Collective Transient Ratchet Transport Induced by Many Elastically Interacting Particles

Marcus Werner Beims (✉ mbeims@fisica.ufpr.br)

Federal University of Paraná

Manchein César

Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina

Tulio M de Oliveira

Federal University of Paraná

Rafael M da Silva

Federal University of Paraná

Research Article

Keywords: Transient Ratchet Transport, Elastically Interacting Particles, transient RCs

Posted Date: June 9th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-583650/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on August 10th, 2021.

See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95654-8>.

Collective transient ratchet transport induced by many elastically interacting particles

Cesar Manchein¹, Tulio M. de Oliveira², Rafael M. da Silva², and Marcus W. Beims^{2,3,*}

¹Departamento de Física, Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina, 89219-710 Joinville, SC, Brazil

²Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Paraná, 81531-980 Curitiba, PR, Brazil

³Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

*mbeims@fisica.ufpr.br

ABSTRACT

Several dynamical systems in nature can be maintained out-of-equilibrium, either through mutual interaction of particles or by external fields. The particle's transport and the transient dynamics are landmarking of such systems. While single ratchet systems are genuine candidates to describe unbiased transport, we demonstrate here that coupled ratchets exhibit *collective transient ratchet transport*. Extensive numerical simulations for up to $N = 1024$ elastically interacting ratchets establish the generation of large transient ratchet currents (RCs). The lifetimes of the transient RCs increase with N and decrease with the coupling strength between the ratchets. We demonstrate one peculiar case having a coupling-induced transient RC through the asymmetric destruction of attractors. Results suggest that physical devices built with coupled ratchet systems should present large collective transient transport of particles, whose technological applications are undoubtedly appealing and feasible.

1 Introduction

Besides some key developments related to fluctuations theorems,¹ nowadays the understanding of the fundamentals of nonequilibrium physics is still incomplete. It has been shown^{2,3} that out-of-equilibrium systems may remain in a thermodynamic state, which is the continuation of the equilibrium state under weak nonequilibrium constraints. Beyond some arbitrary threshold, the thermodynamic state becomes unstable and new states emerge by bifurcation with spatial or temporal inhomogeneities.⁴ Consequently, such out-of-equilibrium systems can present anomalous (paradoxical) behaviors since the laws of equilibrium thermodynamics no longer possess validity: In special, we mention the anomalous transport phenomena observed in out-of-equilibrium systems in different problems. This scenario can be illustrated in a biological system, where the anomalous diffusion of the energy landscape in human chromosomes takes place,⁵ in classical systems,^{6–8} where Brownian particles moving in a system driven by thermal fluctuation and external forces that can exhibit anomalous transports, in collective motion and chaotic states⁹ or turbulent state,¹⁰ in active particles,¹¹ in living cells,^{12,13} in cold atoms^{14–16} and in quantum systems,^{17–22} to mention a few.

Unveiling the main properties which produce the transport phenomena is a central issue in numerous problems in physics, and it is, undoubtedly, a challenge in spatially extended systems away from equilibrium. In coupled many-body quasi-integrable systems, the phase-space diffusion time is much longer than the Lyapunov time of the underlying chaotic dynamics. Consequently, relaxation processes leading to thermal equilibrium through mutual interaction between the bodies involve slow dynamics and a long transient motion may appear for intermediate times. Actually, for finite systems with N degrees of freedom, the time to reach the equilibrium state may increase without bounds as N increases and, subsequently, the time of the transient dynamics can be prolonged proportionally. In realistic situations and some numerical simulations, for larger values of N such enormous times can never be reached, and considering long transients become essential to describe the transport properties of the physical system.

Of particular interest in this context is the *transient property of the net transport* of many interaction particles due to the ratchet effect and the collective behavior. Ratchet transport is a directional transport of many particles in spatially periodic media, which results in the rectification of an external net-zero force applied to the system. After the theoretical contributions of Gabriel Lippmann, Marian Smoluchowski, and Richard Feynman,²³ the idea of a ratchet device was first adapted to biological circumstances and applied to study the transport of proteins within cells using molecular motors.^{24–26} Connected to this, due to the influence of the thermal fluctuations on the movement of macromolecules, the dynamics described by a protein can be considered equal to the dynamics of an overdamped Brownian particle, in which the inertial term is neglected, and the forces generate speeds instead of accelerations.²⁷ With the advances of the research in this field, another phenomenology takes place in which thermal fluctuations became unnecessary to generate directional transport. Therefore, it is possible to obtain

deterministic ratchet systems with the following indispensable requirements: the existence of an inertial term, dissipation, and a periodic potential capable of break the spatial symmetry of the system.^{28,29} With the inertial term, the number of first-order differential equations necessary to describe the system increases, and it is possible to find a chaotic dynamic for the particle.²⁹

While the literature regarding the transport of single ratchet particles systems is huge,^{27,30–34} to mention a few, not much has been done concerning the transport in coupled ratchet systems where the collective dynamics arise. We acknowledge the case of an elastically coupled lattice of particles in a periodically flashing ratchet potential,^{35,36} where the transport efficiency improves when the coupling strength overcomes a threshold. The case of optimal transport of two elastically interacting particles was analyzed recently in the parameter space,³⁷ and also shown that hydrodynamic interactions between Brownian particles influences the performance of a fluctuating ratchet.³⁸ Completely absent, as far we know, are studies related to transient ratchet transport properties due to the mutual interaction of many particles.

In the present work, we describe the transient Ratchet Currents (RCs) of $N = 64, 128, 256, 512$, and 1024 elastically interacting particles, each subjected to a ratchet system. Using extensive numerical simulations, we show that the transient lifetime (τ) of the RC increases with N as a power-law. As expected, for a fixed N , the transient RC disappears for asymptotic times. However, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the RC's transient time follows $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, and the asymptotic current converges to the current from the uncoupled case. The observed transient RCs are a consequence of each particle's current separately, which for asymptotic times disappear due to the coupling which induces the hyperchaotic dynamics. We also demonstrate one case having a coupling-induced transient RC through the asymmetric destruction of attractors. From the statistical point of view, as confirmed by the Lyapunov spectrum, the multiple attractors on the microscopic level generate the macroscopic transient RC. Furthermore, for larger couplings (β) between the ratchets, the transient RCs diminish, also as a power-law.

2 Results

The uncoupled case

For later reference, Fig. 1 displays the RC (see color bar) in the parameter space (K, γ) for the uncoupled case $\beta = 0$ from Eq. (1). Black colors refer to close to zero RCs, green to white to increasing positive RCs, and red, yellow to orange to increasing negative RCs. It has been shown previously³⁹ that optimal and efficient RCs occur when parameters are chosen inside Isoperiodic Stable Structures (ISSs), which are the green, red and yellow structures in Fig. 1 having well-defined borders. In such cases, the particle dynamics is periodic and Lyapunov stable. The black background in Fig. 1, related to nearly zero RCs, belongs to those parameter's combination for which the underline dynamics is chaotic. For more details, we refer the readers to original work regarding RCs in parameter space.³⁹ The symbols $\bullet$, $\blacklozenge$, $\blacksquare$ and $\blacktriangle$ in Fig. 1 are related to pairs of parameters chosen in different regimes, with the respective values $(K, \gamma) = (2.3, 0.94)$, $(6.1, 0.80)$, $(10, 0.65)$, and $(10, 0.55)$. These are the parameter pairs for which the coupling between the ratchets is explored in the present work. At point $\blacktriangle$ we have a stable period-1 dynamics and the RC is $J = 4\pi \sim 12.564$. The pair indicated by $\blacksquare$ is at the borderline between chaotic motion with $J \sim 0$ and the stable period-1 motion with $J = 6\pi \sim 18.723$. At $\blacklozenge$ we have a stable period-2 dynamics with $J = -4\pi \sim -12.546$. Finally, the point $\bullet$ belongs to a chaotic region with $J \sim -1.521$, for which the underline dynamics contains special properties that lead to the temperature enhanced RC, as demonstrated almost a decade ago.⁴⁰ Thus, the above points are representative of a diversity of dynamics observed in the uncoupled case.

Figure 1. Ratchet current J in the parameter space of the uncoupled case ($\beta = 0$) for the coupled ratchets model (1). The parameters are in the intervals $\gamma \in [0.0, 0.95]$ and $K \in [0.0, 15.0]$ and the iteration time is 10^6 for 128 initial conditions.

Coupled ratchet currents

Figure 2 displays the curves for the RC J as a function of the iteration time n and for different values of N , the number of coupled maps. The coupling strength increases from the left to the right columns, namely $\beta = 10^{-6}$, 10^{-4} , and 10^{-2} , respectively. Figures on the same line correspond to the dynamics of the specific parameters from Fig. 1, denoted by $\blacktriangle$ [Figs. 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c)], $\blacklozenge$ [Figs. 2(d), 2(e), and 2(f)], $\blacksquare$ [Figs. 2(g), 2(h), and 2(i)], and $\bullet$ [Figs. 2(j), 2(k), and 2(l)] (see Figure caption). The black curves in Fig. 2 are the RCs from the uncoupled case, whose asymptotic values are plotted in Fig. 1 for the specific points mentioned above. When the coupling is turned on, RCs are generated in all cases with $\beta \leq 10^{-4}$ as a consequence of the existence of a collective behavior. These RCs are transient since they disappear for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Figures 2(a)-2(c) display the RC for the period-1 dynamics found at the $\blacktriangle$ point from Fig. 1. The curves obtained by using $N = 64k$ (for $k = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16$) coupled maps are distinguished by different colors (see labels). Note that in Fig. 2(a), for $\beta = 10^{-6}$, all curves start with the RC close to zero until they reach a maximum $J \sim 4\pi$ around times $n \sim 10^5$. These maxima are very close to the asymptotic limit of the uncoupled case. Furthermore, they are transient and for larger times converge to zero. For increasing values on N , the times to converge to zero increase. Essentially, the same behavior is observed in Fig. 2(b) for $\beta = 10^{-4}$, but the transient maxima occur for smaller times, namely $n \sim 10^4$. For larger couplings ($\beta = 10^{-2}$), we see in Fig. 2(c) that the above transient property of the RC disappears and remains around zero for all times.

Figures 2(d)-2(f) display the RC for the period-2 dynamics found at the $\blacklozenge$ point from Fig. 1 for the same values of β used in Figs. 2(a)-2(c). After an initial short time interval presenting $J \sim 0$, the RCs in Fig. 2(d) reach a transient minimum close to $J \sim -4\pi$ at times $n \sim 10^5$. These minima are again close to the asymptotic limit of the uncoupled case. After this time, the RCs reach a transient maximum close to $J \sim 1$ and finally converge to zero. As seen above, for increasing values on N , the times to converge to zero increase. For coupling $\beta = 10^{-4}$ the transient minima at $J \sim -2$ are smeared out and converge to zero after that (see Fig. 2(e)). Increasing the coupling to $\beta = 10^{-2}$ the relevant transient RCs disappeared. Basically, the same behavior is observed in Figs. 2(g)-2(i) for the parameters relative to the $\blacksquare$ in Fig. 1. Here the transient maxima come close to $J \sim 6\pi$ for $\beta = 10^{-6}$ and for times $n \sim 10^6$. However, for increasing couplings, the times for the transient maxima decrease.

Finally, we present the point $\bullet$ from Fig. 1. The corresponding RCs are observed in Figs. 2(j)-2(l). The main difference from the other cases is that the RC from the uncoupled case is close to zero, and we do not expect any larger transient RC for intermediate times. However, as seen in Figs. 2(j) and 2(k), relevant RCs $-14 \lesssim J \lesssim -8$ occur for times $10^6 \lesssim n \lesssim 10^8$, depending on N . As N increases, the transient minima decrease, approaching significant negative values of the RCs. Thus, a coupling-induced transient RC is generated. For larger values of the coupling, these effects tend to vanish, as seen in Figs. 2(l).

In order to unveil the reasons responsible for the activation of the transient RCs, we plot in Fig. 3(a), using $\beta = 10^{-4}$ and $N = 64$, the quantity $p_i \times n$ for the parametric combination indicated by $\bullet$ in Fig. 1. Initial conditions are equally distributed between -2π and 2π for the pairs (x_i, p_i) . The RC J as function of time n is plotted in pink color. For times $n \lesssim 10^3$, p_i remains symmetrically distributed around zero, leading to $J \approx 0$. For times $10^3 \lesssim n \lesssim 10^6$, p_i values diffuse asymmetrically having a larger density of points for $p_i < 0$, inducing $J < 0$. After that, all maps have $p_i \approx 0$. In Fig. 3(b), we plot the basin of attraction indicating in colors the RC for each of the $10^3 \times 10^3$ initial conditions (x_0, p_0) considering only one ratchet map. Note that, in this case, the attractor around $p = 2\pi$ is smaller than the attractor around $p = -2\pi$, which induces negative RCs for the uncoupled case and the negative transient RCs for the coupled case. These attractors exist also for p values multiple of 2π . For the coupled case, the ICs may approach these attractors, but only after 10^3 iterations the asymmetry becomes relevant, leading to the transient negative J , as seen in Fig. 3(a). In Fig. 3(c) we consider the same quantity but for the parametric combination indicated by $\blacksquare$ in Fig. 1. Interesting to observe in this case is that, already for the first iterations, the p_i values assume large values which exceed the range of initial conditions of -2π to 2π . In other words, the coupling between the ratchets allows particles to visit temporarily attractors with higher values of p . The asymmetry of the attractors becomes relevant for $n \gtrsim 10^4$, leading to the transient $J \approx 6\pi$, which for $n \gtrsim 10^8$ tend to vanish. Figure 3(d) displays the values of p_i as a function of β for $K = 2.3$ and $\gamma = 0.94$. We see that for $10^{-7} \lesssim \beta \lesssim 10^{-5}$ many transient attractors are reached through diffusion in phase space generating a strong negative RC. Therefore, we obtain a *coupling-induced transient RC*.

Ratchet current's lifetime

The properties of the collective transient RCs from Fig. 2 can be analyzed for different values of N and β . Let τ be the RC's lifetime, defined as the time for which $|J|$ remains equal or greater than 80% of the maximal absolute value of RC found along the iteration time for a specific value of N . See the arrow in Fig. 2(a) for one example. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the log-log plot of τ as a function of N for $\beta = 10^{-6}$ and $\beta = 10^{-4}$, respectively. Parameter pairs (K, γ) are the same as before. The increasing of the current's lifetime for all cases obeys the power-law $\tau(N) \approx \alpha N^\chi$ with the same exponents for a fixed β , namely $\chi = 1.96178(\pm 0.03888)$ in Fig. 4(a) and $\chi = 1.51159(\pm 0.1006)$ in Fig. 4(b). Figure 4(c) displays the log-log plot of τ as a function of β for $N = 128$ and distinct values of (K, γ) , according the symbols from Fig. 1. The black line is the power-law regression fit of the brown averaged curve which decays with exponent $\mu = 1.02609 \pm 0.00068$.

For real applications, the collective RC transient effect is essential. For some tiny coupling strengths and a huge number

Figure 2. Curves for the RC J as function of iteration time n and for a distinct number N of coupled systems. The pair of parameters are $K = 10.0, \gamma = 0.55$ for (a)-(c), $K = 6.1, \gamma = 0.80$ for (d)-(f), $K = 10.0, \gamma = 0.65$ for (g)-(i), and $K = 2.3, \gamma = 0.94$ for (j)-(l). The black continuous curves are the J for the corresponding parameters in the uncoupled cases, for 1024 initial condition. The arrow in (a) exemplifies how the lifetime τ is determined numerically.

Figure 3. In panels (a) and (c) we plot $p_i \times n$ for the parametric combinations indicated by $\bullet$ and $\blacksquare$ in Fig. 1, respectively. Panel (d) displays $p_i \times \beta$ with $n_{\text{trans}} = 2 \times 10^6$ and $n = 10^6$ for the point $\bullet$. The pink curves plotted in these diagrams represent the respective RC. In (b), it is plotted the basin of attraction for only one ratchet map, for $K = 2.3$, $\gamma = 0.94$, and $n = 10^5$.

of particles, finite RC's lifetimes τ could be too large to be observed in experiments, and the transient features turn out to be interpreted as the asymptotic behavior. The values of τ change depending on the underline dynamics. To give a more general view of this, Fig. 5 displays the RC's lifetime τ (see color bar) for $\beta = 10^{-4}$ and $N = 128$ for the same parametric interval from Fig. 1. We see a rich alternation of colors and, when compared to Fig. 1, allows us to make the following statement: the general behavior is that most of the large values of τ (orange and yellow regions) occur for parameters relative to a periodic and Lyapunov stable motion. Some exceptions occur around to the largest green ISS from Fig. 1.

Figure 4. RC's lifetime τ as a function of N for (a) $\beta = 10^{-6}$ and (b) $\beta = 10^{-4}$. The black lines are power-law functions $\tau(N) = \alpha N^\lambda$ that adjust the brown curves obtained through the average computed over all studied cases (excluding the case of $(K, \gamma) = (6.1, 0.80)$ in (b)). In (c), the RC's lifetime is plotted as a function of β for $N = 128$. The black line decays with $\tau(\beta) \approx \nu \beta^{-\mu}$, function which adjusts the brown average curve.

Lyapunov spectrum

Figure 6 shows the spectrum of finite-time Lyapunov exponents (FTLEs) λ_i for $\beta = 10^{-4}$, $N = 64$, and three distinct times: for short times (magenta) for which the RC is close to zero, for intermediate times (green) with the large transient RC, and for considerable times (dark blue) for which the RC disappears. The red dashed-dotted lines indicated the corresponding FTLE

Figure 5. RC's lifetime τ in colors according to the color bar (in logarithm scale) in the parameter space for $\beta = 10^{-4}$ and $N = 128$ elastically interacting particles in system (1).

from the uncoupled case. For short times, the spectrum is separated between positive and negative FTLEs. For intermediate times, for which the large transient RC are observed, most FTLEs are symmetrically located around the FTLE from the uncoupled case. Some larger positive/negative FTLEs are seen for sites closer to $i = 1$ and $i = 128$. The number of such larger positive/negative LEs varies for the distinct parametric combinations. Finally, for considerable times for which the RC disappears, we observe distinct behaviors. For the period-1 case related to the point $\blacktriangle$ in Fig. 1, none site i assumes the value of the FTLE from the uncoupled case and they are strongly separated, as shown in Fig. 6(a) by the dark blue triangles. Cases with period-1 and period-2 related respectively to the points $\blacksquare$ and $\blacklozenge$ in Fig. 1, have a similar FTLE spectrum. FTLEs between $i = 32$ and $i = 96$ are very close to the FTLE from the uncoupled case. For other values of i , the FTLEs get apart. In the case of Fig. 6(d), all FTLEs are negative and equal to the FTLE from the uncoupled case. This is the only case that is not chaotic after coupling the maps. Furthermore, in all cases the magnitude of the largest negative FTLEs is greater than the magnitude of the largest positive LEs.

Figure 6. The spectrum of FTLEs for $N = 64$ and $\beta = 10^{-4}$ by using the same parametric combinations from Figs. 2 and 4 and different values for the iteration time n . The symbols used correspond to the symbols from Fig. 1. The red dashed-dotted lines indicate the value of the FTLE λ obtained for the uncoupled map.

3 Discussion

Results from Figs. 2(a)-(k) demonstrate that collective transient RCs are observed for $N = 64, 128, 256, 512$, and 1024 elastically interacting particles. We also demonstrate one case having a coupling-induced collective transient RC through the asymmetric destruction of attractors in Figs. 2(j) and 2(k). Except for these cases [Figs. 2(j) and 2(k)], the transient RCs approach the RCs from the uncoupled case, plotted by the black curves. In all cases, the transient times and the magnitude of the collective transient RCs increase as N increases. For larger couplings strengths, all RCs tend to zero. The large collective transient RCs observed for small couplings are generated when the p_i 's values access, for finite times, identical copies of the attractors from the uncoupled case which can be found out of the range $[-2\pi, 2\pi]$. However, due to the coupling, these attractors become transient. For example, Fig. 6(a) demonstrates that for times for which the large transient RCs occur, almost all FTLEs are close to the FTLE from the uncoupled case. The same is observed for all other cases. For the parametric combination indicated by ● in Fig. 1, in distinction to all other cases, increasing the coupling strength from $\beta = 10^{-6} \rightarrow 10^{-4}$ the generated RCs increase in magnitude, as seen by comparing Figs. 2(j) and 2(k).

The increasing of the collective RC's transient times, or RC's lifetime, obeys a power-law $\propto N^\chi$, as shown in Figs. 4(a) (for $\beta = 10^{-6}$) and 4(b) (for $\beta = 10^{-4}$). The exponents found are $\chi = 1.96$ and $\chi = 1.51$, respectively. Thus, we expect to observe $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and that this limit is reached faster for smaller couplings. Interesting to mention is that increasing N , the magnitude of the time of the collective transient RCs increases. However, the magnitude of the collective transient RCs is more or less affected by β , depending on the underline dynamics. For example, compare Figs. 2(b) and 2(e). The same coupling strength $\beta = 10^{-4}$ has a stronger effect on the magnitude of the collective transient RC from Figs. 2(e). This is explained using the corresponding FTLE spectrum from Figs. 6(a) and 6(c). The latter has many positive FTLEs in the transient regime (see the dark-green points) and the LE from the uncoupled case is lesser negative. Thus, the case from Fig. 2(e) tends to be more unstable, and consequently, the magnitude of the RC is more affected by the coupling when compared to Fig. 2(b). This completely agrees with the demonstration that the RC for this parametric combination is easily affected by external noise when compared to the other parameters considered here.⁴⁰ Furthermore, this is also the only case for which a collective transient RC's reversal is observed, from $J \sim -4\pi \rightarrow 2$ for times $10^6 \rightarrow 10^8$. Last but not least, the lifetime decreases with a power-law as a function of β , as seen in Fig. 4(c).

Above results explain what has been widely observed in coupled maps systems, that a "long array of coupled systems may be thought of as a concatenation of small-size sub-systems that evolve almost independently from each other".^{41,42} However, the implication of such amazing property has never been analysed in the context of collective transient ratchet transport, as done here. The microscopic access to multiple attractors directly affects the macroscopic variable, the RC. The uncoupled case behaves like the thermodynamic limit, at least from the RC point of view. Comparing the exponents χ and μ obtained in Fig. 4 with the results above, we can say that the RC reaches faster the values of the uncoupled case when N increases than when β decreases.

The physical implications of the efficient collective transient ratchet transport for technological applications is noticeable. We mention classical and quantum possibilities for which the collective transport could be of major relevance, like organic electronic ratchets,⁴³ separating leukocytes from whole blood using the microfluidic ratchet mechanism,⁴⁴ tunneling in two-dimensional semiconductors,⁴⁵ mesoscopic electronic transport⁴⁶ and polystyrene microspheres immersed in water,⁴⁷ to mention a few.

4 Methods

The time evolution of the relevant physical quantities is obtained by considering N elastically coupled Ratchet Maps (RMs)^{39,48} in the form

$$\mathbf{RM} := \begin{cases} p'_i = \gamma p_i + K[\sin(x_i) + a \sin(2x_i + \phi)] + F_{\text{coup}}(x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}), \\ x'_i = x_i + p'_i, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where the first neighbor coupling between the ratchets follows^{49,50}

$$F_{\text{coup}} = \beta(x_{i+1} - 2x_i + x_{i-1}), \quad (2)$$

with K being the nonlinearity parameter and β the effective coupling strength between the ratchets. Usually, we write $\beta = \varepsilon/N$, where ε is the coupling strength between the ratchets and the limit of infinite size is obtained using $N \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\beta \rightarrow 0$. The variables p_i is the conjugate momentum of x_i with $i = 1, \dots, N$. The one step discrete time evolution of these variables is represented by the prime. In the present work, we used the parameters $a = 0.5$ and $\phi = \pi/2$,^{39,51} and change β and N . The above model describes the dynamics of N coupled particles moving in an asymmetric potential along the x_i direction with $x_i \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, while $\gamma_i \in [0, 1]$ represents the dissipation of particle i .

The *Ratchet Current* (RC) J is defined as the double average of the momentum p_i , namely

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n p'_{i,j} \right], \quad (3)$$

where n is the total iteration time and N the number of RMs. Each one of the N maps assumes a different initial condition (IC), and the set of ICs is chosen to be equally distributed inside the intervals $(x_i^{\min}, x_i^{\max}) = (p_i^{\min}, p_i^{\max}) = (-2\pi, 2\pi)$, so that no preferred direction for the entire coupled system is given in the beginning.

The FTLE spectrum is obtained numerically using the Gram-Schmidt reorthonormalization procedure.^{52,53} The ICs are equally distributed in the interval $(x_i^{\min}, x_i^{\max}) = (p_i^{\min}, p_i^{\max}) = (-2\pi, 2\pi)$, with each RM assuming a different IC. The values for the iteration time n used in each simulation are displayed in the panels of Fig. 6.

Acknowledgments

CM and MWB thank National Council for Scientific and Technological Development – CNPq (Brazilian agency) for financial support (Grant Numbers. 310228/2020-4, 424803/2018-6 and 310792/2018-5). The authors acknowledge computational support from Prof. CM de Carvalho at LFTC-DFis-UFPR (Brazil). CM also thanks the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e Inovação do Estado de Santa Catarina – FAPESC (Brazilian agency) for financial support.

Author contributions statement

CM and MWB conceived the simulations. CM, RMS and TMO performed the simulations. All authors discussed the results, and CM, MWB and RMS wrote the manuscript.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1. Jarzynski, C. Equalities and inequalities: Irreversibility and the second law of thermodynamics at the nanoscale. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **2**, 329 (2011).
2. Prigogine, I. *Introduction to Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes* (Interscience Publishers, 1961).
3. Gaspard, P. *Chaos, Scattering and Statistical Mechanics*. Cambridge Nonlinear Science Series (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
4. Klages, R. *Microscopic Chaos, Fractals and Transport in Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Cingapura, 2007).
5. Pierro, M. D., Potoyan, D. A., Wolynes, P. G. & Onuchic, J. N. Anomalous diffusion, spatial coherence, and viscoelasticity from the energy landscape of human chromosomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 7753 (2018).
6. Volpe, G., Volpe, G. & Gigan, S. Brownian motion in a speckle light field: Tunable anomalous diffusion and selective optical manipulation. *Scientific Reports* **3936**, 4 (2014).
7. Spiechowicz, J., Łuczka, J. & Hänggi, P. Transient anomalous diffusion in periodic systems: ergodicity, symmetry breaking and velocity relaxation. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 30948 (2016).
8. Hänggi, P., Łuczka, J. & Spiechowicz, J. Many faces of nonequilibrium: anomalous transport phenomena in driven periodic systems. *Acta Physica Polonica B* **51**, 1131 (2020).
9. Chong, K. L. et al. Vortices as Brownian particles in turbulent flows. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaaz1110 (2020).
10. Zhang, J. & Önskog, T. Langevin equation elucidates the mechanism of the rayleigh-bénard instability by coupling molecular motions and macroscopic fluctuations. *Phys. Rev. E* **96**, 043104 (2017).
11. Ai, B.-Q. Ratchet transport powered by chiral active particles. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 18740 (2016).
12. Goychuk, I., V.O., K. & Metzler, R. How molecular motors work in the crowded environment of living cells: coexistence and efficiency of normal and anomalous transport. *PLoS One* **9**, 13 (2014).
13. Goychuk, I. Perfect anomalous transport of subdiffusive cargos by molecular motors in viscoelastic cytosol. *Biosystems* **177**, 56–65 (2019).

14. Carlo, G., Benenti, G., Casati, G. & Shepelyansky, D. Quantum ratchets in dissipative chaotic systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 164101 (2005).
15. Carlo, G. et al. Chaotic ratchet dynamics with cold atoms in a pair of pulsed optical lattices. Phys. Rev. A **74**, 033617 (2006).
16. Grossert, C., Leder, M., Denisov, S., Hänggi, P. & Weitz, M. Experimental control of transport resonances in a coherent quantum rocking ratchet. Nature Communications **7**, 10440 (2016).
17. Reimann, P., Grifoni, M. & Hänggi, P. Quantum ratchets. Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 10 (1997).
18. Schanz, H., Otto, M.-F., Ketzmerick, R. & Dittrich, T. Classical and quantum hamiltonian ratchets. Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 070601 (2001).
19. Jonckheere, T., Isherwood, M. & Monteiro, T. Chaotic filtering of moving atoms in pulsed optical lattices by control of dynamical localization. Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 253003 (2003).
20. Smirnov, S., Bercieux, D., Grifoni, M. & Richter, R. Quantum dissipative rashba spin ratches. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 230601 (2008).
21. Salger, T. et al. Directed transport of atoms in a Hamiltonian quantum ratchet. Science **326**, 1241 (2009).
22. Beims, M., Schlesinger, M., Celestino, A., Pernice, A. & Strunz, W. Quantum-classical transition and quantum activation of ratchet currents in the parameter space. Phys. Rev. E **91**, 052908 (2015).
23. Feynman, R. P., Leighton, R. B. & Sands, M. Ratchet and pawl. In The Feynman Lectures on Physics, vol. 1, chap. 46 (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1963).
24. Magnasco, M. O. Forced thermal ratchets. Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1477–1481 (1993).
25. Astumian, R. D. & Bier, M. Fluctuation driven ratchets: Molecular motors. Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1766–1769 (1994).
26. Jülicher, F., Ajdari, A. & Prost, J. Modeling molecular motors. Rev. Mod. Phys. **69**, 1269 (1997).
27. Reimann, P. Brownian motors: noisy transport far from equilibrium. Phys. Rep. **361**, 57 – 265 (2002).
28. Rousselet, J., Salome, L., Ajdari, A. & Prost, J. Directional motion of Brownian particles induced by a periodic asymmetric potential. Nature **370**, 446–447 (1994).
29. Jung, P., Kissner, J. G. & Hänggi, P. Regular and chaotic transport in asymmetric periodic potentials: Inertia ratchets. Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3436–3439 (1996).
30. Zhou, H.-X. & Chen, Y.-d. Chemically driven motility of brownian particles. Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 194–197 (1996).
31. Astumian, R. D. Thermodynamics and kinetics of a brownian motor. Science **276**, 917–922 (1997).
32. Zapata, I., Bartussek, R., Sols, F. & Hänggi, P. Voltage rectification by a squid ratchet. Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2292–2295 (1996).
33. Kettner, C., Reimann, P., Hänggi, P. & Müller, F. Drift ratchet. Phys. Rev. E **61**, 312–323 (2000).
34. Brox, J., Kiefer, P., Bujak, M., Schaetz, T. & Landa, H. Spectroscopy and directed transport of topological solitons in crystals of trapped ions. Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 153602 (2017).
35. Chen, H., Wang, Q. & Zheng, Z. Deterministic directed transport of inertial particles in a flashing ratchet potential. Phys. Rev. E **71**, 031102 (2005).
36. Wang, H.-Y. & Bao, J.-D. The roles of ratchet in transport of two coupled particles. Physica A **337**, 13 – 26 (2004).
37. da Silva, R. M., Manchein, C. & Beims, M. W. Optimal ratchet current for elastically interacting particles. Chaos **29**, 111101 (2019).
38. Grimm, A. & Stark, H. Hydrodynamic interactions enhance the performance of brownian ratchets. Soft Matter **7**, 3219 (2011).
39. Celestino, A., Manchein, C., Albuquerque, H. A. & Beims, M. W. Ratchet transport and periodic structures in parameter space. Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 234101 (2011).
40. Manchein, C., Celestino, A. & Beims, M. W. Temperature resistant optimal ratchet transport. Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 114102 (2013).
41. Ruelle, D. Large volume limit of the distribution of characteristic exponents in turbulence. Commun. Math. Phys. **87**, 287 (1982).

42. Carretero-González, R., Ørstavik, S., Huke, J., Broomhead, D. & Stark, J. Thermodynamic limit from small lattices of coupled maps. Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3633 (1999).
43. Roeling, E. et al. Organic electronic ratchets doing work. Nature Materials **10**, 51 (2011).
44. Guo, Q., Duffy, S., Matthews, K., Islamzada, E. & Ma, H. Deformability based cell sorting using microfluidic ratchets enabling phenotypic separation of leukocytes directly from whole blood. Scientific Reports **7**, 6627 (2017).
45. Ang, Y., Ma, Z. & Zhang, C. Quantum ratchet in two-dimensional semiconductors with rashba spin-orbit interaction. Scientific Reports **5**, 7872 (2015).
46. Roche, B. et al. Harvesting dissipated energy with a mesoscopic ratchet. Nature Communications **6**, 6738 (2015).
47. Graydon, O. Reconfigurable ratchet. Nature Photonics **11**, 267 (2017).
48. Wang, L., Benenti, G., Casati, G. & Li, B. Ratchet effect and the transporting islands in the chaotic sea. Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 244101 (2007).
49. Vincent, U. E., Kenfack, A., Senthilkumar, D. V., Mayer, D. & Kurths, J. Current reversals and synchronization in coupled ratchets. Phys. Rev. E **82**, 046208 (2010).
50. Levien, E. & Bressloff, P. C. Quasi-steady-state analysis of coupled flashing ratchets. Phys. Rev. E **92**, 042129 (2015).
51. da Silva, R. M., Manchein, C. & Beims, M. W. Optimizing thermally affected ratchet currents using periodic perturbations. Physica A **508**, 454 – 460 (2018).
52. Benettin, G., Galgani, L., Giorgilli, A. & Strelcyn, J. M. Lyapunov characteristic exponents for smooth dynamical systems and for Hamiltonian systems; a method for computing all of them. Meccanica **15**, 09 (1980).
53. Wolf, A., Swift, J. B., Swinney, H. L. & Vastano, J. A. Determining Lyapunov exponents from a time series. Physica D **16**, 285 (1985).

Figures

Figure 1

Please see the Manuscript PDF file for the complete figure caption

Figure 2

Please see the Manuscript PDF file for the complete figure caption

Figure 3

Please see the Manuscript PDF file for the complete figure caption

Figure 4

Please see the Manuscript PDF file for the complete figure caption

Figure 5

Please see the Manuscript PDF file for the complete figure caption

Figure 6

Please see the Manuscript PDF file for the complete figure caption