Fear of An Unprecedented, Invisible Enemy: Difficulties Experienced In Establishing Criteria For The Release of COVID-19 Patients From Isolation

Hideharu Hagiya (✉ hagiya@okayama-u.ac.jp)

Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine

Kou Hasegawa

Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine

Fumio Otsuka

Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine

Research Article

Keywords: COVID-19, emerging infectious disease, isolation, pandemic, quarantine

Posted Date: July 1st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-571466/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Introduction: The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has emerged as a global pandemic, and the United States and European authorities established criteria for the release of COVID-19 patients from isolation in October 2020. However, a huge discrepancy exists between the hospital-discharge protocol for COVID-19 patients and the release of patients from in-hospital isolation. Our initially proposed criteria for in-hospital release from isolation was not adhered to by healthcare workers (HCWs) due to prevailing concerns regarding disease infectivity. Herein, we report difficulties encountered in attempting to establish a common understanding of the management of emerging infections.

Methods: We performed a Google Form-based questionnaire survey targeting HCWs from Okayama University Hospital, Japan, via e-mail on January 21–28, 2021. The anonymous investigation required respondents to provide information regarding their background as well as perceptions regarding the requirement, level of understanding, and readiness for developing release criteria.

Results: We obtained 150 eligible responses, including 57 (38.0%) from medical doctors and 53 (35.3%) from nurses. Most HCWs managing COVID-19 patients advocated for the implementation of the criteria, whereas those not working in that capacity did not ($p<0.001$). Over half of the HCWs indicated discomfort at seeing COVID-19 patients transitioning to general management even after meeting the criteria.

Conclusions: It was challenging to establish a common understanding regarding the ideal criteria for in-hospital release of COVID-19 patients from isolation in our hospital. The dissemination of our experiences and multifaceted discussions with HCWs would be of great value as a countermeasure against the emergent pandemic.

Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) wreaked worldwide havoc in 2020. The cumulative number of infected people worldwide surpassed 100 million in January 2021 [1], reflecting a greater medical, public, and social impact than the Spanish flu epidemic a century ago. In the first half of 2020, the disease was classified as a category 2 infectious disease according to the Act on the Prevention of Infectious Diseases and Medical Care for Patients with Infectious Diseases (the Infectious Diseases Control Law) in Japan.

A large disparity exists between the discharge protocol for COVID-19 patients and the release of patients from the isolation area to the general wards in a hospital. Regardless of whether they are directly engaged in treating patients with COVID-19 or not, it is evident to a greater or lesser extent that healthcare workers (HCWs) feel that the idea of accepting recently quarantined COVID-19 patients into general wards is discomfoting, unacceptable, and objectionable, even if they meet the criteria for in-hospital release from isolation. These resentful sentiments are understandable, and we deliberately postponed the proposal of any criteria to the end of 2020 to avoid unnecessary conflicts in our hospital. However, a third wave surfaced throughout Japan at that time [2], and the number of hospital admissions, including

severe cases, surged remarkably, thus compelling us to establish criteria for in-hospital release of COVID-19 patients from isolation.

At the beginning of January 2021, we started developing criteria for in-hospital release from isolation based on those introduced by the United States and European Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDCs) in October 2020 [3, 4]. Following persistent requests and appeals for general opinions regarding the criteria to be established in our hospital, no consistent response was obtained. Thus, the criteria proposed by members of the infection control team were adopted at a general meeting conducted in mid-January 2021. However, these criteria were neither readily accepted nor adhered to by HCWs working in COVID-19 wards, leaving us with the mandate to further review and recompose the criteria. To fully understand the views and sentiments of our medical staff regarding the criteria to be adopted for in-hospital release from isolation, we elicited their opinions via an anonymous questionnaire. Herein, we report the results of the investigation, including the difficulties we experienced in establishing a common understanding of the emerging infection.

Materials And Methods

In this study, we conducted a Google Form-based questionnaire survey targeting HCWs at Okayama University Hospital in Japan. Through email, an anonymous questionnaire form was disseminated to all hospital staff on January 21–28, 2021. The questionnaire asked the respondents to provide background information regarding the following: (i) their occupation (physician, nurse, rehabilitation therapist, laboratory technician, clinical engineer, pharmacist, radiographer, or other), (ii) the number of years they have worked in their profession (1–2 years, 3–5 years, 6–10 years, 11–15 years, 15–20 years, or more than 20 years), and (iii) whether or not they worked in a ward treating COVID-19 patients. The following questions were posed to them for the purpose of investigating the requirement, level of understanding, and readiness for developing the criteria: [Question 1] “Are you in any trouble in the absence of criteria for in-hospital release from isolation at our hospital?”; [Question 2] “Do you agree with the development of criteria for in-hospital release from isolation?”; and [Question 3] “How would you feel if COVID-19 patients are placed under general management without any particular infection prevention measures after meeting the criteria for in-hospital release from isolation?”

Informed consent from individual HCWs was not necessary due to the anonymization of data. The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Okayama University Hospital (no. 2105-001).

Results

We obtained 150 eligible responses, of which 57 (38.0%) from medical doctors and 53 (35.3%) from nurses were included (Table 1). In terms of working years, 43 respondents (28.7%) had been working for ≥ 21 years and 50 (33.3%) for 11–20 years, suggesting that approximately 60% of the respondents

occupied mid-level to management positions. The proportions of HCWs who were treating COVID-19 patients and those who were not were 22.7% and 51.3%, respectively.

Table 1
Backgrounds of respondents (N = 150)

Occupation	Number (%) of respondents
Doctor	57 (38.0%)
Nurse	53 (35.3%)
Rehabilitation therapist	13 (8.7%)
Laboratory technician	7 (4.7%)
Clinical engineer	7 (4.7%)
Pharmacist	5 (3.3%)
Radiographer	3 (2.0%)
Other	5 (3.3%)
Years of professional service	
21 years and more	43 (28.7%)
11 to 20 years	50 (33.3%)
6 to 10 years	28 (18.7%)
3 to 5 years	14 (9.3%)
1 to 2 years	15 (10.0%)
Engaged in treating COVID-19 patients in the isolation ward?	
Yes	34 (22.7%)
No	77 (51.3%)
No response	39 (26.0%)

The results of responses regarding the need for criteria for in-hospital release from isolation are summarized in Table 2. One-fourth of the respondents confirmed that they had trouble managing COVID-19 patients, and more than 80% of HCWs indicated that they required the criteria in our hospital. However, over half of the respondents indicated that they were apprehensive regarding the risk of infection upon seeing recently quarantined COVID-19 patients, who had met the criteria, in the general wards.

Table 2

Questions and answers regarding the in-hospital release of COVID-19 patients from isolation.

Question 1. Are you in any trouble in the absence of the criteria for the in-hospital release from isolation at our hospital?	
Yes, I am in trouble.	42 (28.0%)
No, I am not in any trouble at all.	108 (72.0%)
Question 2. Do you agree to the development of the criteria for the in-hospital release from isolation?	
Yes, it is needed.	121 (80.7%)
No, it is not needed.	1 (0.7%)
Not sure.	28 (18.7%)
Question 3. How would you feel if COVID-19 patients are placed under general management without any particular infection prevention measures after meeting the criteria for the in-hospital release from isolation?	
We can manage the patients fearlessly	39 (26.0%)
We still worry about the risk of infection	87 (58.0%)
Others	24 (16.0%)

Of the 42 respondents who faced challenges due to the absence of the criteria, 20 (47.6%) were working in COVID-19 wards and 8 (19.0%) were not (Table 3). On the other hand, among the 108 respondents who had not been involved in any troubles in the absence of the criteria, 14 (13.0%) were working in COVID-19 wards and 69 (63.9%) were not. Fisher's exact test revealed a significant difference between the two variables ($p < 0.001$).

Table 3

The relative need for isolation-release criteria among healthcare workers managing COVID-19 patients and those who are not

	Yes, I am in trouble (N = 42)	No, I am not in any trouble at all (N = 108)	<i>p</i>
Working in COVID-19 ward	20 (47.6%)	14 (13.0%)	< 0.001
Not working in COVID-19 ward	8 (19.0%)	69 (63.9%)	
No response	14 (33.3%)	25 (23.1%)	
Fisher's test was performed.			
Responses to Question 1, "Are you in any trouble in the absence of isolation releasing criteria at our hospital?" are classified according to their workplaces.			

Discussion

Our anonymized questionnaire survey demonstrated that most HCWs in our hospital advocated for the development of criteria for in-hospital release from isolation. However, three-fourths of the HCWs practically faced no troubles due to the absence of criteria, and over half of them registered discomfort on seeing recently quarantined COVID-19 patients under general management even after meeting the criteria. These could be the main reasons underlying the failure to gain an understanding of the initial criteria launched in mid-January 2021 in our hospital. The tendency not to express individual opinions in public may be a peculiarity among Japanese people, which makes it difficult for us to be aware of their real impressions and considerations. In particular, this could be the case regarding COVID-19, an emerging infectious disease, whose actual entity and infectivity are not yet fully uncovered.

Our first proposal regarding the criteria for in-hospital release from isolation, which was gleaned from the United States and European CDCs [3, 4], was based on evidence of the disappearance of replication-competent viruses within a certain period [5, 6]. However, this criterion was not adhered to by HCWs managing COVID-19 patients due to concerns regarding potential infectivity and safety. Despite the scientific evidence-based recommendations, there was a latent, difficult-to-verbalize fear of the unprecedented virus among the HCWs regarding the risk of infection. This was undoubtedly a solid barrier to their ready acceptance of the criteria. Another month ensued before the discussion matured, and we were eventually able to enforce the criteria on March 1, 2021. Before that, patients, especially those under critical care, were isolated for prolonged periods of time; in such cases, we continued with full precautions and complete isolation even up to over 60 days after disease onset.

There are many negative implications emanating from the absence of criteria for in-hospital release from isolation for the management of emerging infectious diseases. First, it imposes a practical burden on HCWs. The physical and emotional stress of providing medical care in a contaminated area (the so-called “red zone”), even while wearing personal protective equipment (PPE), is considerable. Second, a shortage of PPE is also a major concern. The longer the isolation period, the more the PPE needed. The wastage or overuse of PPE should be avoided to the greatest extent possible, especially in the midst of a prolonged epidemic. Third, the required consultation or rehabilitation are greatly limited in the absence of the criteria, resulting in adverse effects, such as a delay or failure in properly diagnosing other conditions at the right moment as well as prolonged recovery time before discharge and reintegration into society. In light of these observations, the enforcement of reasonable criteria with a high compliance rate is imperative, for which sufficient time for consideration, repeated discussion, and small group meetings would be required.

Emerging infectious diseases will surely appear in the future. In particular, in this age of globalization as a consequence of developed transportation systems, it is plausible to anticipate an even higher infection risk than before, as evidenced by history. The nature of the next pandemic to strike the world is unknown; however, as medical professionals, we need to make courageous, generally acceptable, field-oriented, and sustainable decisions based on data available at each moment, while having a balanced view of gradually emerging evidence and various opinions from multidisciplinary medical personnel. Our current knowledge base, established through our experience with the COVID-19 pandemic, is potentially beneficial in the event of another menace due to a newly emerging infectious disease.

Declarations

- i) Declaration: The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Okayama University Hospital (no. 2105-001) in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committees of Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Okayama University Hospital.
- ii) Consent for publication: Not applicable.
- iii) Availability of data and materials: Data in detail will be available if requested to the corresponding author.
- iv) Competing interests: None to report.
- v) Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
- vi) Authors' contributions: H. Hagiya conceived of the presented idea and performed data collection. K. Hasegawa verified and supported the study. F. Otsuka supervised the findings of this work. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.
- vii) Acknowledgements We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for assistance in editing this manuscript.

References

1. World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard 2021. <https://covid19.who.int/table> (accessed March 28, 2021).
2. Karako K, Song P, Chen Y, Tang W, Kokudo N. Overview of the characteristics of and responses to the three waves of COVID-19 in Japan during 2020–2021. *Biosci Trends* 2021;15:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.5582/bst.2021.01019>.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim Guidance on Duration of Isolation and Precautions for Adults with COVID-19 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/duration-isolation.html> (accessed April 5, 2021).
4. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Guidance for discharge and ending of isolation of people with COVID-19 2020. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Guidance-for-discharge-and-ending-of-isolation-of-people-with-COVID-19.pdf> (accessed April 5, 2021).
5. Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, Seilmaier M, Zange S, Müller MA, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature* 2020;581:465–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x>.

6. van Kampen JJA, van de Vijver DAMC, Fraaij PLA, Haagmans BL, Lamers MM, Okba N, et al. Duration and key determinants of infectious virus shedding in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). *Nat Commun* 2021;12:267. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20568-4>.