

The Water Incident Database (WAID) 2012 to 2019: A Systematic Evaluation of the Documenting of UK Drownings

Samuel Hills

Bournemouth University

Matthew Hobbs

University of Canterbury

Michael Tipton

University of Portsmouth

Martin Barwood (✉ M.Barwood@leedstrinity.ac.uk)

Leeds Trinity University

Research Article

Keywords: Immersion, cold water, accident, suicide, injury, environmental risk factor

Posted Date: June 22nd, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-602220/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background. Death by drowning is a leading cause of accidental death in the United Kingdom (UK) and worldwide. The World Health Organization (WHO) states that effective documentation of drowning is required to describe drowning frequency and to underpin effective drowning prevention intervention, thus improving the quality of data describing drowning frequency represents a key initiative. The water incident database (WAID) has been used to document UK fatal and non-fatal water-based incidents since 2009. WAID has not undergone a systematic evaluation of its data or data collection procedures to establish if the database meets the WHO requirements. The present study investigated the characteristics of UK fatal drowning incidents and audited current WAID data capture procedures. **Methods.** Data for the fatal drowning cases recorded between 2012 and 2019 were reviewed. Summary statistics were produced to describe the prevalence of UK drownings and a two-phase blind audit was conducted to establish a) the completeness of each of the 22 WAID fields (i.e., columns) and b) the reliability of data entry processes by reviewing written data sources originally used to populate WAID. **Results.** A total of 5,501 fatalities were recorded between 2012-2019. Drowning was most frequent amongst males aged 35 to 60 years ($n=1,346$), whilst suspected accidents and suicides accounted for 44% and 35% of fatalities. Suicide by drowning was at a peak in the most recent year of data analysed (i.e., 2019; 279 cases) highlighting an urgent need for targeted intervention. Audit phase one indicated that 16% of all fields were incomplete, thus indicating potential redundancy, duplication, or the need for onward review. Phase two indicated high levels of agreement ($80\pm 12\%$) between audited cases and the 'true' WAID entries. **Conclusions.** This study confirms WAID as a rigorous, transparent and effective means of documenting UK drownings thereby meeting WHO requirements for data quality. Such findings allow researchers and policy makers to use WAID to further investigate UK drowning with a view to improving public safety measures and drowning prevention interventions; our work these data is ongoing. Observations alongside several expert recommendations proposed in this manuscript have informed a revised version of the WAID database.

Background

Globally, drowning accounted for 372,000 lives lost in 2014 and is a leading cause of accidental death in most countries (1). This death toll is almost two thirds that of malnutrition and over half that of malaria (1). Drowning is also amongst the ten leading causes of death in children and young people in every region of the World (1), is a primary cause of occupational death and injury, and is a particular problem in middle- and low-income countries (2). This global figure is thought to represent an underestimation by four or five times due to very limited mechanisms for documenting drowning deaths in many countries (2, 3).

Even in developed countries such as the United Kingdom (UK), drowning is a leading cause of accidental and intentional death. Indeed, fatal drowning accounts for 400 to 750 deaths, including suicides, each year (4). Non-fatal water-based incidents are between 20-50 times that of the drowning rate although these data are rarely reported (5). Data from comparable developed countries such as Australia suggest approximately \$188 million AUD (~£105.9 million GBP) is spent annually on responding to drowning and water-based incidents, indicating a substantial economic cost to society in addition to the emotional burden associated with each case (6). In many cases such death and injury can be avoided with evidence-based safety advice and planning to support intervention (1, 7).

Since 2009, the Water Incident Database (WAID) has been used to document UK fatal and non-fatal water-related incidents (referred to as drownings here on). The database was conceived and constructed by the National Water Safety Forum (NWSF) with the support of the Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents (RoSPA), who host the database. WAID brings together water-related incident data from a wide range of sources within the UK search and rescue region. In doing so, the database could meet the key initiative of the World Health Organization (WHO) to improve the quality of data describing drowning frequency (1) and may potentially underpin effective drowning prevention interventions (8). The key aims of WAID are to i) provide insights into levels of risk (including risk acceptability), enabling meaningful comparisons with activities outside the water sector; ii) to supersede the uncoordinated efforts of organisations trying to establish national trends based on limited data of uncertain quality; iii) to produce much higher quality evidence; and iv) to maximise value and minimise aggregate cost of data collection (9). Data relating to each incident are entered into WAID by water safety agencies (primary data; e.g., Royal National Lifeboat Institution, Her Majesty's Maritime Coastguard Agency, the National Fire Chiefs Council, the Royal Lifesaving Society & RoSPA) in accordance with pre-defined fields and taxonomies. Presently WAID includes many thousands of entries from several sources relating to each drowning or water-based incident, including inquests.

Drowning is a multifactorial phenomenon (10) and it is therefore important that data relating to each water-based incident distinguish between the outcomes and, if possible, the contributory factors that are specific to a given country or event (8). Establishing these distinctions and contributory factors may enable targeted prevention strategies. For example, it is likely that at least one causal factor in many fatal and non-fatal water-based incidents relates to persons entering the water accidentally (4). Further complications can partly be attributed to the low average annual water temperature around the UK which is between 11 and 13 °C (11). Such water temperatures are known to evoke the life-threatening cold shock response (12, 13) on immersion which increases the chance of aspirating water to the lung causing asphyxiation precipitated by a loss of respiratory control (12, 13). Cold water is also one of the attributed reasons that persons often drown within 3 metres of the safe refuge of land as a result of swim-failure (14). Recorded data must also distinguish those circumstances where persons voluntarily enter the water for reasons of recreation (e.g., 15) where natural causes (such as an underlying health condition; e.g., 16) and suicide are potential outcomes, the risk of which may be compounded by drug or alcohol intoxication (e.g., 17). There are also plausible scenarios where a victim forcibly enters the water as part of criminal related activity (18). Clearly an effective database must comprise of a transparent and unbiased mechanism for documenting and distinguishing between these eventualities. To date WAID processes and data have not been independently assessed to verify the rigour and quality of the collection procedures and outputs.

This study aimed to describe the characteristics of UK fatal drowning incidents, including water related fatalities, that occurred between 2012 and 2019. Thereafter we aimed to audit the procedures of data capture relating to a sub-set of drowning cases to examine the agreement between the extant data and

the data generated by a blind audit. This study forms the first step in a programme of work planning to undertake epidemiological research with this UK drowning data set. Scientific convention in such onward studies indicates that the data must first be audited (19, 20) to ensure the data quality is fit to address the proposed research questions (21). In doing so our project represents the first step in scrutinising the database against the WHO's drowning prevention implementation guide relating to data collection and quality (22). Also in line with this implementation strategy, we sought to make onward developmental recommendations for inclusions and revisions to WAID to improve the data captured in relation to each fatal and non-fatal drowning event. These recommendations were to inform a second iteration of the WAID database planned for future launch; WAID v2.

Methods

WAID Data Set

Specific project approval was granted by the Leeds Trinity University School of Health and Social Sciences research ethics committee (SHSS-2020-03), and a formal data agreement was signed between the authors and RoSPA (the data owner) prior to any data being exchanged. This written agreement explicitly defined the scope of data use, secure storage, and dissemination of related research findings. This study focused only on data relating to fatal incidents recorded by RoSPA over a pre-defined time period. Accordingly, anonymised WAID data were received for drowning incidents occurring between 1st January 2012 and 31st December 2019, inclusive. After removing any confirmed non-fatal and duplicate cases, the final dataset for this research consisted of 5,051 fatalities by drowning that were recorded in WAID.

Current WAID Data Entry Procedures

Having established a date and time for the incident and a stakeholder reference number (i.e., a reference to the safety, rescue or public organisation responsible for entering the data into the main database), each onward WAID data entry phase requires one or more tick box or narrative entries as part of expanded sub-sections. Table 1 provides operational definitions for the 22 WAID field taxonomies comprising this process. Figure 1 provides a schematic overview of the sequence followed for incident entered into WAID including mandatory fields. Figure 2 provides examples of the sub-sets of the choices available for the fields of age category, sex, (suspected) intoxication and the mandatory field describing the suspected outcome. The relevant information for each incident is then converted in summarised form, with each row representing a distinct water-based incident and each column representing a WAID field taxonomy.

Data audit

A two-phase blind audit approach was taken to the WAID data audit. Phase one involved examining the computerised database itself to quantify the completeness of each field and producing summary statistics describing the incidence and characteristics of fatal drowning in the UK. Phase two assessed the reliability of the process of data entry into WAID by reviewing the stored written data (e.g., in the form of media articles, coroners' reports, accident reports, court proceedings, etc.) that had been originally used to populate the main database.

Phase one: database completeness audit and summary

For phase one, the available WAID data were imported into R Studio (V3.6.1; Vienna, Austria) before each of the 22 primary fields were assessed for completeness. To indicate any potential redundancy or duplication of field information in the present iteration of WAID and allow recommendations to be made for subsequent editions of the database, the number of incomplete or missing entries for each field was determined as an absolute value and then as a percentage of the 5,051 total cases. Thereafter, the prevalence of recorded UK drowning cases was quantified according to different person-, date- (i.e., year), and outcome-related categories available within WAID. The number of WAID entries were therefore calculated specifically in relation to each drowning outcome category listed within the available taxonomies (i.e., 'accident suspected', 'not recorded', 'suicide suspected', 'natural causes suspected', 'crime suspected'; see figure 2), the age of the victim (i.e., '0 to 2 years', '3 to 5 years', '6 to 12 years', '13 to 18 years', '19 to 35 years', '36 to 60 years', 'over 60 years', 'not recorded'), the sex of the victim (i.e., 'male', 'female', 'not recorded'), and whether the victim was suspected to have been intoxicated (i.e., 'alcohol', 'drugs', 'alcohol and drugs', 'none'). Data were further examined by calendar year from 2012 to 2019, inclusive.

Phase two: audit of data entry processes

Prior to phase two, data from WAID age categories '0 to 2 years', '3 to 5 years', '6 to 12 years', and '13 to 18 years' were removed from the dataset. Removal of such data was a condition of the ethical approval that was granted to minimise any onward emotional trauma to those undertaking the audit potentially caused by reading written evidence relating to child and youth drowning incidents. The remaining cases were grouped according to the drowning outcomes of 'accident suspected', 'crime suspected', 'natural causes', 'not recorded' and 'suicide suspected' before 50 cases, 10 relating to each outcome, were selected for auditing based on their unique identifier (i.e., "Waidised ID") using a random sampling function in R Studio. The written reports (e.g., newspaper articles, coroner reports, other media, etc.) that had originally been used to populate WAID for each of these cases were printed and redacted by a member of RoSPA staff. This person was independent to the research team and oversaw the removal of personal identifier information such as the names, dates of birth, and addresses of any victims or witnesses. Redacted hard copy documents were then reviewed by two members of the research team (MB & SH) to establish the reliability of WAID data entry processes. It is worthy to note that more than one fatality can be associated with a "Waidised ID" which relate to cases rather than individual persons.

Prior to commencing the main audit, four randomly selected cases were pilot tested by both auditors (MB & SH) to verify and familiarise themselves with the method of data entry into WAID that would be replicated during the main audit thereafter. This pilot testing involved each auditor in a blinded manner (i.e.,

without consultation between auditors and without knowing the 'true' WAID entries for each case) separately reviewing the stored written details for each case, recording the information that they would have entered into WAID for each field taxonomy based upon the written evidence available to them. Auditors then compared their compiled would-be entries between each other and with reference to the 'true' WAID record for the relevant case, cross-checking and discussing in detail any inconsistencies. Agreement levels between auditors were ~80% at the pilot stage and ~77% (grouped mean across auditors) between the auditor entry and the 'true' entry latterly confirmed on WAID. Further consultation with RoSPA clarified any outstanding questions as to the appropriate interpretation of the WAID taxonomies and the processes of data entry into the main database. Based on the outcome of the initial pilot of four cases and detailed consultation with RoSPA, the auditors progressed to the main phase of auditing.

Main phase two audit

To reflect the typical mode of data entry into WAID, the auditors used the redacted hard copy documents supplied by RoSPA to populate an electronic "dummy" version of WAID fields and taxonomies using the NWSF test site. This site is functionally the same as the main WAID data entry site but populates a separate database used for training. Once all 50 randomly selected cases had been individually considered and entered into the dummy database, auditors' entries were compared to the true WAID record. The extent of agreement in each case was quantified by assigning each field a value of '0', '0.5', or '1' when entries did not agree, partially agreed (i.e., determined by consensus amongst the research team), or were identical to those listed in WAID. For the fields of latitude and longitude, data were considered to be identical (i.e., a value of 1 was assigned) when dummy and WAID entries were consistent to an accuracy of ≥ 4 decimal places. This represented a threshold of 11 m leeway within which a value of 1 would be assigned. The agreement between auditor-entered data and WAID data was then calculated in percentage terms (i.e., 100% agreement would indicate that values of 1 had been assigned for all entries) for each field and overall. In addition, both auditors documented their subjective experiences of the processes of data entry, agreement and analysis using a notebook. Such information was recorded to assist in providing recommendations for potential improvement in the design and administration of subsequent editions of WAID. The phase two audit did not consider the fields of "Waidised ID" and "stakeholder reference" as these fields pertain purely to pseudo-anonymised case identifier information.

Results

Phase one - database completeness audit and data summary

Table 2 shows the completeness of each of the 22 WAID fields examined in phase one of the audit. Several fields were populated for all or most of the 5,051 cases such as latitude and longitude, whereas some fields such as "visibility" and "water depth" were largely incomplete. From a total of 111,122 potential field entries (i.e., 22 fields multiplied by 5,051 recorded fatal cases), 84% were complete.

A greater prevalence of drowning was observed in males (a total of 3,722 of the 5,051 fatalities; ~74%) compared with females (1,021 cases; ~20%), whilst there were 308 (~6%) incidents for which the casualty's sex was "not recorded". The raw count distribution of drowning cases across the age categories outlined in WAID is displayed in Table 3, whereby the most deaths (36%) occurred in 36- to 60-year-olds. When data were expressed relative to the number of years that each age category spanned (e.g., age category 13 to 18 spanned six years; 189 drowning deaths divided by six years = 32 deaths per year in age range), the highest drowning rate remained within the 36 to 60 age category (67 cases per year; p/y). This calculation was not conducted for the over 60 years age category or where age category was "not recorded" because it was not possible to ascertain the number of years that these age categories spanned.

The number of drowning deaths expressed by sex and age group are displayed in Table 4. The most numerous age category for both males and females was 36 to 60 years old indicating a common age for drowning prevalence. When the data were expressed per year included in each age category, the greatest prevalence of drowning remained 36 to 60 years in females whereas the highest per year value for males was observed in the 19 to 35 years category. Drug and/or alcohol intoxication was implicated in a total of 820 deaths (16% of total cases), with 604 (74%) of this 820 associated with intoxication by alcohol alone, 104 (13%) cases associated with drugs alone, and 112 (14%) drownings associated with a combination thereof.

The distribution of the 5,051 cases across each drowning outcome category is expressed in Figure 3a, whilst Figure 3b shows the number of WAID recorded cases for each year of the study period combined with the distribution of these cases across the five drowning outcomes. Approximately 44% and 35% of incidents recorded over the study period were suspected to be results of accidents and suicides, respectively. "Outcome not recorded" has increased since 2014. The most total cases were recorded in 2013, while the least fatalities occurred in 2018.

Main Phase Two Audit

The 50 case records randomly selected for the phase two audit described a total of 58 fatalities (as some records documented multiple drownings within a single incident). Following assignment of agreement values (i.e., '0', '0.5', or '1') and subsequent analysis, the overall level of agreement between auditor dummy entries and the true WAID entries was $80 \pm 12\%$. These values were $79 \pm 13\%$ and $81 \pm 11\%$ respectively for each of the two auditors. Table 5 shows the level of agreement between auditor-entered data and actual WAID records for each WAID field assessed, highlighting that 'injury', 'water depth', and 'wind' showed the greatest agreement (>95%). Conversely, 'Ordinance Survey reference' and 'what happened' had agreement values <60%. The auditors' subjective experiences of undertaking the audit suggested that establishing the identifier characteristics of the casualty (e.g., age and sex) was typically deemed to be relatively straightforward, whereas specific details about the incident such as "what happened" were often more difficult to ascertain. Frequently, a lack of evidence to suggest other possibilities meant that "body recovery" had to be concluded for the 'what happened' field.

Discussion

This study sought to describe the characteristics of UK fatal drowning incidents that occurred between 2012 and 2019 and to establish the completeness of WAID across the fields currently included in the database. Thereafter we aimed to audit the procedures of data capture relating to a sub-set of drowning cases in WAID and to examine the agreement between the extant data and the data generated by a blind audit. In doing so, this study has been able to establish whether the database could meet the key initiative of the WHO to improve data quality describing drowning frequency (1). Our findings show that the number of documented UK drownings remained between 585 (in 2018) and 669 (in 2013) deaths per year for each year from 2012-2019, inclusive. Moreover, the fact that males most frequently drowned during this time period (i.e., male deaths comprising approximately 74% of the recorded fatalities, acknowledging that the victim's sex was not recorded for a further 6% of the sample), is a finding broadly consistent with other developed countries; Australia 78%; Canada 81%; New Zealand 82% (8). During the audit phase of the study we were able to establish a high level of completeness of most fields in WAID indicating the effectiveness of the database in capturing key characteristics relating to water related fatalities and drowning. We were also able to establish that the stored written evidence associated with each case enabled a high level of agreement to be achieved between auditor and true WAID entry by reading the case details and following the procedures of data entry. This study therefore confirms WAID as a rigorous, transparent and effective means of documenting UK drownings. Very few studies have undertaken an independent audit of drowning database entry procedures and reported the findings in the open scientific literature. Collectively, these findings now legitimise our intentions to undertake a programme of research using this UK drowning dataset.

Drowning in persons up to the age of 18 accounted for around 5% (262) of total deaths considered in the present study; these national data are comparable to historic, regional data which show drowning as a leading cause of accidental death in children and adolescents (23). Persons aged 36 to 60 saw the highest frequency of drownings and these comprised of mostly males when sub-divided by sex. Males tend to take greater risks on or around water and intoxication by alcohol or drugs is known to exacerbate this risk taking (24). Indeed, alcohol was suspected to be implicated, whether solely or in combination with drugs, in a total of 16% of the fatal cases reviewed in the present study. Approximately 44% of the cases reviewed in the phase one audit were documented as 'accident suspected'. The next most numerous outcome category after 'accident suspected' was 'suicide suspected' (35% of cases). The WHO data set and drowning data from other countries have tended not to focus on intentional drownings (e.g., 3) because no reporting sub-categories existed until recently in the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems [ICD] codes for suicide by drowning (3) to additionally document intentional drowning. The eleventh edition of the ICD has recognised and addressed this imbalance (25). The case for the reporting of such data must be balanced against the role this could play in highlighting to vulnerable people the potential for drowning as a mechanism for intentional death (26). Observations from the present data set indicate that, acknowledging that sex was not recorded for a further 188 suspected suicides, a higher proportion of female drownings were recorded as "suicide suspected" (44% of female drownings and 30% of male drownings between 2012 and 2019). Whilst not directly comparable, publicly available UK data for 2018 report 4.4% of suicide deaths were by drowning in females compared to 3.8% in males (27). Collectively, it is of significant concern that suicide by drowning was at a peak in the most recent year of data analysed in the present data set (i.e. 279 cases) highlighting an urgent need for targeted intervention.

As part of this study we sought to use our procedures to make onward developmental recommendations for inclusions and revisions to WAID to improve the data captured in relation to each fatal and non-fatal drowning event. The recommendations were reached in consultation with RoSPA, on the basis of the auditors' shared experiences of undertaking the audit, alongside published evidence and the experience of the research team. The recommendations relate primarily to documenting additional factors relating to drowning events and improving the clarity of existing factors considered as part of WAID that may substantially influence an individual's likelihood of drowning and subsequently inform prevention strategies. These observations are being made openly available in order to inform the practices of other researchers and drowning databases. To avoid potential redundancy of the suggested fields, the value of including these variables must be considered in light of their feasibility and viability for documentation. Recommendations for potential inclusion within WAID were as follows:

i. Estimated water temperature and water conditions. It is known that low water temperatures are linked to the magnitude of the life-threatening cold shock response (12) with lower temperatures linked to increased likelihood of drowning (13, 28). Accordingly, it would be valuable to include a default (rather than optional) estimate of water temperature and water conditions to examine the role these variables play in future WAID cases. Moreover, a water temperature of 6 °C or below has been linked to extended underwater survival time whilst submerged which is also linked to search and rescue (SAR) duration (29). Therefore, knowledge of water temperature in drowning and non-fatal water accident cases may enable decision-making models to be verified or refined that underpin SAR. A plausible option for populating the database may be to triangulate "live" measured data or data derived from seasonal estimates per given body of water particularly in countries where cold water is a seasonal threat.

ii. Estimated air temperature. In circumstances where persons voluntarily enter the water the extant air temperature may partially underpin the decision to do so (30). Data from Canada indicate ambient air temperatures exceeding 30 °C increased the likelihood of outdoor drowning by 69%. Fralick et al. (30) also showed that drowning risk in all age groups and genders increased with increasing ambient temperature but to the greatest extent in males. Given that coastal and inland water temperatures tend to be lowest during the Spring (11) and high ambient temperatures are plausible at this time, knowledge of the extant air temperature at the approximate date and time of water entry may enable proactive drowning prevention interventions based on weather forecasting. A default entry to WAIDv2 with Triangulation of "live" or recorded data may be a viable option.

iii. Behavioural factors in drowning. Drowning is a multifactorial event that may include a significant behavioural component (31). The inclusion of measures that document behavioural factors may provide valuable information about the behaviours that precede drowning such as visitation of water sites for recreation (32). For example, it is plausible that drowned victims may travel short or long distances for reasons of leisure to access the water environment. In the case of the latter, a transition may take place from a low drowning risk and warning environment (e.g., a city) into one that carries far greater risk (e.g., coastal or river environments). If proven, proactive drowning prevention interventions could target the point of origin of the potential victim if the behavioural pattern is established. Including simple measures such as the drowning victim's postcode (where available) may enable targeted

intervention according to expected behaviours. Moreover, this variable can also enable the victim's social demographic to be considered as an influential factor similar to other health conditions (20).

iv. Ethnicity. Presently we have only a limited indication of drowning prevalence in minority ethnic groups in the UK. It is plausible that drowning risk or incidence may be higher for social or cultural reasons and targeted intervention based on examining this possibility may be valuable. For example data from Canada, another country where cold water is an influential factor in drowning, indicate the age-standardized drowning rate is significantly higher among men of Asian, African, or Hispanic ethnicity compared to men of Greater European ethnicity and for women of Asian, African, or Hispanic ethnicity compared to women of Greater European ethnicity (33). Manual entry of this variable currently exists in WAID.

v. Clothing worn. We have previously suggested that air trapped between clothing layers in normal seasonal clothing assists short term buoyancy and therefore flotation (4). Moreover, protecting the skin from rapid skin cooling reduces the extent of the cold shock response (12) and it has been reported that 50% of persons who enter water unintentionally are clothed and drown within 3 metres of safe refuge (4). Knowledge of the extent of clothing worn in cases of drowning may establish the differing role that clothing plays in drowning case outcomes.

vi. Establishing a flotation factor. Our laboratory studies have shown variability in flotation capability between individuals (4). We suggested the ability to float may impact upon the likelihood of drowning (4). Yet, factors such as body density, lung volume, clothing and water temperature have all been suggested to impact upon flotation capability (34) and could be derived from entries on WAID. Identifying flotation capability as an influential factor in drowning may underpin the educational basis for focussing on the skillset to achieve floating as part of learn to swim and survival skills.

vii. Separate data for drowning event and recovery. With items i) to iii) in mind, where possible future recorded data should try to distinguish between data available at the time of water entry (if known) and that derived from the time of body recovery. Many of the WAID phase two audited cases included information from the time of recovery where some of the key variables (e.g., air temperature) may have changed significantly between the time of water entry and body recovery.

viii. Point of entry to emergency care. In cases where the immersed victim is rescued alive, the proximity of a hospital or the required emergency care may be critical in determining the outcome. Documenting the details around the point of entry to emergency care (if completed) or the proximity of the nearest emergency care to the water location may help contextualise the importance of the transition time to receiving medical support; this inclusion would also raise the possibility of Utstein style reporting on the drowning case should it include resuscitation attempts (35).

This study is not without limitations. Whereas the procedures underpinning the phase two data audit were standardised between auditors and pilot testing was conducted before the main audit, the persons involved were not experienced users of WAID. It is therefore plausible that some of the audited entries were subject to judgement error on the part of the auditor. However, as further standardised training (similar to the in-house training provided by RoSPA to those individuals responsible for populating WAID) is likely to improve agreement with the true WAID case, it is reasonable to suggest that the present study probably represents a conservative estimate of the agreement. Secondly, we were only able to audit 50 cases (58 fatalities) in phase two, a sample comprising approximately 1% of the database and excluding child and adolescent drownings. It is plausible that the effects we report in this fraction of the data do not reflect the wider picture or that seen in the non-fatal cases and that such observations are restricted to adult drownings only. Nevertheless, the randomly selected sample of cases examined a complete range of all of the recorded WAID drowning outcomes, was completed independently of RoSPA, and required a significant outlay of time, manpower and resource. Future studies including data collected after 2019 may seek to verify our observations.

Conclusions

In summary, this study sought to describe the characteristics of UK fatal drowning incidents that occurred between 2012 and 2019 and to audit the processes and data stored in WAID. In doing so we are able to conclude that the database meets the key initiative of the WHO to improve data quality describing drowning frequency (1). However, revisions and potential improvements to WAID are feasible including considering including variables that describe pre-drowning behaviour and distinguishing between the environmental conditions at the time of water entry from the time of body recovery. The data in WAID now appear suitable to be considered for onward study to address the often-neglected public health issue of drowning (3). This programme of work can now progress to step two of the WHO's drowning prevention implementation guide with the aim of identifying drowning risk factors (22).

List Of Abbreviations

ICD: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems

NWSF: National Water Safety Forum

RoSPA: The Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents

UK: United Kingdom

WAID: Water Incident Database

WHO: World Health Organisation

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Specific project approval was granted by the Leeds Trinity University School of Health and Social Sciences research ethics committee (SHSS-2020-03), and a formal data agreement was signed between the authors and RoSPA (the data owner) prior to any data being exchanged. This written agreement explicitly defined the scope of data use, secure storage, and dissemination of related research findings. Participant consent was not obtained because this study focused only on data relating to fatal incidents recorded by RoSPA over a pre-defined time period.

Consent for publication

Not applicable. The formal data agreement included RoSPA consent for publication of the data included within this manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset describing the individual drowning cases upon which this manuscript is based cannot be made available. The sensitive nature of the data meant that non-disclosure was a condition of the signed data agreement and granting of ethical approval. An anonymised annual report of WAID data at the drowning cohort level is available from the National Water Safety Forum <https://nationalwatersafety.org.uk/waid/annual-reports-and-data/>

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

This work was funded by quality related (QR) research funding through Research England.

Authors' contributions

MB and MH developed the idea for the study. MB, SH and MH applied for funding and ethical approval. SH, MH, and MB analysed the data. All authors interpreted the data, drafted and revised the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mr David Walker (RoSPA) and Mrs Rachael Brogan (RoSPA) for their guidance throughout the audit. The authors would also like to thank the members of the National Water Safety Forum.

References

1. WHO. Global report on drowning: preventing a leading killer. World Health Organization 2014. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/global-report-on-drowning-preventing-a-leading-killer> Accessed: 09/09/2020
2. Bierens JJ, Lunetta P, Tipton MJ, Warner DS. Physiology of drowning: a review. *Physiol.* 2016;31:147-66.
3. Lu T-H, Lunetta P, Walker S. Quality of cause-of-death reporting using ICD-10 drowning codes: a descriptive study of 69 countries. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2010;10(30):1-6.
4. Barwood MJ, Bates V, Long GM, Tipton MJ. "Float First": trapped air between clothing layers significantly improves buoyancy on water immersion in adults, adolescents and children. *Int J Aquat Res Ed.* 2011;5(2):147-63.
5. Onyekwelu E. Drowning and near drowning. *Internet J Health.* 2008;8(8):1-4.
6. A 13-year national study of non-fatal drowning in Australia. Royal Life Saving Society Australia 2017. Available from: https://www.royallifesaving.com.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0003/19938/3985_v4_RLS_NonFatalSymposium_ReportHR_PROOF_LR.pdf. Accessed: 09/09/2020
7. Pearn J, Nixon JW, Franklin RC, Wallis B. Safety legislation, public health policy and drowning prevention. *Int J Inj Contr Saf Promot.* 2008;15(2):122-3.
8. Peden AE, Franklin RC, Clemens T. Exploring the burden of fatal drowning and data characteristics in three high income countries: Australia, Canada and New Zealand. *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19(1):794.
9. NWSF. National Water Safety Forum coordinating group terms of reference. National Water Safety Forum. 2019. Available from: <https://www.nationalwatersafety.org.uk/media/1195/rosfa-nwsf-paper-oct19-final.pdf>. Accessed: 10/09/2020
10. Stoop J. Maritime accident investigations. In: Bierens JJ, editor. *Handbook on drowning: prevention, rescue, treatment* Berlin Heidelberg, Germany: Springer 2006.

11. Holliday NP, Kennedy J, Kent EC, Marsh R, Hughes SL, Sherwin T, et al. Marine Climate Change Impacts Partnership Scientific Review – Sea Temperature 2007-2008. Available from: <http://www.mccip.org.uk/media/3371/sea-temperature.pdf>. Accessed: 90/09/2020
12. Tipton M. The initial responses to cold-water immersion in man. *Clin Sci*. 1989;77(6):581-8.
13. Tipton MJ. Cold water immersion: sudden death and prolonged survival. *Lancet*. 2003;362:s12-s3.
14. Office H. Report of the working party on water safety. London, United Kingdom; 1977.
15. Franklin RC, Scarr JP, Pearn JH. Reducing drowning deaths: the continued challenge of immersion fatalities in Australia. *Med J Aust*. 2010;192(3):123-6.
16. Mahony AJ, Peden AE, Franklin RC, Pearn JH, Scarr J. Fatal, unintentional drowning in older people: an assessment of the role of preexisting medical conditions. *Healthy Aging Res*. 2017;6:e7.
17. Hamilton K, Keech JJ, Peden AE, Hagger MS. Alcohol use, aquatic injury, and unintentional drowning: a systematic literature review. *Drug and Alcohol Rev*. 2018;37(6):752-73.
18. Quan L, Bennett EE, Branche CM. Interventions to prevent drowning. In: Doll LS, Bonzo SE, Mercy JA, Sleet DA, editors. *Handbook of injury and violence prevention*. New York: Springer; 2008. p. 81-96.
19. Hobbs M, Griffiths C, Green M, Christensen A, McKenna J. Examining longitudinal associations between the recreational physical activity environment, change in body mass index, and obesity by age in 8864 Yorkshire Health Study participants. *Soc Sci Med*. 2019;227:76-83.
20. Hobbs M, Griffiths C, Green M, Jordan H, Saunders J, McKenna J. Neighbourhood typologies and associations with body mass index and obesity: A cross-sectional study. *Prev Med*. 2018;111:351-7.
21. Vogel C, Zwolinsky S, Griffiths C, Hobbs M, Henderson E, Wilkins E. A Delphi study to build consensus on the definition and use of big data in obesity research. *Int J Obes*. 2019;43(12):2573-86.
22. WHO. Preventing drowning: an implementation guide. World Health Organization 2017. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/preventing-drowning-an-implementation-guide>. Accessed: 29/09/2020
23. Pearson G. Why children die: a pilot study 2006, England (South West, North East and West midlands), Wales and Northern Ireland. London. 2008. Available from: <https://www.publichealth.hscni.net/sites/default/files/Why%20Children%20Die%20-%20a%20pilot%20study%202006.pdf>. Accessed: 09/09/2020
24. Morgan D, Ozanne-Smith J, Triggs TJA. Self-reported water and drowning risk exposure at surf beaches. *Aust NZ J Public Health*. 2009;33(2):180-8.
25. WHO. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, eleventh revision. World Health Organization 2018. Available from: <https://icd.who.int/en>. Accessed: 09/09/2020
26. Agerbo E, Gunnell D, Bonde JP, Mortensen PB, Nordentoft M. Suicide and occupation: the impact of socio-economic, demographic and psychiatric differences. *Psychol Med*. 2007;37(8):1131-40.
27. Manders B, Kaur J. Suicides in the UK: 2017 registrations. 2018. Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/suicidesintheunitedkingdom/2018registrations>. Accessed: 09/09/2020
28. Tipton MJ, Eglin C, Gennser M, Golden F. Immersion deaths and deterioration in swimming performance in cold water. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9179):626-9.
29. Tipton MJ, Golden F. A proposed decision-making guide for the search, rescue and resuscitation of submersion (head under) victims based on expert opinion. *Resus*. 2011;82(7):819-24.
30. Fralick M, Denny CJ, Redelmeier DA. Drowning and the influence of hot weather. *PloS one*. 2013;8(8):e71689.
31. Schmidt A, Hawkins S, Quan L. Drowning is never dry. *Expert Rev Respir Med*. 2019;13(4):313-5.
32. Peden AE, Franklin RC, Leggat PA. Exploring visitation at rivers to understand drowning risk. *Inj Prev*. 2019;25(5):392-9.
33. Gallinger ZR, Fralick M, Hwang SW. Ethnic differences in drowning rates in Ontario, Canada. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2015;17(5):1436-43.
34. Barwood M, Massey HC, Tipton M. "Float First": evidence-base for the Royal National Lifeboat Institution's "Respect the Water" campaign. Portsmouth, United Kingdom: University of Portsmouth; 2018.
35. Idris A, Bierens J, Perkins G, Wenzel V, Nadkarni V, Morley P. Revised Utstein-style recommended guidelines for uniform reporting of data from drowning-related resuscitation: an ILCOR advisory statement. *Resus*. 2015;118:147-58.

Tables

Table 1. Operational definitions for the 22 Water Incident Database (WAID) field taxonomies.

Field number	Field name	Operational definition
1	Waidised ID	Unique identifier number assigned to each case by the Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents
2	Stakeholder reference	Identifier number associated with the specific water safety agency entering the case
3	What happened	Classification of how a person came into difficulty. Alternatively, 'body recovered' can be entered
4	Date	Date and time that an incident or body recovery occurred
5	Activity	Classification of the action or type activity being undertaken by the victim prior to drowning
6	Postcode	Postcode at which the incident or body recovery occurred
7	Latitude	Latitude at which the incident or body recovery occurred
8	Longitude	Longitude at which the incident or body recovery occurred
9	Ordinance Survey reference	Ordinance Survey coordinates at which the incident or body recovery occurred
10	Location name	Name of the location at which the incident or body recovery occurred
11	Location type	Type of location in which the incident or body recovery occurred
12	Location feature	Type of feature at or near which the incident or body recovery occurred
13	Wind	Progressive numerical scale used to indicate the wind conditions at the time of an incident occurring
14	Visibility	Visibility at the time of an incident occurring
15	Water depth	Water depth in which the incident or body recovery occurred
16	Age (years)	Age of the victim in years
17	Age category	Age category to which the victim belonged
18	Injury	Classification of the fate of a victim
19	Sex	The sex of the victim
20	Coroner report	Whether or not the outcome of a coroner's report is currently pending
21	Narrative	A free-text field allowing a brief description of the incident to be entered
22	Intoxication	Whether or not intoxication by alcohol and/or drugs was suspected or confirmed

Table 2. Number and percentage of missing values for each Water Incident Database (WAID) field taxonomy (n=5,051)

Field number	Field name	Total missing cases	Percentage missing cases (%)
1	Waidised ID	0	0.0
2	Stakeholder reference	14	0.5
3	What happened	24	0.5
4	Date	0	0.0
5	Activity	6	0.1
6	Postcode	544	10.8
7	Latitude	0	0.0
8	Longitude	0	0.0
9	Ordinance Survey reference	492	9.7
10	Location name	28	0.6
11	Location type	24	0.5
12	Location feature	41	0.8
13	Wind	4,606	91.2
14	Visibility	4,492	88.9
15	Water depth	4,917	97.3
16	Age (years)	734	14.5
17	Age category	637	12.6
18	Injury	0	0.0
19	Sex	308	6.1
20	Coroner report	0	0.0
21	Narrative	0	0.0
22	Intoxication	0	0.0

Table 3. Number of Water Incident Database (WAID) recorded drowning cases sub-divided by age category (n=5,051)

Age category	0 to 2 yrs	3 to 5 yrs	6 to 12 yrs	13 to 18 yrs	19 to 35 yrs	36 to 60 yrs	Over 60 yrs	Not recorded
Total cases (cases p/y)	39 (13)	26 (9)	34 (5)	189 (32)	1,138 (67)	1,807 (72)	1,181(NA)	637 (NA)

Values in brackets indicate drowning rate relative to the number of years (per year; p/y) in each WAID age category; NA - Not applicable.

Table 4. Number of Water Incident Database (WAID) recorded drowning cases sub-divided by sex and age category (n=5,051)

Age category (category range)	Sex	Total cases (cases p/y)
0 to 2 years (3 years)	Female	11 (4)
	Male	27 (9)
	Sex not recorded	1 (0)
3 to 5 years (3 years)	Female	6 (2)
	Male	19 (6)
	Sex not recorded	1 (0)
6 to 12 years (7 years)	Female	13 (2)
	Male	21 (3)
	Sex not recorded	0 (0)
19 to 35 years (17 years)	Female	167 (10)
	Male	949 (56)
	Sex not recorded	22 (1)
36 to 60 years (25 years)	Female	414 (17)
	Male	1,346 (54)
	Sex not recorded	47 (2)
Over 60 years	Female	301 (NA)
	Male	854 (NA)
	Sex not recorded	26 (NA)
Age not recorded	Female	83 (NA)
	Male	346 (NA)
	Sex not recorded	208 (NA)

Values in brackets indicate drowning rate per year (p/y) in each WAID age category. NA - Not applicable.

Table 5. Percentage agreement between auditor-entered dummy cases and true Water Incident Database (WAID) entries for each field taxonomy (n=50 cases).

Field number	Field name	Percent agreement (%)
1	What happened	59
2	Date	69
3	Activity	71
4	Postcode	71
5	Latitude	76
6	Longitude	65
7	Ordinance	55
Survey reference		
8	Location name	87
9	Location type	82
10	Location feature	66
11	Wind	96
12	Visibility	91
13	Water depth	96
14	Age (years)	88
15	Age category	96
16	Injury	100
17	Sex	91
18	Coroner report	64
19	Outcome	80
20	Intoxication	94

Figures

WAID – summary of data entry




Figure 1

Schematic overview of WAID data entry sequence for each fatal and non-fatal case.






Figure 2

Examples of the sub-sets of the choices available in WAID for the fields of age category, sex, (suspected) intoxication and the mandatory field describing the suspected outcome.



3a.



3b.

Figure 3
 Number of Water Incident Database (WID) recorded drowning cases sub-divided by drowning outcome (panel 3a.) and calendar year (panel 3b; n=5,051).