Molecular Dynamics simulations of TREM2 variants R47H and R62H show structural alterations at key binding regions leading to possible implications for mechanisms in Alzheimer's disease.

Georgina Elizabeth Menzies (✉ MenziesG@cardiff.ac.uk)

Cardiff University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6600-6507>

Rebecca Sims

Cardiff University

Julie Williams

Cardiff University

Research article

Keywords: Molecular Dynamics, Protein coding changes, variants, Alzheimer's Disease, TREM2, Immunity

Posted Date: September 27th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.15314/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

There is strong evidence supporting the association between Alzheimer's disease (AD) and protein-coding variants, R47H and R62H in triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2). The TREM2 protein is an immune receptor protein found in brain microglia. These two variants are in a similar position in the protein but cause a different functional outcome. A structural alteration caused by the variants could be having a large effect on the protein. A crystallised structure was used to investigate structural differences. Both variants were inserted into the protein structure and these were subjected to 300ns of molecular dynamic simulation (MD) in order to investigate the link between structural change and AD risk.

Results

Results suggest structural alterations in both variant models of TREM2 which could be causing the reduced functional outcome. A large change was noted in the R47H model in the complementarity-determining region two (CDR2) loop, a proposed binding site for ligands such as APOE, a smaller change was observed in the R62H model in this same loop. The overall structure remained stable, possibly accounting for the reduced, not missing, function of TREM2 in disease.

Conclusions

These differing levels of structural impact could explain the in vitro observed differences in TREM2-ligand binding when the mutations are present. Further studies to investigate this binding loop could help not only a better understanding of TREM2's role in the onset of dementia but also possibly provide a target for therapeutics.

Background

The world health organisation (WHO) estimate there will be 50 million dementia sufferers worldwide by 2050, with Alzheimer's disease (AD) being the most common form (1). With no cure, or effective treatment options further research into the disease-causing mechanisms are needed. One route is to use the outcomes of genetic studies which are continually adding to the list of confirmed Alzheimer associated genes. Sims *et al*/ recently reported the first genome-wide evidence for the coding variant R62H in triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) (2, 3). This and other studies have implicated the role of the immune system and microglial cells in the development and progression of AD (4, 5).

The *TREM2* gene includes two genome-wide significant coding variants (R62H (Odds ratio = 1.67, $P = 1.55 \times 10^{-14}$ (2)) and R47H (Odds ratio = 2.90, $P = 2.1 \times 10^{-12}$ (5)) both of which are associated with an increased risk of developing AD (4, 6–8). Although a number of other variants have been implicated, they are yet to reach the level of genome-wide significance. A greater understanding of the of these variants

and their impact upon the function of TREM2 and its role in immune pathways can help with understanding the development of neurodegenerative disease. TREM2 is an innate immune receptor protein which is expressed on the surface of dendritic cells, macrophages and microglia and has been shown to play an anti-inflammatory role (9). It contains an extracellular V-type immunoglobulin (Ig) domain, a transmembrane domain which associates with the adaptor protein DAP12 for signalling and a cytoplasmic tail (9, 10). Recent studies by Zhao *et al* have shown wildtype TREM2 to bind directly to Amyloid Beta (A β) with mutant forms of TREM2 showing a reduced rate of binding (11, 12). TREM2 has also been reported to bind to several other ligands including Apolipoprotein E (APOE) and Apolipoprotein J (APOJ) (13–15). Subtle differences in protein secondary structure of the R47H variant have previously been reported, though how this may cause binding affinity change is not well understood (11).

In order to investigate the structural impact of the R47H and R62H mutations and predict possible loss-of-function we carried out an *in-silico* study of the binding domain of the protein containing the mutations. Here we describe the results of this study and in particular the similarities and differences between the two models. Results suggest a greater effect on the binding loops by the R47H variant, in keeping with existing literature.

Methods

The immunoglobulin domain for the TREM2 protein has previously been crystallised (10), both mutations were added to the structure using the modify protein function in the Accelrys software, Discovery studio. The wildtype protein (WT) and the two mutated structures were subjected to over 300ns of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. MD was carried out using the GROMACS (26) software suit using the Amber03 (27) in built force field parameters. All structures were placed in a cubic box, solvated using TIP3P water molecules and neutralised using Cl⁻ ions. The particle mesh ewald (PME) method was used to treat long-range electrostatic interactions and a 1.4 nm cut-off was applied to Lennard–Jones interactions. All of the simulations were carried out in the NPT ensemble, with periodic boundary conditions and at a temperature of 310K. There were three-steps to each simulation. 1; Energy minimisation, using the steepest decent method and a tolerance of 1000KJ⁻¹ nm⁻¹. 2; Warm up stage of 25 000 steps at 0.002ps steps, during this stage atoms were restrained to allow the model to settle. 3; Finally, a MD stage run for a total of 300ns. Root mean square deviation (RMSD) was monitored along with the total energy, pressure and volume of the simulation to check for stability.

Resulting structures were analysed for flexibility using the gmx rmsf and hydrogen bonding using gmx hbond (both available within the GROMACS suite) all proteins were visualised for structural differences using VMD. Further to this prediction of the functional effect and stability analysis was carried out using three online servers, HoPE, SIFT and I-mutant (16–18, 28). HoPE analyses the impact of a mutation, taking into account structural impact, and contact such as possible hydrogen bonding and ionic interactions. The SIFT software predicts tolerated and deleterious SNPs and identifies any impact of amino acid substitution on protein function and lastly, I-Mutant is a neural-network based prediction of protein stability changes.

Statistical normality in distributions such as rmsd, energy, pressure, volume etc, were tested for using the Anderson-Darling test. All were not normally distributed and so all statistical differences between the wildtype and mutated simulations were calculated using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Results

The TREM2 protein has been partly crystallised from a mammalian cell system (10, 11), this structure contains the protein's extracellular ligand binding domain (ECD) which is amino acids 19–134. This structure was used as the basis for molecular dynamic modelling experiments in this study. The TREM2 ECD domain is a V-type Ig domain which contains nine β -strands and two short α -helices, all of which are characteristic of an Ig protein domain. Both variants, R47H and R62H, can be found on the TREM2 protein surface and the surface of this Ig domain, on one of the β -strands.

Predicted mutational effects;

The Have your Protein Explained server (HoPE) was run to predict the possible mutational effects of both variants on the protein (16). Results from the server suggest that the wildtype amino acid (arginine) at position 47 forms a hydrogen bond with amino acids at positions 66 (threonine) and 67 (histidine) which would not occur with the histidine variant in this position. These bonds may be important for protein structural integrity. The wildtype residue is conserved at position 47, though a histidine is observed here in some species brought up in the blast search. Residue 62 on the other hand is less well conserved, but histidine is not observed here in any species searched. There is an obvious loss of charge and size with both variant amino acids, shown schematically in figure 1. The SIFT online tool was used to predict the tolerance of the two variants in the protein, this does not predict the effect of binding, or function, but whether the variants will be tolerated in the protein structure. Results from this show R47H would be tolerated with a score of 0.06 and R62H to be tolerated with a score of 0.10, this was based on 13 sequences. A score of <0.05 would be classed as a damaging prediction (17). The I-mutant server results showed a decrease in stability for both the R47H and R62H variants (18).

Molecular Dynamic Simulations;

Structures containing the variants were constructed as detailed in the methods section and along with the original structure, each was run in triplicate, for 300n.s. The stability of the simulations was checked, and volume, pressure and root mean square deviation (RMSD) remained stable throughout.

Local structural changes;

Local structural changes were investigated in the three models. The region surrounding both variants, amino acids 43–65, were viewed using VMD, figure 2 shows the starting structure of the wild type (WT)

simulation with CDR loops and variant amino acids highlighted. The R62H variant alters this local structure with a shift in the beta sheet and a large movement of the random coil (figure 3c). However, the R47H variant does not appear to alter the structure surrounding the amino acid in any way. As well as altering the local structure the flexibility of the individual residue, i.e. the amount of movement it has, was also altered for the R62H variant. This flexibility was measured using the gromacs rmsd module. Results show the WT and R47H to have an average flexibility of 0.23 ± 0.02 and 0.01 respectively at amino acid 62, the R62H variant on the other hand has a reduced flexibility of 0.17 ± 0.01 (figure 3a). There is also, to a lesser extent, a reduction of flexibility across neighbouring amino acids which surround the R62H variants. A further change to the local structure seen in the MD simulations is a change in positioning of the wildtype to variant amino acid, the wildtype protruding from the molecule in both cases and the mutated amino acid being visually far more buried within the structure, figure 3 (d-g).

Wider structural changes;

Solvent accessible surface area (SASA) for the whole model, and the individual mutated residues were measured. Overall SASA was reduced from 71 to 70, this small change is not significant and would not have any effect on the protein function. Amino acid specific SASA was measured for the WT and mutated proteins, at the 47 and 62 sites. Here a SASA change can be seen at the mutated site in each protein, with a reduction of SASA, figure 3b. This correlates with the observed positional change of the amino acid from one protruding from the structure to a more buried position.

Significant structural alteration can be seen in the CDR2 loop, figure 4 shows the R47H variant to cause a loss of beta sheet and a changing of alpha helix position in this binding loop. The effect of the R62H variant is subtler, where there is a change in loop structure to the left and right of the alpha helix. There is a further effect on the flexibility of the loop, figure 4, here the variants differ with the R47H becoming more flexible and the R62H variant less flexible, when compared to the wild type simulation. All three images are taken from the representative structures created from the entire simulations and show the loop in the same position and therefore should all be identical if no structural change was observed.

Discussion

Arginine, which is present in the wildtype protein at both positions, is a long and stretching amino acid with a chain of carbons and nitrogens. Histidine, which is the mutated amino acid in both variants, is a ring structure, with less availability for hydrogen bonding. This is further exacerbated by the positioning of the histidine amino acid observed in figure 3.

Figure 2 depicts the structure of TREM2 which has been modelled and run through the MD simulations. The complementarity-determining region (CDR) loops, which are suggested to be key for the ligand binding process (19, 20), are coloured as follows; red for the CDR1, green for CDR2 and purple for the

CDR3 loop. The two mutated sites are shown in dark blue; both are close to the CDR1 and CDR2 loops, with R47H actually being found in the CDR1 loop.

In this study we present structural findings and hypothesised subsequent functional effects of these from two AD associated genome-wide significant mutations in TREM2. The R62H variant is more common in the Caucasian population (OR = 1.67; P = 1.55×10^{-14} (2)) whereas the rarer variant, R47H, carries a far greater risk (OR = 2.90; P = 2.1×10^{-12} (5)). Both variants are found in exon 2, the region of the protein which is predicted to encode for the ligand-binding domain, and both are missense mutations causing a coding change from the wild type arginine to a histidine.

Previous studies have shown that disruptions to the protein in exon 2 are likely to cause either TREM2 signalling problems or a decrease in protein function. The functional impact of both variants has been presented at an *in vitro* and *in vivo* level, but here we present a study which aims to identify the structural cause behind the functional alterations. It has been observed that R47H has a greater functional effect than R62H even though the same amino acid change is observed, and they are in very close proximity to each other, why this difference happens is largely unknown (11). Abduljaleel *et al*/looked into the possible structural changes within TREM2, in 2014, by performing MD simulations on the R47H variant. Their simulations ran for just 10ns and they presented results which suggested a possible alteration to binding loops and overall stability. This short simulation time may not have been long enough to view any large or distal impact and this study builds upon those results and expands their hypotheses (21).

Although tools like SIFT and I-Mutant can suggest an overall tolerance of the variant, but a local structural shift, MD simulations give us a clearer picture of what is changing in the structure. The overall tolerance of the variants is key, the protein is remaining stable, and tolerating the mutation means the mutated protein is likely to perform with reduced function as is predicted *in vitro*. This is further supported by the simulation results which suggest no change in the global protein flexibility or SASA.

The local structure shows a greater change, beginning with the positioning and charge of the amino acid. The wildtypes for both variants are found outstretched from the proteins binding domain, here they could perform key functions in binding and it has been suggested that the positive amino acids such as these play an important role in TREM2 (22). The mutated residues are neutral in charge, provide less opportunity for hydrogen bonding and are buried within the binding domain, this alone causes an impact on TREM2's ability to bind to ligands such as APOE. Further to this very local change, both variants are found in the vicinity of the binding loops of CDR1 and CDR2, R47H lies on CDR1 and R62H between the two loops. These, and other putative AD variants, are found on the surface of the protein where they may affect TREM2's ability to bind and function. Local, as well as global, SASA may be important when considering rates of reactions which require a protein-protein or protein-ligand interaction and so a change in the SASA of either of these two amino acids which could be key in the binding process should be considered a detrimental effect and results showed a reduction in SASA at the mutated residue for both models (23).

Sudom *et al*/recently published a paper which showed mutated R47H protein to contain a remodelled helix in the CDR2 loop, though their crystal structure is missing residues 76–81(24). Our results also show an altered helix structure in the CDR2 loop, we also see a loss of the beta sheet structure which is replaced by a random coil. A random coil is far more variable and could explain why they were unable to resolve this region of the protein and the crystal structure is missing these amino acids. The TREM2 domain we are investigating also contains three possible N-glycosylation sites, one of which is at position 79, the alteration in structure here could be affecting the ability of TREM2 to undergo translational modification and could explain the altered glycosylation seen *in vitro* in the R47H mutated form (25).

Park *et al*/recently showed that the R47H mutation in TREM2 resulted in a decreased protein stability, based on our models this may be due to the large alteration in the CDR2 loop structure (25). Another study by Atagi *et al*/presented strong evidence for the binding of TREM2 to APOE, and more interestingly a lack of binding when the R47H variant was present (15), this is further supported by Yeh *et al*/who measured a decrease in TREM2's ability to bind CLU/APOJ and APOE when the R47H and R62H variants were present. Their results support our difference in binding loop loss between the two variants as they observed less of a decrease in binding with the R62H mutation (13). This binding loop degradation we observed may be the key to understanding the functional effect these variants are having on the protein.

Conclusion

The evidence shown here correlates with previous studies which indicate a binding change when the R47H variant is present. We present novel findings which show the R62H mutation to have a structural effect on the same region of the protein albeit to a lesser extent. This provides insight and support to the studies which show less of a decrease in binding ability with the R62H protein compared to the R47H form. Understanding the structural and functional changes which occur in this AD associated protein increases our knowledge of the mechanisms behind the processes which cause AD and as a result provide more novel drug and therapeutic targets.

Abbreviations

Alzheimer's disease (AD)

triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2)

molecular dynamic simulation (MD)

complementarity-determining region two (CDR2)

world health organisation (WHO)

immunoglobulin (Ig)

Amyloid Beta (A β)

Apolipoprotein E (APOE)

Apolipoprotein J (APOJ)

extracellular ligand binding domain (ECD)

Have your Protein Explained server (HoPE)

root mean square deviation (RMSD)

wildtype protein (WT)

Solvent accessible surface area (SASA)

complementarity-determining region (CDR)

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and material

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

Part-funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the Welsh Government. The funders have no role in the design, analysis or interpretation of any data in this manuscript.

Authors Contributions

GM designed and carried out the study and wrote the manuscript, RS interpreted the data and edited the manuscript, JW oversaw the study design and edited the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Part-funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the Welsh Government

References

1. World Health Organisation, Dementia. Fact sheet N°362. May 2017
2. Sims R, van der Lee SJ, Naj AC, Bellenguez C, Badarinarayan N, Jakobsdottir J, et al. Rare coding variants in PLCG2, ABI3, and TREM2 implicate microglial-mediated innate immunity in Alzheimer's disease. *Nature Genetics*. 2017;49(9):1373–84.
3. Jevtic S, Sengar AS, Salter MW, McLaurin J, Zhang B, Gaiteri C, et al. The role of the immune system in Alzheimer disease: Etiology and treatment Integrated Systems Approach Identifies Genetic Nodes and Networks in Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease. *Ageing Research Reviews*. 2017;40(3):84–94.
4. Guerreiro R, Wojtas A, Bras J, Carrasquillo M, Rogaeva E, Majounie E, et al. TREM2 variants in Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368.
5. Jonsson T, Stefansson H, Ph D SS, Jonsdottir I, Jonsson P, Snaedal J, et al. Variant of TREM2 Associated with the Risk of Alzheimer's Disease. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2012;368(2):117–27.
6. Rosenthal SL, Bamne MN, Wang X, Berman S, Snitz BE, Klunk WE, et al. More evidence for association of a rare TREM2 mutation (R47H) with Alzheimer's disease risk. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2015 Aug;36(8):2443
7. Lambert J-CC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D, Naj AC, Sims R, Bellenguez C, et al. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nature Genetics*. 2013;45(12):1452–8.
8. Filipello F, Morini R, Corradini I, Zerbi V, Canzi A, Michalski B, et al. The Microglial Innate Immune Receptor TREM2 Is Required for Synapse Elimination and Normal Brain Connectivity Fluctuation of the solvent-accessible surface area of tuna ferrocytochrome c. *Immunity*. 2018;48(5):979–91

- 9.Allcock RJ, Barrow Ad Fau - Forbes S, Forbes S Fau - Beck S, Beck S Fau - Trowsdale J, Trowsdale J. The human TREM gene cluster at 6p21.1 encodes both activating and inhibitory single IgV domain receptors and includes NKp44. *Eur J Immunol*. 2003 Feb;33(2):567–77.
- 10.Kober DL, Wanhainen KM, Johnson BM, Randolph DT, Holtzman MJ, Brett TJ, et al. Preparation, crystallization, and preliminary crystallographic analysis of wild-type and mutant human TREM–2 ectodomains linked to neurodegenerative and inflammatory diseases
- TREM2 mutations are rare in a French cohort of patients with frontotemporal dementia. *Protein Expression and Purification*. 2014;96(10):32–8.
- 11.Kober DL, Alexander-Brett JM, Karch CM, Cruchaga C, Colonna M, Holtzman MJ, et al. Neurodegenerative disease mutations in TREM2 reveal a functional surface and distinct loss-of-function mechanisms. *Elife*. 2016;5.
- 12.Zhao Y, Wu X, Li X, Jiang LL, Gui X, Liu Y, et al. TREM2 Is a Receptor for beta-Amyloid that Mediates Microglial Function. *Neuron*. 2018;97(5):1023–31
- 13.Yeh FL, Wang Y, Tom I, Gonzalez LC, Sheng M. TREM2 binds to apolipoproteins, including APOE and CLU/APOJ, and thereby facilitates uptake of amyloid-beta by microglia. *Neuron*. 2016;91.
- 14.Jendresen C, Årskog V, Daws MR, Nilsson LNG. The Alzheimer’s disease risk factors apolipoprotein E and TREM2 are linked in a receptor signaling pathway. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2017;14(1)59
- 15.Atagi Y, Liu CC, Painter MM, Chen XF, Verbeeck C, Zheng H, et al. Apolipoprotein E is a ligand for triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2). *J Biol Chem*. 2015;290.
- 16.Venselaar H, Te Beek TAH, Kuipers RKP, Hekkelman ML, Vriend G. Protein structure analysis of mutations causing inheritable diseases. An e-Science approach with life scientist friendly interfaces. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2010;11(1):548.
- 17.Vaser R, Adusumalli S, Leng SN, Sikic M, Ng PC. SIFT missense predictions for genomes. *Nature Protocols*. 2016;11(1):1–9.
- 18.Capriotti E, Fariselli P, Casadio R. I-Mutant2.0: Predicting stability changes upon mutation from the protein sequence or structure. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2005;33
- 19.Gattis JL, Washington AV, Chisholm MM, Quigley L, Szyk A, McVicar DW, et al. The structure of the extracellular domain of triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells like transcript–1 and evidence for a naturally occurring soluble fragment. *J Biol Chem*. 2006;281(19):13396–403.
- 20.Radaev S, Kattah M, Rostro B, Colonna M, Sun PD. Crystal structure of the human myeloid cell activating receptor TREM–1. *Structure*. 2003;11(12):1527–35.

21. Abduljaleel Z, Al-Allaf FA, Khan W, Athar M, Shahzad N, Taher MM, et al. Evidence of Trem2 variant associated with triple risk of alzheimer's disease. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(3).
22. Zhong L, Wang Z, Wang D, Wang Z, Martens YA, Wu L, et al. Amyloid-beta modulates microglial responses by binding to the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2). Molecular neurodegeneration. 2018;13(1):15.
23. Zheng C, Wong CF, McCammon JA. Fluctuation of the solvent-accessible surface area of tuna ferrocycytochrome c. Biopolymers. 1990;29(14):1877–83.
24. Sudom A, Talreja S, Danao J, Bragg E, Kegel R, Min X, et al. Molecular basis for the loss-of-function effects of the Alzheimer's disease-associated R47H variant of the immune receptor TREM2 Critical aggregation concentration for the formation of early Amyloid- β (1–42) oligomers. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2018;8(1).
25. Park JS, Ji IJ, Kim DH, An HJ, Yoon SY. The Alzheimer's disease-associated R47H variant of TREM2 has an altered glycosylation pattern and protein stability. Frontiers in Neuroscience. 2017;10:1–10.
26. Pronk S, P II Sr, Schulz R, Larsson P, Bjelkmar Pr, Apostolov R, et al. GROMACS 4.5: A high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular simulation toolkit. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(7):845–54.
27. D. A. Case T. E. Cheatham II. AMBER 11. University of California, San Francisco; 2010.
28. Bava KA. ProTherm, version 4.0: thermodynamic database for proteins and mutants. Nucleic Acids Research. 2004;32

Figures

Figure 1

Schematics of the (a) wildtype and (b) mutated amino acids

Figure 2

Wild type TREM2 starting structure, the structure is depicted as a cartoon style with secondary structure colouring. CDR loops are coloured as follows; CDR1 = red, CDR2 = green, CDR3 = purple, the position of the two mutated sites are coloured in dark blue and shown in full.

Figure 3

Graphs of the flexibility changes for the wildtype and mutated proteins at both sites as well as the point specific SASA are shown in a and b. c depicts the local level structural alteration with the type in secondary structure colours, R47H in red and R62H in blue. d-g show the wildtype and mutated acids positioning for the R47H wildtype, mutation, and the R62H wildtype and mutation respectively.

Figure 4

The TREM2 CDR2 loop which spans amino acids 67 to 81, (a) wild type, (b) R47H mutation, (c) R62H mutation (d) the RMSF – or flexibility of the residues in the CDR2 loop.