

# Renal Function in $\beta$ -Thalassemia Major Patients Treated with Two Different Iron-Chelation Regimes.

**Osama Tanous**

Emek Medical Center

**Yossi Azulay**

Emek Medical Center

**Raphael Halevy**

Emek Medical Center

**Tal Dujovny**

Emek Medical Center

**Neta Swartz**

Emek Medical Center

**Raul Colodner**

Emek Medical Center

**Ariel Koren**

Emek Medical Center

**Carina Levin** (✉ [levincarina@gmail.com](mailto:levincarina@gmail.com))

Emek Medical Center

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Thalassemia, uNAG, iron chelation therapy

**Posted Date:** June 18th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-602960/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at BMC Nephrology on December 1st, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-021-02630-5>.

# Abstract

**Background:** Renal injury in Transfusion dependent  $\beta$  thalassemia patients (TDT) has been attributed to iron overload, chronic anemia and iron-chelation therapy (ICT) toxicity. We studied renal function in TDT patients treated with two different ICT regimes.

**Patients and methods:** We studied 36 TDT patients: 26 received deferasirox (DFX) and 10 were treated with deferoxamine (DFO) +/- deferiprone (DFP).

**Results:** Increased uNAG was found in 30% of the DFX group vs. 10% of the DFO+/-DFP group, the mean uNAG level in the DFX group was significantly higher than in the DFO+/-DFP group, ( $P < 0.05$ ). A moderate negative correlation was found between uNAG levels and mean serum ferritin for the prior 10 years ( $P = 0.03$ ), more pronounced for the DFO+/-DFP group. 29 patients had had their renal function evaluated 10 years earlier; eGFR significantly declined in patients switched to DFX ( $P = 0.0093$ ) but not in patients who continued DFO+/-DFP.

**Conclusions:** A high prevalence of renal tubular damage was observed in our TDT patients, particularly those treated with DFX; uNAG was negatively associated with mean 10-year serum ferritin, suggesting ICT's involvement in tubular injury. A significant decline in eGFR compared to a decade earlier was observed only in patients currently treated with DFX. Strict follow-up of renal function in TDT patients is warranted.

## Introduction

$\beta$ -Thalassemia major ( $\beta$ -TM) is a disease of hemoglobin synthesis leading to ineffective erythropoiesis and transfusion-dependent anemia from the first few months of life. Regular blood transfusions and iron chelation therapy (ICT) markedly improve the survival and quality of life of Transfusion Dependent  $\beta$  Thalassemia (TDT) patients,(1,2) but have led to the emergence of previously unrecognized complications, including renal abnormalities.(3–6)

Renal tubular dysfunctions reported in TDT patients include low-molecular-weight proteinuria, found in almost all patients, and increased urinary excretion of calcium, phosphorus, magnesium and uric acid.(3–5,7) N-acetyl- $\beta$ -D-glucosaminidase (NAG) is a hydrolytic enzyme found in proximal tubular cells, Urinary NAG (uNAG) levels are considered a reliable marker for early renal tubular injury (8,9) and were found to be elevated in 35–60% of TDT patients .(5,6,8,10,11). Hyperfiltration, mimicking the early changes of diabetic nephropathy, has been noted in several studies on thalassemic patients (6,7,12,13). Chronic renal hyperfiltration causes increased proteinuria and in the long term, can cause a progressive decline in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and renal fibrosis.(4,14–16) Hemolysis, hypercalciuria and hyperuricosuria have been proposed as causes of the nephrolithiasis observed in TDT patients.(17) Hematuria has been observed in 2–10% of TDT patients and might be attributed to the increased incidence of nephrolithiasis. (3,7)

Several mechanisms were suggested as a cause or renal damage in TDT patients, among them chronic anemia, hypoxia, hemosiderosis and ICT. Chronic anemia and hypoxia reduce systemic vascular resistance,

and increase renal plasma flow, hyperfiltration, tubular cell injury, apoptosis and ultimately are a cause of renal fibrosis and sclerosis(5,7,14) Some of these changes have also been observed in thalassemia intermedia patients.(18,19)

Iron overload, including proximal and distal tubule hemosiderin deposits, have been observed in autopsies of TDT patients.(20,21) The proposed injury mechanism is through mitochondrial stress and reactive oxygen species.(22) Clinical studies have shown a direct correlation between serum ferritin levels and markers of renal tubular toxicity,(23,24) with reversal of tubular defects after ICT.(25,26)

Three iron chelators are commonly used to prevent or reduce iron overload in  $\beta$ -TM: deferoxamine (DFO), a siderophore administered as a subcutaneous infusion, usually for 8–12 h/day, leading to renal excretion of iron; deferiprone (DFP), an oral iron chelator with a renal route of iron elimination (27,28) and deferasirox (DFX), an oral iron chelator, using the hepatobiliary route to excrete chelated iron.

Direct toxic action on epithelial tubular cells and induction of tubular apoptosis and necrosis constitute the preferred hypothesis explaining chelator-induced renal injury(15,29,30) The clinical effect of chelation toxicity is thought to be mild, reversible and non-progressive,(31) Several reports of reversible Fanconi syndrome were also published in patients treated with DFX.(32–34) In a large-scale study, DFX was associated with significant hypercalciuria.(35) Large prospective trials examining renal function in TDT patients receiving different types of chelation are scarce. TDT

Anemia, iron overload and ICT coexist in virtually all TDT patients, then, it is hard to isolate and study each contributing factor separately. The goal of this study is to assess glomerular and tubular function in a cohort of 36 pediatric and adult TDT patients, to determine the prevalence of glomerular and tubular renal function abnormalities and to correlate these findings with hematological and iron-overload parameters, and with the type of ICT. Most of the patients in the current study were evaluated in our previous study,(6) conducted a decade earlier. New patients born or started on chelation after the previous study have been recruited and the changes in therapy over time were recorded. The results from the two studies were compared.

## Patients And Methods

### Study Population

The study was conducted in the Pediatric Hematology Unit of Emek Medical Center, in Afula, Israel. Transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -TM patients were included in the study, children and adults. Patients' medical history and laboratory data were obtained from their medical files.

The patients were treated under standard protocols for blood transfusions and ICT based on the Israeli clinical guidelines for treating thalassemia patients and the Thalassemia International Federation guidelines.(36,37) Patients received regular blood transfusions every 2 to 3 weeks. The chelation protocol consisted of one of three possible options: (1) oral DFX at a dose of 25–40 mg/kg day, once daily; (2) subcutaneous infusion (10–12 h) of DFO at a dose of 40–60 mg/kg per day, 6 days a week; 3) DFO at the standard dose in combination with daily oral DFP at a dose of 60–70 mg/kg/day in three divided doses.

# Methods

## ***Renal Function Tests***

Blood samples and fresh morning urine samples were obtained at regular follow-up visits, before giving the scheduled blood transfusion. Blood samples were immediately examined for complete blood count, serum creatinine (Cr), electrolytes, ferritin, serum iron and transferrin. Urine samples were immediately evaluated for electrolytes, Cr, calcium (Ca), osmolality, protein and hematuria, and stored at -80°C for further uNAG evaluation (colorimetric assay, Diazyme Laboratories, San Diego, CA). The uNAG was considered increased if the result was above 12 IU/l. Estimated GFR (eGFR) was calculated according to the Schwartz formula in pediatric patients and the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula for adults. Fractional excretion of sodium (FENa), fractional excretion of potassium (FEK), Ca/Cr ratio, uric acid excretion (UAE), and tubular phosphorus reabsorption were calculated using standard formulas.(6)

## ***Iron Status Estimation***

The further values were calculated for the 10 years period before the study, mean ferritin levels, mean volume of blood transfusions (cc/kg per year) and the total amount of iron transfused. Each unit of blood transfused was considered to contain 4 mmol iron.(38)

Results of heart and liver iron content assessed by magnetic resonance imaging (T2\*MRI) was recorded if performed, closest in time to the point of renal function assessment. Liver iron concentration was measured in milliseconds by calculating T2\*. Cardiac iron concentration was estimated as cT2\* and was also measured in milliseconds, and its reciprocal cR2\* (1000/cT2\*) in Hertz.

## ***Chelation***

To better understand the effects of iron chelation on renal function, the patients were divided into two subgroups according to ICT received at the time of the study: (1) DFX, and (2) DFO+/-DFP.

## ***Comparison to the results of our previous study***

We compared the results of the current study with the results of a study performed ten years earlier in the same cohort of patients.(6)

## ***Statistical Methods***

Comparison of numerical data among the different groups was performed using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test. Comparisons between the previous and current study in the same patients were performed using two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test. Pearson's or Spearman's correlation test, depending on the

normality of the variables, was performed for the renal parameters uNAG, eGFR, and tubular tests with additional parameters (age, Hb, iron overload parameters).  $P < 0.05$  was considered significant.

## Results

Patients' characteristics and laboratory results from the current and previous studies and patient subgroups are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. A total of 36 TDT patients were studied, 18 males (13 in the DFX group and 5 in the DFO+/-DFP group) and 18 females (13 in the DFX group and 5 in the DFO+/-DFP group). Patient age ranged from 5–45 years, Mean age  $20.92 \pm 9.7$ . The mean age of the patients treated with DFX was slightly younger than for the DFO+/-DFP group.  $19.42 \pm 9.3$  vs  $24.8 \pm 10$ ,  $P=0.14$ . No significant difference in age or sex was found between the two groups. Twenty nine of the patients participated in the previous study, and the other seven patients were new patients born after the first study was conducted.

Table 1

Hematological and iron overload parameters of patients with  $\beta$ -thalassemia major and their subgroups based on chelation treatment in the current and previous study<sup>6</sup>

Parameter (normal range)	Current study			Previous study
	DFX $n = 26$	DFO+/- DFP $n = 10$	Total $n = 36$	DFO $n = 29$
Hemoglobin (g/dl) (12–15)	$8.3 \pm 0.95$	$8.12 \pm 0.88$	$8.25 \pm 0.9$	$8.9 \pm 0.9$
Serum ferritin (ng/ml) (22–322)	$2597 \pm 1669^{**}$	$4801 \pm 1893^{**}$	$3209 \pm 1976$	$3839 \pm 1780$
Total amount of iron transfused for prior 10 years (mmol)	$1100 \pm 105$	$13388 \pm 80$	$1180 \pm 413$	$1218 \pm 391$
Data expressed as mean $\pm$ SD.				
* $P < 0.05$ between chelation-based subgroups of $\beta$ -thalassemia patients.				
** $P < 0.05$ between total patients in the current study and those in the previous study.				

Table 2

Renal function and biochemical data of patients with  $\beta$ -thalassemia major and their subgroups based on chelation treatment in the current and previous study

Parameter (normal range)	Current study			Previous study	
	Chelator	DFX <i>n</i> = 26	DFO +/- DFP <i>n</i> = 10	Total <i>n</i> = 36	DFO <i>n</i> = 29
Serum Cr (mg/dl) (0.6-1.1)		0.64 ± 0.11	0.6 ± 0.14	0.63 ± 0.12	0.54 ± 0.09
Serum sodium (meq/l) (135–145)		137.6 ± 1.7	137.1 ± 2.2	137 ± 1.8	139 ± 2
Serum potassium (meq/l) (3.5–5.5)		4.12 ± 0.3	4.17 ± 0.19	4.12 ± 0.2	4.25 ± 4.6
Serum uric acid (mg/dl) (2.5-7.5)		4.3 ± 1.2	4.3 ± 1.2	4.54 ± 1.2	4.3 ± 0.9
GFR m <sup>2</sup> ) (>60)	(ml/min per 1.73	100.9 ± 17	114 ± 22	104.6 ± 19	113.5 ± 26
NAG (IU/l) (<12)		10.42 ± 6.1*	5.33 ± 2.7*	9.07 ± 5.8	10.76 ± 6.7
Urine Ca/Cr (<0.14)		0.229 ± 0.2	0.173 ± 0.1	0.21 ± 0.2	0.09 ± 0.1**
FeNa (%)		0.84 ± 0.245	0.70 ± 0.33	0.8 ± 0.43	0.94 ± 0.61
FeK (%) (4-16)		13.2 ± 8.3	13.6 ± 8.9	13.3 ± 8.3	16 ± 8.3
UAE (mg/dl GFR) (<0.56)		0.7 ± 0.24	0.56 ± 0.19	0.66 ± 0.23	0.75 ± 0.24
TmP/GFR (mg/dl) (3–5)		4.63 ± 0.68	4.75 ± 0.95	4.67 ± 0.75	4.89 ± 1.15
Urine osmolality (mosmol/kg) (50-1200)		678.2 ± 188	705 ± 222	685.7 ± 193	757 ± 170

Data expressed as mean ± SD.

\* $P < 0.05$  between chelation-based subgroups of  $\beta$ -thalassemia patients.

\*\* $P < 0.05$  between total patients in the current study and those in the previous study.

## Chelation Therapy

Patients were divided into two groups based on the ICT they have received during the time of assessment in the present study. The DFX group included twenty six patients and the DFO+/-DFP group included ten patients (Graph 1).

The twenty-six patients received DFX for a mean duration of  $59 \pm 9.1$  months (range 27–70 months). Among them in six patients, DFX was the first and only chelator used. The DFO+/-DFP group included four patients treated with DFO alone for a mean duration of  $114.7 \pm 64$  months (range 47-189) and another six patients treated with DFO and DFP for a mean duration of  $21.3 \pm 19.7$  months (range 3-51).

Twenty patients changed chelation therapy from DFO to DFX after a mean duration of  $79.4 \pm 34.7$  months, nine continued DFO, six of them with the addition of DFP .One patient changed chelation therapy from DFX to DFO+ DFP after 57 months.

## Renal Function Parameters (Table 2)

Serum Cr, K and Na were within the normal range in all patients, with no significant differences between groups. Abnormal serum uric acid levels were found in five patients: one with high levels in each group, two with low level in the DFX group, and one with lower than normal levels in the DFO+DFP group.

## Tubular Function (Table 2)

The mean urinary FeNa was in the normal range for all patients. The mean urinary Ca/Cr ratio was higher, but not statistically significantly, in the DFX group vs. the DFO+/-DFP group. Hypercalciuria (Ca/Cr  $> 0.25$ ) was found in ten patients (28%): eight patients (30%) in the DFX group and two patients (20%) in the DFO+/-DFP group. None of the patients with hypercalciuria were treated with Ca supplements. No statistically significant difference was found between the two groups.

Increased FeK ( $>15\%$ ) was found in 12 patients (33%): eight patients (31%) in the DFX group and four patients (40%) in the DFO+/-DFP group. High UAE ( $>0.56$  mg/dl GFR) was found in both groups; abnormally high levels were present in 23 patients (64%): 18 patients (69%) in the DFX group and five patients (50%) in the DFO+/-DFP group. The renal tubular maximum reabsorption rate of phosphate (TmP/GFR) (normal values 3–5 mg/dl) was elevated in nine patients (25%): six patients (21%) in the DFX group and three patients (30%) in the DFO+/-DFP group. No statistically significant difference was found in all those parameters between the two groups. Most patients (75%) had normal uNAG levels. The mean uNAG levels were significantly higher in the DFX group vs. the DFO+/-DFP group ( $P = 0.012$ ). Abnormally high uNAG

values were found in a total of nine patients (25%): 30% in the DFX group vs. 10% in the DFO+/-DFP group ( $P = 0.19$ ).

## Glomerular Function

The eGFR was in the normal range for all patients. In the DFX group, eGFR was slightly lower than in the DFO+/-DFP group ( $P = 0.06$ ). (Table 2)

## Correlation between renal Function and Parameters of Iron Overload

In patients treated with DFO +/- DFP a significant positive correlation was found between uNAG levels and the 10-year amount of transfused iron (Figure 1) and between uNAG and mean serum ferritin calculated for the prior 10 years (Figure 2). This correlation was not found in the group of patients treated with DFX (Figure 1) and (Figure 2). A non-significant negative correlation was found between uNAG levels and mean serum ferritin calculated for the prior 10 years for the whole group of patients and in patients treated with DFX ( $r = -0.35$ ,  $p = 0.03$  and  $r = -0.028$ ,  $p = 0.88$  respectively) (Figure 2). In the whole group of patients and in patients treated with DFX a negative correlation was found between uNAG and heart iron load content estimated by T2\*MRI ( $r = 0.47$ ,  $P < 0.01$  and  $r = 0.54$ ,  $P = 0.01$  respectively) (Figure 3). No correlation between uNAG and heart iron load content was found in patients treated with DFO+/-DFP ( $r = 0.2517$ ;  $P = 0.4$ ).

No correlation was found between uNAG and liver iron load content estimated by T2\*MRI.

A positive correlation was found for urinary Ca/Cr ratio and Hb levels, and was more pronounced in the group of patients treated with DFO+/-DFP than in those treated with DFX ( $r = 0.7$ ,  $P = 0.018$  vs  $r = 0.41$ ,  $P = 0.001$  vs.) (Figure 4).

No correlation was found between renal tubular parameters and patient age, with the exception of a negative correlation with TmP/GFR ( $r = -0.334$ ,  $P = 0.046$ ).

## Renal function parameters, what can we learn after ten years after comparing the results of those two studies?

Twenty nine patients in the current study were evaluated in our previous study.(6) Comparing the results, we found a significant increase in serum Cr as compared to the previous study in the patients switched with DFX (20 pts), but not in patients who continued DFO or added DFP (9 pts), ( $n = 20$ , mean  $0.51 \pm 0.9$  vs.  $0.67 \pm 0.1$ ,  $p = 0.0008$ ). The eGFR was significantly lower as compared to the previous study for patients switched to DFX, but not those treated with DFO+/-DFP, ( $n = 20$ , mean GFR first study  $113.5 \pm 26$  vs.  $100.1 \pm 17$ ,  $p = 0.0093$ ) (Figure 5B).

In the current study for the whole group of patients the urine Ca/Cr ratio increased significantly when compared to the previous study; (mean  $0.09 \pm 0.11$  vs.  $0.21 \pm 0.2$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) (Figure 5) and the percentage of patients with increased Urine Ca/Cr increased from 6.8% in the previous study to 27.7% in the current study, without any difference between treatment groups.

## Discussion

Renal injury in TDT patients is being observed more frequently with prolonged patient survival, and has recently become the object of extensive research.(3–5) Since chronic anemia, iron overload and ICT coexist in virtually all patients, it is hard to isolate and study a specific contributing factor. Our study aimed at examining the tubular and glomerular functions of TDT patients treated with two different ICT; we then compared our results to those of the same patients examined 10 years earlier.(6) We evaluated 36 TDT patients; all of them had normal Cr, serum electrolytes and eGFR.

## Tubular Injury

Hypercalciuria was found in 28% of the patients, increased Fe K in 33%, high UAE in 64%, and high levels of TmP/GFR in 25%. Those results are in accordance with previous reports(5,7). No significant difference was found between the two ICT groups in those parameters.

Hypercalciuria is a major concern in TDT patients because of its association with osteoporosis(39) and renal stones(40,41). In our study, hypercalciuria was significantly negatively correlated with mean serum ferritin for the prior 10 years, and with the 10-year amount of transfused iron (Figure 6). Those correlations were stronger in the group of patients treated with DFO+/-DFP. While the frequency of hypercalciuria in our patients was similar to that in Quinn et al.,(42) in his study, unlike ours, it was associated with a higher intensity of transfusion. This discrepancy might be explained by the inclusion of non-transfusion-dependent patients in Quinn et al.'s study, and the use of different ICT. Wong et al(35) reported hypercalciuria in 90% of TDT patients, correlated to DFX dose. Hypercalciuria in our cohort was much less common, but the negative correlation with ferritin level might have been a consequence of the ICT treatment or over chelation. The percentage of patients with hypercalciuria increased significantly in the present study, especially for patients in the DFX group. We did not know if this increment is related to the change to DFX as the principal ICT used by the patients in our study or a tubular damage over the years.

A positive correlation was found between urinary Ca/Cr ratio and Hb levels, and it was stronger in the group of patients treated with DFO+/-DFP. Further studies are needed to clearly define the factors associated with hypercalciuria and groups at risk, who might require a stricter bone density scan program.

Abnormally high uNAG levels, reflecting tubular endothelial injury, were significantly more prevalent and higher in the DFX group compared to the DFO+/-DFP group. Our results are in accordance with prior reports of DFX associated renal tubular dysfunction.(6,29,32,33,43) Recently Annayev and Karakas (44) reported a higher prevalence of tubular injury, expressed in higher 2-microglobulin levels, in patients treated with a high dose of DFX. A negative correlation ( $r = -0.35$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ) was found between uNAG levels and the mean

serum ferritin for the prior 10 years. This might also be attributed to over chelation, direct tubular iron toxicity, direct toxic effect of DFX or the cumulative dose of DFX, similar to the trend described by Wong et al.(35) in DFX dose related hypercalciuria.

In patients treated with DFO+/-DFP, a strong positive correlation was found between uNAG levels and the 10-year amount of transfused iron. Such a correlation was not found in patients treated with DFX. Iron renal toxicity has been well described in TDT patients, and Koliakos et al.(23) reported a similar correlation between ferritin levels and uNAG in DFO-treated patients. Michelakakis et al.(25) also reported similar findings, and found that the effect can be reversible in patients well-chelated with DFO.

Heart and liver T2\* MRI studies are becoming the standard of care in evaluating tissue iron load in TDT patients, almost completely replacing liver biopsy.(45) In our study, uNAG levels were negatively associated with heart iron content as evaluated by heart T2\* MRI; such a correlation was not found with liver iron content. Several previous studies have reported a lack of correlation between heart and liver iron contents. (46,47) DFX and DFP's efficiency at reducing heart iron content has been demonstrated.(31,46) In light of this, our results can be explained by chelation toxicity, with patients who are better chelated as determined by low heart iron content having higher ICT-related tubulopathies. This observation correlates well with our results of a negative correlation between uNAG and ferritin levels.

As already noted, the complex interplay between the factors contributing to renal tubular injury is difficult to untangle, but there appears to be two different trends in the different ICT groups. In the DFO+/-DFP groups, tubular injury seems to be related to iron toxicity or related to the DFX treatment. The use of DFX over years can be the dominant factor in kidney injury. Long term continuous renal function follow up in patients treated continuously with the same chelator can resolve this question. Since usually patients received different chelators during their lives, this comparison seems difficult to perform. In addition, correlations differed in terms of uNAG levels and hypercalciuria, possibly due to the different injury localization inflicted on the renal tubule, and inconsistency in the degree of injury as reflected by iron overload markers, ferritin levels, and heart MRI; one should therefore make conclusions with caution.

Twenty nine of the patients in the current study were evaluated in our previous study.(6) Serum Cr significantly increased from the previous study in patients treated with DFX but not in patients treated with DFO+/-DFP. The eGFR was significantly lower than in the previous study in patients treated with DFX, but not in patients treated with DFO+/-DFP. These findings are worrisome, especially in children who will likely undergo ICT for life. Although all ICTs have been reported to cause renal injury, DFX has been shown to specifically affect eGFR and increase serum Cr.(29,48,49)

A ten year follow-up study of renal function in TDT patients treated exclusively with DFO(16) reported a mild decrease in eGFR, with most patients remaining within the normal range, similar to our cohort. Lai et al.<sup>17</sup> reported a significant decline in eGFR in patients with an existing tubular injury; in our cohort, the decrease in eGFR was significantly more common in the DFX group, ( $p = 0.0093$ ), in agreement with previous studies. However, these finding should be viewed in light of the well-reported hyperfiltration in TDT patients(42) and its effect on the interpretation of eGFR, precluding any definite conclusions; more sensitive tools for estimating GFR are warranted. In summary, tubular injury is common in TDT patients, with higher uNAG

levels in DFX-treated vs. DFO+/-DFP-treated patients. Chronic use of DFX was also associated with a non-significant decrease in eGFR ( $P = 0.06$ ). Larger studies are needed to understand the complex mechanism of glomerular and tubular injury in thalassemia, but awareness and close monitoring of underlying renal dysfunction is warranted, especially in patients treated with DFX.

## Declarations

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

All procedures performed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study received the approval of the Institutional Review Board of Emek Medical Center, approval number: EMC-0089-10 and was registered at the National Institutes of Health, register number NCT 01905774. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects or their parents.

## Consent for publication

The authors transfer to BMC Nephrology the publication rights and they warrant that the contribution is original. The author signs for and accepts responsibility for releasing this material on behalf of any and all co-authors.

## Availability of data and material

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to patients' privacy concerns but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Competing interests

The authors report no competing interests

## Funding

The Authors received no funding for this project. There are no financial conflicts of interest to disclose.

## Authors' contributions

CL was responsible for patient recruitment, treatment and follow-up, performed the data analyses, and wrote the manuscript. YA contributed to patient recruitment treatment and follow-up, performed the data analyses. OT contributed to the data analyses, and wrote the manuscript. AK was responsible for patient recruitment, treatment and follow-up, and supervised the writing. TD assisted in patient recruitment, treatment and

follow-up. RH contributed to writing the article. NS and RC assisted in the laboratory tests and data analyses.

## Acknowledgement

Not applicable

## References


1. DeLoughery TG: Microcytic Anemia. *N Engl J Med* [Internet] 371: 1324–1331, 2014 Available from: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMra1215361>
2. Amid A, Saliba AN, Taher AT, Klaassen RJ: Thalassaemia in children: From quality of care to quality of life. *Arch Dis Child* 100: 1051–1057, 2015
3. Bakr A, Al-Tonbary Y, Osman G, El-Ashry R: Renal complications of beta-thalassemia major in children. *Am J Blood Res* [Internet] 4: 1–6, 2014 Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4165117&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
4. Musallam KM, Taher AT: Mechanisms of Renal Disease in -Thalassemia. *J Am Soc Nephrol* [Internet] 23: 1299–1302, 2012 Available from: <http://www.jasn.org/cgi/doi/10.1681/ASN.2011111070>
5. Ponticelli C, Musallam KM, Cianciulli P, Cappellini MD: Renal complications in transfusion-dependent beta thalassaemia. *Blood Rev* [Internet] 24: 239–244, 2010 Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2010.08.004>
6. Smolkin V, Halevy R, Levin C, Mines M, Sakran W, Ilia K, Koren A: Renal function in children with ??-thalassemia major and thalassemia intermedia. *Pediatr Nephrol* 23: 1847–1851, 2008
7. Bhandari S, Galanello R: Renal aspects of thalassaemia a changing paradigm. *Eur J Haematol* 89: 187–197, 2012
8. Liangos O, Perianayagam MC, Vaidya VS, Han WK, Wald R, Tighiouart H, MacKinnon RW, Li L, Balakrishnan VS, Pereira BJJ, Bonventre J V., Jaber BL: Urinary N-Acetyl-beta-(D)-Glucosaminidase Activity and Kidney Injury Molecule-1 Level Are Associated with Adverse Outcomes in Acute Renal Failure. *J Am Soc Nephrol* [Internet] 18: 904–912, 2007 Available from: <http://www.jasn.org/cgi/doi/10.1681/ASN.2006030221>
9. Siew ED, Ware LB, Ikizler TA: Biological Markers of Acute Kidney Injury. 810–820, 2011
10. Mohkam M, Shamsian BS, Gharib A, Nariman S, Arzanian MT: Early markers of renal dysfunction in patients with beta-thalassemia major. *Pediatr Nephrol* 23: 971–976, 2008
11. Şen V, Ece A, Uluca Ü, Söker M, Güneş A, Kaplan I, Tan I, Yel S, Mete N, Sahin C: Urinary early kidney injury molecules in children with beta-thalassemia major. *Ren Fail* 37: 607–613, 2015
12. Sumboonnanonda A, Sanpakit K, Piyaphanee N: Renal tubule function in beta-thalassemia after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Pediatr Nephrol* [Internet] 24: 183–187, 2009 Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=emed9&NEWS=N&AN=2009068509>

13. Aldudak B, Karabay Bayazit A, Noyan A, Ozel A, Anarat A, Sasmaz I, Kiliñç Y, Gali E, Anarat R, Dikmen N: Renal function in pediatric patients with beta-thalassemia major. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2000
14. Mallat NS, Mallat SG, Musallam KM, Taher AT: Potential mechanisms for renal damage in beta-thalassemia. *J Nephrol* 26: 821–828, 2013
15. Hamed EA, ElMelegy NT: Renal functions in pediatric patients with beta-thalassemia major: relation to chelation therapy: original prospective study. *Ital J Pediatr* 36: 39, 2010
16. Lai ME, Spiga A, Vacquer S, Carta MP, Corrias C, Ponticelli C: Renal function in patients with - thalassaemia major: a long-term follow-up study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* [Internet] 27: 3547–3551, 2012 Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ndt/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ndt/gfs169>
17. Efthimia V, Neokleous N, Agapidou A, Economou M, Vetsiou E, Teli A, Perifanis V: Nephrolithiasis in beta thalassemia major patients treated with deferasirox: An advent or an adverse event? A single Greek center experience. *Ann Hematol* 92: 263–265, 2013
18. Ziyadeh FN, Musallam KM, Mallat NS, Mallat S, Jaber F, Mohamed AA, Koussa S, Taher AT: Glomerular hyperfiltration and proteinuria in transfusion-independent patients with  $\beta$ -thalassemia intermedia. *Nephron - Clin Pract* 121: 2013
19. Uzun E, Balçı YI, Yüksel S, Aral YZ, Aybek H, Akdağ B: Glomerular and tubular functions in children with different forms of beta thalassemia. *Ren Fail* [Internet] 37: 1414–1418, 2015 Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/0886022X.2015.1077314>
20. Landing BH, Gonick HC, Nadorra RL, Hyman CB, Wells TR, Villarreal-Engelhardt G, Mersch J, Agness CL: Renal lesions and clinical findings in thalassemia major and other chronic anemias with hemosiderosis. *Fetal Pediatr Pathol* 1989
21. Voskaridou E, Christoulas D, Dimopoulou M, Repa K, Douskou M, Terpos E: Iron Overload of the Kidneys of Patients with Thalassemia and Sickle-Cell Disease: Final Results of a Prospective Study in 224 Patients Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging T2 and R2\* Techniques. *Blood* [Internet] 126: 2157 LP – 2157, 2015 Available from: <http://www.bloodjournal.org/content/126/23/2157.abstract>
22. Zager RA, Johnson ACM, Hanson SY: Parenteral iron nephrotoxicity: Potential mechanisms and consequences. *Kidney Int* 66: 144–156, 2004
23. Koliakos G, Papachristou F, Koussi A, Perifanis V, Tsatra I, Souliou E, Athanasiou M: Urine biochemical markers of early renal dysfunction are associated with iron overload in beta-thalassaemia. *Clin Lab Haematol* [Internet] 25: 105–109, 2003 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12641614>
24. Ali BA, Mahmoud AM: Frequency of Glomerular Dysfunction in Children with Beta Thalassaemia Major. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J* 14: 88–94, 2014
25. Michelakakis H, Dimitriou E, Georgakis H, Karabatsos F, Fragodimitri C, Saraphidou J, Premetis E, Karagiorga-Lagana M: Iron overload and urinary lysosomal enzyme levels in beta-thalassaemia major. *Eur J Pediatr* [Internet] 156: 602–4, 1997 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9266189>
26. Sadeghi-Bojd S, Hashemi M, Karimi M: Renal tubular function in patients with  $\beta$ -thalassaemia major in Zahedan, southeast Iran. *Singapore Med J* 49: 410–412, 2008

27. Saliba AN, Harb AR, Taher AT: Iron chelation therapy in transfusion-dependent thalassemia patients: current strategies and future directions. *J Blood Med* [Internet] 6: 197–209, 2015 Available from: <http://www.dovepress.com/permissions.php%5Cnhttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4476479&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
28. Brittenham GM: Iron-chelating Therapy for Transfusional Iron Overload. *N Engl J Med* 364: 146–156, 2011
29. Naderi M, Sadeghi-Bojd S, Valeshabad AK, Jahantigh A, Alizadeh S, Dorgalaleh A, Tabibian S, Bamedi T: A prospective study of tubular dysfunction in pediatric patients with beta thalassemia major receiving deferasirox. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 30: 748–754, 2013
30. Martin-Sanchez D, Gallegos-Villalobos A, Fontecha-Barriuso M, Carrasco S, Sanchez-Niño MD, Lopez-Hernandez FJ, Ruiz-Ortega M, Egido J, Ortiz A, Sanz AB: Deferasirox-induced iron depletion promotes BclxL downregulation and death of proximal tubular cells. *Sci Rep* 2017
31. Piga A, Longo F, Origa R, Roggero S, Pinna F, Zappu A, Castiglioni C, Cappellini MD: Deferasirox for cardiac siderosis in  $\beta$ -thalassaemia major: A multicentre, open label, prospective study. *Br J Haematol* 167: 423–426, 2014
32. Dee CMA, Cheuk DKL, Ha S-Y, Chiang AK-S, Chan GC-F: Incidence of deferasirox-associated renal tubular dysfunction in children and young adults with beta-thalassaemia. *Br J Haematol* [Internet] 167: 434–436, 2014 Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/bjh.13002>
33. Yacobovich J, Stark P, Barzilai-Birenbaum S, Krause I, Pazgal I, Yaniv I, Tamary H: Acquired proximal renal tubular dysfunction in beta-thalassemia patients treated with deferasirox. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 32: 564–567, 2010
34. Rheault MN, Bechtel H, Neglia JP, Kashtan CE: Reversible Fanconi syndrome in a pediatric patient on deferasirox. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2011
35. Wong P, Polkinghorne K, Kerr PG, Doery JCG, Gillespie MT, Larmour I, Fuller PJ, Bowden DK, Milat F: Deferasirox at therapeutic doses is associated with dose-dependent hypercalciuria. *Bone* [Internet] 85: 55–58, 2016 Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2016.01.011>
36. Cappellini MD; Cohen A; Porter J; Taher A; Viprakasit V: Guidelines for the Management of Transfusion Dependent Thalassemia (TDT). *Thalass Int Fed* 2014
37. Cappellini MD, Cohen A, Eleftheriou A, et al. E: Guidelines for the Clinical Management of Thalassaemia. Second ed. Cyprus: Thalassaemia International Federation. *Thalass Int Fed* 2007
38. Brittenham GM, Griffith PM, Nienhuis AW, McLaren CE, Young NS, Tucker EE, Allen CJ, Farrell DE, Harris JW: Efficacy of Deferoxamine in Preventing Complications of Iron Overload in Patients with Thalassemia Major. *N Engl J Med* 1994
39. Dede AD, Trovas G, Chronopoulos E, Triantafyllopoulos IK, Dontas I, Papaioannou N, Tournis S: Thalassemia-associated osteoporosis: a systematic review on treatment and brief overview of the disease. *Osteoporos Int* [Internet] 27: 3409–3425, 2016 Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00198-016-3719-z>
40. J. Y, I. K, R. S-O: Nephrolithiasis in transfusion-dependent pediatric patients. *Blood*. 2014

41. No Title. In: *Origa, R., Piga, A., Tartaglione, I., Della Corte, G., El-Ali, A., Han, J., ... Forni, G. L. (2016). Renal Safety after More Than a Decade of Deferasirox Use in Patients with Transfusional Hemosiderosis.*
42. Quinn CT, Johnson VL, Kim HY, Trachtenberg F, Vogiatzi MG, Kwiatkowski JL, Neufeld EJ, Fung E, Oliveri N, Kirby M, Giardina PJ, *Thalassemia Clinical Res N: Renal dysfunction in patients with thalassaemia. Br J Haematol* 153: 111–117, 2011
43. Economou M, Printza N, Teli A, Tzimouli V, Tsatra I, Papachristou F, Athanassiou-Metaxa M: Renal dysfunction in patients with beta-thalassemia major receiving iron chelation therapy either with deferoxamine and deferiprone or with deferasirox. *Acta Haematol* 123: 148–152, 2010
44. Annayev A, Karakaş Z, Karaman S, Yalçın A, Yılmaz A, Emre S: Glomerular and tubular functions in children and adults with transfusion-dependent thalassemia. *Turkish J Hematol* 35: 66–70, 2018
45. Wood JC: Use of magnetic resonance imaging to monitor iron overload. *Hematol. Oncol. Clin. North Am.* 2014
46. Fragomeno C, Roccabruna E, D'Ascola DG: Effect of deferasirox on iron overload in patients with transfusion-dependent haemoglobinopathies. *Blood Cells, Mol Dis* [Internet] 55: 382–386, 2015 Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcnd.2015.04.004>
47. Pinto VM, Bacigalupo L, Gianesin B, Balocco M, De Franceschi L, Malagò R, Wood J, Forni GL: Lack of correlation between heart, liver and pancreas MRI-R2\*: Results from long-term follow-up in a cohort of adult  $\beta$ -thalassemia major patients. *Am J Hematol* 93: E79–E82, 2018
48. Al-Khabori M, Bhandari S, Al-Rasadi K, Mevada S, Al-Dhuhli H, Al-Kemyani N, Daar S: Correlation of iron overload and glomerular filtration rate estimated by cystatin C in patients with  $\beta$ -thalassemia major. *Hemoglobin* 38: 365–368, 2014
49. Dubourg L, Laurain C, Ranchin B, Pondarré C, Hadj-Aïssa A, Sigauco-Roussel D, Cochat P: Deferasirox-induced renal impairment in children: An increasing concern for pediatricians. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2012

## Figures




**Figure 1.**


**Figure 1**

Correlation between uNAG and the amount of iron transfused in prior 10 years in (A) all patients (no correlation found,  $r = 0.08521$ ,  $p = 0.6$ ), (B) patients treated with DFX (no correlation found,  $r = 0.023$ ,  $P = 0.8949$ ) and (C) patients treated with DFO+/-DFP – significant positive ( $r = 0.7$ ,  $P = 0.02$ ).


A.



B.




C.



**Figure 2.**

**Figure 2**


Correlation between uNAG levels and mean serum ferritin for the prior 10 years for (A) all pts ( $r = -0.35$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ). (B) DFX group ( $r = -0.028$ ,  $p = 0.88$ ) and (C) DFO+/DFP only group ( $r = 0.62$ ,  $p = 0.07$ ).



**Figure 3.**

**Figure 3**


Correlation between uNAG and heart T2\* MRI values. (A) Positive correlation in the whole group of patients ( $r = 0.47$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). (B) Positive correlation in patients treated with DFX ( $r = 0.54$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ). (C) No correlation was found in patients treated with DFO+/-DFP ( $r = 0.2517$ ;  $p = 0.4$ ). Note that lower values for heart T2\*MRI indicate worse cardiac function and increased cardiac iron content.



**Figure 4.**


**Figure 4**

Correlation between urinary Ca/Cr ratio and Hb levels (A) in the whole group of patients ( $r = 0.41$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ), (B) in patients treated with DFO+/-DFP ( $r = 0.7$ ,  $p = 0.018$ ) and (C) in patients treated with DFX ( $r = 0.32$ ,  $p = 0.1$ ).



**Figure 5**


Comparison of serum Cr, eGFR and urine Ca/Cr in the patients reported in the previous study to the same patients (20) treated with DFO in our previous study and with DFX in the current study (A) Serum Cr ( $p < 0.0001$ ), (B) eGFR ( $p = 0.0093$ ), (C) urinary Ca/Cr ( $p = 0.001$ ) (Wilcoxon matched-pair t test).



**Figure 6.**

**Figure 6**

Negative correlations between urinary Ca/Cr ratio and mean serum ferritin for the prior 10 years in the whole group of patients (A) ( $R = -0.35$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ), (B) DFX patients ( $r = -0.35$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ) and (C) DFO+/-DFP group ( $r = -0.68$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ). Correlation between urinary Ca/Cr ratio and amount of iron transfused over the prior 10 years in (D) all patients ( $r = -0.4$ ,  $p = 0.014$ ), (E) DFX patients ( $r = -0.12$ ,  $p = 0.5$ ) and (F) DFO+/-DFP ( $r = -0.55$ ,  $p = 0.09$ ).



**Graph 1**

**Figure 7**

Graph 1 – Patients included in previous study and in the present study according to treatment group. (DFX) Deferasirox. (DFO) Desferrioxamine. (DFP) Deferipron. (\*) Patients included in the previous study. [6] (\*\*) Patients included in previous study and in present study.