

The Effect of Depression Status Change on Daily Cigarette Smoking Amount: a eleven-year follow up study of the Korea Welfare Panel Study

Soo Hyun Kang

Department of Public Health, Graduate School, Yonsei University

Wonjeong Jeong

Department of Public Health, Graduate School, Yonsei University

Sung-In Jang

Department of Preventive Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine

Eun-Cheol Park (✉ ecpark@yuhs.ac)

Yonsei University College of Medicine <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2306-5398>

Research article

Keywords: Depression, Daily cigarette amount, Smoking cessation, Smoking

Posted Date: August 25th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-60019/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: In the past decade, the Korean smoking rate has only decreased by 3%, despite several smoking control policies. There is a need for such policies to take smokers' psychological characteristics into account. Depression is a well-known contributor to failed smoking cessation. This study aimed to examine the effect of smokers' depression status changes on their daily cigarette smoking amount (DCA).

Methods: This study used a sample drawn from the Korea Welfare Panel Study (KoWePS) waves 3 (2008) to 13 (2018). The DCA refers to the number of the cigarettes smoked per day at the time of the survey. Depression was measured using an 11-item version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD-11). A generalized estimating equation (GEE) model was employed to analyse the effect of change of depression status on DCA.

Results: The Yes→No male depression status group had lower DCA ($\beta=-0.631$, $p\text{-value}=0.0248$) than the No→No group. The Yes→No male depression status group that began smoking before age 19 had lower DCA ($\beta=-0.881$, $p\text{-value}: 0.0089$) than the No→No group that started smoking before 19.

Conclusions: We found that a change from depressed to non-depressed and non-depressed to depressed status is associated with decreasing and increasing DCA, respectively. Also, for smokers who began smoking before 19 years of age, the subgroup that went from depressed to non-depressed had much a lower DCA than general smokers. Thus, when treating people participating in smoking cessation programs, counsellors should check for depression symptoms and encourage individuals to pursue depression treatment simultaneously.

Introduction

In 2010, 8,284 people died of either lung cancer or ischemic heart disease due to ongoing smoking [1]. The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) calculated the national smoking rate as 22.3% in 2017, with 38.1% of men falling under the 'current smoker' category. Moreover, the past decade has only seen a 3% smoking decrease, down from 25.3%.

Since 1982, the Korean government has implemented various smoking control policies, such as regulating cigarette advertisements, adding warning labels to cigarette packs, restricting the sale of cigarettes to adolescents, banning smoking in public places and restaurants, and increasing cigarette prices [2]. Clearly, smoking control policies have not translated to a significant change in the national smoking prevalence. This indicates a need for research and policy development informed by both the sociodemographic and psychological characteristics of smokers.

To predict the success or failure of smoking cessation attempts, researchers have focused on the mitigating role of 'major depressive disorder' or 'depressed mood'. A history of regular smoking was observed more frequently among those who experienced major depressive disorder at some point in their lives than it was among those who had not (non-depressed individuals) [3]. Some studies report that the history of major depressive disorder might imply the failure of smoking cessation [4, 5]. In addition, the relationship between depressed mood and past major depressive disorder has been supported in many studies on smokers [6, 7]. On the contrary, attempts to cease smoking, or successful smoking cessation may increase one's risk of experiencing a major depression episode, irrespective of past major depressive disorder history [8, 9].

Self-reported daily cigarette amount (DCA) is an important factor used by many studies to assess the level of cigarette dependence. DCA has been used to determine what qualifies as 'heavy' dependence, or categorize those deemed 'hardcore smokers' [10–12]. Some studies have used DCA as a predictor for successful smoking cessation or of attempting cessation. Indeed, Hymowitz reported that individuals with lower daily cigarette consumption levels were

statistically significant predictors of smoking cessation [13]. Similarly, Hyland found that individuals who reduced their smoking by more than 50% over 5 years were 1.7 times more likely to quit smoking in the 13 years after than those who did not [14].

Previous studies also demonstrate the relationship between depression and failure to quit smoking. However, the impact of smokers' depression status change (e.g. from depressed status to non-depressed status) on their DCA has not been adequately assessed. Thus, this study sought to examine the effect of smokers' depression status changes on their DCA.

Methods

Data and population

The study used data from the Korea Welfare Panel Study (KoWePS), conducted by the Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs and Seoul National University. The KoWePS is an annual longitudinal panel survey that was undertaken from 2006 to 2018. This data is suitable for low-income policies or poverty studies because about 50% of samples were low income earners with a median income of 60% or less. This study used a sample drawn from waves 3 (2008) to 13 (2018). The initial 2008 baseline data included 16,613 individuals from 6,314 households.

To analyze the effect of smokers' depression status change, we only included currently smoking individuals who are 19 years old and older. From there, we further excluded individuals with missing data. Thus, the 2008 data included a total of 1,821 individuals: 1,645 males and 176 females.

Measures

Daily cigarette smoking amount (DCA)

The outcome variable is Daily cigarette smoking amounts, referring to the number of the cigarettes smoked per day at the time of the survey.

Change in depression status

The main independent variable is change in depression status, which was measured by the 11-item version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD-11). The CESD-11 is a shorter version of the original 20-item version, and is a self-reported screening tool which is well validated [15]. The total score of the CESD-11 was calculated by adding the scores for all 11 questions and multiplying these values by 20/11. Scores of 16 and higher were considered evidence of likely depressed status.

Covariates

Demographic, socioeconomic and health-related factors were included. Demographic variables included gender, age, and region. Socioeconomic variables included education level, marital status, and income level (quartile). Health-related factors included age at smoking commencement, alcohol consumption, and the absence or presence of chronic disease.

Statistical analysis

We calculated the distribution of the general characteristics at baseline. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used to analyze the mean DSA at the first time point, for change of depression status categories. To analyze the effect of change of depression status on DSA, we used the generalized estimating equation (GEE) model. The GEE model is a more efficient and unbiased regression estimate for analysing longitudinal or repeated measures in research designs with non-normal response variables [16]. Statistical analyses were performed using the GENMOD procedure in SAS

version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The results were considered statically significant if the p-value was less than 0.05.

Results

Table 1 presents the general characteristics at the first change time point (2008→2009). Of the 1,821 participants, 86.9% of males and 64.2% of females were in the No→No group. The Yes→Yes group was the smallest, accounting for 2.7% of males and 10.2% of females. Among males, the No→Yes group had the highest mean DCA (18.6, SD: 9.88), and the Yes→No group had the smallest (16.40, SD: 6.29). Among females, the Yes→No group had the highest mean (13.95, SD: 8.48) DCA, and the No→No had the smallest (10.54, SD: 6.15).

Table 1.
General characteristics at the first change time point (2008→2009)

Variables	Daily cigarette smoking amount (DCA)											
	Male					P value	Female					P value
	N	%	MEANS	±	SD		N	%	MEANS	±	SD	
TOTAL	1,645	100	17.74	±	8.30		176	100	11.32	±	6.72	
Change of depression status (2008→2009)												
No → No	1,429	86.9	17.80	±	8.34	0.2428	113	64.2	10.54	±	6.15	0.0764
No → Yes	82	5.0	18.60	±	9.88		26	14.8	11.15	±	6.73	
Yes → No	90	5.5	16.40	±	6.29		19	10.8	13.95	±	8.48	
Yes → Yes	44	2.7	16.82	±	7.30		18	10.2	13.67	±	7.44	
Age at the smoking initiation (years)												
Under than 19	529	32.2	19.11	±	8.82	<.0001	19	10.8	8.11	±	4.92	0.2523
19 or older	1,116	67.8	17.09	±	7.96		157	89.2	11.71	±	6.82	
Age (years)												
19-29	150	9.1	15.03	±	6.31	<.0001	10	5.7	7.00	±	2.87	0.0671
30-39	421	25.6	17.71	±	7.84		10	5.7	9.00	±	6.62	
40-49	383	23.3	19.77	±	8.45		24	13.6	11.46	±	6.21	
50-59	279	17.0	19.36	±	8.90		26	14.8	12.88	±	7.94	
60-69	238	14.5	17.04	±	8.52		30	17.0	13.57	±	7.31	
≥ 70	174	10.6	14.01	±	7.24		76	43.2	10.72	±	6.27	
Region												
Metropolitan	669	40.7	17.39	±	7.92	0.0186	76	43.2	11.12	±	6.91	0.3972
Rural	976	59.3	17.97	±	8.55		100	56.8	11.47	±	6.61	
Education level												
Middle school or under	587	35.7	17.64	±	8.12	0.0172	134	76.1	11.51	±	6.24	0.4203
High school	846	51.4	18.17	±	8.54		33	18.8	11.18	±	6.91	
College or above	212	12.9	16.28	±	7.68		9	5.1	9.00	±	12.04	
Marriage status												
Living w spouse	1,165	70.8	18.02	±	8.35	0.2954	40	22.7	10.08	±	5.44	0.0532
Living w/o spouse	480	29.2	17.04	±	8.15		136	77.3	11.68	±	7.03	
Income level												
Low	405	24.6	16.45	±	7.67	0.0238	70	39.8	11.50	±	6.52	0.4305
Lower middle	446	27.1	18.30	±	7.99		47	26.7	12.89	±	7.36	
Upper middle	428	26.0	17.81	±	8.11		38	21.6	10.03	±	6.06	
High	366	22.2	18.38	±	9.38		21	11.9	9.52	±	6.61	
Alcohol consumption												
Non-drinkers	311	18.9	17.77	±	7.61	0.0003	91	51.7	12.30	±	7.13	0.6671
Once a week or less	594	36.1	17.33	±	8.01		60	34.1	10.52	±	6.48	
2-3 times a week	408	24.8	17.03	±	7.97		14	8.0	9.93	±	4.92	
More than 4 times a week	332	20.2	19.31	±	9.57		11	6.3	9.36	±	5.95	
Chronic diseases												
No	976	59.3	17.98	±	8.24	0.5129	53	30.1	10.23	±	6.19	0.7133
Yes	669	40.7	17.38	±	8.39		123	69.9	11.79	±	6.91	

Table 2 indicated the results of the GEE model analysis of factors associated with DCA. The Yes→No male depression status group was the only one that showed a statistically significant decrease in DCA ($\beta=-0.631$, p-value = 0.0248). Participants who started smoking before 19 years old experienced greater DCA ($\beta = 0.477$, p-value = 0.0290) than those who began at 19 or later. Increased DCA was observed among male participants who were 30–59 years old (30–39: $\beta =$

1.728; 40–49: $\beta = 2.280$; 50–59: $\beta = 2.211$), had a lower education level (middle school or under: $\beta = 1.530$; high school: $\beta = 1.454$), and consumed alcohol 2–3 times a week ($\beta = 0.434$). The low and low-middle income level group had decreased DCA (low: $\beta = -1.156$; low-mid: $\beta = -0.518$) compared to those with high income level.

Table 2

The results of the generalized estimating equation analysis of factors associated with daily smoking amount

Variables	Daily cigarette smoking amount (DCA)					
	Male			Female		
	β	S.E	P value	β	S.E	P value
Change of depression status						
No → No	Ref.			Ref.		
No → Yes	0.414	0.338	0.2202	-0.134	0.458	0.7702
Yes → No	-0.631	0.281	0.0248	-0.065	0.523	0.9006
Yes → Yes	-0.005	0.578	0.9933	-0.769	0.727	0.2904
Age at the smoking initiation (years)						
Under than 19	0.477	0.219	0.0290	-0.009	1.047	0.9934
19 or older	Ref.			Ref.		
Age (years)						
19–29	Ref.			Ref.		
30–39	1.728	0.302	<.0001	0.144	1.423	0.9196
40–49	2.280	0.344	<.0001	1.591	1.312	0.2253
50–59	2.211	0.396	<.0001	3.164	1.438	0.0278
60–69	0.880	0.472	0.0620	2.414	1.375	0.0792
≥ 70	-1.377	0.513	0.0073	2.207	1.458	0.1301
Region						
Metropolitan	Ref.			Ref.		
Rural	0.415	0.249	0.0956	-0.342	0.524	0.5136
Education level						
Middle school or under	1.530	0.448	0.0006	0.041	1.833	0.9822
High school	1.454	0.332	<.0001	0.827	1.652	0.6164
College or above	Ref.			Ref.		
Marriage status						
Living w spouse	Ref.			Ref.		
Living w/o spouse	-0.126	0.243	0.6025	0.115	0.514	0.8228
Income level						
Low	-1.156	0.223	<.0001	-1.167	0.599	0.0514
Lower middle	-0.518	0.194	0.0075	-0.314	0.601	0.6008

Variables	Daily cigarette smoking amount (DCA)					
	Male			Female		
	β	S.E	P value	β	S.E	P value
Upper middle	-0.249	0.172	0.1483	-0.418	0.536	0.4348
High	Ref.			Ref.		
Alcohol consumption						
Non-drinkers	Ref.			Ref.		
Once a week or less	-0.089	0.197	0.6515	0.371	0.468	0.4286
2–3 times a week	0.434	0.220	0.0485	1.516	0.568	0.0076
More than 4 times a week	1.972	0.252	<.0001	2.080	0.676	0.0021
Chronic diseases						
No	Ref.			Ref.		
Yes	-0.272	0.142	0.0547	-0.200	0.352	0.5696
Year						
2009	Ref.			Ref.		
2010	-0.243	0.186	0.1915	-0.238	0.495	0.6303
2011	-0.454	0.196	0.0206	-0.195	0.512	0.7029
2012	-1.389	0.255	<.0001	-0.399	0.986	0.6854
2013	-1.552	0.269	<.0001	-0.779	1.080	0.4706
2014	-1.779	0.278	<.0001	-1.039	1.011	0.3042
2015	-2.991	0.287	<.0001	-1.696	1.073	0.1137
2016	-2.908	0.281	<.0001	-1.865	1.097	0.0889
2017	-2.870	0.288	<.0001	-1.920	1.076	0.0743
2018	-2.795	0.301	<.0001	-1.252	1.067	0.2405

Table 3 outlines the analysis, gradually narrowing subjects down to those with ≥ 20 DCA. Among those with ≥ 10 DCA, male in the Yes \rightarrow No group had lower DCA ($\beta=-0.556$) than those in the No \rightarrow No group, which is similar to Table 2. Among those with ≥ 15 DCA, males in the No \rightarrow Yes group had higher DCA ($\beta = 0.789$) than the No \rightarrow No group. Analogously, males in the No \rightarrow Yes category had higher DCA ($\beta = 0.997$) than the No \rightarrow No group among participants with a ≥ 20 DCA.

Table 3

The results of the generalized estimating equation analysis among smokers with more than 10 or 20 daily smoking amount

Change of depression status	Daily cigarette smoking amount (DCA)					
	Male			Female		
	β	S.E	P value	β	S.E	P value
Among smokers with ≥ 10 daily amount						
No \rightarrow No	Ref.			Ref.		
No \rightarrow Yes	0.453	0.363	0.2119	0.005	0.491	0.9914
Yes \rightarrow No	-0.556	0.300	0.0637	0.031	0.572	0.9570
Yes \rightarrow Yes	0.768	0.619	0.2144	-0.712	0.681	0.2963
Among smokers with ≥ 15 daily amount						
No \rightarrow No	Ref.			Ref.		
No \rightarrow Yes	0.789	0.407	0.0526	-0.133	0.512	0.7953
Yes \rightarrow No	-0.280	0.295	0.3427	0.869	0.578	0.1327
Yes \rightarrow Yes	0.295	0.654	0.6520	0.854	0.669	0.2015
Among smokers with ≥ 20 daily amount						
No \rightarrow No	Ref.			Ref.		
No \rightarrow Yes	0.997	0.416	0.0164	-0.463	0.455	0.3090
Yes \rightarrow No	-0.360	0.301	0.2314	0.237	0.591	0.6889
Yes \rightarrow Yes	0.335	0.667	0.6158	0.876	0.654	0.1805
* Age at smoking initiation, age, region, marital status, educational level, income level, chronic disease, alcohol consumption, and survey year were adjusted.						

Table 4 outlines the subgroup analysis regarding the effects of change of depression status on DCA, according to age at the smoking initiation, and marital status. The male Yes \rightarrow No group who initiated smoking before 19 had lower DCA (β =-0.881) than the male No \rightarrow No group who initiated smoking before 19. Similarly, the Yes \rightarrow No group members living with spouses had lower DCA (β =-1.088) than the No \rightarrow No ones, although these are only statistically significant result in this analysis.

Table 4
Subgroup analysis of daily smoking amount stratified by covariates

Variables	Daily cigarette smoking amount (DCA)									
	No → No	No → Yes			Yes → No			Yes → Yes		
	β	β	S.E	P value	β	S.E	P value	β	S.E	P value
Male										
Age at the smoking initiation (years)										
Under than 19	Ref.	0.163	0.395	0.6799	-0.881	0.337	0.0089	-0.357	0.710	0.6151
19 or older	Ref.	0.166	0.702	0.8132	-0.111	0.519	0.8301	-0.022	0.966	0.9815
Marriage status										
Living w spouse	Ref.	-0.014	0.450	0.9758	-1.088	0.342	0.0015	0.467	0.807	0.5631
Living w/o spouse	Ref.	0.825	0.519	0.1117	-0.250	0.455	0.5835	-0.585	0.695	0.4000
Female										
Age at the smoking initiation (years)										
Under than 19	Ref.	-0.245	0.508	0.6294	0.196	0.664	0.7677	-0.458	0.709	0.5183
19 or older	Ref.	0.756	0.810	0.3503	0.131	0.862	0.8795	-0.107	0.979	0.9126
Marriage status										
Living w spouse	Ref.	-0.637	1.029	0.5357	-0.427	0.734	0.5607	-1.159	1.147	0.3121
Living w/o spouse	Ref.	-0.098	0.506	0.8473	-0.016	0.609	0.9789	-0.527	0.836	0.5279
* Age at the smoking initiation, age, region, marital status, educational level, income level, chronic disease, alcohol consumption, and survey year were adjusted.										

Discussion

This study examined the effect of smokers' depression status change on their daily cigarette smoking amount (DCA). Findings suggest that emerging from a depressed status is related to a decreased DCA among males. For males who smoke more than 15 cigarettes per day, depressed status appears to increase DCA. Among males who began smoking before age 19, and males living with spouses, Yes→No depression status groups had a lower DCA than the No→No groups.

Smokers experiencing significant depression are more likely to begin smoking, due to the antidepressant effect of nicotine. In addition, nicotine boosts bioavailability of serotonin, whose mechanism is similar to some antidepressants [16]. Thus, depression contributes to increased DCA. Moreover, depression encourages smokers, particularly those who smoke more than 15 cigarettes per a day, to persist in this behaviour despite the health risk. It is, therefore, necessary to help smokers seek alternative, healthier antidepressant resources, or to encourage smokers with depressive symptoms to consult mental health professionals.

Much like smoking from an early age was associated with increased DCA, several studies reported the association between the age of smoking initiation and smoking cessation as well as strong nicotine dependence [17–19]. Indeed, a study focused on smokers who visited the Center for Cancer Prevention and Early Detection (in Korea's National Cancer Center) reported that nicotine dependence was much higher among those who started smoking aged 19 or earlier (compared to those who started aged 25 or later) [20]. Of significance in our study is the fact that smokers who began before 19 years of age and changed from depressed to non-depressed status had a much lower DCA than general smokers with the same change pattern. This may provide evidentiary support for the implementation of smoking cessation programs by detecting depression symptoms and encourage to participating in antidepressant programs in parallel with smoking cessation programs for smokers with early initiation age.

This study demonstrated no statistically significant results among females. This is likely related to the low self-reporting rate among female smokers in Korea. This small number of female smokers in this study data might be underestimated by self-reported responses. The notion of an underestimation issue in this demographic is supported by the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) study. By comparing the number of females who self-reported as smokers with the number confirmed to be through urine cotinine examination, a significant discrepancy between the prevalence of self-reported and biochemically-verified female smokers [21]. To identify the effect depression status change on female smokers, further study is needed, using data obtained from other smoking detection methods, e.g. urine cotinine examination.

This study has several limitations. First, since the KoWePS largely represents low income households, study findings are somewhat limited when it comes to generalizing to the national population, and high income households in particular. Second, because of data limitations, the analysis could not include clinical laboratory results (such as those from urinary cotinine level exams) that show the degree of smoking intensity. Third, the use of self-reported responses means that measurement issues such as reporting bias and recall bias may have confounded the findings.

Despite the limitations, the present study has several strengths. First, we used data from a nationwide survey with randomly sampled longitudinal data and a 10-year follow up. As the KoWePS largely represents low income households, it can be generalized to South Korea's low-income population. Second, previous studies have examined the association between depression and smoking cessation success. However, this study used a lagged GEE model to determine the ability of depression status change (which may occur through treatment) to lessen daily smoking cigarettes among smokers.

In conclusion, this study examined the effect depression status change on smokers' DCA. We found that change from depressed to non-depressed and non-depressed to depressed status is associated with decreasing and increasing DCA,

respectively. Among those who began smoking before age 19, the subgroup that changed from depressed to non-depressed status had a much lower DCA than general smokers with the same change pattern. These findings may support the incorporation of depressive symptom treatment into smoking cessation programs.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The KoWePS data are openly published; thus, ethical approval was not required for this study. This study did not require informed consent from the participants, as their information was fully anonymized and unidentified prior to analysis.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The data supporting this article is openly available in <https://www.koweps.re.kr:442/main.do> by submitting oath and data utilization plan.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1. Heo S, Lee J-T. Disease burdens from environmental tobacco smoke in Korean adults. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research*. 2015;25(3):330–48.
2. Kim I-S. Present situation and direction of antismoking policies in Korea. *Journal of the Korean Medical Association*. 2014;57(12):978–80.
3. Glassman AH, et al. Smoking, Smoking Cessation, and Major Depression. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1990;264(12):1546–9.
4. Covey LS, Glassman AH, Stetner F. Depression and depressive symptoms in smoking cessation. *Compr Psychiatry*. 1990;31(4):350–4.
5. Levine MD, Marcus MD, Perkins KA. A history of depression and smoking cessation outcomes among women concerned about post-cessation weight gain. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2003;5(1):69–76.
6. Hall SM, et al. Nicotine, negative affect, and depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1993;61(5):761–7.
7. Dalack GW, et al. Mood, major depression, and fluoxetine response in cigarette smokers. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1995;152(3):398–403.

8. Glassman AH, et al. Smoking cessation and the course of major depression: a follow-up study. *The Lancet*. 2001;357(9272):1929–32.
9. Tsoh. JY, et al. Development of Major Depression After Treatment for Smoking Cessation. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000;157(3):368–74.
10. Perkins KA, Jao NC, Karelitz JL. Consistency of daily cigarette smoking amount in dependent adults. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2013;27(3):723–9.
11. Alpert HR, Connolly GN, Biener L. A prospective cohort study challenging the effectiveness of population-based medical intervention for smoking cessation. *Tob Control*. 2013;22(1):32–7.
12. Augustson EM, Marcus SE, *Use of the current population survey to characterize subpopulations of continued smokers: a national perspective on the "hardcore" smoker phenomenon*. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 2004. 6(4): p. 621–629.
13. Hymowitz N, et al. Predictors of smoking cessation in a cohort of adult smokers followed for five years. *Tob Control*. 1997;6(suppl 2):S57.
14. Hyland A, et al. Reduction in Amount Smoked Predicts Future Cessation. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2005;19(2):221–5.
15. Kohout FJ, et al. Two shorter forms of the CES-D depression symptoms index. *Journal of Aging Health*. 1993;5(2):179–93.
16. Schnoll RA, Lerman C. Current and emerging pharmacotherapies for treating tobacco dependence. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs*. 2006;11(3):429–44.
17. Khuder SA, Dayal HH, Mutgi AB. Age at smoking onset and its effect on smoking cessation. *Addictive behaviors*. 1999;24(5):673–7.
18. Everett SA, et al. Initiation of cigarette smoking and subsequent smoking behavior among US high school students. *Prev Med*. 1999;29(5):327–33.
19. Breslau N, Fenn N, Peterson EL. Early smoking initiation and nicotine dependence in a cohort of young adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 1993;33(2):129–37.
20. Park SM, et al. A preliminary investigation of early smoking initiation and nicotine dependence in Korean adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2004;74(2):197–203.
21. Kang HG, et al. Biochemically-verified smoking rate trends and factors associated with inaccurate self-reporting of smoking habits in Korean women. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2013;14(11):6807–12.