

Highly Efficient Production of an Influenza H9N2 Vaccine Using MDCK Suspension Cells

Yixiao Wu

State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Meilong Road, 200237 Shanghai, China

Hanjing Jia

State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Meilong Road, 200237 Shanghai, China

Hanzhang Lai

Key Laboratory of Biotechnology and Bioproducts Development for Animal Epidemic Prevention, Ministry of Agriculture, P. R. China, Guangdong Wens Dahuanong Biotechnology Co., Ltd., No. 6 Dongdi North Road, Xincheng Town, Xinxing County, Yunfu City, 527400,

Xuping Liu (✉ xupingliu@ecust.edu.cn)

East China University of Science and Technology

Wen-Song Tan

State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Meilong Road, 200237 Shanghai, China

Research

Keywords: MDCK suspension cells, cell adaptation, influenza virus, feed strategy, cell culture-based vaccine manufacturing

Posted Date: September 4th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-58786/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on December 2nd, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-020-00352-4>.

Abstract

H9N2 subtype avian influenza virus poses a constant threat to the poultry industry and the control of the disease leans upon the use of effective vaccines. As an alternative to the conventional chicken embryonated eggs, animal cell culture could overcome the limitations of egg supplies and upgrade the manufacturing of avian influenza vaccines for poultry. Development of serum-free suspension cell culture could allow even higher virus productivity, where a suspension cell line with good growth and production performance is required. In this work, an adherent MDCK cell line was adapted to suspension growth to cell concentration up to 12×10^6 cells/mL in a serum-free medium in batch cultures. Subsequently, the influenza virus propagation in this MDCK cell line was evaluated and was improved with the medium exchange at time of infection as well as optimization of infection conditions in terms of MOI and cell concentration for infection. Furthermore, various feed strategies were tested in the infection phase for improved virus titer and a maximum hemagglutinin titer of $13 \log_2$ (HAU/50 μ L) was obtained using the 1:2 medium dilution strategy. Evaluation of MDCK cell growth and H9N2 virus propagation in the bioreactors with optimized operating conditions showed comparable cell performance and virus yield compared to shake flasks, with a high cell-specific virus yield above 14000 virions/cell. With the purified H9N2 virus harvested from the bioreactor, the MDCK cell-derived vaccine was able to induce high titers of neutralizing antibodies in chickens. Overall, the results demonstrate the promising application of the highly efficient MDCK cell-based production platform for the avian influenza vaccine manufacturing.

Introduction

Avian influenza virus (IAV) can cause infections both in animals and humans. The H9N2 viruses have been isolated globally in the past few decades and are persistently circulating in several countries in Asia, Middle East and North Africa (Gu et al. 2017). This has resulted in severe economic burdens to the poultry industry by the decrease in egg production and the moderate-to-high mortality of poultry (Lamb and Takeda 2001; Lee and Song 2013; Pu et al. 2015). In addition, H9N2 viruses have revealed the potential to cause the pandemic due to the emerging reported cases of avian-to-human transmission of H9N2 viruses and the detections of partial H9N2 virus-derived genomic segments in the emerging highly pathogenic human influenza viruses regarding H7N9, H5N1, H10N8 and H5N6 virus reassortants (Gu et al. 2017; Li et al. 2014; Pu et al. 2017; Sorrell et al. 2009). To face the threat posed by the emerging H9N2 viruses, vaccination is considered as an effective measurement to control the virus spread among the poultry and to limit the health risks to humans (Genzel and Reichl 2009; Park et al. 2011).

The conventional embryonated egg production platform has been intensively applied for the manufacturing of influenza vaccines for more than 70 years. Nevertheless, this platform is highly dependent on the supplies of eggs, which can be limited in the event of an influenza pandemic (Hegde 2015; Ulmer et al. 2006). Furthermore, the influenza virus produced in eggs acquired the antigenic alteration in glycosylated proteins due to the host-cell adaptation, resulting in the vaccines less protective against some influenza strains (Zost et al. 2017). Cell culture-based platform has been established as a viable alternative for the manufacturing of influenza vaccines, particularly advantageous in case of a

pandemic, due to its flexibility, scalability and lower potential constraints of egg shortages (Harding and Heaton 2018; Milian and Kamen 2015). Particularly, the lately developed disposable equipment enables the fast and handy manufacturing of influenza vaccines (Coronel et al. 2019). Various continuous cell lines have been characterized for the propagation of influenza viruses, such as HEK293, Vero, PER.C6, EB66, PBG. PK2.1, DuckCelt[®]-T17 and Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, among which the MDCK cells are considered as one of the most suitable candidates due to its high susceptibility to influenza virus and superior productivity for the increased production capacity (Brown and Mehtali 2010; Genzel et al. 2010; Granicher et al. 2019; Huang et al. 2015; Le Ru et al. 2010; Pau et al. 2001; Petiot et al. 2018). By using the MDCK cells, influenza vaccines such as Flumist[®] (MedImmune), Flucelvax[®]/Optaflu[®] (Seqirus/Novartis) and SKYCellflu[®] (SK chemicals) have been developed and certified (Genzel and Reichl 2009; Sun et al. 2011).

In past years, massive progress has been reported with the propagation of influenza virus using adherent MDCK cells (Bock et al. 2009; Genzel et al. 2006; Hu et al. 2008; Hussain et al. 2010). Nevertheless, the use of serum for cell growth leads to the increased complexity of the culture process and batch-to-batch variations. In addition, desired high cell density cultivation is limited by the surface area of the microcarrier beads. The cell line and medium development can lead to MDCK suspension culture in serum-free or chemically defined medium, which enables the large scale manufacturing of influenza vaccines due to the easy operation and a stable process control (Chu et al. 2009; Lohr et al. 2010). Especially, cell adaptation to suspension culture in a well-designed serum-free medium is required to reduce the risks of undesirable cell line properties regarding inadequate cell growth performance and low virus productivity. With the suspension cell line, for the process design and optimization to produce influenza vaccines, various process strategies need to be selected to maximize the cell concentration and virus titer as well as to avoid the so-called “cell density effect”, which leads to the reduction of cell-specific virus yield (CSVY) (Maranga et al. 2003). Therefore, a combination of a suitable MDCK cell line and optimal process strategies is of a great importance for efficient virus production in large-scale manufacturing. However, the growth performance of MDCK suspension cells regarding the aggregates, low cell growth rate and low cell concentration have been reported (Chu et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2011; Li et al. 2018; Lohr et al. 2010). In addition, with low CSVYs these MDCK suspension cells were not able to reach high virus titers. Some MDCK cell-based process for the production of human influenza vaccines led to high virus productivity with the application of complex perfusion culture, but for avian influenza vaccines a simple and efficient process would be more favored to achieve high economic performance (Bissinger et al. 2019; Coronel et al. 2019; Granicher et al. 2019; Vazquez-Ramirez et al. 2019).

In this work, we demonstrate the development of a highly efficient process for the production of an avian H9N2 vaccine using MDCK suspension cells. The adaptation of an adherent MDCK cell line to suspension growth in a serum-free medium was performed to allow the growth to high cell concentration at high cell growth rate. Furthermore, influenza virus productions with the adapted suspension cells were evaluated by implementing various feed strategies in the infection phase with the aim of increased virus yield. Additionally, the scale-up in the bioreactors was compared to the cultivation in the shake flasks in

terms of cell growth and virus production and the immunogenicity of the vaccine produced in the bioreactors was evaluated. Overall, we demonstrate a highly potential production platform for the fast large-scale manufacturing of avian influenza vaccines.

Materials And Methods

Cell line and cell culture

The adherent MDCK cell line (NBL-2) (ATCC; No. CCL-34) used in this work was cultivated in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) of fetal bovine serum (FBS; Biosun) in static T flasks (TPP) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ atmosphere. After the adaptation to suspension growth, the MDCK cells were cultivated in an in-house serum-free medium, here referred as Xeno-SFM, in polycarbonate Erlenmeyer shake flasks (Corning®, Corning) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ atmosphere with a shaking frequency of 130 rpm. For the growth evaluation of suspension cells in shake flasks, cells were inoculated with a cell concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL. For the process optimization in shake flasks, the cells were seeded and grown to 6×10^6 or 10×10^6 cells/mL for infection. For the batch cultivation in the bioreactor, MDCK cells were cultivated in a 3 L bioreactor (ez-Control, Applikon) with a working volume (wv) of 1 L. Approximately 170 mL of the preculture was used to inoculate the bioreactor with a seed density of 1.0×10^6 cells/mL. The cells were grown for 72 h before infection. The pH was controlled at 7.00 by the addition of 1 M NaOH and CO₂ flow through the sparger. The dissolved oxygen (DO) was set to 40% by the headspace aeration with a constant flow of air and a ring sparger with the air-O₂ mixture in the culture. In addition, the temperature was controlled at 37°C and agitation was set at 150 rpm over the whole cultivation.

Cell concentration, viability and diameter were measured by a cell counter (Countstar) based on the trypan blue staining method. Extracellular metabolites regarding glutamine, glucose, lactate and ammonium were measured by a Bioprofile 400 (Nova medical).

Cell adaptation

When the adherent cell monolayer was grown to about 80-90% confluency in the T75 flask, the serum-containing medium was discarded and cells were rinsed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) before the trypsinization (0.25% (w/v) trypsin, Gibco). Detached cells were suspended with Xeno-SFM and transferred to the shake flask for the cultivation and the shaking frequency was set at 130 rpm. During the period of the adaptation in the shake flasks, the cells were refreshed or passaged with Xeno-SFM every 2 days to a seeding density of 1.0×10^6 cells/mL until they could grow in single suspension with the minimal aggregation and a stable specific growth rate. The suspension cells were frozen with 10% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide to generate the cell bank after they were finally adapted to the Xeno-SFM.

Influenza virus infection

The virus strain influenza A/Chicken/Guangdong/SS/94 (H9N2) was kindly supplied by Zhaoqing Dahuanong Biological Medicine Co., Ltd. and was initially grown in chicken embryos. The virus was adapted to the suspension MDCK cells over a series of virus passages with a low multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10^{-3} . Seed virus was stored at aliquots of 1 mL at -80°C . After the adaptation, the infectious titer of the final seed virus was 10^8 TCID₅₀/mL.

Various medium exchange strategies were introduced in shake flasks at time of infection (TOI) for process optimization. An infection was carried out either after a complete medium replacement at the viable cell concentration of 6×10^6 or 10×10^6 cells/mL or after a 4:5, 3:4, 2:3, 1:2, 1:3 or 1:4 medium dilution at the cell concentration of $10\text{-}11 \times 10^6$ cells/mL. For example, with a 1:2 medium dilution, 25 mL fresh medium was added to the culture (wv = 25 mL) to reach a 2-fold increase in the working volume. The infection in the bioreactor was performed with a 1:2 medium dilution at TOI. TPCK-trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich) was supplemented after medium exchange to a final concentration of 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Diluted H9N2 seed virus was added with a MOI of 10^{-3} .

Virus quantification

The hemagglutinin activity (HA) assay was used for the quantification of virus titer. The concentration of chicken erythrocyte solution (Shanghai Institute of Biological Products Co., Ltd) was set to 2×10^7 cells/mL for determination. The virus titer was expressed as \log_2 (HAU/50 μL). Accordingly, the virus concentration ($C_{\text{vir,max}}$, virions/mL) was calculated by multiplying the HA titer and erythrocyte concentration as given by equation (1). The corresponding CSVY was calculated as given by equation (2).

$$C_{\text{vir}} = 2 \times 10^7 \times 2^{\log_2\left(\frac{\text{HAU}}{50 \mu\text{L}}\right)} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{CSVY} = \frac{C_{\text{vir,max}} \times \text{wv}_1}{X_{\text{v,max}} \times \text{wv}_2} \quad (2)$$

$X_{\text{v,max}}$: maximum viable cell concentration in the infection phase;

wv_1 : working volume at highest viable cell concentration;

wv_2 : working volume at maximum virus titer.

Transmission electron microscopy

The virus supernatant was harvested from the bioreactor and clarified by centrifugation at 10000 rpm for 20 min. The virus particles were purified by sucrose density gradient centrifugation using an ultracentrifuge (HITACHI) at 30000 rpm for 2.5 h in a sucrose solution with a gradient density from 20% to 60%. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of virus particles was performed using the negative staining method. The purified virion-containing solution was applied on a carbon-coated 230 mesh

copper grids, stained with 2% phosphotungstic acid solution and viewed using the TEM (JEM-1400, Jeou).

Vaccine preparation, immunization and antibody determination

The clarified H9N2 virus bulk produced in the bioreactor was diluted to a final HA equal to the egg-derived H9N2 virus bulk. Subsequently the MDCK cell-derived virus bulk and egg-derived virus bulk were prepared into the corresponding oil emulsion inactivated vaccines. The 3-week-old specific pathogen free (SPF) chickens were divided into two groups (n=15 per group) and were immunized were subjected to subcutaneous injection on the neck with 0.3 mL MDCK cell-derived vaccine or egg-derived vaccine. At day 14, 21 and 28, blood samples were collected for serum separation. The procedure was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Zhaoqing Dahuanong Biological Medicine Co., Ltd. The hemagglutination inhibition antibody titers of sera against the H9N2 virus derived from MDCK cells or eggs by one dose immunization were determined by hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay.

Statistical analysis

A *t* test was used for statistical analysis using GraphPad Prism 7 software. The *p* values lower than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results And Discussion

Adaptation of adherent MDCK cells to suspension culture

For the cell adaptation to the suspension culture, a step-wise reduction of the serum and medium for adherent cells is the common approach. Nevertheless, in this work a direct adaptation of MDCK cell line to Xeno-SFM was attempted. Therefore, detached adherent MDCK cells were directly transferred to the shake flasks and cultivated in the Xeno-SFM. In the first stage of adaptation (0-9 days), the cell growth was unstable due to the direct removal of serum and the introduction of the new medium, with the cell growth rate ranging from 0.26 d⁻¹ to 0.65 d⁻¹ (Fig. 1B). This stage can be considered as a process of selecting the more “robust” cell population to achieve higher and stable cell growth. Despite the unstable cell growth, the viability of MDCK cells stayed above 90% in this stage (Fig. 1A). With the well-designed Xeno-SFM, only a few of small aggregates were observed in the culture during this stage (data not shown). In the second stage of adaptation (9-19 days) the cell aggregates disappeared and cells were growing in single suspension with a cell size of approximately 14 μm (data not shown). In addition, the cell growth was stable with a growth rate of around 0.46 d⁻¹ and cell viability over 95% (Fig. 1). Therefore, over the whole cultivation, the adaptation seemed to have an obvious impact on the cell growth but not the overall cell viability, which was consistent with the previous study by Bissinger (Bissinger et al. 2019). Over multiple passages the fully adapted MDCK suspension cells were frozen to generate a cell bank for further studies.

Overall, the whole adaptation of the MDCK cells to the optimal cell growth was done in less than 3 weeks thanks to the Xeno-SFM which was directly designed for the suspension culture. Compared to the adaptation process of some other reported established MDCK suspension cell lines with the step-wise approaches (over 40 passages) (Lohr et al. 2010; van Wielink et al. 2011), fast adaptation of MDCK cell line demonstrated in this work (10 passages) dramatically reduced the labor work. In addition, the thawing and long term passages of the adapted cells in the Xeno-SFM confirmed the stable and fast cell growth (data not shown). Further genotyping and tumorigenicity studies of this MDCK cell line are needed as they are major concerns for the suspension cell lines for the potential commercial use.

Cell growth and metabolism in batch cultivation

Subsequently, the cell growth in batch cultivations using the fully adapted MDCK cells was evaluated in the shake flasks. With a seeding density of 1.0×10^6 cells/mL, MDCK cells were able to grow to cell concentration up to 12×10^6 cells/mL with a maximum cell-specific growth rate of 0.70 d^{-1} (Fig. 2A & B). Additionally, the cell viability above 95% was observed in the exponential phase from 0 h to 72 h (Fig. 2A). Compared to the growth rates of other MDCK suspension cell lines reported by Lohr (0.62 d^{-1}) (Lohr et al. 2010) and Huang (0.73 d^{-1}) (Huang et al. 2015) as well as some other suspension cell lines used for the propagation of influenza virus regarding AGE1.CR cells (0.67 d^{-1}) (Genzel et al. 2014), DuckCelt[®]-T17 (0.6 d^{-1}) (Petiot et al. 2018) and PBG.PK2.1 (0.50 d^{-1}) (Granicher et al. 2019), this MDCK suspension cell line showed one of the highest growth rates. From 96 h, the cell concentration started to decrease combined with the decreasing cell viability as well as the negative growth rates.

The consumed and produced main metabolites in the shake flasks were measured over the whole batch cultivation as shown in Fig. 2C. The glucose and glutamine were depleted at 120 h when the viable cell concentration started to decrease. As two well-known by-products of cell culture, lactate and ammonium reached the concentrations up to 20 mmol/L and 4 mmol/L respectively in the cell growth phase and these by-product levels do not show negative impacts on the cell growth for many animal cells (Cruz et al. 2000; Lao and Toth 1997; Ritter et al. 2010). From 72 h, lactate concentration started to decline when the glucose concentration was below 10 mmol/L. This indicated a metabolic shift that MDCK cells started to uptake the lactate as the energy source instead of releasing the lactate when the glucose was about to limit. Overall, sufficient utilization of main energy substrates and moderate levels of by-product production contributed to the high cell growth rate and high cell concentration of MDCK cells.

Medium exchange and the optimization of MOI for virus production

In the next step, the propagation of H9N2 virus in the MDCK suspension cells was evaluated and impacts of various infection strategies including MOI and medium exchange on the virus production were investigated. To evaluate the impact of MOI on the virus titer, H9N2 seed virus, which has been adapted from eggs to adherent MDCK cells, was added to the culture with a MOI of 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} or 10^{-4} under various conditions (different cell concentrations at TOI and with or w/o medium exchange). The trypsin addition was optimized in the preliminary experiments and the final concentration of 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ was used for virus

production as the optimal condition (data not shown). With the higher MOI (10^{-2} and 10^{-3}) similar infection dynamics and HA titers were obtained, where the HA accumulations were completed at 48 hpi (Fig. 3). However, the lower MOI (10^{-4}) led to the HA release with a delay of 24 h and lower maximum HA titers compared to the experiments performed with MOI of 10^{-2} and 10^{-3} (Fig. 3). As a critical parameter for the virus infection, the selection of the optimal MOI for the virus production process needs to be taken into account. As the virus particles in the medium are transported to the target cells by diffusion, using higher MOI can increase the chance of viruses to attach and enter the cells, but lower MOI can reduce the occurrence of defective interfering particles which was described previously to interfere the propagation of intact particles and decrease the virus titer (Frensing et al. 2013). In this work, the MOI of 10^{-2} and 10^{-3} contributed to similar virus titers and the MOIs are also in a comparable range as the optimal MOI reported previously for other cell lines (Genzel et al. 2010; Le Ru et al. 2010; Li et al. 2018).

The medium exchange was introduced at TOI when cell concentrations were 6×10^6 and 10×10^6 cells/mL to evaluate its impact on improving the virus titer. For the experiments where cells were infected at cell concentration of 6×10^6 cells/mL at TOI, a maximum HA titer of $11.25 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ was obtained with medium exchange performed at TOI (MOI 0.001) compared to $10.25 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ obtained without medium exchange (MOI 0.001) (Fig. 3A & B). For the experiments using 10×10^6 cells/mL, a very low maximum HA titer of only $3 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ was determined without the medium exchange (MOI 0.001) while a drastic increase in HA titer to $13 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ was obtained after the medium exchange (MOI 0.001) (Fig. 3C & D). It is clear that medium renewal in the infection phase had an impact on virus titer and HA titers were increased after medium exchange most likely due to the supply of medium substrates and removal of accumulated by-products. This was also confirmed in a previous study using adherent MDCK cells and rational substrate supply was necessary in the infection phase to improve the virus titer as higher demand of substrates was needed by the infected cells to produce viruses (Huang et al. 2014). Accordingly, the maximum CSVYs calculated from HA titers with medium exchange at TOI (7009 virions/cell for 6×10^6 cells/mL and 12781 virions/cell for 10×10^6 cells/mL) was higher than that without medium exchange (3800 virions/cell for 6×10^6 cells/mL and 15 virions/cell for 10×10^6 cells/mL) respectively, which indicated that the “cell density effect” described above was improved.

Various feed strategies for virus production

In the last section higher virus titer ($12.75 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$) was achieved with medium exchange at TOI at higher cell concentration of 10×10^6 cells/mL. However, for suspension cells, complete medium exchange would not be favored in large scale vaccine manufacturing due to its complex operation and long operation duration. The feed strategy considering the medium dilution and culture volume expansion can be an option. Therefore, cultivations in shake flasks using various medium dilution strategies at TOI were performed with the optimal MOI of 10^{-3} and trypsin addition to a final concentration of $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ to simplify the process and improve the HA titer. At TOI, a 4-fold, 3-fold, 2-fold,

1.5-fold, 4/3-fold or 1.25-fold working volume expansion was conducted by adding the fresh Xeno-SFM when the cells grew to approximately 10×10^6 cells/mL before the virus and trypsin addition. As shown in Fig. 4A, the various dilution ratios at TOI resulted in a decrease in the cell concentration in a range of $2.6 - 8.5 \times 10^6$ cells/mL, followed by a continued growth for the first 24 hpi. Highest cell concentrations were observed at 24 hpi and subsequently cells started to die. In contrast to no titer measured in the control experiment without medium exchange, all the medium dilution strategies led to significantly higher HA titers and the maximum HA titers were obtained at 48 hpi (Fig. 4B). Using the 1:2 medium dilution strategy, the highest HA titer of $13 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ was obtained compared to the HA titers of 10.75, 11, 12, 12 and $12 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ for the experiments with 1:4, 1:3, 2:3, 3:4 and 4:5 dilution, respectively (Fig. 4B). The highest titer was also similar to the titer obtained with total medium exchange. Considering the CSVY, the 1:2 dilution strategy also showed the highest value of 18104 virions/cell compared to other dilution strategies (Fig. 4C). Medium dilution strategies led to the partial renewal of substrates and dilution of inhibitors in the culture but also decreased the cell concentration. Therefore, using the medium dilution strategy, it is critical to find the balance between the cell concentration and the substrate supply, in which the 1:2 medium dilution strategy appeared to be optimal in this work.

Bioreactor evaluation

Cultivations in lab-scale bioreactors for H9N2 virus production were evaluated compared to shake flasks using the optimized conditions regarding the MOI of 10^{-3} , trypsin addition to a final concentration of $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and 1:2 medium dilution at TOI. With a seeding cell concentration at 1×10^6 cells/mL, slightly higher cell concentration up to 9.7×10^6 cells/mL was reached in the bioreactors at 72 h compared to shake flasks (8.1×10^6 cells/mL) possibly due to more stable control of process parameters in the bioreactors (Fig. 5A). Comparable high viabilities over 96% were observed both in bioreactors and shake flasks during the cell growth phase (Fig. 5A). After infection, cells continued to grow to maximum cell concentrations of approximately 7×10^6 cells/mL at 24 hpi and started to die with the onset of virus accumulation both in bioreactors and shake flasks. Comparable virus infection dynamics regarding the HA were observed, where at 48 hpi both infections showed the maximum virus titer of $12.25 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ for the bioreactors and $12.50 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ for the shake flasks (Fig. 5B). Based on the similar maximum cell concentrations during the infection phase and similar virus titers, comparable CSVYs (14038 virions/cell for bioreactors and 15585 virions/cell for shake flasks) were measured and this indicated that the process has the potential to be scalable to higher bioreactor volumes (Fig. 5C). In addition, the inset of a TEM picture of the purified H9N2 viruses produced in the bioreactor showed that the particles were spherical and with intact membranous structures (Fig. 5C). Although higher virus titers were achieved by using complex approaches in some literatures, the HA titer of $12.50 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ achieved in this work using MDCK suspension cells was the highest in simple batch cultivations in the bioreactors (Genzel et al. 2014; Nikolay et al. 2020; Tapia et al. 2016). Furthermore, this was also the highest HA titer reported for the H9N2 virus production in animal cell culture so far (Li et al. 2009; Ren et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2017). The high HA titer was attributed to the combination of high cell concentration

and high CSVY and with this advantage the MDCK cell-based process by using simple and efficient cultivation would be favored for the production of veterinary vaccines.

Immunogenicity of the MDCK cell-derived H9N2 vaccines

The virus supernatant produced in the bioreactor at 48 hpi and 72 hpi was harvested, clarified and prepared into the inactivated vaccines according to the standard preparation protocol. 3-week-old SPF chickens were vaccinated with 0.3 mL of MDCK-derived H9N2 vaccines or egg-derived H9N2 vaccine. Chicken blood was collected on day 14, 21 and 28 for HI assay to evaluate the immunogenicity of the vaccines. In general, the chickens immunized with MDCK-derived H9N2 vaccines or egg-derived H9N2 vaccine showed comparable HI antibody titers. High HI antibody titer of $7.3 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ for MDCK-derived vaccine and $6.8 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ for egg-derived vaccine were detected in the chicken serum on day 14 (Fig. 6). The chickens showed the highest HI antibody titers against both types of vaccines on day 21 and the titers were stable afterwards. Furthermore, HI antibody titer of $8.6 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ was obtained against the MDCK-derived vaccine prepared from the virus harvested at 48 hpi, similar to that harvested at 72 hpi ($9.0 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$) (Fig. 6). This indicated the harvest time seemed not to have an impact on the immunogenicity of MDCK-derived vaccines. Overall, the MDCK cell-derived H9N2 vaccines effectively induced the immune response regarding the H9N2-specific antibodies and this revealed that MDCK cell-derived H9N2 vaccine can be an alternative for the egg-derived vaccines to protect chickens from the H9 infection. Further studies considering the challenge assays with the H9N2 virus strain should be followed to evaluate the protective efficacy of the vaccine. Additionally, the safety of the vaccine regarding its impact on the health and growth of vaccinated chickens should be evaluated as well.

Conclusions

Advances in the medium development facilitate an easier and efficient cell adaptation to growth in suspension and for the first time a fast adaptation of an adherent MDCK cell line to grow in single suspension in a serum-free medium after only 19 days were demonstrated. The resulting adapted MDCK cells were able to show the good growth performance of high cell concentration up to 12×10^6 cells/mL in batch cultures. The optimization of infection conditions and the implementation of 1:2 medium dilution strategy at TOI allowed the improved HA titer. Particularly, 1:2 medium dilution strategy is suitable to be applied in large scale manufacturing of influenza vaccines as it can ease the operation and expand the culture volume for infection. With the optimized operating conditions, lab-scale bioreactor cultivations resulted in the highest virus titer of $12.50 \log_2(\text{HAU}/50 \mu\text{L})$ and the highest CSVY over 14000 virions/cell reported for bioreactor process using MDCK cells in batch cultivations. The high HI antibody titers elicited by chickens immunized by the MDCK cell-derived H9N2 vaccine demonstrated the high immunogenicity of the vaccine. Further studies including the tumorigenicity of suspension MDCK cell line and the safety of the H9N2 vaccine for poultry should be planned and therefore the use of MDCK suspension cell line for the production of human influenza seasonal or pandemic vaccines could be

anticipated. Overall, the platform established in this work can be competitive to alternate the egg-based production systems for efficient influenza vaccine manufacturing.

Abbreviations

MDCK: Madin-Darby canine kidney; IAV: Avian influenza virus; CSVY: Cell-specific virus yield; DMEM: Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; DO: Dissolved oxygen; PBS: Phosphate buffered saline; SFM: Serum-free medium; MOI: multiplicity of infection; TOI: Time of infection; HA: Hemagglutinin activity; TEM: Transmission electron microscopy; SPF: Specific pathogen free; HI: Hemagglutination inhibition.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The chicken study protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Zhaoqing Dahuanong Biological Medicine Co., Ltd.

Consent for publication

All authors have read and approved the manuscript before the submission to bioresources and bioprocessing.

Availability of data and material

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Funding

Not applicable.

Author contributions

YW, HJ, HL, XL and W-ST conceived and designed the study. YW and HJ performed the experiments. YW, HJ, HL and XL analyzed the data. YW wrote the manuscript. All authors read, revised and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 22221818014), and the Development Funds of Shanghai Zhangjiang National Independent Innovation

References

1. Bissinger T, Fritsch J, Mihut A, Wu Y, Liu X, Genzel Y, Tan W-S, Reichl U (2019) Semi-perfusion cultures of suspension MDCK cells enable high cell concentrations and efficient influenza A virus production. *Vaccine* 37(47):7003-7010 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.04.054
2. Bock A, Sann H, Schulze-Horsel J, Genzel Y, Reichl U, Mohler L (2009) Growth behavior of number distributed adherent MDCK cells for optimization in microcarrier cultures. *Biotechnol Prog* 25(6):1717-31 doi:10.1002/btpr.262
3. Brown SW, Mehtali M (2010) The Avian EB66® Cell Line, Application to Vaccines, and Therapeutic Protein Production. *PDA J Pharm Sci Technol* 64(5):419
4. Chu C, Lugovtsev V, Golding H, Betenbaugh M, Shiloach J (2009) Conversion of MDCK cell line to suspension culture by transfecting with human sial7e gene and its application for influenza virus production. *PNAS* 106(35):14802-14807 doi:www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0905912106
5. Coronel J, Behrendt I, Burgin T, Anderlei T, Sandig V, Reichl U, Genzel Y (2019) Influenza A virus production in a single-use orbital shaken bioreactor with ATF or TFF perfusion systems. *Vaccine* 37(47):7011-7018 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.06.005
6. Cruz HJ, Freitas CM, Alves PM, Moreira JL, Carrondo MJT (2000) Effects of ammonia and lactate on growth, metabolism, and productivity of BHK cells. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 27(1):43-52 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229\(00\)00151-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(00)00151-4)
7. Frensing T, Heldt FS, Pflugmacher A, Behrendt I, Jordan I, Flockerzi D, Genzel Y, Reichl U (2013) Continuous influenza virus production in cell culture shows a periodic accumulation of defective interfering particles. *PLoS One* 8(9):e72288 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072288
8. Genzel Y, Dietzsch C, Rapp E, Schwarzer J, Reichl U (2010) MDCK and Vero cells for influenza virus vaccine production: a one-to-one comparison up to lab-scale bioreactor cultivation. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 88(2):461-75 doi:10.1007/s00253-010-2742-9
9. Genzel Y, Olmer RM, Schafer B, Reichl U (2006) Wave microcarrier cultivation of MDCK cells for influenza virus production in serum containing and serum-free media. *Vaccine* 24(35-36):6074-87 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.05.023
10. Genzel Y, Reichl U (2009) Continuous cell lines as a production system for influenza vaccines. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 8(12):1681-1692
11. Genzel Y, Vogel T, Buck J, Behrendt I, Ramirez DV, Schiedner G, Jordan I, Reichl U (2014) High cell density cultivations by alternating tangential flow (ATF) perfusion for influenza A virus production using suspension cells. *Vaccine* 32(24):2770-81 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.02.016
12. Granicher G, Coronel J, Pralow A, Marichal-Gallardo P, Wolff M, Rapp E, Karlas A, Sandig V, Genzel Y, Reichl U (2019) Efficient influenza A virus production in high cell density using the novel porcine suspension cell line PBG.PK2.1. *Vaccine* 37(47):7019-7028 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.04.030

13. Gu M, Xu L, Wang X, Liu X (2017) Current situation of H9N2 subtype avian influenza in China. *Vet Res* 48(1):49 doi:10.1186/s13567-017-0453-2
14. Harding AT, Heaton NS (2018) Efforts to Improve the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine. *Vaccines (Basel)* 6(2) doi:10.3390/vaccines6020019
15. Hegde NR (2015) Cell culture-based influenza vaccines: A necessary and indispensable investment for the future. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 11(5):1223-34 doi:10.1080/21645515.2015.1016666
16. Hu AY, Weng TC, Tseng YF, Chen YS, Wu CH, Hsiao S, Chou AH, Chao HJ, Gu A, Wu SC, Chong P, Lee MS (2008) Microcarrier-based MDCK cell culture system for the production of influenza H5N1 vaccines. *Vaccine* 26(45):5736-40 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.08.015
17. Huang D, Peng WJ, Ye Q, Liu XP, Zhao L, Fan L, Xia-Hou K, Jia HJ, Luo J, Zhou LT, Li BB, Wang SL, Xu WT, Chen Z, Tan WS (2015) Serum-free suspension culture of MDCK cells for production of influenza H1N1 vaccines. *PLoS One* 10(11):e0141686 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141686
18. Huang D, Xia-Hou K, Liu X-P, Zhao L, Fan L, Ye Z, Tan W-S, Luo J, Chen Z (2014) Rational design of medium supplementation strategy for improved influenza viruses production based on analyzing nutritional requirements of MDCK Cells. *Vaccine* 32:7091-7097 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.10.067
19. Huang D, Zhao L, Tan W (2011) Adherent and single-cell suspension culture of Madin-Darby canine kidney cells in serum-free medium. *Chin J Biotechnol/Shengwu Gongcheng Xuebao* 27:645-52
20. Hussain AI, Cordeiro M, Sevilla E, Liu J (2010) Comparison of egg and high yielding MDCK cell-derived live attenuated influenza virus for commercial production of trivalent influenza vaccine: in vitro cell susceptibility and influenza virus replication kinetics in permissive and semi-permissive cells. *Vaccine* 28(22):3848-55 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.03.005
21. Lamb RA, Takeda M (2001) Death by influenza virus protein. *Nat Med* 7(12):1286-1288 doi:10.1038/nm1201-1286
22. Lao M-S, Toth D (1997) Effects of Ammonium and Lactate on Growth and Metabolism of a Recombinant Chinese Hamster Ovary Cell Culture. *Biotechnology Progress* 13(5):688-691 doi:10.1021/bp9602360
23. Le Ru A, Jacob D, Transfiguracion J, Ansorge S, Henry O, Kamen AA (2010) Scalable production of influenza virus in HEK-293 cells for efficient vaccine manufacturing. *Vaccine* 28(21):3661-71 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.03.029
24. Lee D-H, Song C-S (2013) H9N2 avian influenza virus in Korea: evolution and vaccination. *Clin Exp Vaccine Res* 2(1):26-33 doi:10.7774/cevr.2013.2.1.26
25. Li C, Xiao J, Li X, Liu D (2009) Research on multiplication of the H9N2 subtype avian influenza virus in large-scale microcarrier-based MDCK cell culture system. *Chin J Zoonoses* 25(12):1149-1153
26. Li L, Du X, Zhang L, Yang L, Gao X, Tang D, Zhao H, Jiang X, Zhang T, Li J (2018) Optimization of Cultivation Conditions for Reassortant Avian Influenza Virus. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica* 51(17):3415-3426 doi: 10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2018.17.016
27. Li X, Shi J, Guo J, Deng G, Zhang Q, Wang J, He X, Wang K, Chen J, Li Y, Fan J, Kong H, Gu C, Guan Y, Suzuki Y, Kawaoka Y, Liu L, Jiang Y, Tian G, Li Y, Bu Z, Chen H (2014) Genetics, receptor binding

- property, and transmissibility in mammals of naturally isolated H9N2 Avian Influenza viruses. *PLoS Pathog* 10(11):e1004508-e1004508 doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004508
28. Lohr V, Genzel Y, Behrendt I, Scharfenberg K, Reichl U (2010) A new MDCK suspension line cultivated in a fully defined medium in stirred-tank and wave bioreactor. *Vaccine* 28(38):6256-64 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.07.004
 29. Maranga L, Brazao TF, Carrondo MJ (2003) Virus-like particle production at low multiplicities of infection with the baculovirus insect cell system. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 84(2):245-53 doi:10.1002/bit.10773
 30. Milian E, Kamen AA (2015) Current and emerging cell culture manufacturing technologies for influenza vaccines. *Biomed Res Int*:1-11 doi:10.1155/2015/504831
 31. Nikolay A, Bissinger T, Gränicher G, Wu Y, Genzel Y, Reichl U (2020) Perfusion Control for High Cell Density Cultivation and Viral Vaccine Production. In: Pörtner R (ed) *Animal Cell Biotechnology: Methods and Protocols*. Springer US, New York, NY, pp 141-168
 32. Park K, Kwon H-I, Song M-S, Pascua PN, Baek Y, Lee JH, Jang H-L, Lim J-Y, Mo I-P, Moon H, Kim C-J, Choi YK (2011) Rapid evolution of low-pathogenic H9N2 avian influenza viruses following poultry vaccination programmes. *J Gen Virol* 92:36-50 doi:10.1099/vir.0.024992-0
 33. Pau MG, Ophorst C, Koldijk MH, Schouten G, Mehtali M, Uytdehaag F (2001) The human cell line PER.C6 provides a new manufacturing system for the production of influenza vaccines. *Vaccine* 19(17):2716-2721 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X\(00\)00508-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(00)00508-9)
 34. Petiot E, Proust A, Traversier A, Durous L, Dappozze F, Gras M, Guillard C, Balloul JM, Rosa-Calatrava M (2018) Influenza viruses production: Evaluation of a novel avian cell line DuckCelt(R)-T17. *Vaccine* 36(22):3101-3111 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.03.102
 35. Pu J, Sun H, Qu Y, Wang C, Gao W, Zhu J, Sun Y, Bi Y, Huang Y, Chang K-C, Cui J, Liu J (2017) M Gene Reassortment in H9N2 Influenza Virus Promotes Early Infection and Replication: Contribution to Rising Virus Prevalence in Chickens in China. *J Virol* 91:JVI.02055-16 doi:10.1128/JVI.02055-16
 36. Pu J, Wang S, Yin Y, Zhang G, Carter R, Wang J, Xu G, Sun H, Wang M, Wen C, Wei Y, Wang D, Zhu B, Lemmon G, Jiao Y, Duan S, Wang Q, Du Q, Sun M, Webster R (2015) Evolution of the H9N2 influenza genotype that facilitated the genesis of the novel H7N9 virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:548-553 doi:10.1073/pnas.1422456112
 37. Ren Z, Lu Z, Wang L, Huo Z, Cui J, Zheng T, Dai Q, Chen C, Qin M, Chen M, Yang R (2015) Rapid production of a H9N2 influenza vaccine from MDCK cells for protecting chicken against influenza virus infection. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 99(7):2999-3013 doi:10.1007/s00253-015-6406-7
 38. Ritter JB, Wahl AS, Freund S, Genzel Y, Reichl U (2010) Metabolic effects of influenza virus infection in cultured animal cells: Intra- and extracellular metabolite profiling. *BMC systems biology* 4(61):1-22 doi:10.1186/1752-0509-4-61
 39. Sorrell E, Wan H, Araya Y, Song H, Perez D (2009) Minimal molecular constraints for respiratory droplet transmission of an avian-human H9N2 influenza A virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:7565-70 doi:10.1073/pnas.0900877106

40. Sun K, Ye J, Perez DR, Metzger DW (2011) Seasonal FluMist vaccination induces cross-reactive T cell immunity against H1N1 (2009) influenza and secondary bacterial infections. *J Immunol* 186(2):987-993 doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1002664
41. Tapia F, Vazquez-Ramirez D, Genzel Y, Reichl U (2016) Bioreactors for high cell density and continuous multi-stage cultivations: options for process intensification in cell culture-based viral vaccine production. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 100(5):2121-32 doi:10.1007/s00253-015-7267-9
42. Ulmer JB, Valley U, Rappuoli R (2006) Vaccine manufacturing: challenges and solutions. *Nat Biotechnol* 24(11):1377-1383 doi:10.1038/nbt1261
43. van Wielink R, Kant-Eenbergen HC, Harmsen MM, Martens DE, Wijffels RH, Coco-Martin JM (2011) Adaptation of a Madin-Darby canine kidney cell line to suspension growth in serum-free media and comparison of its ability to produce avian influenza virus to Vero and BHK21 cell lines. *J Virol Methods* 171(1):53-60 doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.09.029
44. Vazquez-Ramirez D, Jordan I, Sandig V, Genzel Y, Reichl U (2019) High titer MVA and influenza A virus production using a hybrid fed-batch/perfusion strategy with an ATF system. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 103(7):3025-3035 doi:10.1007/s00253-019-09694-2
45. Wang H, Guo S, Li Z, Xu X, Shao Z, Song G (2017) Suspension culture process for H9N2 avian influenza virus (strain Re-2). *Arch Virol* 162(10):3051-3059 doi:10.1007/s00705-017-3460-8
46. Zost SJ, Parkhouse K, Gumina ME, Kim K, Diaz Perez S, Wilson PC, Treanor JJ, Sant AJ, Cobey S, Hensley SE (2017) Contemporary H3N2 influenza viruses have a glycosylation site that alters binding of antibodies elicited by egg-adapted vaccine strains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114(47):12578-12583 doi:10.1073/pnas.1712377114

Figures




Figure 1

Adaptation of adherent MDCK cells to suspension growth in Xeno serum-free medium. A: viable cell concentration (●) and cell viability (■) over the period of adaptation. B: cell-specific growth rate (⊠) of

MDCK cells over the adaptation. The inset in the panel is a microscopy picture showing the morphology of the adapted suspension MDCK cells.




Figure 2

Growth and metabolism of MDCK suspension cells in Xeno serum-free medium in shake flasks (n=2). A: viable cell concentration (●) and cell viability (■) over the batch cultivation. B: cell-specific growth rate (⊠). C: Concentrations of extracellular metabolites including glucose (Gluc) (□), glutamine (Gln) (⊞), lactate (△) and ammonium (Amm) (▽) over the batch cultivation. The horizontal dashed line indicates the cell-specific growth rate of 0 d-1.




Figure 3

Optimization of infection parameters for H9N2 production in Xeno serum-free medium in shake flasks (n=2). HA titers were shown in different panels when cells were infected at cell concentration of 6×10^6 cells/mL or 10×10^6 cells/mL with or without the medium exchange at TOI with a MOI of 10-2 (●), 10-3 (■) and 10-4 (▲). A: cell concentration of 6×10^6 cells/mL without medium exchange. B: cell

concentration of 6×10^6 cells/mL with medium exchange. C: cell concentration of 10×10^6 cells/mL without medium exchange. D: cell concentration of 10×10^6 cells/mL with medium exchange.




Figure 4

MDCK cell growth and H9N2 virus production with various feed strategies at TOI. A: cell growth in the infection phase. B: HA titers in the infection phase. C: the corresponding cell-specific virus yield. Medium dilution: 1:4 (black), 1:3 (grey), 1:2 (brown), 2:3 (orange), 3:4 (purple), 4:5 (red) and w/o dilution (white).




Figure 5

MDCK cell growth and H9N2 virus production in stirred tank bioreactors (n=2) and shake flasks (n=2). A: viable cell concentration (\bullet) and cell viability (\blacktriangle) of MDCK cells in bioreactors (black) and shake flasks (grey). B: HA titers of viruses produced by MDCK cells in bioreactors (black) and shake flasks (grey). The inset in the panel is a TEM picture of purified viruses harvested from one of the bioreactors. C: the calculated cell-specific virus yield of the viruses in bioreactors (black column) and shake flasks (grey column).




Figure 6

Immunogenicity of the MDCK cell-derived H9N2 vaccines compared to egg-derived vaccines. The inactivated MDCK cell-derived H9N2 vaccines prepared by the virus harvested at 48 hpi or 72 hpi and inactivated egg-derived H9N2 vaccine were injected to 3-week-old SPF chickens. HI activity of chicken serum against H9N2 vaccines collected on day 14 (light grey column), day 21 (dark grey column) and day 28 (black column) was determined.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [graphicabstract.png](#)