

The transverse diameter of right common femoral vein by ultrasound in the supine position for predicting post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery.

Shi-Fa Yao

The International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Yan-Hong Zhao

Tongren Hospital Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine

Jing Zheng

The International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Jie-Yan Qian

The International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Chen Zhang

The International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Zifeng Xu (✉ xuzf@shsmu.edu.cn)

The International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Tao Xu (✉ towerxutao@163.com)

Department of Anesthesiology, the International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital-Shanghai JiaoTong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5630-0382>

Research article

Keywords: transverse diameter, right common femoral vein, hypotension, cesarean delivery, ultrasound

Posted Date: December 2nd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-60513/v3>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on January 20th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-021-01242-8>.

Abstract

Background: Post-spinal anesthesia hypotension during cesarean delivery is caused by decreased systemic vascular resistance due to the blockage of autonomic nerves, which is further worsened by inferior vena cava (IVC) compression by the gravid uterus. The aim of this study was to assess whether peak velocity and diameter of IVC below the xiphoid or the right common femoral vein (RCFV) in the inguinal region, as measured on ultrasound, could reflect the degree of IVC compression and further identify parturients at risk of post-spinal hypotension.

Methods: After 56 parturients underwent elective cesarean section with spinal anesthesia were included in this study, peak velocities and anteroposterior diameters of the IVC and peak velocities and transverse diameters of the RCFV were measured by ultrasound before anesthesia. The primary outcome was ultrasound measurements of IVC and RCFV, and their association with post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. Hypotension was defined as having a drop of systolic arterial pressure >20% from the baseline. Multinomial logistic regression analysis was used to identify the association between the measurements of IVC, RCFV and post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. Receiver operating characteristic curves were used to test the abilities of the identified parameters to predict post-spinal hypotension, and the areas under the curve and the optimum cut-off values for the predictive parameters were calculated.

Results: Longer transverse diameter of the RCFV was associated with the occurrence of post-spinal hypotension (odds ratio = 2.022, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.261–3.243). The area under the receiver operating characteristics curve for the prediction of post-spinal hypotension was 0.759 (0.628–0.890; $P = 0.001$). A transverse diameter of the RCFV >12.2 mm could predict post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery.

Conclusions: We demonstrated a longer transverse diameter of RCFV was associated with hypotension and it could predict parturients at major risk of hypotension before anesthesia.

Trial Registration: This study was registered at <http://www.chictr.org.cn> on 16, May, 2018. No. ChiCTR1800016163

Background

The incidence of post-spinal anesthesia hypotension during cesarean delivery was approximately 70% as reported [1,2]. Post-spinal anesthesia hypotension can also lead to adverse maternal and fetal outcomes, such as maternal nausea, vomiting and dyspnea or neonatal depressed Apgar scores and fetal acidosis [3-8]. Therefore, effective prediction of maternal hypotension could be of great clinical importance.

Post-spinal anesthesia hypotension during cesarean delivery is caused by decreased systemic vascular resistance due to the blockage of the autonomic nerves, and it is further worsened by the compression of

IVC by gravid uterus. The compression of IVC lead to decrease in venous return which in turn decreases IVC diameter. [9,10]

The RCFV, as the main extension of the right external iliac vein, is the sub-branch of the IVC. As close to the body surface, it can be easily detected by a high frequency probe. More importantly, the RCFV are located at the distal part of the aortocaval compressed point, so we hypothesized that the peak velocity and diameter of the RCFV would have more significance than the indirect parameters of the IVC below the xiphoid in women at high risk of hypotension after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery.

The aim of the study was to assess whether peak velocity and anteroposterior diameter of the IVC below the xiphoid , or the peak velocity and transverse diameter of the RCFV in the inguinal region could reflect the degree of aortocaval compression, and further identify parturients at major risk of post-spinal hypotension during elective cesarean delivery.

Methods

Materials and methods

After obtaining approval from the Research Ethics Committee of the International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital (Ethical number: GKLW 2017-85) and registering this prospective, observational study at <http://www.chictr.org.cn> (ChiCTR1800016163), 58 parturients aged 18–40 years, with a full-term (>37-weeks' gestation) singleton pregnancy, a height of 156–170 cm, and an American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) score of I–II who underwent elective cesarean delivery with CSE anesthesia during January 2019 to June 2019 were recruited. All parturients provided signed informed consent. The exclusion criteria for the study included ASA score of III–IV, contraindications to spinal anesthesia, prolonged pregnancy (>42 weeks), preexisting or pregnancy-induced hypertension or preeclampsia, placenta previa, placental abruption, multiple pregnancy, morbid obesity (body mass index [BMI] ≥ 36), fetal distress or fetal abnormalities, emergent cesarean delivery, and parturient refusal.

Parturients were instructed to fast for at least 6 hours before surgery. The ultrasound measurements were performed with the parturient on the transfer bed in the post-anesthesia care unit 15 minutes before anesthesia. An ultrasound device (EPIQ7; Philips, Ultrasound, Bothell, WA, USA) with a high frequency linear array probe L12-5 (5–12 MHz) was used for the measurement of transverse diameters and peak velocities of the RCFV (Fig. 1), and a cardiac probe SC-1 (5–1 MHz) was used for the measurement of anteroposterior diameters and peak velocities of the IVC (Fig. 1). Parturients were in a supine position when the ultrasound examination was performed. Measurement sequences for the transverse diameter of the RCFV, peak velocity of the RCFV, anteroposterior diameter of the IVC, peak velocity of the IVC were always applied to ensure all examinations finished within 15 minutes. The transverse diameters and peak velocities of the RCFV were measured 1 cm proximal to the confluence of the great saphenous vein into the common femoral vein during end expiration (Fig. 1). The cardiac probe was placed below the xiphoid. The anteroposterior diameters and peak velocities of the IVC were measured 2–3 cm below the IVC-right atrial junction during end expiration (Fig. 2). Transverse and anteroposterior diameters were measured by

M-mode ultrasound and the M-mode sample line was always adjusted to pass through the center of vessels so as to measure the diameters more precisely (Fig. 1A and Fig. 2A). All peak velocities were measured using a pulsed-wave Doppler ultrasound mode. The Doppler sampling volume was placed in the center of the blood vessel, and the width of the sampling range gate was 2 mm. Doppler angle correction was performed when measuring velocity, with the calibration main line parallel to the direction of blood flow and at an angle of 50–60° (Fig. 1B and Fig. 2B). All ultrasound recordings were performed by a specified board-certified ultrasound specialist, and anesthesiologists and parturients were blinded to the examination results.

The parturient was then transferred to the operating room. After entering the room, an intravenous (IV) line was established with an 18-G IV catheter in the dorsum or wrist vein, on the right hand. Standard monitoring with electrocardiography, non-invasive blood pressure, and pulse oximetry were performed continuously. The cuff of the automated non-invasive blood pressure monitor was attached to the left arm. Systolic arterial pressure (SAP), heart rate (HR), and pulse oximetry were measured once per minute. The first two resting SAP and HR measurements with the parturient in the supine position were recorded and the average values were recorded as baseline SAP and HR measurements. If the baseline SAP was above 140 mmHg, the parturient was excluded from the study because of suspected hypertension. A CSE puncture was performed at the L3–4 level with the parturient in the right lateral decubitus position. After the cerebrospinal fluid was detected, 0.75% isobaric ropivacaine 12 mg with fentanyl 10 µg was injected intrathecally via a 27-G Whitacre needle and an epidural catheter was inserted via an 18-G Tuohy needle by advancing it 3 cm into the epidural space. The parturient was moved immediately to a supine position with left uterine displacement by placing a wedge under the right hip before delivery. Meanwhile, an open co-loaded infusion rate of 1 mL/kg/min of lactated Ringer's solution was administered until delivery. Parturients were dropped out from the study if they could still feel the pinprick sensation below the T6 level at the beginning of surgery, and epidural boluses of 5 mL lidocaine were given intermittently until satisfactory anesthesia effect.

Hypotension was defined as having a drop of SAP >20% from the baseline value before delivery. If hypotension happened, a rescue phenylephrine bolus of 50 µg was administered by the anesthesiologist, and phenylephrine bolus was administered every time the parturient presented with hypotension before delivery. Bradycardia was defined as a HR below 50 beats per minute (bpm). If bradycardia was identified, 0.5 mg of atropine was administered. After delivery, the Apgar scores at 1 and 5 min and neonatal body weight were recorded. 1 mL of umbilical artery (UA) blood was collected by the obstetrician immediately after delivery, and blood gas assessments were performed using a blood gas analyzer (iSTAT1 Analyzer MN:300-G; Abbott Point of Care Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA) with an iSTAT CG4+ test cartridge.

The primary outcomes were the peak velocity and anteroposterior diameter values of the IVC below the xiphoid and the peak velocity and transverse diameter values of the RCFV, as measured by ultrasound before anesthesia, and the association between these measurements and post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. Patient and obstetric characteristics such as age, weight, height, BMI, gravidity, parity, gestational weeks, induction-delivery interval, upper sensory level, total intravenous fluid before delivery,

total dose of phenylephrine and atropine, neonatal body weight, 1 min and 5 min Apgar scores, and the pH of UA blood were also recorded.

Statistical analysis and sample size calculation

Based on our previous study, the odds ratio (OR) of the association between the perfusion index (PI) on the right toe and post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery was 0.49 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.32 to 0.75, $P = 0.0001$) [2]. A logistic regression OR = 2–2.5 (equal to an OR = 0.4–0.5) was assumed in this study. To measure the OR at a power of 0.9, a two-tailed α of 0.05, and a baseline prevalence of 40%, this study needed a minimal sample size of 52[9]. Considering a dropout rate of 10%, a sample size of 58 was required.

The patient and obstetric characteristics were presented as mean \pm standard deviation or median (interquartile range [IQR]), as appropriate, and were analyzed by an unpaired Student's *t*-test, Fisher's exact probability test, or Pearson's Chi-Square test, as appropriate.

Parameters of IVC, RCFV measured by ultrasound in the supine position, were analyzed by multinomial logistic regression analysis to determine if they were independently associated with the incidence of post-spinal hypotension. Then, area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to test the ability of the identified parameters to predict post-spinal hypotension, and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated. The AUC is a measure of the accuracy of a parameter (AUC \leq 0.5 indicates no predictive ability and AUC = 1.0 indicates the best possible prediction). The maximal value of Youden's index was used as the criterion for selecting the optimum cut-off values of the predictive parameters, and the Youden's index = sensitivity + specificity – 1.

The perioperative hemodynamic parameters were assessed by two-way analysis of variance with the Bonferroni post hoc test.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Results

A total of 122 parturients were recruited, and 64 parturients were excluded from the study because they did not meet the inclusion criteria, they declined to participate, or because an ultrasound operator was not available. Ultrasound measurements were completed successfully in the remaining 58 parturients. Two parturients showed a sensory level below T6 at the beginning of surgery. Finally, 56 parturients were followed up and analyzed.

Hypotension occurred in 24 (43%) parturients. Patient characteristics in the hypotension and no hypotension groups are presented in Table 1. Maternal BMI and neonatal body weight was higher in the hypotension group ($P = 0.04$ and 0.015 , respectively). There was no significant differences with respect to age, weight, height, gravity, parity, gestational age, baseline SAP and HR (Tables 1).

Table 1
Patient Characteristics

| | Hypotension (n = 24) | No hypotension (n = 32) | P value |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Age (year) | 32.5 ± 4.5 | 32.6 ± 4.1 | 0.986 |
| Weight (kg) | 74.2 ± 9.7 | 69.5 ± 7.3 | 0.050 |
| Height (cm) | 163.2 ± 3.9 | 163.4 ± 4.7 | 0.860 |
| BMI | 27.9 ± 3.5 | 26.1 ± 2.8 | 0.040 |
| Gravity (n) | ^a 2(1-2.75) | ^a 1(1-2) | 0.155 |
| Parity (n) | ^a 0(0-0) | ^a 0(0-0) | 0.571 |
| Gestational age (weeks) | 39.1 ± 1.1 | 38.7 ± 0.8 | 0.120 |
| Baseline SAP (mmHg) | 123 ± 12 | 121 ± 9 | 0.530 |
| Baseline HR (bpm) | 84 ± 12 | 83 ± 11 | 0.891 |
| Values are mean ± SD or ^a median (IQR). BMI, body mass index; SAP, systolic arterial pressure; bpm, beats per minute; SD, standard deviations; IQR, interquartile range. | | | |

Table 2 shows the anteroposterior diameter and peak velocity of the IVC, and the transverse diameter and peak velocity of the RCFV in the hypotension group and no hypotension group. The transverse diameter of the RCFV in the hypotension group was significantly longer than that of the RCFV in the no hypotension group (P = 0.000).

Table 2
Obstetric characteristics

| | Hypotension (n = 24) | No hypotension (n = 32) | P value |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Induction-delivery interval (min) | 11.8 ± 3.1 | 11.3 ± 3.7 | 0.635 |
| Upper sensory level | ^a T5 (T4-T6) | ^a T5 (T4-T6) | 0.530 |
| Total intravenous fluid before delivery (mL) | 374 ± 81 | 354 ± 90 | 0.403 |
| Surgery time (min) | 46.2 ± 16.6 | 43.8 ± 14.8 | 0.579 |
| Neonatal body weight (g) | 3712 ± 414 | 3416 ± 463 | 0.015 |
| 1 min Apgar | ^a 10 (10–10) | ^a 10 (10–10) | 0.571 |
| 5 min Apgar | ^a 10 (10–10) | ^a 10 (10–10) | 1.000 |
| Umbilical artery pH | 7.30 ± 0.04 | 7.31 ± 0.03 | 0.551 |
| Values are mean ± SD or ^a median (IQR). min, minute; SD, standard deviations; IQR, interquartile range. | | | |

Table 3 shows that the transverse diameter of the RCFV, as measured by ultrasound with the parturient in the supine position, was associated with the occurrence of post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery (OR = 2.022, 95% CI 1.261–3.243, P = 0.003). Other parameters measured by ultrasound were not associated with the occurrence of post-spinal hypotension.

The ROC analysis revealed that the transverse diameter of the RCFV with the parturient in the supine position was suitable for prediction of parturients at risk of hypotension (AUC = 0.759, 95% CI 0.628–0.890, P = 0.001) (Fig. 3). The optimum cut-off point on maximum Youden index was 12.2 mm with a sensitivity of 62.5%, specificity of 78.1%, positive predictive value of 68.2%, and negative predictive value of 73.5%.

Table 3
The parameters of vessels probed by ultrasound between two groups.

| | Hypotension (n = 24) | No hypotension (n = 32) | P value |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| AP diameter of IVC (mm) | 12.0 ± 2.2 | 11.5 ± 1.6 | 0.227 |
| Peak velocity of IVC (cm/s) | 33.6 ± 15.7 | 39.1 ± 16.3 | 0.094 |
| AP diameter of AA (mm) | 15.5 ± 1.2 | 15.4 ± 1.2 | 0.423 |
| Peak velocity of AA (cm/s) | 61.9 ± 17.4 | 64.5 ± 17.8 | 0.475 |
| Transverse diameter of RCFA (mm) | 6.7 ± 0.6 | 6.4 ± 0.6 | 0.139 |
| Peak velocity of RCFA (cm/s) | 72.5 ± 18.8 | 80.3 ± 25.6 | 0.208 |
| Transverse diameter of RCFV (mm) | 12.8 ± 1.7 | 11.2 ± 1.4 | 0.000 |
| Peak velocity of RCFV (cm/s) | 8.2 ± 3.5 | 8.5 ± 4.9 | 0.810 |
| Values are mean ± SD. AP, anteroposterior; IVC, inferior vena cava; AA, abdominal aorta; RCFA, right common femoral artery; RCFV, right common femoral vein; SD, standard deviations. | | | |

Table 4 shows the obstetric characteristics by the diameter of RCFV. The total dose of phenylephrine was significantly higher in the group of RCFV>12.2 mm than that in group of RCFV≤12.2 mm (P=0.004). There was no significant differences with respect to induction to delivery interval, upper sensory level, total fluid before delivery, total dose of atropine, surgery time, neonatal body weight, 1 min and 5 min Apgar scores, and pH of UA blood between the two groups.

Table 4. Results of multinomial logistic regression analysis to predict the incidence of post-spinal hypotension during elective cesarean delivery

| | OR | 95% CI | | P value |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| | | lower limit | upper limit | |
| AP diameter of IVC | 0.824 | 0.642 | 1.056 | 0.125 |
| Peak velocity of IVC | 1.029 | 0.997 | 1.062 | 0.079 |
| AP diameter of AA | 0.963 | 0.665 | 1.396 | 0.844 |
| Peak velocity of AA | 1.000 | 0.972 | 1.028 | 0.988 |
| Transverse diameter of RCFA | 1.722 | 0.545 | 5.446 | 0.355 |
| Peak velocity of RCFA | 0.978 | 0.945 | 1.012 | 0.199 |
| Transverse diameter of RCFV | 2.022 | 1.261 | 3.243 | 0.003 |
| Peak velocity of RCFV | 1.063 | 0.903 | 1.250 | 0.465 |

AP, anteroposterior; IVC, inferior vena cava; AA, abdominal aorta; RCFA, right common femoral artery; RCFV, right common femoral vein.

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidential interval.

Although SAP decreased in both group of RCFV>12.2 mm and RCFV≤12.2 mm, SAP decreased dramatically in the group of RCFV>12.2 mm in the time point of 3 and 4 min after the spinal anesthesia (P=0.015 and 0.001 respectively; Fig. 4A). Moreover, HR increased significantly in the group of RCFV>12.2 mm in the time point of 3 min after the spinal anesthesia (P=0.013; Fig. 4B).

Discussion

In this study, anteroposterior diameter and peak velocity of the IVC, as well as the transverse diameter and peak velocity of the RCFV, were measured by ultrasound before anesthesia. We hypothesized that the peak velocity and diameter of the RCFV would have more significance than the indirect parameters of the IVC below the xiphoid in women at high risk of hypotension after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery. As a result, the transverse diameter of the RCFV was associated with the occurrence of hypotension after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery, and a cut-off value of >12.2 mm could be used to predict subsequent hypotension.

Many studies have demonstrated that velocity or diameter of the compressed IVC could indicate the degree of aortocaval compression by the gravid uterus, and could change dramatically in the supine position during late pregnancy using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [12-15]. Lee et al. also demonstrated a significant decrease in cardiac output on suprasternal Doppler with patients in the supine position in late pregnancy due to the IVC compression by the uterus [16]. However, none of these studies

further clarified the relationship between the degree of IVC compression and the incidence of hypotension after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery. Because the compressed IVC and its main branches were under the gravid uterus or located in the pelvic cavity, which made them difficult to probe on ultrasound, the anteroposterior diameters and velocities of the IVC below the xiphoid at the proximal end of the compressed IVC measured by cardiac probe were chosen as the indirect parameters of the compression degree of the IVC in this study. However, these indirect parameters of the IVC could not reflect the real compression degree of the IVC by the uterus. Thus, none of the IVC parameters could be used as the predictors for hypotension after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery.

The RCFV, as the main extensions of the right external iliac vein, is the sub-branch of the IVC. As it is close to the body surface, it can be easily detected by a high frequency probe. More importantly, the RCFV are located at the distal part of the aortocaval compressed point, so the peak velocity and the diameter of the RCFV would theoretically decrease and increase respectively when the IVC is compressed. So we hypothesized that the parameters of RCFV measured by ultrasound would have more significance than the indirect parameters of the IVC below the xiphoid in women at high risk of hypotension after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery. Finally, the result of this study further improved our hypothesis.

Many studies have suggested that preoperative baseline vascular tone and central blood volume are related to the incidence of hypotension after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery. Thus, PI, pleth variability index (PVI), HR, or heart rate variability (HRV) were used to predict the hypotension. Both Toyama [9] and Duggappa [17] demonstrated that a baseline PI of the index finger of >3.5 could predict the incidence of spinal anesthesia-induced hypotension during cesarean delivery. Sun found greater baseline PVI was associated with hypotension after spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery, but that it may not be a clinically useful predictor [18]. Meanwhile, Kuwata et al. demonstrated PVI immediately after anesthesia was a good predictor of hypotension [19]. Frölich demonstrated that a baseline HR over 90 bpm may be useful to predict post-spinal hypotension [20]. Yokose et al. also demonstrated that a HR of <71 bpm and >89 bpm are prognostic values that are useful for predicting hypotension, but other parameters such as PVI, PI, and HRV are not useful [21]. Hanss et al. found that changes in HRV may reflect sympatholysis during spinal anesthesia, and preoperative HRV could be a predictor of patients at risk of hypotension after spinal anesthesia [22,23]. Although some of the above parameters could effectively predict hypotension after spinal anesthesia, in most cases, additional medical appliances are required for detection. While ultrasound becomes an essential means, just like anesthesia machine to anesthesiologists, and can easily be accessed by most anesthesiologists. Moreover, in recent years, imaging examinations such as ultrasound and MRI have also been used to identify aortocaval compression by a gravid uterus. Humphries et al. found that the IVC velocities at the level of origin and at the level of the renal veins was significantly reduced, while that of the azygos vein increased significantly on MRI [24,25]. This observation was made with the parturient in the supine position compared to the left lateral position in pregnancies between 34–38 weeks' gestation without anesthesia. Fields et al. found that on ultrasound, 76% of pregnant patients had a maximum IVC diameter in the left lateral tilt position at the level of 2 cm distal to the branching of the hepatic vein [26]. Zieleskiwicz et al. found the changes in velocity-time integral of subaortic flow, as measured by ultrasound with a cardiac probe, when the

parturient was changed from a supine position to a position with their legs elevated could predict hypotension after spinal anesthesia [27].

However, the point of the probe in all above-mentioned studies was above the proximal part of the IVC compression point, and all these parameters reflected the degree of aortocaval compression indirectly. Xu et al. demonstrated that the right and left toe perfusion index value could effectively predict the incidence of post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery [2]. Similar to our study, their observed parameters were also located at the distal part of the IVC compression point, which could effectively indicate the degree of IVC compression and predict the incidence of post-spinal hypotension.

Furthermore, there were two highlights in the ultrasound measurements of this study. First, M-mode ultrasound was adopted to measure the diameters of RCFV and IVC. Although B-mode ultrasound is more commonly used in the measurement of diameters of vessels, M-mode can display the diameter of vein vessels at different respiratory phases, and in this study, all the diameters of IVC and RCFV were measured during end expiration so as to eliminate the influence of respiration. Second, we focused on the overall impact of IVC compression on the lower extremity venous system, including both femoral vein and saphenous vein. So the measurement site of RCFV was 1 cm proximal to the confluence of the great saphenous vein into the common femoral vein rather than the commonly used measurement site (immediately distal to the confluence of the great saphenous vein into the femoral vein).

At last, the transverse diameter of the RCFV was found to be associated with hypotension after the spinal anesthesia in this study, however, it is important to point out that the BMI of parturients as well as the neonatal body weight were all greater in Hypotension group in this study. So it should be noticed that these factors may have more importance to contribute to the hypotension, and the transverse diameter of RCFV may only be a parameter to predict a higher occurrence of hypotension before the spinal anesthesia.

A height of within 155–170 cm was chosen as a criterion of enrollment so as to eliminate the height bias that exists with post-spinal hypotension; however, this must be noted as a limitation of the study. Therefore, the RCFV transverse diameter cut-off value for parturients with a height outside this range needs be researched further.

Conclusions

We demonstrated that the transverse diameter of the RCFV, as measured on ultrasound, was associated with the occurrence of post-spinal hypotension during elective cesarean delivery and a transverse RCFV diameter >12.2 mm could predict parturients at major risk of hypotension before anesthesia. The transverse diameter of the RCFV by ultrasound may be a useful method in everyday practice.

List Of Abbreviations

IVC: inferior vena cava; RCFV: right common femoral vein; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; CSE: combined spinal epidural; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiology; BMI: body mass index; IV: intravenous; SAP: systolic arterial pressure; HR: heart rate; UA: umbilical artery; PI: perfusion index; IQR: interquartile range; ROC: receiver operating characteristic; AUC: area under the curve; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; PVI: pleth variability index; HRV: heart rate variability

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the International Peace Maternity and Child Health Hospital (Ethical number: GKLW 2017-85) and registered in Chinese Clinical Trial Register (registration number: ChiCTR1800016163). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author in response to reasonable requests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The study and publication of the manuscript was supported by Clinical Science and Technology Innovation Program from Shanghai Shen Kang Hospital Development Center with an award issued to Zifeng Xu (grant number: SHDC12016225) .

Authors' contributions

TX, ZX design the project; SFY, YHZ, JZ, JYQ, TX collected the data; CZ, SFY, TX analyzed and interpreted the data; SFY and YHZ wrote the manuscript; TX and ZX critical revised the manuscript; All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by Clinical Science and Technology Innovation Program from Shanghai Shen Kang Hospital Development Center (SHDC12016225); Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Research

References

1. Klöhr S, Roth R, Hofmann T, Rossaint R, Heesen M. Definitions of hypotension after spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section: literature search and application to parturients. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2010 Sep;54(8):909-21.
2. Xu Z, Xu T, Zhao P, Ma R, Zhang M, Zheng J. Differential roles of the right and left toe perfusion index in predicting the incidence of postspinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. *Anesth Analg*. 2017;125(5):1560-1566.
3. Xu S, Shen X, Liu S, Yang J, Wang X. Efficacy and safety of norepinephrine versus phenylephrine for the management of maternal hypotension during cesarean delivery with spinal anesthesia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2019 Feb;98(5):e14331.
4. Kinsella SM, Carvalho B, Dyer RA, et al. International consensus statement on the management of hypotension with vasopressors during caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia*. 2018 Jan;73(1):71-92.
5. Van De Velde M. Low-dose spinal anesthesia for cesarean section to prevent spinal-induced hypotension. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2019;32(3):268-270.
6. Fitzgerald JP, Fedoruk KA, Jadin SM, Carvalho B, Halpern SH. Prevention of hypotension after spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anaesthesia*. 2020;75(1):109-121.
7. Oofuvong M, Kunapaisal T, Karnjanawanichkul O, et al. Minimal effective weight-based dosing of ondansetron to reduce hypotension in cesarean section under spinal anesthesia: a randomized controlled superiority trial. *BMC Anesthesiology*. 2018;18(1):105.
8. Xu T, Zheng J, An XH, Xu ZF, Wang F. Norepinephrine intravenous prophylactic bolus versus rescue bolus to prevent and treat maternal hypotension after combined spinal and epidural anesthesia during cesarean delivery: a sequential dose-finding study. *Ann Transl Med*. 2019;7(18):451.
9. Toyama S, Kakumoto M, Morioka M, et al. Perfusion index derived from a pulse oximeter can predict the incidence of hypotension during spinal anaesthesia for Caesarean delivery. *Br J Anaesth*. 2013;111(2):235-41.
10. Hanss R, Bein B, Ledowski T, et al. Heart rate variability predicts severe hypotension after spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery. *Anesthesiology*. 2005;102:1086-1093.
11. Hsieh FY, Bloch DA, Larsen MD. A simple method of sample size calculation for linear and logistic regression. *Statistics in Medicine*. 1998;17:1623-1634.
12. Fujita N, Higuchi H, Sakuma S, Takagi S, Latif MAHM, Ozaki M. Effect of right-lateral versus left-lateral tilt position on compression of the inferior vena cava in pregnant women determined by magnetic resonance imaging. *Anesth Analg*. 2019;128(6):1217-1222.

13. Saravanakumar K, Hendrie M, Smith F, Danielian P. Influence of reverse Trendelenburg position on aortocaval compression in obese pregnant women. *Int J Obstet Anesth.* 2016;26:15-18.
14. Higuchi H, Takagi S, Zhang K, Furui I, Ozaki M. Effect of lateral tilt angle on the volume of the abdominal aorta and inferior vena cava in pregnant and nonpregnant women determined by magnetic resonance imaging. *Anesthesiology.* 2015;122(2):286-93.
15. Kienzl D, Berger-Kulemann V, Kasprian G, et al. Risk of inferior vena cava compression syndrome during fetal MRI in the supine position – a retrospective analysis. *J Perinat Med.* 2014;42(3):301-6.
16. Lee SW, Khaw KS, Ngan Kee WD, Leung TY, Critchley LA. Haemodynamic effects from aortocaval compression at different angles of lateral tilt in non-labouring term pregnant women. *Br J Anaesth.* 2012;109:950–956.
17. Duggappa DR, Lokesh M, Dixit A, Paul R, Raghavendra Rao RS, Prabha P. Perfusion index as a predictor of hypotension following spinal anaesthesia in lower segment caesarean section. *Indian J Anaesth.* 2017;61(8)649-654.
18. Sun S, Huang SQ. Role of pleth variability index for predicting hypotension after spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. *Int J Obstet Anesth.* 2014;23(4):324-9.
19. Kuwata S, Suehiro K, Juri T, et al. Pleth variability index can predict spinal anaesthesia-induced hypotension in patients undergoing caesarean delivery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2018;62(1):75-84.
20. Frölich MA, Caton D. Baseline heart rate may predict hypotension after spinal anesthesia in prehydrated obstetric patients. *Can J Anaesth.* 2002; 49: 185–9
21. Yokose M, Mihara T, Sugawara Y, Goto T. The predictive ability of none-invasive haemodynamic parameters for hypotension during caesarean section: a prospective observational study. *Anaesthesia.* 2015;70(5):555-62.
22. Hanns R, Ohnesorge H, Kaufmann M, et al. Changes in heart rate variability may reflect sympatholysis during spinal anaesthesia. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2007; 51: 1297–304
23. Hanss R, Bein B, Weseloh H, et al. Heart rate variability predicts severe hypotension after spinal anesthesia. *Anesthesiology.* 2006;104:537–545.
24. Humphries A, Mirjalili SA, Tarr GP, Thompson JMD, Stone P. Hemodynamic changes in women with symptoms of supine hypotensive syndrome. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2019 Dec 19.
25. Humphries A, Mirjalili SA, Tarr GP, Thompson JMD, Stone P. The effect of supine positioning on maternal hemodynamics during late pregnancy. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2019;32(23)3923-30.
26. Fields JM, Catallo K, Au AK, et al. Resuscitation of the pregnant patient: What is the effect of patient positioning on inferior vena cava diameter? *Resuscitation.* 2013;84:304-308.
27. Zieleskiewicz L, Noel A, Duclos G, et al. Can point-of-care ultrasound predict spinal hypotension during caesarean section? A prospective observational study. *Anesthesia.* 2018;73(1):15-22.

Figures




Figure 1

Measurement of RCFV by ultrasound with the high frequency linear array probe. (A) M-mode image showing the transverse diameter of RCFV; (B) Pulsed-wave Doppler-mode image showing the peak velocity of RCFV. RCFV, right common femoral vein;




Figure 2

Measurement of IVC by ultrasound with the cardiac probe. (A) M-mode image showing the anteroposterior diameter of IVC; (B) Pulsed-wave Doppler-mode image showing the peak velocity of IVC; IVC, inferior vena cava;




Figure 3

Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve of transverse diameter of RCFV before the spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. The optimal cut-off value for for predicting the incidence of hypotension in RCFV was 12.2 mm, area under the ROC curve, with 95% CIs showed in the figure. RCFV, right common femoral vein.





Figure 4

Serial changes of the SAP and HR over time after the spinal anesthesia. *Statistically significant difference between the two groups were assessed by two-way analysis of variance with the Bonferroni post hoc test. SAP: systolic arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; bpm, beats per minute.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [ultrasound.xlsx](#)