The Outcomes of Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients Comorbidity With Hypertension and Hyperhomocysteinemia

Jumei Yan

the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University

Jiamin Zhou

the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University

Jun Huang (✉ junhuang918@163.com)

the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University

Hongyu Zhang

the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University

Zilin Deng

the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University

Yun Du

the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University

Research Article

Keywords: acute myocardial infarction, hypertension, hyperhomocysteinemia, major adverse cardiovascular events, follow-up

Posted Date: June 4th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-567667/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on November 25th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-02340-w>.

The outcomes of acute myocardial infarction patients comorbidity with hypertension and hyperhomocysteinemia

Jumei Yan[#]. M.M., Jiamin Zhou[#]. M.M., Jun Huang*. M.D., Hongyu Zhang. M.M., Zilin

Deng.M.M., Yun Du.M.M.

Department of Cardiology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang,
Jiangxi, China

*Corresponding Author: Jun Huang,

Department of Cardiology

The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University

17 Yongwaizheng St.

Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, China

Tel: +86-13870057352; **Fax:** +86-791-88694579

Email: junhuang918@163.com

Abstract

This study investigated the outcomes and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) incurred by acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients comorbid with hypertension and hyperhomocysteinemia (HHcy) during hospitalization and 1-year follow-up. 648 consecutive AMI patients were divided into four categories: (1) hypertension with Hcy ≥ 15 $\mu\text{mol/L}$; (2) hypertension with Hcy < 15 $\mu\text{mol/L}$; (3) no-hypertension with Hcy ≥ 15 $\mu\text{mol/L}$; (4) no-hypertension with Hcy < 15 $\mu\text{mol/L}$. Information taken from these case files included gender, past medical history, vital signs, laboratory examination, electrocardiogram, coronary angiography, cardiac ultrasound, and medicine treatment. The primary endpoints were duration of coronary care units (CCU) stay, duration of in-hospital stay, and MACEs during follow-up. Our data show that hypertension and HHcy have a synergistic effect in AMI patients, AMI comorbid with hypertension and HHcy patients had more severe multi-coronary artery disease and more frequent non-culprit coronary lesions complete clogging, had a higher prevalence of pro-brain natriuretic peptide, and significant decreases in the left ventricular ejection fraction. These patients had significant increases in the duration of CCU stay and in-hospital stay, had significant increase in the rate of MACEs, had significant decreases in the survival rate during follow-up.

Keywords: acute myocardial infarction; hypertension; hyperhomocysteinemia; major adverse cardiovascular events; follow-up

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are the leading causes of mortality worldwide, and acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is one of the most fatal cardiovascular diseases. In the past years, traditional risk factors contributing to AMI had been recognized and treated. Because of the deep understanding of AMI and complications, the treatment effect and long-term survival rate of AMI patients are constantly improved. However, despite predictive strategies, a great number of AMI cases and outcomes remain unpredicted.

Homocysteine (Hcy) is a nonessential amino acid that is known as a marker of endothelial injury which is the starting point of atherosclerosis.¹ Elevated total Hcy was known to be able to increase oxidative stress, stimulate the proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells, and thus increase the risk for atherosclerosis.² Hcy had been shown to be strongly associated with restenosis and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) after coronary angioplasty, and with all-cause mortality.^{3,4} Hypertension was an independent risk factor of carotid atherosclerotic plaques formation and rupture.⁵ Previous studies had shown that hypertension and hyperhomocysteinemia (HHcy) could have a synergistic effect on the risk of cardio-cerebrovascular disease.⁶

Epidemiological studies demonstrated similar distributions of HHcy and hypertension, and both were related to an increased risk of cardiovascular events.⁷ However, the outcomes of AMI patients with hypertension and HHcy are unclear. It will be worthwhile to determine if clinical outcomes are worse in AMI patients comorbidity with hypertension and HHcy. The purpose of this study is to investigate the clinical characteristics, length of in-hospital stay,

and MACEs during hospitalization and 1-year follow-up in AMI patients with hypertension and HHcy.

Results

Baseline characteristics and outcomes of patients

The baseline clinical characteristics and outcomes of 648 enrolled patients were summarized in Table 1. The whole population were divided into four categories: (1) hypertension patients with Hcy ≥ 15 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ($n = 202$, 31.17 %); (2) hypertension patients with Hcy < 15 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ($n = 157$, 24.23 %); (3) no-hypertension patients with Hcy ≥ 15 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ($n = 153$, 23.61 %); (4) no-hypertension patients with Hcy < 15 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ($n = 136$, 20.99 %). There were no significant differences in gender, heart rate, diastolic blood pressure, smoking, diabetes mellitus, and impaired renal function. However, for hypertension patients, there was a higher prevalence of age (66.83 ± 12.09 vs. 61.32 ± 11.90 , $P < 0.001$), past hypertension medical history (45.0 % vs. 33.1 %, $P = 0.022$), past dyslipidemia medical history (11.4 % vs. 5.1 %, $P = 0.009$), and systolic blood pressure (145.43 ± 20.00 vs. 139.72 ± 18.42 , mmHg, $P = 0.006$) in the HHcy group.

Biochemical variables at admission were shown in Table 1., there were no significant differences in total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL - C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL - C), D-dimer, and fasting blood glucose (FBG). However, for hypertension patients, there was a higher prevalence of creatinine (111.23 ± 54.29 vs. 78 ± 38.93 , mmol/L, $P < 0.001$), uric acid (361.29 ± 102.73 vs. 314.04 ± 96.27 , mmol/L, $P < 0.001$), and pro-brain natriuretic peptide (pro-BNP) (1721.3 ± 2229.5 vs. 1133.9 ± 1343.8 , pg/mL, $P = 0.009$) in the HHcy patients. As shown in Table 1.,

whether in hypertension patients or in no-hypertension patients, the incidence of ST-segment elevated myocardial infarction (STEMI) (69.8 % and 71.2 %) was significant increase comparing with that of non-ST-segment elevated myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) (30.2 % and 28.7 %) in HHcy patients, but this was in contrast to the incidence in hypohomocysteine patients. For hypertension patients, there was significant decreases in the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) (48.78 ± 9.18 % vs. 53.20 ± 6.96 %, $P = 0.021$) in the HHcy patients. In our study, no differences were observed in the use of aspirin, clopidogrel, beta blocker, statin, or Diuretic drugs among the four groups. However, the hypertensive patients more frequently received angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker treatment than the no-hypertensive patients (65.19 % vs. 54.32 %).

Angiographic and procedural characteristics

Angiographic and procedure characteristics at admission were shown in Table 2. For hypertension patients, coronary artery disease was more severe in the HHcy patients that presented with multi-vessel disease (61.4 % vs. 47.8 %, $P = 0.010$) and more frequent non-culprit vessels chronic total occlusion (14.9 % vs. 7.6 %, $P = 0.035$), and intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) was more frequently used in the HHcy patients (8.4 % vs. 3.2 %, $P = 0.040$). Comparing to patients with hypertension or HHcy alone, the coronary artery lesions of hypertension with HHcy patients were more located in the left main artery (10.4%). For no-hypertension patients, there was a trend of increased incidence of multi-vessel disease and non-culprit coronary lesions (NCCLs) chronic total occlusion in the HHcy patients, but these did not reach a significant value. There was no significant difference in the prevalence and extent of culprit vessels among the all four groups.

The primary endpoints and its components

First, the primary endpoints and its components during hospitalization were analysed. For hypertension patients, there were significant increase in the duration of coronary care units (CCU) stay (4.31 ± 2.61 vs. 3.32 ± 2.05 , $P < 0.001$) and the duration of hospital stay (13.11 ± 4.95 vs. 11.94 ± 4.43 , $P = 0.020$) in the HHcy patients; the rate of MACEs (defined as cardiovascular death, acute heart failure, and arrhythmia complications) (23.8% vs. 14.0% , $P = 0.021$) were also increase in the HHcy patients. When the components of MACEs were further investigated, we found that the cardiac death rate (7.9% vs. 3.8% , $P = 0.108$) and arrhythmia complications rate (11.9% vs. 6.4% , $P = 0.077$) increased in the HHcy patients (Table 3). For no-hypertension patients, there were a trend of increased such as the MACEs rate, the cardiovascular death rate, duration of CCU stay, and duration of hospital stay in the HHcy patients, but these did not reach a significant value (Table 3).

Then the primary endpoints and its components during 1-year follow-up were analysed. For hypertension patients, there were significant increase in the rate of MACEs (defined as cardiovascular death, repeat MI, and repeat PCI / CABG) (17.2% vs. 8.6% , $P = 0.026$) in the HHcy patients. When the componensts of MACEs were further investigated, we found that the cardiovascular death rate (5.7% vs. 2.9% , $P = 0.222$) increased in the HHcy patients, but there were only a trend of increased the incidence of repeat MI, and repeat revascularization, these did not reach a significant value (Table 3). For no-hypertension patients, there were a trend of increased the incidence of MACEs and cardiovascular death rate, but these did not reach a significant value (Table 3). Kaplan Meier analysis of cumulative survival at 1-year follow-up demonstrated that the significant survival down-regulated in hypertension

comorbidity with HHcy group comparing with other three groups (Figure 1).

The results of univariate and multivariate analysis using Cox proportional hazard model adjusting for other potential predictors of mortality are shown in Table 4. Univariate analysis hazard ratio (HR) and 95 % confidence intervals (95 % CI) determined that age ≥ 65 years (HR = 2.254, 95 % CI 1.433–2.668, $p = 0.001$), female sex (HR = 0.671, 95 % CI 0.644–0.896, $p = 0.021$), smoking (HR = 1.452, 95 % CI 1.099–1.989, $p = 0.013$), dyslipidemia (HR = 1.765, 95 % CI 1.592–1.989, $p = 0.013$), diabetes mellitus (HR = 2.205, 95 % CI 1.060–2.671, $p = 0.001$), low LVEF ($< 40\%$) (HR = 1.803, 95 % CI 1.667–1.936, $p = 0.001$), and multivessel disease (HR = 2.038, 95 % CI 1.603–2.660, $p = 0.001$) were all associated with a higher MACEs rate at 1-year follow-up in AMI patients. After adjusting for many covariates, age ≥ 65 years (HR = 1.054, 95 % CI 1.023–1.084, $p = 0.001$), dyslipidemia (HR = 1.175, 95 % CI 1.021–1.520, $p = 0.034$), diabetes mellitus (HR = 1.598, 95 % CI 0.639–2.023, $p = 0.022$), low LVEF (HR = 1.054, 95 % CI 1.003–2.360, $p = 0.013$), and multi-vessel disease (HR = 1.721, 95 % CI 1.468–2.054, $p = 0.001$) were independent predictors of 1-year MACEs. Also, AMI patients with hypertension or HHcy alone, especially AMI patients with hypertension and HHcy, significantly increased the risk of MACEs at 1-year follow-up in AMI patients.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to report the baseline characteristics and outcomes of AMI patients comorbidity with hypertension and HHcy. Our novel major findings are summarized as follows: 1) AMI patients with hypertension and HHcy have more severe multi-coronary artery disease and more frequent NCCLs chronic

total occlusion; 2) AMI patients with hypertension and HHcy have a higher prevalence of pro-BNP and significant decreases in LVEF; 3) AMI patients with hypertension and HHcy have significant increase in the duration of CCU stay and in-hospital stay; 4) AMI patients with hypertension and HHcy have significant increase in the rate of MACEs during hospitalization and 1-year follow-up.

Hcy is a type of amino acid that contains sulfur and is produced by methionine metabolism. HHcy was believed to promote atherogenesis and atherothrombosis through several mechanisms.² Hcy metabolism generated reactive oxygen species that could directly injure the endothelium. Hcy had been shown to inhibit nitric oxide synthase, leading to endothelial dysfunction. 10 % of general population coronary artery disease (CAD) risk was attributed to Hcy.⁹ The elevated Hcy concentration was closely related to the mortality and morbidity of CAD.¹⁰ The risk of coronary artery disease would be increased by 60 % for men and 80 % for women with an elevation of 5 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ in plasma Hcy.⁹ HHcy was not only associated with CAD but also with AMI. In AMI patients, elevated Hcy level was associated with a higher risk of coronary events and death, independently of other risk factors.¹¹ An elevated plasma Hcy level was an independent predictor of the incidences of heart failure, cardiac rupture, death, and the total 30-day cardiovascular events in AMI patients.¹² Plasma Hcy concentration was an independent predictor for long-term mortality and MACE in ACS octogenarians after controlling conventional cardiovascular risk factors.¹³ Culprit lesions, the treatment of which was the primary goal of PCI, had been found to be responsible for AMI, while NCCLs were considered to be innocent.¹⁴ Hcy was an independent risk factor for NCCLs progression after 12 months of follow-up in elderly patients with ACS who had

undergone PCI.¹⁵ In the present study, we found that for hypertension patients, coronary artery atherosclerosis was more severe than presented with multi-vessel disease (61.4 % vs. 47.8 %, $P = 0.010$), more frequent in non-culprit vessels chronic total occlusion (14.9 % vs. 7.6 %, $P = 0.035$), and significant decreases in LVEF (48.78 ± 9.18 % vs. 53.20 ± 6.96 %, $P = 0.021$) in the HHcy patients. Because of these disease features, there were significant increases in the CCU stay (4.31 ± 2.61 vs. 3.32 ± 2.05 , $P < 0.001$) and hospitalization stay (13.11 ± 4.95 vs. 11.94 ± 4.43 , $P = 0.020$), the rates of MACEs during hospitalization or during 1-year follow-up also increased in the HHcy patients. Among the no-hypertension patients, we also found that coronary artery disease was more severe than presented with multi-vessel disease (50.3% vs. 39.7%, $P = 0.005$) in the HHcy patients. Our data was similar with previous study, which suggested the HHcy could predict the risk and outcomes of patients with AMI.

Hypertension was one of the main risk factors for atherosclerosis development. Some pathophysiologic mechanisms involved in the genesis of hypertension (such as endothelial dysfunction, insulin resistance, and diabetes) were also the risk factors for CAD.¹⁶

Hypertension was shown to be associated with an increased rate of adverse outcomes after AMI such as heart failure and cardiovascular death.¹⁷ HHcy had been linked to hypertension for the past years, elevated Hcy levels had been consistently reported in hypertensive patients.

Previous studies found that hypertension and HHcy had shown a multiplicative effect, increased the risk of stroke¹⁸ and recurrent ischemic stroke.¹⁹ Hypertension and HHcy should be the major intervention measures to decrease the incidence of carotid atherosclerotic plaques as well as the stroke.²⁰ Prevalence of events increased with increasing plasma Hcy levels suggesting a contribution of Hcy to cerebro-cardiovascular diseases in hypertensive

patients.²¹ HHcy were associated with impaired endothelial dependent vasodilatation in hypertension, Hcy and endothelium dysfunction might promote thrombogenesis and atherogenesis, leading to adverse cardiovascular events.^{22,23} It had been well known that pathophysiologic mechanism of cerebro-cardiovascular atherosclerosis was identical. Then we supposed that hypertension comorbidity with HHcy might have multiplicative effect in the outcomes of AMI patients. In the present study, our data were similar with previous study. We found that for HHcy patients, coronary artery disease was more severe that presented with multi-vessel disease (61.4 % vs. 50.3 %), more frequent non-culprit vessels chronic total occlusion (14.9 % vs. 9.8 %), and significant increases in Pro-BNP (1721.3 ± 2229.5 vs. 1062.8 ± 612.8 pg/mL) in the hypertension patients. We also found that the rates of MACEs during hospitalization or during 1-year follow-up also increased in the hypertension patients when compared with no-hypertension patients. Kaplan Meier survival analysis at 1-year follow-up demonstrated the significant down-regulation in hypertension with HHcy group compared with other three groups.

In conclusion, the results of this study could have some relevant implications for identification the outcomes and management of AMI patients comorbidity with hypertension and HHcy. Comparing to AMI patients with hypertension or HHcy alone, AMI patients comorbidity with hypertension and HHcy significantly increased the risk of MACEs at in-hospital and 1-year follow-up, and decreased the survival rate at 1-year follow-up.

Methods

Study population and inclusion criteria

This study was a single center retrospective study based on the medical records of enrolled

patients, which comprised 736 consecutive AMI patients, second-generation drug-eluting stent implantation in all patients, which were defined as NSTEMI or STEMI,⁸ and who were admitted to our hospital from June 2018 to December 2020. Among them, 41 patients who were missing Hcy values were excluded. 47 patients with incomplete clinical data, hypothyroidism, and those who took medicine that could potentially affected the Hcy metabolism (such as folic acid, vitamins, and carbamazepine) were excluded. 648 patients were finally enrolled in this research. Information taken from these case files included gender, age, discharge diagnosis, history of smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, Hcy, vital signs, laboratory examination, electrocardiogram, coronary arteriography, cardiac ultrasound, complications, and medicine treatment. The primary endpoints of this study were duration of CCU stay, duration of hospital stay, and MACEs defined as cardiovascular death, acute heart failure, arrhythmia, myocardial infarction (MI), and repeat revascularization (repeat percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting). Follow-up was obtained in every patient that survived to discharge by reviewing the medical records and / or by telephone interview with the patient or family members at 1-year after admission. The study complied with the declaration of Helsinki for investigation in human beings and was approved by the Ethical Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. As the study obtained relevant information from the previous medical records, the Ethical Committee agreed that the researchers did not need to obtain the written consent of the relevant patients.

Definitions

Hypertension was defined as blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmHg or the use of antihypertensive medications. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting plasma glucose ≥ 6.1 mmol/L and postprandial glucose ≥ 7.8 mmol/L, and / or diagnosed diabetes mellitus receiving treatment. Dyslipidemia was defined as current treatment with cholesterol-lowering medications or TC value of > 5.72 mmol/L and / or TG value of > 1.7 mmol/L and / or LDL-C value of > 3.12 mmol/L and / or HDL-C value of < 1.0 mmol/L. HHcy was defined as Hcy ≥ 15 mmol/L. Serum samples were measured in the first 48 hours after admission from peripheral venous blood samples. Patients that had smoked during the previous 6 months were classified as smokers and were self-reported. Arrhythmia included atrial fibrillation, ventricular premature beat, ventricular tachycardia, and atrioventricular block. AMI was diagnosed if the patient fulfilled 2 of the following 3 standards: chest pain continuing for > 30 minutes; dynamic alteration of ST-T appears in the electrocardiogram (ECG); and dynamic increases in troponin I or creatine kinase MB greater than three times the upper limit of normal value. Coronary artery stenosis was diagnosed based on the presence of $> 50\%$ lumen obstruction of at least one of three major coronary arteries.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted using the statistical software SPSS statistics 20 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA), and $P < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant. Continuous variables were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation or median (with inter-quartile range), and categorical variables as numbers and percentages. Comparisons between quartiles were made by analysis of variance test for continuous variables and the Pearson chi-square test for categorical variables. Survival analysis was performed by applying the Kaplan-Meier

method and log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis and calculated the HR and 95 % CI were used to assess the association between the AMI patients comorbidity with hypertension and HHcy and primary endpoints of the study.

Author contributions:

Conceptualization and data curation: Jumei Yan, Jun Huang.

Date analysis and software: Jumei Yan, Jiamin Zhou.

Follow-up investigation: Hongyu Zhang.

Collection of medical records: Zilin Deng, Yun Du.

Writing original draft: Jiamin Zhou, Jun Huang.

Acknowledgements

Thanks for the great help from the medical record management department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University.

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest exists in the submission of this manuscript, and the manuscript is approved by all authors for publication.

The manuscript is no founding source.

References

1. Hayden MR, Tyagi SC. Homocysteine and reactive oxygen species in meTableolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and atheroscleropathy: the pleiotropic effects of folate supplementation. *Nutr J.* 2004;3:4.
2. Welch GN, Loscalzo J. Homocysteine and atherothrombosis. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;

338(15):1042–1050.

3. Schnyder G, Roffi M, Flammer Y. et al. Association of plasma homocysteine with restenosis after percutaneous coronary angioplasty. *Eur Heart J.* 2002; 23(9):726-33.
4. Bostom AG, Rosenberg IH, Silbershatz H. et al. Nonfasting plasma total homocysteine levels and stroke incidence in elderly persons: the Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med.* 1999; 131(5):352-5.
5. Fisher M, Paganini-Hill A, Martin A. et al. Carotid plaque pathology: thrombosis, ulceration, and stroke pathogenesis. *Stroke.* 2005; 36(2):253–7
6. Ganguly P, Alam SF. Role of homocysteine in the development of cardiovascular disease. *Nutr J* 2015;14:6.
7. Graham IM, Daly LE, Refsum HM. et al. Plasma homocysteine as a risk factor for vascular disease. The european concerted action project. *JAMA.* 1997; 277(22):1775–1781.
8. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS. et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J.* 2012; 33(20):2551–2567.
9. Boushey CJ, Beresford SA, Omenn GS. et al. A quantitative assessment of plasma homocysteine as a risk factor for vascular disease. Probable benefits of increasing folic acid intakes. *JAMA.* 1995;274(13):1049–1057.
10. Nygard O, Nordrehaug JE, Refsum H. et al. Plasma homocysteine levels and mortality in patients with coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337(4): 230–236.
11. Matetzky S, Freimark D, Ben-Ami S. et al. Association of elevated homocysteine levels with a higher risk of recurrent coronary events and mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Arch Intern Med.* 2003;163(16):1933–1937.
12. Ma Y, Li L, Geng XB, Hong Y. et al. Correlation Between Hyperhomocysteinemia and Outcomes of Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction. *Am J Ther.* 2016; 23(6):e1464-e1468.
13. Zhenhong F, Geng Q, Hao X. et al. Hyperhomocysteinemia is an independent predictor of long-term clinical outcomes in Chinese octogenarians with acute coronary syndrome. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2015; 10: 1467–1474.

14. Mauri L. Nonculprit lesions--innocent or guilty by association. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; 369(12):1166–1167.
15. Tianwen H, Shanshan Zh, Jiantao L. et al. Homocysteine is associated with the progression of non-culprit coronary lesions in elderly acute coronary syndrome patients after percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Geriatr Cardiol*. 2016; 13(4):299-305.
16. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N. et al. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2002;360(9349):1903–1913.
17. Richards AM, Nicholls MG, Troughton RW. et al. Antecedent hypertension and heart failure after myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;39(7): 1182–1188.
18. Towfigurehi A, Markovic D, Ovbiagele B. Pronounced association of elevated serum homocysteine with stroke in subgroups of individuals: a nationwide study. *J. Neurol. Sci*. 2010;298(1-2):153–157.
19. Qing Zh, Dexing Q, Rongli F. et al. H-Type Hypertension and C Reactive Protein in Recurrence of Ischemic Stroke. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016; 13(5): 477.
20. Chen Z, Wang F, Zheng Y. et al. H-type hypertension is an important risk factor of carotid atherosclerotic plaques. *Clin Exp Hypertens*. 2016; 38(5):424-8.
21. Catena C, Colussi G, Nait F. et al. Elevated Homocysteine Levels Are Associated With the Metabolic Syndrome and Cardiovascular Events in Hypertensive Patients. *Am J Hypertens*. 2015; 28(7): 943-50.
22. Rodrigo R, Passalacqua W, Araya J. et al. Homocysteine and essential hypertension. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2003; 43(12):1299–1306
23. Sainani GS, Sainani R. Homocysteine and its role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerotic vascular disease. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2002; 50(Suppl): 16–23

Figures

Figure 1

Kaplan-Meier survival curve of cumulative survival at 1-year follow-up for whole study population (log-rank test, $P = 0.008$). (group 1, hypertension with Hcy $\geq 15 \mu\text{mol/L}$; group 2, hypertension with Hcy $< 15 \mu\text{mol/L}$; group 3, no-hypertension with Hcy $\geq 15 \mu\text{mol/L}$; group 4, no-hypertension with Hcy $< 15 \mu\text{mol/L}$).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [tab1.pdf](#)
- [tab2.pdf](#)
- [tab3.pdf](#)
- [tab4.pdf](#)