

WITHDRAWN: Immunoscore Signature Predicts Postoperative Survival and Adjuvant Chemotherapeutic Benefits in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Lingdun Zhuge

Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4422-3591>

Binhao Huang

Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center

Juntao Xie

Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center

Zhendong Gao

Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center

Yue Zhao

Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center

Difan Zheng

Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center

Shanbo Zheng

Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center

Jiaqing Xiang

Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center

Jie Zhang

zhangjie2289@hotmail.com

Department of Thoracic Surgery, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, People's Republic of China. 2. Department of Thoracic Surgery, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, People's Republic of China.

Primary research

Keywords: Adjuvant chemotherapy, prognosis, immunoscore, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Posted Date: August 21st, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-60884/v1>

EDITORIAL NOTE:

The full text of this preprint has been withdrawn by the authors while they make corrections to the work. Therefore, the authors do not wish this work to be cited as a reference. Questions should be directed to the corresponding author.

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to construct the immunoscore (IS) to facilitate the prediction of postoperative survival and benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy (ACT) in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC).

Methods: A total of 249 patients who received radical esophagectomy at Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center were divided into training set and testing set. 89 patients with ESCC from TCGA database were enrolled into validation set. Myeloid cells in tumor microenvironment were evaluated by immunohistochemistry or CIBERSORT, and then were included into LASSO cox regression model to construct immunoscore. The predictive value of immunoscore for prognosis after surgery or ACT was analyzed.

Results: Immunoscore was constructed by 4 types of myeloid cells including macrophages, neutrophils, mast cells and dendritic cells, and was demonstrated as $IS = 2^{(0.527719 * M\phi - 0.2604269 * MC - 0.4812935 * DC - 0.4519706 * Neu)}$. The overall survival was significantly different between 2 immunotypes, which were divided according to the immunoscore, in all sets ($P < 0.001$, $P = 0.005$, and $P = 0.002$, respectively). And immunotype A was identified as an independent predictor for survival benefit in all 3 sets (HR=2.068, $P = 0.005$; HR=2.028, $P = 0.007$; HR=6.474, $P = 0.007$; respectively). In patients who received ACT, immunotype A was significantly related to longer overall survival in both training set ($P < 0.001$) and testing set ($P = 0.011$). The nomogram based on immunotype and other clinicopathological factors showed good efficiency of predicting response to ACT. Finally, several important cytokines and pathways were highly enriched in immunoscore A subgroup.

Conclusion: The immunoscore was an effective prognostic predictor in ESCC for patients undergoing surgical resection and receiving ACT.

Introduction

Esophageal cancer, the seventh most common cancer, remained a life-threatening malignancy with dismal prognosis, despite of recent advances in medical treatment.¹ Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), known as the predominant historic type worldwide, occurred in the most majority of EC patients in Asia.²

Although surgical resection was regarded as dispensable in treatment of ESCC, surgery alone failed to achieve satisfactory outcomes,³ due to the high frequency of recurrence and metastasis, which suggested the potential importance of adjuvant chemotherapy (ACT). However, the role of ACT was controversial, partly because few of traditional clinicopathological factors, such as tumor size and differentiation grade, was able to identify suitable patients who could benefit from ACT.⁴⁻⁶

Instead of the characteristics of cancer cells, evidence showed the important impact of immune status in tumor microenvironment on tumor progression and metastasis.⁷⁻⁹ A growing number of studies revealed the myeloid cells infiltrating into tumor were significantly related with patients' prognosis, and more interestingly, the response to ACT in several types of cancer, which might provide a novel sight to seek for

sensitive predictors for ACT effect and to identify the suitable population for ACT in ESCC treatment.¹⁰⁻¹² By far, only a few literatures suggested the possible influence of tumor-associated myeloid cells on the survival of ESCC patients, including macrophages,¹³ neutrophils,¹⁴ mast cells,¹⁵ and dendritic cells.¹⁶ As for the comprehensive immune status featured by the interaction of these myeloid cells in tumor microenvironment, no study has evaluated its impact on survival or effect of ACT.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to confirm the prognostic value of myeloid cells in patients with ESCC, and construct a novel classifier based on myeloid cells using LASSO cox regression model¹⁷⁻¹⁸ in order to predict survival, and more importantly, the benefits of ACT in ESCC patients.

Methods

Study Population

Patients who received radical esophagectomy between 2001 and 2009 at Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center (FUSCC) were enrolled in this study. The inclusion criteria were: (1) histopathological diagnosis of ESCC; (2) without distant metastases; (3) complete resection of tumors; (4) without preoperative anti-tumor treatment; (5) available clinical and survival data; (6) sufficient tissue samples for tissue microarray. Finally, a total of 249 eligible patients were included and assigned to training set (124 patients) and testing set (125 patients) by computer-generated random numbers. The regimen for the patients who received ACT in this study was based on four to six cycles of 5-fluorouracil and cisplatin/oxaliplatin. The median follow-up of all the patients was 33 months. In addition, 89 patients with ESCC from TCGA database were enrolled as validation set. The inclusion criteria were: (1) pathological stage I to stage III; (2) available clinical data and mRNA expression data; (3) available overall survival data. The clinicopathological characteristics of the patients in all 3 sets were shown in Tables 1.

Tissue Microarray and Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Tissue microarray (TMA) was established with formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded surgical specimens derived from the enrolled patients at FUSCC. Two tissue cores were selected from two distinct areas of tumor specimens for each patient. IHC was performed on TMA using selected biomarkers including: macrophages (CD68), neutrophils (CD66b), dendritic cells (CD1a) and mast cells (Tryptase). The information of antibodies and dilution was provided in Table S1. The detailed IHC protocol was described in supplementary document.

Evaluations of Immune Cells

The IHC results were evaluated by two independent observers who were blinded to the clinical information. The nucleated stained cells infiltrating into tumor tissue of each tissue core were counted under high magnification field (HPF, 400×). And the mean count derived from the 2 observers was adopted as the cell count of each case. In validation set, the mRNA expression data was converted to the estimated number of different types of immune cells in each tumor sample using CIBERSORT method.¹⁹

Statistical Analysis

The optimal cutoff value for count of each immune cell was calculated with 'survminer' package in R. The LASSO cox regression model was generated to integrate features of prognostic related immune cells with ideal coefficient for survival prediction using training set by 'glmnet' package. The OS was analyzed by Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate cox regression analysis were performed to identify independent prognostic variables for survival. In validation set, differential gene expression was analyzed using 'edgeR' package, and the pathways which enriched between 2 groups were calculated with Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA).²⁰ Statistical analysis was performed with R software (version 3.5.1) and SPSS (version 19.0). Statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Construction of Immunoscore and Definition of Immunotype

Tumor-infiltrated macrophages, neutrophils, mast cells and dendritic cells were stained using IHC as shown in figure 1A. The prognostic value of these myeloid cells was examined in the training set using Kaplan–Meier survival analysis. The results indicated that high density of neutrophils, mast cells and dendritic cells was positively related to better survival, on the contrary, abundant macrophages represented a sign of poorer survival (Figure S1). Given the confirmed correlation between cell counts and prognosis, all the 4 types of myeloid cells were included into the LASSO cox regression model (Figure 1B), then a formula to calculate immunoscore (IS) for each patient was constructed, where $IS = 2^{(0.527719 \times M\phi - 0.2604269 \times MC - 0.4812935 \times DC - 0.4519706 \times Neu)}$. In this formula, M ϕ , Neu, MC and DC represented the expression status of macrophages, neutrophils, mast cells and dendritic cells. In addition, the low expression status was equivalent to 0, and high expression status was equivalent to 1. According to immunoscore, patients with $IS < 1$ were classified into immunotype A, and those with $IS \geq 1$ were classified into immunotype B.

Immunotype and Survival

To assess the prognostic value of immunotype, Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was applied to compare OS between patients with immunotype A and immunotype B. In training set, patients with immunotype A had significantly better OS than those with immunotype B ($P < 0.001$), and the similar results were confirmed in both testing set ($P = 0.005$) and validation set ($P = 0.002$; Figure 2). In univariate analysis, immunotype A had a beneficial effect on OS in all three sets ($P < 0.01$; Table 2). All the survival related variables identified in univariate analysis were included into multivariate cox regression analysis. As shown in Table 3, immunotype A remained an independent predictor for survival benefit in training set (HR = 2.068, 95%CI: 1.243-3.440, $P = 0.005$), testing set (HR = 2.028, 95%CI: 1.210-3.397, $P = 0.007$), and validation set (HR = 6.474, 95%CI: 1.744-24.038, $P = 0.007$).

Immunotype and Adjuvant Chemotherapy Therapy

The predictive value of immunotype for ACT outcomes was evaluated by subgroup analysis in training set and testing set. For patients who received ACT, significant survival difference could be found between

immunotype A and immunotype B subgroups in both training set ($P < 0.001$) and testing set ($P = 0.011$; Figure 3). Cox regression analysis demonstrated that survival benefit was significant in patients with immunotype A in comparison with immunotype B group (training set: HR = 2.873, 95%CI: 1.511-5.461, $P = 0.001$; testing set: HR = 2.265, 95%CI: 1.181-4.345, $P = 0.014$). However, for patients without ACT, there was only a trend of better OS in the immunotype A subgroup, while the survival difference did not achieve statistical significance (training set: $P = 0.071$, testing set: $P = 0.158$; Figure 3).

Due to the promising prognostic value of immunotype for patients who received ACT, a nomogram based on immunotype was established to calculate the probability of 2-, 3- and 5- year OS in patients receiving ACT (Figure 4A). Calibration plots demonstrated that the nomogram performed well in comparison with an ideal model (Figure 4B-4D).

Immunotype Related Immune Molecules and Biological Pathways

In validation set, gene expression profile was compared between immunotype A and immunotype B using TCGA data. Interestingly, several important cytokines, such as TNF and IFN- β 1 were significantly enriched in immunotype A subgroup (Figure 5A), which might partly explain the survival difference between two groups. The GSEA showed that type I interferon receptor binding pathway was enriched in immunotype A subgroup (Figure 5B), which suggested the possibility of positive anti-tumor regulation in these patients.

Discussion

Appropriate selection of therapeutic and follow-up strategy depended on precise prognostic evaluation of cancer patients. In clinical practice, survival prediction of ESCC patients was mostly based on clinicopathological features. As for tumor-associated immune cells, which were proven to have significant impact on cancer progression and patients' survival in several malignancies by growing evidence,¹⁰⁻¹² the relevant literatures were limited in ESCC. Our data indicated that myeloid cells infiltrating in cancer microenvironment, including macrophages, neutrophils, mast cells and dendritic cells were related with prognosis. More specifically, macrophages mostly played a pro-tumoral role in the microenvironment of ESCC, while neutrophils, mast cells and dendritic cells tended to have an anti-tumoral impact on patients. To improve the predictive power, the features of the immune cells were integrated using LASSO cox regression model so that it could reflect the contexture of immune status in the microenvironment, reduce the deviation caused by variable function of immune cells, and partly demonstrate the comprehensive interaction of immune cells. Interestingly, as shown in the results, two different types of immune status represented distinctively two different survival status of patients. Patients with immunotype A, characterized as low density of macrophages and high density of neutrophils, mast cells and dendritic cells, were identified to be an independent prognostic predictor from the clinicopathological factors that mainly focused on the features of tumor itself. The immunotype, however, described the malignant disease from another perspective, known as tumor microenvironment, which was of equal importance to tumoral characteristics in cancer progression.²¹⁻²²

Substantial controversy existed regarding the effectiveness of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with ESCC postoperatively, to a certain extent, owing to the lack of precise prognostic predictors. Recent studies demonstrated that ACT might prolong the DFS in patients with positive lymph nodes, which became the main indication for ACT. However, this clinicopathological feature seemed not to be sufficient to distinguish the suitable candidates for ACT postoperatively, since no advantage of overall survival was observed in prior studies.²³⁻²⁴ With the deepening of understanding of immune mechanism, it provided a new perspective to reinterpret the mechanism of chemotherapy. Signatures consisted of appropriate immune markers showed a good predictive value of ACT response in several other types of cancer.⁷⁻⁸ In the present study, the patients with low immunoscore had better response of ACT than those with high immunoscore, while the survival difference did not achieve statistical significance in patients without ACT. The different role of immunoscore according to whether ACT was performed or not might partly be explained by the enhanced anticancer immunity, for instance, dendritic cells were attracted into the tumor bed, which was triggered by chemotherapy.²⁵⁻²⁶ For the immunotype A was characterized as a high density of anticancer immune cells infiltrating into the tumor microenvironment, it was plausible that the stronger anticancer immune responses occurred after chemotherapy. On the other hand, chemotherapy agents, including cisplatin, selectively inhibited regulatory and suppressor cells at low doses based on the previous study.²⁷ The results of our bioinformatics analysis showed that several anti-tumor chemokines²⁸⁻²⁹ were identified to be enriched in the immunotype A group by bioinformatics analysis, while their role might be veiled by the coexistence of some pro-tumor molecules³⁰⁻³¹. With the performance of ACT, the possibly diminished pro-tumor feature might magnify the anti-tumor function in the microenvironment. To strengthen the prognostic value of immunotype, we combined it with tumoral characteristics including pathologic stage and LVI, and generated a nomogram to predict ACT outcomes, since both tumoral features and microenvironment status were critical to anti-cancer treatment, and the calibration plots demonstrated its efficiency.

There were some limitations of our study. Firstly, it was a retrospective study with all specimens from only one center, although the results were verified using public database, the efficiency should be further confirmed by multicenter data. Secondly, our model was constructed by myeloid cells, however, some important immune molecules were not included.

In conclusion, the immunoscore was an effective prognostic predictor in ESCC for patients undergoing surgical resection and receiving ACT. Thus it could be used as a predictive tool facilitating follow-up strategy and a classifier to select suitable patients who might benefit from ACT.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional (Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center Institutional Review Board no. 090977-1), and informed consent was obtained from the study participants prior to study commencement.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: The datasets used or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: This work was supported by Shanghai Science and Technology Commission Foundation key project (14JC1401400), Grant from Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (No. 15411951602; No. 16401970704), and Shanghai Sailing Program (19YF1408800).

Authors' contributions: LZ and BH analyzed and interpreted the patient data, and was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. ZG and JX collected and analyzed the data. YZ, DZ, and SZ performed bioinformatics analysis. JX and JZ provided supervision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: Not applicable

Reference

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68:394–424.
2. Arnold M, Soerjomataram I, Ferlay J, et al. Global incidence of oesophageal cancer by histological subtype in 2012. *Gut.* 2015;64:381–7.
3. Pennathur A, Gibson MK, Jobe BA, et al. Oesophageal carcinoma. *Lancet.* 2013;381:400–12.
4. Chen H, Wu Z, Chen J, et al. Postoperative adjuvant therapy for resectable thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a retrospective analysis of 426 cases. *Med Oncol.* 2015;32:417.
5. Gwynne S, Wijnhoven BP, Hulshof M, et al. Role of chemoradiotherapy in oesophageal cancer: adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy. *Clin Oncol R Coll Radiol.* 2014;26:522–32.
6. Pasquer A, Gronnier C, Renaud F, et al. Impact of adjuvant chemotherapy on patients with lymph node-positive esophageal cancer who are primarily treated with surgery. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2015;22(Suppl 3):S1340–9.
7. Jiang Y, Zhang Q, Hu Y, et al. ImmunoScore Signature: A Prognostic and Predictive Tool in Gastric Cancer. *Ann Surg.* 2018;267:504-513.
8. Fu H, Zhu Y, Wang Y, et al. Identification and Validation of Stromal Immunotype Predict Survival and Benefit from Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients with Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018;24:3069-3078.
9. Turley SJ, Cremasco V, Astarita JL, et al. Immunological hallmarks of stromal cells in the tumour microenvironment. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2015;15:669-82.
10. Liu Z, Zhu Y, Xu L, et al. Tumor stroma-infiltrating mast cells predict prognosis and adjuvant chemotherapeutic benefits in patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Oncoimmunology.* 2018;7:e1474317.

11. Zhang H, Liu H, Shen Z, et al. Tumor-infiltrating Neutrophils is Prognostic and Predictive for Postoperative Adjuvant Chemotherapy Benefit in Patients with Gastric Cancer. *Ann Surg.* 2018;267:311-318.
12. Fu Q, Xu L, Wang Y, Jiang Q, et al. Tumor-associated Macrophage-derived Interleukin-23 Interlinks Kidney Cancer Glutamine Addiction with Immune Evasion. *Eur Urol.* 2019;75:752-763.
13. Sugimura K, Miyata H, Tanaka K, et al. High infiltration of tumor-associated macrophages is associated with a poor response to chemotherapy and poor prognosis of patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy for esophageal cancer. *J Surg Oncol.* 2015;111:752-9.
14. Chen CL, Wang Y, Huang CY. IL-17 induces antitumor immunity by promoting beneficial neutrophil recruitment and activation in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncoimmunology.* 2017;7:e1373234.
15. Fakhrijou A1, Niroumand-Oscoei SM, Somi MH, et al. Prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating mast cells in outcome of patients with esophagus squamous cell carcinoma. *J Gastrointest Cancer.* 2014;45:48-53.
16. Ishigami S, Natsugoe S, Matsumoto M, et al. Clinical implications of intratumoral dendritic cell infiltration in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol Rep.* 2003;10:1237-40.
17. Tibshirani R. The lasso method for variable selection in the Cox model. *Stat Med.* 1997;16:385–395.
18. Tibshirani R. Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso: a retrospective. *J Roy Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol.* 2011;73:273–282.
19. Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, et al. Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. *Nat Methods.* 2015;12:453-7.
20. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; 102:15545-50.
21. Ceelen W, Ramsay RG, Narasimhan V, et al. Targeting the Tumor Microenvironment in Colorectal Peritoneal Metastases. *Trends Cancer.* 2020;6:236-246.
22. Wu T1, Dai Y, et al. Tumor microenvironment and therapeutic response. *Cancer Lett.* 2017;387:61-68.
23. Ando N, Iizuka T, Kakegawa T, et al. A randomized trial of surgery with and without chemotherapy for localized squamous carcinoma of the thoracic esophagus: the Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1997;114(2):205-209.
24. Pouliquen X, Levard H, Hay JM, et al. 5-Fluorouracil and cisplatin therapy after palliative surgical resection of squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus. A multicenter randomized trial. French Associations for Surgical Research. *Ann Surg.* 1996;223(2):127-133.
25. Shinto E, Hase K, Hashiguchi Y, et al. CD8+ and FOXP3+ tumor-infiltrating T cells before and after chemoradiotherapy for rectal cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2014;21(Suppl 3):S414-S421.
26. Mickaël M, Isabelle M, AbdulQader S, et al. Autophagy-dependent Anticancer Immune Responses Induced by Chemotherapeutic Agents in Mice. *2011;334(6062):1573-7.*
27. Bindea G, Mlecnik B, Tosolini M, et al. Spatiotemporal dynamics of intratumoral immune cells reveal the immune landscape in human cancer. *Immunity* 2013; 39:782-95.

28. Provance OK, Lewis-Wambi J, et al. Deciphering the role of interferon alpha signaling and microenvironment crosstalk in inflammatory breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2019;21:59.
29. Lindner DJ, Kalvakolanu DV, Borden EC, et al. Increasing effectiveness of interferon-alpha for malignancies. *Semin Oncol.* 1997;24:S9-99-S9-104
30. Zhang Z, Chen Y, Jiang Y, et al. Prognostic and clinicopathological significance of CXCL1 in cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2019;20:1380-1388.
31. Qi YL, Li Y, Man XX, et al. CXCL3 overexpression promotes the tumorigenic potential of uterine cervical cancer cells via the MAPK/ERK pathway. *J Cell Physiol.* 2020;235:4756-4765.

Tables

TABLE1. Clinical Characteristics of Patients in the Training, Testing, and Validation Sets			
Characteristics	Training Set	Testing Set	Validation Set
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
Age			
≤60 yrs	74 (59.7)	79 (63.2)	54 (60.7)
>60 yrs	50 (40.3)	46 (36.8)	35 (39.3)
Gender			
Female	23 (18.5)	22 (17.6)	13 (14.6)
Male	101 (81.5)	103 (82.4)	76 (85.4)
Immunotype			
Type A	92 (74.2)	92 (73.6)	28 (31.5)
Type B	32 (25.8)	33 (26.4)	61 (68.5)
Tumor size			
≤ 3 cm	53 (42.7)	41 (32.8)	-
> 3 cm	71 (57.3)	84 (67.2)	-
pTNM stage			
I	18 (14.5)	20 (16.0)	7 (7.9)
II	45 (36.3)	34 (27.2)	55 (61.8)
III	61 (49.2)	71 (56.8)	27 (30.3)
Tumor grade			
G1/G2	103 (83.1)	92 (73.6)	60 (67.4)
G3	21 (16.9)	33 (26.4)	20 (22.5)
Gx	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	9 (10.1)
LVI			
Negative	38 (30.6)	44 (35.2)	-
Positive	86 (69.4)	81 (64.8)	-
The data of tumor size and LVI were not available in the validation set (TCGA cohort).			

TABLE2. Univariate Analyses for Characteristics Related to Overall Survival

Characteristics	Training Set		Testing Set		Validation Set	
	HR (95%CI)	Pvalue	HR (95%CI)	Pvalue	HR (95%CI)	Pvalue
Age		0.982		0.761		0.343
≤60 yrs	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)	
>60 yrs	0.994 (0.602- 1.643)		1.083 (0.650- 1.805)		1.459 (0.668- 3.188)	
Gender		0.139		0.567		0.046
Female	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)	
Male	1.705 (0.841- 3.456)		0.837 (0.455- 1.539)		4.490 (1.025- 19.665)	
Immunotype		0.001		0.009		0.005
Type A	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)	
Type B	2.445 (1.476- 4.052)		2.020 (1.216- 3.356)		5.951 (1.717- 20.632)	
Tumor size		0.289		0.828		-
≤ 3 cm	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		-	
> 3 cm	1.312 (0.794- 2.170)		0.944 (0.564- 1.528)		-	
pTNM stage		<0.001		0.120		0.108
I	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)	
II	9.012 (1.206- 67.329)		0.843 (0.365- 1.948)		1.110 (0.245- 5.028)	
III	20.057 (2.759- 145.802)		1.528 (0.742- 3.146)		2.486 (0.549- 11.251)	
Tumor grade		0.237		0.344		0.595
G1/G2	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)	

G3	1.463 (0.779- 2.750)	1.296 (0.758- 2.217)	0.597 (0.202- 1.762)
Gx	-	-	1.136 (0.378- 3.419)
LVI		< 0.001	0.018
Negative	1.000 (reference)	1.000 (reference)	-
Positive	4.228 (2.011- 8.888)	1.960 (1.124- 3.419)	-
The data of tumor size and LVI were not available in the validation set (TCGA cohort).			

TABLE3. Multivariate Analyses for Characteristics Related to Overall Survival						
Characteristics	Training Set		Testing Set		Validation Set	
	HR (95%CI)	Pvalue	HR (95%CI)	Pvalue	HR (95%CI)	Pvalue
Immunotype		0.005		0.007		0.005
Type A	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)	
Type B	2.068 (1.243-3.440)		2.028 (1.210-3.397)		6.474 (1.744-24.038)	
pTNM stage		0.048		0.430		0.104
I	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)	
II	6.756 (0.851-53.638)		0.591 (0.241-1.452)		0.659 (0.137-3.160)	
III	11.534 (1.337-99.486)		0.820 (0.304-2.211)		1.657 (0.334-8.221)	
LVI		0.304		0.141		-
Negative	1.000 (reference)		1.000 (reference)		-	
Positive	1.624 (0.645-4.089)		1.823 (0.820-4.052)		-	
Gender		-		-		0.245
Female	-		-		1.000 (reference)	
Male	-		-		2.563 (0.524-12.538)	
The variables identified with statistical significance were included into the multivariate analysis.						

Figures




Figure 1

Construction of immunoscore using LASSO cox regression. (A) Tumor infiltration of macrophages, neutrophils, dendritic cells and mast cells in ESCC patients with 400× magnification. (B) LASSO coefficient profiles of the 4 selected stromal immune features. Vertical lines were drawn at the optimal values by minimum criteria and 1-s.e.




Figure 2

The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed the difference of postoperative OS between patients with immunotype A (IS < 1) and immunotype B (IS ≥ 1) in training set (A), testing set (B) and validation set (C).




Figure 3

Subgroup analysis to assess the predictive value of immunotype for ACT. The survival curves according to immunotype [immunotype A (IS < 1) and immunotype B (IS ≥ 1)] in ESCC patients with ACT (A, C) and without ACT (B, D) in training set and testing set.




Figure 4

Nomogram to predict survival probability in ESCC patients receiving ACT. (A) Nomogram based on immunotype [immunotype A (IS < 1) and immunotype B (IS ≥ 1)] and clinicopathological factors to predict 2-, 3- and 5- year survival in ESCC patients after ACT. 2-year (B), 3- year (C) and 5-year (D) nomogram evaluated by calibration curves. The dashed line represented an ideal evaluation, whereas the blue line represented the performance of the nomogram.

