The Effects of Mixing Pulp and Paper Mill Sludge Into Wood Bark on Storage-Related Environmental Impacts and Heating Value

Juha Oksanen (✉ juha.oksanen@storaenso.com)

Stora Enso Oyj <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1679-6069>

Riikka Keskinen

Natural Resources Institute Finland Jokioinen: Luonnonvarakeskus Jokioinen

Jaakko Heikkinen

Natural Resources Institute Finland: Luonnonvarakeskus

Janne Kaseva

Natural Resources Institute Finland: Luonnonvarakeskus

Kimmo Rasa

Natural Resources Institute Finland: Luonnonvarakeskus

Research Article

Keywords: wood pulp, biological degradation, energy value, wood storage, bark utilization

Posted Date: July 19th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-615656/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Waste and Biomass Valorization on March 7th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-022-01716-x>.

Abstract

The pulping industry generates vast amounts of bark residues and wastewater treatment sludges, which are combined and stored for varying periods in stacks, often in the open air, prior to utilization for energy via combustion. In order to optimize the storage strategy, the effects of incorporating sludge into bark on heating value and storage-related environmental impacts were investigated in laboratory and outdoor studies. Biological degradation activity was found to be higher in treatments containing 25% sludge (B75S25) and 50% sludge (B50S50) on a fresh mass basis, in comparison with pure bark (B100). Mean monthly dry mass loss was 2.1% (B100), 3.3% (B75S25), and 3.7% (B50S50) in the laboratory and 2.7%, 4.2%, and 4.8%, respectively, outdoors. Sludge addition was found to maintain degradation activity at low temperatures (+ 3°C). Co-storage of sludge and bark led to loss of energy, mainly due to increased loss of biomass and increased moisture content. Overall, biomass moisture content governed the heating value. Cumulative methane emissions over the storage period were higher from the sludge-containing treatments than from pure bark, but emissions of nitrogen-containing gases (N₂O and NH₃) were negligible throughout. Sludge addition roughly halved the cumulative chemical oxygen demand (COD) of leachate and minimized its concentration of phosphate-P. However, larger amounts of inorganic elements were released to the percolating water from the sludge-containing treatments. Overall, these results indicate that finding alternative uses for the sludge fraction would be beneficial.

Novelty Statement

The background of this study has been to find out more information about the effluent treatment derived sludge interaction with bark when stored together. This has been the traditional method in the industry, where most of the sludge is still burned together with the biomass, usually bark. The need to increase the knowledge of this topic has come from the pulp industry.

According to the author's knowledge this kind of study has not been made before at least in this extent.

1 Introduction

Wood residues and wastewater treatment sludges are the main solid waste streams generated by the pulp and paper industry [1]. Wood residues consist mainly of bark falling from the debarking drum during the first steps of pulp wood handling. Treatment sludges can be divided into primary sludge, containing fibers removed from process effluent through sedimentation, and biosludge, originating from secondary biological treatment of the effluent and often also wastewater from sanitary facilities [2]. These sludge types are typically combined and thickened by compression, and finally combusted together with wood residues to recover energy for the pulping process [3, 1]. However, there are many other established and emerging ways of utilizing the sludge. For energy recovery, anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis are possible alternatives. Other options include application to land [4] and integration with other materials [5].

Mills often have to store considerable amounts of bark and sludge, as generation of these wastes is time-dependent. The two fractions tend to be mixed in stacks in the storage area, usually an open field. In terms of energy production, preserving the heating value of the fuel during storage is essential. Studies on various forest residues have shown that, depending on climate conditions, dry matter losses of 1–10% per month can occur due to biological and chemical degradation of the wood material [6]. Blending pulp sludge into bark can be assumed to accelerate the dry matter loss rate, as additional nitrogen (N) and other nutrients, moisture, and greater surface area are introduced to the mixed biomass within the sludge. Although degradation of lower value carbohydrates may lead to a more energy-dense fuel, the overall loss of biomass material inevitably decreases the energy content available. Furthermore, the rather high moisture and ash content of the sludge render it inherently less valuable than bark as a fuel [7, 1].

Besides loss of biomass, degradation produces emissions of climate-relevant gases [8]. Wihersaari [9] estimated that emissions of greenhouse gas, namely methane (CH_4) and to a lesser degree nitrous oxide (N_2O), from forest residue stacks may be a concern. Gaseous emissions tend to increase with increasing dry matter losses [10]. Assuming that introducing sludge to bark increases degradation, it can be hypothesized that combined bark-sludge storage leads to higher greenhouse gas emissions than from stacks containing only pure bark.

Since the waste stacks are stored outside with no cover, they are exposed to rainfall and snow. Water percolating through wood wastes is known to leach nutrients, metals, and organic compounds, e.g., lignins, tannins, and fatty acids, from the material [11, 12, 13]. The pulping sludge may also contain and release nutrients, metals, and chemical toxicants, depending on the process and the effluent treatment applied [14, 15]. Besides causing aquatic toxicity, the organic load in the leachate induces high oxygen demand in the receiving water body [16]. The influence of sludge addition on the quality of bark leachate is not known.

This study investigated the effects of combining wood pulp sludge with wood bark waste on the degradation rate during storage and on post-storage heating value of the final biomass, compared with storing only wood bark waste. First, sludge and bark mixes were studied in a controlled laboratory environment, and then a larger-scale outdoor storage study was carried out in uncovered containers. Greenhouse gas emissions and quality of percolating water were monitored throughout the outdoor storage period. The aim was to provide important information on best management of the solid waste fractions generated in pulp mills.

2 Material And Methods

2.1 Raw materials

The wood bark and pulp mill sludge used in the study originated from Stora Enso's Imatra mill in eastern Finland. The studied batches had characteristics typical of these waste fractions in the mill. The bark was a mixture from softwood and hardwood trees, obtained by the dry-debarking method. The sludge,

which was derived from the effluent treatment plant at the mills, contained roughly 30% biosludge from the active sludge treatment and 70% fiber-rich primary sludge from sedimentation. These two types of sludge were mixed during sludge handling and dried with screw presses to a solids content of 30–35%. The biomasses were collected freshly processed in February 2017 and transported directly in separate trailers to the laboratory of the Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke) at Jokioinen in southern Finland.

Immediately after arrival, representative samples of around 150 L of bark and 50 L of sludge were collected from the corresponding piles and placed separately in cold storage (+ 6°C), from where they were taken for a laboratory incubation experiment starting on the following day (see Sect. 2.2). The remaining bulk of the sludge and bark were stored outdoors on plastic sheets in two separate piles, covered with separate plastic sheets, before being used in the outdoor storage experiment in late April 2017 (see Sect. 2.3). During the 65-day pre-storage period, mean daily temperature was + 0.3°C, ranging from – 8.1 to + 5.5°C (23 days < 0°C, 4 days > 5°C).

The raw materials were analyzed for dry matter content, bulk density, effective heating value, ash, and total concentration of selected elements and easily available N (Table 1). The dry matter content was determined gravimetrically according to ISO 18134-1:2015. Samples of approximately 300 g of material were dried to constant weight at 105°C. Bulk density was measured as in ISO 17828:2015, using a 30-L container (height:diameter ratio 1.3). Heating value was analyzed according to SFS-EN 14918 and total hydrogen (H) concentration according to SFS-EN ISO 16948:2015. Ash content was determined thermogravimetrically (550°C, 5 h) and total carbon (C) and N by dry combustion (LECO CN-2000). The total concentrations of other elements were analyzed by ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer Optima 8300) after aqua regia digestion, applying SFS-ISO 11466:2007. Easily available N (ammonium-N ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$), nitrate-N ($\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$), and total N after oxidative digestion) was analyzed in 1:60 (w/v) water extracts by a continuous flow analyzer (Skalar San ++ System).

2.2 Laboratory incubation

The effect of spontaneous aerobic decomposition during storage on the final heating value was investigated under controlled laboratory conditions for: i) 100% wood bark (B100), ii) a mix of 75% wood bark and 25% wood pulp sludge (B75S25), and iii) a mix of 50% wood bark and 50% wood pulp sludge (B50S50). First, the bark and sludge reserved for the study were separately mixed and divided by repeated quartering into representative portions. The treatments were then established in 10-L containers, each in four replicates, by weighing the components in proportions of fresh mass to total 3.2 kg per container. In practice, each replicate was prepared by adding 8 kg of the desired biomass (8 kg bark 0 kg sludge; 6 kg bark, 2 kg sludge; 4 kg bark, 4 kg sludge) to a plastic basin and mixing thoroughly by hand. Thereafter, 3.2 kg were weighed into the experimental container and samples were taken from the remaining mass for analyses of dry matter content, effective heating value, ash and total concentrations of C and H, which were conducted as for the raw materials (see Sect. 2.1). The biomass added to the experimental containers was lightly compacted by allowing the containers to drop three times, under their own mass, from a height of 15 cm.

In each container, two temperature sensors (ELOG 9004) were placed in the middle of the mass and set to record the temperature every four hours. Bi-metallic thermometers were also installed, to allow up-to-date monitoring. The 12 containers were placed in a completely randomized design in a temperature-controlled storage room, where they were kept in darkness at $19.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for a total of 117 days. Loss of moisture during the incubation was roughly monitored by weighing the containers regularly. This follow-up did not indicate cessation of microbial activity due to lack of moisture, so no water was added.

Gaseous emissions of carbon dioxide (CO_2) were determined using the closed-chamber method with subsequent gas chromatography. Samples were taken 13 times during the incubation. On each occasion, the containers were closed with a lid for 5 minutes, during which the headspace gases were sampled at one-minute intervals with a syringe. The gas samples were analyzed for CO_2 concentration with an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph equipped with a Gilson autosampler. The results were calibrated against a calibration curve constructed based on a set of seven standards with increasing CO_2 concentration (R^2 ranged between 0.97 and 1.00). The CO_2 concentrations obtained were converted to mass according to the ideal gas law, taking into account the temperature and headspace volume of the container. Finally, the gas flux rate ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$) was calculated from the increase in gas content over time, using linear regression.

At the end of the incubation, the containers were weighed. The biomass in each container was then separately emptied into a plastic basin, where it was thoroughly mixed by hand and sampled for analyses of dry matter content, effective heating value, ash, and total concentrations of C and H. These laboratory analyses were carried out as described for the raw materials (see Sect. 2.1).

2.3 Outdoor storage

In the outdoor storage study, the laboratory incubation set-up (Sect. 2.2) was implemented on a larger scale in a natural outdoor environment. The experiment was established on an open blacktop area at the Luke premises in Jokioinen in late April 2017. First, the wood bark and wood pulp sludge piles stored outdoors under plastic sheets (see Sect. 2.1) were thoroughly mixed using a tractor front loader and shovels. Both piles were then divided by repeated quartering into representative portions. Three treatments: i) 100% wood bark (B100), 2) a mix of 75% wood bark and 25% wood pulp sludge (B75S25), and 3) a mix of 50% wood bark 50% wood pulp sludge (B50S50), were constructed in 0.5 m^3 plastic boxes, each covering an area of 1 m^2 , with three replicates. The components were weighed in proportions of fresh mass to give a total of 200 kg biomass per container. Due to differences in the inherent dry matter content and volume weight of the bark and sludge (Table 1), the volume and dry mass content differed somewhat between the treatments. The initial dry matter content was $51.5 \pm 2.2\%$ in B100, $45.8 \pm 0.9\%$ in B75S25, and $44.4 \pm 0.5\%$ in B50S50 and consequently the dry mass content per container was $103 \pm 4 \text{ kg}$, $92 \pm 2 \text{ kg}$ and $89 \pm 1 \text{ kg}$, respectively, in these treatments.

The heaps containing both bark and sludge were thoroughly mixed with shovels before adding the biomass to the storage boxes. Representative samples for laboratory analyses were collected while filling

each box. These samples were analyzed for dry matter content, effective heating value, ash, and total concentration of C, H, N, aluminum (Al), boron (B), calcium (Ca), copper (Cu), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), iron (Fe), potassium (K), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), sodium (Na), phosphorus (P), lead (Pb), sulfur (S), and zinc (Zn), as described in Sect. 2.1. In addition, pH was analyzed in a 1:5 biomass:water suspension (EN 13037).

Two temperature sensors were placed in the middle of the biomass in each storage box, to record the temperature every four hours. Two additional temperature sensors were placed between the boxes in shade, to monitor the temperature of the ambient air. The boxes were arranged in a completely randomized block design and positioned to have a 5% slope towards the bottom drainage outlet. The leachate was collected in plastic containers, weighed, and sampled for analysis of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ (SFS 3032), $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (SFS 3030), total N (SFS 3031), phosphate-P ($\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$) (SFS 3025), total P (SFS 3026), dissolved solids (SFS 3008), chemical oxygen demand (COD_{Cr}) (SFS 5504), and total concentrations of Al, B, Ca, Cu, Cd, Cr, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Pb, S, and Zn, which were analyzed in filtered samples by ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer Optima 8300). Weather data were acquired from the meteorological station in Jokioinen, located approximately 1 km from the study site.

Gaseous emissions of CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O were determined on 18 occasions during the storage period, using the closed chamber method with subsequent gas chromatography analysis. In the beginning of the experiment, a 60 cm × 60 cm collar was permanently installed on top of the material in each container. During the measurements of gaseous emissions, a metal chamber measuring 60 cm × 60 cm × 20 cm was placed on the top of the collar and a small channel inside the collar rim was filled with water, to seal the joint between chamber and collar. Gas samples were extracted 3, 6, 9, and 12 minutes after closing the chamber and analyzed using an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph equipped with a Gilson autosampler. The concentrations obtained were converted to mass according to the ideal gas law, accounting for the temperature and headspace volume of the container. Gas flux rate ($\text{g kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$) was calculated from the increase in gas content over time, using linear regression. Ammonia (NH_3) emissions were measured three times during the 6-month storage period, using the same metal chambers. For the NH_3 analysis, the chambers were closed for approximately 10 min, during which the air inside the chambers was circulated through a photoacoustic gas analyzer (INNOVA AirTech Instruments 1412), which measured the NH_3 concentration at 2-min intervals.

At the end of October, after 6 months (26 weeks) of undisturbed storage, the containers were weighed. Immediately thereafter, the biomass was sampled for laboratory analyses of dry matter content, effective heating value, ash, and total concentrations of C and H (see Sect. 2.1). To ensure a representative sample, the contents of each container were emptied separately onto a clean surface and thoroughly mixed with shovels.

2.4 Statistical analysis

The experimental design was a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates in the outdoor experiment and a completely randomized design with four replicates in the laboratory experiment. Linear mixed models with treatment (B100, B75S25, B50S50) and time point (before/after), and their interaction, were denoted as fixed effects. The three or four replicates were assumed to be independent and normally distributed random effects. Correlation between time points was taken into account using a heterogeneous or homogeneous compound symmetry (CSH and CS) covariance structure [17]. A likelihood ratio test was used to test the homogeneity of treatment variances.

The relationship between biomass temperature and ambient air temperature in the outdoor study was analyzed with repeated measures analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), using treatment and air temperature as fixed effects. Heterogeneous variances of treatments were allowed, based on a likelihood ratio test. Differences between treatment means were tested at different temperature points. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for CO₂ emissions in the laboratory experiment. Treatment and time, and their interaction, were used as (categorical) fixed effects. Correlation between time points was taken into account using a CS covariance structure. In analysis of data from the outdoor study, repeated measures ANCOVA was used for CO₂ emissions. Treatment was used as a categorical fixed effect and temperature and time points as continuous fixed effects, leading to three-way interactions of treatment, temperature, and time. All lower-order fixed effects were included. A CSH covariance structure was created, using time as categorical effect, for this purpose. Heterogeneous variances of treatments based on a likelihood ratio test were allowed in both models. Cumulative emissions of CO₂, N₂O, CH₄ and NH₃ were analyzed as a RCBD with three replicates.

The distributions of CO₂ emissions were skewed to the right. Thus, gamma (with log link) and lognormal (with identity link) distributions were used for laboratory and outdoor analysis, respectively. The first was fitted using the residual pseudo-likelihood (REPL) estimation method, while all other models were fitted using the residual maximum likelihood (REML). The residuals were checked for normality using boxplot and plotted against the fitted values. The method of Westfall was used for all interesting pairwise comparisons of means [18]. For example, for bark and sludge, nine of 15 comparisons (three treatments at both time points, and between time points within three treatments) were adjusted per dependent variable. A significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ was used in all analyses. Degrees of freedom were calculated using the Kenward-Roger method [19]. All analyses were performed using the GLIMMIX procedure in the SAS Enterprise Guide 7.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Degradation of biomass during storage

3.1.1 Temperature profiles

During 117 days of storage at a constant temperature of $19.5 \pm 1.0^\circ\text{C}$ in controlled laboratory conditions. Temperature was recorded every four hours, in the middle of the container.

During laboratory incubation study, no marked rise in temperature was observed in the pure bark or the bark-sludge mixes (Fig. 1). In all treatments, the biomass temperature peaked at 22–23°C immediately after the start of incubation. In the bark-sludge mixes, another temperature peak occurred around 17 days from the start of incubation and mean daily temperature remained slightly higher than that in the pure bark until 55 days from the start of incubation. Thereafter, the temperature in all biomasses equaled the ambient air temperature.

In the outdoor storage study, the temperature in the biomass piles was found to follow the temperature of the ambient air, but was mainly on a slightly higher level (Fig. 2a). The treatments were found to respond differently to changes in the ambient temperature, with the treatments containing sludge exhibiting higher temperatures than those containing only pure bark at ambient temperatures exceeding 5°C (Fig. 2b). At ambient air temperatures exceeding 10°C, the temperature in all treatments differed significantly from each other, with B100 exhibiting the lowest and B75S25 the highest temperature in relation to the ambient air temperature. The maximum mean daily temperature reached during outdoor storage was 28°C in the bark-sludge (B75S25 and B50S50) treatments and 21°C in the bark-only treatment (B100), whereas the highest mean daily air temperature was 19°C.

3.1.2 Emissions of CO₂

In the laboratory incubation, emissions of CO₂ tended to be highest in the beginning of the experiment (Fig. 3). Emissions then generally decreased over time, although the bark-sludge mixes showed a temporal increase in CO₂ production after about 2 weeks of incubation. Regarding CO₂ production, all treatments deviated significantly ($p < 0.001$) from each other between 14 and 34 days from the beginning of the incubation, during which period the highest emissions were recorded for B50S50 and the lowest for B100. At day 42 of incubation, CO₂ emissions from the B75S25 and B50S50 treatments were higher than those from B100. In the beginning (until day 7) and end (after day 60) of the incubation, there were no statistically significant differences in CO₂ emission rates between the treatments.

In the outdoor study, CO₂ emissions were highest during late summer, from July to September (Fig. 4). The lowest emissions were observed in May and late October. Throughout the study period, both sludge-containing treatments (B75S25 and B50S50) produced significantly higher CO₂ emissions than B100. Until late July, B50S50 emitted significantly more CO₂ than B75S25. In September, however, B75S25 showed significantly higher CO₂ emissions than B50S50. The CO₂ emission rates were found to be correlated with ambient air temperature, with the correlation being strongest for B50S50 ($r = 0.78$), followed by B75S25 ($r = 0.69$) and B100 ($r = 0.61$). The correlation between CO₂ emissions and rainfall was weak ($r = 0.08$).

3.1.3 Loss of dry mass during storage

The total dry mass content of all bark and bark-sludge mixes studied decreased during storage under both laboratory and outdoor conditions. In the laboratory study, the biomass loss was lowest in the B100 treatment, on average 8.1% (standard error (SE) = 1.0) of its initial dry matter content, whereas the bark-sludge mixes lost on average 12.7% (B75S25, SE = 1.8) and 14.4% (B50S50, SE = 1.3) of their initial dry matter. The difference in proportion of dry matter lost was significant between the B100 and B50S50 treatments ($p = 0.031$) and almost significant between the B100 and B75S25 treatments ($p = 0.083$).

The dry mass losses in the outdoor storage experiment displayed a similar pattern. The lowest biomass loss was in B100 (16.2%, SE = 5.3), followed by B75S25 (25.1%, SE = 1.2) and B50S50 (28.8%, SE = 0.5). However, the differences between treatments were not statistically significant. An exceptionally high percentage dry matter loss was recorded for the third replicate of the B100 treatment and, since an error in weighing that box was suspected, the data were also analyzed without the deviating value. The biomass loss from B100 then fell to 11.3% (SE = 3.1), but the differences between the treatments were still not significant ($p = 0.161$).

Mean monthly dry mass loss in B100, B75S25, and B25S75 was 2.1%, 3.3%, and 3.7%, respectively, in the laboratory study, and 2.7%, 4.2% and 4.8%, respectively, in the outdoor study.

3.2 Biomass heating value

The ash content of the biomass samples increased as the proportion of sludge increased (Table 1). Storage tended to increase the ash concentration further, and thus total C and total H concentrations decreased as the proportion of sludge to bark increased. The effect of storage on total C was insignificant, but total H concentration of the biomasses decreased during the experiment.

Table 1

Ash, total carbon (C), and total hydrogen (H) concentrations (%) and effective heating value (MJ kg^{-1}) as dry and as received (i.e., at inherent moisture content) of wood bark waste (B100) and bark-wood pulp sludge mixes (B25S75, B75S25) before and after storage under laboratory (18 weeks) and outdoor (26 weeks) conditions. The values shown are mean of four (laboratory study) or three (outdoor study) replicates $\pm$ standard error. Values with different letters within columns and within rows for each experiment are statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ (cross-wise comparisons were not made)

	Laboratory storage		Outdoor storage	
	Initial value	Post-storage value	Initial value	Post-storage value
Ash (%)				
B100	2.55 ± 0.13^a	2.94 ± 0.23^a	2.27 ± 0.19^a	2.59 ± 0.19^a
B75S25	4.14 ± 0.13^b	5.56 ± 0.23^d	5.06 ± 0.19^b	5.78 ± 0.19^b
B50S50	6.81 ± 0.13^c	8.10 ± 0.23^e	7.66 ± 0.19^c	9.82 ± 0.19^d
Total C (%)				
B100	53.32 ± 0.32^a	53.36 ± 0.32^a	54.85 ± 0.46^a	54.49 ± 0.28^a
B75S25	51.83 ± 0.32^b	52.05 ± 0.32^b	52.17 ± 0.46^b	$53.64 \pm 0.28^{a,b}$
B50S50	49.39 ± 0.32^c	50.21 ± 0.32^c	$50.57 \pm 0.46^{b,c}$	50.89 ± 0.28^c
Total H (%)				
B100	6.34 ± 0.04^a	6.09 ± 0.04^c	6.45 ± 0.10^a	6.02 ± 0.04^b
B75S25	6.22 ± 0.04^a	5.80 ± 0.04^d	6.09 ± 0.10^a	5.77 ± 0.04^a
B50S50	6.06 ± 0.04^b	5.61 ± 0.04^e	6.10 ± 0.10^a	5.56 ± 0.04^c
Effective heating value, dry (MJ kg^{-1})				
B100	20.6 ± 0.18^a	19.5 ± 0.05^c	21.2 ± 0.38^a	19.8 ± 0.13^a
B75S25	20.2 ± 0.18^a	19.2 ± 0.05^d	20.0 ± 0.38^a	$19.4 \pm 0.13^{a,b}$
B50S50	19.4 ± 0.18^b	18.7 ± 0.05^e	$19.8 \pm 0.38^{a,b}$	18.9 ± 0.13^b
Effective heating value, as received (MJ kg^{-1})				
B100	8.5 ± 0.14^a	12.4 ± 0.24^d	9.2 ± 0.28^a	4.1 ± 0.05^d
B75S25	7.5 ± 0.14^b	11.4 ± 0.24^e	7.3 ± 0.28^b	3.5 ± 0.05^e
B50S50	6.5 ± 0.14^c	10.8 ± 0.24^e	6.0 ± 0.28^c	2.7 ± 0.05^f

The effective heating value of dry sludge was roughly 3.5 MJ kg^{-1} lower than that of the bark (Table 2), but the pre-storage (initial) effective heating value of B50S50 was found to be significantly lower than that of B100 and B75S25 in the laboratory study (Table 2). On a fresh mass basis, the effective heating value decreased as the proportion of sludge to bark increased. During storage, the heating value of the dry biomass decreased by roughly 1 MJ kg^{-1} in all treatments, but these reductions were significant only in the laboratory experiment. However, in the outdoor study the post-storage effective heating value 'as dry' was significantly lower in the B50S50 treatment than in the B100 treatment. In the laboratory incubation, these post-storage values for all treatments differed from each other. In practice, the moisture content of the biomass governed its heating value. In the laboratory incubation conducted without water addition, the dry matter concentration of the biomasses increased with time from the initial 48% in B100, 45% in B75S25, and 42% in B50S50 to 71, 68, and 65 %, respectively. In contrast, in the outdoor storage study the biomasses were wetted from the initial dry matter concentration of 51% in B100, 46% in B75S25, and 44% in B50S50 to 30, 28, and 27 %, respectively. Consequently, in the laboratory study the effective heating value 'as received' increased, while in the outdoor study it decreased during storage. The post-storage heating values were significantly lower in the bark-sludge mixes than in pure bark in both the indoor and outdoor experiments.

Table 2

Selected properties of wood pulp sludge and wood bark waste used in the laboratory and outdoor study. The values are mean of three (sludge) or four (bark) replicates $\pm$ standard deviation. For the heating values, the expanded uncertainty of measurement is given (1.5% as dry and 2.5% as received). The element concentrations are total values per unit dry weight (dw).

	Sludge	Bark		Sludge	Bark
Initial dry matter (%)	35.0 $\pm$ 0.0	48.3 $\pm$ 1.6	Al (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	12.3 $\pm$ 0.21	0.10 $\pm$ 0.01
Bulk density (kg L ⁻¹ fw)	0.52 $\pm$ 0.01	0.39 $\pm$ 0.01	B (mg kg ⁻¹ dw)	24.2 $\pm$ 2.64	22.8 $\pm$ 5.12
Ash (%)	12.0 $\pm$ 0.1	2.52 $\pm$ 0.14	Cu (mg kg ⁻¹ dw)	8.93 $\pm$ 0.06	3.18 $\pm$ 0.15
C (%)	44.8 $\pm$ 0.4	53.3 $\pm$ 0.4	Cd (mg kg ⁻¹ dw)	0.31 $\pm$ 0.01	0.37 $\pm$ 0.02
N (%) ¹	1.13 $\pm$ 0.01	0.42 $\pm$ 0.01	Cr (mg kg ⁻¹ dw)	6.50 $\pm$ 0.61	1.12 $\pm$ 0.71
P (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	1.16 $\pm$ 0.02	0.32 $\pm$ 0.02	Fe (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	3.10 $\pm$ 0.05	0.13 $\pm$ 0.03
K (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	0.38 $\pm$ 0.02	1.55 $\pm$ 0.08	Mn (mg kg ⁻¹ dw)	1300 $\pm$ 0.00	403 $\pm$ 37.8
Ca (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	13.1 $\pm$ 0.15	6.65 $\pm$ 0.66	Na (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	1.87 $\pm$ 0.06	0.24 $\pm$ 0.01
Mg (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	0.71 $\pm$ 0.02	0.60 $\pm$ 0.03	Pb (mg kg ⁻¹ dw)	< 3.0	< 3.0
S (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	5.00 $\pm$ 0.11	0.25 $\pm$ 0.02	Zn (mg kg ⁻¹ dw)	36.0 $\pm$ 1.73	148 $\pm$ 12.6
Heating value (MJ kg ⁻¹)					
Calorific as dry	18.4 $\pm$ 0.3	22.0 $\pm$ 0.3	Effective as dry	17.2 $\pm$ 0.3	20.6 $\pm$ 0.3
Calorific as received	6.4 $\pm$ 0.2	10.4 $\pm$ 0.3	Effective as received	4.4 $\pm$ 0.1	8.5 $\pm$ 0.2
¹ Easily available N 54.6 $\pm$ 3.0 mg kg ⁻¹ fresh weight (fw) in sludge and 21.6 $\pm$ 0.1 mg kg ⁻¹ fw in bark. Proportion of organic N 99% in sludge, 95% in bark, NO ₃ -N 1% in sludge, 5% in bark, no NH ₄ -N detected.					

When estimating the storage-induced total losses of energy during the test period, both the loss of heating value and the loss of biomass (see Sect. 3.1.3) need to be accounted for. In the case of B100 in the controlled laboratory environment, the total loss of energy content was 13.0%, calculated as effective heat value 'as dry', while in B75S25 it was 17.0% and in B50S50 17.5%. The energy value loss in the outdoor study was 21.7% for B100, 27.3% for B75S25, and 32.0% for B50S50. These results indicate that

loss of total energy was larger in biomasses where sludge was present. In practice, however, the moisture content of the fuels still dominated the real effective heating value.

3.3 Environmental impacts

3.3.1 Greenhouse gas emissions

Cumulative CO₂ emissions depended on the treatment ($p < 0.001$) and were over five times higher in the sludge-containing treatments (B75S25 and B50S50) than in B100 (Table 3). The cumulative CH₄ emissions were also higher in sludge-containing treatments than in B100. The treatments did not deviate from each other ($p = 0.69$) in terms of N₂O fluxes. All treatments ended up acting as a small sink, rather than a source, of N₂O. Likewise, the NH₃ emissions were negligible overall.

Table 3
Cumulative sum of carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O), methane (CH₄), and ammonia (NH₃) emissions from wood bark waste (B100) and bark-wood pulp sludge mixes (B25S75, B75S25) during the outdoor experiment. N₂O and CH₄ emissions are expressed as CO₂-equivalents. Values with different letters within columns are significantly different at $p < 0.05$

Cumulative emissions (g kg ⁻¹)				
	CO ₂	N ₂ O	CH ₄	NH ₃
B100	19.0 ^a	-0.037 ^a	0.006 ^a	0.005 ^a
B75S25	100.5 ^b	-0.049 ^a	0.113 ^b	0.008 ^a
B50S50	126.7 ^c	-0.056 ^a	0.054 ^b	0.003 ^a

3.3.2 Loss of elements via leaching

Cumulative precipitation in the study area during the outdoor experiment (April 26-October 23) was 394.5 mm, according to data from Jokioinen meteorological station. Samples of leachate were collected on a total of 18 occasions, with sample size varying between 1.5 and 25 L. The highest amount of leachate (238 ± 4 L) was obtained from B50S50, followed by B75S25 (226 ± 2 L) and B100 (192 ± 2 L).

The cumulative amount of solids leached in percolating water corresponded to less than 0.5% of the total initial dry mass in the treatments. The greatest amount of solids (4.76 ± 0.07 g kg⁻¹ initial biomass dry matter) was leached from B50S50, followed by B100 (4.26 g ± 0.10) and B75S25 (2.99 ± 0.02). Total COD over the study period was significantly higher in B100 than in the sludge-containing treatments (5241, 2714, and 2723 mg kg⁻¹ of initial dry biomass in B100, B75S25, and B50S50, respectively, SE = 138).

Apart from P, the amounts of nutrients and harmful metals leached with percolating water tended to be lower from B100 than from the sludge-containing treatments B75S25 and B50S50 (Table 4). In general, the amount of elements leached increased with increasing proportion of sludge. There was no significant difference between the B75S25 and B50S50 treatments in terms of amount of P, Al, Fe, and Mn in leachate, but the amount of B and Cu in leachate was higher from B75S25 than from B50S50. For P, the amount leached in percolating waters during the experiment was roughly 15 times higher in B100 than in the sludge-containing treatments. In the leachate from B100, $15 \pm 5\%$ of the P found was in organic form, whereas in the leachate from B75S25 and B50S50 the corresponding proportion was $85 \pm 13\%$ and $93 \pm 9\%$, respectively. The N leached was mainly (94–99%) organically bound in all treatments.

Table 4
Cumulative amount of different elements leached from wood bark waste (B100) and bark-wood pulp sludge mixes (B25S751, B75S25) during 26 weeks of storage outdoors. nd = not determined.

Amount of element leached (mg kg ⁻¹ DM of initial biomass)			
	B100	B75S25	B50S50
N	10.09 ± 0.75 ^a	21.01 ± 0.75 ^b	28.75 ± 0.75 ^c
P	34.27 ± 1.37 ^a	1.98 ± 0.17 ^b	2.43 ± 0.12 ^b
Al	0.13 ± 0.01 ^a	1.59 ± 0.10 ^b	1.54 ± 0.10 ^b
B	0.02 ± 0.004 ^a	0.08 ± 0.004 ^b	0.05 ± 0.004 ^c
Ca	7.9 ± 4.97 ^a	73.5 ± 4.97 ^b	154.9 ± 4.97 ^c
Cd	nd	nd	nd
Cr	nd	nd	nd
Cu	0.02 ± 0.02 ^a	0.19 ± 0.02 ^b	0.06 ± 0.02 ^a
Fe	0.02 ± 0.006 ^a	0.77 ± 0.057 ^b	0.73 ± 0.061 ^b
K	49.6 ± 3.43 ^a	237.2 ± 3.43 ^b	280.6 ± 3.43 ^c
Mg	2.57 ± 0.16 ^a	15.14 ± 0.52 ^b	39.4 ± 0.81 ^c
Mn	0.71 ± 0.002 ^a	1.11 ± 0.299 ^a	0.94 ± 0.035 ^a
Na	11.97 ± 4.58 ^a	207.4 ± 4.58 ^b	566.2 ± 4.58 ^c
Pb	nd	nd	nd
S	0.03 ± 6.31 ^a	39.4 ± 6.31 ^b	250.9 ± 6.31 ^c
Zn	0.20 ± 0.02 ^a	0.32 ± 0.02 ^b	0.29 ± 0.02 ^b

The cumulative amount of N, Al, B, Fe, Mn, and Zn leached corresponded to less than 0.5% of the total content of the elements in the initial biomass. For Ca, Cu, and Mg, the corresponding proportions tended to be slightly higher but still less than 5%. In the sludge-containing treatments, K, Na, and S were somewhat more mobile, with the amount leached in percolating water corresponding to 18 and 25 % of initial K, 32 and 49 % of initial Na, and 3 and 9 % of initial S in the B75S25 and B50S50 treatment,

respectively. In B100, 10% of the initial P content in the biomass was recovered in the leachate, whereas for the sludge-containing treatments the corresponding proportion was 0.3%.

4 Discussion

In the present analysis, degradation of wood pulp waste biomass during storage was assessed directly as dry matter loss and indirectly via CO₂ emission rate and heat formation, i.e., microbial respiration and heat release. All these measures indicated that biological decomposition activity was higher in the mixed bark-sludge biomasses (B75S25 and B50S50) than in the pure bark material (B100). Increasing the proportion of sludge in the mixture from 25–50% (fresh weight) increased heat release and cumulative CO₂ emissions. However, the biological activity in B50S50 seemed to be higher mainly in the early part of the storage period, whereas that in B75S25 exhibited higher activity towards the end. It can be assumed that the increased degradation activity in the mixed biomass not only reflected the higher degradation potential of the sludge (caused by higher N and moisture content and smaller particle size in comparison with bark), but also led to enhanced decomposition of the bark material.

Mean dry mass losses per month were similar in the laboratory and outdoor experiments, although the rates were slightly lower in the laboratory. Lower than optimal moisture content for microbial activity in the drying surface layer of the biomasses stored indoors may have contributed to this difference. The accuracy of dry mass loss determination was challenged in general by the inherent non-homogeneity of the material, namely in relation to moisture distribution, and consequently the differences between treatments were not statistically significant in the outdoor experiment. Previous studies on degradation of bark are scarce. Ernstson et al. [20] incubated spruce bark at different temperatures and atmospheres, and found that dry mass loss rates calculated from oxygen consumption ranged from 1.5% to nearly 20% per month. Studies on variously stored logging residues have reported monthly dry mass loss rates varying mainly from below 1–3% [21, 22, 23].

As the ambient temperature and CO₂ profiles revealed, the degradation activity was not linear in time. Rather, an initial activity peak, likely caused by consumption of the most easily digestible materials, was followed by ambient temperature-dependent fluctuations. Wood waste piles are known to self-heat, even to the point of spontaneous ignition [24, 25], but in small piles there is usually no marked temperature rise [26]. Conditions in the small piles stored at temperatures below 30°C throughout the present study can be taken to represent those in the outer layers of the large waste stacks at pulp mills, which do not exhibit the thermo-chemical oxidative reactions occurring at higher temperatures [6]. For biological decomposition, however, moderate temperature levels (25–40°C) are favorable [20, 27, 28]. Below the optimum temperature, biological decomposition progressively decreases [29, 30]. In the present study, differences in heat release between treatments decreased with decreasing ambient air temperature, but the increase in biological activity caused by the higher sludge inclusion (B50S50) was discernible already at an ambient temperature of 3°C. In the climate at high latitudes, sludge addition to bark may thus extend the period of active decomposition compared with that of pure bark.

In addition to temperature, biological decomposition rate depends on moisture. At very low water potential (around – 40 MPa) degradation will cease, whereas with increasing moisture the decomposition activity tends to increase until the rate of oxygen diffusion becomes limiting [29, 30]. There was no correlation between CO₂ emissions and rainfall during outdoor storage in the present study, indicating minor moisture-related limitations. However, as stated earlier, in the laboratory incubation with no external water input, a moisture gradient developed from the bottom of the container to the surface, and degradation in upper layers may have been retarded by low moisture content.

The contrasting trends in biomass moisture status from start to end of the experiment between the laboratory (moisture decrease) and outdoor study (moisture increase) governed the final effective heating value of the biomasses. The increase in moisture in materials stored outdoors decreased the heating value, due to energy consumption for water evaporation. For most biomasses, self-sustaining combustion ceases at around 65% moisture content on a wet weight basis [7]. Therefore, in management of fuelwood efforts are made to decrease the moisture content through natural drying during storage [22, 23]. Both wetting and drying effects were emphasized in the outdoor conditions in the present study, as the small piles were entirely exposed to percolating rain water, whereas in the laboratory small sample volumes were exposed to the low humidity of the indoor air. The roughly equal, but opposite, relative change of 55% from the initial effective heating value due to wetting and drying demonstrated the magnitude of possible energetic losses and gains related to moisture control.

On a dry matter basis, the effective heating value of all biomasses studied decreased by roughly 5% during storage, although the effect was not statistically significant in the outdoor study. This decrease can be attributed to the degradation-induced increase in the ash content of the biomasses [31]. Overall, the effective heating values (dry) determined were in agreement with the typical ranges reported for logging residues, fuelwood, and bark [6, 7, 26, 32]. Variations in values are caused by e.g., wood species, age of the tree (density and wood:bark-ratio), and growing site [32]. Mixing sludge with the bark resulted in roughly a 2–7% decrease in effective heating value per unit dry mass in comparison with pure bark, which reflects the inherently lower heating value of the sludge. In the true moisture state ('as received' values), this decrease was somewhat higher (8–35%), as the sludge-containing treatments maintained slightly higher moisture content than pure bark.

The results obtained for total energy content in this study indicate that the pulping industry should seek other utilization methods for pulp and paper mill sludge, rather than incineration, and should consider use of measures to prevent the biomass being wetted during storage.

In an industrial context, effective heat values in biomasses used as fuel are typically not measured regularly. For example, in the Imatra mill where the raw material for this study was collected, only the dry matter of the fuel being conveyed to the biomass boiler is measured, using a single sample on a daily basis. The control system of the biomass boiler calculates the effective heat value, using the amount of steam produced as the basis for the calculation. However, there are many uncertainties that can affect the calculated heat value, and in real life this is used only as an indicative measure of fuel quality. From

the perspective of the pulp and paper industry, this study provides evidence of how much of the energy value is lost during storage of bark and sludge.

In terms of environmental impact, decomposition of wood material is accompanied by emissions of greenhouse gases, namely CO_2 and CH_4 [10, 33]. Since the non-fossil C in pulp mill wood waste is eventually converted to CO_2 during combustion, the negative effect of pre-incineration CO_2 release is mainly associated with loss of energy, as discussed above. However, the environmental impact of CH_4 is many times that of CO_2 , so CH_4 generation in possible anaerobic pockets within the waste piles should be avoided. Cumulative CH_4 emissions during the present study were low overall, but inclusion of sludge increased the CH_4 emissions over the level in pure bark. It can be assumed that in larger stacks at commercial mills, gas exchange is less efficient and CH_4 production is thus more probable. In a life cycle assessment of coppice willow for energy, Whittaker et al.[34] concluded that the greenhouse gas emissions results are highly sensitive to CH_4 emissions from the storage phase. However, existing estimates on CH_4 evolution during wood degradation are uncertain and further research on the topic is required [34, 35]. Emissions of N-containing gases (N_2O and NH_3) were found to be negligible in the present study, which was explained by the high C:N ratio of the materials studied (initial C:N ratio ranged roughly between 60 in B50S50 and 130 in B100). In N-limited degradation, there is no release of free ammoniacal-N for NH_3 emissions or nitrate conversion [36]. Consequently, practically no inorganic N was leached with percolating water in the present study.

The characteristics of wood waste leachate are known to depend on the tree species, its state of degradation and volume, and the degree of contact between the percolating water and wood. In general, the main environmental concerns related to the leachate are high loads of organic substances, causing oxygen depletion and toxicity, and the P content, which contributes to eutrophication of surface waters [12, 37]. In bark extract, the water-soluble COD is comprised mainly of tannins, simple carbohydrates, and phenol monomers [38]. Previously reported COD values for waste waters from bark and wood handling range from below 100 to nearly 15000 mg L^{-1} [12, 38], so the values obtained here (380–4700 mg L^{-1}) for bark and bark-sludge mixes are rather typical. The cumulative COD over the entire study period was clearly higher for the pure bark than for the bark-sludge mixes. Higher microbial decomposition activity in the mixed biomasses may in part have reduced the availability of labile organic compounds, but their inherent content in the sludge fraction may also have been lower than in the bark.

In contrast to the organic load, higher amounts of inorganic elements tended to be released into percolating water from the sludge-containing materials than from pure bark, probably due both to initially higher concentrations and higher mobility of the elements in the sludge. However, apart from P, K, Na, and S, the inorganic elements analyzed proved to be rather non-mobile in all biomasses studied. Interestingly, mixing sludge with bark reduced leaching of P. A probable mechanism is sorption or precipitation of inorganic phosphate-P with Fe, and especially Al, introduced within the sludge, but biological immobilization of P may also have occurred [39]. Aluminum-based chemicals are used in the effluent

treatment process at the mill where the study materials were collected, which likely explains the Al content in the sludge.

5 Conclusions

In conclusion, storing bark combined with sludge in comparison with storing the bark on its own had a negative effect on the total energy content of the biomass, through increasing the rate of degradation, extending the biological activity to colder temperatures, and maintaining a higher moisture content. From an environmental impact perspective, sludge addition increased emissions of CH₄ and the load of most inorganic elements in percolating water. Positive impacts resulting from addition of sludge to bark were a notable decrease in the COD and P content of the leachate. Use of the sludge in agricultural applications for improving soil structure and water-holding capacity through increased soil organic matter content, together with simultaneous recycling of nutrients accumulated in the sludge, would be in line with the premise of a sustainable bio-economy [4, 40]. The results also indicated that mixing sludge with bark can result in quite significant loss of total energy content during storage of the biomass. Therefore, the pulp and paper industry should move to adopt other utilization methods for sludge, rather than conventional co-incineration with bark.

Declarations

Funding:

This study was supported by the Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (Tekes/Business Finland).

Conflicts of interests: None

Availability of data and material : Data will be made available upon reasonable request.

Code availability: Not applicable

The technical staff at Luke are acknowledged for their skilled field and laboratory work.

References

1. Monte, M.C., Fuente, E., Blanco, A., Negro, C. 2009. Waste management from pulp and paper production in the European Union. *Waste Management* 29:293-308.
2. Nurmesniemi, H., Pöykiö, R., Keiski, R.L. 2007. A case study of waste management at the Northern Finnish pulp and paper mill complex of Stora Enso Veitsiluoto Mills. *Waste Management* 27:1939-1948.
3. Gavrilescu, D. 2008. Energy from biomass in pulp and paper mills. *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal* 7:537-546.

4. Rasa, K., Pennanen, T., Peltoniemi, K., Velmala, S., Fritze, H., Kaseva, J., Joona, J., Uusitalo, R. 2020 Pulp and paper industry sludges decrease soil erodibility. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 2020: 1-20.
5. Faubert, P., Barnabe, S., Bouchard, S., Côté, R., Villeneuve, C. Pulp and paper mill sludge management practices: What are the challenges to assess the impacts on greenhouse gas emissions? *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 108 (2016) 107–133
6. Krigstin, S., Wetzel, S. 2016. A review of mechanisms responsible for changes to stored woody biomass fuels. *Fuel* 175:75-86.
7. Jenkins, B.M., Baxter, L.L., Miles Jr, T.R., Miles, T.R. 1998. Combustion properties of biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology* 54:17-46.
8. Alakoski, E., Jämsén, M., Agar, D., Tampio, E., Wihersaari, M. 2016. From wood pellets to wood chips, risks of degradation and emissions from the storage of woody biomass – A short review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 54:376-383.
9. Wihersaari, M. 2005. Evaluation of greenhouse gas emission risks from storage of wood residue. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 28:444-453.
10. He, X., Lau, A.K., Sokhansanj, S., Lim, C.J., Bi, X.T., Melin, S. 2012. Dry matter losses in combination with gaseous emissions during the storage of forest residues. *Fuel* 95:662-664.
11. Doig, P., van Poppelen, P., Baldwin, S.A. 2006. Characterization of particles in fresh and primary-treated log sort yard runoff. *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada* 41:37-46.
12. Hedmark, Å., Scholz, M. 2008. Review of environmental effects and treatment of runoff from storage and handling of wood. *Bioresource Technology* 99:5997-6009.
13. Masbough, A., Frankowski, K., Hall, K.J., Duff, S.J.B. 2005. The effectiveness of constructed wetland for treatment of woodwaste leachate. *Ecological Engineering* 25:552-566.
14. Hewitt, L.M., Parrott, J.L., McMaster, M.E. 2006. A decade of research on the environmental impacts of pulp and paper mill effluents in Canada: sources and characteristics of bioactive substances. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B* 9: 341-356
15. Owens, J.W. 1991. The hazard assessment of pulp and paper effluents in the aquatic environment: a review. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 10: 1511-1540.
16. Tao, W., Hall, K.J., Masbough, A., Frankowski, K., Duff, S.J.B. 2005. Characterization of leachate from a woodwaste pile. *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada* 40:476-483.
17. Gbur, E.E., Stroup, W.W., McCarter, K.S., Durham, S., Young, L.J., Christman, M., West, M., Kramer, M., 2012. Analysis of Generalized Linear Mixed Models in the Agricultural and Natural Resources Sciences. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.
18. Westfall, P.H., 1997. Multiple Testing of General Contrasts Using Logical Constraints and Correlations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 92: 299–306.
19. Kenward, M.G., Roger, J.H., 2009. An Improved Approximation to the Precision of Fixed Effects from Restricted Maximum Likelihood. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* 53: 2583–2595.

20. Ernstson, M.-L., Jirjis, R., Rasmuson, A. 1991. Experimental determination of the degradation rate for some forest residue fuel components at different temperatures and oxygen concentrations. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 6:271-287.
21. Filbakk, T., Høibø, O.A., Dibdiakova, J., Nurmi, J. 2011. Modelling moisture content and dry matter loss during storage of logging residues for energy. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 26: 267-277.
22. Pettersson, M., Nordfjell, T. 2007. Fuel quality changes during seasonal storage of compacted logging residues and young trees. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 31: 782-792.
23. Routa, J., Kolström, M., Ruotsalainen, J., Sikanen, L. 2015. Precision measurement of forest harvesting residue moisture change and dry matter losses by constant weight monitoring. *International Journal of Forest Engineering* 26: 71-83.
24. Ferrero, F., Lohrer, C., Schmidt, B.M., Noll, M., Malow, M. 2009. A mathematical model to predict the heating-up of large-scale wood piles. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 22: 439-448.
25. Krigstin, S., Helmeste, C., Jia, H., Johnson, K.E., Wetzel, S., Volpe, S., Faizal, W., Ferrero, F. 2019. Comparative analysis of bark and woodchip biomass piles for enhancing predictability of self-heating. *Fuel* 242: 699-709.
26. Thörnqvist, T. 1985. Drying and storage of forest residues for energy production. *Biomass* 7: 125-134.
27. Jirjis, R. 1995. Storage and drying of woof fuel. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 9: 181-190.
28. Tremier, A., de Guardia, A., Massiani, C., Paul, E., Martel, J.L. 2005. A respirometric method for characterising the organic composition and biodegradation kinetics and the temperature influence on the biodegradation kinetics, for a mixture of sludge and bulking agent to be co-composted. *Bioresource Technology* 96: 169-180.
29. [29] Boddy, L. 1983. Carbon dioxide release from decomposing wood: effect of water content and temperature. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 15: 501-510.
30. Moore, A.M. 1986. Temperature and moisture dependence of decomposition rates of hardwood and coniferous leaf litter. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 18: 427-435.
31. Sheng, C., Azevedo, J.L.T. 2005. Estimating the higher heating value of biomass fuels from basic analysis data. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 28: 499-507.
32. Klasnja, B., Kopitovic, S., Orlovic, S. 2002. Wood and bark of some poplar and willow clones as fuelwood. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 23: 427-432.
33. Mukhin, V.A., Voronin, P.Y. 2007. Methane emission during wood fungal decomposition. *Doklady Biological Sciences* 413:159-160.
34. Whittaker, C., Macalpine, W., Yates, N.E., Shield, I. 2016. Dry matter losses and methane emissions during wood chip storage: the impact on full life cycle greenhouse gas savings of short rotation coppice willow for heat. *BioEnergy Research* 9:820-835.

35. O'Dwyer, J., Walshe, D., Byrne, K.A. 2018. Wood waste decomposition in landfills: An assessment of current knowledge and implications for emissions reporting. *Waste Management* 73:181-188.
36. Eiland, F., Klamer, M., Lind, A.-M., Leth, M., Bååth, E. 2001. Influence of initial C/N ratio on chemical and microbial composition during long term composting of straw. *Microbial Ecology* 41:272-280.
37. Orban, J.L., Kozak, R.A., Sidle, R.C., Duff, S.J.B. 2002. Assessment of relative environmental risk from logyard run-off in British Columbia. *The Forestry Chronicle* 78: 146-151.
38. [38] Field, J.A., Leyendeckers, M.J.H., Sierra Alvarez, R., Lettinga, G., Habets, L.H.A. 1988. The methanogenic toxicity of bark tannins and the anaerobic biodegradability of water soluble bark matter. *Water Science and Technology* 20: 219-240.
39. Güsewell, S., Gessner, M.O. 2009. N : P ratios influence litter decomposition and colonization by fungi and bacteria in microcosms. *Functional Ecology* 23: 211-219.
40. [40] Cabral, F., Vasconcelos, E., Goss, M.J., Cordovil, C.MDS. 1998. The value, use, and environmental impacts of pulp-mill sludge additions to forest and agricultural lands in Europe. *Environmental Reviews* 6:55-64.

Figures

Figure 1

Mean daily temperature (°C) inside 10-L containers of wood bark waste (B100) and bark-wood pulp sludge mixes (B25S75, B75S25). The values shown are mean of four replicates.

Figure 2

(a) Mean daily temperature (°C) inside 0.5 m³ containers of wood bark waste (B100) and bark-wood pulp sludge mixes (B25S75, B75S25) and ambient air temperature during 26 weeks of storage outdoors, and (b) relationship between biomass temperature and ambient air temperature. The difference between B100 and B50S50 was statistically significant at ambient air temperature >3 °C ($p=0.047$), that between B100 and B75S25 at ambient air temperature >5 °C ($p=0.008$), and that between B50S50 and B75S25 at ambient air temperature 10 °C ($p=0.043$). The values shown are mean of three replicates.

Figure 3

Mean carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from wood bark waste (B100) and bark-wood pulp sludge mixes (B25S75, B75S25) during 117 days of laboratory incubation at 19.5 ± 1.0 °C. The error bars denote standard deviation, n=4.

Figure 4

(Left) Mean carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from wood bark waste (B100) and bark-wood pulp sludge mixes (B25S75, B75S25), and (right) weekly rainfall and mean temperature during 6 months of storage outdoors (May-October). Error bars denote standard deviation, n=3.