Characteristics and Influencing Factors of Energy Consumption in Chinese Rural Households

Xiaowei Ma (✉ maxiaowei@bit.edu.cn)

Beijing Institute of Technology <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9361-7805>

Dandan Liu

Beijing Institute of Technology

Danni Chen

Beijing Institute of Technology

Mei Wang

Beijing Institute of Technology

Chuandong Li

Beijing Institute of Technology

Research Article

Keywords: Rural households, Energy conservation initiative, Energy structure, Energy consumption

Posted Date: June 16th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-576701/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Environmental Science and Pollution Research on April 1st, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19784-w>.

Characteristics and Influencing Factors of Energy Consumption in Chinese Rural Households

Xiaowei Ma^{1,2,3,4*}, Dandan Liu^{1,2}, Danni Chen^{1,2}, Mei Wang^{1,2} and Chuandong Li^{1,2}

¹ Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

² School of Management and Economics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

³ Beijing Key Laboratory of Energy Economics and Environmental Management, Beijing 100081, China

⁴ Sustainable Development Research Institute for Economy and Society of Beijing, Beijing 100081, China

18463116636@163.com (D.L.); 3120181499@bit.edu.cn (D.C.); 7220171131@bit.edu.cn (M.W.); 3120181476@bit.edu.cn (C.L.)

* Correspondence: xiaoweibit@163.com; maxiaowei@bit.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-010-6891-8454

Abstract

The sustainable development of China's economy has brought about a large increase in energy consumption of residents, especially in rural areas. Nowadays, the per capita energy consumption in rural areas has exceeded the urban residents. Therefore, it is of great significance to pay attention to the energy consumption of rural households in order to realize the harmonious development of energy and economy in China. Based on the survey data of rural households in central and eastern China, this paper uses the method of CLAD estimation of Tobit model to study the influencing factors and structural characteristics of rural households' energy consumption, so as to analyze how to deal with the rapid growth of rural energy consumption and optimize the rural energy structure. The results show that the energy structure of rural households is unreasonable, rural families are using more traditional and inferior cooking heating energy, and there are some differences in different regions; Family characteristics, family wealth and energy conservation initiative have an impact on total energy consumption and different types of energy. Increasing income, education level, electrical appliances quantity and energy conservation initiative are conducive to optimizing the energy structure of the family and promoting the transformation of energy structure.

Key Words: Rural households; Energy conservation initiative; Energy structure; Energy consumption

Introduction

Rural areas play an important role in renewable energy generation and climate change mitigation (Woods & M. 2012; Argent 2017). Therefore, it is of great significance to explore the characteristics and influencing factors of energy consumption structure of rural residents in the process of low carbon. With the entry of socialism with Chinese characteristics into a new era, the level of economic development continues to grow, increasing the domestic demand for energy consumption. However, the optimization of economic structure makes us pay more attention to the transformation of energy structure while focusing on the increase of total energy consumption. In 2012, China's total energy consumption was 4.02 billion tons of standard coal, reaching 4.86 billion tons in 2019. On the other hand, as a large agricultural country, China's rural population accounts for about 40% of the total population. In recent years, with the government issuing a series of policies to benefit the rural people and strengthening the construction of infrastructure facilities, the level of rural economy has developed

rapidly (Wu et al. 2016). At the same time, the total amount of energy consumption in rural areas shows a trend of rapid growth, and the energy structure has undergone significant changes. In 2005, the per capita domestic energy consumption of urban residents was 288 kg of standard coal, and that of rural residents was 155 kg of standard coal; In 2017, the per capita domestic energy consumption of urban and rural residents reached 415kg and 417kg of standard coal respectively(National Bureau of statistics of the people's Republic of China 2018). The growth rate of rural residents' living energy is much higher than that of urban residents. The gap between urban and rural energy consumption is gradually narrowing and the rural areas have been anti urban per capita energy consumption. Considering that non-commercial energy such as straw and firewood are widely used in rural areas, especially in northern China, the energy consumption of rural residents is much higher than that of urban residents, and the carbon monoxide, sulfur oxides and nitrogen oxides produced by the combustion of these energy will have adverse effects on the ecological environment and personal health(Wei et al. 2013; Ekholm et al. 2010). In recent years, with the acceleration of urbanization, the consumption of commodity energy by rural residents has increased significantly, the energy consumption structure has transformed to the coexistence mode of traditional and modern energy, the popularization rate of clean energy has increased steadily, and most families can use modern energy such as electricity, liquefied petroleum gas and natural gas(Liao 2019). The transformation of energy consumption structure of rural residents in China has a significant impact on the optimization of energy consumption structure in China. So, what is the current situation of rural household energy consumption? What are the influencing factors of energy consumption? To answer these questions, we use the data of face-to-face interviews with farmers to analyze the characteristics of rural household energy consumption and explore the differences between different regions. In addition, based on the CLAD estimation method of Tobit model, this paper analyzes the influencing factors of different types of energy consumption of rural households, which is of great significance to optimize the structure of rural energy consumption.

The contribution of this research to the existing literature can be summarized as follows: firstly, the data is closer to the actual situation of rural families because of the first-hand data obtained from the field research of the research group; Secondly, the content is not only limited to the driving force and characteristics of the total energy consumption, but also further analyzes the influencing factors and characteristics of different types of energy consumption. On this basis, we explore the heterogeneity of energy consumption between regions, which is of great significance to the transformation of rural energy structure; Finally, considering the influence of rural residents' psychological factors on energy consumption, this paper divides rural households' energy conservation initiative into three parts: energy conservation behavior, energy conservation awareness and policy perception, studies the influence of all factors on energy consumption structure, and compares the differences of different factors based on the basic characteristics of rural households, so as to provide empirical suggestions for optimizing rural energy structure.

Literature review

In terms of energy options, Chambwera believes that with the increase of income, the family's energy choice will shift from traditional energy such as firewood to coal, and finally choose modern energy such as natural gas and electricity(Chambwera & Folmer 2007).Kammen proposed that modern energy is a possible substitute for traditional energy, and would not be completely replaced by traditional energy(Kammen 2000). Based on China's provincial panel data, Han and Wu's Empirical

Research proves that China's rural household energy structure indeed is used in many forms (Han et al. 2018). Ravindra et al. also confirmed the diversification of energy consumption in rural households in India (Ravindra et al. 2019).

In the analysis of factors affecting rural residents' energy consumption, many studies focus on socioeconomic factors. Mottaleb studies the energy choices of more than 29000 households in Bangladesh, and the results show that households will use more clean and green energy, such as natural gas and electricity as increasing income (Mottaleb et al. 2017). In China, wages of rural population have a significant positive impact on rural electricity consumption and new energy consumption, and a negative impact on traditional biomass consumption (Qiu et al. 2018). Rural households with higher income are more likely to buy energy conservation labeled appliances (Zou & Mishra 2020). At the same time, the role of energy price could not be ignored. Farsi et al. (Farsi et al. 2007) and Ondraczek et al. (2013) found that the price of kerosene was negatively correlated with the expectation of households choosing kerosene in India and Kenya respectively. In terms of population factors, Gebreegziabher et al. studied the energy transformation of some cities in Ethiopia. The results show that the improvement of education level and income level may increase a family's utilization of electricity and reduce the consumption of wood (Gebreegziabher et al. 2012). Zhai Zihan and Fu Jun studied Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture in Sichuan Province and found that family size, education level, income level and ethnic habits have significant effects on Residents' energy consumption (Zhai & Jun 2016). There is a negative relationship between household size and energy consumption, and there are regional differences (Yang et al. 2018). The family size and cooking habits of rural families have an important impact on energy transformation. The larger the family size and cooking time, the less likely a family is to choose natural gas and electricity (Baiyegunhi & Hassan 2014).

In addition, some scholars believe that the energy consumption structure of rural households may be related to the production characteristics of households. Guta et al. collected data from Ethiopia, research shows that household assets such as land and livestock play an important role in energy use and substitution (Guta 2014). Jean-Marie et al. studied the process of deep forest degradation in Nepal and found that the number of large livestock had a positive relationship with family firewood collection (Jean-Marie et al. 2018). The research on the rural household energy use patterns of Tujia and Miao Nationalities in Chongqing shows that the household energy use patterns are significantly affected by electrical appliances and pig production (Mao et al. 2020). The shortage of fuel will lead families to adopt alternative or supplementary alternatives. According to Peng et al.'s research on rural households in Hubei Province of China, terrain has a significant impact on fuel conversion in energy structure conversion, and the availability of different energy sources is different, resulting in large differences in use (Peng et al. 2010). Link et al.'s results show that women, as the main labor force that can collect firewood, affect the transformation of energy structure from traditional to modern (Link et al. 2012). Some scholars also emphasized the importance of infrastructure for modern fuel acquisition (Leach 1992). However, a study of South Africa shows that infrastructure is of little importance (Davis 1998).

Some scholars have studied the influence of psychological factors on energy consumption. Stern's "value-belief-norm" theory holds that environmental values, beliefs and subjective norms will have an impact on energy conservation behavior (Stern et al. 1999). Steg et al. confirmed the causal connection of variables in VBN theory through a questionnaire survey of 112 respondents in the Netherlands, which changed from people's general values to beliefs about the environment, and in turn affected the specific beliefs and norms of behavior, reducing energy consumption and moral obligation (Steg et al.

2005). The research of domestic scholars shows that the willingness of low-carbon behavior is the most direct driving force of low-carbon energy consumption behavior, and the subjective norms of residents are the most indirect driving force (Lingyun et al. 2016) same time, people's perception of the benefits of energy conservation (Iwata et al. 2015) and the cognition of labels of energy conservation appliances (Mills & Schleich 2010), this information processing will have a significant impact on energy conservation behavior. In addition, providing sufficient information and cognition to rural residents is conducive to the popularization of alternative energy (Nduka 2020).

In conclusion, a large number of scholars at home and abroad have analyzed the factors influencing the choice of energy structure and energy consumption, but a small number of researches focus on some areas of developing countries, and the scope and content of this studies focus on the overall situation of macro scope; From the perspective of the research, many scholars focus on the factors affecting the energy consumption of the rural households in the economic and social aspects, such as income, population characteristics, family production characteristics and energy availability; Some scholars pay attention to the influence of psychological factors on energy consumption, but most of them are limited to urban residents, and few scholars study the structure of energy consumption for i

In order to explore the influencing factors and characteristics of China's rural household energy consumption in more detail, and whether the residents' psychological factors will have a significant impact on energy consumption, based on the energy consumption data of six rural villages in central and eastern China, this paper uses the methods of questionnaire survey to investigate the household situation of villagers in each village, consumption of various types of energy and energy conservation initiative of villagers. Then, this paper uses the method of least absolute deviation estimation (CLAD) in Tobin model to regress the total energy consumption and different types of energy consumption respectively, and then obtains the influencing factors and analyzes the differences between factors. The results show that the energy structure of rural households is not reasonable, the popularization rate of clean energy is high, but the consumption is low; The increase of household wealth, education level and household appliances will accelerate the transformation of clean energy; The stronger the energy conservation initiative of rural residents, the more they will reduce the use of traditional energy. Different aspects of energy conservation initiative have different effects on the energy consumption structure. Among them, energy conservation behavior and policy perception are conducive to the transformation of rural energy structure from firewood to coal, while energy conservation awareness is conducive to reducing the use of coal, which is conducive to cleaning transforming of energy structure.

Data and methodology

Data

Due to the lack of public data on the characteristics of rural household energy consumption, this paper uses the 2017 rural energy consumption questionnaire of the research group to collect data. The choice and consumption of energy in different regions are mainly affected by the economic development and natural environment. Considering the wide geographical distribution and great differences of rural areas in China, the research group selected six villages in three provinces of central and eastern China as the research areas for field interviews and questionnaire collection. In terms of economic development, in 2016, the per capita disposable income of rural residents in Shandong Province ranked eighth in the country, while Hebei Province and Henan Province ranked 14th and 18th respectively. The economic levels of the three regions were similar, and they were in the middle level

of the country. In terms of natural conditions, the geographical distribution of the investigated villages is shown in Figure 1. The number of villages in Figure 1 is the same as that in Table 1. Hebei, Henan and Shandong provinces are located in the North China Plain, with similar geographical conditions and temperate monsoon climate. The villages surveyed are located in the middle and lower reaches of the Yellow River, and there are differences in natural resources and environmental policies; The main industries in the region may affect the energy consumption of residents. Xinxianzhuang village, Qiu county, Hebei Province, pays more attention to the development of tourism, so it pays more attention to environmental and ecological protection; Shicheng village in Bazhou, Hebei Province is closer to Beijing, so it is more vulnerable to environmental policies, because the policy direction may affect the residents' psychology and behavior; The economic development level of Gaomiao village in Gongyi City of Henan Province is relatively high, while Puyang County in Puyang City of Henan province belongs to the key county of poverty alleviation and development; Shangyu village of Hebi City in Henan Province and RenQian village of Tengzhou City in Shandong Province are rich in coal resources. The resource endowment and the availability of energy may make the energy consumption characteristics of this region different from other regions. Generally speaking, the six villages are different in economic development and physical geography, but they are representative, representing several models of the current situation of rural development in China.

Figure 1 The village distribution map of questionnaire survey

The questionnaire is mainly divided into three parts. The first part is the basic situation of the family, including the basic characteristics of family members, family characteristics, housing situation, household appliances and transportation; The second part is about the energy consumption of residents, including the types of energy consumption, consumption and expenditure, as well as the energy consumption of cooking, bathroom, heating, refrigeration, biogas and solar energy; The third part investigates the residents' energy conservation initiative, which is divided into energy conservation behavior, energy conservation awareness and policy perception. With the help of sampling survey, the researchers visited six rural villages and distributed 483 questionnaires, 474 of which were valid. The

effective recovery rate reached 98.1%. The KMO value of the questionnaire is 0.840, and the significance of Bartlett spherical test is .000, which indicates that it is suitable for factor analysis. Moreover, the reliability test of three indicators of energy conservation initiative has passed, these variables meet the quite good reliability. As shown in Table 1, the number and proportion of valid questionnaires in each region had little difference. The survey was completed from January to February 2017.

Table 1 Data sources of questionnaire survey

Number	Region	Number of valid questionnaires	Ratio
1	Xinxianzhuang village, Qiu county, Hebei Province	93	19.62%
2	Shicheng village, Bazhou City, Hebei Province	87	18.35%
3	Gaomiao village, Gongyi City, Henan Province	71	14.98%
4	Shangyu village, Hebi City, Henan Province	68	14.35%
5	Lanzhan village, Puyang City, Henan Province	75	15.82%
6	RenQian village, Tengzhou City, Shandong province	80	16.88%

Method

According to the results of the questionnaire, the energy consumption types of rural households mainly include: electricity, coal, liquefied gas, gasoline, diesel and firewood (including straw, etc.). The dependent variable of this paper is the annual energy consumption of rural households. In order to unify the standard, the consumption is converted into standard coal by using the standard coal conversion coefficient of energy.

$$E_{ci} = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i \cdot f_i \quad (1)$$

E_c is the total amount of energy consumption converted into standard coal, n is the type of energy, and E_i is the actual consumption of the energy i . f_i indicates the standard coal conversion coefficient of the energy i . The specific values of standard coal converted from various energy sources are shown in the table 2.

Table 2 Reference conversion coefficient of standard coal for energy sources

Energy	Conversion coefficient of standard coal
Electricity	0.12299 Kgce / kWh
Coal	0.7143 Kgce / kg
Liquefied gas	1.7143 Kgce / kg
Gasoline	1.4714 Kgce / kg
Diesel	1.4571 Kgce / kg
Firewood	0.5710 Kgce / kg

According to the survey data, rural households have a variety of energy consumption choices, and all kinds of energy consumption are composed of zero and positive numbers. There are a large number of 0 elements in the dependent variable, which become the restricted dependent variable. In this case, Tobit model of type I proposed by Tobin (1985) should be used for regression. Tobit model is assumed as follows:

$$Y^* = \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j x_j + \varepsilon$$

$$\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$Y = \begin{cases} Y^*, & Y^* > 0 \\ 0, & Y^* \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In this model, α is a constant term and β_j is the parameter to be estimated and ε is the error term. When the latent variable y^* is less than or equal to 0, the explained variable y is equal to 0; when Y^* is greater than 0, the explained variable y is equal to Y^* itself.

The standard type I Tobit model requires that the error term obey the standard normal distribution, but the error term in this study does not obey the standard normal distribution, so the censored least absolute deviations (CLAD) in Tobin model is used in this paper. CLAD is a semi parametric estimation method of Tobit model, which allows the error term to be more widely distributed, including asymmetric distribution. When the error term of Tobit model does not conform to normal distribution, Monte Carlo simulation shows that CLAD estimation performs well and is robust to heteroscedasticity.

Considering the research at home and abroad, rural households have many choices in daily energy use, and many factors affect their choices, including socio-economic and cultural factors(Ravindra et al. 2019), family characteristics(Baiyegunhi & Hassan 2014), and so on. Therefore, according to the previous literature and field research, this paper selects 12 kinds of variables related to family basic situation, family income and consumption, and energy conservation initiative as explanatory variables. Based on CLAD estimation of Tobit model, this paper constructs the model that includes influencing factors of rural household energy consumption as equation 3. The definition and assignment of variables in the model are shown in Table 3.

$$y = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_{41} x_{41} + \beta_{42} x_{42} + \beta_{51} x_{51} + \beta_{52} x_{52} + \beta_{53} x_{53} + \beta_6 x_6 + \beta_7 x_7 + \beta_8 x_8 + \beta_9 x_9 + \beta_{10} x_{10} + \beta_{11} x_{11} + \beta_{12} x_{12} + \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

245 **Table 3** Definition and assignment of variables

Variables	Definition	Symbol	Assignment
Energy consumption	Consumption of standard coal converted of energy sources	y	Ln (Kilogram of standard coal equivalent to energy sources))
Household income	RMB Yuan	x_1	Ln (Annual household income)
Gender of household head	/	x_2	Male=1, Female=0
Age of household head	year	x_3	According to the questionnaire data
Marital status of household head	Unmarried	x_{41}	Yes=1, No=0
	Married	x_{42}	Yes=1, No=0
	Farming	x_{51}	Yes=1, No=0
Occupation of family members	Migrant workers	x_{52}	Yes=1, No=0
	Private enterprise staff /cadre	x_{53}	Yes=1, No=0
Idleness	Is there anyone at home all day	x_6	Yes=1, No=0
Education	High school or technical secondary school or above	x_7	Yes=1, No=0
Energy conservation behavior	The full score is 10	x_8	According to the questionnaire data
Energy conservation awareness	The full score is 10	x_9	According to the questionnaire data
Policy perception	The full score is 10	x_{10}	According to the questionnaire data
Electrical appliances	Number (Including household appliances, digital products, electric blankets, etc.)	x_{11}	According to the questionnaire data
Vehicles	Number (except bicycles)	x_{12}	According to the questionnaire data

246 Results and discussion

247 Descriptive statistics and correlation analysis of variables

248 The main dependent variables of this study are the consumption of various kinds of energy,
 249 including electricity, coal, gasoline, diesel, LPG, firewood and total energy consumption. The
 250 descriptive statistics of the dependent variables and the independent variables are shown in Table 4:
 251 among the 474 samples, electricity and coal are the two energy sources with the largest average
 252 consumption of the surveyed households, among which the electricity penetration rate is the highest
 253 and all households use it; The consumption of LPG, firewood and gasoline firewood took the second
 254 place, while the average consumption of diesel was the least. In terms of family characteristics, 68.8%
 255 of the households surveyed are headed by men, which is consistent with the fact that most of the

households in China are headed by men; 94.9% of the heads of households are married, with an average age of 48.46 years old. Most of the respondents are married, and the number of unmarried, divorced or widowed families is small. Moreover, the number of rural families with two or three generations living together is higher, which is in line with the actual situation of older heads of households. 16.5% of the families with education above high school or technical secondary school are close to 14% of the latest census data in 2010. Restricted by the level of education, about 75% of the families are mainly engaged in farming and migrant workers. The proportion of private enterprise staff and off-duty cadres is about 17.9%, and the proportion of other occupations (such as short-term hired labor) is 6.6%. In terms of energy conservation initiative, the three indicators are between 5-6, which indicates that the overall energy conservation initiative of rural families is not high, which is in the middle level. Among them, the scores of energy conservation behavior and awareness are higher than policy perception, which is about 1 point higher on average. In addition, each household has an average of 14 electrical appliances and 2.8 vehicles.

The correlation analysis of independent variables in the model is shown in Table 5. There is a significant positive correlation between education level and energy conservation behavior, energy conservation awareness and policy perception, indicating that the higher the education level, the stronger the energy conservation initiative. At the same time, there is a significant negative correlation between energy conservation behavior and idleness. If someone is at home all day, they will not pay attention to some energy conservation behaviors, such as turning off the lights and setting the air-conditioning temperature reasonably. The higher the income, the stronger the awareness of energy conservation, and the more vulnerable to the impact of policies; The income of male headed households is higher, and the income is positively related to the number of electrical appliances and vehicles, which is consistent with the consumption habits of the public. The increase of income will increase the use of electrical appliances and the number of vehicles. There is a negative relationship between the age of the head of household and education level, policy perception, the use of vehicles and electrical appliances. It may be because the older the head of household is, the more difficult it is to skillfully use modern equipment, and the lower the acceptance of external policy propaganda. In addition, it can be seen from the table that the correlation coefficient between the variables is not high, which can eliminate the collinearity problem between the variables.

Table 4 Descriptive statistics

Name	Number	Mean	Std.	Min	Max
Electricity	474	5.060	0.709	1.902	7.232
Coal	474	5.307	2.688	0	8.768
Gasoline	474	2.475	2.851	0	8.926
Diesel	474	1.224	2.151	0	10.37
LPG	474	3.325	2.015	0	7.341
Firewood	473	2.611	3.394	0	10.04
Total energy	474	7.337	0.998	3.675	10.42
Income	474	10.91	0.783	6.802	13.03
Gender	474	0.688	0.464	0	1
Age	474	48.46	12.50	18	83
Unmarried	474	0.0211	0.144	0	1
Married	474	0.949	0.219	0	1

Farming	474	0.502	0.501	0	1
Migrant workers	474	0.253	0.435	0	1
Private enterprise staff /cadre	474	0.179	0.384	0	1
Idleness	474	0.835	0.371	0	1
Education	474	0.165	0.371	0	1
Energy conservation behavior	474	5.968	0.929	2.910	8.730
Energy conservation awareness	474	5.974	0.820	3.600	9.200
Policy perception	474	5.026	1.847	2	9.110
Electrical appliances	474	14.01	5.104	1	60
Vehicles	474	2.808	1.512	0	10

Table 5 The correlation analysis

	Income	Gender	Age	Married	Other occupations	Idleness	Education	Energy conservation behavior	Energy conservation awareness	Policy perception	Electrical appliances	Vehicles
Income	1											
Gender	0.161***	1										
Age	-0.237***	0.138***	1									
Married	0.0300	0.0170	-0.165***	1								
Other occupations	-0.162***	-0.0490	0.0380	0.00400	1							
Idleness	0.091**	0.217***	0.080*	-0.0440	-0.094**	1						
Education	0.157***	0.0290	-0.162***	-0.0230	0.00200	-0.0490	1					
Energy conservation behavior	0.0700	-0.0180	0.0240	-0.0180	0.00100	-0.121***	0.082*	1				
Energy conservation awareness	0.195***	0.0720	0.0110	0.0250	-0.0570	0.0670	0.148***	0.435***	1			
Policy perception	0.310***	0.141***	-0.095**	0.0530	-0.0370	-0.0280	0.175***	0.337***	0.413***	1		
Electrical appliances	0.429***	0.0420	-0.164***	0.0370	-0.203***	0.0600	0.104**	0.0090	0.151***	0.224***	1	
Vehicles	0.274***	0.0140	-0.144***	0.0440	0.0510	-0.324***	0.0110	0.0540	0.00200	0.251***	0.391***	1

Characteristics of rural household energy consumption structure

In the choice of living energy, rural households have a high penetration rate of electricity, coal and liquefied gas. All households choose diversified energy structure rather than single energy. In addition, some families still use firewood. As shown in Figure 2, all surveyed households used electricity, and coal (81.0%) and liquefied petroleum gas (75.3%) were also the choice of most households as common cooking and heating energy. 46.4% of households use gasoline, which is generally used as energy for travel, and 27.2% of the households use diesel as the fuel for agricultural vehicles or trucks. In addition, 41.8% of households still use traditional biomass energy such as straw and firewood.

Figure 2 The choice of rural household energy consumption

There are great differences in energy consumption and energy use choice of rural households. As shown in Figure 3, the consumption of firewood and coal accounted for 42.0% and 31.0% of the total energy consumption respectively. Firewood, the traditional biomass energy, only accounts for 41.8% of the total energy consumption of households, but it accounts for 42% of the total energy consumption. It may be because the utilization efficiency of firewood is low and it can't be used up immediately, which makes the households that choose firewood use a lot. Coal has become the preferred commercial energy for rural households, accounting for 31.0% of the total energy consumption. All households choose electricity, which accounts for 7.7% of the total energy consumption. In addition, gasoline and diesel are mainly used for transportation, accounting for 9.3% and 6.7% of the total energy consumption, respectively. From Figure3, we can see that the energy structure of rural households is not reasonable, and the proportion of coal and traditional biomass energy consumption is too large, which has a great negative impact on the environment and residents' health. The popularization rate of clean energy is high, but the use of clean energy accounts for a relatively small proportion. There is a large space for upgrading and optimizing the rural energy structure.

Figure 3 Proportion of rural household energy consumption

From the regional point of view, different regions have different characteristics in energy structure. As shown in Figure 4, except for Puyang in Henan Province, the average coal consumption of other villages is the highest, probably because Puyang County in Henan Province is a poor county, people generally not in high standard of living. It is easy to obtain firewood and its cost is low, and most farmers still choose traditional biomass energy for cooking and heating activities. The consumption of electricity, LPG and gasoline tends to be the same in different regions. The consumption of diesel in Shicheng village, Bazhou City, Hebei Province and Gaomiao village, Gongyi City, Henan Province is relatively low, which may reduce the use of agricultural diesel vehicles due to the high level of economic development of the two places.

Figure 4 Average rural energy consumption by Region

A large number of scholars have proved that income is one of the main factors affecting the energy consumption of residents (Zhai & Jun 2016; Qiu et al. 2018; Zou & Mishra 2020). Therefore, this paper divides the sample energy consumption by the average of the annual income of all the respondents. As shown in Figure 5, the samples of each area are divided into families with annual income greater than average and those with annual income less than average, showing the average consumption of electricity, coal, gasoline, diesel, liquefied gas and firewood respectively. From Figure 5, we can see that the households with high income consume more electricity, gasoline and diesel than those with low

income, and the increase in income decreases the consumption of firewood. This may be because it takes a lot of time and energy to collect firewood, and the increase of income will naturally reduce the labor with low added value. The income has little influence on the consumption of electricity, which may be because electricity is a daily and necessary living energy, and the income gap in the same region cannot greatly affect the consumption of this energy power. Puyang, Henan Province, belongs to a poor county in China. The overall living standard of rural residents is low. Although the income gap has little impact on electricity consumption, it has obvious impact on commercial energy such as coal, gasoline and diesel, especially gasoline. This may be due to the fact that most families use gasoline for automobile transportation, while the car ownership of low-income families is generally low. In contrast, Gongyi City, an economically developed region in Henan Province, consumes more commercial energy such as electricity, coal, gasoline and liquefied gas. As the secondary and tertiary industries are relatively developed in this area, agriculture accounts for a small proportion, and the consumption of diesel and firewood for general agriculture is less.

Figure 5 Average energy consumption of different regions under different income levels

This paper mainly explores the impact of psychological factors of residents from the energy conservation initiative of rural households, which can be divided into energy conservation behavior, energy conservation awareness and policy perception. As shown in Figure 5, in terms of energy conservation behaviors, there are interesting parallels in different regions, which are medium level. Also, the average level of energy conservation awareness is similar in each region, all of which are about 5,6 points, and at the middle level. And the scores of energy conservation behaviors in regions with strong energy conservation awareness are also higher, which conforms to the conclusion that the values in the study can affect human behavior(Stern et al. 1999).On policy perception, it may be affected by energy conservation and environmental protection publicity due to the proximity of Bazhou and Beijing in Hebei Province. The policy perception reaches 7.73 points, while other regions are about 3、4 points, which is weak in policy perception and at the lower and middle level, which may be related to the relatively closed information of rural areas, and the frequency of environmental protection publicity and policy transmission is relatively low; Interestingly, although the policy stimulation in some areas has little impact, the energy conservation behavior score is relatively good. It may be that because of the low living standard in rural areas, residents need to save household

367 expenditure by reducing energy consumption.

368 **Figure 6** Energy conservation initiative of rural households

369 As shown in the previous correlation analysis, there is a significant positive relationship between
370 education level and energy conservation initiative. As shown in Figure 7, according to education level
371 (whether education level is high school or technical secondary school or above), the three indicators of
372 energy conservation initiative of rural residents (energy conservation behavior, energy conservation
373 awareness and policy perception) are significantly affected by degree of education. In all areas, the
374 residents with high school or technical secondary school education are more aware of energy
375 conservation than those with lower education. In Qiu county, Hebei Province, the degree of education
376 gap affecting energy conservation behavior and energy conservation consciousness is much greater
377 than that in other regions. It may be that Qiu county attaches importance to the development of tourism,
378 and people with higher education pay more attention to energy conservation and environmental
379 protection and the protection of natural resources. However, in Hebi of Henan Province and Tengzhou
380 of Shandong Province, where coal resources are abundant, due to the better natural endowment of
381 energy, education level does not significantly affect the indicators of residents' energy conservation
382 initiative.

383 **Figure 7** Mean value of energy conservation initiative in different regions under different education
384 levels

Analysis of influencing factors of rural household energy consumption

The CLAD estimation results of Tobit model for influencing factors of rural household energy consumption are shown in Table 6. The results show that the variables selected by the model have different degrees of influence on energy consumption. Therefore, this paper will analyze from three aspects: family characteristics, family wealth (including income, number of electrical appliances and vehicles) and energy conservation initiative.

Household characteristics

There is a significant relationship between the gender of the head of household and energy consumption. The male head of household prefers gasoline and firewood. There is a significant positive correlation between the age of the head of household and the total energy consumption. The average age of the head of household increases by one year, the total energy consumption increases by 0.4%, and the gasoline consumption increases by 5.6%. Because of the increasing age, it may difficult to collect firewood, and the firewood consumption decreases by 3.0% every year. The marital status also has significant influence on energy consumption. Compared with other families (unmarried, divorced or widowed) under the same conditions, the use of firewood in married families has increased by 67.0%, which may be due to the fact that married families have more labors, so they can have time to collect firewood; and the coal consumption may increase by 40.8% compared with other families due to the increase of family population, and the consumption of natural gas and diesel decreased significantly. Compared with divorced or widowed families, the total energy consumption of unmarried and married families increased, and the electricity consumption increased by 32.7% and 35.8% respectively.

There is also a significant relationship between major occupations of member of families and energy consumption. Farmers, migrant workers, private enterprise employees and full-time cadres' families consume more energy than families engaged in other occupations (such as part-time jobs). Farmers' families consume more electricity and gasoline. Farmers need to use agricultural equipment, and the growth rate of gasoline is as high as 116.9%. Due to migrant workers' families may not be at home for a long time, compared with families engaged in other occupations, electricity and coal consumption decreased by 22% and 57.4% respectively. LPG, the energy that is more convenient for cooking and heating, become a preferred choice of migrant workers' families. Private enterprise employees and cadre families consume more electricity and reduce the use of coal, which is conducive to promoting the transformation of clean energy. Families with people idle at home consumed more energy, and the consumption of firewood increased significantly (123.4%). Because there is no firewood market in the research area, in order to obtain firewood, farmers need to spend extra time collecting firewood in addition to agricultural and non-agricultural activities, while some people are more likely to spend time collecting firewood at home all day.

Education level is a significant influencing factor of energy consumption. The higher the level of education, the less energy consumption. The household energy consumption of high school or technical secondary school or above decreased by 10.9% than other households. With the improvement of education level, the consumption of coal and firewood (46.9%) for poor quality cooking and heating energy can be greatly reduced, and the consumption of liquefied gas (37.2%) can be increased.

In conclusion, the employment and education level of family members can significantly improve the rural energy consumption structure. Having a formal job and reducing family members' leisure can

increase the use of clean energy, such as electricity, and reduce the consumption of coal and firewood; improving education can also promote the transformation to the clean energy mode.

Household wealth

As many scholars have found, there is a positive relationship between rural household energy consumption and income. When the income doubled, the total energy consumption increased by 25.8%. With the rise of income, the consumption of electricity, coal and gasoline increased by 22.5%, 30.0% and 197.7% respectively, while the consumption of firewood decreased by 20.0%. It shows that the increase of income will reduce the farmers' use of traditional energy and turn to cleaner commercial energy.

The number of electrical appliances owned by rural households has a negative relationship with the total energy consumption. Each additional electrical appliance will reduce energy consumption by 0.8%. Families with more electrical appliances will have a better energy structure. With the addition of an electrical appliance, households will switch to more modern energy sources, increase the consumption of electricity (5.1%), gasoline (20.8%), diesel (7.8%) and liquefied petroleum gas (2.1%), and reduce the consumption of coal (2.9%) and firewood (3.4%). Furthermore, the number of vehicles has a positive impact on the total energy consumption. For each additional vehicle, the total energy consumption will increase by 13.8%. At the same time, each additional vehicle will increase the consumption of gasoline (45.2%) and diesel (0.8%).

To sum up, we have measured the household wealth of rural regions from the perspectives of household income, household durable goods (electrical appliances and vehicles). The increase of household income and the number of vehicles in durable goods will lead to the increase of energy consumption. The increase of the number of electrical appliances in durable goods can reduce the total energy consumption. The increase of household income and the number of electrical appliances is conducive to the transformation of energy consumption structure to modern type and the use of more commercial energy.

Energy conservation initiative

At present, the energy conservation behavior of rural households is at the medium level. Enhancing energy conservation behavior will help to reduce energy consumption, promote electricity and coal to replace firewood in cooking and heating, and optimize rural energy structure. As shown in Table 6, with each increase in household energy conservation behavior, electricity consumption increases by 6.1% and firewood consumption decreases by 65.7%. It may be that households with high energy conservation behavior are more willing to buy energy conservation products. Under the joint effect of higher energy efficiency of electricity and energy conservation technology of energy conservation products, household energy waste is alleviated, and total energy consumption is reduced. Firewood, as the traditional energy for cooking and heating in rural families, plays an important role in residents' daily energy consumption. However, the thermal efficiency of firewood is low. The large use of firewood is not only harmful to the environment, but also has adverse effects on the health of residents. Therefore, on the premise of ensuring the daily energy consumption of residents, we should try to reduce the use of firewood. The improvement of energy conservation behavior is conducive to the substantial improvement of energy consumption structure.

The increase of energy conservation awareness can increase energy consumption and increase the

use of electricity. Every increase of energy conservation awareness can reduce the use of coal by 17.6%, which is conducive to the transformation of energy consumption to clean and modernization. It may be that households with strong energy conservation awareness have a stronger sense of responsibility for energy conservation, consciously reduce coal with serious pollution and low energy efficiency when choosing energy, and use more electricity or liquefied petroleum gas as alternative energy, resulting in an increase in energy consumption.

The stronger the rural households' perception of the policy, the higher the total energy consumption, but it is conducive to promoting the conversion of energy from firewood to coal. Households with high policy perception scores reduce the use of electricity, firewood and diesel, and increase the consumption of coal and liquefied gas. On the one hand, it may be that rural households are sensitive to the step tariff policy, and the tariff payment is clear and easy to obtain. In order to save expenses, residents are more cautious in using electricity. On the other hand, some local governments implement policies such as "Closing Hillsides for afforestation" and "returning straw to field" to reduce the pollution caused by forest harvesting and straw burning. Households with high policy perception may reduce the use of traditional energy.

This study analyzes the impact of rural household energy conservation initiative on energy consumption from energy conservation behavior, energy conservation awareness and policy perception. The results show that energy conservation behavior and policy perception are conducive to the transformation of rural energy structure from firewood to coal, while energy conservation awareness is helpful to reduce the use of coal and optimize the energy structure. Therefore, energy conservation initiative has an important and positive impact on the optimization of rural household energy consumption structure.

Conclusions and implications

Based on 474 rural household energy consumption questionnaires, this paper uses CLAD estimation of Tobit model to analyze the factors influencing rural household energy consumption and the characteristics of energy consumption structure. The main findings of this paper are as follows: firstly, the energy structure of rural households is unreasonable, the penetration rate of commercial energy is high, but the corresponding energy consumption is low, and the consumption of firewood and coal, which are low-quality and highly polluting, is much higher than other kinds of energy; secondly, the policy perception of rural households in central and eastern China is generally weak, and the frequency of energy conservation behavior and energy conservation awareness are low at the general level, the energy conservation initiative has a significant impact on rural energy consumption. Households with high frequency of energy conservation behavior and strong policy perception will reduce the consumption of firewood and increase the use of coal, which is conducive to the transformation of traditional biomass energy into commercial energy. Households with strong awareness of energy conservation will reduce the consumption of coal with serious pollution, thus increasing the utilization of electricity and total energy and promoting the transformation of high pollution energy into clean energy. Third, household characteristics become significant influencing factors of energy consumption. The age of the head of household is positively correlated with the total energy consumption. Married families have higher energy consumption and higher education level is conducive to reducing the consumption of coal and firewood. However, Idle family members greatly increase the use of low-quality energy. Finally, with the increase of household income, the energy consumption structure could change from firewood to cleaner electricity and firewood liquid gas. At

the same time, the number of electrical appliances is negatively correlated with the consumption of firewood and coal, and more use of electrical appliances is conducive to clean energy transformation.

Based on the conclusions of this paper, in order to alleviate the energy pressure and optimize the rural energy structure, the following suggestions are put forward.

(1) It is imperative to increase publicity activities for energy conservation in rural areas and improve the initiative of rural residents in energy conservation. Energy conservation initiative has a significant positive significance to improve the energy structure. Due to the low understanding of energy conservation policies in rural areas, it is suggested that the authorities increase the publicity of energy conservation policies and knowledge, popularize the concept of energy conservation and environmental protection in various forms, such as television, radio, network and billboards, and introduce various policies, such as clean energy subsidies, to reduce the use of traditional biomass energy in rural areas, so as to enhance the energy conservation awareness of rural residents and improve energy efficiency and promote clean energy conversion.

(2) In order to increase the use of electrical appliances in rural households, a requirement to continue to implement policies such as " Home Appliances to the Countryside ", so as to gradually eliminate backward cooking and heating equipment in rural areas. Families with more electrical appliances tend to have a clean and modern energy structure. Therefore, the government should reduce the cost of rural families to obtain new equipment by means of comprehensive taxation and subsidies, and rise the ownership of household electrical appliances, so as to reduce energy waste and environmental protection.

(3) Improving the level of education and ensure the employment of rural population. Education level is an important factor affecting energy consumption. Improving the education level of rural residents can relieve energy demand pressure, reduce low-quality energy consumption and promote the proportion of clean energy. At the same time, as the idle population increases the use of firewood, Increasing the employment rate of rural population can greatly reduce the consumption of traditional biomass energy and reduce the total energy consumption.

Limitations and prospects

The data of this paper is based on the household survey data of our research group in 2017, and the number of respondents is just 474, which is cross-sectional data. In the future research, we can re-optimize the questionnaire question setting, and visit the survey households every five or ten years to obtain panel data, so as to more accurately analyze the energy consumption behavior and its changing trend of rural households. In addition, this study confirmed that energy conservation initiative has a significant impact on energy consumption of rural families, but the mechanism of this psychological factor is not clear. In the future, we can further explore the mechanism of psychological factors on energy consumption from the perspective of environmental psychology, so as to promote the transformation of energy cleaning and modernization.

Ethical Approval: Not applicable

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent to Publish: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors Contributions: Conceptualization, M. X., L.D., C.D., W. M. and L. C.; methodology, M. X., L.D., C.D.; software, L.D., C.D.; formal analysis, C.D. and L.D.; investigation, L.D., C.D., and L. C.; writing—original Chinese draft preparation, L.D and C.D.; writing—English review and editing, L.D., M.X. and W.M.; supervision, M.X.; funding acquisition, M.X.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) [grant numbers 71573015 and 71521002].

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Table6 Regression results of Tobit model of rural household energy consumption

Variables	Total	Electricity	Coal	Gasoline	LPG	Diesel	Firewood
Income	0.258*** (29.69)	0.225*** (9.94)	0.300*** (4.71)	1.977*** (8.68)	-0.007 (-0.17)	-0.062 (-1.58)	-0.200*** (-3.37)
Gender	-0.055*** (-3.88)	0.066* (1.93)	0.007 (0.07)	0.995*** (3.15)	0.097 (1.57)	-0.024 (-0.36)	0.620*** (7.76)
Age	0.004*** (7.17)	-0.003* (-1.90)	-0.001 (-0.28)	0.056*** (5.13)	0.002 (0.97)	-0.021*** (-7.13)	-0.030*** (-9.93)
Unmarried	0.105* (1.94)	0.327*** (3.07)					
Married	0.108*** (3.06)	0.358*** (4.86)	0.408* (1.93)	-0.380 (-0.75)	-0.337** (-2.13)	-2.112*** (-15.11)	0.670*** (3.41)
Farming	0.294*** (11.37)	0.148** (2.11)	-0.026 (-0.14)	1.169** (2.31)	-0.017 (-0.14)		
Migrant workers	0.164*** (6.02)	-0.220*** (-3.02)	-0.574*** (-3.05)	-0.610 (-1.18)	0.234* (1.90)		
Private enterprise staff /cadre	0.119*** (4.40)	0.180** (2.41)	-0.285 (-1.48)	0.027 (0.05)	-0.361*** (-2.78)		
Idleness	0.446*** (23.21)	-0.129*** (-2.82)	-0.232* (-1.66)	1.955*** (3.62)	0.011 (0.14)		1.234*** (11.42)

Table 6(continued) Regression results of Tobit model of rural household energy consumption

Variables	Total	Electricity	Coal	Gasoline	LPG	Diesel	Firewood
Education	-0.109*** (-6.39)	0.005 (0.12)	-0.192 (-1.50)	1.153*** (3.63)	0.372*** (4.42)	-0.855*** (-11.57)	-0.469*** (-4.40)
Energy conservation behavior	-0.002 (-0.34)	0.061*** (3.55)	0.072 (1.34)	-0.397*** (-2.59)	0.026 (0.79)	-0.089*** (-2.73)	-0.657*** (-14.08)
Energy conservation awareness	0.054*** (5.68)	0.002 (0.11)	-0.176** (-2.51)	1.151*** (6.08)	0.007 (0.17)	0.330*** (7.96)	0.032 (0.60)
Policy perception	0.069*** (17.98)	-0.036*** (-3.86)	0.328*** (11.65)	-0.062 (-0.75)	0.049*** (2.76)	-0.058** (-2.30)	-0.321*** (-10.17)
Electrical appliances	-0.008*** (-4.98)	0.051*** (15.27)	-0.029*** (-2.94)	0.208*** (7.27)	0.078*** (10.81)	0.021*** (2.77)	-0.034*** (-3.30)
Vehicles	0.138*** (27.66)	-0.040*** (-3.40)	0.131*** (4.03)	0.452*** (4.32)	0.080*** (3.95)	0.294*** (13.16)	
Constants	2.730*** (24.27)	1.574*** (5.31)	2.145** (2.55)	-33.675*** (-11.30)	2.352*** (4.44)	5.642*** (10.96)	13.805*** (19.08)

Note: *is p<0.05, **is p<0.01, ***is p<0.001

573 **References:**

- 574 Argent, N. (2017). Rural geography II: Scalar and social constructionist perspectives on climate
575 change adaptation and rural resilience. *PROGRESS IN HUMAN GEOGRAPHY*, 43(1), 1922822297.
- 576 Baiyegunhi, L., & Hassan, M. B. (2014). Rural household fuel energy transition: Evidence from
577 Giwa LGA Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 20(jun.), 30-35.
- 578 Chambwera, M., & Folmer, H. (2007). Fuel switching in Harare: An almost ideal demand system
579 approach. *ENERGY POLICY*, 35(4), 2538-2548.
- 580 Davis, M. (1998). Rural household energy consumption : The effects of access to
581 electricity--evidence from South Africa. *ENERGY POLICY*, 26(3), 207-217.
- 582 Ekholm, T., Krey, V., Pachauri, S., & Riahi, K. (2010). Determinants of household energy
583 consumption in India. *ENERGY POLICY*, 38(10), 5696-5707.
- 584 Farsi, M., Filippini, M., & Pachauri, S. (2007). Fuel choices in urban Indian households.
585 *Environment & Development Economics*, 12(6), 757-774.
- 586 Gebreegziabher, Z., Mekonnen, A., Kassie, M., & K Hlin, G. (2012). Urban energy transition and
587 technology adoption: The case of Tigray, northern Ethiopia. *ENERGY ECONOMICS*, 34(2), 410-418.
- 588 Guta, D. D. (2014). Effect of fuelwood scarcity and socio-economic factors on household
589 bio-based energy use and energy substitution in rural Ethiopia. *ENERGY POLICY*, 75
- 590 Han, H., Wu, S., & Zhang, Z. (2018). Factors underlying rural household energy transition: A case
591 study of China. *ENERGY POLICY*, 114, 234-244.
- 592 Iwata, K., Katayama, H., & Arimura, T. H. (2015). Do households misperceive the benefits of
593 energy conservation actions? Evidence from a Japanese household survey. *Energy for Sustainable*
594 *Development*, 25(apr.), 27-33.
- 595 Jean-Marie, Baland, Franois, Libois, Dilip, & Mookherjee. (2018). Forest Degradation and
596 Economic Growth in Nepal, 2003-2010. *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource*
597 *Economists*, 5(2), 401-439.
- 598 Kammen, O. R. M. A. (2000). From Linear Fuel Switching to Multiple Cooking Strategies: A
599 Critique and Alternative to the Energy Ladder Model. *WORLD DEVELOPMENT*
- 600 Leach, G. (1992). The energy transition. *ENERGY POLICY*, 20(2), 116-123.
- 601 Liao, H. (2019). Residential Energy Consumption in Rural China: Situation, Problems and
602 Solutions. *Journal of Beijing Institute of Technology (Social Sciences Edition)*
- 603 Lingyun, M. I., Man, G. U., Yang, J., Xueyan, Y. U., Liu, Y., & Management, S. O. (2016).
604 Empirical research on the psychological motivation factors of urban residents' low carbon oriented
605 energy consumption behavior in Xuzhou City. *Resources Science*
- 606 Link, C. F., Axinn, W. G., & Ghimire, D. J. (2012). Household Energy Consumption: Community
607 Context and the Fuelwood Transition. *SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH*, 41(3), 598-611.
- 608 Mao, S., Qiu, S., Li, T., Tang, M., Deng, H., & Zheng, H. (2020). Using Characteristic Energy to
609 Study Rural Ethnic Minorities' Household Energy Consumption and Its Impact Factors in Chongqing,
610 China. *Sustainability*, 12
- 611 Mills, B., & Schleich, J. (2010). What's driving energy efficient appliance label awareness and
612 purchase propensity? *ENERGY POLICY*, 38(2), 814-825.
- 613 Mottal Eb, K. A., Rahue, D. B., & Ali, A. (2017). An exploration into the household energy choice
614 and expenditure in Bangladesh. *ENERGY*, 135(sep.15), 767-776.

- National Bureau of statistics of the people's Republic of China. (2018). China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Yearbook.
- Nduka, E. K. (2020). How to get rural households out of energy poverty in Nigeria: A contingent valuation. *ENERGY POLICY*, 149(1), 112072.
- Ondraczek, J., Lay, J., & Stoevers, J. (2013). Renewables in the energy transition - Evidence on solar home systems and lighting fuel choice in Kenya. *ENERGY ECONOMICS*, 40(2), 350-359.
- Peng, W., Hisham, Z., & Pan, J. (2010). Household level fuel switching in rural Hubei. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 14(3), 238-244.
- Qiu, H., Yan, J., Lei, Z., & Sun, D. (2018). Rising wages and energy consumption transition in rural China. *ENERGY POLICY*, 119(AUG.), 545-553.
- Ravindra, K., Kaur-Sidhu, M., Mor, S., & John, S. (2019). Trend in household energy consumption pattern in India: A case study on the influence of socio-cultural factors for the choice of clean fuel use. *JOURNAL OF CLEANER PRODUCTION*, 213(MAR.10), 1024-1034.
- Steg, L., Dreijerink, L., & Abrahamse, W. (2005). Factors influencing the acceptability of energy policies: A test of VBN theory. *JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY*, 25(4), 415-425.
- Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., Abel, T., Guagnano, G. A., & Kalof, L. (1999). A Value-Belief-Norm Theory of Support for Social Movements: The Case of Environmentalism. *Human Ecology Review*, 6(2), 81-97.
- Wei, S., Su, Y., Shen, G., Shu, T., & Min, Y. (2013). Emission Factors of Particulate Matter and Elemental Carbon from Rural Residential Wood Combustion. *Asian Journal of Ecotoxicology*
- Woods, & M. (2012). Rural geography III: Rural futures and the future of rural geography. *PROGRESS IN HUMAN GEOGRAPHY*, 36(1), 125-134.
- Wu, J., Zhuo, S., & Wu, Z. (2016). National innovation system, social entrepreneurship, and rural economic growth in China. *TECHNOLOGICAL FORECASTING AND SOCIAL CHANGE*, 121(aug.), 238-250.
- Yang, R., He, J., Li, S., Su, W., Ren, Y., & Li, X. (2018). Different effects of main influence factors on household energy consumption in three typical rural villages of China. *Energy Reports*, 4, 603-618.
- Zhai, Z., & Jun, F. U. (2016). Rural energy consumption characteristics in western minority regions in China based on surveys of residents in Sichuan Liangshan. *Resources Science*
- Zou, B., & Mishra, A. K. (2020). Appliance usage and choice of energy-efficient appliances: Evidence from rural Chinese households. *ENERGY POLICY*, 146, 111800.