweat glands there. SGCs are more commonly found in on the head and neck than elsewhere on the body due to the distribution of sebaceous glands [14]. We found SGCs were most common on the upper lid, correlating with the more numerous sebaceous glands found there compared to the rest of the periocular area.

Table 3 compares our results for malignant lesions to other large studies performed elsewhere in the world, specifically incorporating later studies as incidence changes over time [2–4, 8, 15–22]. Eyebrow lesions from our study have been excluded for better comparison. Differences may be due to factors such as inclusion criteria, cancer registration, ease of access to healthcare (England's National Health Service is free), and healthcare policy. The proportions of malignant lesions align with similar studies in predominantly Caucasian population [8, 15]. Specifically our results mirror those found by Cook and Bartley in an USA study in Minnesota [16]. Our populations are similar (both 96% Caucasian, middle class) with a similar latitude (Minnesota 47, our county 53). Our study population was on average older however, with a greater proportion over the age of 45. Our results were also similar to the proportions found in Greece and Iran which is of surprise given that Mediterranean and Middle-Eastern skin tends to be more pigmented and at lower risk of BCC [17, 18].

Table 3

Comparison of the proportions of periocular malignancies based on latitude (excluding eyebrow lesions)

	Quigley ^[9]	Lin	Deprez ^[15]	Cook ^[16]	Asproudis ^[17]	Bagheri ^[18]	Lin ^[2]	Kaliki ^[19]	Domingo ^[20]
Country	Ireland	<i>Eastern County (England)</i>	Switzerland	Minnesota (USA)	Greece	Iran	Taiwan	Hyderabad (India)	Philippines
Latitude	53.1	52.6	46.8	46.7	39.1	32.4	23.7	17.4	12.9
Total cases	5457	983	894	174	351	100	1121	536	170
Basal cell carcinoma (n)	88% (4824)	94% (921)	86% (772)	91% (158)	86% (303)	83%	65% (730)	24% (128)	31% (52)
Squamous cell carcinoma (n)	10% (528)	4% (37)	7% (67)	9% (15)	7% (25)	8%	13% (141)	18% (99)	17% (29)
Melanoma (n)	1% (50)	1% (7)		<1% (1)	2% (6)	2%	2% (21)	2% (12)	12% (20)
Lymphoma (n)		<1% (6)				1%		<1% (2)	6% (11)
Sebaceous gland carcinoma (n)		<1% (6)	3% (29)			6%	8% (89)	53% (285)	31% (52)
Basosquamous (n)		<1% (4)			5% (17)		1% (10)		
Merkel cell carcinoma (n)		<1% (2)	<1% (4)					<1% (1)	
Adenocarcinoma (n)							2% (19)	<1% (1)	

Racial differences are evident for SGCs, which are more common in Asian populations but account for less than 1% of cases in this study [2, 19, 20]. The proportion of BCCs is lower in Asia; a comprehensive study in Taiwan showed a lower percentage of BCCs (65% ie two thirds), and higher proportions of SCCs (13%) and SGCs (8%) [2]. Domingo et al's study in a Phillipino population found only 31% of lesions to be BCCs, and 31% of lesions to be SGCs [20]. In India, the proportion of SGCs was 53% [19]. Dasgupta et al found no racial predilection for SGCs, only a relative lack of other skins cancers in more pigmented races[14]. Darker, more pigmented skin is protective against certain dermatological malignancies, however lighter skin is seen as culturally desirable in some part of Asia, leading to sun avoidance and again reducing the rate of dermatological malignancy

[14]. In countries with a high proportion of Muslims, wearing the niqab reduces sun exposure in women. In Saudi Arabia, the incidence of BCCs was found to be 0.8 per 100,000, compared to 18 in this study [23].

Periocular malignancies are more likely to be located where the skin is exposed to sunlight. Accordingly, the lower lid was most likely to be affected (38.2%) and the upper lid least so (5.9%). One finding of interest is the higher number of lesions distributed on the right compared to the left, although the difference did not reach statistical significance. In England the driver sits on the right, and so their right side is more exposed to the sun. Other studies in Australia and Israel concur with this finding based on the side the driver sits [24, 25]. Fewest lesions were found in the orbit, although the county does host orbital, maxillofacial and ENT surgeons, and thus orbital lesions would not have been referred elsewhere.

The strengths of this study are the number of tumours, and the inclusiveness of all specialties, resulting in fewer periocular lesions missed. We have also included lesions excised in private practice and from Mohs surgery. There are no other histopathology departments in the county. Our results are therefore likely to be representative of the true incidence.

Limitations of this study include reliance on the subjective descriptions of the operating surgeon to determine lesion location rather than using photographs. Not all malignant periocular lesions are treated with margin control (e.g. curettage) or surgical excision, and although those numbers are small, the incidence rates here may be an underestimate despite being higher than the UK average.

In summary, our results show the incidence of periocular basal cell carcinomas in our predominantly elderly Caucasian population in this east of England county was at least three times the national average. The ratios of each type of periocular malignancy is in fitting with published data for this homogenous population demographic. The relatively high incidence of periocular tumours in is set to increase as the proportion of over 65 year olds in the population is predicted to nearly double within two decades, and subsequently surgical caseload will also rise.

Declarations

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Funding (information that explains whether and by whom the research was supported):

The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests (include appropriate disclosures):

Not applicable

Availability of data and material (data transparency):

available on request

Code availability (software application or custom code):

Not applicable

Authors' contributions (optional: please review the submission guidelines from the journal whether statements are mandatory):

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Zhiheng Lin and Umair Sheikh. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Zhiheng Lin and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval (include appropriate approvals or waivers):

This is an observational study. The Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital Research Ethics Committee has confirmed that no ethical approval is required.

References

1. (2006) National Collaborating Centre for Cancer. Improving Outcomes for People with Skin Tumours including Melanoma
2. Lin H-Y, Cheng C-Y, Hsu W-M et al (2006) Incidence of Eyelid Cancers in Taiwan. *Ophthalmology* 113:2101–2107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.06.001>
3. Paavilainen V, Tuominen J, Pukkala E, Saari KM (2005) Basal cell carcinoma of the eyelid in Finland during 1953 – 97: *Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica* 2005. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 83:215–220. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0420.2005.00445.x>
4. de Vries E, Louwman M, Bastiaens M et al (2004) Rapid and Continuous Increases in Incidence Rates of Basal Cell Carcinoma in the Southeast Netherlands Since 1973. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 123:634–638. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-202X.2004.23306.x>
5. (2012) Demographics Services Planning, Performances and Partnerships. *Demography and Information in Norfolk*
6. (2019) Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital Quality Report
7. Saleh GM, Desai P, Collin JRO et al (2017) Incidence of eyelid basal cell carcinoma in England: 2000–2010. *Br J Ophthalmol* 101:209–212. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2015-308261>
8. Quigley C, Deady S, Hughes E et al (2019) National incidence of eyelid cancer in Ireland (2005–2015). *Eye* 33:1534–1539. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-019-0437-8>
9. Census 2016
10. Rees JR, Zens MS, Celaya MO et al (2015) Survival after squamous cell and basal cell carcinoma of the skin: A retrospective cohort analysis: Survival after keratinocyte cancer. *Int J Cancer* 137:878–884. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29436>
11. Wawrzynski J, Tudge I, Fitzgerald E et al (2018) Report on the incidence of squamous cell carcinomas affecting the eyelids in England over a 15-year period (2000–2014). *Br J Ophthalmol* 102:1358–1361. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2017-310956>
12. Marks R (1997) Epidemiology non-melanoma skin cancer and solar keratoses in Australia: A tale of self immunisation in Elysian fields. *Australas J Dermatol* 38:S26–S29. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-0960.1997.tb01004.x>
13. Apalla Z, Lallas A, Sotiriou E et al (2017) Epidemiological trends in skin cancer. *Dermatol Pract Concept* 7:. <https://doi.org/10.5826/dpc.0702a01>
14. Dasgupta T, Wilson LD, Yu JB (2009) A retrospective review of 1349 cases of sebaceous carcinoma: Sebaceous Carcinoma-SEER Database. *Cancer* 115:158–165. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.23952>
15. Deprez M, Uffer S (2009) Clinicopathological Features of Eyelid Skin Tumors. A Retrospective Study of 5504 Cases and Review of Literature. *The American Journal of Dermatopathology* 31:256–262. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DAD.0b013e3181961861>
16. Cook B (1999) Epidemiologic characteristics and clinical course of patients with malignant eyelid tumors in an incidence cohort in Olmsted county, Minnesota. *Ophthalmology* 106:746–750. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420\(99\)90161-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(99)90161-6)
17. Sotiropoulos G, Gartzios C, Raggos V et al (2015) Eyelid tumors at the university eye clinic of Ioannina, Greece: A 30-year retrospective study. *Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol* 22:230. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-9233.151881>
18. Tavakoli M, Zavareh R, Aletaha M et al (2013) Eyelid masses: A 10-year survey from a tertiary eye hospital in Tehran. *Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol* 20:187. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-9233.114788>
19. Kaliki S, Bothra N, Bejjanki KM et al (2019) Malignant Eyelid Tumors in India: A Study of 536 Asian Indian Patients. *Ocul Oncol Pathol* 5:210–219. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000491549>
20. Domingo R, Manganip L, Castro R (2015) Tumors of the eye and ocular adnexa at the Philippine Eye Research Institute: a 10-year review. *OPHTH* 1239. <https://doi.org/10.2147/OPHTH.S87308>
21. Lim VSY, Amrith S (2012) Declining incidence of eyelid cancers in Singapore over 13 years: population-based data from 1996 to 2008. *Br J Ophthalmol* 96:1462–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2012-302032>
22. Coroi M-C, Ro E, Mu G et al Eyelid tumors: histopathological and clinical study performed in County Hospital of Oradea between. *Eyelid tumors* 5
23. Al Wohaib M, Al Ahmadi R, Al Essa D et al (2018) Characteristics and factors related to eyelid basal cell carcinoma in Saudi Arabia. *Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol* 25:96. https://doi.org/10.4103/meajo.MEAJO_305_17
24. Ben Simon GJ, Lukovetsky S, Lavinsky F et al (2012) Histological and Clinical Features of Primary and Recurrent Periocular Basal Cell Carcinoma. *ISRN Ophthalmology* 2012:1–5. <https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/354829>
25. Wu A, Sun MT, Huilgol SC et al (2014) Histological subtypes of periocular basal cell carcinoma: Periocular basal cell carcinoma subtypes. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 42:603–607. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ceo.12298>