

Height and Volume Restoration In Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures: A Biomechanical Comparison Of Standard Balloon Kyphoplasty Versus Tektora In A Cadaveric Fracture Model

Antonio Krüger

Asklepios Klinik Lich GmbH

Martin Bäumlein

Philipps-Universität Marburg Fachbereich Medizin

Tom Knauf

Philipps-Universität Marburg Fachbereich Medizin

Hugues Pascal-Moussellard

Sorbonne Université Campus Pierre et Marie Curie

Steffen Ruchholtz

Philipps-Universität Marburg Fachbereich Medizin

Ludwig Oberkircher (✉ oberkirc@med.uni-marburg.de)

Philipps-Universität Marburg Fachbereich Medizin

Research article

Keywords: vertebral body fracture, osteoporosis, compression fracture, cement, biomechanical

Posted Date: September 17th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-60485/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on January 13th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03899-7>.

Abstract

Background: Standard balloon kyphoplasty represents a well-established treatment option for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. Aim of the present study was to evaluate two different methods of percutaneous augmentation (standard Balloon kyphoplasty (BKP) versus Tektona (TEK)) with respect to height restoration.

Methods: Fourteen vertebral bodies of two female cadavers were examined. Fractures were created using a standardized protocol. CT-scans were taken before and after fracture, as well as after treatment. Afterwards two groups were randomly assigned in a matched pair design: 7 vertebral bodies (VB) were treated with (BKP, Kyphon, Medtronic) and 7 vertebral bodies by TEK (Spineart, Switzerland) Anterior, central and posterior vertebral body heights were evaluated by CT-scans. Volumetry was performed using the CT-scans at three different timepoints.

Results: Values before fracture represent 100 %. The anterior height after fracture was reduced to 75,99 ($\pm 4,8$) % for the BKP group and to 76,54 ($\pm 9,17$) % in the TEK Group. Statistically there was no difference for the groups ($p = 1$). After treatment the values increased to 93,06 (± 5) % for the BKP Group and 87,71 ($\pm 6,2$) % for the TEK Group. The difference before and after treatment was significant for both groups (BKP $p = 0,0006$; TEK $p = 0,03$). Within the groups, there was no difference ($p = 0,13$).

The Volume of the vertebral body was reduced to 82,29 ($\pm 8,4$) % in the BKP Group and to 76,54 ($\pm 8,6$) % in the TEK Group. After treatment the volume was 89,26 ($\pm 6,9$) % for the BKP Group and 88,80 ($\pm 8,7$) % for the TEK Group. The difference before and after treatment was significant only for the TEK group (BKP $p = 0,0728$ n.s.; TEK $p = 0,0175$). Within the groups, there was no difference ($p = 0,2$).

The average cement volume used was 6,1 (range 3,6 - 9 ml) for the BKP group and 5,3 (3 - 7,2 ml) for the TEK group respectively.

Conclusions: Based on our results the new System Tektona in osteoporotic compression fractures might represent a promising alternative for the clinical setting, especially preserving bone. Further biomechanical tests and clinical studies have to proof Tektona`s capabilities.

Background

Standard balloon kyphoplasty represents a well-established treatment option for painful osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (1). Several alternative techniques with regard to height restoration and bone preservation have been emerged. Aim of the present study was to evaluate two different methods of percutaneous augmentation of vertebral compression fractures (standard Balloon kyphoplasty (BKP) versus Tektona (TEK)) with respect to height restoration in a biomechanical cadaver model.

Methods

Two spines of two Caucasian females (73 and 81 years of age) were used (Source: Anatomy Gifts Registry, Hanover, MD, USA). The DXA-score confirmed osteoporosis (T-scores - 2.4 and - 3.7 respectively). The vertebral bodies from T8 to L4 were dissected and freed from surrounding tissues. Vertebral bodies with fractures and the corresponding vertebral body in the other spine were excluded. Standardized vertebral wedge compression fractures were created by a material testing machine (Instron® 5566). Axial load was continuously increased until the anterior height of the vertebral body was reduced by 30% of the initial measured values. Compression force was maintained for 15 minutes. CT-scans were taken before and after fracture, as well as after treatment. After the fracture two groups were randomly assigned in a matched pair design: 8 vertebral bodies (VB) were treated with Balloon Kyphoplasty (BKP, Kyphon, Medtronic) and 8 vertebral bodies by Tektona (TEK, Spineart, Switzerland). Anterior, central and posterior vertebral body heights were evaluated by CT-scans. Volumetry was performed using the CT-scans at three different timepoints.

Operative Technique:

Balloon-Kyphoplasty:

Two guidewires are placed bipedicular inside the vertebral body using Jamshidi-needles. Working cannulas are placed using the guidewires and the guidewires are removed. A bone drill is used to create space for two balloons which are expanded using a hydraulic device. Inflation of the balloon was stopped according to the surgeons preference. The balloons were removed and the cavities were filled with bone cement. Cementing was stopped on clinical judgement.

Tektona:

Two guidewires are placed bipedicular inside the vertebral body using Jamshidi-needles. The working cannulas are mounted on a bone drill and are placed using the guidewires. The bone drill is used to create space for the two Tektona devices. The drill and guidewires are removed afterwards. Tektona consists of a lamella that can be sequentially expanded and retracted (Fig. 1a-f. This step can be performed repeatedly. The Lamella can be placed more anteriorly or posteriorly as well as turned around the axis of the device. The two lamellas were removed and the cavities were filled with bone cement. Cementing was stopped on clinical judgement.

Results

One of the initial eight pairs (Level T9) of vertebral bodies was removed due to intraoperative technical problems (perforation of the endplates by the lamella, using too much force on the side of the surgeon). Altogether 7 corresponding matched pairs of vertebral bodies were used. The absolute values measured in millimeters (mm) and milliliters (ml) before and after fracture as well as after treatment are listed in Table 1. Values before fracture represent 100%.

Table 1
 Measurements of vertebral body heights (initial, fractured and cemented) for both methods (Absolut measures in millimeters (mm) and milliliters (ml)).

Balloon Kyphoplasty vs. Tektona		
	Balloon Kyphoplasty	Tektona
	n = 7	n = 7
	Mean and \pm SD	Mean and \pm SD
Initial		
Anterior Height (mm)	24.19 \pm 3.76	23.84 \pm 3.66
Central Height (mm)	21.20 \pm 2.2	20.93 \pm 2.53
Posterior Height (mm)	24.7 \pm 2.29	24.91 \pm 2.47
Vertebral Volume (ml)	24.33 \pm 6.74	23.79 \pm 5.52
After fracturing		
Anterior Height (mm)	18.33 \pm 2.7	18.01 \pm 1.76
Central Height (mm)	18.97 \pm 2.41	17.81 \pm 1.87
Posterior Height (mm)	24.66 \pm 1.67	24.34 \pm 2.12
Vertebral Volume (ml)	19.81 \pm 5.06	17.99 \pm 3.71
After augmentation		
Anterior Height (mm)	22.49 \pm 3.58	20.97 \pm 3.78
Central Height (mm)	20.66 \pm 2.4	19.79 \pm 2.43
Posterior Height (mm)	24.66 \pm 1.68	25.01 \pm 2.21
Vertebral Volume (ml)	21.60 \pm 5.92	20.99 \pm 4.69

The values of the percentages as well as the results of the statistical analysis (p-values) are listed in Table 2. The anterior height after fracture was reduced to 75,99 (\pm 4,8) % for the BKP group and to 76,54 (\pm 9,17) % in the TEK Group. Statistically there was no difference for the groups ($p = 1$). After treatment the values of the anterior height increased to 93,06 (\pm 5) % for the BKP Group and 87,71 (\pm 6,2) % for the TEK Group. The difference before and after treatment was significant for both groups (BKP $p = 0,0006$; TEK $p = 0,03$). Within the groups, there was no significant difference ($p = 0,13$).

Table 2
Measurements of vertebral body heights (initial, fractured and cemented) for both methods (percentages of the initial unfractured vertebrae (= 100%).

	Balloon Kyphoplasty	Tektona	
	n = 7	n = 7	
	Mean and ± SD	Mean and ± SD	Inbetween groups
After fracturing			
Anterior Height (%)	75.99 ± 4,77	76,54 ± 9.17	p = 1
Central Height (%)	88.78 ± 8.70	85.61 ± 8,25	p = 0.62
Posterior Height (%)	100.1 ± 4.03	97.85 ± 3.2	p = 0.3
Vertebral Volume (%)	82.29 ± 8.35	76.54 ± 8.56	
After augmentation			
Anterior Height (%)	93.06 ± 5.00 p = 0.0006	87.71 ± 6,2 p = 0.03	p = 0.13
Central Height (%)	96.65 ± 7.7 p = 0.097	94.56 ± 3.5 p = 0.0175	p = 0.9
Posterior Height (%)	100.0 ± 2.9 p = 1	100.5 ± 2.9 p = 0.12	p = 0.7
Vertebral Volume (%)	89.26 ± 6.93 p = 0.0728	88.80 ± 8.68 p = 0.0175	p = 0.2

The Volume of the vertebral body was reduced to 82,29 (± 8,4) % in the BKP Group and to 76,54 (± 8,6) % in the TEK Group. After treatment the volume was 89,26 (± 6,9) % for the BKP Group and 88,80 (± 8,7) % for the TEK Group. The difference before and after treatment was significant only for the TEK group (BKP p = 0,0728; TEK p = 0,0175). Within the groups, there was no significant difference (p = 0,2).

Discussion

Balloon Kyphoplasty was designed to improve patients safety by reducing the risk of cement leakage (2–4). An additional advantage compared to vertebroplasty is the potential of height restoration in fresh fractures. The idea of endplate reduction using balloons was described several years ago and named BAER (Balloon Assisted endplate Reduction) (5). Voggenreiter (6) showed that 50% of height restoration achieved during surgery is based on patients positioning in prone position and that another 50% can be related to inflation of the balloons. Nevertheless some of the height that is gained during height

restoration is lost after deflation of the balloons. This problem has been addressed by the development of several other techniques and devices (7–10). Another disadvantage of the balloon is that the inflation can only be influenced by positioning of the uninflated balloon. The inflation of the balloon follows the path of least resistance. If in osteoporotic fractures height restoration is a treatment goal often substantial damage is caused to unbroken trabecular bone. Often the balloons have to touch the lateral walls of the vertebral bodies before craniocaudal expansion occurs. Interdigitation of cement with trabecular bone is limited (11). The ideal tool for height restoration and endplate reconstruction would allow the surgeon to direct the power of the device directly to where it is needed inside the vertebral body. In addition removal or the possibility of repositioning if the goal is not achieved by the first attempt would be preferable. Tektona offers the theoretical advantages to address all of the ideas mentioned above. The goal of this biomechanical test was to compare Tektona to the gold standard of Balloon kyphoplasty in an osteoporotic, biomechanical setting.

A standardized protocol was used to test both procedures. The creation of the fractures followed again a standardized protocol that has been used in several studies before (10–12). Osteoporotic compression fracture with an anterior height restoration of 30% represent an accepted indication for treatment with Balloon kyphoplasty after conservative treatment fails. Patients with acute traumatic fractures and underlying osteoporosis that have to be admitted to the hospital on account of immobilizing pain are often treated surgically in Germany in the first week of the hospital stay if the immobilizing pain cannot be controlled conservatively (13, 14). Treatment goal in the elderly is early return to daily activities and to prevent prolonged immobilisation. Pain reduction and safety still remain the first priorities in the treatment. Additional theoretical advantages e.g. height restoration and endplate reduction of new devices may lead to improved patient's outcome. As long as patients are not exposed to higher risks these treatments might be considered for well designed clinical studies.

The creation of an osteoporotic wedge compression fracture with anterior height reduction of 30% without involvement of the posterior wall was the goal of the first step of the fracture protocol. The fractures that were created gave comparable conditions for both treatment groups (see Tables 1 and 2).

In this biomechanical setting both procedures worked safely. However in one case the endplate of the vertebral body was damaged. This was one of the first cases in the laboratory and the handling with the device in a smaller vertebral body (T9) was demanding. The very experienced surgeon and first author (AK) used too much force opening the lamella. This pitfall has to be considered surgeon related and not device related. In all other vertebral bodies both devices were used safely and without any further problems. In all percutaneous approaches and techniques preoperative planning and intraoperative imaging gain increasing importance. The size of the vertebral body, the diameter of the pedicle and the fracture morphology have to be carefully analysed before surgical treatment.

After treatment statistical significant improvements for both groups regarding anterior height restoration were witnessed. The differences in between the both groups were not significant. The treatment with Tektona resulted in a significant restoration of the central height. For BKP the difference was not

significant. The differences in between the both groups regarding central height restoration was not significant. The treatment with Tektona resulted in a significant restoration of the volume of the vertebral body (Fig. 2). For BKP the difference was not significant. The differences in between the both groups regarding volume restoration was not significant. These results show that the use of Tektona leads to almost similar results when compared to the gold standard of Balloon kyphoplasty. For central height restoration as well as for volume restoration Tektona showed a trend to better results. Statistically there was no difference in between the groups.

Another potential advantage might be, that less intravertebral trabecular structures are destroyed using Tektona compared to a balloon. This might lead to a more stable outcome and less refractures or collapse of the treated vertebrae.

Conclusion

A study using cadaveric vertebrae was used to examine the height restoration of two different augmentation procedures used to treat osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. The protocols for creating wedge fractures led to reproducible results and effects. The study showed that anterior and central as well as volume restoration was significantly improved with both techniques. Tektona showed that it leads to comparable results. The power can directly be applied to the endplates, leaving more trabecular bone intact.

The clinical implications include might lead to an improved clinical outcome and biological healing process. Additional studies with different fracture types, cyclic loading and different bone qualities will help us understand this better.

Abbreviations

BKP

Balloon Kyphoplasty (Kyphon, Medtronic)

TEK

Tektona (Spineart, Swizerland)

VB

Vertebral Body

CT

Computed tomography

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

The biomechanical study was approved by the ethics committee (Letter of the Ethical Committee, University Hospital Marburg, Oct., 12th, 2010). Consent of the anatomical donation for the purposes of

medical research was obtained written from the donors.

Consent for publication:

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials:

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests:

All authors declare no conflict of interest with this work. LO, TK, MB and SR have no conflict of interest at all. AK and HPM gave lectures on that topic on several spine conferences.

Funding:

The materials (Tektona) and spines were provided by Spineart. No other funding was received by the authors or the institutions.

Authors' contributions:

AK, LO, HPM and SR have designed the study and have prepared the test. The work in the laboratory and preparation have been mainly performed by AK, LO, TK and MB. Data acquisition and validation by TK, LO and AK. Preparing and correction of the manuscript by all authors mainly AK, HPM and LO.

All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

Not applicable

References

1. Wardlaw D, Cummings SR, Van Meirhaeghe J, Bastian L, Tillman JB, Ranstam J, et al. Efficacy and safety of balloon kyphoplasty compared with non-surgical care for vertebral compression fracture (FREE): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2009.
2. Wong W, MA Reiley SG. Vertebroplasty/Kyphoplasty. *J Women Imaging*. 2000;(2):117–24.
3. Papanastassiou ID, Phillips FM, Van Meirhaeghe J, Berenson JR, Andersson GBJ, Chung G, et al. Comparing effects of kyphoplasty, vertebroplasty, and nonsurgical management in a systematic review of randomized and non-randomized controlled studies. *European Spine Journal*. 2012.
4. Papanastassiou ID, Filis AK, Gerochristou MA, Vrionis FD. Controversial issues in kyphoplasty and vertebroplasty in malignant vertebral fractures. *Cancer Control*. 2014.

5. V JJ, D WJ, V AJ, O FC, Verlaan J-J, Dhert WJA, et al. Balloon vertebroplasty in combination with pedicle screw instrumentation: a novel technique to treat thoracic and lumbar burst fractures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005.
6. Voggenreiter G. Balloon kyphoplasty is effective in deformity correction of osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005.
7. Rotter R, Martin H, Fuerderer S, Gabl M, Roeder C, Heini P, et al. Vertebral body stenting: A new method for vertebral augmentation versus kyphoplasty. *Eur Spine J*. 2010.
8. Korovessis P, Repantis T, Vardakastanis K. Comparative randomized study of two different augmentation techniques for treatment of osteoporotic vertebral body fractures. *Eur Spine J*. 2013.
9. Ender SA, Eschler A, Ender M, Merk HR, Kayser R. Fracture care using percutaneously applied titanium mesh cages (OsseoFix®) for unstable osteoporotic thoracolumbar burst fractures is able to reduce cement-associated complications-results after 12 months. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2015.
10. Krüger A, Baroud G, Noriega D, Figiel J, Dorschel C, Ruchholtz S, et al. Height restoration and maintenance after treating unstable osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures by cement augmentation is dependent on the cement volume used. *Clin Biomech*. 2013.
11. Krüger A, Oberkircher L, Kratz M, Baroud G, Becker S, Ruchholtz S. Cement interdigitation and bone-cement interface after augmenting fractured vertebrae: A cadaveric study. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2012.
12. Krüger A, Oberkircher L, Figiel J, Floßdorf F, Bolzinger F, Noriega DC, et al. Height restoration of osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures using different intravertebral reduction devices: A cadaveric study. *Spine J*. 2015.
13. Krüger A, Zettl R, Ziring E, Mann D, Schnabel M, Ruchholtz S. Kyphoplasty for the treatment of incomplete osteoporotic burst fractures. *Eur Spine J*. 2010.
14. Krüger a, Hierholzer J, Bergmann M, Oberkircher L, Ruchholtz S. [Current status of vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty in Germany: An analysis of surgical disciplines]. *Unfallchirurg*. 2013.

Figures




Figure 1

Lateral views of the intraoperative setting. Sequential opening of the Tektona blade. Notice the height restoration and uplifting of the upper endplate of the treated vertebral body.




Figure 2

Illustration of the restoration of the vertebral volume given as percentage of the initial vertebral volume.