

# Surgical Sites Infection After Gastrointestinal Surgeries in a Public Teaching Hospital in Sudan

**Rawan Sharaf Eldein Elamein Hassan** (✉ [rawan\\_455@hotmail.com](mailto:rawan_455@hotmail.com))

Faculty of Medicine, University of Khartoum, Sudan <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4164-2678>

**Sarah Osman Sayed Osman**

University of Khartoum Faculty of Medicine

**Mohamed Abdulmonem Salih Aabdeen**

Nile Valley University Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

**Walid Elhaj Abdelrahim Mohamed**

University of Khartoum Faculty of Medicine

**Razan Sharaf Eldein Elamein Hassan**

Alzaiem Alazhari University Faculty of Medicine

**Sagad Omer Obeid Mohamed**

University of Khartoum Faculty of Medicine

---

## Research

**Keywords:** Surgical site infections, SSI, GIT, risk factors

**Posted Date:** August 20th, 2020

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-59531/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published on December 7th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13037-020-00272-4>.

# Abstract

**Background:** Surgical site infections (SSIs) are common healthcare-associated infections and associated with prolonged hospital stays, additional financial burden, and significantly hamper the potential benefits of surgical interventions. Causes of SSIs are multi-factorial and patients undergoing gastrointestinal tract (GIT) procedures carry a high risk of bacterial contamination. This study aimed to determine the prevalence, associated factors, and causing microorganisms of SSIs among patients undergoing GIT surgeries.

**Methods:** A hospital based, cross-sectional study conducted at Soba University Hospital (SUH) in Khartoum, Sudan. We included all patients from all age groups attending the GIT surgical unit at SUH between September-December 2017. We collected data about the socio-demographic characteristics, risk factors of SSI, and isolated microorganisms from patients with SSIs. Data analysis was done using the SPSS software version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A Chi-square test was conducted to determine the relationship between the independent categorical variables and the occurrence of SSI. The significance level for all analyses was set at  $p < .05$ .

**Results:** A total of 80 participants were included in the study. The mean age was 51 +/- 16 years and most of the patients (67.5%) did not have any chronic illness prior to the surgical operation. Most of them (46.3%) of them underwent large bowel surgery. Twenty-two patients (27.5%) developed SSI post operatively and superficial SSI was the most common type of SSIs (81.8%). Occurrence of SSI was found to be associated with long operation time ( $p > .001$ ), malignant nature of the disease ( $p > .001$ ), intra-operative blood loss ( $p > .001$ ), and intra-operative hypotension ( $p = .013$ ). The most prevalent microorganism isolated from SSI patients was *E coli* (47.8%), followed by *Enterococcus fecalis* (13.0%) and combined *Pseudomonas aeruginosa + E coli* infection (13.0%).

**Conclusion:** The results showed a high prevalence of SSIs among patients attending the GIT unit in SUH and the most prevalent microorganism isolated from them was *E coli*. Measures should be taken to reduce the magnitude of SSI by mitigating the identified associated factors.

## 1. Introduction

Surgical site infection (SSI) is the most frequent type of healthcare-associated infections, accounting for 14% - 25% of the total hospital-acquired infections (1-2). SSI is associated with a prolonged hospital stay, long-term disability, and additional financial burden, and significantly hampers the potential benefits of surgical interventions (3). Notably, SSI is theoretically preventable but requires a particular investigation of early diagnosis and intervention (3).

The incidence of SSIs can vary across surgical procedures, specialties, and conditions, with a range of 0.1% to 50.4% as reported in a 2017-systematic review (4). A prevalence survey in the UK National Health Service (NHS) indicated that approximately 8% of all patients (5743 out of 75,694 patients over a four-month period) admitted to hospital suffered healthcare-associated infections, with 15% of these infections being SSIs, and similar estimates have been found in France (3). In Africa, the impact of SSIs ranged from 6.8% to 26% with predominance in the general surgeries (4).

Although there are global variations around the definition of an SSI, the European Commission classified SSIs into superficial incisional surgical site infection, deep incisional surgical site infection, or surgical site infection - organ/space, and the diagnostic criteria include the presence of one of the signs of infection (tenderness, swelling, reddening, and elevated skin temperature), purulent discharge from the incision site, and positive result of microbiological examination of material collected or after the surgical opening of the incision site (5) (6).

While the causes of SSIs are multi-factorial, recognized risk factors include the length of hospital stay, obesity, patient co-morbidities, duration and complexity of the surgery, and higher wound contamination classification (7). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), classified wounds by their level of contamination as Clean (Class 1): which are non infective operative wounds in which no inflammation is encountered, with no involvement of respiratory, gastrointestinal, genitourinary tract, and oropharyngeal cavity; Clean-contaminated (Class 2): operative wounds in which either the respiratory, gastrointestinal or the genitourinary tract is entered under controlled conditions and with the only minor contamination, as resulted from operations involving the biliary tract, appendix, and oropharynx, provided no evidence of infection or a major break in sterile technique is encountered; Contaminated (Class 3): fresh, accidental wounds, resulting from operations with major breaks in sterile technique or gross spillage from the gastrointestinal tract, and incisions in which acute, non purulent (free from pus) inflammation is encountered; and Dirty (Class 4): old traumatic wounds with retained devitalized tissue and those that involve existing clinical infection or perforated viscera (8).

The risk of developing an SSI is related to the level of contamination of the wound as demonstrated in recent surveillance of surgical infections in NHS hospitals in England, which showed that the SSI risk following gastrointestinal tract (GIT) procedures (especially large bowel surgery) reached 9.0% in 2018/19 (9). SSIs are a major health hazard that can be prevented by identifying the predisposing factors. This study aimed to determine the prevalence, associated factors, and most prevalent microorganisms of SSIs among patients undergoing GIT surgeries.

## 2. Materials And Methods

### 2.1. Study design and settings:

A hospital based, descriptive cross-sectional study conducted at Soba University Hospital (SUH) in Khartoum, Sudan during the period from September-December 2017. SUH is affiliated with the University of Khartoum and provides a host of therapeutic and diagnostic services at the highest level in Sudan through specialized units served by well trained healthcare staff. The current capacity of the hospital is approximately 500 beds and there are two general surgery units at the department of surgery in STH. One of them is particularly specialized in the GIT and hepatobiliary conditions. Patients are booked for surgery from the referred clinics and then organized in the elective operations lists.

## 2.2. Data collection and analysis:

We included all patients from all age groups attending the GIT surgical unit at SUH between September-December 2017. Patients who died after admission to the hospital and before undergoing surgical procedures were excluded from the study. Data were collected from the medical records of patients including the operational sheets and anesthetic sheets. We collected data about the socio-demographic characteristics, risk factors of SSI, and isolated microorganisms from patients with SSIs.

Several patients' clinical conditions have been described in the literature as risk factors to SSI (10-27). Based on the literature review and availability of the data, we assessed the following factors in this study: site of operation, nature of the disease (benign vs. malignant), history of chronic illnesses (hypertension, heart diseases, and diabetes mellitus), surgical wound classification, duration of surgery, type of surgical antibiotics prophylaxis (SAP), having bowel preparation prior to surgery, intra-operative blood loss, and intra-operative hypotension.

After completion of data collection, data analysis was done using the SPSS software version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A Chi-square test was conducted to determine the relationship between the independent categorical variables and the occurrence of SSI. The significance level for all analyses was set at  $p < .05$ .

## 3. Results

### 3.1. General characteristics of the participants:

A total of 80 participants were included in the study. Patients' ages ranged from 20 to 98 years and the mean age was 52.3 +/- 16.3 years. 53.8% of them were males and 46.3% were females. Most of the patients (67.5%) did not have any chronic illness prior to the surgical operation and 46.3% of them underwent large bowel surgery. Thirty nine patients (48.8%) underwent clean-contaminated surgeries as well as another 39 patients underwent contaminated surgeries (Table. 1).

Regarding preparation to surgery, all of patients received SAP preoperatively except one patient and near half of the patients (47.1%) received bowel preparation medications. Half of the patients (50.0%) received 2<sup>nd</sup> generation cephalosporin as a preoperative SAP, whilst 43.8% of them received both 2<sup>nd</sup> generation cephalosporin and Metronidazol as a preoperative SAP. However, most of them (81.2%) did not have documentation for the timing of administration of SAP preoperatively. Among the remaining who have complete data, (25%) and (62.5%) were given antibiotics 20 and 30 minutes before the operation, respectively. The majority (95.0%) did not receive second dose of antibiotics (Table. 1).

### 3.2. SSI prevalence, associated factors, and isolated microorganisms:

Twenty-two patients (27.5%) developed SSI post operatively; eight patients developed SSI in the period of 3-4 days postoperatively, nine patients developed the infection in the period of 5-6 days postoperatively, and five developed the infection one week after the operation. Most of SSI cases (86.8%) were detected during hospital stay periods and the remaining cases were detected in the post-discharge follow-ups. Superficial SSI was the most common type of SSIs (82.6%).

Occurrence of SSI was found to be associated with long operation time of more than three hours ( $p > .001$ ), malignant surgical diseases ( $p > .001$ ), intra-operative blood loss ( $p > .001$ ), and intra-operative hypotension ( $p = .013$ ). The most prevalent microorganism isolated from SSI patients was *E. coli* (47.8%), followed by *Enterococcus fecalis* (13.0%) and combined *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* + *E. coli* infection (13.0%) (Table. 2)

**Table 1: General characteristics of the participants and factors associated with SSI:**

Variables		Total	Patients with SSI	P value
<b>Sex</b>	Male	43 (50.4%)	13 (30.2%)	.555
	Female	37 (49.7%)	9 (24.3%)	
<b>Any chronic illness</b>	No	54 (67.5%)	12 (22.2%)	.128
	Yes	26 (32.5%)	10 (38.5%)	
<b>Surgical condition</b>	Non malignant	52 (65.0%)	5 (9.60%)	> .001
	Malignant	28 (35.0%)	17 (60.7%)	
<b>Had bowel preparation</b>	No	41 (51.3%)	9 (22.0%)	.254
	Yes	39 (48.8%)	13 (33.3%)	
<b>Second antibiotic dose</b>	No	76 (95.0%)	20 (26.3%)	.301
	Yes	4 (5.0%)	2 (50.0%)	
<b>Site of operation</b>	Small bowel	16 (20.0%)	8 (50.0%)	.074
	Large bowel	37 (46.3%)	6 (16.2%)	
	Biliary	25 (31.3%)	7 (28.0%)	
	Pancreatic	2 (2.5%)	1 (50.0%)	
<b>Classification of surgery</b>	Clean	2 (2.5%)	1 (50.0%)	.746
	Clean-contaminated	39 (48.8%)	11 (28.2%)	
	Contaminated	39 (48.8%)	10 (25.6%)	
<b>Intra-operative hypotension</b>	No	39 (48.8%)	6 (15.4%)	.018
	Yes	41 (51.3%)	16 (39.0%)	
<b>Duration of operation</b>	Less than 3 hours	60 (70.6%)	8 (14.3%)	.001
	More than 3 hours	25 (29.4%)	14 (58.3%)	
<b>Amount of intra-operative blood loss</b>	No blood loss	48 (60.0%)	4 (8.30%)	.001
	Less than 500 ml	18 (22.5%)	9 (50.0%)	
	More than 500 ml	7 (8.5%)	3 (42.9%)	
<b>Type of SAP</b>	2 <sup>nd</sup> generation cephalosporin	40 (50.0%)	10 (25.0%)	.383
	Metronidazol + 2 <sup>nd</sup> generation cephalosporin	35 (43.8%)	11 (31.4%)	
	Metronidazol	4 (5%)	0	

Table 2: Features and types of microorganisms isolated from patients with SSI:

Variables		Total
Type of SSI	Superficial	19 (82.6%)
	Deep	3 (13%)
	Organ/space	1 (4.3%)
Timing of SSI relative to procedure	Day 3-4	8 (34.8%)
	Day 5-6	9 (39.1%)
	One week and more	6 (26.1%)
When was SSI detected	During admission	19 (86.4%)
	After discharge	3 (13.6%)
Bacteria	<i>E coli</i>	11 (47.8%)
	<i>Enterococcus fecalis</i>	3 (13.0%)
	<i>Pseudomonas + E coli</i>	3 (13.0%)
	<i>Enterococcus + Klebsiella</i>	1 (4.30%)
	<i>E coli + Klebsiella</i>	2 (8.70%)
	<i>Staph. aureus + Pseudomonas</i>	1 (4.30%)
	<i>E coli + Enterococcus fecalis</i>	1 (4.30%)

## 4. Discussion

The results of this study showed that 27.1% of the patients had SSI. Several risk factors were found to be significantly associated with the development of SSI including: malignant nature of the disease, intra-operative blood loss, intra-operative hypotension, and long operation time. Majority of the patients had superficial wound infections, which were discovered mostly during post-operative hospital stay with drainage from the wound site 5-6 days post operatively. Those patients with SSIs were handled according to the standard guidelines and wound dressing twice per day was offered for patients with SSI.

The higher risk of acquiring SSI among patients with malignant diseases compared to patients with benign diseases is reasonable since malignancy is associated with weak immune system and vulnerability to various infections, and it is consistent with studies reported that SSI was observed in 30-60% of patients after colorectal cancer surgeries (5, 14-15). The lack of association between SSI and timing of SAP is similar to another retrograde cohort study (28). According to the standard guidelines, SAP should be given 60 minutes before the incision in most of types of antibiotics and doubling of the dose should be considered when the duration of operation exceeds 4 hours (29).

There was a significant association between SSI and intra-operative blood loss of more than 500 ml like another study showed the 26.1% of those who had massive intra-operative blood loss developed wound infection (16). This is relevant since blood loss is directly related to decreased tissue oxygenation and aiding in the development of SSI. Also, intra-operative hypotension is found to be strongly related to SSI as previously reported (17-18), and this is related to the poor wound perfusion resulting from intra-operative hypotension.

The association between SSI and long duration of operation of more than 180 min match a systematic review that showed the same results in most of its studies (19). It has been demonstrated that there was an 80% increase in likelihood of SSI with surgeries longer (versus shorter) than three hours (20). Prolonged operative time allows time for over- handling the wound edges and also contact with contaminated fluids coming out of the surgical field.

The most prevalent micro-organisms isolated were *E coli* and *Enterococcus fecalis*, whilst other studies showed that *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most common isolated bacteria from different wound types (30-31). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are commonly isolated in infected wounds following surgeries and burns, whereas *Enterobacteriaceae* are commonly isolated from wounds in immune compromised patients and abdominal surgeries (30-31). These virulent isolated microorganisms need to be put in high consideration and further elaboration to be done regarding this issue.

## 5. Conclusion

The results showed a high prevalence of SSI among patients attending the GIT unit in SUH and it was associated with the malignant diseases, intra-operative blood loss, intra-operative hypotension, presence of surgical drains, and long operative duration. The most prevalent microorganism

isolated was E coli. Measures should be taken to decrease intra-operative blood loss, reduce the waste of time due to any cause intra-operatively so as to reduce the overall duration of operation.

## List Of Abbreviations

SSI: surgical sites infection; SAP: surgical antibiotics prophylaxis; SUH: Soba University Hospital

## Declaration

- **Ethics approval and consent to participate:** Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ministry of Health, Khartoum, Sudan. Permission for conducting this study was obtained from the head department of surgery at the hospital. Confidentiality of the study participants was maintained.
- **Consent for publication** Not applicable
- **Availability of data and materials:** The datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
- **Competing interests** The authors declare that they have no competing interests
- **Funding:** No fund
- **Authors' contributions** (RH, WM) Involved in the conception of the research idea and data collection; (RH, SM) analyzed data; All authors interpreted the results and drafted the manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

## References

1. Warren DK, Nickel KB, Wallace AE, et al. Risk Factors for Surgical Site Infection After Cholecystectomy. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*. 2017;4(2):ofx036. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofx036.
2. Elbur AI, M.A. Y, El-Sayed ASA, Abdel-Rahman ME. Prophylactic Antibiotics and Wound Infection. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research: JCDR*. 2013;7(12):2747-2751. doi:10.7860/JCDR/2013/6409.3751.
3. Liu S, Miao J, Wang G, et al. Risk factors for postoperative surgical site infections in patients with Crohn's disease receiving definitive bowel resection. *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7:9828. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10603-8.
4. Cheng H, Chen BP-H, Soleas IM, Ferko NC, Cameron CG, Hinoul P. Prolonged Operative Duration Increases Risk of Surgical Site Infections: A Systematic Review. *Surgical Infections*. 2017;18(6):722-735. doi:10.1089/sur.2017.089.
5. Banaszkiwicz Z, Cierzniakowska K, Tojek K, Kozłowska E, Jawien A. Surgical site infection among patients after colorectal cancer surgery. *Pol Przegl Chir*. 2017 Feb 28;89(1):9-15. Doi:10.5604/01.3001.0009.5858.
6. Samantha K. Classification of Surgical Site Infections. Wound types. December 15th, 2016.
7. Norman G, Atkinson RA, Smith TA, et al. Intracavity lavage and wound irrigation for prevention of surgical site infection. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2017;(10):CD012234. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012234.pub2.
8. [https://www.cdc.gov/wound\\_class](https://www.cdc.gov/wound_class).
9. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/854182/SSI\\_Annual\\_Report\\_2018\\_19.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/854182/SSI_Annual_Report_2018_19.pdf)
10. Abu-Ashour W, Twells L, Valcour J, et al. The association between diabetes mellitus and incident infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care*. 2017;5(1):e000336. doi:10.1136/bmjdr-2016-000336.
11. Zimlichman E, Henderson D, Tamir O, Franz C, Song P, Yamin CK, et al. Health care-associated infections: a meta-analysis of costs and financial impact on the US health care system. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013; 173:2039–46.
12. Joynt KE, Jha AK. Characteristics of hospitals receiving penalties under the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program. *JAMA*. 2013; 309:342–3.
13. Martin ET, Kaye KS, Knott C, et al. Diabetes and Risk of Surgical Site Infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infection control and hospital epidemiology*. 2016;37(1):88-99. doi:10.1017/ice.2015.249.
14. Bratzler D.W., Dellinger E.P., Olsen K.M. et al.: Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery. *Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm.* 2013; 70: 195–283.
15. Nelson R.L., Gladman E., Barbateskovic M.: Antimicrobial prophylaxis for colorectal surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2014; 9 (5): CD001181
16. Leylek M, Poliquin V, Al-Wazzan A, Dean E, Altman AD. Postoperative Infection in the Setting of Massive Intraoperative Blood Loss. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2016 Dec;38(12):1110-1113. doi: 10.1016/j.jogc.2016.09.010. Epub 2016 Oct 31.
17. Ishikawa K, Kusumi T, Hosokawa M, Nishida Y, Sumikawa S, Furukawa H. Incisional Surgical Site Infection after Elective Open Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. *International Journal of Surgical Oncology*. 2014;2014:419712. doi:10.1155/2014/419712.

18. L. Smith, J. K. Bohl, S.T.McElearney et al., "Wound Infection after elective colorectal resection," *Annals of Surgery*, vol. 239, no. 5, pp. 599–607, 2004.
19. Korol E, Johnston K, Waser N, et al. A systematic review of risk factors associated with surgical site infections among surgical patients. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e83743.
20. Cheng H, Chen BP-H, Soleas IM, Ferko NC, Cameron CG, Hinoul P. Prolonged Operative Duration Increases Risk of Surgical Site Infections: A Systematic Review. *Surgical Infections*. 2017;18(6):722-735. doi:10.1089/sur.2017.089.
21. Walmsley PJ, Kelly MB, Hill RM, Brenkel I. A prospective, randomised, controlled trial of the use of drains in total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg (Br)* 2005;87:1397–1401.
22. Dougherty SH, Simmons RL. The biology and practice of surgical drains. Part 1. *Curr Probl Surg* 1992;29:559–623.
23. Felipe WA, Werneck GL, Santoro-Lopes G. Surgical site infection among women discharged with a drain in situ after breast cancer surgery. *World J Surg* 2007;31:2293–2299.
24. Vilar-Compte D, Mohar A, Sandoval S, et al. Surgical site infections at the National Cancer Institute in Mexico: A casecontrol study. *Am J Infect Control* 2000;28:14–20.
25. Reiffel AJ, Barie PS, Spector JA. A Multi-Disciplinary Review of the Potential Association between Closed-Suction Drains and Surgical Site Infection. *Surgical Infections*. 2013;14(3):244-269. doi:10.1089/sur.2011.126.
26. Mayon-White RT, Duce G, Kereselidze T, Tikomirov E. An international survey of the prevalence of hospital-acquired infection. *J Hosp Infect*. 1988;11:43–8.
27. Kunin CM. Resistance to antimicrobial drugs—a worldwide calamity. *Ann Intern Med*. 1993;118:557–61.
28. Hawn MT, Richman JS, Vick CC, et al. Timing of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis and the risk of surgical site infection. *JAMA Surg* 2013; 148:649–57.
29. De Jonge SW, Gans SL, Atema JJ, Solomkin JS, Dellinger PE, Boermeester MA. Timing of preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis in 54,552 patients and the risk of surgical site infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hospenthal. DR, ed. Medicine*. 2017;96(29):e6903. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000006903.
30. Taiwo S, Okesina A, Onile B. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacteria isolated from infected wounds at the University of Ilorine teaching Hospital. *Afr J Exp Exp Microbiol*. 2002;3:13–6.
31. Nancy A. Kassam, Damian J. Damian, Debora Kajeguka, Balthazar Nyombi, and Gibson S. Kibiki. Spectrum and antibiogram of bacteria isolated from patients presenting with infected wounds in a Tertiary Hospital, northern Tanzania. *BMC Research Notes*.2017 Dec 20. doi: 1186/s13104-017-3092-9