

Genome-Wide Identification of AP2/EREBP in *Fragaria Vesca* and Expression Pattern Analysis of The FvDREB Subfamily Under Drought Stress

Chao Dong

shanghai Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Yue Xi

Chinese Academy of Sciences Shanghai Center for Plant Stress Biology

Xinlu Chen

University of Tennessee Knoxville Department of Plant Sciences

Zong-Ming (Max) Cheng (✉ zcheng@utk.edu)

University of Tennessee Knoxville <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4575-3431>

Research article

Keywords: DREB, structural characteristics, duplication, drought stress, *Fragaria vesca*, expression

Posted Date: September 3rd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-62168/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Drought is a common phenomenon worldwide. It is also one of the main abiotic factors that affect the growth and quality of strawberry. The dehydration-responsive element binding protein (DREB) members that belong to the APETALA2/ethylene-responsive element binding protein (AP2/EREBP) superfamily are unique transcription factors in plants, which play an important role in the abiotic stress response.

Results: Here, a total of 119 *AP2/EREBP* genes were identified in *F. vesca*, and the AP2/EREBP superfamily was divided into AP2, RAV, ERF, DREB, and soloist subfamilies, containing 18, 7, 61, 32, and 1 member(s), respectively. The DREB subfamily was further divided into six subgroups (A-1 to A-6) based on phylogenetic analysis. Gene structure, conserved motifs, chromosomal location, and synteny analysis were conducted to comprehensively investigate the gene characteristics of *FvDREBs*. Furthermore, transcriptome analysis revealed distinctive expression patterns among the *FvDREB* genes in strawberry plants exposed to drought stress. The expression of *FvDREB6* of the A-2 subgroup was down-regulated in old leaves and up-regulated in young leaves in response to drought. Furthermore, qRT-PCR analysis found that *FvDREB8* of the A-2 subgroup had the highest expression when plants were subjected to drought stress. Both analyses combined with promoter analysis suggested that *FvDREB18* may play a critical role in regulating the expression of *FvDREB1* and *FvDREB2*.

Conclusions: Our findings provide new insights into the characteristics and potential functions of *FvDREBs*. And these *FvDREB* genes should be further studied as they appear to be excellent candidates for drought tolerance improvement of strawberry.

Background

The cultivated strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*) is one of the most important and youngest crop species worldwide, originating approximately 300 years ago (Edger et al., 2019). Furthermore, strawberry is popular and favored by consumers because of its disease-preventive and medicinal benefits as well as its wide array of aromas and flavors (Yan et al., 2018). Genomically, *Fragaria × ananassa* is an allo-octoploid ($2n = 8x = 56$) plant with four different diploid ancestors. Considering the complex genome of *F. × ananassa*, the diploid woodland strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*, $2n = 2x = 14$), which has a small completely sequenced genome (240 Mb), is usually used as a model plant for studies on the functions of specific genes and molecular genomic analyses of Rosaceae (Shulaev et al., 2011). A recent report on chromosome-scale assembly in the octoploid strawberry cultivar 'Camarosa' has identified a dominant subgenome that was derived from the *F. vesca* progenitor, which largely controls certain metabolic pathways (Edger et al., 2019). Strawberry is a plant that is very sensitive to osmotic stress caused by high-salt or drought stress (Perin et al., 2019). Furthermore, strawberry has a large leaf area and a shallow root distribution, and upon drought stress, its growth and yield are greatly affected (Ghaderi and Siosemardeh, 2011). Therefore, drought is one of the main limiting factors that affect the growth and quality of strawberry. Understanding the regulatory mechanism of strawberry in response to drought stress can enhance the development and performance of strawberry when subjected to drought stress.

Drought, as a common phenomenon, is expected to intensify with global warming (Burke et al., 2006; Asadih and Krakauer, 2017). In plants, a series of molecular, physiological, and biochemical changes caused by the reprogramming of stress-related genes occur in response and adaptation to drought stress (Sakuma et al., 2006). In addition, numerous transcription factors (TFs) have been reported to regulate stress-responsive genes by binding to the promoter region of target genes (Chen and Zhu, 2004; Agarwal and Jha, 2010). The dehydration-responsive element binding (DREB) TFs have been reported to play important roles in response to drought, low-temperature, or high-salt stress (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 1994).

DREB is a subfamily of the APETALA2/ethylene-responsive element binding protein (AP2/EREBP) superfamily, which is categorized into six subgroups (A-1 to A-6) according to the genetic domain (Magnani et al., 2004; Ohme-Takagi, 1995). The AP2/EREBPs are unique TFs in plants, characterized by at least one highly conserved AP2 domain and classified as AP2, Related to ABI3/VP1 (RAV), Ethylene Responsive Factor (ERF), DREB, and soloist in *Arabidopsis* and rice (Sakuma et al., 2002). Generally, the AP-2 subfamily members contain two AP2 domains, RAV subfamily members contain one AP2 domain and an additional B3 domain, ERF and DREB subfamily members both contain one AP2 domain (Nakano et al., 2006). The difference between DREB and ERF is based on the association of the AP2 domain with the 14th valine (V14) and 19th glutamic acid (E19) in DREB members, and the 14th alanine (A14) and 19th aspartic acid (D19) in ERF members (Sakuma et al., 2002).

With the release of whole-genome sequences for many plant species, more and more AP2/EREBP superfamilies, including DREB subfamilies, have been identified and studied at the genome-wide level (Srivastava and Kumar, 2018). Different DREB subgroups play different roles in different plants. For instance, the overexpression of *AtDREB1A* enhances drought and freezing tolerance in transgenic *Lolium perenne* plants but enhances heat stress tolerance in transgenic chrysanthemum (Hong et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011), whereas *AtDREB1C* (A-1) plays a central role in stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis* as a negative regulator (Novillo et al., 2004). Several studies have also reported that the expression of *DREB2A* and *DREB2B*, which belong to the A-2 subgroup, was induced in response to drought stress (Qin et al., 2007; Li et al., 2011).

Although a recent report had identified 91 *FveERF* genes, the authors had used an old version of the genome and mainly focused on tandem duplications for the expansion of the *FveERF* family (Wang et al., 2019). Therefore, there is a lack of information on the expression of *DREBs* in response to drought. An updated annotated version, v4.0.a2, for the *F. vesca* genome has been recently published, adding 9,029 new genes and modifying 8,342 existing genes (Li et al., 2019). In the present study, we identified AP2/EREBP members in the *F. vesca* genome based on the latest version, v4.0.a2, and performed a comprehensive bioinformatics analysis of the DREB subfamily, including DREB classification and naming, gene structure and conserved motif analyses, and chromosomal localization and synteny analyses. Furthermore, the expression profiles of *FvDREBs* in response to drought stress were also detected with transcriptome and qRT-PCR data. Our results will provide new insights into the biological roles of *FvDREBs*, which may improve drought tolerance in strawberry exposed to drought stress.

Results

Identification of AP2/EREBP in *Fragaria vesca*

A total of 119 AP2/EREBP genes were identified through HMM searches, local BLAST analyses, and domain confirmations. These genes contained at least one AP2 domain (supplementary information). A previous study has identified 115 AP2/EREBP genes in *F. vesca* (Wang et al., 2019). The difference between that and this study is that the previous study used an older version of the genome, while our study used the latest version (v4.0.a2). The specific differences in numbers and gene IDs are shown in Table S1. According to the classification of AP2/EREBP in *Arabidopsis* and rice (Sakuma et al., 2002; Nakano et al., 2006), the 119 AP2/EREBP genes in *F. vesca* were divided into five groups. The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the alignment of 350 AP2/EREBP proteins from *Arabidopsis*, rice, and *F. vesca* (Fig. 1). The phylogenetic tree clearly classified the AP2/EREBP proteins from *F. vesca* into a soloist as well as the four typical subfamilies, namely, the AP2, RAV, ERF, and DREB clades, which were comprised of 1, 18, 7, 61, and 32 proteins, respectively. Generally, the RAV subfamily has one AP2 domain and one B3 domain, and the FvRAV subfamily contains two members (FvH4_5g19881 and FvH4_6g29430), which had one AP2 domain and no B3 domain.

Identification and Phylogenetic Analysis of *FvDREBs*

Based on the conserved 14th valine (V14) of the AP2 domain (Fig S1), 32 *DREB* genes were identified from AP2/ERF in *F. vesca* and named according to the chromosomal position (Table 1). The identified FvDREBs proteins ranged from 150 to 579 amino acids in length, with theoretical isoelectric points (pI) ranging from 4.63 to 9.48 and molecular weights (MW) ranging from 16447.59 to 65304.13. Subcellular localization analysis predicted that most (26/32, 81.25%) FvDREBs localized to the nucleus, whereas other (6/32, 18.75%) members were localized to the cytoplasm (Table 1).

To investigate the phylogenetic relationships between DREBs in strawberry and other plants, a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was generated using the whole-protein sequences of the DREB subfamily between *F. vesca* and *A. thaliana*. As shown in Fig. 2, the phylogenetic tree was further divided into six subgroups (A-1 to A-6) as in *Arabidopsis*, in which the A-4 subgroup was the largest (13 members) and the A-3 subgroup was the smallest (one member). According to the similarities between AtDREB1/CBF and AtDREB2, the A-1 subgroup and A-2 subgroup included two and six members, respectively. Meanwhile, seven orthologous pairs were identified in *F. vesca* and *A. thaliana*, and one paralogous pair was identified in *F. vesca* based on a bootstrap value greater than 90 (supplementary information).

Gene Structure and Conserved Motif Analysis of FvDREBs

The exon-intron structures were analyzed to gain a better understanding of the structural characteristics of *FvDREBs* genes. Almost all of FvDREBs (30/32, 93.75%) were intronless, except for FvH4_2g38880 (FvDREB3) and FvH4_5g34550 (FvDREB28), which contained only one intron (Fig. 3).

The conserved motifs of all FvDREBs were further examined using MEME. A total of 15 motifs were predicted and named as motifs 1 to 15. Motifs 1 and 2 were found in all FvDREB protein sequences and were related to the AP2 domain. The protein sequences of two members belonging to the A-1 subgroup both contained motifs 5 and 11. Motif 8 was only found in members of the A-2 subgroup, whereas motif 10 was only found in members of the A-6 subgroup. Some other motifs, such as motif 15, were distributed among various subgroups.

Chromosomal Location and Tandem Duplication of FvDREBs

In order to explore the functional differentiation of FvDREB members, their positions on chromosomes were further investigated with the latest annotated genome (v4.0.a2). As shown in Fig. 4, 32 FvDREB members were distributed unevenly on five of the seven chromosomes, and there were no members on chromosomes 3 and 4. Chromosome 5 had the largest number (10, 31.25%) of *FvDREB* genes, containing one A-1 subgroup member, five A-4 subgroup members, two A-5 subgroup members, and two A-6 subgroup members. Chromosomes 6 and 7 had 25% (8/32) and 18.75% (6/32) *FvDREB* genes, respectively. The remaining 25% (8/32) members were evenly located on chromosomes 1 and 2. Moreover, five tandem duplication events involving eleven *FvDREB* genes were observed, namely, *FvDREB1* and *FvDREB12*, *FvDREB13* and *FvDREB14*, *FvDREB17* and *FvDREB18*, *FvDREB2* and *FvDREB22*, *FvDREB27*, *FvDREB15*, and *FvDREB16*. Four of the five tandem duplication events distributed on chromosome 5, including the three members with tandem duplications.

Synteny Analysis of FvDREBs

To provide insights on the genome duplications and evolution of DREB, intergenomic synteny analysis was conducted between *F. vesca* and *F. × ananassa*. There were 143 syntenic gene pairs that were syntenic between *F. vesca* and *F. × ananassa*, and high levels of collinearity were observed in all *FvDREBs* between *F. vesca* and their corresponding *F. × ananassa*, except *FvDREB24* (Fig 5). Moreover, the *F. vesca* chromosomes where the syntenic FvDREBs were located corresponded to several syntenic genes in *F. × ananassa* chromosomes. For example, the *FvDREB10* gene was in the *F. vesca* chromosome 1 and its syntenic corresponding genes were in the *F. × ananassa* chromosome Fvb1-1, chromosome Fvb1-2, chromosome Fvb1-3, and chromosome Fvb1-4, respectively.

Expression Profiles of FvDREBs in Response to Drought Stress in Different Strawberry Leaves

Transcriptome sequencing data from old leaves and young leaves exposed to different drought stress conditions were previously generated by our group to investigate the expression profiles of FvDREBs. In general, different subgroups from different tissues showed different expression patterns (Figs. 6 and 7), suggesting the functional divergence of different subgroups of FvDREB members. In old leaves, D5 and D7 showed a similar clustering relationship (Fig. 6), whereas D3 and D5 displayed a similar clustering relationship in young leaves (Fig. 7). Two genes (*FvDREB1* and *FvDREB2*) of the A-1 subgroup were highly expressed in the later period of drought stress, whereas the expression of *FvDREB6*, which belonged to the A-2 subgroup, was significantly up-regulated at the initial stage of drought stress. The expression of *FvDREB30* of the A-6 subgroup was lower in the early stages of drought stress and that of *FvDREB18* of the A-4 subgroup was lower in the middle stages of drought stress. And their expression level was similar in old and young leaves (Figs. 6 and 7), indicating that they may play a negative regulatory role in response to drought stress.

To further verify the expression of these identified *FvDREB* genes, two genes were randomly selected from each subgroup of the *FvDREB* gene family (the A-3 subgroup had only one member, so only one gene was selected) to detect their expression levels under different drought stress conditions by qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 8). The results showed the expression of *FvDREB8* of the A-2 subgroup was significantly up-regulated, with the highest expression on the second day under drought stress. The expression level gradually decreased, but all the expression levels were ten times higher than those in the control group. The expression level of *FvDREB1* of the A-1 subgroup reached the highest level when it was subjected to drought stress for 4 days, which was more than eight times that of the control group. The expression level of *FvDREB20* of the A-4 subgroup reached the highest level when subjected to drought stress for 6 days, which was more than five times that of the control group. The longer the time of exposure to drought stress, the greater the down-regulation of *FvDREB30* of the A-6 subgroup. The trend of the expression of *FvDREB* was consistent with the RNA-Seq data. It could be seen that the expression of *FvDREB* genes in different subgroups was variable and unstable under drought stress.

Discussion

AP2/EREBP TFs are one of the largest families of plant-specific transcriptional regulators that modulate many plant processes such as plant growth, development, and response to various stresses. The AP2/EREBP superfamily has been widely identified and investigated in diverse plant species with the release of whole-genome sequences of various plants. Furthermore, continuous improvements in high-throughput sequencing techniques and bioinformatic algorithms have made possible the accurate and accelerated delivery of information for researchers (Afzal et al., 2020). In this study, we identified the AP2/EREBP superfamily and comprehensively analyzed the DREB subfamily in the *F. vesca* genome based on the latest version, v4.0.a2. Moreover, we used RNA-Seq (transcriptome sequencing) and qRT-PCR to characterize differentially expressed *FvDREB* genes when plants were exposed to drought stress. The study provides a better understanding of the FvDREB proteins, which may benefit strawberry biotechnological breeding and improve their adaptation and tolerance to drought.

The DREB TF family plays important roles in the response to abiotic stress. However, knowledge of *FvDREB* genes is limited. Gene structure analysis of *FvDREBs* revealed that 93.75% of *FvDREBs* were intronless, which was higher than that of *Arabidopsis*, rice, and other plants. Motif analysis showed that all FvDREB protein sequences had motifs related to the AP2 domain and demonstrated that the AP2 domain was highly conserved in FvDREBs. The results also showed that different subgroups had specific motifs (Fig. 3). Generally, the DREB sequences were confirmed based on the presence of an AP2 domain with the 14th valine (V14) and 19th glutamic acid (E19) (Sakuma et al., 2002). A comparison of amino acid sequences of AP2 domains in FvDREBs revealed that although all of the amino acid sequences at position 14 were valine (V14), those at position 19 were not all glutamic acid (E19) (Figure S1). In addition, 81.25% of FvDREB proteins

were predicted to be localized in the nucleus, suggesting the functions of these proteins. These results indicated that most domains or motifs of FvDREB proteins were highly conserved and functional divergences may occur through changes of key amino acids.

Whole genome duplications (WGD), tandem duplications, segmental duplications, or polyploidization events are widely believed to be the primary sources in plant evolution and contributed to gene family expansion (Bennett, 2004; Jiao et al., 2011; Hanada et al., 2008). All FvDREB proteins were distributed unevenly on five of the seven chromosomes, which might have been the result of WGD. Tandem duplications and segmental duplications were also detected in FvDREBs, which also contributed to the expansion of the *FvDREB* gene family. Furthermore, we also performed an intergenomic synteny analysis between *F. vesca* and *F. × ananassa* to study the evolution of FvDREB in the *Fragaria* genome along with the species evolution and polyploidization. The high level of collinearity between FvDREBs of diploid and their corresponding octoploid homologs suggests a close relationship between genomes in *Fragaria*.

The expression patterns of FvDREBs reflect biological roles and gene functions in response to drought stress. Interestingly, we found that the expression of *FvDREB1* and *FvDREB2* of the A-1 subgroup correlated with the early, middle, and late stages of drought stress. This suggests that the individual contribution and function of each DREB are different in response to the entire drought stress process. The A-1 of DREBs, also known as C-repeat binding factors (CBFs), were first identified as TFs in response to both low temperature and osmotic stress in *Arabidopsis* (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 1994). Furthermore, more and more studies have demonstrated that overexpressed *DREB1/CBF* can improve the tolerance to environmental stresses such as freezing, drought, salt, and high temperature (Jaglo-Ottosen et al., 1998; Gilmour et al., 2000, Haake et al., 2002). Our previous meta-analysis of the effect of *CBF/DREB* overexpression on drought stress response also confirms the premise that *DREB* overexpression can enhance drought stress tolerance in various crops, and reveals the duration of the stress treatment (stress time). As a moderator, it had a clear effect on the response of the transgenic plants in relation to some parameters (Dong et al., 2017).

Equally important, the phenomenon that *FvDREB18* was down-regulated in response to whole drought stress, especially in the middle stage of drought stress of both old and young leaves, combined with the expression pattern of *FvDREB1* and *FvDREB2*, was very similar to that of *CBF2/DREB1C*, a negative regulator of *CBF1/DREB1B* and *CBF3/DREB1A* in *Arabidopsis* (Novillo et al., 2004). The clade containing *FvDREB18* was closest to A-1 subgroup clades in the phylogenetic tree with a bootstrap value of 87 (Fig. 2), and the number of conserved motifs of *FvDREB18* was four, whereas the number of conserved motifs of *FvDREB1* and *FvDREB2* was five (Fig. 3). This may suggest that *FvDREB18* plays a critical role in response to drought stress by precisely controlling the expression of *FvDREB1* and *FvDREB2*, and, hence, that of the downstream genes. Moreover, we analyzed the promoters of these three genes and found that there were different cis-regulatory elements among them (supplementary information and Fig. S2). The cis-regulatory elements, such as ABRE (cis-acting element involved in the abscisic acid responsiveness), ARE (cis-acting regulatory element essential for the anaerobic induction), MBS (MYB binding site involved in drought-inducibility), MYB, and MYC, were present in the promoter regions of all three genes. By contrast, CAT-box, TGA-element, and HD-Zip 1 were only detected in *FvDREB2* promoter, which was related to meristem expression, auxin-responsive, and the differentiation of palisade mesophyll cells, respectively. There were three TCA-element elements (cis-acting element involved in salicylic acid responsiveness) in *FvDREB2* promoter, and one in *FvDREB1* promoter, but none in *FvDREB18* promoter. The different types and numbers of cis-regulatory elements play essential roles in determining the stress-responsive or tissue-specific expression patterns of genes (Liu et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2008), and those presenting in the promoter region of *FvDREBs* may indicate the differential regulatory network. However, the mechanisms by which *FvDREB18* regulates *FvDREB1* and *FvDREB2* expression and the involvement of other regulators await further studies.

In addition, *FvDREB8* of the A-2 subgroup was significantly and positively regulated by drought stress and, induced strongly and rapidly in the early stage. This suggests that *FvDREB8* may be very sensitive to drought. The A-2 subgroup DREB members, which are involved in drought-responsive gene expression, are first referred to as DREB2 to distinguish

them from DREB1, whereas DREB1 are thought to function in cold-responsive gene expression regulation (Sakuma et al., 2006). DREB2A and DREB2B are inducible under drought and salt stress conditions as two of the total eight DREB2-type genes in *Arabidopsis*, and only *OsDREB2A* and *OsDREB2B* were found to be induced by abiotic stress as two of all five DREB2-type genes in rice (Nakashima et al., 2000; Sakuma et al., 2002; Matsukura et al., 2010). In this study, in addition to *FvDREB8*, *FvDREB6* also showed drought stress-inducible gene expression among all six A-2 subgroup genes in *F. vesca*. The expression of *FvDREB6* was down-regulated in old leaves and up-regulated in young leaves in response to drought and appeared to be tissue-specific. Remarkably, the gene annotated as *DREB2-2* was down-regulated in leaves of dehydrated *B. napus*, whereas its expression was increased in roots (Liu et al., 2015; Janiak et al., 2016). These findings provide new insights on the genetic control of drought tolerance in strawberry and some useful candidates for drought tolerance improvement.

Conclusions

We performed a genome-wide analysis of AP2/EREBP family genes in *F. vesca* and identified 119 *FvAP2/EREBP* genes. And a detailed investigation was carried out on classification, phylogenetic evolution, structure, synteny and expression profiles in different tissues and in response to drought stress of FvDREBs. Our results revealed that *FvDREB8* of A-2 subgroup play crucial roles in early stage of drought stress responses, *FvDREB6* appeared to be tissue-specific, and *FvDREB18* may play a critical role in regulating the expression of *FvDREB1* and *FvDREB2*. Overall, our findings provide new insights into the characteristics and potential functions of FvDREBs and a better understanding of the molecular basis of strawberry in response to drought stress.

Methods

Identification and Classification of *DREB* Genes in Strawberry

The most recent version of the *F. vesca* genome v4.0.a2 was downloaded from the Genome Database for Rosaceae (GDR) (https://www.rosaceae.org/species/fragaria-vesca/genome_v4.0.a2) to identify strawberry DREB TFs. Additionally, 53 *DREB*, 23 *AP2*, and six *RAV* genes from rice (*Oryza sativa*) were downloaded from the MSU Rice Genome Annotation Project Database (RGAP) (<http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/>), and 148 *AP2/EREBP* genes from *Arabidopsis* were downloaded from The *Arabidopsis* Information Resource (TAIR) (<https://www.arabidopsis.org/index.jsp>) database. The Hidden Markov Model (HMM) of the AP2 domain (PF00847) was obtained from the Pfam protein analysis website (<http://pfam.xfam.org/>) and used to identify AP2/EREBPs with a defined e-value threshold < 1e-5. To search for all possible AP2/EREBPs, some AtDREB members were used as the query sequence in the local Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). To validate the search results, all candidate sequences were examined and analyzed by SMART (<http://smart.embl.de/>) and Conserved Domain Database (CDD) (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi>).

A multiple alignment of the AP2/EREBP protein sequences encoding the conserved AP2 domain was constructed with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004). A neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was generated using the 1000 bootstrap method and Poisson model with MEGA 6.06. The DREB sequences were confirmed based on the presence of an AP2 domain with the 14th valine (V14) and 19th glutamic acid (E19) (Sakuma et al., 2002), and all the putative AP2/EREBP proteins were aligned to *Arabidopsis* and rice AP2/EREBP proteins to classify them into different groups (Nakano et al., 2006). The theoretical isoelectric point (pI) and molecular weight (MW) of the identified proteins were analyzed by the ProtParam Tool (<https://web.expasy.org/protparam/>). The subcellular localization of each protein was predicted with Cell-PLoc 2.0 (<http://www.csbio.sjtu.edu.cn/bioinf/Cell-PLoc-2/>) (Chou and Shen, 2008).

Gene Structure and Conserved Motif Analysis of the *FvDREB* Genes

The CDS and full-length sequences of *FvDREB* genes were obtained from NCBI and graphically displayed with Gene Structure Display Server 2.0 (GSDS) (<http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/>) (Hu et al., 2015). Conserved motifs in *FvDREBs* were predicted by the Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation Tool 5.1.1 (MEME) (<http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme>) using default parameters.

Chromosomal Localization and Synteny Analyses

The information on the location of *FvDREBs* on a chromosome was retrieved from the annotated file of the *F. vesca* genome v4.0.a2 and graphically represented with MapInspect (<http://www.softsea.com/review/MapInspect.htm>). The syntenic information of *FvDREBs* was calculated with MCScanX (Wang et al. 2012), and the syntenic diagram was visualized with Circos (<http://circos.ca/>) (Krzywinski et al., 2009). Tandem duplications were characterized as multiple members of *FvDREBs* occurring in neighboring intergenic regions (distance < 100 kb) that were separated by ten or fewer non-homologous spacer genes (Hanada et al., 2008). Segmental duplications were identified from the Plant Genome Duplication Database (PGDD) (<http://chibba.agtec.uga.edu/duplication/>).

Plant Growth and Stress Treatment

The strawberry (*F. vesca* subspecies *vesca*) seeds were a kind gift from Dr. Janet Slovin (Fruit and Vegetable Lab at the USDA). They were grown on 1/2 MS medium after disinfecting with NaClO (20%, 20 mins) in a tissue culture room with a photoperiod of 16-h light/8-h dark and a temperature of 24 ± 2°C for 6 weeks.

Drought stress was applied by transferring plants to soil medium and then withholding water. Leaf samples of plants exposed to drought stress were collected at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 h, and 2 d post treatment. All samples were immediately placed into liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Three biological replicates were performed for each treatment and control.

RNA Extraction, qRT-PCR, and Expression Pattern Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the strawberry leaves using the CTAB method, with minor modifications (Gonzalez-Mendoza et al., 2008). The RNase-free DNase Set with RNeasy/QIAamp® Columns (QIAGEN, USA) was used to eliminate contaminating genomic DNA. Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA). All cDNAs were stored at -20°C.

The expression patterns of *FvDREB* genes were examined by quantitative real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR) using the QuantStudio™ Flex 96-Well PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA) and SYBR® Green Reagents (Applied Biosystems, USA). The primer sequences used are listed in the supplementary information. Some primers were designed with qPrimerDB (<http://biodb.swu.edu.cn/qprimerdb>), and others were designed with Beacon Designer 8.14. The total volume of each reaction mixture was 10 µL; it included 1 µL of cDNA as the template, 5 µL of PowerUp™ SYBR® Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, USA), 0.6 µL of each primer, and 3.4 µL of ddH₂O. The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of amplification for 30 s at 95°C, annealing for 30 s at 58–60°C (depending on the primer's annealing temperature), and extension for 20 s at 72 °C. The melting curve conditions were as follows: 72°C to 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min, and 95°C for 15 s. This was performed for each amplification immediately after the PCR. Four commonly used reference genes (*actin*, *EF1*, *GAPDH*, *DBP*) were amplified to test the stability of the expressed genes in strawberry. Finally, *EF1* was used in this study because it was the most stable of the four reference genes.

The relative expression levels (fold change) were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ ($\Delta CT = CT_{\text{target}} - CT_{\text{reference}}$; $\Delta\Delta CT = (CT_{\text{target}} - CT_{\text{reference}})_{\text{treatment}} - (CT_{\text{target}} - CT_{\text{reference}})_{\text{control}}$) method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Transcriptome Analysis of Strawberry Under Drought Stress

The raw transcriptome data, which were unpublished, were provided by a member of our lab, Xiaolong Wang. The strawberries were exposed to drought stress after 1 day of full water absorption. D3, D5, and D7 samples were collected on the third day (after 2 days of drought treatment), fifth day (after 4 days of drought treatment), and seventh day (after 6 days of drought treatment), respectively. Transcriptome data were obtained from a fixed amount of RNA collected from the old leaves (the stage of fully expanded mature leaves) and the new leaves (the stage before fully expanded mature leaves). Fragments per Kilobase per Million mapped reads (Log^2FPKM) was used to calculate the expression levels of genes in control and treated plants at different times. The different expression patterns of *FvDREB* genes (supplementary information) were clustered and visualized by Hemi (<http://hemi.biocuckoo.org/down.php>) (Deng et al., 2014).

Abbreviations

AP2/EREBP: APETALA2/ethylene-responsive element binding protein

BLAST: Basic Local Alignment Search Tool

CBFs: C-repeat binding factors

CDD: Conserved Domain Database

DREB: Dehydration-responsive element binding protein

ERF: Ethylene Responsive Factor

F. × ananassa: *Fragaria × ananassa*

F. vesca: *Fragaria vesca*

GDR: Genome Database for Rosaceae

GSDS: Gene Structure Display Server

HMM: Hidden Markov Model

MEME: Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation Tool

MW: molecular weight

PGDD: Plant Genome Duplication Database

pI: isoelectric poin

qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction

RAV: Related to ABI3/VP1

RGAP: MSU Rice Genome Annotation Project Database

TAIR: The *Arabidopsis* Information Resource

TFs: transcription factors

WGD: Whole genome duplications

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

This project was supported by the Jiangsu Province Graduate Student Training Innovation Project (KYCX18_0688), the Jiangsu Provincial Department of Education, China, and in part by the Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Tennessee, USA. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the China Scholarship Council.

Authors' contributions

CD and ZMC conceived the idea and designed the experiments; CD performed the data analyses, conducted the experiments, and wrote the manuscript; YX helped perform the analysis with constructive discussions; XC contributed significantly to analysis and the experiments preparation; ZMC contributed to the conception of the study and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Xiaolong Wang of Nanjing Agricultural University for providing transcriptome data, which is unpublished.

References

1. Afzal M, Alghamdi SS, Migdadi HH, Khan MA, Mirza SB, El-Harty E. Legume genomics and transcriptomics: From classic breeding to modern technologies. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2020; 27(1): 543-555.
2. Agarwal PK, Jha B. Transcription factors in plants and ABA dependent and independent abiotic stress signalling. *Biologia Plantarum*. 2010; 54(2): 201-212.
3. Asadieh B, Krakauer NY. Global change in flood and drought intensities under climate change in the 21st century. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*. (2017); 21:1-22.
4. Bennett, MD. Perspectives on polyploidy in plants-ancient and neo. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 2004; 82(4): 411-423.
5. Burke, EJ, Brown, SJ, Christidis, N. Modeling the recent evolution of global drought and projections for the twenty-first century with the Hadley Centre climate model. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*. 2006; 7(5): 1113-1125.

6. Chen WJ, Zhu T. Networks of transcription factors with roles in environmental stress response. *Trends in plant science*. 2004; 9(12): 591-596.
7. Chou KC, Shen HB. Cell-PLoc: a package of Web servers for predicting subcellular localization of proteins in various organisms. *Nature protocols*, 2008; 3(2): 153.
8. Deng W, Wang Y, Liu Z, Cheng H, Xue Y. Heml: a toolkit for illustrating heatmaps. *PloS one*. 2014; 9(11), e111988.
9. Dong C, Ma Y, Wisniewski M, Cheng ZM. Meta-analysis of the effect of overexpression of *CBF/DREB* family genes on drought stress response. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2017; 142: 1-14.
10. Edger PP, Poorten TJ, VanBuren R, Hardigan MA, Colle M, McKain MR, et al. Origin and evolution of the octoploid strawberry genome. *Nature genetics*. 2019; 51(3): 541-547.
11. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic acids research*. 2004; 32(5): 1792-1797.
12. Fang Y, You J, Xie K, Xie W, Xiong L. Systematic sequence analysis and identification of tissue-specific or stress-responsive genes of NAC transcription factor family in rice. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*. 2008; 280(6): 547-563.
13. Ghaderi N, Siosemardeh A. Response to drought stress of two strawberry cultivars (cv. Kurdistan and Selva). *Horticulture, Environment, and Biotechnology*. 2011; 52(1): 6-12.
14. Gilmour SJ, Sebolt AM, Salazar MP, Everard JD, Thomashow MF. Overexpression of the *Arabidopsis* CBF3 transcriptional activator mimics multiple biochemical changes associated with cold acclimation. *Plant physiology*. 2000; 124(4): 1854-1865.
15. Gonzalez-Mendoza D, Moreno AQ, Zapata-Perez O. An improved method for the isolation of total RNA from *Avicennia germinans* leaves. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C*. 2008; 63(1-2): 124-126.
16. Haake V, Cook D, Riechmann J, Pineda O, Thomashow MF, Zhang JZ. Transcription factor CBF4 is a regulator of drought adaptation in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant physiology*. 2002; 130(2): 639-648.
17. Hanada K, Zou C, Lehti-Shiu MD, Shinozaki K, Shiu SH. Importance of lineage-specific expansion of plant tandem duplicates in the adaptive response to environmental stimuli. *Plant physiology*. 2008; 148(2): 993-1003.
18. Hong B, Ma C, Yang Y, Wang T, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Gao J. Over-expression of *AtDREB1A* in chrysanthemum enhances tolerance to heat stress. *Plant Molecular Biology*. 2009; 70(3): 231-240.
19. Hu B, Jin J, Guo AY, Zhang H, Luo J, Gao G. GSDS 2.0: an upgraded gene feature visualization server. *Bioinformatics*. 2015; 31(8): 1296-1297.
20. Janiak A, Kwaśniewski M, Szarejko I. Gene expression regulation in roots under drought. *Journal of experimental botany*. 2016; 67(4): 1003-1014.
21. Jaglo-Ottosen, KR, Gilmour SJ, Zarka DG, Schabenberger O, Thomashow MF. *Arabidopsis* CBF1 overexpression induces *COR* genes and enhances freezing tolerance. *Science*. 1998; 280(5360): 104-106.
22. Jiao Y, Wickett NJ, Ayyampalayam S, Chanderbali AS, Landherr L, Ralph PE, et al. Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature*. 2011; 473(7345): 97-100.
23. Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors, J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, et al. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome research*. 2009; 19(9): 1639-1645.
24. Li MR, Li Y, Li HQ, Wu GJ. Ectopic expression of *FaDREB2* enhances osmotic tolerance in paper mulberry. *Journal of integrative plant biology*. 2011; 53(12): 951-960.
25. Li X, Cheng X, Liu J, Zeng H, Han L, Tang W. Heterologous expression of the *ArabidopsisDREB1A/CBF3* gene enhances drought and freezing tolerance in transgenic *Lolium perenne* plants. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*. 2011; 5(1): 61-69.

26. Li Y, Pi M, Gao Q, Liu Z, Kang C. Updated annotation of the wild strawberry *Fragaria vesca* V4 genome. Horticulture research. 2019; 6(1): 1-9.
27. Liu C, Zhang X, Zhang K, An H, Hu K, Wen J, et al. Comparative analysis of the *Brassica napus* root and leaf transcript profiling in response to drought stress. International journal of molecular sciences. 2015; 16(8): 18752-18777.
28. Liu Z, Kong L, Zhang M, Lv Y, Liu Y, Zou M, et al. Genome-wide identification, phylogeny, evolution and expression patterns of *AP2/ERF* genes and cytokinin response factors in *Brassica rapa* ssp. *pekinensis*. PloS one. 2013; 8(12): e83444.
29. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2⁻(Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001; 25(4): 402-408.
30. Magnani E, Sjölander K, Hake S. From endonucleases to transcription factors: evolution of the AP2 DNA binding domain in plants. The Plant Cell. 2004; 16(9): 2265-2277.
31. Matsukura S, Mizoi J, Yoshida T, Todaka D, Ito Y, Maruyama K, et al. Comprehensive analysis of rice DREB2-type genes that encode transcription factors involved in the expression of abiotic stress-responsive genes. Molecular Genetics and Genomics. 2010; 283(2): 185-196.
32. Nakano T, Suzuki K, Fujimura T, Shinshi H. Genome-wide analysis of the *ERF* gene family in *Arabidopsis* and rice. Plant physiology. 2006; 140(2): 411-432.
33. Novillo F, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Salinas J. CBF2/DREB1C is a negative regulator of *CBF1/DREB1B* and *CBF3/DREB1A* expression and plays a central role in stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2004; 101(11): 3985-3990.
34. Ohme-Takagi M, Shinshi H. Ethylene-inducible DNA binding proteins that interact with an ethylene-responsive element. The Plant Cell. 1995; 7(2): 173-182.
35. Perin EC, da Silva Messias R, Borowski JM, Crizel RL, Schott IB, Carvalho IR, et al. ABA-dependent salt and drought stress improve strawberry fruit quality. Food chemistry. 2019; 271: 516-526.
36. Qin F, Kakimoto M, Sakuma Y, Maruyama K, Osakabe Y, Tran LSP, et al. Regulation and functional analysis of *ZmDREB2A* in response to drought and heat stresses in *Zea mays* L. The Plant Journal. 2007; 50(1): 54-69.
37. Sakuma Y, Liu Q, Dubouzet JG, Abe H, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. DNA-binding specificity of the ERF/AP2 domain of *Arabidopsis* DREBs, transcription factors involved in dehydration-and cold-inducible gene expression. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2002; 290(3): 998-1009.
38. Sakuma Y, Maruyama K, Osakabe Y, Qin F, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Functional analysis of an *Arabidopsis* transcription factor, *DREB2A*, involved in drought-responsive gene expression. The Plant Cell. 2006; 18(5): 1292-1309.
39. Shulaev V, Sargent DJ, Crowhurst RN, Mockler TC, Folkerts O, Delcher AL, et al. The genome of woodland strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*). Nature genetics. 2011; 43(2): 109-116.
40. Srivastava R, Kumar R. The expanding roles of APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factors and their potential applications in crop improvement. Briefings in functional genomics. 2019; 18(4): 240-254.
41. Wang Y, Tang H, DeBarry JD, Tan X, Li J, Wang X, et al. MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. Nucleic acids research. 2012; 40(7): e49-e49.
42. Wang X, Lin S, Liu D, McAvoy R, Ding J, Li Y. Characterization and expression analysis of *ERF* genes in *Fragaria vesca* suggest different divergences of tandem ERF duplicates. Frontiers in genetics. 2019; 10: 805.
43. Wessler SR. Homing into the origin of the AP2 DNA binding domain. Trends in plant science. 2005; 10(2): 54-56.
44. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. A novel cis-acting element in an *Arabidopsis* gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, low-temperature, or high-salt stress. The Plant Cell. 1994; 6(2): 251-264.

45. Yan JW, Ban ZJ, Lu HY, Li D, Poverenov E, Luo ZS, et al. The aroma volatile repertoire in strawberry fruit: a review. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2018; 98(12): 4395-4402.

Tables

Table 1
Features of FvDREBs in *F. vesca*

Gene Name	Gene ID(v1.1)	Gene ID (v4.02)	Chromosome location	Group	No. amino acids	pI	MW	Subcellular localization
<i>FvDREB1</i>	mrna32378.1	FvH4_5g01440	Fvb5: 899521– 900291	A-1	256	5.20	28817.69	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB2</i>	mrna13329.1	FvH4_7g28950	Fvb7: 21384363– 21385052	A-1	229	5.13	24962.84	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB3</i>	mrna08479.1	FvH4_2g38880	Fvb2: 28047790– 28050124	A-2	226	9.48	24777.23	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB4</i>	mrna16710.1	FvH4_6g01400	Fvb6: 778696– 779238	A-2	180	6.14	19628.61	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB5</i>	mrna13783.1	FvH4_6g10690	Fvb6: 6419987– 6422452	A-2	579	4.63	65304.13	Cytoplasm
<i>FvDREB6</i>	mrna26463.1	FvH4_6g23290	Fvb6: 17276547– 17277407	A-2	286	5.78	32216.02	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB7</i>	mrna01985.1	FvH4_6g43870	Fvb6: 33904894– 33907462	A-2	192	9.40	20839.19	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB8</i>	mrna21047.1	FvH4_7g25200	Fvb7: 19265849– 19267988	A-2	378	4.89	41645.29	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB9</i>	mrna21003.1	FvH4_7g24760	Fvb7: 19064560– 19066602	A-3	322	6.30	35598.83	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB10</i>	mrna11882.1	FvH4_1g05820	Fvb1: 3086932– 3088629	A-4	254	4.95	27529.14	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB11</i>	mrna08838.1	FvH4_2g35620	Fvb2: 26279523– 26280287	A-4	254	5.01	27654.57	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB12</i>	mrna32380.1	FvH4_5g01460	Fvb5: 909587– 910426	A-4	279	4.71	29909.66	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB13</i>	mrna08876.1	FvH4_5g19440	Fvb5: 11268785– 11269904	A-4	225	5.44	25028.56	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB14</i>	mrna08873.1	FvH4_5g19460	Fvb5: 11295238– 11295834	A-4	187	5.05	20616.61	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB15</i>	mrna27021.1	FvH4_5g33220	Fvb5: 24034746– 24036098	A-4	196	5.26	21569.16	Nucleus

Gene Name	Gene ID(v1.1)	Gene ID (v4.02)	Chromosome location	Group	No. amino acids	pI	MW	Subcellular localization
<i>FvDREB16</i>	mrna27017.1	FvH4_5g33240	Fvb5: 24050928– 24051485	A-4	185	4.97	20338.81	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB17</i>	mrna30159.1	FvH4_6g18000	Fvb6: 11817696– 11821178	A-4	239	6.43	25517.71	Cytoplasm
<i>FvDREB18</i>	mrna30226.1	FvH4_6g18090	Fvb6: 11874334– 11874957	A-4	207	5.02	22976.03	Cytoplasm
<i>FvDREB19</i>	mrna25758.1	FvH4_6g32030	Fvb6: 25127142– 25128659	A-4	258	5.03	27960.85	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB20</i>	mrna04810.1	FvH4_7g09550	Fvb7: 9175646– 9176470	A-4	274	5.24	29760.18	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB21</i>	mrna19141.1	FvH4_7g16810	Fvb7: 14364300– 14365229	A-4	198	5.43	21984.29	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB22</i>	mrna13327.1	FvH4_7g28960	Fvb7: 21396222– 21397656	A-4	235	4.92	24643.19	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB23</i>	mrna12919.1	FvH4_1g09180	Fvb1: 4892045– 4893214	A-5	216	4.69	24040.38	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB24</i>	mrna23873.1	FvH4_1g16370	Fvb1: 9445234– 9446402	A-5	150	9.45	16447.59	Cytoplasm
<i>FvDREB25</i>	mrna11145.1	FvH4_2g26630	Fvb2: 21353932– 21356192	A-5	234	5.37	25569.60	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB26</i>	mrna09137.1	FvH4_2g34020	Fvb2: 25362442– 25364608	A-5	159	9.18	18020.95	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB27</i>	mrna27062.1	FvH4_5g33180	Fvb5: 23967454– 23968327	A-5	165	5.50	17698.44	Cytoplasm
<i>FvDREB28</i>	mrna26530.1	FvH4_5g34550	Fvb5: 25211129– 25211910	A-5	209	7.62	23054.50	Cytoplasm
<i>FvDREB29</i>	mrna16350.1	FvH4_1g21210	Fvb1: 13220000– 13221070	A-6	356	6.00	39556.12	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB30</i>	mrna32084.1	FvH4_5g04470	Fvb5: 2609265– 2610158	A-6	297	8.55	32973.25	Nucleus
<i>FvDREB31</i>	mrna22114.1	FvH4_5g37820	Fvb5: 27851089– 27852207	A-6	372	5.85	40638.05	Nucleus

Gene Name	Gene ID(v1.1)	Gene ID (v4.02)	Chromosome location	Group	No. amino acids	pI	MW	Subcellular localization
<i>FvDREB32</i>	mrna17698.1	FvH4_6g26090	Fvb6: 19953052– 19954410	A-6	452	5.76	51223.92	Nucleus

Figures




Figure 1

Phylogenetic tree of AP2/EREBP superfamily proteins among *F. vesca*, *Arabidopsis*, and rice.




Figure 2

Phylogenetic tree of DREB subfamily proteins between *F. vesca* and *Arabidopsis*. Different subgroups (A-1 to A-6) of DREB subfamily proteins are highlighted in different colors.




Figure 3

Phylogenetic relationships, exon/intron structures, and conserved motifs of FvDREBs in *F. vesca*.




Figure 4

Chromosomal locations of FvDREBs in *F. vesca*. Red lines indicate tandem duplication genes.




Figure 5

Syntenic relationships of DREB members between *F. vesca* and *F. x ananassa*.




Figure 6

Heat map of differentially expressed FvDREBs in strawberry old leaves under drought stress. Red indicates up-regulation, blue and green indicate down-regulation, and black indicates data gaps.




Figure 7

Heat map of differentially expressed FvDREBs in strawberry young leaves under drought stress. Red indicates up-regulation, blue and green indicate down-regulation, and black indicates data gaps.




Figure 8

Expression profiles of FvDREB genes in response to drought stress.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementaryinformation.xlsx](#)
- [TableS1andFiguresS1S2.docx](#)